

The Arab Spring and Syrian Crisis: International and Regional Dimensions

H.-C. Günther



East and West

Band 8

Verlag Traugott Bautz

The Arab Spring and Syrian Crisis:
International and Regional Dimensions

East and West.
Philosophy, ethics, politics
and human rights

Band 8

ed. by H.-C. Günther

Advisory Committee:
Anwar Alam, Ram Adhar Mall, Sebastian Scheerer

The Arab Spring and Syrian Crisis:
International and Regional Dimensions

Ed. by H.-C. Günther

Verlag Traugott Bautz GmbH

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek
Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der
Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind
im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Coverabbildung:

Azaz, Syria during the Syrian civil war. 16 August 2012, Azaz
residents pick up after aerial bombings. Bombed out buildings.

Verlag Traugott Bautz GmbH
99734 Nordhausen 2019
ISBN 978-3-95948-438-1

Table of Content

Preface	7
List of Contributors	9
Hans-Christian Günther The Syrian Crisis – What has been done, what should we do?	11
Ali Asghar Mosleh The Roots of the Middle East Crisis and Alternative Solutions	19
Djavad Kashani UN Security Council Approach to the Middle East Events and Developments in the Recent Decade	33
Harro von Senger The People's Republic of China's Approach to Syria 2011 to 2017	53
Udo Steinbach The Syrian Conflict – Its Confessional Dimension	69
Francisco Muñoz Conde Die heutige Relativierung des Verbots der Folter und ihrer Anwendung im Krieg und im Kampf gegen Terrorismus	73
Isabella Oldani EU Sanctions on Syria: From Approval to Annulment	79
Luigi Sammartino The Syrian Conflict and Prohibitions of Arms Transfers: What are States Doing in order to Implement their International Obligations?	97
Chiara Tea Antoniazzi The EU-Turkey Statement of 18 March 2016: A Legal Perspective	131

Riccardo Pozzo/ Vania Virgili Towards a Strategic Research Agenda on Migration	147
---	-----

Preface

This volume contains some of the papers given at an international conference on the Syrian crisis in 2017. I thank the Meran Academy, its director Ivo De Gennaro and its staff for their usual hospitality and perfect organization.

Müllheim, July 2019

H.-C. Günther

List of Contributors

Tea Antoniazzi is Doctor Europaeus of International Law. She has obtained her PhD at the School of International Studies, University of Trento, Italy. She is particularly interested in the protection and promotion of human rights at the national, regional, and international levels. Her doctoral dissertation investigates the potential contribution by National Human Rights Institutions to the execution of judgments of the European Court of Human Rights. She is assistant to the chairs of International Law and Law of International Institutions at the Faculty of Law, University of Trento.

Hans-Christian Günther is Associate Professor of Classics in the University of Freiburg. His research interests include philosophy, ethics, religion and politics.

Djavad Kashani is professor for International Law at Faculty of Law and Political Science, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran. His research focuses on: International law of natural resources and international arbitration

Ali Ashgar Mosleh is Professor of Philosophy, Allameh Tabataba'i University/ Tehran, President of the Intercultural Philosophy Association in Iran (since 2013), Head of the Center for Contemporary Culture. He has published i.a. *With the Other* (2018), *The Question concerning the truth of Man. A Comparative Study in Ibn al-Arabi's and Heidegger's Thought* (2016), *Philosophy of Culture* (2015).

Francisco Muñoz Conde, Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. mult., is Professor em. for criminal law of the University Pablo Olavide, Sevilla. In 1969 he received the Mutis-Humboldt Forschungspreis of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. He is member of the Spanish Commission for Criminal Law and Co-author of the draft of the Spanish Criminal Code. He is the author of over 20 books, treatises and textbooks.

Isabella Oldani is a PhD student at the School of International Studies, University of Trento, Italy. Her research mainly focuses on the delicate balance between international security and the protection of fundamental rights.

Riccardo Pozzo, Prof. Dr. Professor for Philosophy at the University of Verona, he was president of the encyclopedia for European Intellectual History and the History of Ideas of the CNR; from 2012-2017 director of the department for Human and Social Sciences of the CNR.

Luigi Sammartino Dr. (Ph.D., 2017, International Law, University of Florence; LL.M., 2011, Law, University of Bologna) is Research Fellow in International Law at the University of Bologna. In 2017, he attended as a Visiting Scholar at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public law and International law in Heidelberg (Germany). Member of several scientific societies, he is also Editorial Assistant of the scientific review “Athena - Critical Inquiries in Law, Philosophy and Globalization”.

Harro von Senger, Dr. iur. Dr. phil., is Professor em. of Sinology in the University of Freiburg. He is the author of the first western book on the 36 Strategems (*Strategeme*, Scherz 1988, now Fischer TB 2011), the first western book on *Mouliè* (*Supraplanung*, Hanser 2008), the Swiss translation of *Meister Suns Kriegskanon* (Reclam 2011) and he is a co-editor of the first western volume on Sinomarxism (*Maoismus oder Sinomarxismus?*, Franz Steiner 2016). His books are translated into 15 languages.

S. www.36strategeme.ch and www.Supraplanung.eu.

Udo Steinbach, Prof. Dr., is one of the leading international authorities for the Islamic world. He held various high ranking positions as director of the ‘Deutsches Orient-Institut’, Hamburg, the ‘GIGA-Institut für Nahoststudien’, the ‘Zentrum für Nah- und Mitteloststudien’, Marburg, the ‘Governance Center Middle East/ North Africa’, the Humboldt-Viadrina School of Governance, Berlin. In the meantime he heads the MENA Study Center, which is part of the Maecenata Foundation.

Vania Virgili is Senior Scientific Officer at the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) and counsellor of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism of Italy. From 2007 to 2012 she developed European projects of research, development and sponsorship of Europe’s cultural heritage. Since 2012 she focuses on the planning and development of European infrastructure for social and cultural innovation.

The Syrian Crisis – What has been done, what should we do¹

Hans-Christian Günther

1. Preliminary remarks

Before I come to the main topic of my talk I think I have to make a few preliminary remarks. First of all, I am not an expert on Syria or the Middle East. That I regard myself fit to speak more or less at the beginning of a conference on the Syrian crisis is due to three facts:

- 1) in order to see where the roots of the problem lie you need not be an expert, you just need a rather mediocre dose of common sense,
- 2) that I deem it necessary to say what everyone who is in his right mind should see is due to the fact that the perversion of the minds of western people, in particular the establishment, including academics, has reached a degree of dementia that only a simple mind like mine, and of someone who looks beyond the comfortable armchair of his living room or office in the European province appears to be able to speak out if it comes to the most simple evident truths,
- 3) I claim to be such a person because I know from proper experience, i.e. from sharing the lives of the ordinary people from the bottom of society in many countries of the globe, thus I know different cultures on the level of lived experience, not academic outside study and I have personal friendships with Muslim people all around the globe. But especially I know by having lived with my friends from the bottom of society many situations which taught me what is more important health care and a full stomach or freedom of speech, a very important lesson if you judge the Syrian and other conflicts.

Thus I shall lose no time trying to refute the silly nonsense of the western state media, and if you want to call me a conspiracy theorist you are free to do so: I take it as a compliment.

You may ask Harro von Senger present here among us: the term conspiracy theory does not exist in China nor I suspect elsewhere save in the west. Only western people are stupid enough to believe what the official truth media tell them and switch off their brains. And in the meantime actually ordinary people in the west don't believe it either, only certain academics or pseudo academics.

¹ I intentionally did not change the language of my talk nor did I revise it in order to do justice to new developments which happened after the date of the Meran conference.

This also means: I shall not speak here about western values even less so of democracy. We have no values, if not that we pretend to be enlightened enough to have enough freedom and dignity to know that we ourselves and not our brains decide how often we get drunk or whom we have sex with. The only real values the western self declared community of values has to offer to the world is ruthless exploitation, colonialism by other means, cryptoracism and mass murder. What in the west is called democracy has nothing to do whatsoever neither with the original Greek concept nor with that what an ordinary mind associates with the word, namely that ordinary citizens participate in political decisions. What ordinary people want counts for nothing, and in fact we are not even ruled by our ridiculous political actors but by powers whose names and modus operandi we barely know.

Of course, I would fully agree if someone points out that here at least we are able to speak rather freely about a sensitive topic: in Turkey where we originally wanted to hold this conference we had to cancel it. Real free speech of course not even we have, but we have more of it than e.g. in Turkey for sure. But if this is so: please let us use the little space we have and not impose upon ourselves the silly slogans of our criminal political class and media. Let us combine the common sense of ordinary citizens with our ability of academic analysis. But as common sense is in short supply, please expect from me rather a lecture on the level of a taxi drivers or construction worker's mindset than an academic's.

2. A Flashback into History

A) The heritage of WW II

Well, if we want to know the root cause of all the conflicts after the breakdown of the SU, of which the Syrian one is only the one which at the moment gets most attention: western or US or Israeli crime is in full bloom all over the world - if we want to analyse the whole series of criminal actions of our so called west we have to go back to WWII and it's aftermath.

Nobody would, I think, contest that the well meant attempts at an international order avoiding a second disaster like WWI were fruitless because egoistic 'Realpolitik' prevailed and thus led to WWII. It's the most often repeated lie or hypocrisy that after WWII it was different and better: it was worse. Two things: 1) WWII was NOT a war good against evil either: it was the power struggle of 4 (or 5) ruthlessly criminal powers: Nazi Germany, Japanese military dictatorship, Stalin's SU and the alliance of the British empire, the most criminal organization of world history, with its pervert offspring the US who after WWII were definitely destined to replace it.

The Nazi madmen and the megalomaniac Japanese military were stupid and unrealistic too, thus they lost. Roosevelt and Stalin were clever statesmen thus the US and the SU won. Churchill, Hitler's precise mirrorimage, lost too, due to his shortsighted stupidity in thinking the crimes of the British empire could last forever and not seeing that the US' major aim was to destroy and replace it.

The western allies' attempt to definitely put on the mask of the righteous by the ridiculous two show trials of Nuremberg and Tokyo indeed fooled the western public into such a belief - much less so the rest of the world. The rest of the world had to experience that the powers who declared well sounding universal human rights still tried to hold on to colonial power, the US was still a racist apartheid state - as it still is-, to make it short: the rest of the world physically felt that these were white human rights as the human rights of the American Declaration of Independence were rights not for human beings but for a bunch of privileged white males, called insidiously men. The newly founded UN excluded the most populous country of the world, and the still colonized world anyhow; the security council started on the wrong foot by sanctioning without consulting with Russia a criminal war in Korea. The often invoked unprecedented over 40 years of peace after WWII were a peace in Europe, alone guaranteed solely by the contraposition of two huge nuclear arsenals: the rest of the world was plagued first by colonial wars and always by Soviet and American hegemonial desires carried out by brute force, and what the US did was in straight continuity with the crimes of the Anglosaxon imperialistic violence of ever.

In particular the Muslim world was victimized by Truman's and Stalin's installation of the state of Israel, a state based on a racist ideology that concluded once that Jews must act like white colonialists in order to protect themselves and now concluded that Jews must act like Nazis to do that. And this Nazi ideology won on the back of innocent Muslim people who had to pay the price not only for Hitler's but the whole western civilizations over one thousand years of crimes against Jews.

B) The Cold War and the Fall of the SU

In the time of the so called Cold War the balance of lethal power between the two major blocks kept a lid on belligerent violence, however, US terror all around the globe always by far outdid Soviet crime, simply because the US overall was the stronger power, and indeed US arrogance and crime grew ever faster the more the SU began to fall behind in economic power and thus in arms technology as well. Also American policy towards Israel lost ever more any

balance and allowed the latter at the same time to become ever more powerful and slide into a mere and unashamedly racist genocidal state of mass murder, by far outdoing their Nazi model.

When with the inevitable fall of the SU the power balance broke down and Russia was almost brought to the abyss by a foolish drunkard as the head of government the western or US aspiration of world hegemony, driven by the hidden economic forces that had replaced politics by mere greed of a bunch of people so dominated by shameless greed and lust for power that moral barriers simply ceased to exist. Thus it was immediately over with our highly praised peace and the first state that was destroyed in a war of shameless aggression was ex Yugoslavia, a conflict in which Europe played an even more shameful role than the US. In particular, a period began where the western truth media so successfully manipulated the minds both of the western general public and of those who were once Europe's left wing intellectuals that Noam Chomsky rightly talked of a caricature of Stalinism. In fact since then this caricature has become ever more grotesque. In Order to keep a firm grip on the world NATO was established as a criminal alliance for supporting US hegemony by targeting a new enemy, and who could fit the role better than the ageold enemy Islam, anyhow the enemy of what was now the west's racist spearhead, Israel, and a force which since the Iranian revolution had empowered itself as a political entity not willing to submit to US slavery, and successfully surviving the US attempt to bring it down as it had worked with US enemies before.

Thus the next caesura followed suit: the first Iraq invasion whose heritage is above all that the test of the new generations of high tech weapons was successful in so far as it showed brutal warfare with the most advanced technology but with clearly limited damages was possible again. With these weapons what war means acquired a new significance: it was now possible to ruthlessly destroy any single country one set oneself as a target without prompting retaliation. Since then the Cold War has become hot and so to speak exploded in what is de facto WWII, if we realize that warfare has once forever changed its face. In the course of time the ever more criminal US administration adopted a policy of just destroying one state refusing unconditional surrender to US hegemony after the other - of course states in the Muslim world, the new enemy, the enemy of the true successors of the Nazis, the enemy which had replaced what for the Nazis were the Jews.

C) Putin's Russia, the changed UN, International Justice

However in the meantime, Russia recovered from the Yeltsin disaster and under Putin became a power which claimed its place back among the leading powers

of the world and a bit later China too definitely made its silently acquired new weight in the world ever more felt. Georgia should have warned the west that Russia could not any longer just be ignored. But it did not. Thus the west slid after Iraq Afghanistan Libya into its next criminal war of destruction in Syria without realizing that Putins Russia would not allow the country to suffer the same fate as the west's hitherto targets. And if this were not enough the west committed the same suicidal crimes in Ukraine in the middle of Europe too.

In order to complete the description of the present situation: the UN and international Justice could after what I said about their origins be nothing but a Farce. In Soviet times the UN Security Council was at least blocked by the stalemate US - SU, after 1989 it was either used as a tool of US crime or if this was not possible, flatly ignored. Today at least with Putin and the new China the stalemate is back, and not to forget, in the UN plenary and the Geneva human rights council in the meantime the third world has a majority.

Thus the decisions and resolutions of these two bodies show what the real international community thinks, not the criminal bunch of NATO partners called thus by our truth media. Thus even if all this is not endorsed by hardpower, here there is a softpower of a collective international sense of justice. Equally even though the ICC judges only petty bastards from African countries, there is a model how international justice could work were it not blocked by the main perpetrators of crime, the US, the ICC's bitterest enemy. The fact that western and Israeli politicians are not judged by the same standards, at least makes western crimes abundantly evident; it becomes also evident that if in the post WWII trials one bunch of mass murderers judged the other in today's showtrials which the US has to create outside the ICC like the tribunal for ex Yugoslavia the mass murderers and aggressors judge the world's little knivers.

3. What Should We Do?

A) Politicians

With this picture in mind it's easy to answer the questions put in the title of my paper: the cause of all major wars since 1989 is the US' ongoing attempt to dominate the world by all means, if necessary by destroying without any regard for human life or a minimum of civilized standards whole regions in case anyone refuses to surrender unconditionally to US barbarism. This is done already since the sponsorship of the Taliban against the SU in Afghanistan to an ever increasing degree by creating pseudoislamic terrorism with the aid of Saudi Arabia and other fake Islamic states. Since Putin has put Russia in a position to ruthlessly slam the door into US aggression and has formed an ever more strong alliance with China this policy - which was one big failure anyhow - has