


Hong Meng

Colloidal Quantum Dot Light Emitting Diodes

Materials and Devices



Colloidal Quantum Dot Light Emitting Diodes

Colloidal Quantum Dot Light Emitting Diodes

Materials and Devices

Hong Meng

Prof. Hong Meng
Peking University
Building G 306
Lishui Road, Nanshan District
Shenzhen
CH, 518055

Cover Image: © Prof. Hong Meng

■ All books published by **WILEY-VCH** are carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors, and publisher do not warrant the information contained in these books, including this book, to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Library of Congress Card No.: applied for

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

**Bibliographic information published by
the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at
<<http://dnb.d-nb.de>>.

© 2024 WILEY-VCH GmbH, Boschstr. 12,
69469 Weinheim, Germany

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

Print ISBN: 978-3-527-35327-9

ePDF ISBN: 978-3-527-84512-5

ePub ISBN: 978-3-527-84513-2

oBook ISBN: 978-3-527-84514-9

Typesetting Straive, Chennai, India

Contents

Preface *xi*

1	History and Introduction of QDs and QDLEDs	1
1.1	Preparation Route of Quantum Dots	3
1.2	Light-Emitting Characteristics of Quantum Dots	3
1.2.1	Particle Size and Emission Color	3
1.2.2	Quantum Dot Optical Property	4
1.2.2.1	Quantum Surface Effect	4
1.2.2.2	Quantum Size Effect	4
1.2.2.3	Quantum Confinement Effect	5
1.2.2.4	Quantum Tunnelling Effect	5
1.2.2.5	Quantum Optical Properties	6
1.2.3	Core–Shell Structure of QDs	8
1.2.4	Continuously Graded Core–Shell Structure of QDs (cg-QDs)	12
1.2.5	Typical QDs Materials	14
1.2.5.1	II–VI Semiconductor QDs	16
1.2.5.2	IV–VI Semiconductor QDs	17
1.2.5.3	II ₃ –V ₂ Semiconductor QDs	17
1.2.5.4	Ternary I–III–VI ₂ Chalcopyrite Semiconductor QDs	17
1.2.5.5	Single Element-Based Semiconductor QDs	17
1.3	Application of Quantum Dots on Display Devices	18
1.3.1	The Basic Structure of QDLED	18
1.3.2	Main Factors Affecting QDLED Light Emission	19
1.3.2.1	Auger Recombination (AR)	19
1.3.2.2	Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer	21
1.3.2.3	Surface Traps and Field Emission Burst	22
1.3.3	History of QDLED Development	22
1.4	Conclusion and Remarks	27
	References	28
2	Colloidal Semiconductor Quantum Dot LED Structure and Principles	33
2.1	Basic Concepts	33
2.1.1	Color Purity	33

2.1.2	Solution Processability	34
2.1.3	Stability	35
2.1.4	Surface States of Quantum Dots	36
2.1.5	Energy Levels and Energy Bands	36
2.1.6	Metals, Semiconductors, and Insulators	37
2.1.7	Electrons and Holes	38
2.1.8	Fermi Distribution Function and Fermi Energy Level	39
2.1.9	Schottky Barrier	39
2.1.10	Energy Level Alignment	40
2.2	Colloidal Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Devices	40
2.2.1	The Basic Structure of QDLED	41
2.2.2	The Working Principle of QDLED	43
2.2.3	Operating Parameters of QDLED	44
2.2.3.1	Turn-on Voltage	44
2.2.3.2	Luminous Brightness	44
2.2.3.3	Luminous Efficiency	44
2.2.3.4	Luminescence Color	45
2.2.3.5	Luminous Lifetime	45
2.2.3.6	QDLED Device Fabrication Process	48
	References	48

3 Synthesis and Characterization of Colloidal Semiconductor Quantum Dot Materials 51

3.1	Background	51
3.2	Synthesis and Post-processing of Colloidal Quantum Dots	53
3.2.1	Direct Heating Method and Hot Injection Synthesis Method	53
3.2.1.1	Hot-Injection Method	54
3.2.1.2	Direct Heating Method	55
3.2.2	Precursor Chemistry	56
3.2.3	Ligating and Non-ligating Solvents	56
3.2.4	Mechanism of Nucleation and Growth of Colloidal Quantum Dots	58
3.2.5	Size Distribution Focus and Size Distribution Scatter	59
3.2.6	Crystalline Species-Mediated Growth and Orientation of Nanocrystals Attachment Growth	60
3.2.7	Synthesis Methods and Band Gap Regulation Engineering of Nuclear-Shell Quantum Dots	61
3.2.7.1	Non-alloyed Core-Shell Quantum Dots	63
3.2.7.2	Alloy Core-Shell Quantum Dots	64
3.2.8	Surface Chemistry of Colloidal Quantum Dots	65
3.2.8.1	Covalent Bond Classification Method	65
3.2.8.2	Entropic Ligands	66
3.3	Material Characterization	66
3.3.1	Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) Absorption and Fluorescence Spectra	67
3.3.2	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy	69
3.3.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	71

3.3.4	X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	74
3.3.5	Transmission Electron Microscopy	76
3.3.6	Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering and Wide-Angle X-Ray Scattering	76
3.3.7	X-Ray Diffractometer	77
3.3.8	X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure Spectra	78
3.3.9	Measurement of Fluorescence Quantum Yield	79
3.4	Conclusion and Outlook	79
	References	81
4	Red Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes	87
4.1	Background	87
4.2	Red Light Quantum Dot Materials	88
4.2.1	Materials	89
4.2.2	Quantum Dot Structure Design and Optimization	90
4.2.3	Surface Ligands	91
4.2.4	Core-Shell Structure	94
4.2.5	Alloy Core-Shell Structure	96
4.3	Red QDLED Devices	97
4.3.1	Red QDLED Device Architecture Development	97
4.3.2	Common Device Structures	99
4.4	Conclusion and Outlook	102
	References	104
5	Green Quantum Dot LED Materials and Devices	111
5.1	Background	111
5.2	Commonly Used Luminescent Layer Materials in Green QDLEDs	120
5.2.1	Discrete Core/Shell Quantum Dots	120
5.2.2	Alloyed Core/Shell Quantum Dots	121
5.2.3	Core/Multilayer Shell Quantum Dots	121
5.3	Development of Device Structures for Green QDLEDs	122
5.4	Factors Affecting the Performance of Green QDLEDs	125
5.4.1	QD Ligand Effect	126
5.4.2	QD Core/Shell Structure	129
5.4.3	Optimization of the Device Structure	130
5.4.4	Other Strategies to Improve Device Performance	132
5.5	Summary and Outlook	134
	References	135
6	Blue Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes	141
6.1	Introduction	141
6.2	Blue Quantum Dot Luminescent Materials	143
6.2.1	Blue Quantum Dots Containing Cadmium	145
6.2.2	Cadmium-Free Quantum Dots	149
6.2.2.1	Quantum Dots Based on InP	149
6.2.2.2	Quantum Dots Based on ZnSe	151

6.2.2.3	Quantum Dots Based on Cu	153
6.2.2.4	Quantum Dots Based on AlSb	155
6.3	Optimization of Charge Transport Layer (CTL)	155
6.3.1	Hole Transport Layer	156
6.3.2	Electron Transport Layer	161
6.4	Device Structure	164
6.5	Summary	166
	References	168
7	Near-Infrared Quantum Dots (NIR QDs)	173
7.1	Introduction of Near-Infrared Quantum Dots	173
7.2	Near-Infrared Quantum Dot Materials	174
7.2.1	Chalcogenide Lead Quantum Dots	176
7.2.2	Chalcogenide Cadmium Quantum Dots	177
7.2.3	Silicon Quantum Dots	178
7.3	Optimization of Near-Infrared Quantum Dot Materials	179
7.3.1	Regulation of Near-Infrared Quantum Dots by Ligand Engineering	179
7.3.2	Control of Near-Infrared Quantum Dots by Core/Shell Structure	180
7.3.3	Quantum Dots in the Matrix	181
7.4	Summary and Prospect	182
	References	183
8	White QDLED	187
8.1	Generation of White Light	187
8.2	Quantum Dots for White LEDs	188
8.2.1	Yellow–Blue Composite White Light Quantum Dots	189
8.2.1.1	Cadmium-Containing Yellow Light Quantum Dots	189
8.2.1.2	Cadmium-Free Yellow Light Quantum Dots	189
8.2.2	Three-Base Color Quantum Dot Composite	193
8.2.3	Quantum Dots with Direct White Light Emission	197
8.3	Summary Outlook	200
	References	203
9	Non-Cadmium Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Materials and Devices	207
9.1	Introduction	207
9.2	Quantum Dots and QDLED	208
9.2.1	InP	208
9.2.2	ZnSe	215
9.2.3	I-III-VI	218
9.3	Methods for Optimizing QDLED Performance	222
9.3.1	Ligand Engineering	223
9.3.2	Shell Engineering	224
9.3.3	QDLED Device Structure Optimization	225
9.4	Summary and Outlook	227
	References	230

10	AC-Driven Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes	235
10.1	Principle of Luminescence of DC and AC-Driven QDLEDs	236
10.2	Mechanism of Double-Emission Tandem Structure of AC QDLEDs	239
10.2.1	Field-Generated AC QDLEDs	240
10.2.2	Half-Field to Half-Injection AC QDLEDs	242
10.2.3	AC/DC Dual Drive Mode QDLEDs	244
10.3	Optimization Strategies for AC QDLEDs	245
10.3.1	Optimization of the Field-Induced AC QDLED	247
10.3.1.1	Dielectric Layer Optimization	248
10.3.1.2	Quantum Dot Layer Optimization	250
10.3.2	Optimization of Half-Field-Driven Half-Injected AC QDLEDs	251
10.3.2.1	Charge Generation Layer Optimization	254
10.3.2.2	Tandem Structure	254
10.3.2.3	AC/DC Dual Drive Mode QDLED Optimization	255
10.3.3	Conclusion and Future Direction of AC-QDLED	256
	References	257
11	Stability Study and Decay Mechanism of Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes	259
11.1	Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diode Stability Research Status	259
11.2	Factors Affecting the Stability of Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes	261
11.2.1	Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Layer	261
11.2.2	Hole Transport Layer	263
11.2.3	Electronic Transport Layer	265
11.2.4	Other Functional Layers	267
11.3	Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diode Efficiency Decay Mechanism	268
11.4	Aging Mechanisms of QDLEDs	271
11.4.1	Positive Aging	272
11.4.2	Negative Aging	273
11.4.3	Electron Transport Layer	274
11.4.4	Hole Transport Layer	275
11.4.5	QDs Layer	276
11.5	Characterization Technologies for QDLEDs	278
11.5.1	Transient Electroluminescence	279
11.5.2	Electro-Absorption (EA) Spectroscopy	281
11.5.3	In-Situ EL-PL Measurement	282
11.5.4	Differential Absorption Spectroscopy	283
11.5.5	Displacement Current Measurement DCM Technology	285
11.6	Outlook	286
	References	287
12	Electron/Hole Injection and Transport Materials in Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes	291
12.1	Introduction	291
12.2	Charge-Transport Mechanisms	292

12.3	Electron Transport Materials (ETMs) for QDLED	293
12.3.1	Metal-Doped ETMs	293
12.3.2	Metal Salt-Doped ETMs	296
12.3.3	Design of Composite Materials ETMs	296
12.3.4	Polymer-Modified ETMs	296
12.3.5	Inorganic Organic Hybrid ETMs	296
12.3.6	Double-Stacked ETMs	297
12.4	Electron Injection Materials for QDLED	299
12.5	Hole Transport Materials for QDLED	301
12.5.1	Doping of HTMs	305
12.5.2	Compositions of HTMS	309
12.5.3	New HTM Materials for QDLED	311
12.6	Hole Injection Materials for QDLED	315
12.7	Summary and Outlook	321
	References	322
13	Quantum Dot Industrial Development and Patent Layout	327
13.1	Introduction	327
13.2	Patent Layout	330
13.2.1	Nanosys	330
13.2.2	SAMSUNG	332
13.2.3	Nanoco	335
13.2.4	Najing Tech	338
13.2.5	CSOT	344
13.2.6	BOE	347
13.2.7	TCL	351
13.3	Summary and Outlook	355
	References	355
14	Patterning Techniques for Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes (QDLED)	361
14.1	Introduction	361
14.2	Photolithography	361
14.3	Micro-Contact Transfer	363
14.4	Inkjet Printing	366
14.5	Other Patterning Techniques	368
14.6	Conclusion	369
	References	370
	Index	373

Preface

Light has played a significant role in human life since the beginning of civilization, from the discovery of fire to the invention of electric light. As technology has advanced, so has the need for more efficient, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly lighting solutions. The advent of quantum dot light-emitting diodes (QDLEDs) is a significant step forward in the development of new-generation display and lighting technologies. QDLEDs have shown tremendous potential in various fields, including flat-panel displays and solid-state lighting.

This book aims to provide an in-depth understanding of the recent developments in colloidal quantum dot light-emitting materials and devices. It comprises 14 chapters that discuss key materials and optimization schemes for QDLEDs, exploring the relationship between material synthesis and device performance. The first chapter provides a brief overview of the history and development of quantum dots and QDLEDs, while the second chapter introduces the structure and operating principles of QDLEDs. Chapters 3–9 review the latest research results in red, green, blue, near-infrared, white, and cadmium-free quantum dot light-emitting materials and devices. Chapter 10 discusses the luminescence principles of AC-driven QDLEDs, and Chapter 11 delves into the stability study and decay mechanism of QDLEDs. Chapter 12 covers electron/hole injection and transport materials in QDLEDs, and Chapter 13 summarizes the industrialization development and patent layout of quantum dots, while Chapter 14 illustrates the patterning and printing technology in QDLED development.

The author of this book has extensively researched the latest progress and results in academia and industry, citing relevant contents, diagrams, and data in the references. This book is a collaborative effort, with contributions from researchers at various levels, including PhD and MS students, who have made significant contributions to the formation and drafting of the book content in Chinese. The publication of this book would not have been possible without the support and help of the relevant staff of the publisher and the assistance of ChatGPT during the editing and finalization of the book in English.

The author of this book would like to express his sincere gratitude to everyone who has contributed to the creation and publication of this book. The team of authors is thankful to their students, postdocs, research assistants, and staff for their hard work and dedication in researching and writing this first-edition book in Chinese.

They also appreciate the editors, translators, proofreaders, and designers who helped to bring the book to life in multiple languages and formats. Lastly, the authors extend their heartfelt thanks to their families, colleagues, and friends for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout this project.

This book is a culmination of the authors' efforts to share their knowledge and expertise on colloidal quantum dot light-emitting materials and devices with readers. It is hoped that this book will serve as a useful reference for researchers, scientists, engineers, students, and anyone interested in the development and application of QDLEDs. The authors believe that the contents of this book will help promote the research and development of QDLEDs and contribute to the progress of the lighting and display industries.

May 2023

Hong Meng
School of Advanced Materials
Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School
Peking University
Shenzhen
518055 China
E-mail: menghong@pku.edu.cn

1

History and Introduction of QDs and QDLEDs

Semiconductor nanocrystals (NCs) are the most widely studied of the nanoscale semiconductors. In early 1981, Alexei Ekimov and Alexander Efros, working at the S.I. Vavilov State Optical Institute and A.F. Ioffe Institute, Russia, discovered nanocrystalline, semiconducting quantum dots (QDs) in a glass matrix and conducted pioneering studies of their electronic and optical properties. Simultaneously, in 1985, Louis Brus at Bell Laboratories in Murray Hill, NJ, discovered colloidal semiconductor NCs (QDs), for which he shared the 2008 Kavli Prize in Nanotechnology. Over the years, QDs have been established as a new type of semiconductor nanocrystalline material whose size is smaller than or close to the excitonic Bohr radius of its bulk material. Common semiconductor materials include Si, Ge, compounds of group II–VI (e.g. CdSe), and compounds of group III–V (e.g. indium phosphide [InP]). When the size of these bulk semiconductor materials is larger than their exciton Bohr radii, electrons and holes are able to move freely and independently in the bulk materials. However, when the size of QDs is smaller than their own exciton Bohr radius, after being excited by light, an electron in the valence band will leap to the conduction band, leaving a hole in the valence band, and the electron and hole form an exciton due to Coulomb effect, which is confined in a space smaller than the exciton Bohr radius, and the electron and hole will be quantized, which is called the “quantum size effect” of nanomaterials. This quantum size effect allows QDs to have discrete energy levels, thus giving them unique physicochemical properties [1]. Colloidal semiconductor NCs have size-dependent particle properties, while their surface ligands make them solution-processable, which gives them a “particle-solution” duality.

Figure 1.1a shows the energy level diagrams of molecular, QD, and bulk semiconductor materials. The molecular orbital energy level diagram is composed of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), while the energy level diagram of QDs consists of some discrete energy levels, and the bulk semiconductor material consists of conduction and valence bands. Figure 1.1b illustrates the spatial extent of the confined domains of electrons and holes and the respective energy as a function of the density of electronic states for bulk semiconductor materials, two-dimensional




Figure 1.1 (a) Schematic diagram of energy levels of molecular, quantum dot, and bulk semiconductor materials; (b) spatial extent of the confined domain of electrons and holes and the respective energy as a function of the density of electronic states for bulk semiconductor materials, two-dimensional quantum sheets, one-dimensional quantum wires, and zero-dimensional quantum dots depending on the material size.

quantum sheets, one-dimensional quantum wires, and zero-dimensional QDs, depending on the size of the material. For a bulk semiconductor material, the dimensions in all three dimensions are larger than its own Bohr exciton radius, and electrons and holes are free to move independently in all three dimensions; while for a two-dimensional quantum sheet, the dimensions in two dimensions are larger than its own Bohr exciton radius, and electrons and holes are free to move independently in two dimensions; and for a one-dimensional quantum wire, the dimensions in one dimension are larger than its own Bohr exciton radius, while for a one-dimensional quantum wire, the dimensions in one dimension are larger than its own Bohr exciton radius, and electrons and holes are free to move independently in two dimensions. For a one-dimensional quantum wire, whose dimension in one dimension is larger than its own exciton radius, electrons and holes are free to move independently in one dimension; and for a zero-dimensional QD, whose dimension in all three dimensions is smaller than its own exciton radius, electrons and holes are restricted from moving freely and independently in all dimensions. In general, QD is a collective term for a two-dimensional quantum sheet, a one-dimensional quantum wire, and a zero-dimensional QD.




Figure 1.2 Preparation pathways for quantum dots: the “top-down” method and the “bottom-up” method.

1.1 Preparation Route of Quantum Dots

There are two completely different ways to prepare QDs, namely the “top-down method” and the “bottom-up method”, as shown in Figure 1.2. The top-down method is to prepare QDs by reducing the dimensionality and size of the bulk semiconductor material; the bottom-up method is to combine atoms or molecules into QDs by chemical synthesis. The former approach is limited by the ultra-fine processing technology, which cannot produce QDs below 10 nm at present, and the morphological regulation of QDs is also limited to some extent. The latter is mainly achieved through colloidal chemical synthesis, which can produce colloidal QDs of different sizes and shapes.

1.2 Light-Emitting Characteristics of Quantum Dots

1.2.1 Particle Size and Emission Color

QDs are semiconductor particles having a few nanometers in size, their optical and electronic properties are quite different from those of larger particles as a result of quantum mechanics. When the QDs are illuminated by UV light, an electron in the QD can be excited from the transition of an electron valence band to the conduction band. The excited electron can drop back into the valence band releasing its energy as light. The color of that light depends on the energy difference between the conduction band and the valence band. The QD absorption and emission features correspond to transitions between discrete quantum mechanically allowed energy levels in the box, which are reminiscent of atomic spectra.

1.2.2 Quantum Dot Optical Property

The QDs are defined as the semiconductor NCs with the quantum confinement. Thus, the semiconductor nanoparticles with dimensions QDs have the following features:

1.2.2.1 Quantum Surface Effect

The surface effect refers to the fact that as the particle size of QDs decreases, most of the atoms are located on the surface of QDs, and the specific surface area of quantum dots increases with decreasing particle size. Due to the large specific surface area of QDs (nanoparticles), the increase in the number of atoms in the surface phase leads to the lack of coordination, unsaturated bonds, and suspension bonds of surface atoms. This makes these surface atoms highly reactive, extremely unstable, and easily bonded with other atoms. This surface effect will cause the large surface energy and high activity of nanoparticles. The activity of surface atoms not only causes changes in the surface atomic transport and structural type of nanoparticles but also causes changes in the surface electron spin conformation and electronic energy spectrum. This feature offers a route to manipulate QD interactions with their environment. QDs can be tethered to proteins, antibodies, or other biologic species and used as optically addressable bio-labels. On the other hand, passivation of QD surface can improve the QD stability and increase the photoluminescent quantum efficiency. Surface defects lead to trapped electrons or holes, which in turn affect the luminescent properties of QDs and cause nonlinear optical effects. Metallic materials show various characteristic colors through light reflection. Due to the surface effect and size effect, the light reflection coefficient of nanoparticles decreases significantly, usually less than 1%, so nanoparticles are generally black in color, and the smaller the particle size, the darker the color, i.e. the stronger the light absorption ability of nanoparticles, showing a broadband strong absorption spectrum. Surface effect or ligand modification offers an additional tool for manipulating energy levels and electronic and optical properties.

1.2.2.2 Quantum Size Effect

Quantum size effect refers to the phenomenon that the electron energy levels near the Fermi energy level change from quasi-continuous to discrete energy levels, that is, when the particle size drops to a certain value, the energy level splits or energy gap widens, in other words, the energy spectrum becomes discrete, and as a result, the bandgap becomes size-dependent. When the change in energy level is greater than the change in thermal, optical, and electromagnetic energy, it leads to the magnetic, optical, acoustic, thermal, electrical and superconducting properties of nanoparticles being significantly different from those of conventional materials. This feature of QDs is that the energy gap changes with the increase in the grain size, the larger the grain size, the smaller the energy gap, and *vice versa*, the larger the energy gap. That is, the smaller the QD, the shorter the wavelength of light (blueshift), and the larger the QD, the longer the wavelength of light (redshift). According to the size effect of QDs, we can use the method of changing the size of the grain to regulate




Figure 1.3 Theoretical ideas from the early 1980s. (a) Calculated size-dependent shift of the lowest exciton levels in strong confinement (b) Spatial electronic state correlation diagram for bulk semiconductors and NCs. The bulk valence and conduction bands, together with shallow trap states, evolve into the NC molecular orbitals. Deeply localized defect states in the bulk have essentially the same energy as those in the NC. New localized surface states exist in the NC. Source: Efros and Brus [2]/American Chemical society.

the tuning of the light spectrum of the material and no longer need to change the chemical composition of QDs.

1.2.2.3 Quantum Confinement Effect

Quantum confinement can be observed once the diameter of a material is of the same magnitude as the de Broglie wavelength of the electron wave function. When the QD size of the particle reaches the nanometer scale, the electronic energy level near the Fermi energy level splits from the continuum to the discrete energy level, and their electronic and optical properties deviate substantially from those of bulk materials (Figure 1.3). For semiconductor materials, the size of the bandgap can be adjusted by changing the scale of the particles, thus changing the reliance on certain very costly semiconductor materials (Figure 1.4). Quantum confinement effects in QDs can also result in fluorescence intermittency, called “blinking” [4].

1.2.2.4 Quantum Tunnelling Effect

Quantum tunnelling effect is one of the fundamental quantum phenomena, i.e. when the total energy of a microscopic particle is less than the height of the potential barrier, considering the motion of a particle encountering a potential barrier above the energy of the particle, the particle is still able to cross this barrier, which indicates that on the other side of the barrier, the particle has a certain probability that the particle penetrates the potential barrier. For QDs, electron movement in the nanoscale space, the carrier transport process will have obvious electronic fluctuations, the emergence of quantum tunneling effect, and the energy level of the electron is discrete [5]. To achieve the quantum effect, it requires the formation of nano-conducting domain in a few μm to tens of μm tiny area. When the voltage is low, the electrons are confined to the nanoscale range of motion, and increasing the voltage can make the electrons cross the nanopotential barrier to form a sea of Fermi electrons, making the system conductive. The




Figure 1.4 QDs Confinement effect: As the size of the particles decreases, the electrons and electron holes come closer, and the energy required to activate them increases, which ultimately results in a blueshift in light emission. Source: Adapted from Kang and Min [3].

quantum tunneling effect occurs when electrons cross the quantum barrier from one quantum well into another quantum well, and this insulating to conducting critical effect is a characteristic of the QDs in a nano-ordered array system. Most QD solids exhibit complex charge-carrier interactions between carrier confinement, interfacial properties, and quantum tunneling effects in the nature of electronic coupling [6].

1.2.2.5 Quantum Optical Properties

Owing to the above-mentioned effects of QDs, the QD absorption and emission features correspond to transitions between discrete quantum mechanically allowed energy levels in the box, which are reminiscent of atomic spectra. QDs have intermediate properties between bulk semiconductors and discrete atoms or molecules. Their optoelectronic properties change as a function of both size and shape. Larger QDs of 5–6 nm diameter emit longer wavelengths, with colors such as orange, or red. Smaller QDs (2–3 nm) emit shorter wavelengths, yielding colors like blue and green. Whereas, the specific colors vary depending on the exact composition of the QD. It turns out that QDs have broad absorption spectra, meaning that they can be excited across a pretty expansive range of light wavelengths. Figure 1.5 shows the emission color of QDs dependent on their respective sizes.

Recent studies have also shown that the shape of the QD may play a role in the band-level energy of the QD, thus affecting the frequency of fluorescence emission




Figure 1.5 (a) Schematic representation of the quantum confinement effect on the energy level structure of a semiconductor material. The lower panel shows colloidal suspensions of CdSe NCs of different sizes under UV excitation. Source: Donegà [7]/Royal Society of Chemistry.; (b) Quantum confinement, leading to size-dependent optical and electrical properties that are distinct from those of parental bulk solids, occurs when the spatial extent of electronic wave functions is smaller than the Bohr exciton diameter. Source: García de Arquer et al. [8]/American Association for the Advancement of Science.




Figure 1.6 Pictures of quantum dots with various sizes and morphologies taken with transmission electron microscopy (a) quantum dots, (b) quantum rods, and (c) quantum sheets.

or absorption. The luminescence characteristics of QDs are closely related to their size and shape, the presence or absence of core-shell structure, and their surface chemistry. QDs of various sizes, morphologies, and core-shell structures can be synthesized by colloidal chemistry, as shown in the transmission electron microscope image in Figure 1.6.

The ligand type and ligand concentration on the surface of colloidal QDs also have an effect on their luminescence properties. For example, Peng et al. observed a shift of several nanometers in the peak position of the fluorescence emission peak of CdSe/CdS core-shell structured QDs ligated by fatty acid cadmium salt surface after ligand exchange treatment by aliphatic amines (Figure 1.7). The QDLED luminescence performance indexes such as external quantum yield and lifetime of CdSe/CdS core-shell QDs with different surface ligands are even more different when prepared




Figure 1.7 Photoluminescence and electroluminescence properties of CdSe/CdS-Cd(RCOO)₂ and CdSe/CdS-RNH₂ quantum dots. Schematic diagram of CdSe/CdS quantum dots with fatty acid cadmium surface ligands (and also a small amount of negatively charged carboxylates) undergoing ligand exchange to generate CdSe/CdS quantum dots with aliphatic amines. (b) Absorption and steady-state photoluminescence spectra. (c) Time-resolved photoluminescence spectrum with single-exponential decay lifetime (τ) and quantum yield (QY). Source: Pu et al. [9]/CC BY 4.0/Public Domain.

into QDLED devices [9]. Therefore, we should pay special attention to the surface chemical state when studying the luminescence properties of QDs.

The effect of crystal structure can also affect the emission color. Table 1.1 illustrates common bulk semiconductor physical properties. The crystal lattice of a QD semiconductor has an effect on the electronic wave function. As a result, QDs have a specific energy spectrum equal to the bandgap and a specific density of electronic states outside the crystal. Compared to conventional fluorophores, QDs have unique optical and electronic properties. Examples include high quantum yields and molar extinction coefficients, large effective Stokes shifts, broad excitation spectra, narrow emission spectra, a high resistance to reactive oxygen species, protection against material degradation, and nearly impervious to photobleaching.


In highly monodisperse colloidal QD samples, due to the quantum size effect, electrons and holes are subjected to quantum confinement effect, atomic-like structure of electronic states of QDs leads to the formation of discrete energy levels of narrow full-width at half maximum (FWHM) width of 20–80 meV at room temperature and symmetrical fluorescence emission peaks [10] (Figure 1.8).

1.2.3 Core–Shell Structure of QDs

For most core QDs, due to their low PLQYs and poor stabilities, they tend to exhibit a broad red-shifted emission, owing to the surface defects. The issues can be

Table 1.1 Common bulk semiconductor physical parameters.

Material name	Crystal structure type (300 K)	Category	E_{gap} (eV)	Lattice parameters (Å)	Density (g cm ⁻³)
ZnS	Sphalerite	II–VI	3.61	5.41	4.09
ZnSe	Sphalerite	II–VI	2.69	5.67	5.27
ZnTe	Sphalerite	II–VI	2.39	6.10	5.64
CdS	Wurtzite	II–VI	2.49	4.14/6.71	4.82
CdSe	Wurtzite	II–VI	1.74	4.3/7.01	5.81
CdTe	Sphalerite	II–VI	1.43	6.48	5.87
InP	Sphalerite	III–V	1.35	5.87	4.79
GaAs	Sphalerite	III–V	1.42	5.65	5.32
PbS	Rock salt	IV–VI	0.41	5.94	7.60
PbSe	Rock salt	IV–VI	0.28	6.12	8.26
PbTe	Rock salt	IV–VI	0.31	6.46	8.22


Figure 1.8 (a) TEM image of CdSe nanocrystal; (b) nanocrystal atomic structure; (c) energy level discreteness of the excited electron and the hole in an exciton entity. (d) PL spectra of CdSe–ZnS and PbS–CdS core/shell colloidal QDs. Source: Efros and Brus [2]/American Chemical Society.

addressed to improve efficiency and brightness of semiconductor NCs by growing shells of another higher-bandgap semiconducting material around them, resulting in core-shell QDs. The improvement is due to the reduced access of electrons and holes to non-radiative surface recombination pathways, and in other cases, due to the reduced Auger recombination (AR). Core-shell QDs (core@shell QDs) hold the promise of being emissive components through the precise control of shade and an improved color-rendering index. They exhibit improved optical properties over pure core-only QDs due to the growth of the shell around the QD core, which improves stability and photoluminescence efficiency. A fundamental feature of QDs is the tunability of their emission color through precise control of their size and composition, giving access to UV, visible, and near-infrared wavelengths. Continuing improvements in engineering core-shell QD structures, where a 1–10 nm binary, ternary, or alloyed semiconductor core particle is surrounded by a shell composed of one or more semiconductors of a wider bandgap, have resulted in materials with fluorescence quantum yields that approach unity, narrow symmetric spectral line shapes, and remarkable stabilities, as shown in Figure 1.9, for CdSe/ZnS QDs [11], CdSe/CdS quantum rods [12], CdSe quantum sheets [13]. Interestingly, the fluorescence emission peaks of QDs and quantum rods have a large Stokes shift with the peak position of their first exciton absorption peaks, while the fluorescence emission peaks of quantum sheets have almost no Stokes shift with the peak position of their first exciton absorption peaks. In addition, in terms of fluorescence lifetime, the fluorescence lifetime of rare-earth luminescent materials is at millisecond or microsecond level, while the fluorescence lifetime of QDs is usually in the range of milliseconds [14–16]. While the fluorescence lifetime of QDs is usually below 100 ns [17–19]. It has been found that the fluorescence emission of single QDs has severe blinking behavior, ranging from a few milliseconds to a few minutes, which is mainly due to the non-radiative compounding process caused by the “surface defects” of QDs [20–22].

Although the PL of II–VI QDs can be bright and stable under a reasonable range of excitation light intensities, core-shell QDs universally showed significant “blinking” under the high fluxes used in single QD fluorescence spectroscopy, whereby the PL of single QDs turns “on” and “off” under continuous excitation. This single QD “blinking” not only limits the use of QDs as single photon sources but also




Figure 1.9 Absorption and emission spectra of (a) CdSe/ZnS quantum dots, (b) CdSe/CdS quantum rods, and (c) CdSe quantum sheets.




Figure 1.10 (a) Emission intensity from a single CdSe/CdS/ZnS core/shell/shell quantum dot with a temporal resolution of 10 ms under pulsed excitation (405 nm; 10 MHz; $1 \mu\text{J cm}^{-2}$). The diameter of the quantum dot core is 3.2 nm and the shell has 8(2) CdS(ZnS) monolayers. (b) The corresponding “fluorescence lifetime intensity distribution” is a two-dimensional histogram. The plot is based on a 300 seconds experiment, which was divided into 30 000 10 ms time intervals. The effect of scintillation is highlighted. (c) Corresponding 1D intensity histogram with thresholds used for statistical analysis indicated by red lines. (d) Flicker periods (blue) and non-flicker periods (red) extracted from the 10 ms merged and thresholded data in (a) plot. (e–h) Same as (a–d), but using the same single photon data on which there are 3 ms time bins for statistics. Source: Rabouw et al. [22]/American Chemical Society.

potentially limits QDs from being a stable photoluminescent output source under relatively high fluxes. A different approach to blinking suppression was explored by growing a thick CdS or CdS/CdZnS/ZnS shell (>5 nm in shell thickness) onto CdSe core QDs with the idea of fully isolating the excited carriers from the QD surface and the surface environment [22]. However, these QDs generally do not have a very good size distribution, exhibit broad PL spectra, and display moderate PL QYs. More recently, synthesis of CdSe/CdS QDs at a high reaction temperature (310°C) using octanethiol as a sulfur precursor resolved many of these issues (Figure 1.10).

The terms Type I and Type II QDs are used to classify QDs based on their band structure and electron–hole recombination dynamics (Figure 1.11). Type I and Type




Figure 1.11 Comparison of Type I and Type II CdSe/ZnS core–shell quantum dots. Source: Vaneski [23]/Aleksandar Vaneski.

II QD materials are two different categories of semiconductor nanostructures that exhibit unique electronic and optical properties due to their quantum confinement effects. In a Type I QD, the electrons and holes are confined in the same region of the QD, resulting in a strong overlap of their wave functions. This leads to efficient radiative recombination of the electron–hole pairs, resulting in a high quantum yield and bright fluorescence. Examples of Type I QDs include CdSe, CdTe, and InP QDs. In contrast, in a Type II QD, the electrons and holes are spatially separated between two different regions of the QD due to a band offset at the interface. As a result, radiative recombination of the electron–hole pairs is less efficient than in a Type I QD, leading to lower quantum yields and longer-lived excitons. However, Type II QDs exhibit unique properties such as multiple exciton generation and efficient charge separation, which make them attractive for applications such as solar cells and photocatalysis. Examples of Type II QDs include CdS/CdTe, CdSe/CdTe, and CdSe/ZnTe QDs. Both Type I and Type II QDs have found numerous applications in areas such as optoelectronics, bioimaging, and sensing due to their unique properties and tunability.

Giant QDs are a type of QD structure that is characterized by a larger size and a more complex core–shell structure than traditional QDs. While traditional QDs typically have dimensions on the order of a few nanometers, giant QDs can have dimensions up to tens of nanometers. The larger size of giant QDs offers several advantages over traditional QDs. For example, giant QDs have a higher absorption cross-section, which allows them to absorb more light and generate more charge carriers per photon. They also have a higher quantum yield and longer emission lifetime due to reduced surface recombination and enhanced confinement of excitons. Giant QDs can be synthesized using various methods, including the core–shell approach, which involves the growth of a large core particle, followed by the deposition of multiple layers of shell material. The resulting core–shell structure can have a complex morphology, such as a core–shell–shell or a core–shell–shell–shell structure. Applications of giant QDs include bioimaging, sensing, and photovoltaics. In bioimaging, giant QDs can be used as contrast agents due to their bright and stable emission. In sensing, they can be used as probes for detecting biomolecules due to their high sensitivity and specificity. In photovoltaics, giant QDs can be used as absorbers in thin-film solar cells due to their high absorption efficiency and tunable bandgap.

1.2.4 Continuously Graded Core–Shell Structure of QDs (cg-QDs)

For most core/shell QDs, such as giant CdSe/CdS core–shell QDs (denoted CdSe/CdS g-QDs, where the small CdSe core is passivated by the large CdS shell) synthesized by the successive ion layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR) method, exhibit reduced surface trapping and AR. Notably, this core/shell QD shows a significant redshift of the emission peak, which indicates that the CdSe core wave function extends into the CdS shell region, i.e. the effective size of the core increases. In addition, the first absorption peaks of CdSe/CdS g-QDs are relatively suppressed, which is due to the fact that the bandgap of CdS is larger than that of CdSe, so the absorption mainly comes from the thick CdS shell. However, due to the large

bandgap of CdS (e.g. 2.42 eV; corresponding to an absorption onset of 512 nm), the emission position of CdSe/CdS g-QDs achieving reabsorption suppression is limited throughout the visible region compared to CdSe. In addition, g-QDs are typically prepared by the SILAR method, which requires multiple time-consuming steps to epitaxially deposit the desired shell material. It is clearly desirable to develop alternative, simple, robust, and convenient synthetic routes to prepare g-QDs with enhanced photostability and quantum yields. It has been recently demonstrated that the implementation of larger CdSe QDs and CdSe QDs with hierarchical shell structures can reduce the AR rate. In this context, cg-QDs with smooth confinement potentials hold promise for effectively reducing nonradiative AR, such as suppressing intraband conversion of additional carriers during Auger recombination and greatly balancing the charge injection in QDLEDs brought about by the fine nanostructure of cg-QDs. These QDs with chemical composition gradients possess excellent photostability due to the judicious incorporation of CdSe/Cd_{1-x}Zn_xSe_{1-y}S_y graded shells, which mitigate the lattice strain between CdSe and ZnS as shown in Figure 1.12 [24]. Furthermore, the Stokes shift (i.e. the difference between absorption and emission maxima) of these QDs with graded shell structures can be easily engineered by simply further adjusting the thickness of the outermost ZnS shell (i.e. redshift with increasing ZnS shell thickness), which is not observed in conventional CdSe/ZnS QDs because of their energy level mismatch. It is also noteworthy that CdSe/Cd_{1-x}Zn_xSe_{1-y}S_y/ZnS QDs are more advantageous than giant CdSe/CdS QDs because the bandgap of ZnS is larger than that of CdS and thus highly tunable due to the suppression of reabsorption when choosing the emission position in the visible region. All CdSe/Cd_{1-x}Zn_xSe_{1-y}S_y/ZnS




Figure 1.12 Fabrication methods of cg-QDs. (a) One-step synthesis of Cd_{1-x}Zn_xSe_{1-y}S_y cg-QDs with continuously graded nanocompositions along the whole radial direction. (b) Schematic illustration of continuously graded Cd_{1-x}Zn_xS cores with ZnS shell. The smoothness of the core/shell interface can be adjusted by changing the reaction temperatures during shell growth. (c) The energetic band alignments of Zn_{1-x}Cd_xCdSe/ZnSe/ZnSe_{1-x}/ZnS QDs. (d) Reaction schematics of CdSe/Cd_{1-x}Zn_xSe/ZnSe_{0.5}S_{0.5} QDs with multistep synthesis. (e) Structures and band alignments of CdSe/Cd_{1-x}Zn_xSe/ZnSe_{1-y}S_{1-y} QDs. Source: Shen et al. [24]/American Chemical Society.




Figure 1.13 Schematics of three types of cg-QDs. (a) cg-QDs with no distinct boundary between the core and shell layers; (b) cg-QDs with one or both of the core and shell are continuously graded along the radial direction; (c) cg-QDs with continuously graded intermediate layers between the core and shell of the QD. Source: Cheng et al. [25]/American Chemical Society.

QDs with different emission positions exhibit longer lifetimes compared to ordinary CdSe QDs, which implies a reduced AR rate as a direct result of electron wave function delocalization over the whole QD.

The CdS- and CdS-based cg-QDs reported so far can be divided into three main categories: (i) QDs that are continuously graded along the entire radial direction, i.e. no distinct boundary between the core and shell layers (Figure 1.13a); (ii) QDs in which one or both of the core and shell are continuously graded along the radial direction (Figure 1.13b); (iii) continuously graded intermediate layers between the core and shell of the QD (Figure 1.13c) [25]. It should be emphasized that although unintentional alloying of the core/shell interface has been achieved in the early stages of QD synthesis, it is now possible to precisely and quantitatively control the elemental composition ratio and the thickness of the cg-QD layer. In contrast to the shell layer growth method based on continuously graded cores, another widely used technique is to grow shell layers directly on discontinuous cores, which also exhibit good optical properties. Continuously graded CdSe/Cd_xZn_{1-x}Se/ZnSe_{0.5}S_{0.5} QDs were synthesized by Lim et al. and are expected to have great potential in DC-pumped lasers [26]. After dispersing the CdSe core in a mixed solution and controlling the constant injection of reactants, they obtained a continuously graded Cd_xZn_{1-x}Se shell on the CdSe core. In addition, they coated these CdSe/Cd_xZn_{1-x}Se particles with a thin ZnSe_{0.5}S_{0.5} shell to protect the QDs from degradation. Lim et al. also proposed a novel multishell QD consisting of a CdSe core coated with a continuously graded Cd_xZn_{1-x}Se inner shell followed by a ZnSe_yS_{1-y} barrier shell. The Cd_xZn_{1-x}Se inner shell is able to suppress the AR process, while the ZnSe_yS_{1-y} shell with a wide gap is able to optimize electron and hole injection in QDLEDs [25].

1.2.5 Typical QDs Materials

QDs are nanoscale semiconducting materials with unique optical and electronic properties. QDs can be made from a variety of materials, including metals, semiconductors, and organic molecules. Here are some common QD materials and their properties:

Cadmium selenide (CdSe): CdSe is one of the most commonly used QD materials.

It has a high quantum yield and narrow emission spectra, making it useful for a variety of applications, including biological imaging and photovoltaics;

Cadmium telluride (CdTe): CdTe has a similar bandgap to CdSe but a larger Bohr exciton radius, which makes it useful for photovoltaic applications. It is also more environmentally friendly than CdSe;

Indium arsenide (InAs): InAs QDs have a narrow bandgap, which makes them useful for infrared applications. They are also good for quantum computing and single-photon sources;

Lead sulfide (PbS): PbS QDs have a large Bohr exciton radius and can absorb light in the near-infrared region, making them useful for imaging and sensing applications;

Silicon (Si): Silicon QDs have a tunable bandgap and good biocompatibility, which makes them useful for biological imaging and sensing;

Perovskite QDs: Perovskite QDs are a new class of QDs that have recently gained attention due to their high quantum yield, tunable bandgap, and low toxicity. They have potential for use in solar cells, LEDs, and other optoelectronic devices.

These are just a few examples of many QD materials that have been developed. The choice of QD material depends on the specific application and desired properties.

Similar to the bulk semiconductor materials, typical QDs can also be classified as single-element QDs such as C and Si-based QDs (denoted as 1-D QDs), binary QDs (denoted as 2-D QDs), ternary QDs, and alloy QDs (denoted as 3-D QDs), as shown in Figure 1.14.

According to the specific structures and properties, the types of QDs can also be divided into core-shell QDs and Janus QDs. The former refers to a central core surrounded by a shell, while the latter refers specifically to a core with two different material “halves.”

Core-shell QDs are nanoscale semiconductor materials that have a core of one material surrounded by a shell of another material. The core of the QD typically consists of a semiconductor material with a small bandgap, such as CdSe or PbS, while the shell is made up of a material with a wider bandgap, such as ZnS or CdS.




Figure 1.14 Classification of QDs based on composition type and structure type.

The core-shell structure of QDs provides several advantages over simple core-only QDs. First, the shell material can act as a barrier to prevent the diffusion of impurities into the core, which can degrade the optical properties of the QD. Second, the shell can provide additional stability to the QD by preventing oxidation and protecting the core from environmental degradation. Third, the shell can modify the electronic properties of the QD, such as the exciton energy and electron-hole recombination rate, which can improve the photoluminescence efficiency of QD and make it more suitable for various applications in optoelectronics and biological imaging. Core-shell QDs have shown great potential in a wide range of applications, including bioimaging, light-emitting diodes, solar cells, and single-electron transistors.

Janus QDs are a type of QD with a core-shell structure, where one half of the shell is made of one material and the other half is made of a different material. The name “Janus” refers to the two-faced Roman god, who is often depicted with two different faces looking in opposite directions. Janus QDs can have a number of interesting properties, such as asymmetric surface charge distributions, anisotropic shapes, and tunable surface properties. These properties make Janus QDs attractive for a range of applications, including catalysis, sensing, and imaging. Janus QDs can be synthesized using a number of methods, including co-precipitation, SILAR, and reverse micelle methods. The choice of synthesis method can impact the properties of the resulting Janus QDs, such as their size, shape, and composition. Janus QDs are an exciting area of research with potential for a wide range of applications due to their unique properties and versatility in synthesis.

1.2.5.1 II–VI Semiconductor QDs

Semiconductor QDs of the II–VI family, especially those based on CdSe, exhibit a tunable band-edge emission covering the visible spectrum (480–650 nm). They have been the most extensively investigated QDs and are recognized as a model system. The full range of visible colors from emissive II–VI QDs has given rise to a series of images as shown in Figure 1.15a, highlighting the color tunability of core-shell QDs. Figure 1.15b illustrates the bandgap of the bulk semiconductors.




Figure 1.15 (a) Reported spectral range of emission for different semiconductor quantum dots. (b) Bandgap of the bulk semiconductors.

1.2.5.2 IV–VI Semiconductor QDs

Lead chalcogenide IV–VI semiconductor QDs are characterized by their tunable NIR emission from 600 to 2200 nm. PbSe and PbS NCs are widely explored including, the development of core–shell PbSe/CdSe and PbS/CdS QDs. These QDs exhibit intrinsically strong quantum confinement and have seen deep interest in various applications such as photodetectors, LEDs, and photovoltaic solar cells.

1.2.5.3 $\text{II}_3\text{--V}_2$ Semiconductor QDs

$\text{II}_3\text{--V}_2$ semiconductor QDs (e.g. Cd_3P_2 and Cd_3As_2) with efficient PL have only been reported very recently. Cd_3As_2 QDs with emission in the NIR range have the highest QY of 85%, an average size of 2.5 nm, and an emission wavelength of ~ 900 nm. Good-quality core–shell QDs for this class of NIR- and IR-emitting QDs would enhance their properties and are lacking thus far.

1.2.5.4 Ternary I–III–VI₂ Chalcopyrite Semiconductor QDs

Ternary semiconductor QDs such as the I–III–VI₂ chalcopyrites CuInSe_2 (CISe) and CuInS_2 (CIS) have emerged in the past few years as further alternative materials to cadmium-based systems without toxic elements. They are direct semiconductors and exhibit a relatively narrow bandgap (1.05 eV for CISe, 1.5 eV for CIS). Ternary CISe and CIS QDs were mainly studied because of their potential use in photovoltaics.

1.2.5.5 Single Element-Based Semiconductor QDs

In recent years, many studies on single-element QD materials in nonlinear optics and ultrafast lasers have been reported, such as graphene QDs, carbon QDs, black phosphorus (BP) QDs, sulfur QDs, silicon QDs, selenium QDs, boron QDs, and metal elemental QDs. In recent years, the interest has been in C-QDs and Si-QDs [27]. Carbon quantum dots, also commonly referred to as carbon dots (abbreviated as CQD), are carbon nanoparticles less than 10 nm in size with surface passivation possibility. As a new class of fluorescent carbon nanomaterials, CQD has high stability, good electrical conductivity, low toxicity, environmental friendliness, simple synthesis routes, and optical properties comparable to QDs. Most CQD research applications are in the fields of chemical sensing, biosensing, bioimaging, nanomedicine, photocatalysis, and electrocatalysis [28].

Silicon QDs are metal-free, biocompatible quantum dots with photoluminescence emission peaks that can be modulated from the visible to near-infrared spectral regions. These QDs have unique properties due to their indirect bandgap, including long-lived luminescent excited states and large Stokes shifts. Silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) have size-tunable photoluminescence similar to that observed for conventional QDs. By varying the size of the Si QDs, the LED emission can be tuned from deep red (680 nm) to orange/yellow (625 nm), although Si QDs-LEDs exhibit low efficiency and broad luminescence emission that can be improved by further studies [29].

1.3 Application of Quantum Dots on Display Devices

As QDs have adjustable emission peaks, high color purity, and high photoluminescence quantum yield, they have attracted more and more attention in academia and industry, and have now been commercially used in LCD backlight products [30, 31]. For white LED products in traditional LCD backlighting, yellow phosphor is used for down conversion, and its color gamut range only reaches 70% of the National Television Standards Committee (NTSC) standard [32]. While products with QDs as backlight can achieve high saturation and a color gamut greater than 100% of the NTSC standard. At present, Samsung, TCL, BOE, and other domestic and foreign display panel manufacturers have adopted QDs as the backlight technology solution for high-end display panels.

Compared to other display technologies such as LED-backlit LCDs and OLEDs, the design and manufacture of quantum dot light-emitting diodes (QDLEDs), which are directly driven by voltage, has greater appeal and development potential in terms of display technology specifications such as contrast, color gamut, response time, and viewing angle. In addition, QDLEDs have better temperature and moisture resistance than OLEDs and have better application prospects in the field of flexible devices.

1.3.1 The Basic Structure of QDLED

The schematic diagram of QDLED device structure is shown in Figure 1.16, which is a typical sandwich structure with multiple functional layers stacked together, in which indium tin oxide (ITO) material is used as the anode, s-NiO material as the hole transport layer, Al_2O_3 material as the electron blocking layer, QD light-emitting




Figure 1.16 Basic structure of QDLED: (a) schematic diagram of QDLED device structure, (b) schematic diagram of energy level of each functional layer of QDLED device.

material as the light-emitting layer, ZnO material as the electron transport layer, and Al material as the cathode. In QDLED, after passing voltage, electrons and holes are transferred from the cathode and anode to the QD light-emitting layer, respectively, and combine in the light-emitting layer to form electron–hole pairs (excitons) to produce photons. In addition, the electron-blocking layer composed of Al_2O_3 material is also required to assume the charge-blocking role to improve the luminescence efficiency of the QDLED device. The LUMO/conducting band bottom of the hole transport layer needs to be shallow enough for hole transport; the HOMO/valence band top of the electron transport layer needs to be deep enough for electron transport.

1.3.2 Main Factors Affecting QDLED Light Emission

The main challenge for QDLED commercialization is that active matrix QDLED (AM-QDLED) devices are difficult to achieve relatively high luminous efficiency and up-to-standard lifetime [33]. The main factors affecting their performance include charge carrier combination, fluorescence resonance energy transfer, and field effect quenching. The prerequisites for high external quantum efficiencies (EQEs) are a high PLQY and good balance between electron and hole injection currents to avoid CQD charging because the formation of charged excitons promotes nonradiative AR. During Auger decay, the electron–hole recombination energy is not released as a photon but instead transferred to the resident charge carrier. AR has been identified as at least one of the reasons for EQE droop – a decrease in device efficiency with increasing current density (Figure 1.17). Compositionally graded QD multishell heterostructures have been shown to impede AR because of creation of a “smooth” confinement potential that suppresses the intragap transition involving the energy-accepting carrier and thus minimizes the efficiency roll-off [35].

1.3.2.1 Auger Recombination (AR)

When an electron is excited to a higher energy level by a photon, a hole is created at the same time, forming an electron–hole pair; and when the electron–hole pair recombines, a photon is emitted. The recombination dynamics of these single




Figure 1.17 (a) In a bulk semiconductor, at $T = 0$ when the kinetic energies of all three charge carriers are zero, Auger recombination is not possible, as it violates translational momentum conservation. (b) In QDs, translational momentum conservation is relaxed, resulting in efficient Auger recombination even at $T = 0$. Source: Pietryga et al. [34]/American Chemical Society.

excitons in well-passivated QDs are dominated by intrinsic radiative recombination. The situation dramatically changes in the case when one or more extra carriers are introduced into the NC (for example, at higher current densities), which opens a new nonradiative pathway associated with AR. However, the energy can be directly transferred to the third carrier in this process, which is called AR, if a third carrier is present [36, 37]. In this process, the recombination energy of the e–h pair is not converted into radiation but instead is used to excite a third charge carrier (an electron or a hole) into a higher energy level [34]. In bulk materials, the AR is hindered because the conservation of energy and momentum leads to a threshold that limits the rate of AR (Figure 1.17a). In QDs, however, momentum conservation is relaxed, especially in strongly confined regions such as interfaces or defect sites. Therefore, QDs usually have efficient AR (Figure 1.17b).

In bulk semiconductors, due to the requirement of simultaneous conservation of energy and flat mobile quantities, AR is a temperature-activated process whose rate can be expressed as $r_A \propto \exp(-\gamma_A E_g/k_B T)$, where γ_A is a constant dependent on the electronic structure of a particular semiconductor. Based on this expression, the rate of Auger decay quickly decreases with increasing bandgap. As a result, AR is considerably less efficient in bulk wide-gap (e.g. CdSe and CdS) compared to narrow-gap (e.g. PbSe and PbS) materials. However, in QDs subject to strong spatial constraints, the translational momentum conservation is relaxed and replaced by a less stringent angular momentum conservation, making the AR in QDs unusually strong. The AR process is highly dependent on the size of the QDs [38]. Although there are different paths for the AR process in direct and indirect bandgap semiconductors, the increase or decrease of the volume can be applied to QDs with direct and indirect bandgap structures. In the former case, AR is a three-particle process, while in the latter case, photons require additional emission or absorption to satisfy momentum conservation. Relevant calculations also predict this size-dependent AR [39]. Auger decay rates of multiexciton states are expected to progressively increase with the number of charge carriers in a QD due to the increase in both the number of recombination pathways shown in Figure 1.18. The simplest form of multicarrier states in a QD is




Figure 1.18 Auger recombination of (a) a negative trion (X^-), (b) a positive trion (X^+), and (c) a biexciton ($2X$). The energy released during e–h recombination is transferred to an electron for X^- or to a hole for X^+ . In the case of biexciton Auger recombination, the energy of the e–h pair can be transferred to either an electron (X^- pathway) or a hole (X^+ pathway). Source: Pietryga et al. [34]/American Chemical Society.

a charged exciton composed of one exciton plus an extra electron or hole, which is referred to as a negative (X^-) or a positive (X^+) trion, respectively. The nonradiative Auger process is also the dominant recombination mechanism of a biexciton, which is a neutral state consisting of two e-h pairs.

AR is also related to the scintillation of QDs. The scintillation phenomenon of QDs, also known as fluorescence intermittency, is a random switch between the strong emitting state (ON) and the dark emitting state (OFF). This phenomenon has been studied by many research groups, different theoretical models have been developed and attempts have been made to explain this physical phenomenon using theoretical models [20, 40, 41]. One of the most widely accepted theories is the charge/discharge model, which attributes the scintillation to excess carriers that cause a radiation-free oscillatory process that affects the overall emission [42]. However, this model has also been challenged in some studies where size effects were not found to exist [43] and cannot explain the ultrafast nonradiative combination [44, 45]. The physical mechanism of the oscillation process and scintillation needs to be further investigated, and therefore the oscillation mechanism of the device efficiency decrease needs to be further explored.

Since the charge imbalance is expected to increase with the applied voltage, the deleterious effect of Auger decay should also increase. Therefore, AR of charged QDs has been used to explain the so-called efficiency “drop” or “roll-off” phenomenon, i.e. the decrease in EQE at higher currents typically observed in QDLEDs. These considerations suggest that the optimization of QDs applied in QDLEDs may involve not only the improvement of their single-exciton PLQYs but also the yield of charged and multi-exciton states, the latter of which can be achieved by developing methods to reduce AR.

1.3.2.2 Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer

The exciton energy is transferred to the defect state and the third carrier during the OSI combination process, while the exciton energy is transferred to the other radiative state during the fluorescence resonance energy transition [45]. In hybrid organic/colloidal QDLEDs, excitons are formed in organic molecules around the QD film, and exciton energy is transferred to the QDs by resonance. In addition to this layer-to-layer exciton energy transfer, there is another phenomenon of fluorescence resonance energy transfer between dots, which leads to the so-called “self-quenching” [46].

The fluorescence resonance energy transfer is influenced by the distance, so that its effective range is at the nanometer level. By assuming that the QDs are uniformly distributed, the distance between the dots can be calculated. Whereas in the solid state, the luminescent layer is usually a closed film of QDs. Since the average face-to-face distance between dots, in a QD film is usually within an energy transfer window, this structure facilitates fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Exciton diffusion among QDs within EMLs can also be responsible for efficiency losses in QDLEDs. This means that excitons can diffuse in QD films by: (i) nonradiative Forster resonant energy transfer (ET), with an efficiency that varies with dot-to-dot distance, d , as $\sim d^{-6}$; or (ii) reabsorption of emitted photons by neighboring QDs with

a rate varying as $\sim d^{-2}$. Exciton diffusion intensifies the contribution to emission efficiency loss of fast nonradiative trapping, even if this occurs only in a minority of QDs.

Traditional fluorescence electrophoresis studies using organic, biological, or inorganic materials as donors or acceptors in solution are also referred to as homogeneous transfer. In homogeneous transfer, the energy transfer process occurs between the same materials [47]. Primitive QD energy transfer studies have shown that a recognizable redshift is produced in the emission spectrum, which implies a transfer of electronic energy [48]. Due to the inhomogeneous size distribution of the QDs, the non-radiative energy transfer leads to a burst of blue luminescence and enhanced red luminescence; this results in an overall redshift in the emission spectrum. Related theoretical calculations investigated the effect of size distribution on the spectral shape and found that the increase in size nonuniformity leads to an increase in spectral shift and spectral narrowing. It has also been reported that the fluorescence resonance energy transfer process may contribute to the self-bursting of fluorescence in QDLEDs [49]. Although the so-called reduction of self-burst is associated with an increase in the inter-dot spacing, the potential mechanism of the grating contribution to the reduction of quantum efficiency remains uncertain.

1.3.2.3 Surface Traps and Field Emission Burst

For a singly excited QD, nonradiative losses are dominated by recombination at structural defects that are most often associated with the QD surface. Typically, a continuous decrease in EQE is observed in many types of QDLEDs as the current density increases, a phenomenon known as efficiency roll-off or efficiency degradation [50]. Some studies have specifically measured the efficiency roll-off of the EQE at current density. Longitudinal studies of efficiency roll-off typically quantify a range of devices by comparing parameters such as critical current density or critical luminance, and the fitted trends show that it is difficult to achieve the desired relationship between efficiency and luminance.

To understand the cause of efficiency roll-off in QDLEDs, Shirasaki et al. utilized an intelligent device design [51]. It was shown that electric fields alone can contribute to efficiency roll-off and that the drop in EQE can be predicted using a quantitative approach. Their idea builds on the relationship between the offset and intensity of the field-related spectrum. After considering the contributions of charge leakage and charge-induced Osher combinations, they propose that high field strength is a major factor in the drop in QDLED luminescence efficiency. By applying an anti-deflection field while other factors are kept constant. They observed the emission spectra at different electric field strengths and then measured the energy transfer of the emitted photons and compared them with the luminescence intensity at different bias voltages. By analyzing transient fluorescence emission spectra, they concluded that the reduced emissivity could be the cause of the efficiency roll-off.

1.3.3 History of QDLED Development

At the end of the twentieth century, few people were optimistic about the application prospects of QDLEDs because they showed only very low EQE in the early days of




Figure 1.19 Outlines the technological development of QDLEDs in recent years (1994–2023).

electroluminescent displays. However, with the gradual maturation of OLED technology after 2000, the development of QDLEDs was inspired by the optimization of OLED structures and the working mechanisms. Since then, QDLED technology has developed rapidly, and its performance has been improving, approaching the requirements for commercial applications. In order to have an intuitive understanding of the development process of QDLED display technology, we will then briefly introduce some representative technological breakthroughs and innovative ideas in the development of QDLED display technology. It is worth noting that the continuous innovation and performance improvement of QDs and charge transport layer materials play a crucial role in the development of QDLED display technology.

The technology road map development of QDLED is illustrated in Figure 1.19. The first time reported QDLED (Type I QDLED: Organic HTM) based on a bilayer structure consisting of hole transport polymer PPV and CdSe NC active layers was reported in *Nature* by Alivisatos et al. in 1994 [52]. In 1995, the research group at MIT reported a single-layer CdSe-QDLED with the NCs incorporated into thin films $\sim 1000 \text{ \AA}$ of polyvinylcarbazole PVK and an oxadiazole derivative *t*-Bu-PBD and sandwiched between ITO and Al electrodes. These devices exhibited very small values of EQEs of 0.001–0.01% and 0.0005%, though the room-temperature electroluminescence (EL) is nearly identical to the PL, with the same peak positions and similar linewidths. Because of the low conductivity of the organic matrix, and the poor conduction through QD multilayers led to an injected charge imbalance, the driving voltage is very high starting at 17 V, and consequently, the luminescence efficiency of these early devices never exceeded 0.10 cd A^{-1} , and considerable parasitic polymer-related emission observed in addition to QD emission [53].

The deficiencies of these devices likely originated from the charge imbalance, which led to the formation of charged excitons decaying not by radiative processes but by nonradiative AR (see Section 11.3). In the early twenty-first century, inspired by the design of OLED device structures, Coe et al. demonstrated a QDLED device

with an OLED-like device structure, in which some organic materials used in OLED devices were employed as the electron transport layer and hole transport layer materials for QDLED devices (Type II QDLED: Organic HTM and ETM), where a single monolayer of QDs was sandwiched between two organic thin films via phase segregation between the QD aliphatic capping groups and the aromatic organic materials during the spinning process, which allowed them to produce a well-defined EML/HTL bilayer and helped regulate the electron flow into the QDs. This hybrid light-emitting diode QDLED showed a 25-fold improvement in luminescence efficiency (1.6 cd A^{-1} at 2000 cd m^{-2}) over the best previously reported QDLED at that time. The application of organic materials as charge transport layer materials and the formation of QD monolayers are believed to be responsible for the increased device efficiency. In this type of QDLED devices, exciton formation is mainly achieved by the Forster resonance energy transfer (FRET) process, which is very different from direct charge injection [10]. For the FRET process, excitons are first formed in the donor electron transport layer, and then the exciton energy is transferred to the QDs via non-radiative dipole-dipole coupling. Due to the decoupling of the emission process from the charge transport, such QDLED devices can obtain an EQE of 0.5–5% [3]. Despite the considerable progress in device performance achieved with all-organic CTLs, peak EQE was still far below the theoretical limit of $\sim 20\%$, determined by optical outcoupling. Imbalanced charge injection remained a significant factor; the band positions of traditionally used organic materials are not ideally suited for CdSe-based QDs. Specifically, the large offset from the LUMO of typical organic ETLs and the QD conduction band edge means that electron injection is strongly energetically favorable, even without an applied bias. At the same time, holes in the same all-organic device structure face an energetic barrier in transiting from the HTL to the QDs, requiring a significant overpotential. The prevalence of the electron-over-hole injection current leads to an excess number of electrons in the emitting layer. The resulting negatively charged dots are then susceptible to nonradiative AR, thus lowering the overall EQE. The reason for the difficulty in improving the efficiency of such devices is the difficulty in achieving a tightly packed pinhole-free monolayer to prevent carrier leakage through the QDs. In addition, the low conductivity of organic materials limits the carrier's injection [54].

A drawback to the above-mentioned device is the utilization of the organic charge support layers in QDLEDs, which creates an unwanted contribution to the light emission of the device. To overcome this, the use of inorganic charge transport materials as both hole and electron transport materials comprise an inorganic metal oxide semiconductor such as the ETL and an inorganic semiconductor such as the HTL. This new design idea for QDLED structures is realized by replacing organic charge transport layer materials with inorganic materials. According to Caruge's study, sputtered zinc oxide, tin oxide, and nickel oxide can be used as n-type and p-type charge transport layer materials, respectively. Due to the superior conductivity of metal oxides over organic transport materials, these inorganic QDLED devices (Type III QDLED: inorganic HTM and ETM) all exhibit high current densities up to 4 A cm^{-2} . However, the luminescence efficiency is low ($\text{EQE} < 0.1\%$) due to damage to the QD layer during the sputtering of the upper layer of ZnO:SnO_2 and

insufficient hole injection due to the excessive barrier between NiO_x and QDs. In addition, exciton dynamics studies show that charge transport between QDs and adjacent metal oxides tends to occur spontaneously, leading to exciton bursts and lower device efficiency [55]. However, such all-inorganic QDLED devices are still attractive because the excellent inherent stability of metal oxides contributes to the device's lifetime. In addition, with the development of sol-gel method and NC synthesis, solution-processed metal oxides can reduce the damage to the underlying QDs. The brightness of the device was comparable to that of a Type-II device, albeit suffering from the relatively low efficiency attributed to additional quenching by the free carriers in the $\text{ZnO}:\text{SnO}_2$ ETL, yet with the benefit of improved shelf-life robustness inherent in the environmental stability of metal oxide charge transport layers [56]. The great progress was achieved using all-solution-processed QDLED device of Type IV structure consisting of a quantum dot emissive layer sandwiched between an organic hole transport layer and an electron transport layer of ZnO nanoparticles. The design of hybridized structures (Type IV QDLED: Organic HTM and Inorganic ETM) of inorganic electron transport material layers and organic hole transport material layers has been a hot research topic in the field of QDLED devices in the last decade in order to exploit the high conductivity of n-type metal oxides and the superior hole transport capability of organic materials [57–59]. In 2011, Qian et al. introduced full solution-processed QDLEDs based on ZnO nanoparticle electron transport layer materials. the resulting red, green, and blue (R/G/B) QDLEDs showed good performance with EQE peaks of 1.7%, 1.8%, and 0.22% and maximum luminance of 31 000, 68 000, and 4200 cd m^{-2} [60]. Moreover, with the incorporation of the ZnO nanoparticles as ETM, these unencapsulated devices have operating lifetimes exceeding 250 hours in low vacuum with an initial brightness of 600 cd m^{-2} . Since then, due to the advantages of high mobility, suitable electronic structure, and simple synthesis process, ZnO nanoparticles have been widely used as electron transport layer materials in QDLEDs, which has led to a leap forward in the performance of QDLED devices [4]. Under this hybrid structure, the inverted QDLED devices with QDs using ITO cathodes at the bottom reached a record of 18% EQE, which greatly exceeded the previous research results [3]. In 2014, Peng et al. achieved the first high-efficiency hybrid QDLED device (ITO/PEDOT:PSS/poly-TPD/PVK/QDs/ZnO/Ag) with an EQE of more than 20% by using a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) insulating electron blocking layer between the QD emitting layer and the ZnO electron transport layer. Since then, the improvement of the charge transport layer has received increasing attention and is considered as one of the effective strategies to achieve high-performance QDLED devices [61]. The improvement of the charge transport layer has since received increasing attention and is considered as one of the effective strategies to achieve high-performance QDLED devices.

In addition to the development of charge transport materials and modulation of device structures, it is necessary to prepare high-quality QDs by carefully controlling their nanostructure and composition in order to achieve high-performance QDLEDs. Through the fine-tuning of nanostructure of QDs, especially the composition of the graded intermediate shell and the thickness of the outer shell,

Qian et al. designed and synthesized QDs with a graded, alloyed intermediate shell ($\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Se}_{1-y}\text{S}_y$) sandwiched between the Cd- and Se-rich core and the Zn- and S-rich outer shell QDs. They have successfully fabricated a full series of blue, green, and red quantum dot-based light-emitting devices (QDLEDs) with solution process, all with high external quantum efficiencies over 10%. The devices have maximum current and external quantum efficiencies of 63 cd A^{-1} and 14.5% for green QDLEDs, 15 cd A^{-1} and 12.0% for red devices, and 4.4 cd A^{-1} and 10.7% for blue devices, all of which are well maintained over a wide range of luminance from 100 to $10\,000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$. More importantly, the device half-lifetimes for the green and red QDLEDs showed over 90 000 and 300 000 hours, respectively, for a brightness of 100 cd m^{-2} , although the blue QDLEDs still has a relatively short lifetime of only 1000 hours. These QDLEDs also feature extremely low turn-on voltages (1.5–2.6 V), narrow full-width at half maximum (FWHM of $<30 \text{ nm}$) of the EL peaks, highly saturated pure colors, and high brightness [62].

By incorporating ZnO NPs as the electron transport layer, and highly controlled QD synthesis, Manders et al. reported in SID 2015 the colloidal quantum dot-based hybrid light-emitting diodes (QDLEDs), which exhibited high maximum current and power efficiency of 6.1 cd A^{-1} and 5.0 lm W^{-1} for blue, 70 cd A^{-1} and 58 lm W^{-1} for green, and 12.3 cd A^{-1} and 17.2 lm W^{-1} for red emissions. It was the first time to achieve a green QDLED with EQE over 20% (21%) and 82 cd A^{-1} . These peak efficiencies occurred at a desirable luminance of 1000 cd m^{-2} and low voltage of 3.5 V [63]. High-efficiency blue CdSe/ZnS QDs were reported in 2017. Using small-size ZnO NPs, the authors have obtained a maximum current efficiency (CE) of 14.1 cd A^{-1} and a maximum EQE of 19.8 % for QDLEDs with an EL peak at 468 nm, with the CIE 1931 color coordinates (0.136, 0.078) [64]. Most previous work has focused on CdSe-based QDs, which present severe toxicity and environmental issues. To improve the operating stability of the devices and to replace their toxic cadmium composition with a more environmentally benign alternative QD, a uniform indium phosphide (InP)-based materials core and a highly symmetrical core/shell QD exhibit narrow FWHM; 35 nm at 630 nm with a quantum yield of approximately 100% was developed by Samsung Group. The device based on InP/ZnSe/ZnS QDs showed a theoretical maximum EQE of 21.4%, a maximum brightness of $100\,000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$, and an extremely long lifetime of a million hours at 100 cd m^{-2} . The InP-based QDLEDs will aid in fabricating Cd-free QDLEDs for next-generation displays [65].

Efforts have been made to improve the efficiency and lifetime of QDLEDs. In 2019, Shen et al. used a “low-temperature core and high-temperature shell growth” synthesis method to synthesize CdSe/ZnSe core/shell QDs and applied Se throughout the core/shell region in the presence of an alloy bridging layer at the core/shell interface, resulting in balanced charge injection and high current density at low voltage. As a result, QDLEDs based on CdSe/ZnSe core/shell structures for red, green, and blue quantum dot light-emitting diodes (ITO/PEDOT-PSS/TFB/QDs/ZnO/Al) showed maximum external quantum efficiencies of 21.6%, 22.9%, and 8.05% with corresponding luminances of 13 300, 52 500, and $10\,100 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$. The peak luminance of these devices was also 356 000, 614 000, and $62\,600 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$. This work represents