# PROCESS SYSTEMS AND MATERIALS FOR CO<sub>2</sub> CAPTURE

PROCESSES

# MODELLING, DESIGN, CONTROL AND INTEGRATION

Edited by Athanasios I. Papadopoulos and Panos Seferlis

MATERIALS



PLANTS

Process Systems and Materials for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture

# Process Systems and Materials for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture

Modelling, Design, Control and Integration

Edited by

Athanasios I. Papadopoulos Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI), Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH), Thermi-Thessaloniki, Greece

and

Panos Seferlis Department of Mechanical Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

# WILEY

This edition first published 2017 © 2017 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permision to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

The right of Athanasios I. Papadopoulos and Panos Seferlis to be identified as the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

#### Registered Offices

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Office

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

#### *Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty*

The publisher and the authors make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for every situation. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of experimental reagents, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each chemical, piece of equipment, reagent, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. The fact that an organization or website is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the author or the publisher endorses the information the organization or websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this works was written and when it is read. No warranty may be created or extended by any promotional statements for this work. Neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for any damages arising herefrom.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Papadopoulos, Athanasios I., 1977– editor. | Seferlis, Panagiotis, 1967– editor.
Title: Process systems and Materials for CO<sub>2</sub> capture : modelling, design, control and integration / edited by Athanasios I. Papadopoulos, Panos Seferlis.
Description: First edition. | Hoboken, NJ : John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2017. | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2016051990| ISBN 9781119106449 (cloth) | ISBN 9781119106425 (epub)
Subjects: LCSH: Carbon sequestration. | Sequestration (Chemistry) | Carbon dioxide–Environmental aspects. | Combustion gases. | Greenhouse gas mitigation.
Classification: LCC SD387.C37 M38 2017 | DDC 628.5/3–dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2016051990
Cover design by Wiley
Cover image: Getty and shutterstock

Set in 10/12pt Warnock by SPi Global, Pondicherry, India

# Contents

About the Editors xvii List of Contributors xix Preface xxvii

Section 1 Modelling and Design of Materials 1

1The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design<br/>of Carbon-capture Solvents3

Edward Graham, Smitha Gopinath, Esther Forte, George Jackson, Amparo Galindo, and Claire S. Adjiman

v

- 1.1 Introduction 3
- 1.2 Predictive Thermodynamic Models for the Integrated Molecular and Process Design of Physical Absorption Processes 6
- 1.2.1 An Introduction to SAFT 6
- 1.2.2 Group Contribution (GC) Versions of SAFT 10
- 1.2.3 Model Development in SAFT 12
- 1.2.4 SAFT Models for Physical Absorption Systems 14
- 1.3 Describing Chemical Equilibria with SAFT 16
- 1.3.1 Chemical and Physical Models of Reactions 17
- 1.3.2 Modelling Aqueous Mixtures of Amine Solvents and CO<sub>2</sub> 21
- 1.4 Integrated Computer-aided Molecular and Process Design using SAFT 24
- 1.4.1 CAMPD of Physical Absorption Systems 25
- 1.4.2 CAMPD of Chemical Absorption Systems 28
- 1.5 Conclusions 29 List of Abbreviations 30 Acknowledgments 31 References 31
- 2 Methods and Modelling for Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 43 Philip Fosbøl, Nicolas von Solms, Arne Gladis, Kaj Thomsen, and Georgios M. Kontogeorgis
- 2.1 Introduction to Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture: The Role of Solvents and Some Engineering Challenges 43
- 2.1.1 The Complex Physical Chemistry of CO<sub>2</sub> and its Mixtures 45

vi Contents

- 2.1.2 The Corrosive Nature of  $CO_2$  46
- 2.1.3 Which is the Best CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Method? 46
- 2.1.4 Lack of Pilot Plant Data 48
- 2.1.5 CO<sub>2</sub> Storage 48
- 2.1.6 The Fragmentation of Science and Technology 49
- 2.2 Extended UNIQUAC: A Successful Thermodynamic Model for CCS Applications *49*
- 2.2.1 Equilibrium Approach 49
- 2.2.2 Rate-based Modelling 55
- 2.2.3 Rate-based Model Validation 58
- 2.3 CO<sub>2</sub> Capture using Alkanolamines: Thermodynamics and Design 60
- 2.4 CO<sub>2</sub> Capture using Ammonia: Thermodynamics and Design 61
- 2.5 New Solvents: Enzymes, Hydrates, Phase Change Solvents 62
- 2.5.1 Enzymes in CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 62
- 2.5.2 Gas Hydrates in CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 66
- 2.5.3 Phase Change Solvents 68
- 2.6 Pilot Plant Studies: Measurements and Modelling 69
- 2.7 Conclusions and Future Perspectives 69 List of Abbreviations 74
  - Acknowledgements 74

References 74

3 Molecular Simulation Methods for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Gas Separation with Emphasis on Ionic Liquids 79

Niki Vergadou, Eleni Androulaki, and Ioannis G. Economou

- 3.1 Introduction 79
- 3.1.1 Importance of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Gas Separation 79
- 3.1.2 Introduction to Ionic Liquids 80
- 3.1.3 How Do We Design Processes? 80
- 3.1.4 Brief Introduction to Molecular Simulation 81
- 3.1.5 Molecular Simulation of Ionic Liquids with Emphasis on CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Gas Separation 83
- 3.2 Molecular Simulation Methods for Property Calculations 83
- 3.3 Force Fields 85
- 3.3.1 Force Fields for  $CO_2$  and Other Gases 85
- 3.3.2 Force Fields for Ionic Liquids 86
- 3.4 Results and Discussion: The Case of the IOLICAP Project 87
- 3.4.1 Brief Description of the Project 87
- 3.4.2 The Role of Molecular Simulation and Modeling in IOLICAP 88
- 3.4.3 Force Field Development and Optimization 89
- 3.4.4 Property Prediction for Pure ILs 92
- 3.4.5 Permeability and Selectivity of Ionic Liquids to Gases 98
- 3.4.6 Other Applications of ILs for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Separation Technology 100

3.5 Future Outlook 101 List of Abbreviations 102 Acknowledgments 103 References 103

Contents vii

- 4 Thermodynamics of Aqueous Methyldiethanolamine/Piperazine for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 113
  - Peter T. Frailie, Jorge M. Plaza, and Gary T. Rochelle
- 4.1 Introduction 113
- 4.2 Model Description 114
- 4.2.1 Equilibrium Constant Calculations in Aspen Plus<sup>®</sup> 114
- 4.2.2 Activity Coefficient Calculation in Aspen Plus<sup>®</sup> 114
- 4.3 Sequential Regression Methodology 115
- 4.4 Model Regression 115
- 4.4.1 Amine/H<sub>2</sub>O 115
- 4.4.2 MDEA/H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> 118
- 4.4.3 PZ/H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> Regression 120
- 4.4.4 MDEA/PZ/H<sub>2</sub>O/CO<sub>2</sub> Regression 126
- 4.4.5 Generic MDEA/PZ Mixture 133
- 4.5 Conclusions 134 List of Abbreviations 134 Acknowledgements 134 References 135

### 5 Kinetics of Aqueous Methyldiethanolamine/Piperazine for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 137

- Peter T. Frailie and Gary T. Rochelle
- 5.1 Introduction 137
- 5.2 Methodology 138
- 5.2.1 Hydraulic Properties 138
- 5.2.2 Mass Transfer Correlations 139
- 5.2.3 Reactions and Reaction Rate Constants 140
- 5.2.4 Sensitivity Analysis 142
- 5.3 Results 143
- 5.3.1 Reaction Constants and Binary Diffusivity 143
- 5.3.2 Sensitivity Analysis 146
- 5.3.3 Generic Amines 148
- 5.3.4 Rate-based Stripper Modeling 149
- 5.4 Conclusions 150 List of Abbreviations 151 Acknowledgements 151 References 151

#### 6 Uncertainties in Modelling the Environmental Impact of Solvent Loss through Degradation for Amine Screening Purposes in Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 153 Sara Bady Stauros Banadokonstantakis, Bohart Bannott, Craama Buyty

Sara Badr, Stavros Papadokonstantakis, Robert Bennett, Graeme Puxty, and Konrad Hungerbuehler

- 6.1 Introduction 153
- 6.1.1 Solvent Loss in Amine-based PCC 155
- 6.1.2 Solvent Production 155
- 6.2 Oxidative Degradation 156

viii Contents

- Conceptual Uncertainties in Experimental Procedures 156 6.2.1
- 6.2.2 Ouantitative Uncertainties in Experimental Procedures 160
- 6.3 Environmental Impacts of Solvent Production 165
- Conclusions and Outlook 167 6.4 List of Abbreviations 168 References 169

#### 7 Computer-aided Molecular Design of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Solvents and Mixtures 173

# Athanasios I. Papadopoulos, Theodoros Zarogiannis, and Panos Seferlis

- 7.1 Introduction 173
- 7.2 Overview of Associated Literature 176
- 7.2.1 Systematic Approaches 176
- 7.2.2 Empirical Approaches 177
- 7.3 Optimization-based Design and Selection Approach 178
- 7.3.1 Underlying Rationale 178
- 7.3.2 Design of Pure Solvents 179
- 7.3.3 Screening of Solvent Mixtures 180
- 7.4 Implementation 183
- Design and Selection of Pure Aqueous Amine Solvents 183 7.4.1
- 7.4.2 Selection of Amine Mixtures 185
- 7.5 Results and Discussion 187
- 7.5.1 Pure Solvents 187
- 7.5.2 Solvent Mixtures 192
- 7.6 Conclusions 196 List of Abbreviations 196 Acknowledgements 197 References 197

#### Ionic Liquid Design for Biomass-based Tri-generation System with Carbon 8 Capture 203

Fah Keen Chong, Viknesh Andiappan, Fadwa T. Eljack, Dominic C. Y. Foo, Nishanth G. Chemmangattuvalappil, and Denny K. S. Ng

- 8.1 Introduction 203
- Bio-energy and Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) 203 8.1.1
- 8.1.2 Ionic Liquids 204
- 8.2 Formulations to Design Ionic Liquid for BECCS 205
- 8.2.1 Input–Output Modelling for Bio-energy Production 206
- Disjunctive Programming for Discretization of Continuous 8.2.2 Variables 207
- 8.2.3 Optimal Ionic Liquid Design Formulation 208
- 8.3 An Illustrative Example 212
- 8.4 Conclusions 221 List of Abbreviations 222 References 225

Section 2 From Materials to Process Modelling, Design and Intensification 229

#### 9 Multi-scale Process Systems Engineering for Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage: A Review 231 M. M. Faruaue Hasan

- 9.1 Introduction 231
- 9.2 Multi-scale Approaches for CCUS Design and Optimization 233
- 9.3 Hierarchical Approaches 234
- 9.3.1 Materials Screening 235
- 9.3.2 Process Simulation and Optimization 236
- 9.3.3 CCUS Network Optimization 237
- 9.4 Simultaneous Approaches 237
- 9.4.1 Materials Screening and Process Optimization 237
- 9.4.2 Materials Screening, Process Optimization, and Process Technology Selection 240
- 9.4.3 Multi-scale CCUS Design: Simultaneous Materials Screening, Process Optimization, and Supply Chain Optimization 241
- 9.5 Enabling Methods, Challenges, and Research Opportunities 242
- 9.5.1 Developing Reduced Order/Surrogate Models 242
- 9.5.2 Developing Multi-scale High-fidelity Simulation Tools 242
- 9.5.3 Addressing Uncertainty 242 List of Abbreviations 243 References 244
- 10 Membrane System Design for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture: From Molecular Modeling to Process Simulation 249

Xuezhong He, Daniel R. Nieto, Arne Lindbråthen, and May-Britt Hägg

- 10.1 Introduction 249
- 10.2 Membranes for Gas Separation 250
- 10.2.1 Membrane Materials 250
- 10.2.2 Separation Principles 251
- 10.2.3 Membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> Separation 253
- 10.3 Molecular Modeling of Gas Separation in Membranes 255
- 10.3.1 Variables Influencing Transport Properties in Molecular Modeling 255
- 10.3.2 Computational Models 256
- 10.3.3 Molecular Modeling Validation 259
- 10.3.4 Software and Potentials 259
- 10.4 Process Simulation of Membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 260
- 10.4.1 Process Description and Simulation Basis 260
- 10.4.2 Cost Model 262
- 10.4.3 Criteria on Energy Consumption 265
- 10.4.4 Simulation Software 266
- 10.4.5 Process Design 266
- 10.4.6 Techno-economic Feasibility Analysis 270

**x** Contents

- 10.4.7 Sensitivity Analysis 272
- 10.5 Future Perspectives 273 List of Abbreviations 274 Acknowledgments 276 References 276
- 11 Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture by Chemical Gas–Liquid Absorption: Solvent Selection, Process Modelling, Energy Integration and Design Methods 283

Thibaut Neveux, Yann Le Moullec, and Éric Favre

- 11.1 Introduction 283
- 11.2 Solvent Influence 284
- 11.3 Process Modelling 286
- 11.3.1 Thermodynamic Equilibria Modelling 287
- 11.3.2 Necessity of a Rate-based Approach 287
- 11.3.3 Model Validation 289
- 11.4 Process Integration 291
- 11.4.1 Evaluating the Overall Energy Penalty 293
- 11.4.2 Integration Between the Capture Process and the Power Plant 294
- 11.4.3 Integration Within the Capture Process: Flow Scheme Modifications 296
- 11.4.4 Example of Process Comparison 299
- 11.5 Design Method 300
- 11.5.1 Economic Criterion for Design Purpose 301
- 11.5.2 Sensitivity Analysis 302
- 11.5.3 Optimization as a Systematic Design Tool 304
- 11.5.4 Example of Optimization Results for Five Processes 305
- 11.6 Conclusion 306 List of Abbreviations 308 References 308

#### 12 Innovative Computational Tools and Models for the Design, Optimization and Control of Carbon Capture Processes 311

David C. Miller, Deb Agarwal, Debangsu Bhattacharyya, Joshua Boverhof, Yang Chen, John Eslick, Jim Leek, Jinliang Ma, Priyadarshi Mahapatra, Brenda Ng, Nikolaos V. Sahinidis, Charles Tong, and Stephen E. Zitney

- 12.1 Overview 311
- 12.2 Advanced Computational Frameworks 313
- 12.2.1 Framework for Optimization, Quantification of Uncertainty, and Surrogates *313*
- 12.2.2 Advanced Process Control Framework 323
- 12.3 Case Study: Solid Sorbent Carbon Capture System 326
- 12.3.1 Process Models (BFB) 326
- 12.3.2 Process Topology via Superstructure and Algebraic Surrogate Models *327*
- 12.3.3 Simulation-based Optimization with Simultaneous Heat Integration 328
- 12.3.4 Uncertainty Quantification 330

- 12.3.5 Dynamic Reduced Models from Rigorous Process Models 331
- 12.3.6 Advanced Process Control 332
- 12.4 Summary 335 Acknowledgment 338 List of Abbreviations 338 References 339
- 13 Modelling and Optimization of Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) Processes for Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from Flue Gas 343
  - George N. Nikolaidis, Eustathios S. Kikkinides, and Michael C. Georgiadis
- 13.1 Introduction 343
- 13.2 Mathematical Model Formulation 346
- 13.2.1 Problem Statement 346
- 13.2.2 Mathematical Model 347
- 13.2.3 Process Performance Indicators 351
- 13.2.4 Numerical Solution 351
- 13.3 PSA/VSA Simulation Case Studies 352
- 13.3.1 Model Validation 352
- 13.3.2 Parametric Analysis 355
- 13.4 PSA/VSA Optimization Case Study 359
- 13.4.1 Formulation of the Optimization Problem 359
- 13.4.2 Optimization Results 360
- 13.5 Conclusions 362
  - List of Abbreviations 365 Acknowledgements 366
  - References 367
- 14Joule Thomson Effect in a Two-dimensional Multi-component Radial<br/>Crossflow Hollow Fiber Membrane Applied for CO2 Capture in Natural<br/>Gas Sweetening 371<br/>Serene Sow Mun Lock, Kok Keong Lau, Azmi Mohd Shariff,
- and Yin Fong Yeong
- 14.1 Introduction 371
- 14.2 Methodology 373
- 14.2.1 Mathematical Modeling 373
- 14.2.2 Simulation Methodology 380
- 14.2.3 Experimental Methodology 382
- 14.3 Results and Discussion 384
- 14.3.1 Validation of Simulation Model 384
- 14.3.2 Temperature and Membrane Permeance Profile 385
- 14.3.3 CO<sub>2</sub> Residue Composition and Percentage Hydrocarbon Loss 388
- 14.3.4 Compressor Power and Stage Cut 390
- 14.3.5 Gas Processing Cost 392
- 14.4 Conclusion 393
   List of Abbreviations 394
   Acknowledgments 394
   References 394

xii Contents

The Challenge of Reducing the Size of an Absorber Using a Rotating 15 Packed Bed 399

Ming-Tsz Chen, David Shan Hill Wong, and Chung Sung Tan

- 15.1Motivation for Size Reduction 399
- 15.2Rotating Packed Bed Technology 401
- Experimental Work on CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Using a Rotating 15.3Packed Bed 405
- 15.4 Modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture using a Rotating Packed Bed 409
- Design of Rotating Packed Bed Absorbers and Real Work Comparison 15.5to Regular Packed Absorbers 410
- Conclusions 417 15.6 List of Abbreviations 417 References 418

Section 3 **Process Operation and Control** 425

16 Plantwide Design and Operation of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Using Chemical Absorption 427

David Shan Hill Wong and Shi-Shang Jang

- 16.1 Introduction 427
- 16.2 The Basic Process 428
- 16.3 Solvent Selection 429
- Energy Consumption Targets 429 16.4
- 16.5 Steady-state Process Modeling 431
- Conceptual Process Integration 432 16.6
- 16.7 Column Internals 432
- 16.8 Dynamic Modeling 433
- Plantwide Control 434 16.9
- Flexible Operation 434 16.10
- 16.11 Water and Amine Management 435
- 16.12 SOx Treatment 436
- 16.13 Monitoring 436
- 16.14 Conclusions 437 List of Abbreviations 437 References 437
- 17 Multi-period Design of Carbon Capture Systems for Flexible **Operation** 447 Nial Mac Dowell and Nilay Shah
- 17.1 Introduction 447
- 17.2Evaluation of Flexible Operation 451
- 17.2.1 Load Following 451
- Solvent Storage 453 17.2.2
- Exhaust Gas Venting 454 17.2.3

- 17.2.4 Time-varying Solvent Regeneration 454
- 17.3 Scenario Comparison 457
- 17.4 Conclusions 459 List of Abbreviations 460 Acknowledgements 460 References 461
- 18
   Improved Design and Operation of Post-combustion CO2 Capture Processes with Process Modelling 463 Adekola Lawal, Javier Rodriguez, Alfredo Ramos, Gerardo Sanchis, Mario Calado,

Nouri Samsatli, Eni Oko, and Meihong Wang

- 18.1 Introduction 463
- 18.2 The gCCS Whole-chain System Modelling Environment 464
- 18.2.1 Modelling Reactive Absorption Processes 464
- 18.2.2 gSAFT Thermodynamics 465
- 18.3 Typical Process Design Considerations in a Simulation Study 467
- 18.3.1 Process Steam Requirements 467
- 18.3.2 Steam Extraction Location 467
- 18.3.3 Absorber Performance Factors 471
- 18.3.4 Solvent Selection/Design 471
- 18.3.5 Part-load Considerations 473
- 18.3.6 Extreme Weather Conditions 475
- 18.3.7 Process Design for Flexible Operation 476
- 18.3.8 Water Balance 476
- 18.4 Safety Considerations: Anticipating Hazards 477
- 18.4.1 Configuration Data 477
- 18.4.2 Unplanned Shut-down at Injection Site 477
- 18.4.3 Loss of Upstream Compression 478
- 18.4.4 Additional Hazards for Consideration 479
- 18.5 Process Operating Considerations 479
- 18.5.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plant Control Systems 479
- 18.5.2 Start-up and Shut-down 483
- 18.5.3 Load-following Operations 483
- 18.6 Conclusions 497List of Abbreviations 498References 498
- 19Advanced Control Strategies for IGCC Plants with Membrane Reactors<br/>for CO2 Capture 501
  - Fernando V. Lima, Xin He, Rishi Amrit, and Prodromos Daoutidis
- 19.1 Introduction 501
- 19.2 Modelling Approach 503
- 19.2.1 Simplified IGCC Model 503
- 19.2.2 Membrane Reactor Model 504
- 19.2.3 Integrated IGCC-MR Process Model 506

xiv Contents

- 19.3 Design and Simulation Conditions 507
- 19.3.1 Simulation Setup 507
- 19.3.2 Control Structure and Scenarios 508
- 19.4 Model Predictive Control Strategies 508
- 19.4.1 Linear MPC Approach: DMC 509
- 19.4.2 Nonlinear MPC Approach 511
- 19.5 Closed-loop Simulation Results 512
- 19.6 Conclusions 518
   List of Abbreviations 518
   Acknowledgements 519
   References 519
- 20 An Integration Framework for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Processes 523
  - M. Hossein Sahraei and Luis A. Ricardez-Sandoval
- 20.1 Introduction 523
- 20.2 Automation Framework and Syntax 525
- 20.3 CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plant Model 528
- 20.4 Case Studies 530
- 20.4.1 Controllability Analysis 530
- 20.4.2 Optimal Process Scheduling 533
- 20.4.3 Simultaneous Design and Control 537
- 20.5 Conclusions 540 List of Abbreviations 541 References 541
- 21 Operability Analysis in Solvent-based Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plants 545 Theodoros Damartzis, Athanasios I. Papadopoulos,

and Panos Seferlis

- 21.1 Introduction 545
- 21.2 Framework for the Analysis of Operability 548
- 21.2.1 Disturbance Rejection Analysis 548
- 21.2.2 Application to CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Processes 549
- 21.3 Framework Implementation 552
- 21.3.1 Employed CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Solvents 552
- 21.3.2 Employed Flowsheet Configurations 553
- 21.3.3 Disturbance Scenario and Problem Solution 555
- 21.4 Results and Discussion 556
- 21.4.1 Operability Analysis of CF Configuration 556
- 21.4.2 Operability Analysis of DSS-ICA Configuration 560
- 21.4.3 Economic Performance 564

21.5 Conclusions 566 List of Abbreviations 567 Acknowledgments 567 References 567

#### Section 4 Integrated Technologies 571

- 22 Process Systems Engineering for Optimal Design and Operation of Oxycombustion 573 Alexander Mitsos
- 22.1 Introduction 573
- 22.2 Pressurized Oxycombustion of Coal 575
- 22.2.1 Optimal Design and Operation 575
- 22.2.2 Ideal Flexibility of Pressurized Oxycombustion 578
- 22.3 Membrane-based Processes 578
- 22.3.1 Need for Detailed Modeling 580
- 22.3.2 Optimal Reactor Design 581
- 22.3.3 Optimal Process Design 582
- 22.3.4 Integration with Seawater Desalination 583
- 22.3.5 Integration with Renewable Energy 584
- 22.4 Conclusions and Future Work 585 List of Abbreviations 585 Acknowledgments 585 References 586
- **23** Energy Integration of Processes for Solid Looping CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Systems 589 Pilar Lisbona, Yolanda Lara, Ana Martínez, and Luis M. Romeo
- 23.1 Introduction 589
- 23.2 Internal Integration for Energy Savings 592
- 23.2.1 Solids Preheating 592
- 23.2.2 Carbonator as a Heat Source 594
- 23.2.3 External Heat Sources 595
- 23.2.4 Comparative Analysis 597
- 23.3 External Integration for Energy Use 597
- 23.4 Process Symbiosis 601
- 23.4.1 *In situ* Pre-combustion Capture Technologies Integration with Ca-looping *601*
- 23.4.2 *In situ* Integration of the Ca-looping Process with Industrial Cement Production 604
- 23.5 Final Remarks 605 List of Abbreviations 605 References 605
- 24 Process Simulation of a Dual-stage Selexol Process for Pre-combustion Carbon Capture at an Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle Power Plant 609 Hyungwoong Ahn
- 24.1 Introduction 609
- 24.2 Configuration of an Absorption Process for Pre-combustion Carbon Capture *610*
- 24.3 Solubility Model 616

xvi Contents

- 24.4 Conventional Dual-stage Selexol Process 619
- 24.5 Unintegrated Solvent Cycle Design 624
- 24.6 95% Carbon Capture Efficiency 625
- 24.7 Conclusions 626 List of Abbreviations 627 References 627

#### 25 Optimized Lignite-fired Power Plants with Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 629 Emmanouil K. Kakaras, Antonios K. Koumanakos, and Aggelos F. Doukelis

- Emmanouii K. Kakaras, Antonios K. Koumanako
- 25.1 Introduction 629
- 25.2 Reducing the Energy Efficiency Penalty 630
- 25.2.1 Steam Thermodynamic Characteristics 630
- 25.2.2 Lignite Pre-drying 630
- 25.3 Optimized Plants with Amine Scrubbing: Greenfield Case 631
- 25.3.1 General Assumptions 631
- 25.3.2 Reference Power Plant 633
- 25.3.3 Lignite Power Plant with Post-combustion Capture with Amine Scrubbing 633
- 25.4 Oxyfuel and Amine Scrubbing Hybrid CO<sub>2</sub> Capture 635
- 25.4.1 Technology Description 635
- 25.4.2 Reference Case: Existing Plant 638
- 25.4.3 Plant with Hybrid CO<sub>2</sub> Capture System 640
- 25.5 Conclusions 645
  - List of Abbreviations 645
  - References 645

Index 649

# About the Editors

**Dr Athanasios I. Papadopoulos** is a Senior Researcher at the Centre for Research and Technology-Hellas (Greece). He holds a PhD in Process Systems Engineering from the University of Surrey (United Kingdom) and a Diploma in Chemical Engineering from Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece). His research interests are in the areas of chemical process and renewable energy systems synthesis, integration and optimization as well as integrated product and process design, with applications in  $CO_2$  capture, hybrid renewable power generation and heat-to-power transformation. In these areas he has developed innovative methods for optimum decision making in multi-scale product and process systems design combining heterogeneous computer-aided tools as well as dedicated computing and optimization techniques. He has been involved as principal investigator or collaborator in several research projects at the national and European level. He is the author of more than 100 articles in peer-reviewed journals, books and conference proceedings.

**Dr Panos Seferiis** is an Associate Professor in the School of Mechanical Engineering at Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece) and a collaborating Researcher at the Centre for Research and Technology-Hellas (Greece). He holds a PhD in Chemical Engineering from McMaster University (Canada) and a Diploma in Chemical Engineering from Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece). His research interests are in the areas of optimization and control of mechanical, process (separation and reactive systems including  $CO_2$  capture) and energy conversion systems with special emphasis on advanced model-based control and integration of process design and control. He has been involved as coordinator, principal investigator or collaborator in several research projects at the national and European level. He is the author of more than 150 articles in peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings and the editor in a number of collective volumes and journal special issues.

# **List of Contributors**

#### Claire S. Adjiman

Department of Chemical Engineering Centre for Process Systems Engineering South Kensington Campus Imperial College London UK

#### Deb Agarwal

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory USA

#### Hyungwoong Ahn

Institute for Materials and Processes The University of Edinburgh UK

#### Rishi Amrit

Shell Technology Center Houston Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc. Houston USA

#### Viknesh Andiappan

Energy and Environmental Research Group School of Engineering Taylor's University Selangor Malaysia

#### Eleni Androulaki

National Center for Scientific Research "Demokritos" Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Molecular Thermodynamics and Modelling of Materials Laboratory Agia Paraskevi Attikis Greece

#### Sara Badr

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering Zurich Switzerland

#### Robert Bennett

CSIRO Energy Newcastle Australia

#### Debangsu Bhattacharyya

West Virginia University Morgantown USA

#### Joshua Boverhof

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory USA

#### Mario Calado

Process Systems Enterprise London UK

#### Nishanth G. Chemmangattuvalappil

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering Centre of Sustainable Palm Oil Research The University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus Semenyih Selangor Malaysia

#### **xx** List of Contributors

#### Ming-Tsz Chen

Department of Chemical Engineering National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu Taiwan, ROC and Department of Applied Chemistry Providence University Taiwan ROC

#### Yang Chen

National Energy Technology Laboratory Pittsburgh USA

#### Fah Keen Chong

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering Centre of Sustainable Palm Oil Research The University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus Semenyih Selangor Malaysia

#### Theodoros Damartzis

Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH) Thermi-Thessaloniki Greece and Department of Mechanical Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Greece

#### **Prodromos Daoutidis**

Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science University of Minnesota Minneapolis USA

#### Aggelos F. Doukelis

Laboratory of Steam Boilers and Thermal Plants

National Technical University of Athens Greece

#### Ioannis G. Economou

National Center for Scientific Research "Demokritos" Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Molecular Thermodynamics and Modelling of Materials Laboratory Agia Paraskevi Attikis Greece and Texas A&M University at Qatar Chemical Engineering Program Education City Doha Qatar

#### Fadwa T. Eljack

Department of Chemical Engineering College of Engineering Qatar University Doha Qatar

#### John Eslick

West Virginia University Morgantown USA

#### Éric Favre

LRGP CNRS-Université de Lorraine Nancy France

#### Dominic C. Y. Foo

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering Centre of Sustainable Palm Oil Research The University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus Semenyih Selangor Malaysia

#### Esther Forte

Department of Chemical Engineering Centre for Process Systems Engineering South Kensington Campus Imperial College London UK

#### Philip Fosbøl

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE) Technical University of Denmark Lyngby Denmark

#### Peter T. Frailie

McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering The University of Texas at Austin USA

#### Amparo Galindo

Department of Chemical Engineering Centre for Process Systems Engineering South Kensington Campus Imperial College London UK

#### Michael C. Georgiadis

Department of Chemical Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Greece and Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH) Thessaloniki Greece

#### Arne Gladis

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE) Technical University of Denmark Lyngby Denmark

#### Smitha Gopinath

Department of Chemical Engineering Centre for Process Systems Engineering South Kensington Campus Imperial College London UK

#### Edward Graham

Department of Chemical Engineering Centre for Process Systems Engineering South Kensington Campus Imperial College London UK

#### May-Britt Hägg

Department of Chemical Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology Trondheim Norway

#### M. M. Faruque Hasan

Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering Texas A&M University College Station USA

#### Xin He

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering West Virginia University Morgantown USA

#### Xuezhong He

Department of Chemical Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology Trondheim Norway

#### Konrad Hungerbuehler

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering Zurich Switzerland

#### xxii List of Contributors

#### George Jackson

Department of Chemical Engineering Centre for Process Systems Engineering South Kensington Campus Imperial College London UK

#### Shi-Shang Jang

Department of Chemical Engineering National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu Taiwan, ROC

#### Emmanouil K. Kakaras

Laboratory of Steam Boilers and Thermal Plants National Technical University of Athens Greece

#### Eustathios S. Kikkinides

Department of Chemical Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Greece and Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH) Thessaloniki Greece

#### Georgios M. Kontogeorgis

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE) Technical University of Denmark Lyngby Denmark

# Antonios K. Koumanakos

Laboratory of Steam Boilers and Thermal Plants National Technical University of Athens Greece

#### Yolanda Lara

Research Centre for Energy Resources and Consumption (CIRCE) Zaragoza Spain

#### Kok Keong Lau

Chemical Engineering Department Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Sri Iskandar Perak Malaysia

#### Adekola Lawal

Process Systems Enterprise London UK

#### Yann Le Moullec

EDF R&D Chatou France

#### Jim Leek

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory USA

#### Fernando V. Lima

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering West Virginia University Morgantown USA

#### Arne Lindbråthen

Department of Chemical Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology Trondheim Norway

#### Pilar Lisbona

Research Centre for Energy Resources and Consumption (CIRCE) Zaragoza Spain

#### Serene Sow Mun Lock

Chemical Engineering Department Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Sri Iskandar Perak Malaysia

#### Jinliang Ma

National Energy Technology Laboratory Morgantown USA

#### Nial Mac Dowell

Centre for Process Systems Engineering Imperial College London South Kensington UK and Centre for Environmental Policy Imperial College London South Kensington UK

#### Priyadarshi Mahapatra

National Energy Technology Laboratory Morgantown USA

#### Ana Martínez

Research Centre for Energy Resources and Consumption (CIRCE) Zaragoza Spain

#### David C. Miller

National Energy Technology Laboratory Pittsburgh USA

#### Alexander Mitsos

AVT Process Systems Engineering (SVT) RWTH Aachen University Germany

#### Thibaut Neveux

EDF R&D Chatou France and LRGP CNRS-Université de Lorraine Nancy France

#### Brenda Ng

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory USA

#### Denny K. S. Ng

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering Centre of Sustainable Palm Oil Research The University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus Semenyih Selangor Malaysia

#### Daniel R. Nieto

Department of Chemical Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology Trondheim Norway

#### George N. Nikolaidis

Department of Chemical Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Greece and Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH) Thessaloniki Greece

#### Eni Oko

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering University of Sheffield UK

#### xxiv List of Contributors

#### Stavros Papadokonstantakis

Chalmers University of Technology Department of Energy and Environment Gothenburg Sweden

#### Athanasios I. Papadopoulos

Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH) Thermi-Thessaloniki Greece

Jorge M. Plaza McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering The University of Texas at Austin USA

**Graeme Puxty** CSIRO Energy Newcastle Australia

Alfredo Ramos Process Systems Enterprise London UK

*Luis A. Ricardez-Sandoval* Department of Chemical Engineering University of Waterloo Canada

Gary T. Rochelle

McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering The University of Texas at Austin USA

Javier Rodriguez Process Systems Enterprise London UK

#### Luis M. Romeo

Escuela de Ingeniería y Arquitectura Departamento de Ingeniería Mecánica Universidad de Zaragoza Spain

#### Nikolaos V. Sahinidis

Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh USA

#### M. Hossein Sahraei

Department of Chemical Engineering University of Waterloo Canada

#### Nouri Samsatli

Process Systems Enterprise London UK

#### Gerardo Sanchis

Process Systems Enterprise London UK

#### **Panos Seferlis**

Department of Mechanical Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Greece

#### Nilay Shah

Centre for Process Systems Engineering Imperial College London South Kensington UK

#### Azmi Mohd Shariff

Chemical Engineering Department Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Sri Iskandar Perak Malaysia

#### Nicolas von Solms

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE) Technical University of Denmark Lyngby Denmark

#### Chung Sung Tan

Department of Chemical Engineering National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu Taiwan, ROC

#### Kaj Thomsen

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Center for Energy Resources Engineering (CERE) Technical University of Denmark Lyngby Denmark

#### Charles Tong

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory USA

#### Niki Vergadou

National Center for Scientific Research "Demokritos" Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Molecular Thermodynamics and Modelling of Materials Laboratory Agia Paraskevi Attikis Greece

#### Meihong Wang

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering University of Sheffield UK

#### David Shan Hill Wong

Department of Chemical Engineering National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu Taiwan, ROC

#### Yin Fong Yeong

Chemical Engineering Department Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Sri Iskandar Perak Malaysia

#### Theodoros Zarogiannis

Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CPERI) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH) Thermi-Thessaloniki Greece and Department of Mechanical Engineering Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Greece

#### Stephen E. Zitney

West Virginia University Morgantown USA and National Energy Technology Laboratory Morgantown USA

### Preface

The global economy is predominantly driven by fossil fuels, which release enormous amounts of  $CO_2$  with detrimental effects on the environment. To ensure that the rise of the average global temperature stays below the critical value of 2 °C, there is an urgent need to develop and deploy efficient  $CO_2$  mitigation technologies. However, this is very challenging because of the very non-ideal chemical and physical behaviour of  $CO_2$ , which requires extensive research and development efforts at various levels, prior to identifying and implementing efficient capture solutions.

Current engineering practice addresses such complexities mainly through experimental investigations from lab- to plant-wide levels. Experimental work is indispensable as it enables the understanding of important phenomena and facilitates the rigorous validation of theoretically predicted alternatives, prior to investing on a wider implementation. However, the need to perform experimental iterations on a vast number of available  $CO_2$  capture options is both costly and time consuming. Furthermore, the experimental costs and effort become prohibitive as experiments extend from the lablevel to pilot-plants and industrial complexes.

To help address such challenges, this book brings forward an extensive collection of systematic computer-aided tools and methods developed in recent years for  $CO_2$  capture applications. Computer-aided approaches enable the fast, automated and accurate evaluation of a vast number of process and material characteristics that lead to economically efficient and sustainable  $CO_2$  capture systems. Their use is complementary to experimental work which can be exploited to develop predictive mathematical models used in simulations and optimizations, whereas the results can be verified by further experiments. In this context, computer-aided approaches offer a promising route to guide the experimental search for novel and efficient  $CO_2$  capture processes and materials.

The book is unique because it presents works from scientists and engineers who are internationally acknowledged experts in  $CO_2$  capture research, organized in sections addressing the following topics:

- 1) Modelling and design of materials using approaches built on chemical and physical principles and exploiting experimental results.
- Modelling and design of processes in view of different materials based on systematic simulation and optimization approaches.
- Flexible process operation and control under conditions different than the nominal design settings.
- Integrated design of CO<sub>2</sub> capture and emission systems in different technological sectors.

#### xxviii Preface

Numerous case studies are presented to illustrate the computer-aided approaches discussed in the chapters comprising each section, pertaining to:

- a) Materials such as amine-based or physical solvents, ionic liquids, adsorbents and membranes.
- b) Process technologies such as absorption/desorption, pressure and vacuum swing adsorption, membranes, oxycombustion, solid looping, integrated gas-combined cycles and power plants.

All chapters provide extensive introductory information for researchers and industrial practitioners in the field of  $CO_2$  capture technology who wish to explore developments in computer-aided tools and methods in this field. Chapters are also available which provide critical reviews either regarding computer-aided technologies pertaining to one of the considered sections or cutting across different sections, hence illustrating how different tools and methods are tightly linked together. Finally, the book provides introductory information for  $CO_2$  capture technologies to process systems engineers working in the development of general computational tools and methods; the aim is to highlight opportunities for new developments in order to address the needs and challenges in  $CO_2$  capture technologies.

> Athanasios I. Papadopoulos Panos Seferlis

Section 1

Modelling and Design of Materials

|1

# The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

Edward Graham, Smitha Gopinath, Esther Forte, George Jackson, Amparo Galindo, and Claire S. Adjiman

Department of Chemical Engineering, Centre for Process Systems Engineering, South Kensington Campus, Imperial College London, UK

#### 1.1 Introduction

1

Carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS) is widely considered to be a comprehensive strategy to reduce the impact of the carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) that is produced through the use of fossil fuels across a range of human activities. Carbon capture is an important first step in the implementation of such an approach. Despite the significant effort devoted to the development of carbon-capture techniques, their implementation remains challenging due to the high energetic costs, large environmental impacts and rapid degradation of capture materials associated with some of the current processes. Of the many alternatives available for carbon capture, solvent-based absorption is a competitive and mature technology for carbon dioxide removal from gas streams [1, 2]. A solvent can absorb  $CO_2$  through two broad mechanisms: chemical absorption and physical absorption. Chemical absorption entails the formation of chemical bonds between solvent molecules and  $CO_2$ , typically through the formation of ionic species. Physical absorption, on the other hand, is driven by weaker van der Waals forces that promote interactions between the solvent and  $CO_2$ .

Chemical absorption has some advantages over its physical counterpart: chemical solvents usually have a higher capacity for  $CO_2$  [3], chemisorption processes can be applied to streams with relatively low  $CO_2$  partial pressures, and mass transfer from the gas to the liquid phase may be enhanced due to the depletion of  $CO_2$  from the liquid phase caused by the reactions. The thermal regeneration of chemical solvents can, however, be highly energy intensive [4], whereas physical solvents can be regenerated simply with a less costly pressure-swing process.

The carbon-capture potential of many aqueous solutions of alkanolamines, amino acids, ammonia and caustic soda has been investigated [5], yet monoethanolamine (MEA) aqueous solutions remain the most widely used class of chemisorption solvents. A number of physical absorption processes are in common use such as the Rectisol

#### 4 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

process (with methanol as solvent), the Selexol process (with a blend of dimethyl ethers of polyethylene glycol), the Purisol process (with *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone), the Morphysorb process (with morpholine), and the Fluor solvent process (with propylene carbonate) [6]. Hybrid processes in which a blend of physical and chemical solvents are employed have also been investigated [1], as have switchable solvents. Switchable solvents are a new class of solvent blends that undergo both physical and chemical absorption and form ionic liquids in the presence of  $CO_2$  [7].

The performance of absorption technologies is closely linked to the choice of solvent. Given the need to develop low-cost and sustainable  $CO_2$  absorption processes, new solvents are being explored. In the quest for new solvents (and blends), it is highly unlikely that a universal 'best' solvent for  $CO_2$  capture will be found. Instead, the optimal solvent for  $CO_2$  capture is a function of a number of process specifications such as the conditions of the feed to be separated (pressure, temperature and chemical composition), output requirements (e.g. the purity and the pressure of the treated gas), and process constraints (e.g. available equipment, restrictions on size, temperature and pressure). Solvent selection and process design have, however, traditionally been tackled as separate or sequential activities [8], and this makes the task of finding improved solvents arduous. Experiments at the laboratory scale to find superior solvents are not only time consuming and expensive, but also can be extremely difficult to interpret in this multi-dimensional solvent and process design space. On the other hand, large combinatorial spaces can in principle be explored efficiently using computational methods. It is especially desirable to combine computational studies that can identify the optimal (and near optimal) solvent(s) based on appropriate models of the relevant properties and processes with a small number of targeted experiments [9].

Computer-aided molecular design (CAMD) methods have a useful role to play in this but are often focussed on finding molecules deemed optimal based on a few 'key' physicochemical properties [9–14]. There have been several studies to account for the impact of solvent properties on the overall performance of the process through processbased measures of varying levels of detail [15–18]. This makes it possible to take into account the dependence of physicochemical properties on process variables (such as operating pressure and temperature), the values of which are often unknown at the time of solvent selection. It has become clear that a design that takes into account both the solvent and the process can be deemed optimal only when the strong interactions between process and molecules are taken into account in arriving at the proposed design [18].

In recognition of this, several methodologies for the simultaneous design of both molecules (such as solvents) and processes have emerged. The molecular structure and process variables are optimized with respect to a process or plant-wide objectives. This class of design strategies, known as computer-aided molecular and process design (CAMPD), or integrated molecular and process design, presents many challenges. The CAMPD problem and possible solution approaches (not limited to carbon capture) have been discussed by a number of authors [8, 16, 17, 19–30].

Given the importance of intermolecular interactions to the performance of absorption processes,  $CO_2$  capture studies are well suited to the application of a molecular systems engineering (MSE) approach in which a detailed molecular perspective is integrated with a description of the processes for the purpose of improved design [18, 31]. To apply an MSE approach to solvent design it is necessary to build tools for each of the

steps involved in the formulation and solution of CAMPD problems. First, the formulation of the CAMPD problem requires predictive models that relate the structure of the solvent to all relevant pure component and mixture properties, as well as predictive models of the process units and topology that are needed to evaluate the design objective(s) and constraints. Secondly, the design problem must be formalized by posing one or more optimization problems that make it possible to explore the trade-offs between the different design decisions. Finally, algorithms that can solve these highly challenging optimization problems are needed. We emphasize that data from experiments (e.g. physical property measurements and relevant process parameters) are also indispensable to the MSE approach; they are used to develop and improve property prediction and process models as well as to validate the results of the design. Thus, MSE entails multi-scale modelling and experimental studies of phenomena across various sub-system sizes, from molecules to processes [2, 18]. A balance must be struck between the accuracy of the models of the various sub-systems, their predictive power and their computational complexity, to ensure that the resulting CAMPD optimization problems are numerically tractable. To this end, it is sometimes advantageous to develop physical and chemical property models that offer a similar computational performance to widely used engineering correlations and other highly parameterized models, but that are applicable to a broader range of molecules and mixtures. This can be achieved through a judicious choice of assumptions and physical abstractions. The appropriate level of abstraction can only be determined by considering the different elements of an MSE approach (models, problem formulations and optimization algorithms) in an integrated manner.

In the specific context of the integrated design of solvents and carbon-capture absorption processes, the deployment of an MSE approach requires a wide range of physicochemical properties: thermodynamic properties such as density, kinematic properties such as viscosity, and interfacial properties such as surface tension. These properties are required as a function of process-operating conditions. The property models used should preferably provide continuous and consistent descriptions of matter across the fluid region [26], avoiding the use of different models (e.g. equations of state or activity coefficient models) for the gas and liquid phases. This is particularly important in the vicinity of vapour-liquid critical points or in unit operations involving phase changes, such as heat-exchange equipment, to avoid having to identify the phase(s) of the mixture before selecting an appropriate model. Furthermore, it is desirable to use accurate models that provide predictions of properties related to phase equilibrium such as activity coefficients as well as calorific properties, to ensure thermodynamic consistency; it can be challenging to find such broadly applicable models [32–34]. Finally, an additional challenge in the modelling of absorption in reactive solvents is the need for predictive models of chemical equilibrium and/or kinetics, that provide a quantitative assessment of chemical changes within the process, as well as changes to physical properties.

In recent years, several groups have focussed on the development and application of CAMPD approaches to  $CO_2$  capture. For instance, optimal solvents and process conditions for physical absorption of  $CO_2$ , while using the statistical associating fluid theory platform for property prediction, have been designed in [17], [25], [26], and [27]. Ng *et al.* [35] employed CAMD in the context of the use of ionic liquids for carbon capture. CAMD approaches have been applied to the design of alkanolamines and their blends in [36] and [37], respectively. CAMPD of novel chemisorption solvents has also been studied [29, 38, 39].

#### 6 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

In this chapter, we provide a review of the recent work carried out in our research group on the application of MSE concepts to carbon capture, with a brief mention of other work based on similar thermodynamic models. In Section 1.2, thermodynamic models are discussed, with a special focus on the SAFT family of thermodynamic approaches (SAFT is statistical associating fluid theory). In Section 1.3, we show how one can take advantage of the physical association concept used with the equation of state (EoS) to describe the reaction equilibria relevant to chemical absorption. In Section 1.4, we discuss approaches to the solution of the integrated solvent and process design problem that embed these thermodynamic models.

# 1.2 Predictive Thermodynamic Models for the Integrated Molecular and Process Design of Physical Absorption Processes

The modelling of solvent-based carbon-capture processes that are driven by physisorption requires high-fidelity thermodynamic models that capture the highly non-ideal behaviour of the mixtures involved. This behaviour arises from the presence of species that can form hydrogen bonds (e.g. methanol, ethers, water), of apolar compounds such as hydrocarbons, and of  $CO_2$  with its large quadrupole moment and critical point that falls within the range of operating conditions. Due to the wide range of temperatures and pressures that are typical of physical absorption processes, thermodynamic models that are predictive over a wide range of conditions are required. Additional complexity can arise when modelling solvent blends due to the complex interactions that need to be considered and the larger number of model parameters required.

Given the need for thermodynamic models that are predictive outside the domain of available experimental data, thermodynamic models rooted in molecular theories and statistical mechanics, for example SAFT-based approaches (for an overview, see [40], [41] and references therein), have been developed. These require fewer temperaturedependent parameters than traditional thermodynamic models. The impact of molecular shape and non-sphericity on thermodynamic properties can be described by representing molecules as chains of fused segments and the effect of strong directional interactions induced by hydrogen bonding or polarity can be captured by including appropriate association sites. SAFT EoSs are applicable across the entire fluid region so that consistent gas- and liquid-phase models can be used; this is highly advantageous for modelling processes for which vapour-liquid and vapour-liquid-liquid equilibria are important. As a result, the modelling of mixtures containing CO<sub>2</sub>, water and/or hydrocarbons has long been a topic of interest in the development of SAFT-based equations (e.g. [42–45]). In this section, we present a brief overview of the SAFT family of thermodynamic approaches. We highlight in particular the development of group contribution versions of the EoSs, and we discuss the development of models applicable to the physical absorption of CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 1.2.1 An Introduction to SAFT

In this section, we briefly describe the SAFT EoSs and highlight their relevance to the design of physical absorption processes.

SAFT-based approaches constitute a family of state-of-the-art equations of state with a firm theoretical grounding in statistical mechanics, a field which originates from the desire to describe thermodynamic systems in terms of statistical mechanical principles and which bridges the gap between the behaviour of individual molecules and bulk thermodynamics. The original version of SAFT [46, 47] was proposed to address the need for an equation of state for associating fluids that could not be described reliably by traditional cubic EoSs. The theory of association used within SAFT is based on Wertheim's thermodynamic perturbation theory (TPT) [48–51], which makes it possible to evaluate the contribution in free energy due to association in any fluid of monomers. The directional forces causing the monomers to associate are accounted for by specifying appropriate 'association sites' or 'sticky sites', which are defined by an intersite potential function that is usually of the square-well (SW) form. Accurate thermodynamic properties of the fluid can thus be obtained starting from information on intermolecular forces.

Within SAFT, molecules are represented as chains of spherical segments that interact via intermolecular potentials that determine the forces present between segments and between association sites. In homonuclear (or more precisely homosegmented) versions of SAFT, a molecule *i* is formed from  $m_i$  identical segments that interact via a potential. The number of segments,  $m_i$ , can be treated as an adjustable parameter and for molecules with non-integer values of  $m_i$ , segments are sometimes referred to as fused. To model real pure fluids or mixtures of real compounds, parameters are required to specify the number of segments, the energy and the range of interaction between segments and between association sites. Homonuclear models are often used to represent whole molecules, i.e. one set of parameters is used to describe one specific compound.

Various versions of SAFT for homonuclear models exist for neutral molecules, with differences arising from the potential used to describe the repulsive and dispersive interactions between monomers, the types of interactions considered in the model (e.g. whether to include dipole interactions), and other choices made in the derivation of the equations such as the order of the perturbation expansion or the reference fluid employed in developing the theory. Well-known variants [41] include the original SAFT [46, 47], Chen and Kreglewski SAFT (CK-SAFT) [52], simplified SAFT [53], Lennard-Jones SAFT (LJ-SAFT) [42, 54], variable-range SAFT (SAFT-VR) [55, 56], SAFT-VR Mie [33], soft-SAFT [57], perturbed chain SAFT (PC-SAFT) [58], and simplified PC-SAFT [59]. Some examples of polar and quadrupolar variants of SAFT include a variant of SAFT-VR [60], a variant of CK-SAFT [61], and variants of PC-SAFT [62–72]. Extensions of the SAFT methodology that can be used to model charged compounds have also been developed [73–81].

The SW and Mie potentials are of most relevance to this chapter and are therefore discussed in more detail. The mathematical form of the SW potential used in SAFT-VR SW [55, 56] is given by

$$u_{12}^{SW}(r_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} \infty & r_{12} < \sigma_i, \\ -\varepsilon_i & \sigma_i \le r_{12} < \lambda_i \sigma_i, \\ 0 & r_{12} \ge \lambda_i \sigma_i, \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.1)

where the potential,  $u_{12}^{SW}$ , is a function of the distance,  $r_{12}$ , between the centres of two identical monomeric segments 1 and 2 of type *i*, and where  $\sigma_i$  is the diameter of the monomeric segments,  $\varepsilon_i$  the depth of the potential well, and  $\lambda_i$  characterizes the range of attraction. The SW potential is popular due to its simple form, leading to exact statistical mechanical calculations such as the algebraic evaluation of the second and third virial coefficients [82].

The Mie (generalized Lennard-Jones) potential,  $u_{12}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{12})$ , used in our recently developed SAFT-VR Mie EoS [33] as well as in an earlier version [83], is given by

$$u_{12}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{12}) = C_i \varepsilon_i \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{r_{12}} \right)^{\lambda_i^r} - \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{r_{12}} \right)^{\lambda_i^a} \right], \tag{1.2}$$

$$C_i\left(\lambda_i^{\rm r},\lambda_i^{\rm a}\right) = \frac{\lambda_i^{\rm r}}{\lambda_i^{\rm r} - \lambda_i^{\rm a}} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{\rm r}}{\lambda_i^{\rm a}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^{\rm r} - \lambda_i^{\rm a}}},\tag{1.3}$$

where  $\lambda_i^r$  and  $\lambda_i^a$  are the repulsive and attractive exponents respectively, which determine the softness or hardness of the repulsive interactions and the range of attraction and the constant  $C_i$  is defined such that the minimum of the potential corresponds to  $-\varepsilon_i$ . The Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential is equivalent to a Mie potential with  $\lambda_i^r = 12$  and  $\lambda_i^a = 6$ . The characteristic form of the Mie potential is a steep curve at short separations, resulting in a large repulsive force, and a smooth shallow curve at greater separations, tapering off to zero as the distance increases. It is thus longer ranged and smoother than the SW potential, as can be seen in Figure 1.1 where a comparison between the SW potential and the Mie potential is shown.

Associating molecules are treated in SAFT by adding off-centre, spherically symmetrical SW bonding sites. The SW potential provides a good approximation for highly



Figure 1.1 Examples of the Mie (continuous curve) and the SW (dotted lines) potentials between two monomeric segments as a function of the scaled segment-to-segment distance.

directional and short ranged interactions (e.g. hydrogen bonds) [84]. The interaction between two association sites '*a*' and '*b*' on two molecules of type *i* is characterized by an association energy  $-\varepsilon_{abii}^{HB}$ , and a bonding volume  $K_{abii}$ .

In SAFT, the Helmholtz free energy *A* of a system is written as the sum of different perturbative contributions that are added to a reference free energy. This concept is illustrated in Figure 1.2, where a fluid consisting of monomeric spherical segments is used as reference fluid, as is the case for example in the SAFT-VR EoSs. The corresponding general form of the equation of state is given in dimensionless form as

$$\frac{A}{NkT} = \frac{A^{\text{IDEAL}}}{NkT} + \frac{A^{\text{MONO.}}}{NkT} + \frac{A^{\text{CHAIN}}}{NkT} + \frac{A^{\text{ASSOC.}}}{NkT},$$
(1.4)

where *N* is the total number of molecules, *k* is the Boltzmann constant, and *T* is the temperature.  $A^{\text{IDEAL}}$  is the free energy of an ideal gas (Figure 1.2a),  $A^{\text{MONO.}}$  includes the free energy of a reference hard-sphere fluid (at this stage, the segments are assigned a volume) and the perturbative contributions arising from the chosen inter-segment potential (Figure 1.2b),  $A^{\text{CHAIN}}$  represents the free energy due to bonding the segments to form the molecular chains (Figure 1.2c), and  $A^{\text{ASSOC.}}$  is the free energy due to



**Figure 1.2** Procedure for forming a molecule in most versions of SAFT. (a) The fluid is represented as an ideal gas (no intermolecular interactions). (b) Monomeric spherical segments corresponding to the 'atomized' molecules are considered, with repulsion and dispersion interactions shown by the dotted circles (note that the potential between two particles is a function of the distance). (c) Chains are formed from tangentially bonded segments. (d) Association sites are added. This figure is based on Figure 1 in [53] and Figure 3.1 in [85].

association between sites (Figure 1.2d) – this term can account for hydrogen bonding, charge transfer and other types of complexation. After obtaining the Helmholtz free energy of the system, the thermodynamic properties can be derived from standard relations. For example, the pressure is related to the partial derivative of the free energy (2.1)

with respect to the volume,  $P = -\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial V}\right)_{N,T}$ , and the chemical potential can be obtained from its partial derivative with respect to the number of molecules,  $\mu = \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial N}\right)_{V,T}$ .

A recent major advance in SAFT-VR has been the inclusion of the Mie potential (a generalized Lennard-Jones potential) to describe dispersion interactions between monomeric segments in a fluid, leading to the SAFT-VR Mie equation [33, 84, 86]. The use of the Mie potential is advantageous for the prediction of properties that are functions of the second derivatives of the Helmholtz free energy, such as heat capacities, isothermal compressibilities and speeds of sound, whilst simultaneously providing a good description of vapour–liquid equilibria (VLE) [33, 83, 84, 86]. These properties are sensitive to the slope of the potential between segments, particularly the nature of repulsive interactions. In addition, the higher-order perturbation expansion within the SAFT-VR Mie formulation leads to a much improved representation of the critical point compared to SAFT-VR SW [33, 84, 86].

These aspects are particularly important when considering thermodynamic models for carbon capture and storage: the critical point for  $CO_2$  is well within the relevant operating ranges and the phase behaviour and other thermodynamic properties of  $CO_2$ -containing mixtures can be modelled more accurately with SAFT-VR Mie, reducing the number of empirical correlations required to estimate key properties. Importantly, the assumption of ideal mixing that often needs to be made to compute the heat capacity of mixtures can be lifted. Although the Mie potential requires the specification of one more parameter than the SW potential, it has been shown that a conformal description of the thermodynamics can be achieved with an interrelationship between  $\lambda_i^{r}$  and  $\lambda_i^{a}$  [87], meaning that only  $\lambda_i^{r}$  or  $\lambda_i^{a}$  needs to be determined during model development.

The implementation of several SAFT EoSs in commercial chemical process simulation packages is a strong indicator that their predictive nature makes them desirable in industrial applications. Implementations within process modelling environments also make these EoSs accessible to a wider community. For example, the process simulator ASPEN PLUS [88] has an implementation of PC-SAFT [58]. The DWSIM [89] open source simulator also comes with an implementation of this EoS, as does the Multiflash [90] thermodynamic modelling tool. The equation-oriented modelling environment gPROMS [91] has an implementation (gSAFT [92]) that includes the SAFT-VR SW [55, 56] and SAFT-VR Mie [33] equations, as well as the group contribution version, SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie [34].

#### 1.2.2 Group Contribution (GC) Versions of SAFT

Group contribution (GC) approaches [93] exploit the idea that although there are many possible chemical compounds of relevance to industry, the number of different chemical functional groups which form these compounds is significantly smaller. For example, the family of primary linear alkanolamines (monoethanolamine (MEA),  $C_2H_7ON$ ; monopropanolamine (MPA),  $C_3H_9ON$ , etc.) can be represented with a small

number of functional groups, e.g. CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>OH and CH<sub>2</sub>. This reduces the number of parameters required to describe fluids consisting of different molecules; once parameters describing the relevant functional groups have been obtained, new molecules can be quickly analysed by using the functional groups as building blocks. A key assumption in GC methods is that the thermodynamic properties of a fluid can be described for the contributions made by each functional group, regardless of its environment and connectivity [94]. For example, the CH<sub>2</sub>OH group is assumed to behave in the same way in both monopropanolamine and monopentanolamine. The assumption of transferability of groups to other molecules is valid only if there is no significant difference in the polarization of the same functional groups present in different molecules. If proximity effects are important or differences between isomers [94] are of interest, 'second-order' groups can be used which specify the functional groups in close proximity (e.g. see [95–97]). To ensure the transferability of functional groups, parameters are estimated from experimental data for a wide range of different molecules that contain the functional groups rather than from data specific to a given molecule.

Several group contribution versions of SAFT equations of state have been proposed, each differing in the GC schemes (e.g. mixing rules) used. GC SAFT methods can be classified into two main approaches: homonuclear and heteronuclear. In homonuclear approaches, the monomeric segments used to represent a given molecule are considered identical. Some examples of homonuclear GC SAFT approaches include the work of Vijande *et al.* [98], who proposed a homonuclear GC scheme for the PC-SAFT EoS [58]; the work of Tobaly and co-workers [99, 100], who proposed GC approaches for the original SAFT [46, 47], SAFT-VR [55, 56] and PC-SAFT [58] relations; and the work of Tihic *et al.* [101], who applied the GC scheme proposed in [95] to a simplified version of PC-SAFT [59]. In the aforementioned approaches, parameters describing functional groups are averaged, using group contribution rules, to calculate the equation of state parameters (see e.g. Equations (11)-(14) in [99]).

Heteronuclear GC SAFT methods have been developed, in which the averaging step is no longer needed as segments used to model a given compound are not identical [102], giving additional flexibility in developing models. Versions that have been proposed include GC extensions to the SAFT-VR SW EoS, namely SAFT- $\gamma$  SW [103, 104], GC-SAFT-VR [105], which builds on the hetero-SAFT-VREoS [106], and SAFT- $\gamma$ Mie [34], which is based on SAFT-VR Mie [33]. A GC version of perturbed-chain polar SAFT (PCP-SAFT) [69], the GPC-SAFT EoS [102], has also been proposed recently.

An example of a heteronuclear fused molecular model for 3-amino-1-propanol (MPA) is shown in Figure 1.3(a), following the model developed in [107] within the framework of SAFT- $\gamma$  SW [103, 104]. A molecular (homonuclear) model for MPA (Figure 1.3(b)) is also shown for comparison, along with the skeletal formula for MPA (Figure 1.3(c)). As can be seen, in the molecular version, it is not possible to assign a specific functional group to a specific segment since all are identical. Group contribution versions of SAFT not only extend the predictive capabilities of the approach, but they also enable the broader use of the SAFT-type platform for the formulation and solution of CAMD/CAMPD problems, as will be discussed in Section 1.4.

12 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents



**Figure 1.3** (a) The heteronuclear model for MPA developed in [107]. From left to right, the segments represent the  $CH_2OH$ ,  $CH_2$  and  $CH_2NH_2$  functional groups, respectively. The association sites represent the lone pairs of electrons (green) and hydrogens (black) on the amino and hydroxyl functional groups. These sites mediate the directional short-ranged interactions between molecules. (b) A homonuclear model for MPA where the segments are identical, with the same association scheme as in (a). (c) The skeletal formula for MPA.

#### 1.2.3 Model Development in SAFT

The development of a SAFT model consists in selecting the basic structure of the molecular model (number of segments, number and types of association sites) and in estimating the remaining unknown parameters, by minimizing the deviations between the values of some of the properties predicted by the model and corresponding experimental measurements. The parameters required to model the thermodynamic properties of a pure component are summarized in Table 1.1 for SAFT-VR SW and SAFT-VR Mie. The properties included in parameter estimation for homonuclear models of pure components are usually those that are readily accessible experimentally, and commonly include saturated liquid densities and vapour pressures taken at temperatures between the triple point and close to the critical temperature (e.g. temperatures up to 95% of the critical temperature). In some cases, other properties such as heats of vaporization, single-phase liquid densities or speed of sound can be used.

In the case of heteronuclear models, the parameters needed to model a molecule and a given functional group k are listed in Table 1.2 for SAFT- $\gamma$  SW and SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie. Unlike group–group interactions can often be obtained from pure component data alone, although more reliable values of the parameters can be estimated by including mixture data such as enthalpies of mixing or binary mixture phase-equilibrium data. The main unlike group–group interaction to be estimated is  $\varepsilon_{kl}$ , the dispersive interaction energy between groups of type k and l. Where relevant, the association energy between sites of type a on group k and sites of type b on group l,  $\varepsilon_{abkl}^{HB}$ , is also estimated. Finally, the corresponding exponents characterizing the interaction ranges are sometimes estimated. All unlike interaction parameters that are not regressed to experimental data are derived from combining rules as presented in [103] for SAFT- $\gamma$  SW, in [34] for SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie, and in [84] for SAFT-VR Mie.

Parameter	Definition	Potential
$m_i$	Number of segments in molecule <i>i</i>	Mie, SW
$\sigma_i$	Diameter of monomeric segments in molecule <i>i</i>	Mie, SW
$\varepsilon_i$	Depth of potential well between segments in molecule <i>i</i>	Mie, SW
$\lambda_i$	Width of potential well for segments in molecule $i$	SW
$\lambda_i^{\mathrm{a}}$	Attractive exponent of the Mie potential for segments in molecule $i$ (usually set to 6)	Mie
$\lambda_i^{\mathrm{r}}$	Repulsive exponent of the Mie potential for segments in molecule $i$	Mie
$NST_i$	Number of site types on molecule <i>i</i>	Mie, SW
n <sub>a,i</sub>	Number of sites of type <i>a</i> on molecule <i>i</i> , $a = 1,, NST_i$	Mie, SW
$arepsilon_{abii}^{HB}$	Association energy between sites of types <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> on two molecules of type <i>i</i> , $a = 1,, NST_i$ , $b = 1,, NST_i$	Mie, SW
$r^{c}_{abii}$ or $K_{abii}$	Range of association or bonding volume between sites of types $a$ and $b$ on two molecules of type $i$ , $a = 1,, NST_i$ , $b = 1,, NST_i$	Mie, SW

 Table 1.1 Parameters required to model pure components in SAFT-VR Mie and SAFT-VR SW homonuclear approaches.

**Table 1.2** Parameters needed to model group self-interactions in SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie and SAFT- $\gamma$  SW heteronuclear group-contribution approaches.

Parameter	Definition	Potential
$ u_{i,k}$	Number of groups of type $k$ in molecule $i$	Mie, SW
$v_k^*$	Number of identical segments in group $k$	Mie, SW
$S_k$	Shape factor of segments in group $k$	Mie, SW
$\sigma_k$	Diameter of segments in group k	Mie, SW
$oldsymbol{arepsilon}_k$	Depth of potential well for segments in group $k$	Mie, SW
$\lambda_k$	Width of potential well for segments in group $k$	SW
$\lambda_k^{\mathrm{a}}$	Attractive exponent of the Mie potential for segments in group $k$ (usually set to 6)	Mie
$\lambda_k^{\mathrm{r}}$	Repulsive exponent of the Mie potential for segments in group $\boldsymbol{k}$	Mie
$NST_k$	Number of site types on group $k$	Mie, SW
n <sub>a,k</sub>	Number of sites of type <i>a</i> on group <i>k</i> , $a = 1,, NST_k$	Mie, SW
$arepsilon_{abkk}^{HB}$	Association energy between sites of types <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> on two groups of type <i>k</i> , $a = 1,, NST_k$ , $b = 1,, NST_k$	Mie, SW
$r_{abkk}^{c}$ or $K_{abkk}$	Range of association or bonding volume between sites of types $a$ and $b$ on two groups of type $k$ , $a = 1,, NST_k$ , $b = 1,, NST_k$	Mie, SW

The parameter estimation problem that must be solved to estimate the molecular parameters is non-convex, which leads to the possibility of converging to parameter values that correspond to a local minimum rather than the global minimum. In addition, a variety of parameter sets (models) can provide equivalent performance due to

#### 14 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

the degenerate nature of the parameter space and the high degree of correlation between some parameters such as the dispersion energy and the range of dispersion interactions, or the dispersion and association energies. This becomes an issue when the number of model parameters increases, e.g. for complex associating compounds, or when experimental data are scarce. As a result, the best model is not always the one that corresponds to the global optimum [86, 108, 109]. The issue of model degeneracy can be addressed by choosing parameter values (or a range of values) that make physical sense, for example in SAFT-VR Mie one can set  $\lambda_r$  to 6, following the arguments presented in [86] and [87]. Furthermore, properties not included in the objective function can be analysed in a predictive fashion to discriminate between models (for example, the heat of vaporization [108] and surface tension [108, 110]).

To develop mixture models based on homonuclear versions of SAFT, additional parameters often need to be estimated based on multi-component (usually binary) phase-equilibrium data, such as vapour–liquid equilibrium or liquid–liquid equilibrium. It is usually sufficient to estimate unlike energy parameters for dispersive interactions ( $\varepsilon_{ij}$  between compounds *i* and *j*) and, if relevant, for association interactions ( $\varepsilon_{abij}^{HB}$  between sites of type *a* on compound *i* and sites of type *b* on compound *j*). Occasionally, the unlike range parameters also need to be estimated from the data to increase model accuracy (e.g. see [45]). Once again, any unlike parameter not regressed to experimental data can be obtained from the like parameters using combining rules. For example, the unlike size parameter ( $\sigma_{ij}$ ) required to describe the interactions between a compound *i* with diameter  $\sigma_i$  and a compound *j* with diameter  $\sigma_j$  can be obtained by taking the average of the like segment diameters:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ii} + \sigma_{jj}}{2},\tag{1.5}$$

The combining rules for other unlike parameters can be found in [56] for SAFT-VR SW, in [84] for SAFT-VR Mie, in [103] for SAFT- $\gamma$  SW and in [34] for SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie.

#### 1.2.4 SAFT Models for Physical Absorption Systems

In this section, we present a few examples of SAFT models that have been developed based on the SAFT-VR and SAFT- $\gamma$  platforms to treat the species present in physisorption processes.

Pereira *et al.* [25] considered the separation of CO<sub>2</sub> from methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), a mixture relevant to the treatment of natural gas streams, using solvents consisting of different *n*-alkanes. Molecular models were developed within the framework of SAFT-VR SW [55, 56]. Following the approach proposed in [44] and [111], the SAFT parameters describing *n*-alkane mixtures were determined as a function of the average number of carbon atoms in the mixture. Molecular models for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> were transferred from previous work [43, 112], using parameters that were scaled to reproduce the critical temperature and critical pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>. To determine the unlike interaction parameters between CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and the *n*-alkanes, mixtures consisting of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and *n*-decane (C<sub>10</sub>) were studied and a single parameter for each pair of species, describing the unlike interaction energy,  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ , was used to describe accurately the vapour–liquid equilibria. Due to the transferability of SAFT-VR parameters within a homologous

series (e.g. see [43, 112]), the predicted phase behaviour of various  $CO_2 + n$ -alkane mixtures (up to hexadecane) was found to be in very good agreement with experiment. A good prediction of the ternary phase behaviour of the  $CH_4 + CO_2 + C_{10}$  mixture was also achieved and the cross-interaction parameters were found to be transferable to other mixtures of  $CH_4 + CO_2 + n$ -alkane. The molecular nature of SAFT-VR allowed for the formulation of a full CAMPD problem on the basis of these models, as discussed further in Section 1.4.

Burger *et al.* [26] developed SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie models for solvents consisting of the following functional groups: CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>, and two different oxygen functional groups, cO (an oxygen located between two CH<sub>2</sub> groups) and eO (an oxygen next to a CH<sub>3</sub> group). These groups represent the families of linear alkanes and ethers, and include highly oxygenated compounds such as diethers and glymes. The inclusion of two oxygen groups makes it possible to distinguish between some structural isomers, for instance methylpropyl ether and diethyl ether. SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie parameters for the relevant groups were obtained by fitting to vapour pressure and liquid density measurements for pure components and to binary phase equilibrium data. Using the parameters obtained, Burger *et al.* [26] predicted the phase behaviour of some of the pure solvents for which experimental data were available, as exemplified in Figure 1.4, where the predicted vapour pressures of six different ethers are compared to experimental data. The parameters describing the CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> functional groups were transferred directly from previous work [113, 114]. The parameters describing the unlike interactions between  $CO_2$  and solvent functional groups were estimated by fitting to available mixture data, as shown in Figure 1.5, and used to predict the phase behaviour of binary mixtures of solvents and  $CO_2$ . This application illustrates the highly predictive nature of the SAFT- $\gamma$ Mie equation of state as a wide variety of solvents can be modelled with only a few parameters. The use of this approach within a CAMPD problem will be discussed in Section 1.4.



**Figure 1.4** Logarithmic representation of the saturated vapour pressure,  $P^{s}$ , with respect to temperature *T*. The curves represent calculations with the SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie EoS and the symbols denote the corresponding experimental data [115–119] for methyl ethyl ether (white circles), diethyl ether (black circles), methyl propyl ether (white triangles), methyl butyl ether (white squares), dipropyl ether (black triangles), and dibutyl ether (black squares). The figure is reproduced from [26].



**Figure 1.5** Pressure–mole fraction (liquid phase) isothermal slices of the vapour–liquid phase equilibrium envelope for the binary mixture of carbon dioxide and di(oxyethylene) dimethyl ether at different temperatures:  $T = 298.15 \text{ K} (-;\circ)$ ,  $T = 313.15 \text{ K} - -;\Delta)$ ,  $T = 333.15 \text{ K} \cdots$ ; []). The curves represent the calculations of the SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie EoS and the symbols the corresponding experimental data [120]. The figure is reproduced from [26].

Another notable application of SAFT-based thermodynamic models to study the absorption of  $CO_2$  in physical solvents is the use of GPC-SAFT [102]. Sauer *et al.* [102] developed parameters within the framework of GPC-SAFT for various non-polar and polar functional groups, by considering the vapour pressure and liquid density data of pure components. For polar groups, an additional term was required to characterize the dipole moment. The inclusion of parameters describing the dipolar interaction between functional groups can be useful when considering the design of solvents for physisorption of  $CO_2$ . Because  $CO_2$  possesses a strong quadrupole moment, it can interact favourably with polar groups [121], leading to an additional mode of absorption compared to non-polar solvents (which interact with  $CO_2$  via weaker dispersion forces). This work facilitated a case study for the design of  $CO_2$  capture solvents (both polar and non-polar) via physisorption [27], for which the full CAMPD problem is discussed in Section 1.4.

#### 1.3 Describing Chemical Equilibria with SAFT

The predictive modelling of chemical equilibrium poses a greater challenge than phase equilibria for thermodynamic models. Of particular interest in chemisorption processes for  $CO_2$  capture is the reaction between  $CO_2$  and alkanolamines. Extensive theoretical and experimental work has been undertaken to determine the reaction kinetics and reaction mechanisms of these systems, e.g. [122–131]. Primary and secondary amines, for example monoethanolamine (MEA) and diethanolamine (DEA), react to form a carbamate. Although the exact reaction mechanism is still not fully understood [131], the most prominent mechanism is thought to involve the formation of a zwitterionic form of the carbamate [122, 129, 130], followed by a slow proton exchange reaction

with a base (water or another amine molecule). The overall reaction can be represented as [2]:

$$CO_2 + 2R_1R_2NH \rightleftharpoons \left[R_1R_2NCO_2^- + R_1R_2NH_2^+\right].$$
(1.6)

The other prominent reactions include carbamate hydrolysis:

$$R_1 R_2 N CO_2^- + H_2 O \rightleftharpoons R_1 R_2 N H + H CO_3^-, \qquad (1.7)$$

and bicarbonate formation:

$$CO_2 + OH^- \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^-$$
. (1.8)

The carbamate hydrolysis reaction (1.7) only becomes important at high  $CO_2$  loadings for non-sterically hindered amines (e.g. MEA), where the loading is defined as the moles of  $CO_2$  absorbed per mole of amine solvent. In the case of MEA, the  $CO_2$  loading due to chemisorption is therefore limited to 0.5, although additional  $CO_2$  can be absorbed by physisorption, especially at high pressures. The hydrolysis reaction is important for sterically hindered amines, for example MDEA (*N*-methyl diethanolamine) and AMP (2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol). For these amines, the carbamate bond is weakened by the presence of a bulky substituent group adjacent to the amino nitrogen site. Hence, carbamate reversion to the bicarbonate ion and the free amine via reaction 1.7 becomes favourable and  $CO_2$  loadings due to chemisorption can approach one mole  $CO_2$  per mole amine [2, 132, 133].

#### 1.3.1 Chemical and Physical Models of Reactions

The two approaches that are commonly adopted to model reaction equilibrium, based on chemical or on physical theories, are briefly introduced in this section.

#### 1.3.1.1 The Chemical Approach

The most widely used approach stems from chemical theory (see e.g. [94, 134–138]), where all relevant reaction products must be identified *a priori* and modelled explicitly. To illustrate this, we consider a mixture consisting of reactants A and B and the bimolecular reaction:

$$A + B \rightleftharpoons AB. \tag{1.9}$$

Within the chemical view, the mixture consists of three species: A, B and AB in chemical equilibrium with an equilibrium constant *K* defined as

$$K(T) = \frac{a_{\rm AB}}{a_{\rm A}a_{\rm B}} \tag{1.10}$$

where  $a_i$  is the activity of component *i* and *K* is the temperature-dependent equilibrium constant, which is related to the standard change in Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ ) for the forward reaction,  $K(T) = \exp(-\Delta G^{\ominus}/RT)$ , where *R* is the gas constant. Thus, to model the system using a chemical approach, speciation data for all species in the mixture at various temperatures are required.

#### 18 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

Electrolyte extensions to activity coefficient based models, for example eNRTL [139, 140] and extended UNIQUAC [141] have been used to treat  $CO_2 + amine + water (H_2O)$  systems for a few well-known solvents [136, 140, 142–146]. These methods have been shown to be highly successful in correlating the properties of mixtures for which appropriate experimental data are available, and can readily be combined with models of carbon-capture processes. We note that SAFT-type equations can in principle be used to model the chemical and phase equilibria of  $CO_2$  capture mixtures using a chemical approach, by building on the extensions of SAFT EoSs that have been developed to treat explicitly the ionic species formed from reactions [73, 75–81]. No complete SAFT-based model of  $CO_2 + amine + H_2O$  mixtures has yet been proposed within this framework, but we note that [136] have developed a model based on chemical theory in which the PC-SAFT equation of state [58] is used to obtain gas-phase fugacity coefficients, and the eNRTL equation and Henry's law are used to compute the quantities needed for phase-equilibria calculations. The gas phase is assumed not to contain any ionic species.

#### 1.3.1.2 The Physical Approach

In physical approaches, an alternative description of the underlying physicochemical phenomena is used. Rather than considering the formation of new species explicitly, reaction products are treated as aggregates of the reactants that arise due to the presence of strong intermolecular forces, akin to the association approach used to model hydrogen bonding. This does not require the *a priori* specification of reaction products, as aggregates such as dimers, trimers or longer chains can form if the chosen association scheme (i.e. the number and types of sites) permits this. Reactions can thus be modelled within the SAFT framework by the addition of association sites that enable chemical binding. Referring again to equation (1.9), the impact of the formation of aggregate AB on the thermodynamic behaviour of a mixture of A and B can then be derived as a function of the concentrations of A and B and the mixture temperature and pressure, solely based on the SAFT parameters describing A and B and their interactions, particularly via the types, number, energy and bonding volume of association sites. With this modelling approach, it is possible to develop SAFT models using only experimental vapour-liquid equilibrium data and the initial concentrations of the reactants (here, CO<sub>2</sub>, water and solvent) as a function of temperature and pressure; neither the reaction products nor any equilibrium constants need to be specified [147]. Based on the association scheme chosen for the reactants, the concentration of all species present can be found via a statistical analysis of the SAFT thermodynamics at the given thermodynamic state, specifically of the fractions of association sites of different types not bonded [110, 148].

Economou and Donohue [149] have compared the analytical form of the expressions for the mole fraction of monomers and the association contribution to the compressibility factor obtained with chemical and physical approaches. Under appropriate assumptions, e.g. a constant binding energy and an equilibrium constant that is independent of the degree of association of the reactants, they showed that the description obtained by both theories is the same in terms of their functional form, provided that the correct reaction stoichiometry is given. The results were also shown to be numerically indistinguishable [149, 150]. In fact, the parameters obtained within the physical theory to describe the association interactions, namely the depth and range of the association potential, can be related to the equilibrium constant. A key advantage of the physical approach over the chemical approach is that the parameters obtained are not functions of the thermodynamic state, whereas the equilibrium constant is a function of temperature, requiring the consideration of larger sets of experimental data.

To illustrate how reactions can be represented within a physical association framework, an example is shown for the reactions occurring when  $CO_2$  is absorbed in an aqueous MEA solution, following the method of Mac Dowell *et al.* [151] and Rodriguez *et al.* [110], who developed models using a homonuclear SAFT approach, SAFT-VR SW. Different off-centre SW association sites are placed on the molecules in the mixture to mediate directional interactions, as shown in Figure 1.6. Sites labelled 'e' and 'H' represent lone pairs of electrons and hydrogen atoms, respectively, mediating hydrogen bonding and chemical binding.  $CO_2$  has two association sites,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , which associate with the electron site corresponding to the amino group of MEA. This treatment mediates the following reactions:

$$CO_{2} + 2HO(CH_{2})_{2}NH_{2} \rightleftharpoons [HO(CH_{2})_{2}NHCOO^{-} + HO(CH_{2})_{2}NH_{3}^{+}], \qquad (1.11)$$

$$MEA \qquad Carbamate pair$$

$$HO(CH_2)_2 NHCOO^- + H_3O^+ \rightleftharpoons [HO(CH_2)_2 NH_3^+ + HCO_3^-].$$
(1.12)  
Bicarbonate pair

Ion pairs are represented by square brackets and these are assumed to be tightly bound species. A CO<sub>2</sub> molecule for which both association sites are bonded is taken to exist in a carbamate structure. If only one association site is bonded ( $\alpha_1$  or  $\alpha_2$ ), the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule is assumed to be present as the bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (cf. Figure 1.6). Inherent in the adoption of a physical approach is the assumption that ions aggregate to form



**Figure 1.6** Schematic to show how the addition of association sites leads to the formation of the expected reaction products for CO<sub>2</sub> in an aqueous MEA solution: the bicarbonate pair (zwitterion) and carbamate pair. This association scheme was developed in [151] and [110].

species (e.g. carbamate) with no overall charge; this is justified by the low dielectric constant of the aqueous alkanolamines of interest compared to water, which leads to strong ion pairing.

This simple treatment of the complex reactions underlying  $CO_2$  chemisorption can be used to predict the concentrations of carbamate and bicarbonate with remarkable accuracy for various  $CO_2$  loadings and temperatures [107, 110], despite the fact that no speciation data was used in model development. However, one must be careful when using a physical approach if the reaction products are chemically very different from the reactants as the monomeric segments and association sites in the aggregate species may interact differently. In the case of amines reacting with  $CO_2$  in water, the physical description appears to be a reasonable assumption as reaction products are not very different chemically and the reaction is fully reversible.

#### 1.3.1.3 Comparison of Chemical and Physical Approaches

Most models developed to describe  $CO_2$  in solutions of aqueous amines follow a chemicalbased approach to treat the reactions. Models based on chemical theory are generally more detailed than those based on physical theory and are usually more accurate. A state-of-theart model for mixtures of  $CO_2$ , water and MEA, for instance, is that of Zhang *et al.* [136], who modelled the relevant chemical equilibria explicitly by using the electrolyte NRTL model [135]. This model accounts for the long-range ion–ion interactions and short-range interactions between the nine major ionic and neutral species present in solution. The model of Zhang *et al.* [136] provides an accurate representation of the VLE, heat capacity and speciation in  $CO_2 + H_2O + MEA$  mixtures for a broad range of temperatures and pressures, and a good representation of the enthalpy of absorption at low temperatures and for loadings of up to 0.5 mol  $CO_2$  per mol of amine, with larger deviations observed at higher temperatures and/or higher loadings. Due to the high level of detail that is embedded in this chemical model, the representation of the behaviour of this well-known solvent is more accurate than that achieved with a physical treatment using SAFT-VR SW [152].

This level of accuracy comes at a high cost in terms of experimental effort, however, as different types of data must be acquired across a wide range of conditions for model parameterization. Relevant properties include pure component and mixture VLE data, heat capacities, excess enthalpies, enthalpies of absorption and NMR spectroscopic data. Furthermore, in developing a chemical model, the reaction scheme must be postulated *a priori* and this becomes more challenging as the number of reactions increases since temperature-dependent data is required to derive an expression for each equilibrium constant. As a result of these data-intensive requirements, there is currently no chemical approach that enables the prediction of the thermodynamics of mixtures of  $CO_2$  in solvents for which no data or very limited data are available. This reliance on extensive data sets presents a considerable hurdle to the application of a model-based CAMD approach to the identification of new solvents.

On the other hand, physical models based on a SAFT EoS can be developed even with limited data (or even no data) and can then be used to predict thermodynamic properties reliably, offering a way to compare the likely performance of different solvents. To develop a homonuclear model of a mixture of  $CO_2$ , amine and  $H_2O$ , a relatively small amount of data is required in terms of types of data and number of data points. Thus, it suffices to have equilibrium data on the concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2O$  and amine at a few temperatures and pressures, and no speciation data are required. This is a result of the temperature-independence of the parameters in SAFT EoSs and of the fact that only three components (CO<sub>2</sub>, amine and H<sub>2</sub>O) need to be modelled. Thanks to their strong molecular basis, SAFT parameters that are highly transferable from compound to compound can often be obtained: for example, some of the association parameters in MEA can be derived from models of alkylamines and models of alkanols [151, 152], and other parameters can be transferred from one alkanolamine to another [110]. As a result, SAFT models for new solvents can typically be derived using very limited data sets. In the case of heteronuclear models, Chremos *et al.* [107, 153] have shown that the physical approach can also be adopted successfully to model reactive systems within the SAFT- $\gamma$  SW group contribution framework. This paves the way for the modelling of many solvents and countless solvent blends can be considered without extensive reliance on experimental data.

There is a clear synergy between physical SAFT-based models that can provide an initial assessment of the capture potential of new solvents, and chemical models that can provide a detailed representation of the behaviour of the solvent once the necessary experimental data are available for model regression. While physical models can play an important role at the conceptual design stage, helping to focus the experimental effort on the most promising candidate molecules, chemical models can assist in the detailed design of  $CO_2$  capture processes. Owing to the explicit modelling of the reaction products, reaction kinetics can easily be integrated with models based on chemical theory in order to calculate non-equilibrium concentrations. Similarly, diffusion phenomena can be modelled more rigorously when all species are treated explicitly and the effect of ionic strength on mass transfer is taken into account. Thus, chemical approaches are invaluable in the development of the accurate process models that are needed for a full assessment of potential solvents. Since the development of accurate chemical models is well understood [136], we focus in the remainder of this section on the development of physical models of chemisorption thermodynamics.

#### 1.3.2 Modelling Aqueous Mixtures of Amine Solvents and CO<sub>2</sub>

The first SAFT models of aqueous mixtures of amines and carbon dioxide were developed by Button and Gubbins [154]. The original SAFT EoS [46, 47], in which molecules are described as chains of Lennard-Jones segments, was used to predict the VLE of mixtures containing CO<sub>2</sub> and MEA or DEA solutions. Four association sites were proposed to model CO<sub>2</sub> to account for interactions occurring due to its strong quadrupole moment. Water was also modelled with four association sites, one for each hydrogen and one for each lone pair of electrons on the oxygen atom. To treat MEA, five association sites were proposed, with two sites of type e (one representing the set of two lone pairs on the OH group and one representing the lone pair on the  $NH_2$  group), and three sites of type H, to represent hydrogen bonding on the amino and hydroxyl groups of the molecules. The number of adjustable parameters used to define the molecular models was limited by assuming that the cross-association parameters between sites of types e and H were the same, regardless of whether they represent the bonding of the amino or hydroxyl groups. The number of adjustable parameters was also limited by taking cross-association parameters (describing the energy and range of association) to be the geometric mean of the pure component association parameters. Thus, only a single temperature-independent parameter needed to be estimated from phase-equilibrium

data for the MEA +  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2 + H_2O$  binary mixtures to determine the unlike dispersion energy. The unlike dispersion energy between  $CO_2$  and MEA was taken as the geometric mean of the like pair values. Following this method, the liquid mole fractions in the ternary mixture were predicted with reasonable accuracy. However, small deviations in the liquid mole fractions lead to large deviations in the predicted  $CO_2$  loadings, which were therefore predicted with limited accuracy.

Mac Dowell *et al.* [152] developed models within the framework of SAFT-VR SW [55, 56] to describe the fluid-phase behaviour of  $CO_2 + MEA + H_2O$  mixtures. Both a symmetric and an asymmetric association scheme for MEA were investigated. In the asymmetric model, the differences in association between the amine and hydroxyl functional groups are considered explicitly, whereas in a symmetric scheme these interactions are considered to be the same, as with the models proposed in [154]. The asymmetric model was found to provide a better description of the phase behaviour of mixtures than the symmetric model, especially in the description of the phase behaviour of MEA +  $CO_2$  and of ternary mixtures of  $CO_2 + MEA + H_2O$ . The transferability of parameters in SAFT was exploited. For example, the unlike hydrogen-bonding interactions between MEA and  $H_2O$  were obtained from separate studies of aqueous solutions of ethanol and ethylamine.

A similar physical treatment of the reactions (see Section 1.3) was also successfully employed in subsequent work to model the phase behaviour of mixtures of  $CO_2$  and water with alkylamines [151] within the SAFT-VR SW framework, including ammonia, NH<sub>3</sub>, and *n*-alkylamines up to *n*-hexylamine (CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> to n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>). The reactions between CO<sub>2</sub> and the amines were modelled by incorporating an association site on the  $CO_2$  molecule which interacts with the electron site on the NH<sub>3</sub> and *n*-alkylamine molecules. The parameters describing the interaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> were obtained by comparison to experimental data for the  $NH_3 + H_2O + CO_2$  ternary mixture. These were then transferred to the other *n*-alkylamines. The phase behaviour of ternary mixtures of  $CO_2 + n$ -propylamine + H<sub>2</sub>O,  $CO_2 + n$ -butylamine + H<sub>2</sub>O and  $CO_2 + n$ -hexylamine + H<sub>2</sub>O was then predicted. The ternary phase diagram for *n*-hexylamine+CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O revealed the existence of separate regions of vapour-liquid and liquid-liquid coexistence. The demixing of the absorbent could have some promising advantages, e.g. the reduction in the energy penalty associated with solvent regeneration due to the reduced volume of the charged organic-rich phase. If the solution demixes into two liquid phases upon heating, an amine-rich phase with a high concentration of  $CO_2$  and a water-rich phase with a low concentration of  $CO_2$ , only the  $CO_2$ -rich phase needs to be sent to the stripper [155]. Several experimental studies have been conducted to identify amine solvents with these phase characteristics (e.g. [156–159]). n-hexylamine was identified as a promising thermomorphic biphasic solvent [157–159] due to a liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS), as predicted in [151], upon heating. The fact that Mac Dowell et al. [151] were able to anticipate the occurrence of a phase split illustrates the significant advantage of using a predictive thermodynamic model to identify promising  $CO_2$  capture solvents.

The approach proposed in [151] was extended further in [110] to include different multi-functional amine solvents, such as diethanolamine (DEA), methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) and 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP). Extensive use was made of parameter transferability in developing the models, with the aim to minimize reliance on VLE data. A good description of the vapour pressures and liquid densities of the binary and

ternary mixtures was obtained. Speciation, as derived from the fractions of association sites  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  bonded on the CO<sub>2</sub> molecules, was successfully predicted for the MEA + CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O mixture.

Given the promising results obtained by transferring parameters from one homonuclear model to another, an initial investigation of the effectiveness of the SAFT- $\gamma$  SW group contribution EoS was undertaken by Chremos et al. [107], developing models for the groups required to represent several primary alkanolamines (e.g. MEA and MPA), and models for a broader range of amines was developed in [153]. This work represents the first treatment of the chemical reaction equilibria involved in  $CO_2$  capture within a group-contribution framework. In [107], an asymmetric association scheme was used to treat chemical reactions. To model ternary  $CO_2 + H_2O + primary$  alkanolamine mixtures, a small set of functional groups was considered: CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>OH. Group parameters were developed based on data for pure alkylamines and alkanolamines, and mixture data where available. Thanks to the transferability of groups, this set of parameters was sufficient to describe any primary alkanolamine with an alkyl chain length of three or more carbons (from monopropanolamine onwards). The predicted solubility of  $CO_2$  in aqueous MPA solution is in good agreement with experimental data, as illustrated in Figure 1.7. The predictive capabilities of the approach were also demonstrated for the absorption of  $CO_2$  in aqueous solutions of alkanolamines (5-amino-1-pentanol and 6-amino-1-hexanol), which were not considered in the parameter estimation. The scarce data available were predicted with good accuracy.

The concept of second-order groups [95-97] was adopted in [107] to treat MEA due to the importance of proximity effects in this molecule. The CH<sub>2</sub> groups present in the



**Figure 1.7** Solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in a 30 wt% MPA aqueous solution at T = 313.15 K (× ×), and 393.15 K (+ +) as a function of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> at vapour–liquid equilibrium for the ternary mixture of MPA + H<sub>2</sub>O + CO<sub>2</sub>. The solubility is represented as CO<sub>2</sub> loading,  $\theta_{CO_2}$ , defined as the number of moles of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed in the liquid phase per mole of amine in the liquid. The symbols correspond to the experimental data [160] and the curves correspond to the SAFT- $\gamma$  SW calculations. This figure has been reproduced from [107].



24 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

**Figure 1.8** Predicted mole fraction, *x*, of carbamate and bicarbonate in the liquid phase of a 30 wt% MEA aqueous solution at T=313.15 K (circles) and 333.15 K (squares) at vapour–liquid equilibrium for the ternary mixture of MEA + H<sub>2</sub>O + CO<sub>2</sub> as a function of the CO<sub>2</sub> loading,  $\theta_{CO_2}$ , defined as the number of moles of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed in the liquid phase per mole of amine in the liquid. The symbols correspond to the experimental data [161,162] with open symbols corresponding to carbamate and filled symbols to bicarbonate. The curves correspond to the SAFT- $\gamma$  SW predictions; continuous curves for 313.15 K and dot-dashed curves for 333.15 K. This figure has been reproduced from [107].

molecule are polarized due to the proximity of the NH<sub>2</sub> and OH groups. As a result, the model for MEA included the second-order CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>[CH<sub>2</sub>OH] group, which denotes a CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> group that is covalently bonded to a CH<sub>2</sub>OH group. The parameters describing the unlike interactions between CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>[CH<sub>2</sub>OH], H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> were re-evaluated by using experimental mixture data, keeping all other interaction parameters the same as the CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> group. An improved description of the MEA + H<sub>2</sub>O system was then obtained, and the phase behaviour of the CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O + MEA mixture was described with good accuracy. In addition, the concentrations of bicarbonate and carbamate were predicted accurately for this reactive mixture at different temperatures, as shown in Figure 1.8. The predictive capabilities of SAFT- $\gamma$  were further illustrated by calculating the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in a quaternary H<sub>2</sub>O + CO<sub>2</sub> + MEA + MPA mixture, which can be carried out without the specification of additional parameters.

# 1.4 Integrated Computer-aided Molecular and Process Design using SAFT

The SAFT thermodynamic platform described in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 has been successfully employed to design solvents for carbon capture. It can be used to predict the behaviour of fluid mixtures as a function of operating conditions, and is hence amenable to exploring interactions between molecular (solvent) choices and process variables. In this section, we present the CAMPD problem in greater detail and discuss some methodologies for solvent design that embed the SAFT EoSs. The CAMPD problem is given by

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{y}} \quad f(\boldsymbol{u}) \qquad (P)$$
s.t.  $\boldsymbol{g}_{P}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{n}) = 0$ 
 $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{n}) \leq 0$ 
 $\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{y} \leq \boldsymbol{d}$ 
 $\boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{y} = 0$ 
 $\boldsymbol{u}^{L} \leq \boldsymbol{u} \leq \boldsymbol{u}^{U}$ 
 $\boldsymbol{n}^{L} \leq \boldsymbol{n} \leq \boldsymbol{n}^{U}$ 
 $\boldsymbol{y} = \{0,1\}^{q}$ 

where  $u \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^c$  is a vector of continuous process (design) variables and  $n \in N \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a vector of continuous variables that represent the number of groups of each type in the molecule. *y* is a *q*-dimensional vector of binary variables.  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is the process objective.  $g_p$  is a set of process and property constraints, *g* represents process constraints,  $Cy \leq d$  represents molecular feasibility constraints (such as the octet rule [15] and n + Dy = 0 is a set of constraints that ensures that *n* take on integer values only.  $(u^L, n^L)$  and  $(u^U, n^U)$  are lower and upper bounds on the continuous design variables, respectively.

#### 1.4.1 CAMPD of Physical Absorption Systems

The solution of the CAMPD problem (P), in the context of carbon capture via absorption, yields the optimal solvent structure and operating conditions of the process. A realistic process must include both the absorption of  $CO_2$  and regeneration and subsequent recycle of the solvent. As an example we consider a simple process flowsheet for the physical absorption of carbon dioxide from high pressure natural gas, as shown in Figure 1.9. The feed enters at the bottom of the absorber (with *N* stages) at a temperature  $T_F$  and a vapour composition  $\mathbf{x}_F^V$ . The clean natural gas exits at the top of the absorber. A solvent stream is contacted with the gas stream, with the clean solvent entering the absorber at the top and the loaded solvent leaving from the bottom stage. In this pressure-driven process, the spent solvent is regenerated at a lower pressure in a flash drum. The recycled solvent is mixed with fresh solvent to make up for solvent losses.

Problem (P), which involves both continuous and integer decisions, belongs to the class of mixed integer non-linear problems (MINLP). As with other MINLPs, the discrete decisions lead to a combinatorial explosion of the solution space [163]. Furthermore, for any given combination of the binary variables, the solution of the resulting non-linear problem (NLP) can be very challenging. As the process and thermodynamic models consist of a large number of coupled non-linear equations, its solution requires a good initial guess. Incompatible combinations of process and molecular variables can also result in numerical failure. For example, the evaluation of the absorber model may fail if, for the solvent under consideration, there are conditions within the process design space at which only one phase is present. Finally, the non-convexity of



Figure 1.9 A simple flowsheet for a carbon-capture process.

the feasible space is yet another challenge in converging to the optimal solution. To overcome some of these challenges, several strategies have been adopted to solve the CAMPD problem for carbon capture.

Pereira et al. [25] solved a simplified version of the CAMPD problem arising from the absorption of  $CO_2$  from a methane stream by a reformulation into a continuous optimization problem, which was made possible by restricting the solvent design space to mixtures of *n*-alkanes. The required thermophysical properties were obtained by applying the principle of congruence within the homologous family of alkanes, and adopting a SAFT-VR SW model of *n*-alkanes using the chain length [44], and transferable parameters to model their mixtures with  $CO_2$  and methane (cf. Section 1.2.4). The average chain length of the alkanes in the solvent blend was represented by a continuous variable,  $n_{C}$ , that could be directly related to SAFT parameters, thereby removing the need for binary variables. The results of this study suggest that the optimal *n*-alkanes in the blend and the optimal process conditions vary with the concentration of CO2 in the feed. The results indicated that process and molecular level decisions interact strongly, and that the optimal molecule is sensitive to both process specifications (inputs) and process variables. This was further confirmed by studying a modified flowsheet that includes an additional heat exchanger [164]. While this investigation showed that the SAFT-VR EoSs can be used for the design of a blend of solvents, searching within a homologous series is restrictive and the extension of this approach to a broader range of solvents requires tackling the integer nature of the problem.

Another approach to solving the CAMPD MINLP, the continuous molecular targeting CAMD (CoMT-CAMD) approach, was proposed in [17]. The separation of carbon dioxide from hydrogen and water was studied. In the first step of the study, the parameters of a hypothetical optimal solvent  $p^*$  and the corresponding process variables that optimize the process objective were determined. The hypothetical solvent  $p^*$  was represented in terms of the continuous parameters of the homonuclear PC-SAFT EoS [58], rather than via its molecular structure, so that an NLP was obtained. In the second stage, solvents in a database were examined to find the solvent with parameters p that is closest to  $p^*$ . This solvent is expected to exhibit a similar performance in the process as the hypothetical solvent. In more recent work [27], the second stage was replaced by an integer optimization problem to find n by setting the vector p equal to  $\sum_i (n_i p_i)$ , where  $p_i$  represents the parameters of group i in the GPC-SAFT equation [102], the group contribution version of the PC-SAFT EoS. The two-stage approach circumvents the solution of the highly nonlinear MINLP (P). However, the combination of parameters  $p^*$  is not necessarily attainable by a real solvent that obeys molecular feasibility constraints. Furthermore, the use of group parameters to form molecular parameters results in an averaging of group properties and the need for unlike molecular parameters which has been found to be less accurate than a heteronuclear GC version of SAFT [102].

With a view to maintain a tight integration between the molecular and process design problems, Burger et al. [26] developed a two-stage hierarchical optimization methodology, HiOpt, in their CAMPD study of the removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from a high-pressure methane stream, based on the flowsheet shown in Figure 1.9. Hierarchical optimization is an approach in which models of increasing complexity are developed and optimized for the solution of challenging optimization problems. In the first step, a reduced, or simplified, process model was constructed assuming isothermal operation, an absorber with an infinite number of theoretical stages, no solvent losses from the absorber, an ideal vapour phase in the flash drum, and 100% recovery of any  $CO_2$  and methane in the loaded solvent in the vapour stream leaving the flash drum. A mixed-integer multiobjective optimization (MOO) problem was solved to minimize the solvent flowrate and solvent losses, while maximizing the treated gas flowrate. The decision variables included a reduced set of degrees of freedom for the process and discrete variables  $y_{i}$ representing the structure of the solvent. Points lying on the Pareto front of the MOO problem were used as initial guesses for the optimization of the full MINLP CAMPD problem (P). The identification of these starting facilitates convergence of the MINLP solver to a solution. The authors used the heteronuclear SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie equation of state [34] for property prediction. The solvent search space comprised of the families of linear *n*-alkanes and linear ethers with various numbers of ether groups (requiring the groups CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>, cO, eO, as described in Section 1.2.4). Penta(oxymethylene)dimethyl ether was identified as an optimal solvent [26]. The optimal process conditions using this solvent are shown in the last row of Table 1.3.

A comparison between two cases with different solvent design spaces is shown in Table 1.3. The objective to be maximized in both cases is the net present value of the process, NPV, in billion USD. It may be seen that in the work of Pereira *et al.* [25], where the solvent design space was restricted to blends of *n*-alkanes, the NPV was 22% lower, than in the work of Burger *et al.* [26] even though the concentration of  $CO_2$  in the feed to be separated,  $x_{F_{CO_2}}^V$ , is lower and therefore the separation to achieve the same purity of 3 mol% of  $CO_2$  in the clean gas stream is less demanding. This clearly highlights the important role of the solvent in determining process performance, as the expansion of the design space to include linear alkylethers results in a significant improvement in the NPV.

Ref.	$x_{F_{CO_2}}^{V}$	Solvent design space	P <sub>abs</sub> /MPa	$F_{\rm sol}/\rm kmols^{-1}$	NPV/10 <sup>9</sup> USD
[25]	0.10	alkane blends	3	1.78	1.342
[26]	0.20	ethers + alkanes	3.84	0.84	1.721

**Table 1.3** Comparison of CAMPD results using a solvent design space of a blend of alkanes and ethers and alkanes. Two different values of the feed mole fraction of  $CO_2$ ,  $X_{F_{CO_2}}^{\nu}$ , were used. The optimal values of the absorber,  $P_{abs}$ , the solvent flowrate,  $F_{sol}$ , and the net present value, NPV, are reported.

#### 1.4.2 CAMPD of Chemical Absorption Systems

The use of optimization techniques to design solvents has not been restricted to physical absorption systems alone. CAMPD systems for chemisorption have been made possible due to the development of group-contribution methods capable of assessing combined physical and chemical equilibrium of systems of reactive solvents and CO<sub>2</sub>.

As has been discussed in Section 1.3.2, the use of physical association to describe the phase and chemical equilibrium at the heart of chemisorption paves the way for solvent design by making it possible to construct predictive thermodynamic models of reactive mixtures. The suitability of such models to provide a rapid and early assessment of absorption performance based on a process model has recently been demonstrated through an extensive comparison of predicted and pilot-plant data for an absorber using monoethanolamine solutions [165]. Two modelling strategies were investigated: one in which no pilot-plant data is used, and one in which one set of data from one pilot-plant run is used to fit one model parameter related to mass transfer. It was found that in the absence of data, the proposed approach provides a useful bound on achievable process performance, while quantitative agreement between pilot-plant data and predicted absorption profiles can be obtained by extrapolating from a single pilot-plan run. Typical results from such an approach are illustrated in Figure 1.10. This indicates that physical absorption models based on the SAFT EoSs are a valuable tool to guide the identification of promising solvents prior to experimentation.

In a first integrated design strategy employing such models, multi-functional amine solvents have been designed by developing a three-step methodology [29]. Novel solvent structures and existing amine solvents were screened in a first step. The solutions of a MOO problem, along with database screening, were used to identify solvents with favourable thermodynamic, reactivity and sustainability characteristics. Computationally simple group-contribution models were used to predict the properties of pure solvent candidates in the first step. In the second step, high-performing molecules were further screened based on their VLE behaviour, which was predicted using the SAFT-VR SW and SAFT- $\gamma$  SW EoSs for mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub>, water and amines as discussed in Section 1.3.2. Finally, the performance of selected solvents was evaluated through simulations of process flowsheets of various configurations. The results of the study showed that both the solvent structure and the process flowsheet configuration can have a huge impact on the cost and energy requirements of the carbon-capture system. For instance, a carboncapture flow sheet where a portion of the solvent stream was cooled between absorber stages, reduced the energy demand of the process to less than 80% of its value without cooling.



**Figure 1.10** Comparison of the pilot-plant data (circles) for the chemisorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous monoethanolamine from Run T13 in [166] and predicted results using a SAFT-VR SW thermodynamic model (curves) for Run T13. The dashed curves represent the results obtained without any use of pilot-plant data in model development and the continuous curves the results obtained by fitting one model parameter to one run (Run T22). (a) Temperature profile for the liquid phase, (b) gas-phase CO<sub>2</sub> concentration profile, and (c) liquid-phase CO<sub>2</sub> loading. Stage 50 corresponds to the bottom of the column. Figure reproduced from [165].

#### 1.5 Conclusions

The applicability of molecular systems engineering concepts to  $CO_2$  capture has been discussed in this chapter. Molecular systems engineering, through its combination of molecular-based predictive models and computer-aided molecular and process design, offers the potential to design improved solvents in order to guide experimental investigations. It helps to reduce the time and investment needed to arrive at improved processes. The development of EoSs rooted in formal molecular theories, their extension to describe reaction equilibria, and the development of solution methodologies for the integrated solvent and process design problem all play an important role in realizing this potential.

#### 30 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents

Recent advances in the SAFT equations of state, in particular the development of a group-contribution framework, have put the reliable prediction of a wide range of thermodynamic properties for novel solvents and their mixtures within reach. Of interest in physical absorption, the prediction of the dependence on temperature, pressure and composition of the fluid-phase equilibria of mixtures containing CO<sub>2</sub>, alkanes and potential solvents has become feasible in the absence of experimental data, even under conditions of high pressure and/or high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The use of the Mie potential in the SAFT- $\gamma$  Mie equation ensures that a thermodynamically consistent model is available to obtain the thermodynamic properties relevant to process design to a good level of quantitative accuracy. In the context of chemical absorption, the physical approach to association embedded within the SAFT framework provides an advantageous platform for the prediction of the phase and chemical equilibria of mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub>, amines and water. As with models that account for phase equilibria only, the thermodynamic behaviour of mixtures containing novel molecules can be predicted by using the SAFT- $\gamma$  SW equation of state. These models thus enable the solution of CAMPD problems involving the processing of  $CO_2$ . This approach is the foundation of a molecular systems engineering of carbon-capture systems and new groups and their parameters are currently being developed [45, 107, 114, 167], so that an increasingly wide range of structures can be described within the SAFT framework.

In tandem with these developments, several promising methodologies have been put forward to solve CAMPD problems that span larger and larger search spaces. Different approaches to problem decomposition have been proposed, resulting either in the approximate solution of the CAMPD problem [25, 27, 29, 164], or in the generation of starting points that facilitate convergence to the full solution [26]. These approaches have led to the identification of promising solvents for physical absorption and for chemical absorption of  $CO_2$  capture. The HiOpt approach [26] makes it possible to tackle the non-linearity of the MINLP problem to achieve convergence in a larger solvent design space, but more powerful algorithms may be required to design solvents from larger search spaces, to deal with more complex flowsheets and to design solvent blends. The reliable solution of optimization problems that integrate choices of solvent structure, solvent blend-composition, process variables and topology, while employing molecular-based thermodynamic models, remains an exciting challenge in molecular systems engineering.

#### List of Abbreviations

AMP	2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol
CAMD	computer-aided molecular design
CAMPD	computer-aided molecular and process design
CCUS	carbon capture, utilization and storage
CoMT-CAMD	continuous molecular targeting CAMD
DEA	diethanolamine
EoS	equation of state
GC	group contribution
MDEA	N-methyl diethanolamine

MEA	monoethanolamine
MINLP	mixed integer non-linear problems
MOO	mixed-integer multi-objective optimization
MPA	3-amino-1-propanol
MPA	monopropanolamine
MSE	molecular systems engineering
NLP	non-linear problem
SAFT	statistical associating fluid theory
SW	square-well
TPT	thermodynamic perturbation theory

# Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) of the UK (grants EP/E016340, EP/J014958, EP/J003840, and a Doctoral Prize Fellowship), and the Commission of the European Union (project FP7-ENERGY-2011-282789), and through an Imperial College Scholarship.

# References

- 1 Olajire, A.A. (2010) CO<sub>2</sub> capture and separation technologies for end-of-pipe applications A review. *Energy*, **35**, 2610–2628.
- 2 MacDowell, N., Florin, N., Buchard, A. *et al.* (2010) An overview of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies. *Energy & Environmental Science*, **3**, 1645–1669.
- **3** Kenarsari, S.D., Yang, D., Jiang, G. *et al.* (2013) Review of recent advances in carbon dioxide separation and capture. *RSC Advances*, **3**, 22739–22773.
- 4 Chakma, A. (1997) CO<sub>2</sub> capture processes Opportunities for improved energy efficiencies. *Energy Conversion and Management*, **38**.
- 5 Songolzadeh, M., Soleimani, M., Ravanchi, M.T. and Songolzadeh, R. (2014) Carbon dioxide separation from flue gases: A technological review emphasizing reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014.
- **6** Yu, C.H., Huang, C.H. and Tan, C.S. (2012) A review of CO<sub>2</sub> capture by absorption and adsorption. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, **12**, 745–769.
- **7** Privalova, E., Nurmi, M., Marañón, M. *et al.* (2012) CO<sub>2</sub> removal with switchable versus classical ionic liquids. *Separation and Purification Technology*, **97**, 42–50.
- 8 Eden, M.R., Jørgensen, S.B., Gani, R. and El-Halwagi, M.M. (2004) A novel framework for simultaneous separation process and product design. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, **43**, 595–608.
- 9 Gani, R. (2004) Chemical product design: challenges and opportunities. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, **28**, 2441–2457.
- 10 Duvedi, A.P. and Achenie, L.E.K. (1996) Designing environmentally safe refrigerants using mathematical programming. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 51, 3727–3739.

- 32 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents
  - 11 Churi, N. and Achenie, L.E.K. (1997) On the use of a mixed integer nonlinear programming model for refrigerant design. *International Transactions of Operational Research*, **4**, 45–54.
  - Apostolakou, A. and Adjiman, C.S. (2002) Optimization methods in CAMD-II, in *Computer Aided Molecular Design: Theory and Practice*, vol. 12 (eds L.E. Achenie, R. Gani and V. Venkatasubramanian), Elsevier, pp. 63–93.
  - **13** Wang, Y. and Achenie, L.E. (2002) Computer aided solvent design for extractive fermentation. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **201**, 1–18.
  - 14 Samudra, A.P. and Sahinidis, N.V. (2013) Optimization-based framework for computeraided molecular design. *AIChE Journal*, **59**, 3686–3701.
  - **15** Odele, O. and Macchietto, S. (1993) Computer aided molecular design: a novel method for optimal solvent selection. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **82**, 47–54.
  - 16 Buxton, A., Livingston, A.G. and Pistikopoulos, E.N. (1999) Optimal design of solvent blends for environmental impact minimization. *AIChE Journal*, 45, 817–843.
  - N.C. Alves R. and E. Biscaia E.C. (eds) (2009) A continuous targeting approach for integrated solvent and process design based on molecular thermodynamic models.
     10th International Symposium on Process Systems Engineering, Elsevier.
  - 18 J.D.S. Mario R. Eden and G.P. Towler (eds) (2014) *Molecules matter: The expanding envelope of process design*. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Foundations of Computer-Aided Process Design, Elsevier.
  - 19 Hostrup, M., Harper, P.M. and Gani, R. (1999) Design of environmentally benign processes: integration of solvent design and separation process synthesis. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 23, 1395–1414.
  - 20 Kim, K.J. and Diwekar, U.M. (2002) Integrated solvent selection and recycling for continuous processes. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **41**, 4479–4488.
  - **21** Giovanoglou, A., Barlatier, J., Adjiman, C.S. *et al.* (2003) Optimal solvent design for batch separation based on economic performance. *AIChE Journal*, **49**, 3095–3109.
  - 22 Marcoulaki, E., Kokossis, A. and Batzias, F. (2000) Novel chemicals for clean and efficient processes using stochastic optimization. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 24, 705–710.
  - **23** Papadopoulos, A.I. and Linke, P. (2006) Efficient integration of optimal solvent and process design using molecular clustering. *Chemical Engineering Science*, **61**, 6316–6336.
  - 24 Eljack, F.T., Solvason, C.C., Chemmangattuvalappil, N. and Eden, M.R. (2008) A property based approach for simultaneous process and molecular design. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, **16**, 424–434.
  - **25** Pereira, F.E., Keskes, E., Galindo, A. *et al.* (2011) Integrated solvent and process design using a SAFT-VR thermodynamic description: High-pressure separation of carbon dioxide and methane. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, **35**, 474–491.
  - 26 Burger, J., Papaioannou, V., Gopinath, S. *et al.* (2015) A hierarchical method to integrated solvent and process design of physical CO<sub>2</sub> absorption using the SAFT-γ Mie approach. *AIChE Journal*, **61**, 3249–3269.
  - 27 Lampe, M., Stavrou, M., Schilling, J. *et al.* (2015) Computer-aided molecular design in the continuous-molecular targeting framework using group-contribution PC-SAFT. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 81, 278–287.
  - 28 Ng, L.Y., Chong, F.K. and Chemmangattuvalappil, N.G. (2015) Challenges and opportunities in computer-aided molecular design. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 81, 115–129.

- 29 Papadokonstantakis, S., Badr, S., Hungerbühler, K. *et al.* (2015) Toward sustainable solvent-based postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture: From molecules to conceptual flowsheet design, in *Sustainability of Products, Processes and Supply Chains: Theory and Applications*, vol. **36** (ed. F. You), Elsevier, pp. 279–310.
- **30** Zhou, T., McBride, K., Zhang, X. *et al.* (2015) Integrated solvent and process design exemplified for a Diels-Alder reaction. *AIChE Journal*, **61**, 147–158.
- **31** Adjiman, C.S. and Galindo, A. (eds.) (2011) *Molecular Systems Engineering, Process Systems Engineering*, vol. 6. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany.
- **32** Poling, B.E., Prausnitz, J.M. and O'Connell, J.P. (2001) *The Properties of Gases and Liquids*. 5th edn, McGraw-Hill Education, New York.
- **33** Lafitte, T., Apostolakou, A., Avendaño, C. *et al.* (2013) Accurate statistical associating fluid theory for chain molecules formed from Mie segments. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **139**, 154504.
- 34 Papaioannou, V., Lafitte, T., Avendaño, C. *et al.* (2014) Group contribution methodology based on the statistical associating fluid theory for heteronuclear molecules formed from Mie segments. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 140, 054107.
- **35** Chong, F.K., Foo, D.C.Y., Eljack, F.T. *et al.* (2015) Ionic liquid design for enhanced carbon dioxide capture by computer-aided molecular design approach. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, **17**, 1301–1312.
- **36** Salazar, J., Diwekar, U., Joback, K. *et al.* (2013) Solvent selection for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Energy Procedia*, **37**, 257–264.
- 37 Zarogiannis, T., Papadopoulos, A.I. and Seferlis, P. (2016) Systematic selection of amine mixtures as post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture solvent candidates. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136 part B, 159–175.
- 38 Bommareddy, S., Chemmangattuvalappil, N.G., Solvason, C.C. and Eden, M.R. (2010) An algebraic approach for simultaneous solution of process and molecular design problems. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 27, 441–450.
- 39 Chemmangattuvalappil, N.G. and Eden, M.R. (2013) A novel methodology for property-based molecular design using multiple topological indices. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 52, 7090–7103.
- **40** McCabe, C. and Galindo, A. (2010) SAFT associating fluids and fluid mixtures, in *Applied Thermodynamics of Fluids* (eds A.R.H. Goodwin, J.V. Sengers and C.J. Peters), RSC Publishing, pp. 215–279.
- **41** Kontogeorgis, G.M. and Folas, G.K. (2010) *Thermodynamic Models for Industrial Applications: From Classical and Advanced Mixing Rules to Association Theories,* John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- **42** Kraska, T. and Gubbins, K.E. (1996) Phase equilibria calculations with a modified SAFT equation of state. 1. Pure alkanes, alkanols, and water. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **35**, 4727–4737.
- **43** Blas, F.J. and Galindo, A. (2002) Study of the high pressure phase behaviour of  $CO_2$  + n-alkane mixtures using the SAFT-VR approach with transferable parameters. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **194**, 501–509.
- 44 McCabe, C. and Jackson, G. (1999) SAFT-VR modelling of the phase equilibrium of long-chain n-alkanes. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, **1**, 2057–2064.
- **45** Papaioannou, V., Calado, F., Lafitte, T. *et al.* (2016) Application of the SAFT-γ Mie group contribution equation of state to fluids of relevance to the oil and gas industry. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **416**, 104–119.

- 34 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents
  - **46** Chapman, W.G., Gubbins, K.E., Jackson, G. and Radosz, M. (1989) SAFT: Equation-ofstate solution model for associating fluids. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **52**, 31–38.
  - 47 Chapman, W.G., Gubbins, K.E., Jackson, G. and Radosz, M. (1990) New reference equation of state for associating liquids. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 29, 1709–1721.
  - **48** Wertheim, M.S. (1984) Fluids with highly directional attractive forces. 1. Statistical thermodynamics. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **35**, 19–34.
  - **49** Wertheim, M.S. (1984) Fluids with highly directional attractive forces. 2. Thermodynamic perturbation-theory and integral-equations. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **35**, 35–47.
  - **50** Wertheim, M.S. (1986) Fluids with highly directional attractive forces. 3. Multiple attraction sites. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **42**, 459–476.
  - **51** Wertheim, M.S. (1986) Fluids with highly directional attractive forces. 4. Equilibrium polymerization. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **42**, 477–492.
  - **52** Huang, S.H. and Radosz, M. (1990) Equation of state for small, large, polydisperse, and associating molecules. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **29**, 2284–2294.
  - 53 Fu, Y.H. and Sandler, S.I. (1995) A simplified SAFT equation of state for associating compounds and mixtures. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 34, 1897–1909.
  - **54** Kraska, T. and Gubbins, K.E. (1996) Phase equilibria calculations with a modified SAFT equation of state. 2. Binary mixtures of *n*-alkanes, 1-alkanols, and water. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **35**, 4738–4746.
  - **55** Gil-Villegas, A., Galindo, A., Whitehead, P.J. *et al.* (1997) Statistical associating fluid theory for chain molecules with attractive potentials of variable range. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, **106**, 4168–4186.
  - **56** Galindo, A., Davies, L.A., Gil-Villegas, A. and Jackson, G. (1998) The thermodynamics of mixtures and the corresponding mixing rules in the SAFT-VR approach for potentials of variable range. *Molecular Physics*, **93**, 241–252.
  - 57 Blas, F.J. and Vega, L.F. (1998) Prediction of binary and ternary diagrams using the statistical associating fluid theory (SAFT) equation of state. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 37, 660–674.
  - **58** Gross, J. and Sadowski, G. (2001) Perturbed-chain SAFT: An equation of state based on a perturbation theory for chain molecules. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **40**, 1244–1260.
  - **59** von Solms, N., Michelsen, M.L. and Kontogeorgis, G.M. (2003) Computational and physical performance of a modified PC-SAFT equation of state for highly asymmetric and associating mixtures. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **42**, 1098–1105.
  - **60** Zhao, H. and McCabe, C. (2006) Phase behavior of dipolar fluids from a modified statistical associating fluid theory for potentials of variable range. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **125**, 104504.
  - **61** Jog, P.K., Sauer, S.G., Blaesing, J. and Chapman, W.G. (2001) Application of dipolar chain theory to the phase behavior of polar fluids and mixtures. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **40**, 4641–4648.
  - **62** Tumakaka, F. and Sadowski, G. (2004) Application of the perturbed-chain SAFT equation of state to polar systems. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **217**, 233–239.
  - **63** Tumakaka, F., Gross, J. and Sadowski, G. (2005) Thermodynamic modeling of complex systems using PC-SAFT. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **228**, 89–98.

- **64** Sauer, S.G. and Chapman, W.G. (2003) A parametric study of dipolar chain theory with applications to ketone mixtures. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **42**, 5687–5696.
- **65** Karakatsani, E.K., Spyriouni, T. and Economou, I.G. (2005) Extended statistical associating fluid theory (SAFT) equations of state for dipolar fluids. *AIChE Journal*, **51**, 2328–2342.
- **66** Karakatsani, E.K. and Economou, I.G. (2006) Perturbed chain-statistical associating fluid theory extended to dipolar and quadrupolar molecular fluids. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **110**, 9252–9261.
- **67** Karakatsani, E.K., Kontogeorgis, G.M. and Economou, I.G. (2006) Evaluation of the truncated perturbed chain-polar statistical associating fluid theory for complex mixture fluid phase equilibria. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **45**, 6063–6074.
- **68** Gross, J. (2005) An equation-of-state contribution for polar components: Quadrupolar molecules. *AIChE Journal*, **51**, 2556–2568.
- **69** Gross, J. and Vrabec, J. (2006) An equation-of-state contribution for polar components: Dipolar molecules. *AIChE Journal*, **52**, 1194–1204.
- **70** Kleiner, M. and Gross, J. (2006) An equation of state contribution for polar components: Polarizable dipoles. *AIChE Journal*, **52**, 1951–1961.
- **71** Karakatsani, E.K. and Economou, I.G. (2007) Phase equilibrium calculations for multicomponent polar fluid mixtures with tPC-PSAFT. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **261**, 265–271.
- **72** Kleiner, M. and Sadowski, G. (2007) Modeling of polar systems using PCP-SAFT: An approach to account for induced-association interactions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, **111**, 15544–15553.
- **73** Galindo, A., Gil-Villegas, A., Jackson, G. and Burgess, A.N. (1999) SAFT-VRE: Phase behavior of electrolyte solutions with the statistical associating fluid theory for potentials of variable range. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **103**, 10272–10281.
- **74** Liu, W.B., Li, Y.G. and Lu, J.F. (1999) A new equation of state for real aqueous ionic fluids based on electrolyte perturbation theory, mean spherical approximation and statistical associating fluid theory. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **158-160**, 595–606.
- **75** Gil-Villegas, A., Galindo, A. and Jackson, G. (2001) A statistical associating fluid theory for electrolyte solutions (SAFT-VRE). *Molecular Physics*, **99**, 531–546.
- 76 Patel, B.H., Paricaud, P., Galindo, A. and Maitland, G.C. (2003) Prediction of the salting-out effect of strong electrolytes on water + alkane solutions. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 42, 3809–3823.
- **77** Behzadi, B., Patel, B., Galindo, A. and Ghotbi, C. (2005) Modeling electrolyte solutions with the SAFT-VR equation using Yukawa potentials and the mean-spherical approximation. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **236**, 241–255.
- **78** Cameretti, L.F., Sadowski, G. and Mollerup, J.M. (2005) Modeling of aqueous electrolyte solutions with perturbed-chain statistical associated fluid theory. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **44**, 3355–3362.
- **79** Held, C., Cameretti, L.F. and Sadowski, G. (2008) Modeling aqueous electrolyte solutions: Part 1. fully dissociated electrolytes. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **270**, 87–96.
- **80** Held, C. and Sadowski, G. (2009) Modeling aqueous electrolyte solutions. Part 2. Weak electrolytes. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **279**, 141–148.
- **81** Schreckenberg, J.M., Dufal, S., Haslam, A.J. *et al.* (2014) Modelling of the thermodynamic and solvation properties of electrolyte solutions with the statistical associating fluid theory for potentials of variable range. *Molecular Physics*, **112**, 2339–2364.

- 36 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents
  - 82 Barker, J.A. and Henderson, D. (1976) What is 'liquid'? Understanding the states of matter. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 48, 587–671.
  - 83 Lafitte, T., Bessieres, D., Piñeiro, M.M. and Daridon, J.L. (2006) Simultaneous estimation of phase behavior and second-derivative properties using the statistical associating fluid theory with variable range approach. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 124, 024509.
  - 84 Dufal, S., Lafitte, T., Haslam, A.J. *et al.* (2015) The A in SAFT: developing the contribution of association to the Helmholtz free energy within a Wertheim TPT1 treatment of generic Mie fluids. *Molecular Physics*, 113, 948–984.
  - 85 Dufal, S. (2013) Development and application of advanced thermodynamic molecular description for complex reservoir fluids containing carbon dioxide and brines. PhD Thesis. Imperial College London.
  - 86 Dufal, S., Lafitte, T., Galindo, A. *et al.* (2015) Developing intermolecular-potential models for use with the SAFT-VR Mie Equation of State. *AIChE Journal*, 61, 2891–2912.
  - **87** Ramrattan, N.S., Avendao, C., Müller, E.A. and Galindo, A. (2015) A correspondingstates framework for the description of the Mie family of intermolecular potentials. *Molecular Physics*, **113**, 932–947.
  - 88 Aspen Technology, Inc. (1994-2016). Aspen Plus v8.8. http://www.aspentech.com/ products/aspen-plus/
  - **89** Medeiros, D. and Reichert, G. (2015). DWSIM v4. http://dwsim.inforside.com.br/wiki/ index.php?title=Main\_Page
  - **90** KBC Advanced Technologies PLC. (2016). Multiflash v6. http://www.kbcat.com/ technology/infochem-software
  - **91** Process Systems Enterprise (1997-2016). gPROMS ModelBuilder v4.2.0. https://www.psenterprise.com/products/gproms
  - 92 Process Systems Enterprise (2016). gSAFT. https://www.psenterprise.com/products/ gproms/gsaft
  - 93 Papaioannou, V., Adjiman, C.S., Jackson, G. and Galindo, A. (2011) Group contribution methodologies for the prediction of thermodynamic properties and phase behavior in mixtures, in *Molecular Systems Engineering*, vol. 6, (eds E.N. Pistikopoulos, M.C. Georgiadia and V. Dua), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, pp. 135–172.
  - **94** Fredenslund, A., Jones, R.L. and Prausnitz, J.M. (1975) Group-contribution estimation of activity coefficients in nonideal liquid mixtures. *AIChE Journal*, **21**, 1086–1099.
  - **95** Constantinou, L. and Gani, R. (1994) New group contribution method for estimating properties of pure compounds. *AIChE Journal*, **40**, 1697–1710.
  - **96** Abildskov, J., Constantinou, L. and Gani, R. (1996) Towards the development of a second-order approximation in activity coefficient models based on group contributions. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **118**, 1–12.
  - **97** Kang, J.W., Abildskov, J., Gani, R. and Cobas, J. (2002) Estimation of mixture properties from first-and second-order group contributions with the UNIFAC model. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **41**, 3260–3273.
  - **98** Vijande, J., Pineiro, M., Bessieres, D. *et al.* (2004) Description of PVT behaviour of hydrofluoroethers using the PC-SAFT EOS. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, **6**, 766–770.
  - **99** Tamouza, S., Passarello, J.P., Tobaly, P. and de Hemptinne, J.C. (2004) Group contribution method with SAFT EOS applied to vapor liquid equilibria of various hydrocarbon series. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **222**, 67–76.

- 100 Thi, T.X.N., Tamouza, S., Tobaly, P. *et al.* (2005) Application of group contribution SAFT equation of state (GC-SAFT) to model phase behaviour of light and heavy esters. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 238, 254–261.
- 101 Tihic, A., Kontogeorgis, G.M., von Solms, N. *et al.* (2007) A predictive groupcontribution simplified PC-SAFT equation of state: Application to polymer systems. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 47, 5092–5101.
- 102 Sauer, E., Stavrou, M. and Gross, J. (2014) Comparison between a homo- and a heterosegmented group contribution approach based on the perturbed-chain polar statistical associating fluid theory equation of state. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 53, 14854–14864.
- 103 Lymperiadis, A., Adjiman, C.S., Galindo, A. and Jackson, G. (2007) A group contribution method for associating chain molecules based on the statistical associating fluid theory (SAFT-γ). *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 127, 234903.
- **104** Lymperiadis, A., Adjiman, C.S., Jackson, G. and Galindo, A. (2008) A generalisation of the SAFT-γ group contribution method for groups comprising multiple spherical segments. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **274**, 85–104.
- 105 Peng, Y., Goff, K.D., dos Ramos, M.C. and McCabe, C. (2009) Developing a predictive group-contribution-based SAFT-VR equation of state. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 277, 131–144.
- 106 McCabe, C., Gil-Villegas, A., Jackson, G. and Del Rio, F. (1999) The thermodynamics of heteronuclear molecules formed from bonded square-well (BSW) segments using the SAFT-VR approach. *Molecular Physics*, 97, 551–558.
- 107 Chremos, A., Forte, E., Papaioannou, V. *et al.* (2016) Modelling the phase and chemical equilibria of aqueous solutions of alkanolamines and carbon dioxide using the SAFT-γ SW group contribution approach. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **40**7, 280–297.
- 108 Clark, G.N., Haslam, A.J., Galindo, A. and Jackson, G. (2006) Developing optimal Wertheim-like models of water for use in Statistical Associating Fluid Theory (SAFT) and related approaches. *Molecular Physics*, **104**, 3561–3581.
- **109** dos Ramos, M.C., Docherty, H., Blas, F.J. and Galindo, A. (2009) Application of the generalised SAFT-VR approach for long-ranged square-well potentials to model the phase behaviour of real fluids. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, **276**, 116–126.
- Rodrguez, J., Mac Dowell, N., Llovell, F. *et al.* (2012) Modelling the fluid phase behaviour of aqueous mixtures of multifunctional alkanolamines and carbon dioxide using transferable parameters with the SAFT-VR approach. *Molecular Physics*, 110, 1325–1348.
- 111 Paricaud, P., Galindo, A. and Jackson, G. (2004) Modeling the cloud curves and the solubility of gases in amorphous and semicrystalline polyethylene with the SAFT-VR approach and Flory theory of crystallization. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 43, 6871–6889.
- **112** Galindo, A. and Blas, F.J. (2002) Theoretical examination of the global fluid phase behavior and critical phenomena in carbon dioxide + *n*-alkane binary mixtures. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **106**, 4503–4515.
- 113 Papaioannou, V. (2013) A molecular-based group contribution equation of state for the description of fluid phase behaviour and thermodynamic derivative properties of mixtures. PhD Thesis. Imperial College London.

- **38** 1 The Development of a Molecular Systems Engineering Approach to the Design of Carbon-capture Solvents
  - 114 Dufal, S., Papaioannou, V., Sadeqzadeh, M. *et al.* (2014) Prediction of thermodynamic properties and phase behavior of fluids and mixtures with the SAFT-γ Mie group-contribution equation of state. *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, **59**, 3272–3288.
  - 115 Ambrose, D., Ellender, J., Sprake, C. and Townsend, R. (1976) Thermodynamic properties of organic oxygen compounds XLIII. Vapour pressures of some ethers. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*, 8, 165–178.
  - **116** Cidlinsk'y, J. and Polak, J. (1969) Saturated vapour pressures of some ethers. *Collection of Czechoslovak Chemical Communications*, **34**, 1317–1321.
  - 117 Treszczanowicz, T. and Lu, B.C.Y. (1986) Isothermal vapour-liquid equilibria for 11 examples of (an ether + a hydrocarbon). *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*, 18, 213–220.
  - **118** Timmermans, J. (1965) *Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Substances*, Elsevier.
  - **119** Wu, H.S. and Sandler, S.I. (1988) Vapor-liquid equilibria for binary mixtures of butyl ether with 2-furaldehyde and with 2-, 3-, and 4-heptanone. *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, **33**, 316–321.
  - **120** Vilcu, R., Gainar, I., Anitescu, G. and Perisanu, S. (1993) The solubility of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> in dimethylether-diethyleneglycol. *Revue Roumaine de Chimie*, **38**, 1169–1172.
  - 121 Aschenbrenner, O. and Styring, P. (2010) Comparative study of solvent properties for carbon dioxide absorption. *Energy & Environmental Science*, **3**, 1106–1113.
  - **122** Caplow, M. (1968) Kinetics of carbamate formation and breakdown. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **90**, 6795–6803.
  - **123** Hikita, H., Asai, S., Ishikawa, H. and Honda, M. (1977) The kinetics of reactions of carbon dioxide with monoethanolamine, diethanolamine and triethanolamine by a rapid mixing method. *The Chemical Engineering Journal*, **13**, 7–12.
  - 124 Danckwerts, P.V. (1979) The reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with ethanolamines. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 34, 443–446.
  - **125** Astarita, G. and Savage, D.W. (1980) Gas absorption and desorption with reversible instantaneous chemical reaction. *Chemical Engineering Science*, **35**, 1755–1764.
  - **126** Laddha, S.S. and Danckwerts, P.V. (1981) Reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with ethanolamines: kinetics from gas-absorption. *Chemical Engineering Science*, **36**, 479–482.
  - Penny, D.E. and Ritter, T.J. (1983) Kinetic study of the reaction between carbon dioxide and primary amines. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 1*, 79, 2103–2109.
  - 128 Blauwhoff, P.M.M., Versteeg, G.F. and Van Swaaij, W.P.M. (1984) A study on the reaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and alkanolamine in aqueous solutions. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 39, 207–225.
  - 129 Arstad, B., Blom, R. and Swang, O. (2007) CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in aqueous solutions of alkanolamines: mechanistic insight from quantum chemical calculations. *The Journal* of *Physical Chemistry A*, 111, 1222–1228.
  - 130 McCann, N., Phan, D., Wang, X. *et al.* (2009) Kinetics and mechanism of carbamate formation from CO<sub>2</sub>(aq), carbonate species, and monoethanolamine in aqueous solution. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, **113**, 5022–5029.
  - 131 Hwang, G.S., Stowe, H.M., Paek, E. and Manogaran, D. (2015) Reaction mechanisms of aqueous monoethanolamine with carbon dioxide: a combined quantum chemical and molecular dynamics study. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 17, 831–839.

- **132** Jou, F.Y., Mather, A.E. and Otto, F.D. (1982) Solubility of hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide in aqueous methyldiethanolamine solutions. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Process Design and Development*, **21**, 539–544.
- 133 Sartori, G. and Savage, D.W. (1983) Sterically hindered amines for carbon dioxide removal from gases. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Fundamentals*, 22, 239–249.
- **134** Dolezalek, F. (1908) Theory of binary mixtures and concentrated solutions. *Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie*, **64**, 727–747.
- **135** Song, Y. and Chen, C.C. (2009) Symmetric electrolyte nonrandom two-liquid activity coefficient model. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **48**, 7788–7797.
- 136 Zhang, Y., Que, H. and Chen, C.C. (2011) Thermodynamic modeling for CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in aqueous MEA solution with electrolyte NRTL model. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 311, 67–75.
- **137** Fredenslund, A. (1977) *Vapor-Liquid Equilibria Using UNIFAC: a Group-Contribution Method*, Elsevier.
- **138** Prausnitz, J.M., Lichtenthaler, R.N. and de Azevedo, E.G. (1998) *Molecular Thermodynamics of Fluid-Phase Equilibria*, Pearson Education.
- **139** Chen, C.C. and Evans, L.B. (1986) A local composition model for the excess Gibbs energy of aqueous electrolyte systems. *AIChE Journal*, **32**, 444–454.
- 140 Bollas, G.M., Chen, C.C. and Barton, P.I. (2008) Refined electrolyte-NRTL model: Activity coefficient expressions for application to multi-electrolyte systems. *AIChE Journal*, 54, 1608–1624.
- 141 Thomsen, K. and Rasmussen, P. (1999) Modeling of vapor–liquid–solid equilibrium in gas–aqueous electrolyte systems. *Chemical Engineering Science*, **54**, 1787–1802.
- 142 Austgen, D.M., Rochelle, G.T., Peng, X. and Chen, C.C. (1989) Model of vapor liquid equilibria for aqueous acid gas alkanolamine systems using the electrolyte NRTL equation. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **28**, 1060–1073.
- 143 Faramarzi, L., Kontogeorgis, G.M., Thomsen, K. and Stenby, E.H. (2009) Extended UNIQUAC model for thermodynamic modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in aqueous alkanolamine solutions. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 282, 121–132.
- 144 Hessen, E.T., Haug-Warberg, T. and Svendsen, H.F. (2010) The refined e-NRTL model applied to CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O-alkanolamine systems. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 65, 3638–3648.
- 145 Al-Rashed, O.A. and Ali, S.H. (2012) Modeling the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in DEA–MDEA alkanolamine solutions using the electrolyte–UNIQUAC model. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 94, 71–83.
- 146 Sadegh, N., Stenby, E.H. and Thomsen, K. (2015) Thermodynamic modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in aqueous N-methyldiethanolamine using Extended UNIQUAC model. *Fuel*, 144, 295–306.
- 147 Gray, C.G., Gubbins, K.E. and Joslin, C.G. (2011) Theory of Molecular Fluids 2: Applications. *International Series of Monographs on Chemistry*, Oxford University Press.
- 148 Jackson, G., Chapman, W.G. and Gubbins, K.E. (1988) Phase-equilibria of associating fluids: Spherical molecules with multiple bonding sites. *Molecular Physics*, 65, 1–31.
- **149** Economou, I.G. and Donohue, M.D. (1991) Chemical, quasi-chemical and perturbation theories for associating fluids. *AIChE Journal*, **37**, 1875–1894.