

-0.2°C

+0.5°C



The Cryosphere Science Series

# Recent Climate Change Impacts on Mountain Glaciers

Mauri Peltó



WILEY Blackwell



## Recent Climate Change Impacts on Mountain Glaciers

## **Wiley-Blackwell Cryosphere Science Series**

Permafrost, sea ice, snow, and ice masses ranging from continental ice sheets to mountain glaciers, are key components of the global environment, intersecting both physical and human systems. The study of the cryosphere is central to issues such as global climate change, regional water resources, and sea level change, and is at the forefront of research across a wide spectrum of disciplines, including glaciology, climatology, geology, environmental science, geography and planning.

The Wiley-Blackwell Cryosphere Science Series comprises volumes that are at the cutting edge of new research, or provide a focused interdisciplinary reviews of key aspects of the science.

*Series Editor*

Peter G Knight, Senior Lecturer in Geography, Keele University

# Recent Climate Change Impacts on Mountain Glaciers

*Mauri Pelto*  
Nichols College  
USA

**WILEY** Blackwell

This edition first published 2017 © 2017 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

*Registered office:*

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

*Editorial offices:*

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at [www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell](http://www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell).

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

*Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty:* While the publisher and author(s) have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data has been applied for:*

[9781119068112]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Cover image: © Jill Pelto

Set in 10/12pt, WarnockPro by SPi Global, Chennai, India

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

## Contents

	<b>Series Preface</b>	<i>xi</i>
	<b>Foreword</b>	<i>xiii</i>
<b>1</b>	<b>Alpine Glaciers: An Introduction</b>	<i>1</i>
1.1	Glacier Observation Programs	<i>1</i>
1.2	Importance of Mountain Glaciers	<i>3</i>
1.3	Glacier Terminus Response to Climate Change	<i>3</i>
1.3.1	Equilibrium Response	<i>3</i>
1.3.2	Disequilibrium Response	<i>4</i>
1.3.3	Accumulation Zone Changes	<i>4</i>
1.3.4	Terminus Response Factors	<i>4</i>
1.4	Glacier Runoff	<i>5</i>
1.5	Climate Change and Impact of Runoff	<i>5</i>
	References	<i>7</i>
<b>2</b>	<b>Glacier Mass Balance</b>	<i>10</i>
	Overview	<i>10</i>
	References	<i>14</i>
<b>3</b>	<b>Juneau Icefield</b>	<i>16</i>
	Overview	<i>16</i>
3.1	Norris Glacier	<i>19</i>
3.2	Lemon Creek Glacier	<i>20</i>
3.3	Mendenhall Glacier	<i>22</i>
3.4	Herbert Glacier	<i>23</i>
3.5	Eagle Glacier	<i>24</i>
3.6	Gilkey Glacier	<i>25</i>
3.7	Antler Glacier	<i>26</i>
3.8	Field Glacier	<i>28</i>
3.9	Llewellyn Glacier	<i>29</i>
3.10	Tulsequah Glacier	<i>30</i>
3.11	Twin Glacier	<i>31</i>
3.12	Taku Glacier	<i>35</i>
	References	<i>37</i>

<b>4</b>	<b>Northern Patagonia Icefield Region</b>	<b>38</b>
	Overview	38
4.1	Reichert Glacier	39
4.2	Gualas Glacier	41
4.3	San Rafael Glacier	43
4.4	San Quintín Glacier	43
4.5	Fraenkel Glacier	45
4.6	Benito Glacier	46
4.7	Acodado Glacier	47
4.8	Steffen Glacier	49
4.9	HPN4 Glacier	49
4.10	Colonia Glacier	51
4.11	Nef Glacier	53
4.12	Leones Glacier	54
4.13	Fiero Glacier	56
4.14	Grosse Glacier	56
4.15	Verde Glacier	57
	References	59
<b>5</b>	<b>South Georgia, Kerguelen, and Heard Islands</b>	<b>61</b>
	Overview	61
5.1	Twitcher Glacier	62
5.2	Herz Glacier	64
5.3	Weddel Glacier	64
5.4	Bertrab Glacier	65
5.5	Ross–Hindle Glacier	66
5.6	Heaney Glacier–Cook Glacier	66
5.7	Nordenskjold Glacier	67
5.8	Harker and Hamberg Glaciers	68
5.9	Neumayer Glacier	68
5.10	Konig Glacier	69
5.11	Purvis Glacier	71
5.12	Stephenson Glacier–Heard Island	72
5.13	Agassiz Glacier–Kerguelen Island	74
5.14	Ampere Glacier	75
5.15	Lapparent Glacier	75
5.16	Lake District	76
	References	79
<b>6</b>	<b>Svalbard: Hornsund Fjord Region</b>	<b>80</b>
	Overview	80
6.1	South Coast of Hornsund	80
6.2	Eastern Hornsund Glacier change	83
6.3	North side of Hornsund	84
6.4	Sorkapland	85
	References	87

<b>7</b>	<b>Novaya Zemlya</b>	<b>89</b>
	Overview	89
7.1	Kropotkina Glacier	89
7.2	Moshniy Glacier	90
7.3	Vilkitskogo Glacier	91
7.4	Krivosheina Glacier	94
7.5	Nizkiy Glacier	95
7.6	Glazova Glacier	95
7.7	Krayniy Glacier	96
7.8	Taisija Glacier	98
7.9	Chernysheva Glacier	98
7.10	Borzova Glacier	99
7.11	Mack and Velkena Glaciers	99
	References	100
<b>8</b>	<b>North Cascade Range, Washington USA</b>	<b>101</b>
	Overview	101
8.1	Skykomish River Basin	102
8.1.1	Lynch Glacier	102
8.1.2	Hinman Glacier	103
8.1.3	Foss Glacier	105
8.1.4	Columbia Glacier	105
8.1.5	Skykomish Streamflow Impact	107
8.2	Mount Baker and Nooksack River	108
8.2.1	Sholes Glacier	109
8.2.2	Rainbow Glacier	110
8.2.3	Roosevelt Glacier	113
8.2.4	Coleman Glacier	115
8.2.5	Deming Glacier	116
8.2.6	Easton Glacier	119
8.2.7	Boulder Glacier	120
8.3	Glacier Runoff Impact	121
	References	126
<b>9</b>	<b>Interior Ranges, British Columbia/Alberta</b>	<b>129</b>
	Overview	129
9.1	Yoho Glacier	130
9.2	Des Poilus Glacier	132
9.3	Waputik Icefield–Daly Glacier	133
9.4	Cummins Glacier	134
9.5	Apex Glacier	136
9.6	Shackleton Glacier	136
9.7	Columbia Glacier	136
9.8	Freshfield Glacier	139
9.9	Lyell Icefield–Mons Icefield	139
9.10	Haworth Glacier	139
9.11	Sir Sandford Glacier	144

- 9.12 Dismal Glacier 144
- 9.13 Illecillewaet Icefield 145
- 9.14 Deville Icefield 146
- 9.15 Conrad Icefield 147
- 9.16 Vowell Glacier 149
- References 151
  
- 10 Himalaya 152**
  - Overview 152
  - 10.1 Middle Lhonak Glacier 154
  - 10.2 South Lhonak Glacier 155
  - 10.3 North Lhonak Glacier 156
  - 10.4 East Langpo Glacier 156
  - 10.5 Changsang Glacier 156
  - 10.6 Zemu Glacier 157
  - 10.7 Kaer Glacier 160
  - 10.8 Longbashaba Glacier 160
  - 10.9 Zhizhai Glacier 161
  - 10.10 Jimi Glacier 161
  - 10.11 Yindapu Glacier 162
  - 10.12 Gelhaipuco Glacier 163
  - 10.13 Qangzonkco Glacier 163
  - 10.14 Nobuk Glacier 165
  - 10.15 Nangama Pokhari 166
  - 10.16 Kanchenjunga Glacier 166
  - References 169
  
- 11 New Zealand 171**
  - Overview 171
  - 11.1 Mueller Glacier 171
  - 11.2 Hooker Glacier 173
  - 11.3 Tasman Glacier 174
  - 11.4 Murchison Glacier 174
  - 11.5 Douglas Neve 176
  - 11.6 La Perouse Glacier 177
  - 11.7 Balfour Glacier 180
  - 11.8 Fox Glacier 181
  - 11.9 Franz Josef Glacier 181
  - 11.10 Classen Glacier 183
  - 11.11 Godley Glacier 184
  - 11.12 Lyell Glacier 184
  - References 185
  
- 12 Alps: Mont Blanc–Matterhorn Transect 187**
  - Overview 187
  - 12.1 Mer De Glace 188
  - 12.2 Glacier d'Argentiere 190

12.3	Tour de Glacier	191
12.4	Trient Glacier	192
12.5	Saleina Glacier	194
12.6	Bossons Glacier	194
12.7	Taconnaz Glacier	194
12.8	Bionnassay Glacier	195
12.9	Otemma Glacier	196
12.10	Breney Glacier	197
12.11	Gietro Glacier	199
12.12	Corbassière Glacier	199
12.13	Glacier du Mont Miné	199
12.14	Ferpécle Glacier	202
12.15	Gornergletscher	203
12.16	Findelengletscher	203
12.17	Theodulgletscher	203
12.18	Lex Blanche Glacier	205
12.19	Miage Glacier	206
12.20	Brouillard Glacier	208
12.21	Freney Glacier	208
	References	208
<b>13</b>	<b>Alpine Glacier Change Summary</b>	<b>211</b>
	References	212
	<b>Index</b>	<b>215</b>



## Series Preface

Permafrost, sea ice, snow, and ice masses ranging from continental ice sheets to mountain glaciers are key components of the global environment, intersecting both physical and human systems. The scientific study of the cryosphere is central to issues such as global climate change, regional water resources, and sea-level change. The cryosphere is at the forefront of research across a wide spectrum of disciplinary interests, including glaciology, climatology, geology, environmental science, geography, and planning.

The Wiley-Blackwell Cryosphere Science series serves as a framework for the publication of specialist volumes that are at the cutting edge of new research or provides a benchmark statement in aspects of cryosphere science, where readers from a range of disciplines require a short, focused, state-of-the-art text. These books lie at the boundary between research monographs and advanced textbooks, contributing to the development of the discipline, incorporating new approaches and ideas, and providing a summary of the current state of knowledge in tightly focused topic areas. The books in this series are, therefore, intended to be suitable both as case studies for advanced undergraduates and as specialist texts for postgraduate students, researchers, and professionals.

Cryosphere science is in a period of rapid development, driven in part by an increasing urgency in our efforts to understand the global environmental system and the ways in which human activity impacts it. This rapid development is marked by the emergence of new techniques, concepts, approaches, and attitudes.


*Recent Climate Change Impacts on Mountain Glaciers* is an exciting addition to the series. The response of mountain glaciers to changing climate in the last few decades has been hugely significant in many locations of the world. This book addresses issues that are important both within cryosphere science and more broadly in the context of global concerns about the challenges and impacts of environmental change.

Peter G Knight  
October 2015



## Foreword

The goal of this volume is to tell the story, glacier by glacier, of response to climate change from 1984 to 2015. Of the 165 glaciers examined in 10 different alpine regions, 162 have retreated significantly. Glaciers are considered by the IPCC as a star climate indicator, and to people they are an iconic example of climate change. From 1981 to 2015 I have spent every summer working on alpine glaciers examining how they respond. It is evident that the changes are significant, not happening at a “glacial” pace, and are profoundly affecting alpine regions. The World Glacier Monitoring Service (2008) provided a brief examination of glacier change from region to region around the world. There is a consistent result that reverberates from mountain range to mountain range, emphasizing that though regional glacier and climate feedbacks differ, global changes are driving the response. In this book we look at 10 different glaciated regions around the world, and the individual glaciers in each, offering a different tune to the same chorus of glacier volume loss in the face of climate change (Fig. 1).



**Figure 1** Decrease in glacier mass balance based on data from Pelto (2015a) to illustrate the dramatic decline in North Cascade glaciers, WA. (Watercolor, Jill Pelto 2015.)



## 1

## Alpine Glaciers: An Introduction


### 1.1 Glacier Observation Programs

Glaciers have been studied as sensitive indicators of climate for more than a century (Forel, 1895; Zemp *et al.*, 2015). Glacier fluctuations in terminus position, mass balance, and area are recognized as one of the most reliable indicators of climate change (Haeberli, Cihlar, and Barry, 2000; Oerlemans, 2005). The recognition of glacier sensitivity to climate led to the development of a global reporting system for glacier terminus change and glacier mass balance during the International Geophysical Year (IGY). Today, this system is managed by the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS). WGMS annually collects standardized observations on changes in mass, volume, area, and length of glaciers with time. This data on individual glacier fluctuations has been enhanced and supplemented in recent years by glacier inventories derived from satellite imagery. Glacier fluctuation and inventory data are today high-priority key variables in climate system monitoring (Sharp *et al.*, 2015; Pelto, 2015b) (Fig. 1.1).

Observations of alpine glaciers most commonly focus on changes in terminus behavior, to identify glacier response to climate changes (Forel, 1895). A number of nations have long-running annual terminus survey programs: Austria, Italy, Switzerland, Norway, and Iceland (WGMS, 2012). The data set of terminus change compiled by the WGMS has 42,000 measurements on 2000 glaciers (Zemp *et al.*, 2015).

Annual mass balance measurements are the most accurate indicator of short-term glacier response to climate change (Haeberli, Cihlar, and Barry, 2000; Zemp, Hoelzle, and Haeberli, 2009). Annual mass balance is the change in mass of a glacier during a year resulting from the difference between net accumulation and net ablation. The importance of monitoring glacier mass balance was recognized during the IGY in 1957. For the IGY, a number of benchmark glaciers around the world were chosen where mass balance would be monitored. This network continued by the WGMS has proven valuable with a total of annual glacier observations; from 1985 to 2014, the average number of glaciers reporting annual mass balance has been approximately 100. Thirty-seven of these are considered reference glaciers with at least a continuous 30-year record of mass balance.

In addition, the advent of frequent high-resolution satellite imagery has allowed for the completion of global mountain glacier inventories led by the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) and the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) (Arendt *et al.*, 2012; Pfeffer *et al.*, 2014). Detailed repeated inventories have developed a standard approach and also identified changes through time (Kääb *et al.*, 2002; Fischer *et al.*, 2014; Radić and Hock, 2014). The inventories focus on using standard methodologies to define glacier outlines and glacier attributes. Typical attributes include area, length, slope, aspect, terminal environment, elevation range, and shape classification. Satellite images can also be used to map transient snowlines (TSLs), the snowline separating the ablation and accumulation zone



**Figure 1.1** Decrease in Glacier Mass Balance based on data from Peltó (2015a) to illustrate the dramatic decline in North Cascades glaciers, WA. (Watercolor, Jill Peltó 2015).

during the summer; the end of summer TSL represents the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) on most alpine glaciers that lack superimposed ice formation (Østrem, 1975; Mernild *et al.*, 2013). These data provide baseline information for an assessment of glacier changes.

The geodetic inventories assess glacier area and in many cases glacier volume. ICESat and other instruments provide elevation change data to compliment areal extent change assessment (Neckel *et al.*, 2014). The remote sensing geodetic inventories and the field glaciological observations both indicate that rates of early twenty-first-century mass loss are historically unprecedented at global scale (Zemp *et al.*, 2015). The largest negative mass balances have occurred in one of the last two decades, depending on the region (WGMS, 2013). The decadal mean annual mass balance was  $-221$  mm in the 1980s,  $-389$  mm in the 1990s, and  $-726$  mm for 2000s. The continued large negative annual balances reported indicate that glaciers are not approaching equilibrium (Peltó, 2010). The strong negative mass balance suggests that glaciers of many regions are committed to further volume loss even under current climatic conditions (Zemp *et al.*, 2015). Radić and Hock, (2014) indicate that future climate change will enhance the mass losses substantially.

The RGI version 3.2 was completed in 2014, compiling digital outlines of glaciers, excluding the ice sheets using satellite imagery from 1999 to 2010. The inventory identified 198,000 glaciers, with a total extent estimated at  $726,800 \pm 34,000$  km<sup>2</sup> (Pfeffer *et al.*, 2014). An earlier RGI 2.0 has been

used to estimate global alpine glacier volume at  $\sim 150,000$  Gt (Radić and Hock, 2014), quantifying the important role as a water resource and potential contributor to sea-level rise.

This information on glacier mass balance and terminus change has been collected and made available from internationally coordinated efforts (WGMS, 2011, 2013). GLIMS and the RGI have made available their glacier inventory data as well (Arendt *et al.*, 2012; Pfeffer *et al.*, 2014). This is a wealth of information on the state of glaciers.

## 1.2 Importance of Mountain Glaciers

Mountain glaciers are important as water resources for agriculture, hydropower, aquatic life, and basic water supply (Schaner *et al.*, 2012; Bliss, Hock, and Radić, 2014). Alpine glaciers in many areas of the world are important for water resources – melting in the summer when precipitation is lowest and water demand from society is largest. The timing and magnitude of glacier melt are sensitive to climate change; hence, rational water resource management depends on understanding future changes in water resources from glaciated mountain ranges (Immerzeel, Beek van, and Bierkens, 2010).

Mountain glaciers have also contributed to sea-level rise (Radić *et al.*, 2013; Marzeion, Jarosch, and Hofer, 2012). The annual contribution has been approximately  $1 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$  during the twentieth century since (Marzeion, Jarosch, and Hofer, 2012). Mountain glaciers can also increase local natural hazards such as glacial lake outburst floods (Bajracharya and Mool, 2009).

## 1.3 Glacier Terminus Response to Climate Change

In this book, we examine glacier responses during the 1985–2015 period, with the primary climate change being the global temperature rise since 1976 (GISTEMP Team, 2015). Changes in mass balance control a glacier's long-term behavior. Terminus and glacier area changes are then impacted with a lag time for both an initial and more complete response.

For any glacier, there is a lag time ( $T_s$ ) between a significant climate change and the initial observed terminus response (Paterson, 1994); this is also referred to as the reaction time of the glacier. It should be noted that  $T_s$  cannot be considered a physical property of a glacier and is expected to depend on the mass balance history and physical characteristics of the glacier.

In addition, for each glacier there is a response time to approach a new steady state for a given climate-driven mass balance change ( $T_m$ ), referred to as length of memory by Johannesson, Raymond, and Waddington (1989). They defined  $T_m$  as the timescale for exponential asymptotic approach to a final steady state (approximately 63% of a full response), resulting from a sudden change in climate to a new constant climate. The magnitudes of  $T_s$  and  $T_m$  are crucial to interpreting past and current glacier fluctuations and predicting future changes (Paterson, 1994; Johannesson, Raymond, and Waddington, 1989).

For glaciers in the North Cascades, Washington, Pelto and Hedlund (2001) found a  $T_s$  of 10–20 years and a  $T_m$  of 20–100 years.

### 1.3.1 Equilibrium Response

Typically, glacier terminus retreat results in the loss of the lowest elevation region of the glacier. Since higher elevations are cooler than lower elevations, the disappearance of the lowest portion of the

glacier reduces overall ablation, thereby increasing mass balance and potentially reestablishing equilibrium (Pelto, 2010). Typically, a glacier's thinning is greatest at the terminus, and at some distance above the terminus; usually in the accumulation zone, the glacier is no longer thinning appreciably even during retreat (Schwetter and Raymond, 1993). This behavior of greatest thinning at the terminus and limited thinning in the accumulation zone suggests a glacier that will retreat to a new stable position (Schwetter and Raymond, 1993).

A period of sustained positive mass balance will lead to an increase in glacier thickness, an increase in velocity, and eventually an advance. The advance expands the area of the glacier at the lowest elevations where mass balance is more negative. When the expansion at low elevation is sufficient to offset the increased mass balance, the retreat will end as equilibrium is reached.

### 1.3.2 Disequilibrium Response

In recent years, an increasing number of glaciers have been identified to be experiencing a disequilibrium response to climate (Pelto, 2010; Carturan *et al.*, 2013). There is no point to which such a glacier can retreat to reach equilibrium and the glacier would then disappear. For alpine glaciers, typically 50–70% of the glacier must retain snow cover even at the conclusion of the melt season to be in equilibrium, this is referred to as the accumulation area ratio (AAR). Without a substantial consistent accumulation area, a glacier cannot survive. If a nonsurging alpine glacier is experiencing extensive thinning and marginal retreat in the accumulation zone of the glacier, it lacks a persistent accumulation (Pelto, 2010). The result is a more unstable form of retreat with substantial thinning throughout the length and breadth of the glacier. A glacier in this condition is unlikely to be able to survive in anything similar to its present extent given the current climate. This is evident in satellite or aerial photographs of glaciers. The emergence of bedrock outcrops or the recession of the upper margins of a glacier is the key symptoms to observe (Kääb *et al.*, 2002; Pelto, 2010; Carturan *et al.*, 2013). Glaciers disappearing and fragmenting have become a common reporting category of glacier inventories, both indicating disequilibrium with climate (Tennant *et al.*, 2012; Kulkarni and Karyakarte, 2014; Carturan *et al.*, 2013).

### 1.3.3 Accumulation Zone Changes

Paul *et al.* (2004) utilized satellite imagery to identify nonuniform changes in glacier geometry, emerging rock outcrops, disintegration, and tributary separation to determine collapse versus a dynamic (equilibrium) response to climate change. It has become practical to examine the terminus and areal extent change of all glaciers in the region (Bajracharya and Mool, 2009; Paul *et al.*, 2004). This does quantify the extent of the retreat, but not the nature of the equilibrium or disequilibrium response. Identifying disequilibrium requires identification of significant thinning in the accumulation zone, which will occur if a persistent accumulation zone is lacking. Accumulation zone thinning is evidenced by the emergence of rock outcrops in the accumulation zone, changes in the accumulation zone margin, and reduced crevassing (Paul *et al.*, 2004; Pelto, 2010).

### 1.3.4 Terminus Response Factors

Glacier terminus response to climate depends on several dynamic features primarily: the existence of debris cover, having a calving terminus, and being a surging glacier. These dynamic features do not render a glacier insensitive to climate change in the long run, but can mitigate or accentuate the response in the short run.

Debris cover is common in three of the regions examined in this volume: Patagonia, New Zealand, and Himalayas. Once the debris cover in the ablation zone exceeds a thickness of 1 cm, it insulates the

glacier below from the atmosphere, reducing ablation rates (Brock *et al.*, 2010). This leads to a slower thinning and less negative mass balances (Scherler, Bookhagen, and Strecker, 2011). In the long run, debris-covered glaciers exhibit the same response to climate – just delayed and subdued.

Calving glaciers lose mass by the calving of icebergs into a lake or ocean. A glacier that terminates in water leads to enhanced melting of the glacier front, which typically leads to greater calving. Calving increases with the ratio of ice thickness to water depth. The greater this ratio, the less the buoyancy of the calving front and the less the calving rate. A greater ratio would also lead to less ablation from contact with the water. The key parameter identified by Benn, Warren, and Mottram (2007) is the variation of longitudinal strain rate, which determines the crevasse depth near the calving front. Calving typically enhances retreat as it is another mechanism to lose volume. If there is a change in water depth at the calving front, and calving is reduced or increased, the glacier sensitivity to climate is reduced (Benn, Warren, and Mottram, 2007).

Surging glaciers experience periodic periods of slow and rapid flows. The periods of rapid flow are much shorter in duration than the slow-flow periods. During the periods of slow flow, a glacier thickens, which promotes higher velocity and also leads to hydrologic changes at the glacier bed that is crucial to generating a period of rapid flow. During the slow phase, a glacier's response to climate change is often enhanced, while during the rapid-flow periods a surging glacier is less sensitive to climate conditions.


## 1.4 Glacier Runoff

Glaciers act as natural reservoirs storing water in a frozen state instead of behind a dam. Alpine Watersheds are comprised of rainfall-dominated (pluvial), snowmelt-dominated (nival), and glacier melt-dominated segments. Glacially fed streams peak during late summer, July and August in the Northern Hemisphere, and January and February in the Southern Hemisphere, during peak glacier melt (Fig. 1.2) (Fountain and Tangborn, 1985; Dery *et al.*, 2009). The loss of glaciers from a watershed would result in reduced streamflow primarily during late summer minimum flow periods (Pelto, 2008; Nolin *et al.*, 2010; Stahl and Moore, 2006).

## 1.5 Climate Change and Impact of Runoff

Glacier runoff is the product of glacier area and glacier melt rate. If the percent decline in glacier area is greater than the percent increase in melt rate, runoff declines. If melt rate percentage increase is greater than the percentage of area loss, glacier runoff will increase. The point at which glacier runoff begins to decline due to area loss is considered the peak glacier runoff. In some areas, peak runoff has already occurred. Bliss, Hock, and Radić (2014) observed that of 18 glaciated alpine areas, most regions exhibit a fairly steady decline in runoff, demonstrating that they have passed their peak runoff. Moore *et al.* (2009) found significant declines in stream discharge from glaciers in British Columbia during late summer. Pelto (2011) found the Skykomish River glacier runoff had peaked by 2006. In the Swiss Alps, peak runoff overall has not occurred (Huss, 2011). Isaak *et al.* (2011) have found significant and ubiquitous warming of streams in the Pacific Northwest during the summer in unregulated rivers from 1980 to 2009 of 0.12 °C/decade. The combination of reduced flow and temperature rise will be an ongoing threat to aquatic life (Grah and Beaulieu, 2013).

The impact from the Nooksack River, WA, serves as an example (Pelto, 2015a). Discharge and water temperature are measured in each river. The South Fork has 0% glacier cover, Middle Fork 2.1%



**Figure 1.2** Comparison of hydrographs for nonglacier- and glacier-fed watersheds.

glacier cover, and North Fork 6.1% glacier cover, allowing differentiation of glacier impact. In addition, streamflow is measured directly below one glacier in the North Fork, Sholes Glacier. To distinguish the different discharge and thermal responses, the comparison was made during the most stressful period – late summer warm weather events. For the 2009–2013 period, 12 warm weather events were identified. The mean increase in air temperature during the warm weather events from prior to their beginning was 7 °C. Warm weather events consistently generate a significant increase in stream water temperature only in the nonglaciated South Fork Basin; the mean increase was 3.2 °C (Table 1.1). Increased glacier discharge largely offset the impact of increased air temperature on stream water temperature during the warm weather events, leading to a mean change of 1.1 °C in the North Fork and 1.0 °C in the Middle Fork.

**Table 1.1** Mean response of Nooksack River watershed to the 14 warm weather events from 2009 to 2013.

Basin	Air temperature change (°C)	Stream temperature change (°C)	Stream discharge change (%)
South Fork Nooksack	+8	+3.4	-15
North Fork Nooksack	+8	+1.1	+23
Middle Fork Nooksack	+8	+1.0	+16

The change in air temperature is assessed as the rise in the mean daily temperature at the Middle Fork Nooksack SNOTEL site.

For discharge during the same warm weather events, a 15% increase is set as the key threshold for a significant response to each warm weather event. This threshold was chosen as only significant rain or melt events generate this large a change in daily flow. For the North Fork, 11 of 12 warm weather events exceeded this limit, in the Middle Fork 8 of 12 events had a significant response, and for the South Fork none of the 12 events led to a 15% flow increase. The average discharge change for the warm weather events are +26% in the North Fork, +19% in the Middle Fork, and -16% in the South Fork (Table 4.3). It is apparent that warm weather events increase glacier melt enhancing flow in the North Fork, and in a basin without glacier runoff, South Fork, the hydrologic system consistently experiences reduced discharge.

## References

- Arendt, A.A. *et al.* (2012) *Randolph Glacier Inventory [v2.0]: A Dataset of Global Glacier Outlines*. Global Land Ice Measurements from Space, Boulder, CO, <http://www.glims.org/RGI/randolph.html> (last accessed 24 May 2016).
- Bajracharya, S.R. and Mool, P. (2009) Glaciers, glacial lakes and glacial lake outburst floods in the Mount Everest region, Nepal. *Annals of Glaciology*, **50** (53), 81–86. doi: 10.3189/172756410790595895
- Benn, D.I., Warren, C.R., and Mottram, R.H. (2007) Calving processes and the dynamics of calving glaciers. *Earth Science Reviews*, **82** (3–4), 143–179. doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.02.002
- Bliss, A., Hock, R., and Radić, V. (2014) Global response of glacier runoff to twenty-first century climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research, Earth Surface*, **119** (4), 717–730. doi: 10.1002/2013JF002931
- Brock, B.W., Mihalcea, C., Kirkbride, M. *et al.* (2010) Meteorology and surface energy fluxes in the 2005–2007 ablation seasons at the Miage debris-covered glacier, Mont Blanc Massif, Italian Alps. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **115**, D09106. doi: 10.1029/2009JD013224
- Carturan, L., Filippi, R., Seppi, R. *et al.* (2013) Area and volume loss of the glaciers in the Ortles-Cevedale group (Eastern Italian Alps): controls and imbalance of the remaining glaciers. *The Cryosphere*, **7**, 267–319.
- Dery, S., Stahl, K., Moore, R. *et al.* (2009) Detection of runoff timing changes in pluvial, nival and glacial rivers of western Canada. *Water Resources Research*, **45**. doi: 10.1029/2008WR006975
- Fischer, M., Huss, M., Barboux, C., and Hoelzle, M. (2014) The new Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010: Relevance of using high resolution source data in areas dominated by very small glaciers. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, **46**, 935–947. doi: 10.1657/1938-4246-46.4.933
- Forel, F. (1895) Les variations periodiques des glaciers. Discours preliminaire. *Archives des Sciences Physiques et Naturelles*, **34**, 209–229.
- Fountain, A. and Tangborn, W. (1985) The Effect of Glaciers on Streamflow Variations. *Water Resources Research*, **21**, 579–586.
- GISTEMP Team (2015) *GISS Surface Temperature Analysis (GISTEMP)*. NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, <http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/> (dataset accessed 25 October 2015).
- Grah, O. and Beaulieu, J. (2013) The effect of climate change on glacier ablation and baseflow support in the Nooksack River basin and implications on Pacific salmonid species protection and recovery. *Climatic Change*. doi: 10.1007/s10584-013-0747-y
- Haeberli, W., Cihlar, J., and Barry, R. (2000) Glacier monitoring within the Global Climate Observing System. *Annals of Glaciology*, **31**, 241–246.
- Huss, M. (2011) Present and future contribution of glacier storage change to runoff from macroscale drainage basins in Europe. *Water Resources Research*, **47**, W07511. doi: 10.1029/2010WR010299