



Acceptance and
Mindfulness in
**COGNITIVE
BEHAVIOR
THERAPY**

UNDERSTANDING
AND APPLYING
THE NEW THERAPIES

Edited by

James D. Herbert Evan M. Forman

Acceptance and Mindfulness in Cognitive Behavior Therapy

Understanding and Applying the
New Therapies

Edited by
James D. Herbert
Evan M. Forman



WILEY

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

This book is printed on acid-free paper. ⊗

Copyright © 2011 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.

Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 646-8600, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If legal, accounting, medical, psychological or any other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. In all instances where John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is aware of a claim, the product names appear in initial capital or all capital letters. Readers, however, should contact the appropriate companies for more complete information regarding trademarks and registration.

For general information on our other products and services please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993, or via fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books. For more information about Wiley products, visit our Web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Acceptance and mindfulness in cognitive behavior therapy : understanding and applying the new therapies / edited by James D. Herbert, Evan M. Forman.

p. ; cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-470-47441-9 (pbk. : alk. paper); ISBN 978-0-470-91246-1 (ebk); ISBN 978-0-470-91247-8 (ebk);

ISBN 978-0-470-91248-5 (ebk)

1. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. 2. Acceptance and commitment therapy.

I. Herbert, James D., 1962. II. Forman, Evan M.

[DNLM: 1. Cognitive Therapy--methods. WM 425.5.C6 A1694 2010]

RC489.M55A33 2010

616.89'1425--dc22

2010019064

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

To Lynn, Aaron, Sylvia, Elliott, and Joel (JDH)
To Emma and Eli (EMF)

Contents

<i>Contributors</i>	vi
<i>Foreword</i> Gerald C. Davison, PhD	viii
Part I New Developments in the Behavior Therapy Tradition: Perspectives on Mindfulness and Psychological Acceptance	I
1 ♦ The Evolution of Cognitive Behavior Therapy: The Rise of Psychological Acceptance and Mindfulness <i>James D. Herbert and Evan M. Forman</i>	3
2 ♦ Cognitive Therapy <i>David J. A. Dozois and Aaron T. Beck</i>	26
3 ♦ Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy <i>David M. Fresco, Jessica J. Flynn, Douglas S. Mennin, and Emily A. P. Haigh</i>	57
4 ♦ Metacognitive Therapy <i>Adrian Wells</i>	83
5 ♦ Emotional Schema Therapy: A Bridge Over Troubled Waters <i>Robert L. Leahy</i>	109
6 ♦ Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction <i>Paul G. Salmon, Sandra E. Sephton, and Samuel J. Dreeben</i>	132

7 ♦ Dialectical Behavior Therapy <i>Clive J. Robins and M. Zachary Rosenthal</i>	164
8 ♦ Behavioral Activation in the Context of “Third Wave” Therapies <i>Christopher R. Martell and Jonathan Kanter</i>	193
9 ♦ Integrative Behavioral Couple Therapy: An Acceptance-Based Approach to Improving Relationship Functioning <i>Meghan M. McGinn, Lisa A. Benson, and Andrew Christensen</i>	210
10 ♦ Understanding Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Context: A History of Similarities and Differences With Other Cognitive Behavior Therapies <i>Kelly G. Wilson, Michael J. Bordieri, Maureen K. Flynn, Nadia N. Lucas, and Regan M. Slater</i>	233
Part II Integration and Synthesis	265
11 ♦ Mindfulness and Acceptance: The Perspective of Cognitive Therapy <i>Stefan G. Hofmann, Julia A. Glombiewski, Anu Asnaani, and Alice T. Sawyer</i>	267
12 ♦ Mindfulness and Acceptance: The Perspective of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy <i>Michael Levin and Steven C. Hayes</i>	291
13 ♦ Mindfulness and Acceptance in Cognitive Behavior Therapy: What’s New? <i>Marvin R. Goldfried</i>	317
<i>Author Index</i>	337
<i>Subject Index</i>	353

Contributors

Anu Asnaani, MA
Department of Psychology
Boston University
Boston, MA

Aaron T. Beck, MD
Department of Psychiatry
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA

Lisa A. Benson, MA
Department of Psychology
University of California at Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA

Michael J. Bordieri, MA
Department of Psychology
University of Mississippi
Oxford, MS

Andrew Christensen, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of California at Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA

Gerald C. Davison, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA

David J. A. Dozois, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario
Canada

Samuel J. Dreeben, BA
Department of Psychological and
Brain Sciences
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY

Jessica J. Flynn, MSc
Department of Psychology
Kent State University
Kent, OH

Maureen K. Flynn, MA
Department of Psychology
University of Mississippi
Oxford, MS

Evan M. Forman, PhD
Department of Psychology
Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA

David M. Fresco, PhD
Department of Psychology
Kent State University
Kent, OH

Marvin R. Goldfried, PhD
Department of Psychology
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY

Julia A. Glombiewski, PhD
Fachbereich Psychologie und Psychotherapie
Philipps-Universität Marburg
Marburg
Germany

Emily A. P. Haigh, PhD
Department of Psychiatry
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA

Steven C. Hayes, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of Nevada
Reno, NV

James D. Herbert, PhD
Department of Psychology
Drexel University
Philadelphia, PA

Stefan G. Hofmann, PhD
Department of Psychology
Boston University
Boston, MA

Jonathan Kanter, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Milwaukee, WI

Robert L. Leahy, PhD
American Institute for Cognitive Therapy
New York, NY

Michael Levin, MA
Department of Psychology
University of Nevada
Reno, NV

Nadia N. Lucas, BA
Department of Psychology
University of Mississippi
Oxford, MS

Christopher R. Martell, PhD
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral
Sciences
University of Washington
Seattle, WA

Meghan M. McGinn, MA
Department of Psychology
University of California at Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA

Douglas S. Mennin, PhD
Department of Psychology
Yale University
New Haven, CT

Clive J. Robins, PhD
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral
Sciences
Duke University
Durham, NC

M. Zachary Rosenthal, PhD
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral
Sciences
Duke University, Durham, NC

Paul Salmon, PhD
Department of Psychological and Brain
Sciences
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY

Alice T. Sawyer, MA
Department of Psychology
Boston University
Boston, MA

Sandra E. Sephton, PhD
Department of Psychological and Brain
Sciences
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY

Regan M. Slater, BA
Department of Psychology
University of Mississippi
Oxford, MS

Adrian Wells PhD
Professor of Clinical and Experimental
Psychopathology
University of Manchester
Manchester
United Kingdom

Kelly G. Wilson, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of Mississippi
Oxford, MS

Foreword

ACCEPTANCE AND MINDFULNESS IN COGNITIVE BEHAVIOR THERAPY

It seems that the acceptance and mindfulness concept has suddenly become pervasive in clinical psychology and related fields. Judging from the barrage of flyers I receive each week, it seems that one can hardly buy a psychological book these days without the terms “mindfulness” or “acceptance” in its title. This is especially true of self-help and other psychology trade books.

But the concept is increasingly found also in serious scientific articles and in convention programs, including – and especially! – those of the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, a 45-year old organization that eschewed cognitive constructs in its earliest years, defining the field solely in terms of classical and operant conditioning. This is the behavior therapy that I cut my teeth on in the early 1960s, though even back then there were signs that equating behavior therapy with “the conditioning therapies” was unproductively constraining and not reflective of what self-identified behavior therapists actually *did* or even how they *thought about* what they did.

When I was learning behavior therapy and assessment in graduate school from Lazarus, Bandura, and Mischel, there were three kinds of reactions from nonbehavioral colleagues to the sometimes hypomaniac pronouncements of the advocates of this “new wave.” The first was “You are treating symptoms, not the disorder/disease itself and therefore you are likely to do harm.” Or second, “I don’t believe your reports of efficacy and effectiveness.” Or third, coming from those who believed that the new approach had some promise, “Well, I’ve been doing ‘that’ for some time, only using different language to talk about the effectiveness of my ministrations.”

I will freely admit that my reactions to the acceptance/mindfulness trend in cognitive behavior therapy often fall into the third category. To be specific, I sometimes find myself believing that the acceptance/mindfulness rhetoric represents less a third wave or

new paradigm than it does theoretical and procedural restatements of the thinking and practices of clinicians whose work spans many decades.

For example, Skinner wrote in the 1950s about countercontrol, a theme I myself developed in a 1973 Banff conference, to be greeted by (good-natured?) skepticism bordering on ridicule. And then there is the relaxation training pioneered by Jacobson in the 1920s and adapted by Wolpe and Lazarus decades later as an anti-anxiety “response” for desensitizing maladaptive fear; anyone familiar with teaching this form of self-soothing understands the need to encourage the patient to “go with” the process, accepting and not worrying about new bodily sensations and the wandering of attention. And finally, how different from one another is the tenet in acceptance-based CBT that one can behave differently while accepting inconsistent thoughts (such as “I can’t do it”), from the classic Skinnerian focus on changing overt behavior with little, if any, theoretical or procedural attention being paid to internal thoughts and feelings?

What has tended to be neglected in the midst of partisan battles between those who do and those who not see value in the acceptance/mindfulness approaches is a reasoned, scientifically grounded discourse that would help researchers and clinicians alike sort through the various claims and counter-claims. I am pleased to say that this book, skillfully conceived and edited by James Herbert and Evan Forman, provides just such a sober and open-minded appraisal of a trend that has sometimes suffered both from too much hype by its proponents and too sweeping a rejection by some orthodox cognitive (behavior) therapists who sometimes seem more interested in preserving the status quo than endeavoring to advance evidence-based psychosocial therapy both conceptually and procedurally.

You can imagine my pleasure at learning of a book project dedicated to an appraisal of the mindfulness and acceptance trends in cognitive behavior therapy. I was especially cheered knowing that the book is edited by Herbert and Forman, scholars who have taken a serious look at promising developments within the tradition of CBT without getting carried away with them. They have assembled some of the best and most creative thinkers on the topic in this lively and engaging volume.

The book begins with an introductory chapter by Herbert and Forman that reviews the explosive increase in interest in the concepts of psychological acceptance and mindfulness within CBT. The editors nicely lay out various clinical and theoretical questions that these developments raise and the conflicts that they have provoked within the field. Although clearly sympathetic to these new developments, the editors are careful not to take sides in these debates, leaving the subsequent chapters to speak for themselves.

What follows are a series of chapters on the major contemporary models of CBT. Although traditional perspectives such as cognitive therapy are represented, the focus is primarily on the various novel acceptance-based models. These chapters are written by the leading experts on each model. Each chapter not only describes the model in question but focuses on how it addresses key questions, including the role of direct cognitive change strategies, the role of mindfulness and acceptance, and the scientific status of both its clinical outcomes and theoretical processes.

The second section of the book consists of three chapters that take a broader, more integrative perspective on the various issues raised by the earlier chapters. The first, by proponents of traditional cognitive therapy, addresses these developments from that perspective. The second, by proponents of acceptance-based therapy, likewise provides an analysis from that point of view. Finally, my friend and colleague Marv Goldfried sums up and analyzes the dialogue with insights as a pioneering cognitive behavior therapist that sees values in ideas and practices that go beyond even expanded definitions of CBT.

Is the mindfulness and acceptance trend just old wine in new bottles? If you believe this to be the case, consider that the container that wine sits in can affect the experience of the drink. There's a reason one wouldn't imbibe an Opus One red wine directly from the bottle.

Gerald C. Davison, PhD
University of Southern California

PART I

New Developments in the Behavior Therapy Tradition

Perspectives on Mindfulness and
Psychological Acceptance

1

The Evolution of Cognitive Behavior Therapy

The Rise of Psychological Acceptance and Mindfulness

JAMES D. HERBERT AND EVAN M. FORMAN

So it is too that in the eyes of the world it is dangerous to venture. And why? Because one may lose. But not to venture is shrewd. And yet, by not venturing, it is so dreadfully easy to lose that which it would be difficult to lose in even the most venturesome venture, and in any case never so easily, so completely as if it were nothing . . . one's self.

—Kierkegaard, *The Sickness Unto Death* (1849)

Cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) has now become the dominant force in psychotherapy in much of the world, including North America, the United Kingdom, much of Europe, and increasingly throughout Asia and Latin America. The rise of CBT is due to the confluence of several factors, primary among which is the increased focus on evidence-based practice and associated calls for accountability in the delivery of behavioral health services (Baker, McFall, & Shoham, 2009). Throughout its history, CBT has been committed to a scientific perspective to the study of psychopathology and its treatment. Hundreds of studies have evaluated various cognitive behavioral theories of psychopathology, and hundreds more have assessed the efficacy of CBT interventions. This scientific literature has placed CBT in a unique position to dominate the field of psychotherapy.

This extraordinary growth immediately raises the question: What exactly is CBT? Does the term refer to a specific model of psychopathology or psychotherapy? Or perhaps to a domain of treatment, either in terms of targeted processes or pathologies? In fact, the term CBT has become so broad as to defy clear definition. The Web site of the Association for Advancement of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, the premier multidisciplinary, international organization devoted to CBT, avoids a specific definition of the term, instead describing the organization's mission as "the advancement of a scientific approach to the understanding and amelioration of problems of the human condition." Various theories, principles, models, and techniques fall under the general rubric of CBT, and these approaches have been applied to the full range of human experience, from the assessment and treatment of severe psychopathology and profound developmental delays to primary prevention efforts to enhancing peak performance among athletes. CBT has become