Benjamin Mekinde Tonga

State Sovereignty and Non-Interference in International Law

A Critical Appraisal

Master's Thesis

YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS VALUE



- We will publish your bachelor's and master's thesis, essays and papers
- Your own eBook and book sold worldwide in all relevant shops
- Earn money with each sale

Upload your text at www.GRIN.com and publish for free



Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de .

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author s and publisher s rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

Imprint:

Copyright © 2018 GRIN Verlag ISBN: 9783346347794

This book at GRIN:



GRIN - Your knowledge has value

Since its foundation in 1998, GRIN has specialized in publishing academic texts by students, college teachers and other academics as e-book and printed book. The website www.grin.com is an ideal platform for presenting term papers, final papers, scientific essays, dissertations and specialist books.

Visit us on the internet:

http://www.grin.com/

http://www.facebook.com/grincom

http://www.twitter.com/grin_com

UNIVERSITY OF BUEA

FACULTY OF LAWS AND POLITICAL

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LAW

STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND NON-INTERFERENCE IN INTERNATIONAL LAW: A CRITICAL APPRAISAL.

By

Tonga Benjamin Mekinde LL. B Law

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Law, Faculty of Laws and Political Science of the University of Buea in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of the Master of Laws (LL.M) Degree in International Law

DEDICATION

This research is dedicated to my fallen heroes, grandfather and mother Tonga Benjamin Vefonge and Cecilia Nduma Tonga Vefonge.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The success and final outcome of this project required a lot of guidance and assistance from many people and I am extremely privileged to have got this all along the completion of my project. All that I have done is only due to such supervision and assistance, and I would not forget to thank them.

I express my heart-full gratitude to my supervisor Professor Jonie Banyong Fonyam for all the corrections made, his patience and guidance throughout this research project.

I place on record my sincere appreciation to all my lecturers, most especially Dr. Sone Patience, Barrister Agbor Balla, Prof. Irene Sama-Lang, Dr. Lekunze Benvolio, Dr. Emmanuel Ekome and Mr Kensa Etienne who made valuable and enriching comments necessitating the completion of this project.

I am extremely thankful to my parents Mr. Ngomba Elinge, Cecilia Nduma Elinge of blessed memory and Hannah Mosome Tonga for their relentless commitment towards my education generally and particularly to this thesis. Not forgetting my brother Eseya Mengu Junior who always made out time to adjust my work.

Thanks to my friends, Pontus Joseph, Akwe Ngole, Check Roland, Chungong Cleatine, Anya Vincent, Bechem Emmanuel, Samuel Mbene, Samuel Chidibere, Bouh Yolande, Mende Julie, and the entire LL.M class of 2016, for expecting nothing than excellence from me.

My sincere apologies to those whose names i didn't mention. I am grateful.

ABSTRACT

The principles of state sovereignty and non-interference rest at the very heart of International law and springs from the 1648 Westphalian treaty. Westphalian sovereignty is the principle of international law that each nation state has sovereignty over its territory and domestic affairs to the exclusion of all external powers. This is founded on the principle of non-interference in another country's domestic affairs and that each state irrespective of its size is equal in International law. This study shall rely principally on the doctrinal research methodology by systematic and thematic analysis of existing data on sovereignty and non-interference. The interpretation of sovereignty as narrowly as the non-intervention principle has placed sovereignty against the possibility of intervening for the protection of Human rights. The Rwanda genocide, mass atrocity crimes and crimes against humanity that characterized the state of Rwanda and Srebrenica amongst others raised the need for action by the International community to protect not only states, but also people. This thesis attempts therefore, to find a bridge between these two seemingly opposing interests -protecting the state for a strong international order and protecting the people to save lives. Responsibility to protect is based on the notion of a primary responsibility with each and every state to protect its population, and a secondary responsibility with the international community to assist a state, which is unwilling or unable to protect its people. This thesis concludes that responsibility to protect is part of sovereignty, as a duty of a state, corresponding to the right of non-intervention. If the reign fails to protect its people, or is itself abusing its people, the right of non-intervention becomes void.

KEYWORDS: Sovereignty, Non-interference, Responsibility to Protect.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATIONiii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTSiv
ABSTRACTv
TABLE OF CONTENTSvi
LIST OF CASESx
LIST OF STATUTESxi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSxii
CHAPTER ONE
GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1.1 BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY
1.2 STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM4
1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS
1.4 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY
1.4.1. General objective6
1.4.2. Specific objectives6
1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
1.6 THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK
1.7 LITERATURE REVIEW
1.8. JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY15

1.9. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY
1.10 SCOPE OF THE STUDY
1.11 DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS
1.12 SYNOPSIS OF CHAPTERS
CHAPTER TWO
UNDERSTANDING SOVEREIGNTY AND NON-INTETFERENCE IN
INTERNATIONAL LAW
2.1 THE ISSUE OF STATE SOVEREIGNTY
2.2. SOVEREIGNTY AND INDEPENDENCE
2.3 TYPES OF SOVEREIGNTY
2.3.1 Internal Sovereignty
2.3.2. External Sovereignty
2.3.3. <i>De facto</i> and <i>De jure</i> Sovereignty
2.3.4 Legal Sovereignty; 33
2.3.5. Political Sovereignty
2.3.6. Popular Sovereignty
2.4. Sovereignty as a problematic concept
2.5 NON-INTERFERENCE IN INTERNATIONAL LAW
2.5.1 Historical Evolution:
2.5.2 Theoretical Implications:
2.5.3 Practical Applications:
2.6 Sovereignty and Non-Interference Interrelated

2./ Different forms that Interference may take which undermine the Sovereignty	of a
State	46
2.8. LEGAL PROVISIONS ON SOVEREIGNTY AND NON-INTERFERENCE.	51
2.8.1 ARTICLE 2(4)	52
2.8.2 ARTICLE 2(7)	53
CHAPTER THREE	
CASE STUDY: VIOLATIONS OF STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND THE NO	N-
INTERFERENCE PRINCIPLE.	
3.1 NICARAGUA V. UNITED STATES	56
3.1.1 BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF U.S. INTERVENTION	IN
NICARAGUA	57
3.1.2 NICARAGUA'S SUBMISSION	58
3.1.3 JUDGMENT	60
3.2 NATO'S INTERFERENCE IN KOSOVO (1999)	61
3.3. IRAQ'S INVASION OF KUWAIT	64
3.3.1. INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE TO IRAQ'S INVASION OF KUWAIT	. 66
CHAPTER FOUR	
RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT	
4.1. ORIGIN	69
4.2. R2P IN PRACTICE	76
4.2.1. Kenya 2007/2008	76
4.2.2. Ivory Coast 2011	77

4.2.3. Libya 2011	78
4.2.4. Central African Republic (CAR) 2013	79
4.2.5. Syria	82
4.2.6. Burundi	83
4.2.7. Cameroon Anglophone crisis and the call for Responsibility to Protect 8	83
4.3. SOVEREIGNTY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT	84
4.4. SOVEREIGNTY AS RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT	86
CHAPTER FIVE	
EXCEPTIONS TO THE PRINCIPLES OF SOVEREIGNTY AND NON-	
INTERFERENCE	
5.1 Intervention by Invitation	91
5.2 Admissible Countermeasures by a State	92
5.3. Humanitarian Intervention	93
5.4 Interventions to Protect Nationals Abroad	96
5.5. Collective Intervention.	97
5.6 Assistance for Insurgents/Right to Self-Determination	98
5.7 Self-defense	99
CHAPTER SIX	
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1. CONCLUSION	04
6.2 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION 10	07
REFERENCES	12

LIST OF CASES

Caroline Case [1837]2 Moore Digest of International Law (1906)
Case Concerning the Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic
Republic of the Congo v. Uganda) [2005] (Judgment) (Merits) ICJ Reports
200543
Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua
(Nicaragua v United States of America) (Merits) [1986] ICJ Reports
1986
Corfu channel (<i>United Kingdom v Albania</i>) (<i>Merits</i>) [1949] ICJ Report 194992
International Criminal Tribunal for Former Yugoslavia in (Prosecutor v.Tadic)
[1995]93

LIST OF STATUTES

International

Covenant of the League of Nations (signed at Versailles, France, 28 June
1919)41, 54
Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (singed at Montevideo,
Uruguay, on Dec. 26, 1933)
Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (Done at Vienna on 18 April 1961, entered
into force 24th April 1964)48
Charter of the United Nations (signed 26 June 1945, entered into force 24th October
1945)2, 5, 43, 44, 45, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 55, 58, 67, 72, 73, 88, 97, 105, 109
Declaration on principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Co-
operation Among States in Accordance with the charter of the United Nations 24th
October 1945
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (Adopted and opened for signature,
ractification and accession by General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 Dec.
1996
Domestic
1996 Cameroon Constitution (Law No 96/06 of 18 January 1996 to amend the
Constitution of 2 June 1972)