Viktoria Arnold / Finn Koenemund

Adult education policies, participation and social inequalities and their relationship

A cross-country comparison of Germany and Austria

Diploma Thesis

YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS VALUE



- We will publish your bachelor's and master's thesis, essays and papers
- Your own eBook and book sold worldwide in all relevant shops
- Earn money with each sale

Upload your text at www.GRIN.com and publish for free



Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de .

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author s and publisher s rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

Imprint:

Copyright © 2020 GRIN Verlag ISBN: 9783346245601

This book at GRIN:

Viktoria Arnold, Finn Koenemund
Adult education policies, participation and social inequalities and their relationship
A cross-country comparison of Germany and Austria

GRIN - Your knowledge has value

Since its foundation in 1998, GRIN has specialized in publishing academic texts by students, college teachers and other academics as e-book and printed book. The website www.grin.com is an ideal platform for presenting term papers, final papers, scientific essays, dissertations and specialist books.

Visit us on the internet:

http://www.grin.com/

http://www.facebook.com/grincom

http://www.twitter.com/grin_com

Applying a human capital theory approach to investigate the relationship between adult education policies, participation and social inequalities

To what extent is policy-learning possible?

A cross-country comparison between Austria and Germany

Joint Master's Thesis Project Submitted by

> Viktoria Arnold Finn Koenemund

Master of Public Policy 2020

Table of Content

E	xecutive Summary	i
L	ist of Abbreviations	ii
1.	. Introduction and Research Question	1
2.	. Theory and Hypotheses	4
3.	. Methodology	12
4.	. Quantitative Analyses and Results	17
5.	. Conclusion and Discussion	36
6.	Policy Recommendations	41
7.	. Literature	43
8.	. Appendix	53

Executive Summary

Adult education systems are powerful mechanisms at the disposal of governments to help individuals adapt to altering labour market needs and changing skill requirements. Ideally, they should permit regular re- and upskilling in a knowledge-based economy, help overcome barriers and incentivise individuals to participate in adult education. This thesis focuses on Austria and Germany, two European countries that are not only most similar with regards to their welfare regimes and capitalist systems, but also particularly affected by automation. It aims at determining to what extent Austria's 2011 and Germany's 2019 lifelong learning strategies are reflective of and influence individuals' incentives to enhance participation in adult education as well as identifying barriers preventing participation, particularly of underrepresented group. The research question is being examined through the lens of human capital and rational choice theory and addressed in three steps: To begin with, the two strategies are analysed. In a next step, regression analyses of Adult Education Survey (AES) data provide insights regarding participation in training as well as incentives and barriers faced by different sub-groups. Ultimately, it is investigated whether the Austrian strategy had a positive impact and the findings are extrapolated to the German case.

It is found that, albeit to a limited extent, the two strategies are reflective of individuals' incentives to enhance participation in adult education and remove barriers that prevent participation. The relevance to focus on underrepresented groups is highlighted in both strategies. However, the results from the regression analyses indicate that in some aspects the different groups are considered too generic. Examining the issue against the tenets of human capital and rational choice theory, it is found that the responsibility to invest in adult education should not only and never exclusively be laid on individuals. Instead, employers and the state should invest in enhancing the incentives and reducing the barriers that individuals face. Especially in the future of work context, devising well-targeted incentives and removing barriers to increase equity and inclusiveness in access to training is key.

To the authors' best knowledge, this study is the first systematic cross-country comparison that takes an individual learner-centred perspective to shed light on how to design adult education systems that incentivise people to participate considering socio-economic background factors.

List of Abbreviations

AES Adult Education Survey

CI Confidence Interval

CMEs Coordinated Market Economies

EC European Commission

EFTA European Free Trade Area

EU European Union

HCT Human Capital Theory

ISCED International Standard Classification of Education
ISCO International Standard Classification of Occupations

KEBÖ Conference of Austrian Adult Education

ILO International Labour Organisation

LLL:2020 Austria's Lifelong Learning Strategy

NA No Answer

OECD Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development

RCT Rational Choice Theory

Ref. Reference category

VoC Varieties of Capitalism