

Julia Tscherpel

Between Heightened Confidence and Anxious Reservations

Communicated Expectations of Artificial Intelligence in
National AI Strategies

Thesis (M.A.)

YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS VALUE



- We will publish your bachelor's and master's thesis, essays and papers
- Your own eBook and book - sold worldwide in all relevant shops
- Earn money with each sale

Upload your text at www.GRIN.com
and publish for free



Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de> .

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author s and publisher s rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

Imprint:

Copyright © 2021 GRIN Verlag
ISBN: 9783346873392

This book at GRIN:

<https://www.grin.com/document/1357471>

Julia Tscherpel

Between Heightened Confidence and Anxious Reservations

Communicated Expectations of Artificial Intelligence in National AI Strategies

GRIN - Your knowledge has value

Since its foundation in 1998, GRIN has specialized in publishing academic texts by students, college teachers and other academics as e-book and printed book. The website www.grin.com is an ideal platform for presenting term papers, final papers, scientific essays, dissertations and specialist books.

Visit us on the internet:

<http://www.grin.com/>

<http://www.facebook.com/grincom>

http://www.twitter.com/grin_com

Freie Universität Berlin
Department of Political and Social Sciences
Institute of Sociology

**Between Heightened Confidence and Anxious Reservations – Communicated
Expectations of Artificial Intelligence in National AI Strategies**

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree Master of Arts

Julia Tscherpel

Berlin

February, 09th 2021

Abstract. The thesis draws on the field of the sociology of expectations to highlight the role of shared societal expectations of AI in the diffusion of new technological innovation. It adds to the list of globally shared societal expectations of AI that are described in past research and publications, and analyses the communication of these expectations in twelve National AI Strategies. Lending from communication studies, lexical units that indicate positive or negative expectations regarding this disruptive technology are sought out to arrange countries according to their country-specific vision of AI. They are grouped according to whether they distribute a confident, reserved, or impartial vision of AI.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. The State of Research.....	4
3. A Short History of AI Development.....	5
4. The Role of Expectations in the Innovation Process of AI.....	7
4.1. Introduction to the Sociology of Expectations.....	9
4.2. Functions of Expectations in the Societal Discourse.....	12
4.2.1. Performativity.....	13
4.2.2. Normativity	14
4.3. Factors Influencing Societal Expectations	14
4.3.1. Culture.....	15
4.3.2. Media	16
4.3.3. Institutional Factors	17
4.4. Unifying Expectations Through Government Communication	19
4.5. Repercussions of Message Sidedness in Government Communication	21
5. Design and Method.....	23
5.1. Qualitative Content Analysis for the Analysis of Strategic Policy Papers	23
5.2. Choice of National AI Strategies.....	24
5.3. Procedure of the Analysis: Category Formation	25
5.4. Procedure of the Analysis: Indicating Lexical Units	31
5.5. Grouping of National AI Strategies: Confident, Reserved, Impartial Perspective.....	34
5.6. Quality of the Analysis	36
6. Findings	37
6.1. Deduced Expectation-Categories from the Literature	37
6.1.1. Positive Expectations	38

6.1.2. Negative Expectations	41
6.2. Induced Expectation-Categories from the National AI Strategies	45
6.2.1. Positive Expectations	46
6.2.2. Negative Expectations	47
6.3 Evaluation of Communicated Expectations in the National AI Strategies	48
6.3.1. Global Positive Expectation-Categories	51
6.3.2. Global Negative Expectation-Categories.....	56
6.3.3. Country-Specific Expectation-Categories.....	60
6.3.4. Country-Specific Communication of Expectations.....	64
6.4. Concluding Assessment and Grouping of the National AI Strategies.....	67
7. Conclusion	73
Bibliography.....	77
Appendix.....	83

1. Introduction

Feared by many and charged with exaggerated ideas by others, Artificial Intelligence (AI) as we know it today is an increasingly often debated technology. Although already imagined by humans centuries ago in the form of the Homunculus, AI in the 21st century is still discussed as a relatively new technology with persisting disagreement among the public about what this disruptive technology actually embodies. Most people today have an idea in mind when faced with the term Artificial Intelligence. Few are, however, familiar enough with the technology as to not blend realistic technological possibilities with misconceptions derived from popular culture. Especially with the recent improvements in computing capabilities and what is known as Machine Learning (ML), the public discussion has picked up since 2009, and what can be described as an AI arms race between nations has emerged globally (Fast and Horvitz 2017). With countries striving to gain the upper hand in research and development in AI, it has increasingly become important to assure the public on the benefits of further developing this promising technology; the diffusion of innovation and therewith the success of this technology depends on its social acceptance, after all. Society does not only affect the marketability of new technologies but at the same time is the driver and creative mind behind newfound ideas since innovation is steered by public sentiment and vision, and technology is socially shaped and negotiated (Surry and Baker III 2016; Mosemghvdlishvili and Jansz 2013).

Despite public disagreements about the real possibilities of AI, this technology can roughly and very generally be defined as the “activity devoted to making machines intelligent, and intelligence is that quality that enables an entity to function appropriately and with foresight in its environment” (Nilsson 2013, xiii). As AI is still in its advent in terms of what can still be achieved, this definition is formulated generically enough to keep its relevance despite unpredictable developments. It includes so-called weak AI technologies that show intelligence in only one area of specialization, such as by supporting the every-day writing of short messages on the phone by recommending words based on the habits of the user, while at the same time not excluding strong AI whose future goal will be to embody machines with human-like intelligence that are able to self-sufficiently apply learned rules to new situations. To eliminate a first misconception here, technological singularity with strong AI containing ego consciousness is still a dream of a faraway future and the oppression of humans by