SAMUEL D. FERGUSON

The Spirit and Relational Anthropology in Paul

> Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe 520

> > **Mohr Siebeck**

# Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe

Herausgeber / Editor Jörg Frey (Zürich)

Mitherausgeber/Associate Editors Markus Bockmuehl (Oxford) · James A. Kelhoffer (Uppsala) Tobias Nicklas (Regensburg) · Janet Spittler (Charlottesville, VA) J. Ross Wagner (Durham, NC)

520



Samuel D. Ferguson

# The Spirit and Relational Anthropology in Paul

Mohr Siebeck

Samuel D. Ferguson, born 1982; 2006 BSBA from Drexel University; 2010 MDiv from Gordon-Conwell Theological Seminary; 2011 MPhil from Cambridge University; 2019 PhD from Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary; currently Rector of The Falls Church Anglican in Falls Church, Virginia. orcid.org/0000-0002-6960-1571

ISBN 978-3-16-159076-4/eISBN 978-3-16-159077-1 DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-159077-1

ISSN 0340-9570/eISSN 2568-7484 (Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament, 2. Reihe)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available at *http://dnb.dnb.de*.

© 2020 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed on non-aging paper by Laupp & Göbel in Gomaringen, and bound by Buchbinderei Nädele in Nehren.

Printed in Germany.

## Acknowledgments

The present volume represents a slightly revised version of my doctoral dissertation, accepted by Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary in April 2019. I am especially grateful to Professor Jörg Frey for reading and accepting the manuscript for the *WUNT II* series. Thanks is also due to Professor Markus Bockmuehl, who offered helpful suggestions for revision and encouraged me to pursue publication.

There are many people to thank following the completion of a dissertation and now book. During seminars and research, I had the privilege of working under the supervision of Professor Andreas Köstenberger, whose excellence as a scholar guided my course, and whose encouragement and care made completing this project possible. Dr. Benjamin Merkle provided valuable comments as part of my committee, and Dr. Simon Gathercole, as an external reader, offered extremely helpful feedback as well.

Other teachers prepared and inspired me for studying the New Testament. Former Lady Margaret's Professor of Divinity at Cambridge, Professor Judith Lieu, taught me that good research meant not beginning with my conclusions. Dr. Stephen Witmer gave me a vision for scholarly diligence born out of devotion to Christ. I am forever grateful for Dr. Witmer's Introduction to New Testament Interpretation course, still the best class I have ever taken.

The abiding interest of this monograph is *relational anthropology*, or, how persons are shaped by others. It is quite fitting, then, that many friends made these years of study not only endurable, but even joyful! Brad K. and his family made Raleigh home; Levi B. and Robbie B. helped me survive long days in the library; Bill B., Matt M., Eric S., and Phil H. showed me Christ's love; Greg M. was steadfast; Brad B. remained, as ever, my brother in the journey; and the Fichthorn family loved me as their own. More recently, my study assistant Palmer Jones labored to copy-edit and format the manuscript for publication. Palmer's eagle-eye, maximum effort, and friendship have been great blessings as this project moved to completion.

None of my graduate studies would have been possible without the community and support of The Falls Church Anglican. Since 2009, I've gone from being their summer seminarian, to postulant, to deacon, to associate pastor, to rector as of May 2019. The constant love I've received from my

church family overwhelms me. Thank you for allowing me the years away for study.

Few things sustain during the toil of doctoral research like a vocational vision. I am forever grateful to Rev'd Drs. John Yates II and John Yates III, who for over a decade have been my model for life as a pastor. I pray I can live up to their example.

Final thanks are reserved for my family, especially my father and mother. They have been a constant support during this journey and paved the way not only to a love of learning but also to a love of Jesus Christ.

Falls Church, Virginia, May 2020

Samuel D. Ferguson

## Table of Contents

Preface	V
List of Abbreviations	XI
Part 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 1: Situating the Study	4
1.1. Thesis of This Study	6
1.2. Uniqueness of This Study	8
1.3. Contribution of This Study to Pauline Scholarship	
1.4. Approach of Study and Flow of Argument	12
1.5. Situating the Study within the Relevant History of Research	14
1.5.1. H. Wheeler Robinson and Corporate Personality	
1.5.2. Bultmann and Käsemann on Anthropology	16
1.5.2.1. Bultmann and the Self-in-Relation-to-Itself	17
1.5.2.2. Bultmann on Key Anthropological Terms	18
1.5.2.3. Bultmann and The Individual amid External Forces	
1.5.2.4. Bultmann and Faith as Individual Decision	21
1.5.2.5. Bultmann, The Individual, and The Community	21
1.5.2.6. An "Opening" in Bultmann's Anthropology	
1.5.2.7. Käsemann and the Individual-in-Solidarity	
1.5.2.8. Käsemann and Humankind as Corporeal	
1.5.2.9. Käsemann, The Individual, and The Community	
1.5.2.10. Conclusion to Bultmann and Käsemann	
1.5.3. Social-Scientific Approaches and Dyadic Persons	30
1.5.4. The Individual in Communal and Apocalyptic	
1.5.5. Aaron Son and Corporate Anthropology	
1.5.6. Ben Blackwell, Christosis, and Participatory Relationships	
1.5.7. Ben Dunson and the Individual-in-Community	
1.6. Conclusions from Literature Review	
1.7. Methodology	46

Chapter 2: A Turn to Relational Anthropology	48
2.1. An Interdisciplinary Turn to Relational Anthropology	49
2.2. Relational Anthropology: Clarifying the Concept	
2.3. A Turn to Relational Anthropology in Pauline Studies	57
2.3.1. Susan Grove Eastman and Participatory Personhood	
2.3.2. Volker Rabens and Spirit-Generated Relationships	
2.3.3. Emmanuel L. Rehfeld and Relational Ontology in Paul	74
2.4. Summary Observations	80
2.5. Further Exploration	87
Chapter 3: Relational Anthropology According to Paul	
3.1. Defining Concepts: Person and Relation	
3.1.1. The "Person" according to Paul	88
3.1.2. The Concept "Relation"	
3.2. Types of Relations Affecting Persons in Paul	97
3.2.1. Creature and Creator	97
3.2.2. Embodied, Therefore Embedded	101
3.2.3. Human Beings, the Cosmos and Supra-Human Forces	108
3.2.4. Christ-Relatedness	
3.2.5. The Believer in Relation to Other Believers	130
3.2.6. Conclusion	
3.3. Personhood Defined by Relations	
3.3.1. Relations and Identity	132
3.3.2. Relations and Agency	133
3.3.3. Relations and Heart	134
3.4. Conclusion	135

Part 2: Approaching the Spirit in Paul	
Background to Paul's Pneumatology	
The Personal Spirit	
The Relational Work of the Spirit in Paul's Background	

Chapter 4: The Spirit and Relational Anthropology in	
Romans 8	150
	1.50
4.1. Romans 8 in the Context of Romans 5–8	
4.1.1. The Unit Romans 5:1–8:39	
4.1.2. The Flow of Romans 5:1–8:39	156
4.1.3. The Driving Theme of Romans 5–8: Life and Death	157
4.2. Christian Personhood and Being "In the Spirit"	
4.3. The Relational Work of the Spirit in Romans 8	173
4.3.1. The Spirit and Belonging to Christ and God: Romans 8:5–11	173
4.3.2. The Relation of Lingering Embodiment	185
4.3.3. The Spirit and Relation of Sonship: Romans 8:14–17	186
4.3.4. Horizontal Implications of Vertical Sonship	196
4.4. Christian Personhood in Light of Spirit-Shaped Relationships	197
4.4.1. Spirit-Shaped Relationships and Identity	197
4.4.2. Spirit-Shaped Relationships and Heart	199
4.4.3. Spirit-Shaped Relationships and Agency	201
4.5. Conclusion	

## 

5.1. Historical and Literary Context of 1 Corinthians 12	
5.1.1. Historical Context	
5.1.2. Literary Context	
5.2. Summarizing the Context of 1 Corinthians 12	
5.3. The Unifying Nature and Purpose of Diverse χαρίσματα	
5.3.1. Defining πνευματικός and χάρισμα	
5.3.2. Common Source, Agency and Purpose of χαρίσματα	
5.3.3. 1 Corinthians 12:7 to 12:8–10	
5.3.4. Summary Observations	
5.4. Χαρίσματα Require and Create Interdependence	
5.4.1. The Nine-fold 'η φανέρωσις τοῦ πνεύματος	
5.4.2. Summary Observations	
5.5. How χαρίσματα-Relationships Affect Personhood	
5.5.1. Anthropological Implications of the Body Metaphor	
5.5.2 Ongoing Experiences of Utility and Belonging	
5.6. Conclusion	

Chapter 6: Conclusion and Implications	
6.1. Findings and Implications	
6.2. Relational Anthropology and Christian Existence	
6.3. Relational Anthropology and Individuals and Community	
6.4. Relational Anthropology and Ecclesial Friendships	
6.5. Further Study	
6.7. Conclusion	

Bibliography.	
---------------	--

Index of Ancient Sources	
Index of Modern Authors	
Index of Subjects and Key Terms	

## Abbreviations

ABAnchor BibleABDAnchor Bible DictionaryALUOSAnnual of Leeds University Oriental SocietyAnBibAnalecta BiblicaAYBCAnchor Yale Bible CommentariesBBRBulletin of Biblical ResearchBDAGBauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NTBECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch - katholischer Kommentariz zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyEqEvangelical Journal of TheologyEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch - katholischer Kommentariz zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyEqOEvangelical QuarterlyEJPR<		
ALUOSAnnual of Leeds University Oriental SocietyAnBibAnalecta BiblicaAYBCAnchor Yale Bible CommentariesBBRBulletin of Biblical ResearchBDAGBauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NTBECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAExaquituEQEvangelisch - katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEKNTExegetical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyEAExapsition TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFLARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHARHebrew JournalHARHistory of Religion y GreligionHRHistory of Religions	AB	Anchor Bible
AnBibAnalecta BiblicaAYBCAnchor Yale Bible CommentariesBBRBulletin of Biblical ResearchBDAGBauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NTBECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCGWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical QuarterlyEQEuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuropean Journal of TheologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEAEx AudituEAEx Subjech - katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEGSNTEuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuropean Journal of TheologyEgoEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyEQExository TimesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments <td>ABD</td> <td>Anchor Bible Dictionary</td>	ABD	Anchor Bible Dictionary
AYBCAnchor Yale Bible CommentariesBBRBulletin of Biblical ResearchBDAGBauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NTBECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBiblische ZaitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological JournalCTQColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituEGGNTExagetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyETEaropean Journal of TheologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyEAExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Alten TestamentGNSGood New StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Alten TestamentMSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Alten TestamentHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHARH	ALUOS	Annual of Leeds University Oriental Society
BBRBulletin of Biblical ResearchBDAGBauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NTBECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGSRTEuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEAEx AudituECNTEvagetical QuarterlyEGNTEvagelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuropan Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuropan Journal for Philosophy of ReligionExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Religion und Literatur des Alten und NeuenTestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHARHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical Theology <td>AnBib</td> <td>Analecta Biblica</td>	AnBib	Analecta Biblica
BDAGBauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NTBECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea SerollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEGSTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyE2PTEuropean Journal of TheologyE2PTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHey THistory of Religions	AYBC	Anchor Yale Bible Commentaries
BECNTBaker Exegetical Commentary on the New TestamentBHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea SerollsEAEX AudituECNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyEuropan Journal of TheologyExpTimExpSitory TimesFATFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHARHistory of Religions	BBR	Bulletin of Biblical Research
BHTBeiträge zur historischen TheologieBNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyEATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGMSGood News StudiesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentEMSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zum Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	BDAG	Bauer, Danker, Arndt, Gingrich Greek-English Lexicon of the NT
BNTCBlack's New Testament CommentariesBSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExcegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExcegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHRHistory of Religions	BECNT	Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament
BSacBibliotheca SacraBZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCW/CWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExcegetical QuarterlyEQEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyExprimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHARHebrew JournalHRHistory of Religions	BHT	Beiträge zur historischen Theologie
BZBiblische ZeitschriftBZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyExprimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHARHistory of Religions	BNTC	Black's New Testament Commentaries
BZNWBeihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche WissenschaftCBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituEGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvagetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyEATForschungen zur Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	BSac	Bibliotheca Sacra
CBRCurrents in Biblical ResearchCBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	BZ	Biblische Zeitschrift
CBQCatholic Biblical QuarterlyCCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHaRHistory of Religions	BZNW	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft
CCWJCWCambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian WorldCTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvagetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und NeuenTestamentsHARHBHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHaRHistory of Religions	CBR	Currents in Biblical Research
CTQConcordia Theological MonthlyCSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHRHistory of Religions	CBQ	Catholic Biblical Quarterly
CSRTColumbia Series in Reformed TheologyCTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHRHistory of Religions	CCWJCW	Cambridge Commentaries on Writings of the Jewish & Christian World
CTRCalvin Theological JournalDLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHRHistory of Religions	CTQ	Concordia Theological Monthly
DLNTDictionary of the Later New Testament and Its DevelopmentsDNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	CSRT	Columbia Series in Reformed Theology
DNTBDictionary of New Testament BackgroundDPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHaRHistory of Religions	CTR	Calvin Theological Journal
DPLDictionary of Paul and His LettersDSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHistory of Religions	DLNT	Dictionary of the Later New Testament and Its Developments
DSSDead Sea ScrollsEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zur Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHistory of Religions	DNTB	Dictionary of New Testament Background
EAEx AudituEAEx AudituECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHistory of Religions	DPL	Dictionary of Paul and His Letters
ECNTExegetical Commentary on the New TestamentEGGNTExegetical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHRHistory of Religions	DSS	Dead Sea Scrolls
EGGNTExceptical Guide to the Greek New TestamentEKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHistory of Religions	EA	Ex Auditu
EKKNTEvangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen TestamentEMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHistory of Religions	ECNT	Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament
EMSPEuropean Monographs on Social PsychologyEQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	EGGNT	Exegetical Guide to the Greek New Testament
EQEvangelical QuarterlyEJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHasHistory of Religions	EKKNT	Evangelisch – katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen Testament
EJPREuropean Journal for Philosophy of ReligionEuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop Journal HRHRHistory of Religions	EMSP	European Monographs on Social Psychology
EuroJThEuropean Journal of TheologyExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	EQ	Evangelical Quarterly
ExpTimExpository TimesFATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	EJPR	European Journal for Philosophy of Religion
FATForschungen zum Alten TestamentGNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	EuroJTh	European Journal of Theology
GNSGood News StudiesFRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	ExpTim	Expository Times
FRLANTForschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	FAT	Forschungen zum Alten Testament
TestamentsHARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	GNS	Good News Studies
HARHebrew Annual ReviewHBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	FRLANT	Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen
HBHebrew BibleHBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions		
HBTHorizons in Biblical TheologyHeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	HAR	Hebrew Annual Review
HeyJThe Heythrop JournalHRHistory of Religions	HB	Hebrew Bible
HR History of Religions	HBT	
	HeyJ	The Heythrop Journal
HSM Harvard Semitic Monographs	HR	
	HSM	Harvard Semitic Monographs

XII	Abbreviations
HTR	Harvard Theological Review
HUCA	Hebrew Union College Annual
ICC	International Critical Commentary
Int	Interpretation
INT	Interpretation: A Bible Commentary for Teaching and Preaching
JAAR	Journal of the American Academy of Religion
JBL	Journal of Biblical Literature
JBPR	Journal of Biblical and Pneumatological Research
JES	Journal of Ecumenical Studies
JETS	Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society
JITC	Journal of the Interdenominational Theological Center
JPT	Journal of Pentecostal Theology
JSJSup	Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism
JSNT	Journal for the Study of the New Testament
JSNTS	Journal for the Study of the New Testament Supplements
JTI	Journal of Theological Interpretation
JTS	Journal of Theological Studies
LNTS	Library of New Testament Studies
LSJ	Liddell, Scott, Jones Greek–English Lexicon
LXX	Septuagint
MNTC	Moffatt NT Commentary
MTZ	Münchener Theologische Zeitschrift
NA <sup>28</sup>	Novum Testamentum Graece, Nestle–Aland, 28th ed.
NICNT	New International Commentary on the New Testament
NIGTC	New International Greek Testament Commentary
NTAbh	Neutestamentliche Abhandlungen
NTS	New Testament Studies
PNTC	Pillar New Testament Commentary
RA	Relational Anthropology
RevQ	Revue de Qumran
SBL	Society of Biblical Literature
SBLDS	Society of Biblical Literature Dissertation Series
SJT	Scottish Journal of Theology
SNTSMS	Society for New Testament Studies Monograph Series
SP	Sacra Pagina
SSN	Studia Semitica Neerlandica
STDJ	Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah
TynBul	Tyndale Bulletin
TDNT	Theological Dictionary of the New Testament
TDOT	Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament
TSAJ	Texte und Studien zum antiken Judentum
TrinJ	Trinity Journal
TynBul	Tyndale Bulletin
VCaro	Verbum Caro
VT	Vetus Testamentum
WBC	Word Biblical Commentary
WEC	Wycliffe Exegetical Commentary
WTJ	Wesleyan Theological Journal
WUNT	Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament
	·

#### Abbreviations

WW	Word & World
ZAW	Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft
ZNT	Zeitschrift für Neues Testament
ZNW	Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde der älteren Kirche
ZTK	Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche

## Introduction

How interpreters conceive of Paul's anthropology exerts influence, often unknowingly, upon their broader understanding of his thought. Topics such as sanctification and ethics, for example, will be approached differently depending on how one views the corporeal aspect of humanity (e.g., 1 Cor 6:12–20). Whether or not the Pauline person is seen as inherently autonomous, or irreducibly corporate, likewise affects how one construes of topics such as justification and ecclesiology. From Christology (e.g., Rom 8:3; Phil 2:7) to soteriology (e.g., Rom 3:23; 5:12–21) to ecclesiology (e.g., 1 Cor 12:12–13, 27), understanding Paul requires understanding his anthropology.<sup>1</sup>

It is surprising, therefore, that during the modern era of biblical scholarship Paul's anthropology has not received the same attention as other areas of this thought. It is also significant that within the notable exceptions that did address the topic, a tendency persisted to conceive of the Pauline person as a predominantly autonomous being. Whether through Bultmann's existentialist approach,<sup>2</sup> or the substance-ontology questions of the monism and dualism debate,<sup>3</sup> treatments of Paul's anthropology during the early and middle part of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As an example, Timo Laato, *Paul and Judaism: An Anthropological Approach*, trans. T. McElwain (Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1995), 2, made such a point when he suggested that E. P. Sanders, in his comparison of "patterns of religion," did not "sufficiently take into account" anthropological dimensions, which notions of agency and soteriology always presuppose. See also, Laato, "Paul's Anthropological Considerations: Two Problems," *Justification and Variegated Nomism*, vols. 1–2, ed. D. A. Carson, Peter T. O'Brien, and Mark A. Seifrid, WUNT 2.140 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2004), 343–59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rudolf Bultmann, *Theology of the New Testament*, trans. Kendrick Grobel (Waco: Baylor University Press, 2007), 1:191, is well known for his dictum, "Paul's theology is at the same time anthropology." Bultmann interpreted Paul's anthropology through Heideggerian existentialism, which tended toward individualism. Bultmann's work is considered below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The monism and dualism (and trichotomy) debates tended to focus the anthropology question on the individual qua individual. In analyzing the constitution of human beings, how external factors influenced existence was often unaddressed. For an overview of the monism and dualism debate, see John W. Cooper, *Body, Soul, and Life Everlasting: Biblical Anthropology and the Monism-Dualism Debate* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1989), 1–20. For an exegetical defense of dualism, see Robert H. Gundry, *Sōma in Biblical Theology with Emphasis on Pauline Anthropology* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1976); in

the 20<sup>th</sup> century largely focused on the individual qua individual.<sup>4</sup> However, responding to both a dearth in research on the one hand, and overly individualistic readings on the other, a renewed interest in Paul's doctrine of humanity is emerging.<sup>5</sup> Here, the assumption is that persons are who they are only in relation to another, and analysis accounts not only for "anthropological terms,"<sup>6</sup> but also for the pervasively participatory atmosphere surrounding the Pauline person: e.g., persons are "in Adam" or "in Christ" (Rom 5:12–21), "members of the body of Christ" (1 Cor 12:12–13; Rom 12:3–5), and existence is impacted by cosmological and eschatological factors.<sup>7</sup> The present study

support of a trichotomous anthropology in Paul, see George H. van Kooten, *Paul's Anthropology in Context: The Image of God, Assimilation to God, and Tripartite Man in Ancient Judaism, Ancient Philosophy and Early Christianity,* WUNT 1.232 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2008), 219; 298–312; for biblical scholars favoring monism, or a complex holism, which is the view of this study, see H. Wheeler Robinson, *The Christian Doctrine of Man*, 3rd ed. (Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1947); Bultmann, *TNT*, 1:190–227; J. A. T. Robinson, *The Body: A Study in Pauline Theology* (Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1952), 11–33; W. David Stacey, *The Pauline View of Man: In Relation to Its Judaic and Hellenistic Background* (London/New York: Macmillan, 1956), 121–241; M. E. Dahl, *The Resurrection of the Body: A Study of 1 Corinthians 15* (Naperville: A. R. Allenson, 1962), 1; D. R. G. Owen, *Body and Soul: A Study on the Christian View of Man* (Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1959), 163–221; E. Earle Ellis, "Sõma in First Corinthians," *Int* 44 (1990): 132–44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Dale B. Martin, *The Corinthian Body* (New Haven: Yale University Press), 4–6, wonders if centuries of Pauline interpretation was uncritically filtered through a Cartesian dualism, with the latter prizing non-material and introspective aspects of being human – all factors tending toward individualism: "Descartes's dichotomy has mislead countless readers in their reading of ancient authors, Paul especially" (p. 6). We return to this topic in chapter 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Hans Dieter Betz refers to this renewed interest in Paul's anthropology and situates it vis-à-vis the earlier debate between Bultmann and Käsemann, e.g., Betz, "The Concept of the 'Inner Human Being' ( $\dot{o}$  ἕσω ἄνθρωπος) in the Anthropology of Paul," *NTS* 46 (2000), 315, writes, "Ending a period of relative silence after the controversy between Rudolf Bultmann and Ernst Käsemann in the 1960s, recent studies are evidence of a renewed interest in Paul's anthropology." We consider the debate between Bultmann and Käsemann later in chapter 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> An important approach to Paul's anthropology is to consider various terms, such as σῶμα, σάρξ, etc. Here again, analysis can inadvertently over-focus on the individual qua individual, although this is not always the case. An exhaustive treatment of Paul's anthropological terms is Robert Jewett, *Paul's Anthropological Terms: A Study of Their Use in Conflict Settings* (Leiden: Brill, 1971). Also see Alexander Sand, *Der Begriff "Fleisch" in den paulinischen Hauptbriefen*, Biblische Untersuchungen 2 (Regensburg: Pustet, 1967); Gundry, *Sōma*; Martin, *Corinthian Body*; Bultmann, *TNT*, 1:190–246.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> An overview of the turn toward a participatory and relational notion of personhood is considered in depth in chapter 2. Indicative of this view are the words of Susan Grove Eastman, "Participation in Christ," *The Oxford Handbook of Pauline Studies*, ed. Matthew V. Novenson and R. Barry Matlock, http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/

joins this latter and developing approach to Paul's doctrine of humanity, recognizing the far-reaching importance of the topic, and asking how to understand Paul's anthropology in light of the communal and cosmic nature of existence.

The uniqueness of this study lies first in its argument that the concept "relation/relationship" presents a fruitful way to conceive of *how* the Pauline person situates alongside, and is in part constituted by, communal and external dynamics – whether these dynamics are personal relationships, cosmic and divine forces, or the natural environment. Developing this relational model of anthropology and situating it within the context of Pauline scholarship makes up Part 1 of this study. The second innovation of this study builds from Part 1, when in Part 2 the role of the Spirit in generating and sustaining relationships that reconstitute Christian personhood is analyzed.

<sup>9780199600489-</sup>e-005-div1-1. n.p. Online publication, April 2014, "The structure of human existence is participatory without exception and without remainder. That is, whether 'in Christ' or under the power of sin and death, human life always is constrained and constructed in relationship to external forces that also operate internally." Eastman's work is considered in depth in chapter 2. See also the study of Sarah Harding, *Paul's Eschatological Anthropology: The Dynamics of Human Transformation* (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2015), who argues that Paul's anthropology can only be understood by situating it within his cosmology and eschatology, e.g., "Humans are situated, both temporally and spatially, within a cosmological context" (p. 2). Harding's study has affinities with the present work inasmuch as eschatological aeons function as contexts/relational webs influencing personhood; i.e., "Paul's anthropological utterances are embedded within an eschatological dynamic, which accounts for the varied valuations accorded any anthropological 'part' or 'aspect' mentioned in his letters" (p. 42).

#### Chapter 1

## Situating the Study

This study will argue that in Paul a person is not an autonomous reality, but rather his or her existence depends on another. Put another way, this study asks how corporate and cosmic realties affect the structure of human existence in Paul's anthropology. Focusing the approach to this broad interest is the comment of James D. G. Dunn, who, in his treatment of Pauline anthropology, highlights the significance relations may have upon human existence: "Paul's anthropology is not a form of individualism; persons are social beings, defined as persons by their relations."1 Dunn's comment runs counter to earlier construals of Paul's doctrine of humanity highlighting individualism.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, if Dunn's conclusion is correct, that relations define persons, it has wide-ranging implications for Paul's broader thought. If personhood is constituted in a relational matrix, then a fresh paradigm may open for better understanding the participatory logic of Paul's thought: i.e., being "in Christ," or a "member of the body of Christ." Also, a relational view of anthropology may help explain how categories at times seen in antithesis, such as justification and participation, are inherently bound together.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> James D. G. Dunn, *The Theology of the Apostle Paul* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1998), 53, writes, "[Paul] was not concerned with God in himself or humankind in itself. The classical Greek philosophical debates about existence and subsistence and the later church debates about the natures of Christ are remote from Paul. As the opening of his exposition of the gospel in Rom. 1.16ff. clearly shows, his concern was rather with humankind in relation to God, with men and women in their relationship with each other, and subsequently with Christ as God's response to the human plight." For Dunn's overview of Pauline anthropology see *Theology of Paul*, 38–101.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For the tendency for 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> century treatments of Paul's anthropology to focus on the individual *qua* individual, see above, Part 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> How frameworks of Paul's anthropology impact understandings of justification will be noted later in this chapter. It is also the case that a relational view of anthropology impacts views of sanctification, which at times have been overly individualistic. E.g., James H. Howard, *Paul, the Community, and Progressive Sanctification: An Exploration into Community-Based Transformation within Pauline Theology* (New York: Peter Lang, 2007), 2, explains, "The role of the believing community in this process [of sanctification] has consistently been overlooked in traditional exegetical and theological studies ... the community, while important, is not essential in the process of growing in maturity." Howard goes on to explain that a reason for this is that "the Western world [has] long emphasized the individual aspect of biblical truth. [This] has resulted in a highly individualized systematic theology in the Western church."

Despite the significance of his claim, Dunn unfortunately does not probe the anthropological assumptions that would make sense of relationally defined persons. By stating persons are defined by relations does Dunn simply point out the obvious, that relations "add on" to otherwise self-contained identities, such as when the relationship of marriage adds to "woman" being "wife"? Or, does he suggest something more fundamental, that personhood requires relations? Though not a detailed explanation, Dunn's further comment witnesses to a more fundamental reality: "In Pauline perspective, human beings *are as they are* by virtue of their relationship to God and his world."<sup>4</sup>

The present study is concerned with probing what anthropological assumptions might make sense of Dunn's statement. What view of human existence explains the fact that for Paul, life and salvation are not matters of self-possession or self-understanding, but rather always construed in relation to another?<sup>5</sup>

This question – how relations constitute and affect persons – needs to be framed in light of Paul's broader thought: how do individuals and communal (corporate, cosmological) elements interrelate in Paul?<sup>6</sup> Does the Pauline individual simply sit awkwardly, or autonomously, amid the communal and cosmic atmosphere around him or her? Or, is this individual constituted, at least in part, in connection to (relation to) surrounding realities? Put this way, our anthropological interest situates within a wider conversation in Pauline studies, referred to by Stephen Barton as the "explosion of interest in the communal dimension of earliest Christianity."<sup>7</sup> A wide-ranging turn toward the communal and corporate aspects of Early Judaism and earliest Christianity has impacted a plethora of theological issues, including not only views of soteriology and justification, but also anthropology.<sup>8</sup> As such, this study's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Dunn, *Theology of Paul*, 53. Emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Even Paul's vision of salvation (new life) is a decidedly non-autonomous affair, as Dunn, *Theology of Paul*, 53, points out. For Paul "salvation is of man and woman being restored to the image of God in the body of Christ."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a thorough overview of this question, see Ben C. Dunson, "The Individual and Community in Twentieth- and Twenty-first-Century Pauline Scholarship," *CBR* 9.1 (2010): 63–97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Stephen C. Barton, "The Communal Dimension of Earliest Christianity: A Critical Survey of the Field," *JTS* 43.2 (1992): 399, overviews the "explosion of interest in the communal dimension of earliest Christianity" in recent NT study, detailing nine reasons for it, then explaining its presence across an array of NT areas, including Pauline studies. On this communal interest, see also James G. Samra, *Being Conformed to Christ in Community: A Study of Maturity, Maturation and the Local Church in the Undisputed Pauline Epistles*, LNTS 320 (London: T&T Clark, 2006), 28–32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Krister Stendahl's work is a prime example of how the turn away from individualism and turn toward communal aspects affects views of soteriology and justification. E.g., writing in 1963, Stendhal, "The Apostle Paul and the Introspective Conscience of the West," *HTR* 56.3 (1963): 199–215, critiqued traditional Western ways of reading the Pauline letters

interest in the relational aspect of Pauline anthropology is relevant also to the apostle's more extensive theology, especially in addressing how individual and communal aspects interrelate.

#### 1.1. Thesis of This Study

This study, therefore, organizes around one fundamental question: how do relations impact human existence in Paul? It will be argued that in Paul persons are constituted in a web of relations, ranging from relationship with their Creator, to embeddedness in a world, to domination by outside forces, to relationships with each other. An entirely autonomous existence is an impossibility. Employing the term "Zusammenhang" ("interrelations"), Udo Schnelle's explanation of human existence in Paul points in the direction of this study:

The idea prevailed within the Pauline symbolic universe that human life by nature exists within a comprehensive set of interrelations [dass menschliches Leben natürlicherweise in einen übergreifenden Zusammenhang eingeordnet ist]. People cannot live out of themselves, on their own resources, for they always find themselves in a previously existing force field where various powers already hold sway. As a creature, the human being is not autonomous [Als Geschöpf ist der Mensch nicht autonom] but is exposed to the powers that prevail in creation: God, and evil in the form of sin.<sup>9</sup>

Along with the general claim that persons are not absolutely autonomous in Paul's thought, Schnelle's words signal two further aspects of this study.<sup>10</sup> It will be argued that relations are not a secondary, but an essential feature of personhood in Paul – "human life *by nature exists* within a comprehensive set

as documents of human consciousness, asserting, "Especially in Protestant Christianity – which has its roots in Augustine and the Middle Ages – the Pauline awareness of sin has been interpreted in the light of Luther's struggle with his conscience" (p. 200). Stendhal's point throughout the influential article is that centuries of NT scholarship were filtered through an individualist lens, anachronistic to the NT writings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Udo Schnelle, *Paulus: Leben und Denken* (Berlin: Walter de Guyter, 2003), 565; Schnelle, *Apostle Paul: His Life and Theology*, trans. M. Eugene Boring (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2014), 494. Emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> This thesis does not deny any notion of autonomy to the subject ("I"), which would in effect dissolve the subject. Rather, we understand human existence as a "limited autonomy" [*begrenzter Autonomie*], where an "all or nothing" distinction between autonomy and heteronomy is avoided. Therefore, while it is in a sense correct to say human existence is not autonomous, this needs to be qualified and nuanced. See Jürgen Straub, "Personale und kollektive Identität: Zur Analyse eines theoretischen Begriffs," in *Identitäten*, ed. A. Assmann and H. Friese (Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 1998), 81–82.

of connections."<sup>11</sup> This is over against any notion that personhood can be realized or maintained in an entirely autonomous, self-referential framework.<sup>12</sup>

Second, Schnelle alludes to two possible "realms of relations/connections" within which human life may find itself constituted: "God, and evil in the form of sin."<sup>13</sup> This suggests a possible transference from one relational web to another. Therefore, this study also focuses on the reconstitution of the person who has been transferred from a realm of *lethal relations* and placed within a web of *life-giving relations*.<sup>14</sup> Examining this aspect draws the study's attention to the Spirit, who will be shown, through examinations of Romans 8 and 1 Corinthians 12, to generate and sustain new relational networks within which personhood is reconstituted.

To anticipate some of the work below, in Romans 8 the relational nature of personhood is on display, along with the work of the Spirit. The person in relation to sin and according to the flesh (Rom 7:7-25)<sup>15</sup> stands juxtaposed to the person in relation to Christ and according to the Spirit (Rom 8:1-17). As the chapter unfolds, persons-as-enemies (Rom 5:10; 8:7) become persons-as-children-of-God, heirs of God, fellow heirs of Christ; and all this is actualized through *Spirit-wrought relationships*:

For you did not receive the spirit of slavery to fall back into fear, but you have received the *Spirit* of adoption as *sons*, by whom [the Spirit] we cry, "*Abba! Father*!" The *Spirit* himself

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Schnelle, *Apostle Paul*, 494. E.g., according to Rom 5:12–21, a person is who they are in relation to Adam or Christ; there is no existence outside of this "relation."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Bultmann's existentialist anthropology risks such autonomy, as discussed below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Schnelle, Apostle Paul, 494.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The language of a realm of "lethal relations" borrows from Eastman, *Paul and the Person*, 105, who understands "sin as a lethal 'relational partner' in Romans 7." Her work is considered more below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The identity of the "I" in Rom 7:7–25 is widely debated. Defending whether or not the "I's" voice pertains to a pre- or post-Christian experience is not essential to the argument of the present thesis. However, we do treat the text more carefully in chapter 4, where we will read a "generalizing 'I," evoking the voice of Adam, which echoes the voice of all men. And, we will also read the voice of the "I" as indicative of humankind living without the Spirit, whether that be a non-believer or a believer who is not "putting to death the deeds of the body by the Spirit" (Rom 8:13). In our view we follow the work of Will Timmins, Romans 7 and Christian Identity: A Study of the 'I' in Its Literary Context, SNTSMS 170 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017), 8, who says the following regarding the "I" in Rom 7:7-25: "Paul portrays the anthropological condition of the ἐγώ as an Adamic state of powerlessness, without direct reference to the ¿yú's relational ontology, viz. without reference to being 'in the Spirit' (Rom 8:9). The ἐγώ's condition is a lingering, lasting solidarity with the old order, but, as an anthropological condition, it remains with the ἐγώ even when he is no longer in the flesh, under the law." Timmins's reference to "relational ontology" also points in the direction of the anthropological model of this study, as does the qualifying statement, "viz. without reference to being 'in the Spirit' (Rom 8:9)."

bears witness with our spirit that we are *children of God*, and if children, then *heirs – heirs* of God and *fellow heirs with Christ.* (Rom 8:15-17)<sup>16</sup>

Ideal existence is not self-understanding or autonomy, but an experienced relationship with God as "Abba! Father!" Put succinctly, this thesis will argue that Paul's anthropology is relational; the Pauline person is constituted in a web of relations, a fact further attested by the work of the Spirit.

#### 1.2. Distinctiveness of This Study

In asserting that personhood is relational in Paul, this study joins a nascent but growing conversation in Pauline anthropology. Since 2001, at least six Pauline scholars have devoted monographs to this general topic.<sup>17</sup> In varying degrees, how individual persons interrelate with corporate and cosmic aspects of Paul's thought animates these studies, and specifically in regard to what such interrelationships reveal about his anthropology. The diverse nomenclature employed in these studies evidences the complexity and newness of this discussion. For example, what this thesis describes as "relational anthropology" (RA), these studies refer to as "corporate anthropology,"<sup>18</sup>"the individual-in-community,"<sup>19</sup> "participatory relationships/Christosis,"<sup>20</sup> "relational ontology,"<sup>21</sup> "relational transformation,"<sup>22</sup> "being oneself-in-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Unless otherwise noted, all scriptural quotations in English of the HB and NT are taken from the English Standard Version (ESV). Citations of the Greek NT come from NA<sup>28</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Considered in more detail below, these works include: Sang-Won (Aaron) Son, *Corporate Elements in Pauline Anthropology: A Study of Selected Terms, Idioms, and Concepts in the Light of Paul's Usage and Background* (Rome: Editrice Pontificio Istituto Biblico, 2001); Ben C. Blackwell, *Christosis: Pauline Soteriology in Light of Deification in Irenaeus and Cyril of Alexandria*, WUNT 2.314 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2011). Ben C. Dunson, *Individual and Community in Paul's Letter to the Romans*, WUNT 2.332 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2012); Volker Rabens, *The Holy Spirit and Ethics in Paul*, WUNT 2.283 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013); Emmanuel L. Rehfeld, *Relationale Ontologie bei Paulus: Die ontische Wirksamkeit der Christusbezogenheit im Denken des Heidenapostels*, WUNT 2.326 (Tübingen, Mohr Siebeck, 2012); Eastman, *Paul and the Person*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Son, Corporate Elements in Pauline Anthropology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Dunson, *Individual and Community*, 176. Dunson states his thesis succinctly, "The individual and the community belong together in Paul's theology; there is no Pauline individual outside of community, just as there is no community without individuals at the heart of its ongoing life" (p. 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Blackwell, Christosis, 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Rehfeld, *Relationale Ontologie bei Paulus*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Rabens, *Spirit and Ethics in Paul*, 123, in regards to how human transformation happens in Paul, argues, "It is primarily through deeper knowledge of, and an intimate

another,"<sup>23</sup> "participatory personhood," and "second person perspective."<sup>24</sup> Although drawing from these previous studies, this thesis takes a unique direction in two ways, the first in regards to specificity, the second in regards to the Spirit.

First, more so than asserting that the phenomenon of RA exists in Paul, this study asks with more specificity how it works, addressing the mechanics of how relations define and determine personhood. Previous studies highlight the corporate aspect of Paul's anthropology,<sup>25</sup> and that both the individual and community are prominent factors in Paul's thought.<sup>26</sup> However, to date no study has asked how relations affect persons in Paul by considering with any systematic organization various components and aspects of the person. Only with a sharpened view of the Pauline person can a study answer how relations may constitute that person. For example, do relations merely impact the whole person in each instance? Or might they affect human identity in one case, but human agency in another? Other studies have hinted at differing areas or aspects of personhood impacted by relations, such as "agency"<sup>27</sup> or

<sup>26</sup> E.g., Dunson, *Individual and Community*, for example, demonstrates eight "types" of individuals within Romans, as well as the pervasive nature of community in that letter. Dunson's work does not, however, move into the question of how the individual is uniquely constituted by this communal atmosphere. Dunson's work is considered below.

<sup>27</sup> Eastman, *Paul and the Person*, 13, for example writes, "The question is not whether Paul speaks as a robust 'self' addressing other 'selves' as well as communities, but how that *personal agency* and speech are qualified by participation in a larger relational environment and by indwelling agents." Emphasis added. Here she makes explicit how RA might affect personhood, namely, by impacting agency. Her work is considered in detail in chapter 2.

*relationship* with, God, Jesus Christ and with the community of faith that people are transformed and empowered by *the Spirit* for religious-ethical life."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Susan Grove Eastman, "Oneself in Another: Participation and the Spirit in Romans 8," in "*In Christ*" in *Paul*, ed. Michael J. Tate, Kevin J. Vanhoozer, and Constantine R. Campbell, WUNT 2.384 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014), 103–25. The phrase "self-inrelation-to-others" is also used by Eastman, *Paul and the Person*, 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Eastman, *Paul and the Person*, 9, writes, "Paul's anthropology is participatory all the way down.... For Paul the self is always a self-in-relation-to-others." This so-called "participatory anthropology" is variously referred to by Eastman as the "self-in-relation-to-another" (pp. 7, 105) and "second-person perspective" (pp. 15, 16, 117). Eastman defines "second-person perspective" as "a standpoint for intellectual inquiry in a variety of subjects including philosophy, psychology, and sociology. This standpoint is disclosed by the grammar of second-person address more than either first-person, self-referential modes of knowledge or third-person, objectifying and distancing modes of knowledge. The other to be known is a 'Thou,' who addresses and knows the inquirer also as a 'Thou.' The self therefore always also exists as the recipient of an address, in the presence of an interlocutor" (p. 15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> E.g., Son, *Corporate Elements*, overviews five areas that point to a corporate nature to Paul's view of human existence. Son does not, however, probe how Paul's anthropology is actually construed via corporate phenomena. Son's work is considered in detail below.

"cognition."<sup>28</sup> Some also suggested that relations affect a person by changing the "heart,"<sup>29</sup> or through "an experience of intimacy."<sup>30</sup> None of these studies, however, has first considered what aspects might be indicative of the Pauline person and then probed how various relations affect specific aspects. This study, therefore, will address how relations affect persons by first delineating a model of personhood. Developed in chapter 3, we suggest the Pauline person be viewed aspectively, keeping in view the aspects of *identity, agency*, and *heart.*<sup>31</sup>

In tandem with a more detailed notion of personhood, this study also more carefully differentiates between various types of relations. Relations may constitute personhood in Paul, but not all relations are the same. Some relations may be *personal*, such as that between believers and Christ. Other relations may be *impersonal*, such as that between a corporeal person and her environment. Both relations, however, affect personhood. Therefore, this study

<sup>30</sup> Rabens, *Spirit and Ethics in Paul*, 131–32, states "that a primary mode of the Spirit's enabling for religious-ethical life occurs in the context of *Spirit-designed intimate relationships*.... [And] in Paul's letters a major aspect of transformation and empowering for religious-ethical life is the experience of *the intimate presence, love and immediacy* of the divine by the Spirit (2 Cor. 3:18; Gal 4:4–9; Rom. 5:5; 8:12–17; etc.)." Emphasis added.

<sup>31</sup> Here we follow the broad distinction made by Dunn, *Theology of Paul*, 54, that, "Greeks regard the human being as made up of distinct parts, Hebrew thought saw the human being more as a whole person existing on different dimensions." Though Paul could use Greek terms and concepts, he essentially has a Hebraic and holistic view of the person. That does not mean, however, that he cannot see human beings via different aspects. Brevard Childs, *Old Testament Theology in a Canonical Context*, (Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1986), 199, explains that according to Hebrew thought, humanity "is a complete entity and not a composite of parts from body, soul and spirit. Yet it is also true that the Old Testament views man from different holistic perspectives. He can be described in terms of his will, or his emotions, or his physical prowess." In this study, we will highlight three *aspects* of the person; however, in viewing them always keep the whole in mind. More will be said on our model in chapter 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Rabens, *Spirit and Ethics in Paul*, 131–32, for example, argues "that a primary mode of the Spirit's enabling for religious-ethical life occurs in the context of *Spirit-designed intimate relationships* ... [through which] ethical transformation and empowering of believers [happens by] their receiving *true knowledge* of the Lord and his message through the Spirit." Emphasis added. I.e., relations affect the person's cognitive capacities by revealing knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Rehfeld, *Relationale Ontologie bei Paulus*, 319, sees "the heart (*das Herz*) as the place where the relational-ontological determination of man occurs (*der relational-ontologischen Bestimmtheit des Menschen*)." Rehfeld is certainly correct that the "heart" – especially in the Pauline and Jewish sense of it being the motivating center of man – is a key location where relations affect persons. However, as this study will show, there is also the fact of relation within the world, where agency may be affected by embeddedness in a perishable cosmos (e.g., 1 Cor 15:44–50). Rehfeld's work will be considered more below, as will the various ways relations affect persons.

differentiates between several types of relations in Paul such as relations between creature and Creator, corporeal humans and their world, vulnerable humanity and sinister powers, the believer and Christ, and the believer and other believers. This taxonomy reveals that different types of relations affect persons differently.<sup>32</sup> In its aiming at specificity, therefore, this study is unique in that analysis penetrates to how a *specific relation* impacts a *specific aspect* of personhood.

The second distinctive of this study involves the Spirit. The Spirit's role in effecting relationships between the believer and others (Christ, God, and other believers) has been recently demonstrated.<sup>33</sup> Also, Eastman's, "Oneself in Another: Participation and the Spirit in Romans 8," focuses attention on the Spirit's relational work in terms of Pauline anthropology.<sup>34</sup> However, to date no study has brought together a well-developed relational view of personhood in Paul with a thorough analysis of the Spirit's work across multiple Pauline texts. Moreover, by distinguishing more precisely how types of relations affect aspects of persons, this study will be able to decipher with more clarity how Spirit-shaped relationships<sup>35</sup> constitute Christian personhood. For example, at times Spirit-shaped relationships reconstitute a person's *identity* (i.e., sonship, Rom 8:14–17); at other times, Spirit-shaped relational bonds affect *agency* (i.e., exercising spiritual gifts, 1 Cor 12:4–13).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> The study that comes the closest to a "typology of relations" in Paul is Rehfeld, *Relationale Ontologie bei Paulus*. Early in his study, he points out the difference between external- and internal-relations (*Extern- und Internrelationen*), determining, in agreement with Gerhard Ebeling, to consider both as important, "der Begriff der Externrelation nicht so gefaßt warden [darf], daß das Interne ausgeschlossen ist" (p. 40). Throughout his study he proceeds to consider various different types, although he does not refer to them this way. Where this study is different than Rehfeld is that it will asks more carefully how different *types of relations* affect particular *aspects of persons* differently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Rabens, *The Holy Spirit and Ethics in Paul*, builds his model of ethical transformation around the relational work of the Spirit. His thesis reads, "*It is primarily through deeper knowledge of, and an intimate relationship with, God, Jesus Christ and with the community of faith that people are transformed and empowered by the Spirit for religious-ethical life*" (p. 21). Emphasis original. He explains that transformation is "predominantly by means of intimate relationships *created by the Spirit* with God (Abba), Jesus, and fellow believers" (p. 126). Emphasis added. Rabens's work offers important insights from which this study draws, especially regarding the relational work of the Spirit and that relationships transform people. However, Rabens's work is not expressly concerned with anthropology, but ethical empowerment, and as such does not deal in depth with the anthropological assumptions underlying his ethical model. Rabens's work is considered in more detail below.

<sup>34</sup> Eastman, "Oneself in Another."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Throughout this study we will use the phrase "Spirit-shaped relationships" to qualify the nature of a relationship that is generated and sustained by the Spirit. We borrow this term from Rabens, who defines the term: "Spirit-shaped' is used with the meaning 'modeled *by* the Spirit', not 'modeled *on* the Spirit' (*Spirit and Ethics*, 204 n. 105).

#### 1.3. Contribution of This Study to Pauline Scholarship

The contribution of this study lies chiefly in further arguing that Paul's anthropology is a relational phenomenon and doing so by demonstrating more clearly how relations affect persons in Paul and how the Spirit participates in this anthropological reality. However, the concept of RA, inasmuch as it sheds light on the reality of the Pauline doctrine of humankind, may also link individual and communal/corporate elements in Paul's thought. As such, this study also contributes, though less directly, to broader aspects of Pauline theology.

### 1.4. Approach of This Study and the Flow of Argument

The importance and purpose of this study comes into sharper relief when situated in the context of how biblical scholarship has sought to understand how the individual is related to communal, corporate, and cosmic phenomena. Therefore, filling out chapter 1 is an overview of relevant literature, focused mostly on Pauline studies, addressing the question of how anthropology is construed in relation to an "other." While including several current thinkers, the review of literature includes an in-depth analysis of the thought of Rudolf Bultmann and Ernst Käsemann. Both Bultmann and Käsemann address the question of Paul's doctrine of humanity directly; however, they arrive at very different conclusions. For Bultmann, an image of a near autonomous self emerges; for Käsemann, the human risks being swallowed in cosmology. Tracing how their analyses reach these conclusions reveals some of the crucial exegetical questions surrounding Paul's doctrine of humanity. Chapter 1 concludes with an explanation of this study's methodology.

In chapter 2 the concept of "relational anthropology" will be set forth and defined. A brief consideration of the interdisciplinary turn toward RA demonstrates how the concept is broadly understood. Then a detailed analysis of how three scholars – Susan Grove Eastman, Volker Rabens, and Emmanuel Rehfeld – have argued for some form of relational- or participatory-anthropology in Paul establishes how the topic is being addressed in Pauline studies. Their research lays the groundwork for this study and highlights the opportunities and gaps this thesis addresses.

Chapter 3 turns to an exceptical analysis of RA in Paul. Definitions of the concepts "person" and "relation" open the chapter, allowing for a more penetrating analysis of the phenomenon RA. Here it will be demonstrated that identity, agency, and the heart represent aspects of the Pauline person. Five types of relations in Paul are then analyzed, each of which bear uniquely upon human existence: (1) the creature-and-Creator relation; (2) the relation

resultant of embodiment; (3) the person in relation to sinister powers; (4) the believer and Christ-relatedness; and (5) the believer in relation to other believers.<sup>36</sup> This analysis covers several types of relations in Paul, *personal* and *impersonal*, *intimate* and *hostile*, and *vertical* and *horizontal*. Analyzing this broad array of types of relations allows the study to expose the complexity and depth of RA in Paul. Following the analysis of different relations, chapter 3 asks how these relations affect specific aspects of personhood, e.g., identity, agency, and the heart. Together, chapters 1, 2, and 3 make up Part 1 of the study, where the idea of RA is introduced and set forth as a viable concept to be considered in Paul.

In Part 2 of the study, chapters 4 and 5, the presence of RA in Paul is further explored by focusing on the work of the Spirit. Here, the driving question becomes: What is the role of the Spirit in reconstituting Christian-persons through relationships?

An introductory section to Part 2, Approaching the Spirit in Paul, explains the study's approach to Pauline pneumatology and briefly considers the work of the Spirit in generating relationships in intertestamental literature, especially when notions of the new covenant community are in view. In chapter 4, Romans 8 is explored with the focus upon Spirit-shaped relationships that foster closeness and intimacy between the believer and God and Christ. Through these "vertical" relationships, personhood is reconstituted as aspects of identity (sonship, 8:14–16), agency (living *by* the Spirit, 8:9, 13–14) and heart (cry of "Abba, Father," 8:15) are affected. Chapter 5 turns to "horizontal" Spirit-shaped relationships of interdependence between believers, evidenced in 1 Corinthians 12. Analysis focuses on how the apportionment of  $\chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \mu \alpha \tau$ requires and creates a relational matrix, whereby individual "members" of the body are actualized through a new sense of utility (agency) and belonging (identity and heart). Chapter 6 concludes the study by summarizing findings and considering theological and pastoral implications of Spirit-shaped RA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> One may wonder why, in a study titled "The *Spirit* and Relational Anthropology in Paul," that we have not included a category on the believer's relationship with the Spirit. The reason for this will become clear as the study unfolds, but put briefly, in our analysis the Spirit is the agent who generates, shapes and sustains a relationship with another. However, this is not to say that a believer does not relate directly to the Spirit; evidence for such is found when Paul refers to the Spirit "leading" the believer (Rom 8:14) or praying for the believer (Rom 8:26–27).

## 1.5. Situating the Study within the Relevant History of Research

#### 1.5.1. H. Wheeler Robinson and Corporate Personality

Within biblical studies, the question of how relations, or external realities, affect personhood emerges in the work of Old Testament scholar H. Wheeler Robinson. At the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, Robinson suggested the notion "corporate personality" best explained several phenomena in ancient Israel. These phenomena included how guilt is distributed from the individual to the community, how not-yet-born-kin exist within present family, and the fluidity with which Hebrew poetry moves from the "I" to the "we."<sup>37</sup> This concept of corporate personality, he concluded, was so significant "its effects could be enumerated from the accidence and syntax of Hebrew grammar up to the highest levels of Old Testament theology."<sup>38</sup> Though criticized for an "overly strong emphasis on the group at the expense of the individual,"<sup>39</sup> Robinson's work was a welcome attempt to explain pervasively communal elements throughout the Old Testament. Three areas of his work are especially noteworthy.

First, Robinson was convinced that the interrelation between the individual and group in Israel was real, "neither a literary personification nor an ideal."<sup>40</sup> In other words, when guilt was dispersed from the individual to the group, or vice versa, it was due to some real connection between them (e.g., Josh 7:1).

Second, understanding the nature of this interrelation was to be found on "the anthropological side" of the subject.<sup>41</sup> Robinson sought to explain the pervasively communal nature of Israelite thought as indicative of anthropological realities, namely, that persons were constituted in and by community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> See H. Wheeler Robinson, *Corporate Personality in Ancient Israel*, rev. ed. (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1980), which is a reprint of two earlier essays: "The Hebrew Conception of Corporate Personality," in *Werden und Wesen des Alten Testaments: Vorträge gehalten auf der Internationalen Tagung Alttestamentlicher Forscher zu Göttingen vom 4.–10. September 1935*, ed. Paul Volz, Friedrich Stummer, and Johannes Hempel (Berlin: A. Töpelmann, 1936); and "The Group and the Individual in Israel," in *The Individual in East and West*, ed. E. R. Hughes (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Robinson, *Corporate Personality*, 34. Brevard Childs is another Old Testament scholar who emphasizes the relational or corporate nature of human existence. In *Old Testament Theology in a Canonical Context*, 201, he writes, "Another essential feature of the Old Testament lies in its setting the description of human existence within various societal relationships. Man in the Old Testament is always part of a group."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See Howard, *Paul, the Community, and Progressive Sanctification*, 99. Howard goes on to state that despite criticism, "Nevertheless, this field of study has revealed that the concept of solidarity was very powerful in Old Testament Israel."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 29.

Third, Robinson emphasized the significance of the covenant as affecting a connection between the "I" and "we," which eventually led him to highlight the role of the Spirit in generating corporate personality. Moving through redemptive history, he suggests that with the new covenant the nature of the "covenant bond" shifts from "the common blood-tie" to "the spirit."<sup>42</sup> In Jeremiah's and Ezekiel's prophecies concerning the new covenant, a "heightened sense of the individual" arises, yet the covenant bond is still with the whole community.<sup>43</sup> Moreover, the covenant bond more expressly involves the Spirit:

So we have the promise of a "new covenant" through Jeremiah, which should be individualized and internalized .... It is still a covenant "with the house of Israel," but it is accomplished through a new and more searching *relation of God to each member of that house*. So also with the promise of ... Ezekiel (36:26–27): "A new heart will I give you, and a *new spirit* will I put within you .... And I will put *my spirit* within you, and cause you to walk in my statutes."<sup>44</sup>

This "more searching *relation* of God to each [individual] member" is rooted in a covenant with the whole "house of Israel" and effectuated by God's Spirit.

When Robinson briefly turns to the NT, he identifies 1 Corinthians 12 as the "most explicit utterance of the Bible" of corporate personality.<sup>45</sup> His reflection on this passage is significant for the present study, for it highlights both a form of RA in the NT and role of the Spirit:

St. Paul has shown us this group [i.e., corporate personality] at a further stage of its development, using the metaphor of the body of Christ.... Thus St. Paul (1 Cor. 12:12ff) is led to conceive those who are spiritually gathered round Christ by faith in him as members of his body. They vary in function and rank, but they are made one by the unity of the body, *animated as it is by the one Spirit of the Lord*. This is the most explicit utterance of the Bible concerning the relation of the group and the individual. It implies a *new kind of individual*, but one who, like the true Israelite of old, could never be divorced *from his social relationship*.<sup>46</sup>

Robinson's work suggests that understanding how the individual relates to corporate elements lies in an anthropology reality. It also notes that this involves a relation between God, the individual, and community (house of Israel), and is animated by the Spirit.

The weaknesses of Robinson's work lie in that despite suggesting that an anthropological reality explains how the individual and corporate interrelate, he never explores this claim. How, exactly, is the particularity of the individual not only maintained but also further constituted by communal forces? By not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 30-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 55. Emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Robinson, Corporate Personality, 58. Emphasis added.

explaining the anthropological side of corporate personality, his framework threatens to dissolve the individual within the group.<sup>47</sup> It has also been shown that Robinson's underlying anthropological assumptions were shaped by the work of Lévy-Bruhl. The latter argued that ancient cultures lacked the psychological nuance to differentiate between subjective and objective experience, and thereby the individual (subject) could not easily disassociate from the group (object).<sup>48</sup> However, as Macaskill points out, "[Lévy-Bruhl's] work is no longer deemed acceptable by anthropologist, who see it as indiscriminately conflating widely different cultures and phenomena."<sup>49</sup> Where Robinson's idea would benefit is from a more developed anthropological model, one involving a more searching consideration of how personhood may be constructed vis-à-vis the other.

#### 1.5.2. Bultmann and Käsemann on Anthropology

Current conversations about biblical anthropology owe a great deal to the work of Rudolf Bultmann and Ernst Käsemann. Especially in light of their differing approaches to Paul – existentialism for Bultmann and apocalypticism for Käsemann – their analyses of Paul's doctrine of man help frame this study's driving question: how relations, or communal and corporate realities, affect human existence. The focus of this review of their work aims to highlight how these respective approaches impact their interpretation of Paul's doctrine of humanity, and, in turn, how their respective anthropological models understand the individual in relation to cosmic and corporate realities. Analyzing Bultmann's and Käsemann's work in some depth is essential for in many ways they represent the polarities between a nearly autonomous individuality, on the one hand, and an individual (seemingly) swallowed by cosmology on the other.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> This was the criticism of Joshua R. Porter, "Legal Aspects of the Concept of Corporate Personality in the Old Testament, *VT* 15 (1965), 361–80, who noted the extent to which the individual is still held accountable and found guilty within covenant community, a phenomenon that Robinson's group-centric model does not fully explain. See also the overview of criticism of Robinson's work in Andrew Perriman, "The Corporate Christ: Re-Assessing the Jewish Background," *TynBul* 50.2 (1999), 239–63, 242–46; and S. E. Porter, "Two Myths: Corporate Personality and Language/Mentality Determinism," *SJT* 43 (1990), 289–307.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See L. Lévy-Bruhl and L. A. Clare, *Primitive Mentality* (Oxford: Macmillian, 1923). On the influence of Lévy-Bruhl's work upon Robinson, particularly in the context of the notion of corporate personality in the OT, see the work of Jurrien Mol, *Collective and Individual Responsibility: A Description of Corporate Personality in Ezekiel 18 and 20, SSN* 53 (Leiden: Brill, 2009), 127–32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Grant Macaskill, Union with Christ in the New Testament, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), 102, who is referring to the critique of Daniel G. Powers, Salvation through Participation: An Examination of the Notion of the Believers' Corporate Unity with Christ in Early Christian Soteriology (Leuvan: Peeters, 2001), 15.

As giants of 20<sup>th</sup> century scholarship, their work also highlights some of the important exegetical questions any treatment of Pauline anthropology must navigate.

#### Bultmann and the Self-in-Relation-to-Itself

Rudolf Bultmann famously equates Paul's theology with anthropology, writing, "Every assertion about God is simultaneously an assertion about man ... and in this sense Paul's theology is, at the same time, anthropology."<sup>50</sup> His treatment of Paul in *Theology of the New Testament (TNT)* follows this dictum, beginning with Paul's doctrine of humanity, and structuring the sections around "man prior to the revelation of faith and ... man under faith."<sup>51</sup> Bultmann's focus on anthropology, however, seems at times less exegetical than philosophical: e.g., "To be a man – if I may briefly summarize for our purposes the results of *Heidegger's analysis* – is something that uniquely belongs to the individual; and the being of man is a 'possibility of being,' i.e., the man who is involved in care for himself chooses his own unique possibility."<sup>52</sup> Bultmann's work is best described as theological interpretation because it involves, as the reference to Heidegger suggests, a complex synthesis of historical-critical expertise,<sup>53</sup> dialectical theology, and existentialist philosophy.<sup>54</sup> As such, his anthropological model is more

<sup>51</sup> Bultmann, *TNT*, 1:191, explains that analysis of Paul's thought should be organized, "first, of man prior to the revelation of faith, and second, of man under faith..."

<sup>52</sup> Bultmann, "The Historicity of Man and Faith," 102. Emphasis added.

<sup>53</sup> Trained by leaders of the *Religionsgeschichtliche Schule*, Bultmann's teachers included Hermann Gunkel, Johannes Weiss, Wilhem Heitmüller, and Wilhelm Boussett, all scholars determined to understand early Christianity through careful historical research. For an overview of Bultmann's development as a scholar, see Robert Morgan, "Introduction," in *Theology of the New Testament*, Rudolf Bultmann, trans. by Kendrick Grobel (Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2007), xi–xxxviii.

<sup>54</sup> In his overview of Bultmann's thought, Walter Schmithals, *An Introduction to the Theology of Rudolf Bultmann*, 2nd ed. (London: SCM Press, 1967), 19, explains, "In Bultmann's encounter with Heidegger, his theology took that fixed and characteristic form in which it has encountered us not for about years." Heidegger's critique of the "subject-object" paradigm of thought, which "falsely" assumes the objectivity of its object of observation, becomes key to Bultmann's work. This philosophical perspective also gives rise, inevitably, to seeing the subjectivity of "existing" ("Dasein") as central to all reflection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Bultmann, *TNT*, 1:191. Elsewhere, Bultmann, "The Historicity of Man and Faith," in *Existence and Faith* (New York: Meridian, 1960), 92, states his theorem, "the concept of 'existence' must be the methodical starting-point of theology." See also Bultmann, "Paul," in *Existence and Faith: Shorter Writings of Rudolf Bultmann*, trans. Schubert M. Ogden (New York: Meridian, 1960), 128. So also Ernst Käsemann, "New Testament Questions of Today," in *New Testament Questions of Today*, trans. W. J. Montague (Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1969), 13, who concurs, "The distinctive feature of [Bultmann's] interpretation of Paul is the manner in which he makes the doctrine of man the central point."