

**EFFECTS OF THE SECOND FORMANT ON THE  
PERCEPTION OF VELARIZATION CONSONANTS IN ARABIC**

# JANUA LINGUARUM

STUDIA MEMORIAE  
NICOLAI VAN WIJK DEDICATA

*edenda curat*

C. H. VAN SCHOONEVELD

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

SERIES PRACTICA

XXXIX



1968

MOUTON

THE HAGUE • PARIS

**EFFECTS OF  
THE SECOND FORMANT  
ON THE PERCEPTION OF  
VELARIZATION  
CONSONANTS IN ARABIC**

*by*

**DEAN H. OBRECHT**  
UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER



**1968**  
**MOUTON**  
THE HAGUE • PARIS

© Copyright 1968 in The Netherlands.  
Mouton & Co. N.V., Publishers, The Hague.

*No part of this book may be translated or reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm  
or any other means, without written permission from the publishers.*

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER: 67-31217

Printed in the Netherlands by Mouton & Co., Printers, The Hague.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Grateful acknowledgement of assistance rendered to the writer is due on a number of fronts and on several different bases, covering various segments of the project. The work was supported financially by the National Science Foundation, under grant number G-19349, indirectly (through its support of the Haskins Laboratories) by the Carnegie Corporation of New York, by Lafayette College and the University of Rochester, under faculty research grants.

The generosity of the Haskins Laboratories, New York, in permitting the writer to use its equipment, made the project possible. Dr. Franklin S. Cooper and others of his staff went far out of their way to allow an itinerant researcher to make maximum use of his time during irregular visits to the laboratory over a period of several years. Special thanks are due to Dr. Leigh Lisker, of the University of Pennsylvania and the Haskins Laboratories, for his extraordinarily capable counsel as adviser during the basic work.

Principal informants were Mr. Youssif Barakat, of Tripoli, Lebanon, and Dr. Samir Jahshan, of Beirut. Many others gave lesser amounts of time during the early work.

The writer is extremely grateful for the cooperation of the American University of Beirut, the Beirut College for Women, the American University in Cairo, the Linguistic Unit, in Cairo, and the Ministry of Education, in Cairo. The United States Embassies in Beirut and Cairo, especially in the persons of Dr. William Cowan and Mr. George Wishon, and Mr. Richard Driscoll, of the Voice of America, Cairo, all contributed mightily to the project. Particular thanks are due Prof. Richard Yorkey (AUB), Prof. Raja T. Nasr (BCW), Dean Jack Nesmith (AUC), and Prof. Albert Khalafala (LU) for their assistance in getting test subjects. Prof. Nasr went so far as to dragoon them from a tennis court!

Student subjects who were extremely helpful were Miss Mona Saba and Mr. Muhammad Ibrahim, both of AUB, who subjected themselves to more than fifty tests over a six week period. The author is grateful beyond words to these and all the other volunteer subjects who gave of their time from busy schedules.

Finally, acknowledgement must be made to Mr. William R. Babcock, Department of Languages and Linguistics, University of Rochester, who must be counted as one of the best assistants, students, and tape-editors any researcher could have. All figures were prepared by him.



## PREFACE

This investigation is an attempt to assess the role of the second formant transitions in cueing discrimination of velarized and non-velarized consonant pairs in spoken Lebanese Arabic. The velarized members are commonly called the emphatic consonants, though they do not include all of the consonants sometimes called emphatic.

The project was sparked by an article published in *Language* 32:3, 446-452, by Charles A. Ferguson, "The emphatic *l* in Arabic", and by questions raised but necessarily left unanswered at the 1956 Summer Institute of Linguistics at the University of Michigan. Further questions were raised by Jakobson, Fant and Halle,<sup>1</sup> and in a number of articles from the Haskins Laboratories.

The course of the study involved work with informants in Easton, Pennsylvania and in Rochester, New York, synthesis at the Haskins Laboratories, New York, and further testing in the field, in Beirut and Cairo. Final tests were constructed in the Phonetics Laboratory, Department of Languages and Linguistics, University of Rochester.

Later stages of the project involved the testing of acoustical features other than the second formant, though the present report is basically limited to discussion of that feature. Results of the later work will appear. Thus, the present work is largely limited to discovering the behavior of the second formant in cueing the Arabic velarized/non-velarized distinction, and to specifying some perceptual boundaries as revealed by synthetic speech study of the results of second formant variations. In this connection it is worth mentioning that the use of synthesized speech in one form or another seems to be the only practical way of exploring in a tightly controlled and economical way the effect of a given formant. Analysis of spectrograms is difficult, time-consuming, and full of inherent deficiencies, and the location, selection and matching of real speech samples adequate to the task posed by the present set of problems is excessively formidable. The writer is fully aware of the defects of speech synthesizers, and of the difficulty of equating possible 'machine thresholds' with human ones, and of the questions concerning the applicability of machine-speech perception to real speech. In view of the fact that the present task would have been effectively impossible with real speech, the risks of using synthetic speech were gladly accepted. Further, it is by now quite clear that experimentation with synthetic speech

<sup>1</sup> R. Jakobson, G. Fant, M. Halle, *Preliminaries to Speech Analysis*, (= *Technical Report* No. 13), Second Printing (Cambridge, Mass., Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1952), 50.

has been enormously revealing and suggestive, having made possible in a reasonable time results and types of investigations which would either have gone undone and undiscovered, or which would have been delayed by many years in the absence of synthesizers. No one suggests that a synthesizer is equivalent to a native speaker, nor that data developed in connection with synthetic speech tests are necessarily perfectly correlatable with the actual (whatever that may mean) internal language organization of a human speaker. Yet it is quite clear that linguistics and speech research would be much the poorer for the lack of the research based on synthetic speech or incorporating its results and considerations.

The present study apparently represents the first large-scale attempt to take laboratory-made synthetic speech perception tests into the field; that is, to introduce acoustic phonetics into linguistic field work, as well as one of the first sizeable efforts to perform this kind of testing in a non-Indo-European language. Results to be reported elsewhere also have a bearing on distinctive features theory and on dialectology.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements . . . . .	5
Preface . . . . .	7
I. Background and Design of the Experiments . . . . .	11
II. The Consonants and Velarization . . . . .	19
III. The Phonemes, Acoustically Characterized . . . . .	24
A. Consonants . . . . .	24
B. Vowels . . . . .	28
IV. Test Results and Interpretations . . . . .	30
A. Stops . . . . .	31
B. Fricatives . . . . .	34
C. Nasals . . . . .	36
D. Laterals . . . . .	37
E. Trills . . . . .	38
V. Conclusions . . . . .	39
Bibliography . . . . .	44
Figures . . . . .	47



# I

## BACKGROUND AND DESIGN OF THE EXPERIMENTS

Various projects at the Haskins Laboratories and elsewhere have dealt with the perceptual attributes of different portions of the speech signal. These have concerned primarily the formant structure, including the frequency specification, the rate and direction of change, and the like. To the relatively rapid and characteristic changes lying at the presumed 'boundary' between consonant and vowel, the name TRANSITIONS has been given.<sup>1</sup> It has been shown that these vocalic portions carry a great deal of the information leading to listener identification of the adjacent consonant.<sup>2</sup> The earlier work of Potter, Kopp and Green<sup>3</sup> identified the characteristic behavior of the vowel formants in the vicinity of specified consonants, as part of the effort to enable persons to read speech directly from a spectrographic presentation. The work at the Haskins Laboratories and elsewhere has added a considerable degree of precise knowledge of this behavior and its effects, and the work has built up a fund of recognized available techniques. In general, then, the procedure to be followed in the present study was clear.

By its nature, this study involved a number of unusual problems and difficulties as well as unusual opportunities. For example, most such studies examine an extremely limited set of phonemic oppositions, covering a small range of phonetic categories, or a single category, while the nature of the emphatic/non-emphatic opposition required the present work to span the classes of stops, sibilants, nasals, laterals and flaps.

Although there is sometimes a modest physical separation between the laboratory involved in the preparation of such tests as these and the test subjects, perception experiments employing altered natural or completely synthetic speech are normally essentially a laboratory-based exercise, involving easy access to necessary equipment during the course of experimentation, so that progressive refinement of the tests is possible. The present study involved an apparently unique effort to take perception testing into the field, involving in this case a separation between testing ground and

<sup>1</sup> Alvin M. Liberman, Pierre C. Delattre, Franklin S. Cooper, and Louis J. Gerstman, "The role of consonant-vowel transitions in the perception of the stop and nasal consonants", *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied*, 68, 8.

<sup>2</sup> Liberman, Delattre and Cooper, "The role of selected stimulus-variables in the perception of the unvoiced stop consonants", *The American Journal of Psychology*, Vol. LXV (October, 1952), 497-516. And others.

<sup>3</sup> Potter, Kopp and Green *Visible Speech* (New York, D. Van Nostrand and Company, 1947).