

Zoomland

Studies in Digital History and Hermeneutics



Edited by
Andreas Fickers, Valérie Schafer, Sean Takats,
and Gerben Zaagsma

Volume 7

Zoomland



Exploring Scale in Digital History and Humanities

Edited by

Florentina Armaselu and Andreas Fickers

DE GRUYTER
OLDENBOURG

ISBN 978-3-11-131752-6
e-ISBN (PDF) 978-3-11-131777-9
e-ISBN (EPUB) 978-3-11-131791-5
ISSN 2629-4540
DOI <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111317779>



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. For details go to <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Creative Commons license terms for re-use do not apply to any content (such as graphs, figures, photos, excerpts, etc.) not original to the Open Access publication and further permission may be required from the rights holder. The obligation to research and clear permission lies solely with the party re-using the material.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2023944121

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2024 the author(s), editing © 2024 Florentina Armaselu and Andreas Fickers, published by Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston

The book is published open access at www.degruyter.com.

Cover image: Zoomland Map, University of Luxembourg / Luxembourg Centre for Contemporary and Digital History (C²DH)

Typesetting: Integra Software Services Pvt. Ltd.

Printing and binding: CPI books GmbH, Leck

www.degruyter.com

Contents

Andreas Fickers and Florentina Armaselu

 **Introduction — 1**

History

Alex Butterworth

 **Adventures in Zoomland: Transitions in Scale and the Visual Exploration of Historical Knowledge Graphs as Sequential Storytelling — 19**

Christian Wachter

 **Capturing Discourse through the Digital Lens: Towards a Framework for the Analysis of Pro-democratic Discourse in the Weimar Republic — 43**

Amanda Madden

 **Menocchio Mapped: Italian Microhistory and the Digital Spatial Turn — 77**

Mariaelena DiBenigno and Khanh Vo

 **Scaling Digital History and Documenting the Self-Emancipated — 97**

Media


Fred Pailler and Valérie Schafer

 **Keep Calm and Stay Focused: Historicising and Intertwining Scales and Temporalities of Online Virality — 119**


Landry Digeon and Anjal Amin

 **Zooming in on Shot Scales: A Digital Approach to Reframing Transnational TV series Adaptations — 151**

Nadezhda Povroznik, Daniil Renev and Vladimir Beresnev


 **Adapting the Optics: Zoom-in, Zoom-out, and Zoom-zero Modes to Understand Religious Sculptures — 189**

Johan Malmstedt


 **Scale Exercises: Listening to the Sonic Diversity in 5000 hours of Swedish Radio with Computers and Ears — 213**

Hermeneutics

Chris Tanasescu (MARGENTO) and Raluca Tanasescu

 **Complexity and Analytical-creative Approaches at Scale: Iconicity, Monstrosity, and #GraphPoem — 237**

Benjamin Krautter

 **The Scales of (Computational) Literary Studies: Martin Mueller's Concept of Scalable Reading in Theory and Practice — 261**

Florentina Armaselu

 **Text, Fractal Dust and Informational Granularity: A Study of Scale — 287**

Stephen Robertson


 **Scale and Narrative: Conceiving a Long-form Digital Argument for Data-driven Microhistory — 335**

Digital Landscapes

Natalie M. Houston

 **Meaningful Aesthetics: A Comparison of Open Source Network Analysis Tools — 367**


Quentin Lobbé, David Chavalarias and Alexandre Delanoë

 **Defining Level and Scale as Socio-technical Operators for Mining Digital Traces — 407**

Francis Harvey and Marta Kuźma

 **Zooming is (not just) Scaling: Considerations of Scale in Old Maps from Cartographic Perspectives on Generalisation — 427**

Dario Rodighiero and Jean Daniélou

 **Weather Map: A Diachronic Visual Model for Controversy Mapping — 449**

List of Contributors — 467

Index — 471

Andreas Fickers and Florentina Armaselu

Introduction

Abstract: This chapter outlines the conceptual framework of the book and the variety of viewpoints related to the use of the notion of scale and zooming in digital history and humanities. The contributions included in the volume encompass different degrees of theoretical assumptions, practical insights and middle-ground reflections, symbolically expressed through the three conceptual levels: *bird's-eye view*, *overhead view* and *ground view*. While no general theory of scale is defined, the reader is offered the ingredients needed to build such theoretical constructs based on his or her own exploratory and symbolic journey through Zoomland. This variable-scale representation is combined with four categories of enquiry or thematic realms that make up the territory of Zoomland: *History*, *Media*, *Herme-neutics* and *Digital landscapes*.

Keywords: scale, zooming, digital history, digital humanities, multiple perspectives

Welcome to Zoomland!

Imagine you could travel to Zoomland – both physically and virtually. This is what this book – in both its printed and online open access version – is offering. To explore its multi-layered landscape, you can delve into this opening chapter for a more con-

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Sarah Cooper, from the Language Centre of the University of Luxembourg, for English proofreading.

Note: This book is the result of a *thinking grant* awarded in 2019 by the C²DH to the first editor. The initial project included a call for papers, launched in October 2021, and a cross-reviewing workshop, which was held at the C²DH and online in June 2022 so that the authors could come together to collectively discuss the selected proposals. During the discussion, it was also decided which of the three conceptual or symbolic levels or views should be assigned to each chapter, to foster multi-scale explorations of Zoomland. The criteria used for this purpose involved aspects such as the degree and coverage of theoretical considerations or the particular focus on a specific project or topic, and the in-between possibilities for middle-ground enquiries. The contributions included in the volume represent the outcome of the peer-review and selection process that followed the workshop. The symbolic book-land(scape) representation of Zoomland was initially devised by Florentina Armaselu as a 2D visualisation using symbols and colours for the three perspectives and four thematic areas to make up a landscape. The three symbols, suggesting a certain object size or position relative to the ground, were assigned to the chapters according to the workshop discussion; the representation eventually served as a starting point for the game.

ventional introduction to the topic or, if you are looking for a thrilling adventure, embark on an experimental online game¹ set on the uncharted island of ZOOMLAND.



Figure 1: ZOOMLAND game: *physical map*.



Figure 2: ZOOMLAND game: *symbolic map*; (🕊️) bird's-eye view, (👁️) overhead view, (👤) ground view; and thematic colour code: **History**, **Media**, **Hermeneutics**, **Digital landscapes**.

¹ Zoomland, accessed on July 25, 2023, <https://www.c2dh.uni.lu/zoomland>.

Designed by **Kirill Mitsurov** and **Daniele Guido**, the online game, inspired by the book-land(scape) metaphor, invites the player/reader to embark on the small island of ZOOMLAND and explore the unknown territory (Figure 1) by looking for signs and symbols that represent different heuristic perspectives and thematic entry points from which the content of the book can be discovered and explored. In order to do so, the player/reader has to collect the chapter cards assigned to the various objects scattered across the island. These objects, according to their size or position relative to the ground, can stand for three conceptual stand-points – bird’s-eye view, overhead view and ground view –, one of which is attributed to each chapter. Through this quest, the player is building a symbolic map of the island (Figure 2) that offers another way of looking at the configuration and nature of the assembled pieces and another means of accessing the actual manuscript of Zoomland.

A voyage into Zoomland feels like an encounter with the fairy-tale figure of Tur Tur, the imaginary giant in Michael Ende’s famous children book “Jim Button and Luke the Engine Driver” (*Jim Knopf und Lukas der Lokomotivführer* in the German original) from 1960. Jim Button is a little black boy living on the tiny island of Morrowland. When Jim grows bigger, there is simply no longer enough space for everybody. Someone must go, decides King Alfred the Quarter-to-Twelfth. But should that someone really be Emma, the locomotive of Jim’s best friend Luke? Jim cannot allow that. Together with the engine driver and Emma the locomotive, he leaves the island and sets off on a great adventure: transparent trees, stripy mountains and dragons cross their path.² In a desperate episode on the journey, in which Jim and Luke traverse a desert at the end of the world, they see a giant apparition on the horizon. Although Jim is frightened, they finally decide to wave to the figure and tell it to come closer. And to their great surprise, the long-bearded figure gets smaller with every step closer he takes. When the friendly old man finally stands in front of them, he has shrunk to the size of a normal person and presented himself as Tur Tur the imaginary giant. “Good day”, he says. “I really don’t know how I can thank you enough for not running away from me. For years I have been longing to meet someone who got such courage as you, but no one has ever allowed me to come near them. I only look so terribly big from a long way off” (Ende 1963: 123–124).

After the initial shock has worn off, Jim and Luke ask Tur Tur to explain the nature of his existence as a make-believe giant.

² Michael Ende, accessed on July 29, 2023, <https://michaelende.de/en/book/jim-button-and-luke-engine-driver>.




Figure 3: Jim Button and Luke the engine driver meet Tur Tur, the make-believe giant. In: Michael Ende,³ *Jim Button and Luke the Engine Driver*, Woodstock (N.Y.): The Overlook Press, 1963, p. 120–121.

"Well," said Tur Tur, "there isn't really an awful lot to tell. You see, my friends, if one of you were to get up now and go away, you would grow smaller and smaller till in the end you only look like a dot. And if you started to come back, you would grow bigger and bigger till you would stand in front of us your proper size. You must agree, though, that in reality you would have remained the same size all the time. It only looks as if you've grown smaller and smaller, and then bigger and bigger."

"Exactly," said Luke.

"Well, now," explained Tur Tur, "in my case it is simply the other way about. That's all. The farther away I am away, the bigger I seem. And the closer I come, the better you can see my real size. My nature is simply the opposite to yours."

"You mean to say," asked Luke, "you don't really grow smaller when you come nearer? And you aren't really the size of a giant when you are far away, but it only seems like it?"

"That's right," replied Mr. Tur Tur. "That's why I said I am a make-believe giant. Just as you might call the other people make-believe dwarfs, because they look in the distance like dwarfs, though they aren't dwarfs, really."

³ Reproduced with permission (© Michael Ende, illustrator F.J. Tripp, Jim Knopf und Lukas der Lokomotivführer, Thienemann-Esslinger Verlag GmbH).

“This is very interesting,” mumbled Luke, and he thoughtfully blew a few smoke rings.
(Ende 1963: 127)

In the conclusion of the treatise “Aufstieg und Fall der Zentralperspektive” (Rise and Fall of the Central Perspective, 2004), the literary scholar Dieter Borchmeyer explains: “The ‘illusory giant’ thus turns perspective foreshortening on its head. [. . .] In the inverted world of the illusory giant, perspective appearance becomes a plaything of the imagination – a signal that central perspective, once the proud achievement of a thoroughly rationalised, scientifically dominated world, has played out its historical role forever” (Borchmeyer 2004: 310). To us, the central or linear perspective, an artistic technique developed in Renaissance paintings, has become a by-word for modernity and rationality, in line with the concept of linear time and geometrically measured space.

1 Zooming as a metaphor of knowledge production and heuristic practice

The “birth” of the so-called early modern period in the 16th century was characterised by a fruitful exchange and interplay between new techniques, instruments and experimental practices in both the sciences and the arts and crafts (Rossi 1997). Magic and science were intertwined (Daston and Park 1998), but with the use of instruments such as the telescope and the microscope, the nature of scientific observation changed dramatically – and with it notions of truth, objectivity and reality (Stengers 1993). As “leading instruments” of the early modern process of natural enquiry, both the telescope and the microscope remained rooted in the ancient ideal of visual perception, with the eye being the most trustful and objective sense – seeing is believing (Weigl 1990: 16–17). The ability to get closer to matter, either by zooming in (microscope) or zooming out (telescope), radically changed the perspective of the exploratory mind. It triggered philosophical – today we would say epistemological – debates about the nature of cognition as well as the intricate relationship between our senses and technical instruments in the co-construction of reality. As the etymological roots of the Greek term σκοπέω (skopéō) – “to look, examine, or inspect” or figuratively “to contemplate, consider” – suggest,⁴ instruments such as the telescope, the microscope and later the stethoscope in medicine or the periscope in nautical navigation are technologies of knowledge that fostered a whole set of new heuristic practices. These practices had to be appropriated through critical learning and testing, and

4 Accessed on July 23, 2023, <https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/σκοπέω>.

it was only once a degree of mastery in handling such instruments had been acquired that they could become epistemic objects in the co-construction of new knowledge (Rheinberger 2008).

As a cultural practice, zooming gained popularity with the development of vari-focal lenses in photography in the second half of the 19th century (Kingslake 1989). Preceding this, playing with multiple lenses to create the impression of movement had been a widespread artistic practice employed by so-called lanternists, who produced shows with the help of magic lanterns, theatrical performances and sound effects (Jolly and DeCourcy 2020). As Étienne-Gaspard Robert, an experienced projectionist and inventor of the “Phantasmagoria” – a show combining the projection of glass slides and shadow play – explained, the zoom-like special effects created suspense and surprise amongst the spectators: “At a great distance a point of light appeared; a figure could be made out, at first very small, but then approaching with slow steps, and at each step seeming to grow; soon, now of immense size, the phantom advanced under the eyes of the spectator and, at the moment when the latter let out a cry, disappeared with unimaginable suddenness” (Heard 2006: 97). This scene of a magic lantern show from the 1890s reads like a Victorian anticipation of the Tur Tur scene by Michael Ende – albeit with a different purpose. While the lanternist made use of a visual trick to enchant his audience, Ende aimed to make his young (and no doubt also his adult) readers think about the tension between experience-based visual perceptions and popular imagination and narrative conventions. As Nick Hall has outlined in his study about the aesthetic and narrative functions of zooming in film and television, it is the “impression of being transported forward or backward at high speed” that makes zooming such a powerful technique in dramatized filmic narratives (Hall 2018: 1).

Technically, the zoom lens is a lens with continuously variable focal length. The variation of the focal length creates an apparent movement forward or backward – but this movement is different from a physically moving camera. As Hall explains: “When a camera moves, the position of objects in the images it captures change relative to one another. From these movements, viewers can infer the size, shape, and depth of the space in front of the camera. The zoom, by contrast, simply magnifies the image in front of the camera, and no change occurs to the relative position of the objects in front of it” (Hall 2018: 8). As such, the zoom lens basically magnifies or reduces the size of a picture,⁵ but depending on the length

⁵ The change of scale in the reproduction of an image was also an issue in printing technology. With the “fougéadoire”, an invention in lithography patented by the Frenchman Auguste Fougeadoire in 1886, it was possible to reduce or enlarge the size of an illustration based on rubber by stretching or compressing the rubber plate. See <https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fougéadoire>, accessed July 17, 2023.

or speed of the zoom shot, its aesthetic effect and dramatic force can vary greatly. The psychological properties of the zoom lens are therefore inextricable from the phenomenology of cinematic or televisual vision (Sobchack 1990). With the “zoom craze” in amateur film of the 1950s and 1960s, zooming conquered the non-professional realm of home movie or family film (Hall 2018: 123–152), preparing a larger audience for the digital revolution to come. Today, zooming in and out on the screens of our mobile phones or desktop computers has become “one of our predominant twenty-first century ‘ways of seeing’”, argues Tom White. “We zoom in and out on manuscript images in much the same way we zoom in and out on text, maps, websites, and our personal photos”.⁶

2 Zooming in/out and the art of scalable reading

Scholars in digital history and humanities are increasingly interested in the metaphor of zooming and scale as a way to imagine and revisit concepts, methods and tools for processing, analysing and interpreting data, and for creating innovative representations of knowledge. New terms and expressions have been added to the digital humanities vocabulary, which convey a particular conceptual frame based on the potential of digital methods and tools to support new forms of data exploration and various types of functionality. The aim may be to balance out the global, universal standpoint of “big data” with a “small data” world view, along with “every point in between”, as in the case of the *macroscope*: “What is more, a ‘macroscope’ – a tool for looking at the very big – deliberately suggests a scientist’s workbench, where the investigator moves between different tools for exploring different scales, keeping notes in a lab notebook” (Graham et al. 2016: XVI). To enable the combination of *distant reading* (Moretti 2013) with close reading, a new cultural technique of *scalable reading* is necessary: through changes of perspective by zooming in and out, from a bird’s-eye view to close up, new forms of “intertextual analysis” (Mueller 2014) are opened up. The aim of *scalable reading* is to move “gracefully between micro and macro without losing sight of either one”, to see “patterns” and “outliers”, to zoom in and zoom out and to understand what “makes a work distinctive” within a “very large context” (Flanders and Jockers 2013). In a similar vein, the use of geographic information systems (GIS) and Web-based spatial technologies to build “spatial narratives” that capture multiple voices, views and memories to be seen and examined at “various scales” (Boden-

⁶ Tom White, “A Working History of Digital Zoom, Medieval to Modern,” in *Humanities Commons* (2023), <https://doi.org/10.17613/2tfp-de60>.

hamer et al. 2015: 5) is promoted as a new form of historical and cultural exegesis of space and time (deep mapping) within what is called the *spatial humanities*.

Some disciplines in the humanities, such as history, have previously integrated the concept of scale into their discourse, thus providing starting points for theoretical and methodological enquiry within a digitally oriented context. Examples from this area include conceptual constructs such as the division of historical temporalities into long-, middle- and short-term history, referring to “quasi-immobile”, “slowly paced” and “rapid” processes and events taking place at the environmental, social and individual levels (Braudel 1976: 11–12). Other reflections target the interconnections between the notion of scale in history and its counterparts in cartography, architecture and optics, with reference to the degree of detail or available information at a certain level of organisation, the construction of a historiographic object or the operational metaphors of “magnifying glass”, “microscope” and “telescope”, as applied to historical discourse (Ricoeur 2000: 268–270). Scale in history also serves to define the “historical universe”, a continuum in which one pole is occupied by “syntheses of extreme generality – universal histories” while the opposite pole is ascribed to “investigations of atom-like events” (Kracauer 2014: 104). Combined approaches are also possible, with studies in “global microhistory” that integrate micro and macro analysis, sometimes supported by digitised libraries, archival collections and websites dedicated to family genealogies, to connect microhistories of individuals with broader scenes and contexts on a global scale (Trivellato 2011).

Recent theoretical approaches have pointed out the importance of scale, understood as both an ontological and epistemological entity, and the need to consider broader standpoints and a wider disciplinary spectrum when analysing it. Horton’s (2021) viewpoint on the *cosmic zoom* provides such an example – that is, a conceptual framework for thinking about scale and its medial manifestations, in an attempt to capture totality as a world view, from the microscopic to the cosmic stance. Starting from Boeke’s book *Cosmic View* (1957), and its legacy, *Powers of Ten*, a 1977 film by Ray and Charles Eames, and Morrison’s 1979 review of Boeke’s book, Horton sets out to examine the cosmic zoom through the lens of media analysis. He considers both analogue and digital media, spanning various representational and compositional scalar standpoints, from literary and cinematographic to database-driven forms of mediation. Similarly, by arguing that scale represents a significant concept in all sciences, as well as in culture, language and society, DiCaglio (2021) devises six thought experiments that serve as a basis for elaborating a general theory of scale, intended to apply to a wide number of disciplines. In the first of these experiments, he defines *resolution* as a key element of scale: “Resolution is the amount of detail one can discern within an observation. [. . .] At different resolutions, different objects are discerned [. . .] Shifts in resolution and shifts

in scale go hand in hand: scale tracks the range of observation while resolution points to the amount of detail able to be seen at that range” (DiCaglio 2021: 23). This assumption allows him to distinguish between different types of scaling: he contrasts *Gulliver’s scaling*, in the sense of “making objects bigger or smaller”, cartographic scaling, as a matter of “representation” and “transformation of reality”, and cinematic zooming, as a “result of magnification” or “moving forward”, with scaling that involves a change in resolution, a “transformation of observation”, as illustrated by the scalar transformations operated in the *Powers of Ten* – from the view of two picnickers in a park to planets and galaxies and back down to cells and subatomic particles (DiCaglio 2021: 26–27, 70, 232). The main goal of the book, grounded in rhetoric, philosophy, science studies and critical theory, is therefore to train the reader in scalar thinking, in other words in understanding how scale re-configures reality and the main conceptual, perceptual and discursive aspects of scale involved in this reconfiguration process.

3 Playing with layers and perspectives: Bird’s-eye view, overhead view, ground view

Despite this variety of theoretical and practical undertakings, which proves the richness and significance of the topic of scale and the interest in it manifested by researchers from different areas of study, the potential and concrete application of this concept to new forms of analysis and knowledge production in digital history and humanities are still largely unexplored. This book proposes a systematic discussion on the epistemological dimensions, hermeneutic methods, empirical tools and aesthetic logic pertaining to scale and its innovative possibilities residing in humanities-based approaches and digital technologies. Taking a variety of viewpoints from scholars experiencing this notion in digital history and digital humanities, the edited volume gathers theoretical and application-related perspectives, from microhistory and visual projections of historical knowledge in graphs to shot scales in television adaptations, scalable reading and cartographic zooming, and fosters reflections on the potential for novelty and creative exploration of the concept of scale when combined with digital humanities methods.

By navigating through various themes considered in relation with the notion of scale, such as historical storytelling, online virality, literary computing, media, text and tool analysis, data-driven narrative and map modelling, the reader can learn about the variety of scales used within these different areas of research. Each chapter encompasses different degrees of theoretical assumptions, practical insights and middle-ground reflections, symbolically expressed through the three

conceptual levels: *bird's-eye view*, *overhead view* and *ground view*. While no general theory of scale is defined, the reader is offered the ingredients needed to build such theoretical constructs based on his or her own exploratory and symbolic journey through Zoomland. This variable-scale representation is therefore combined with four categories of enquiry or thematic realms that make up the territory of Zoomland: *History*, *Media*, *Hermeneutics* and *Digital landscapes*.

3.1 History

This thematic area offers different perspectives on the potential of the concepts of zoom and scale for digital history projects. 🗺️ Through a focus on narrative history, **Alex Butterworth** engages the reader in an illustrative and speculative adventure. He combines reflections on semantic modelling and narrative practices from existing projects, such as *The Lysander Flights*, *Tools of Knowledge* and *Crimes in London*, with designs for future graphic interfaces that bring together knowledge graph formalisms, filmic and narrative grammars and conceptualisations of zoom defined along the *detail*, *abstraction* and *cognitive* axes, to support historical storytelling. 🗺️ From a similar vantage point, **Christian Wachter**'s account of the democratic discourses in the press in the Weimar Republic outlines the conceptual bases of a methodological framework that elaborates on theoretical and technical constructs such as the discourse-historical approach, corpus annotation and analysis, and scalable reading, understood as a *digitally assisted* technique for pattern search and identification, as well as close inspection and interpretation. The proposed framework is therefore intended for both quantitative and qualitative analysis, as well as contextualisation and interpretation of discourse in historical research. 🗺️ **Amanda Madden**'s *Menocchio Mapped* follows an intermediate-level line of enquiry that bridges micro- and digital history methodologies and narrative and quantitative approaches. It illustrates the topic by crossing various scales of analysis, from bird's-eye view perspectives on the two fields of investigation to historical GIS projects, such as *Mapping Decline*, *The Atlantic Networks Project* and *DECIMA*, and vivid fragments with a micro-historical flavour, like a revenge poem from the diary of a 16th-century nun. 🗺️ While articulated within the same micro- and digital history setting, **Mariaelena DiBenigno** and **Khanh Vo**'s chapter adopts a different viewpoint that delineates a particular thematic focus. In their study, centred on runaway slave advertisements in the United States in the 19th century, the authors argue that the shift from macro to micro allowed by digital technologies may illuminate how sources and data are used in historical narrative reconstruction and foster new means of historical storytelling,

ranging from nationwide accounts to the experiences of individual and marginalised communities.

3.2 Media

Within the second Zoomland area, the reader can explore the use of scale in media-related studies from a middle- and ground-view perspective. 📍 **Fred Pail-ler** and **Valérie Schafer** propose an analysis of the history of online virality based on a range of examples from the early 1990s – *Godwin's Law* and *Dancing Baby* – to the more recent *Harlem Shake* and *Distracted Boyfriend* meme. The authors inspect a series of visualisations and media inventories, from the more traditional press to social media platforms, and the way in which these phenomena spread, to devise an approach that intertwines scalable readings of content, encompassing spatial and temporal dimensions with a cross-media reading of context. 📍 Adopting a median approach between empirical and theoretical analysis, **Landry Digeon** and **Anjal Amin** develop a comparative study of shot scale patterns in two TV series, *American Law & Order: Criminal Intent* and its French adaptation, *Paris: Enquêtes Criminelles*. Their methodology explores shot scaling as a cinematographic device and carrier of meaning, while also using machine learning techniques, inter-cultural models and media, feminist and psychological theories to decode gender- and emotion-related televisual representations across different cultures. Two other media are examined in this section in relation to zooming and scale, this time from a bottom-up, implementation-oriented perspective. 📍 In the first study, **Nadezhda Povroznik**, **Daniil Renev** and **Vladimir Beresnev** discuss forms of mediation that allow for zoom-in, zoom-out and zoom-zero modes, as well as the challenges inherent in the digitisation and virtual representation of religious sculpture from the Perm Art Gallery. While the possibility to switch between different zoom modes in a digital environment enables new ways of exploring and formulating research questions, the authors assert that digitising this type of cultural heritage should involve a deeper understanding of the cultural layers and contexts of existence of the sculpture, which may require additional knowledge regarding traditions and local customs in the object's region of origin, going beyond the reconstruction of its environment. 📍 The second ground-view study deals with sonic scales, as described in **Johan Malmstedt's** computer-assisted analysis, which enables him to zoom in and out on a 1980s Swedish broadcasting dataset. It argues that scale and zooming may be related to various methods of detecting sonic diversity, such as differentiating between music, speech and noise, or several types of noise, and that they can help in tracing trends and developments over time in the acoustic style of broadcasting.

Analysis may therefore produce different results, depending on the type of zoom applied, either within the frequency register or along the time axis.

3.3 Hermeneutics

This third area pertains to overhead and ground standpoints on the capacity of zooming and scale to inspire and shape interpretative trajectories. 📍 By adopting a continuously scale-shifting perspective that evokes the size-appearance parable of the illusory giant Tur Tur, **Chris and Raluca Tanasescu** revisit concepts such as *monstrosity* and *iconicity*, anchored in the realm of intermedial and performative enquiries, to examine digital writing processes and illustrate their complexity through the case of the *Graph Poem*, a network of computationally assembled poems. The authors argue that the multi-scalar architecture of this type of poetry anthology, with algorithms operating at both a small scale, on poetry dictionary-related features, and a large scale, on network-topology-relevant criteria, coupled with a *monstrous/iconic* reflection filter, may inform more general considerations on the complex and often paradoxical inner mechanisms of text production, interconnection and analysis in a networked, ubiquitous and ever-changing digital space. 📍 **Benjamin Krautter**'s four-dimensional reconstruction of Mueller's conceptualisation of scalable reading and its application to the network-based analysis of a corpus of German plays represents another middle-ground exemplar of approaching the question of scale through a combined theoretical and practical line of thought. The chapter unfolds as a detailed discussion and contextualisation of Mueller's concept and the metaphor of zooming underlying it, followed by an illustration of how the various dimensions of scaling and a research agenda based on qualitative and quantitative methods can be brought together when analysing literary texts. 📍 From the same intermediate perspective, combining theoretical and practical aspects in scale investigation as a heuristic instrument, **Florentina Armaselu** advances the hypothesis that texts can be conceived as multi-scale conceptual constructs involving various degrees of detail, and devises a method for detecting levels of generality and specificity in a text, applied to analyse a selection of books from micro-global history, literature and philosophy. The proposed method integrates elements from topic modelling, fractal geometry and the zoomable text paradigm to build interpretations and visualisations of *informational granularity* aimed at capturing the dynamics of meaning that emerges from the assemblage of blocks of text considered at different scales of representation. 📍 In the last chapter of this section, **Stephen Robertson** proposes a ground view of the construction of the digital history argument, as opposed to a print-based form of argumentation, exemplified through the *Harlem in Disorder* project, a multi-layered, hyperlinked narra-

tive set up via the *Scalar* platform. According to the author, the project demonstrates how different scales of analysis and multiple threads of interpretation can be supported by the digital medium, enabling the user to understand the complexity of racial violence through the interconnection of a multitude of individual events, aggregated patterns and chronological narratives, which are more wide-ranging than could be contained in a book.

3.4 Digital landscapes

The fourth thematic group gathers viewpoints from all three perspectives and focuses on the interplay between scale, tool-building and analysis in envisaging and perusing various forms of digital landscapes. 🌀 **Natalie M. Houston**'s examination of three open-source network visualisation tools, *Pajek*, *Cytoscape* and *Gephi*, tested on the *Les Misérables* character interaction dataset, proposes a critical approach that analyses the default aesthetics offered by these software packages in order to grasp the meaning and knowledge creation assumptions embedded in the design of this type of tool. From a humanistic standpoint, the author argues that a critical awareness of the ways in which network graphs are produced and facilitate understanding of data structures at a variety of scales contributes to more informed data visualisation practices that acknowledge the role played by aesthetic choices in the production of meaning. 🌀 **Quentin Lobbé**, **David Chavalarias** and **Alexandre Delanoë** proceed from a similar bird's-eye vantage point to investigate the various ways in which the notions of *scale* and *level* are used in the digital humanities literature. Using the theory of complex systems, a mathematical apparatus, and *Gargan-Text*, a free text mining software, they analyse a *Web of Science* and *Scopus* dataset and build visual representations of evolving branches of knowledge based on the conceptual distinction between *level* and *scale* understood in a more specific sense, as *level of observation* and *scale of description*, in the research and data analysis processes. 🌀 **Francis Harvey** and **Marta Kuźma** adopt a mid-way approach to discuss and illustrate notions and techniques such as cartographic scale, zooming and generalisation in historical maps. After theoretical considerations and examples, including the analysis of maps of Warsaw and the Vistula River from different time periods, the authors formulate a series of assumptions as to how future interpretative research in digital humanities may benefit from a better understanding of *generalisation* changes, differences between zooming and scaling, and their impact on the graphic representations in historical maps. 🌀 The *Weather Map* proposal by **Dario Rodighiero** and **Jean Daniélou** offers a ground view of a visual model designed to depict public debates and controversy through the visual grammar of synoptic weather charts, and a Web-based implementation relying on the

Media Cloud archives and allowing for zooming and contextualisation through access to additional information, such as links to sources and statistics. According to the authors, this form of modelling enables users to capture controversy in the making and study the movements and plurality of actors that shape controversial events.

Finally, this Introduction chapter outlined the conceptual framework and the variety of viewpoints related to the metaphorical and physical use of scale and zooming in digital history and humanities. Moreover, our intention was to provide readers with the incentive they need to continue their journey through Zoomland and to discover and explore both its *actual* and its *symbolic* territory.

References

- Bodenhamer, David J., John Corrigan and Trevor M. Harris, eds. *Deep Maps and Spatial Narratives*. Bloomington, Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1zxxxr2>.
- Borchmeyer, Dieter. "Aufstieg und Fall der Zentralperspektive". In Gabriele Brandstette and Gerhard Neumann (eds), *Romantische Wissenspoetik. Die Künste und die Wissenschaften um 1800*. Würzburg: Königshausen & Neumann, 2004.
- Braudel, Fernand. *La Méditerranée et le monde méditerranéen à l'époque de Philippe II*, 1st edition 1949, Paris: Armand Colin, 1976.
- Daston, Lorraine, and Katherine Park. *Wonders and the Order of Nature 1150–1750*. New York: Zone Books, 1998.
- DiCaglio, Joshua. *Scale Theory: A Nondisciplinary Inquiry*. Minneapolis, London: University of Minnesota Press, 2021.
- Ende, Michael. *Jim Button and Luke the Engine Driver*. Woodstock, New York: The Overlook Press, 1963.
- Flanders, Julia, and Matthew L. Jockers. "A Matter of Scale". Keynote lecture from the Boston Area Days of Digital Humanities Conference. Northeastern University, Boston, MA, 18 March 2013. Accessed July 23, 2023. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/englishfacpubs/106>.
- Graham, Shawn, Ian Milligan and Scott Weingart. *Exploring Big Historical Data. The Historian's Macroscope*. London: Imperial College Press, 2016.
- Hall, Nick. *The Zoom: Drama at the Touch of a Lever*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2018.
- Heard, Mervyn. *Phantasmagoria: The Secret Life of the Magic Lantern*. Hastings: Projection Box, 2006.
- Hitchcock, Tim. "Big Data, Small Data and Meaning". *Historyonics*, 9 November 2014. Accessed July 23, 2023. http://historyonics.blogspot.com/2014/11/big-data-small-data-and-meaning_9.html.
- Horton, Zachary. *The Cosmic Zoom: Scale, Knowledge, and Mediation*. Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press, 2021.
- Jolly, Martyn, and Elisa deCourcy (eds). *The Magic Lantern at Work. Witnessing, Persuading, Experiencing and Connecting*. London: Routledge, 2020.
- Kingslake, Rudolf. *A History of the Photographic Lens*. London: Academic Press, 1989.
- Kracauer, Siegfried. *History. The Last Things Before The Last*. Princeton: Markus Wiener Publishers, 2014.
- Moretti, Franco. *Distant Reading*. London, UK, New York, US: Verso, 2013.

- Mueller, Martin. "Shakespeare His Contemporaries: Collaborative Curation and Exploration of Early Modern Drama in a Digital Environment". *Digital Humanities Quarterly (DHQ)* 8.3 (2014).
- Rheinberger, Hans-Jörg. "Intersections: Some Thoughts on Instruments and Objects in the Experimental Context of the Life Sciences". *Volume 2 Instruments in Art and Science: On the Architectonics of Cultural Boundaries in the 17th Century*, edited by Helmar Schramm, Ludger Schwarte and Jan Lazardzig. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110971910.1>.
- Ricœur, Paul. *La Mémoire, l'histoire, l'oubli*. Paris: Éditions du Seuil, 2000.
- Rossi, Paolo. *La Nascita Della Scienza Moderna in Europa*. Roma: Laterza, 1997.
- Sobchack, Vivian. "The active eye: A phenomenology of cinematic vision". *Quarterly Review of Film and Video*, 12.3 (1990): 21–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10509209009361350>.
- Stengers, Isabelle. *L'invention des sciences modernes*. Paris: La Découverte, 1993.
- Trivellato, Francesca. "Is There a Future for Italian Microhistory in the Age of Global History?" *California Italian Studies*, 2.1 (2011). Accessed July 23, 2023. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/0z94n9hq>.
- Weigl, Engelhard. *Instrumente der Neuzeit: Die Entdeckung der modernen Wirklichkeit*. Stuttgart: J.B. Metzlersche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1990.
- White, Tom. "A Working History of Digital Zoom, Medieval to Modern". In *Humanities Commons*, 2023.

—

History

Alex Butterworth

Adventures in Zoomland: Transitions in Scale and the Visual Exploration of Historical Knowledge Graphs as Sequential Storytelling

Abstract: This chapter proposes a conceptual framework for the design of graphical interfaces to knowledge graphs that employ the concept of ‘zoom’, broadly defined to encompass levels of scale, resolution and abstraction – to enable exploratory forms of historical hypothesis formation and testing and of narrative elaboration. It does so through a process of illustrative and speculative reflection on a series of recent or current digital history projects in which the author has been involved that involve the semantic modelling of data representing sociabilities, mobilities, temporalities and identity and which seek to encourage a hermeneutic sensitivity to evidential uncertainty. Reference is included to methods of knowledge graph creation and refinement, both automated and participatory, while the extended concept of ‘zoom’ is located within its media and aesthetic history.

Keywords: exploratory interfaces, digital hermeneutics, knowledge graph, scalable data, historical narrative

1 Introduction

The increasing abundance of historical data in machine-readable form, the progressive refinement of methods for its analysis, and the popularization of innovative interfaces for its exploration, create the possibility of novel forms of historical narrative within digitally mediated environments. What follows in this chapter is a proposed framework for understanding and utilizing the concept of ‘zoom’ in the speculative design of graphical interfaces that enable exploratory forms of narrative history-making, which are driven by and embedded in semantically modelled knowledge graphs, grounded in formal ontologies. The framework is considered as a foundational requirement for the subsequent development of a narrative gram-

Note: With thanks to Ed Silverton, Andrew Richardson, Sarah Middle and Duncan Hay for stimulating discussions during the design and development of practical experiments in the application of the approaches to narrative data visualization described in this chapter.

mar in which the third meaning latent in transitions between modes of zoom applied to configurations of knowledge can be effectively managed, to controlled and expressive effect.

The concept of the *knowledge graph* will be expanded on in Section 2. Such graphs model historical data in a form which is highly plastic and fungible, enabling the virtuous creative cycle of exploration and contribution that is envisaged. In combination with associated domain specific vocabularies and taxonomies that further organize the data contained in the graph, the interrogation of such knowledge graphs may generate complex historical insights. The filmic technique of Zoom, considered more closely in Section 5, may be broadly understood as a range of operations that vary framing and focalization, here applied analogously to the visualization of data within an explorable graphical interface: the *Zoomland* of our envisaged historical adventures.

The conduct of historical enquiry and production of historical accounts that the Zoomland framework enables resonates with many aspects of the consideration of history-making offered by film theorist Siegfried Kracauer in his posthumously published work, *History: The Last Things Before the Last* (2014). History, he observes, is constrained by a “law of levels”, according to which, “the contexts established at each level are valid for that level but do not apply to findings at other levels”. Yet, grounded in his insight that “discerning historians aspiring to history in its fullest form favor an interpenetration of macro and micro history”, he identifies a productive equivalence with the filmic medium in which, “the big must be looked at from different distances to be understood; its analysis and interpenetration involve a constant movement between the levels of generality”. Jolted by this movement across scales, the “historian’s imagination, his interpretive designs” are freed from the canalizing effect of data overload, “inviting less committed subjectivity to take over” (Kracauer 2014: 76; 69; 70; 70). From this may emerge, Kracauer believes, “the historical idea” which, Rodowick notes in his critical consideration of Kracauer’s thesis, “inaugurates a new terrain in which a wide variety of primary historical material may distribute itself and organize itself, illuminating previously unthought patterns of intelligibility” (Rodowick 1987: 117).

Kracauer’s work was written at the dawn of an era in which the potential of filmic zoom to reshape an audience’s relationship to the process of fabulation was fully demonstrated by a new generation of directors, including arguably the greatest proponents of the technique, Robert Altman and Roberto Rosselli. Adopting a fluidity of style that has been likened to improvisational jazz, Altman – according to Jay Beck – placed the audience for his 1970 film *MASH* “in an active spectatorial position, being asked to sift through the audiovisual information in order to follow one of the potential narrative paths” (quoted by Hall 2018: 26). A decade earlier, in his film recounting the nineteenth-century campaign for national independence, *Viva Italia*, Rossellini had already applied zoom techniques

to specific history-making effect. Commenting on a scene in which Garibaldi's men fight on a hill, John Belton notes that under Rossellini's direction, "Long shot equals then. Zoom shot equals now. The two shots in tandem are no longer limited to an imitation of event. What we are watching is our own aesthetic and ideological distance from the event" (Belton 1980/81: 24).

In recent years, the notion of the *Macroscope* has become established to describe a form of digital instrument by which the historian can similarly bridge and synthesise the big and the finely curated small data to which the digital turn has given rise. The benefits it may deliver have been variously conceived. For Tim Hitchcock, it makes possible the "radical contextualization" of individuals "by exploring the multiple archival contexts in which they appear or are represented"; for Armitage and Guldi it enables, a "weaving together [of data] into one inter-related fabric of time", while it allows Julia Laite, who quotes the preceding, to "construct a prismatic view of a complex phenomenon, to write a kind of history in the round [. . .] [to] massively expand the synchronic and diachronic connections between people, places, and experiences" (Laite 2020: 965; Armitage and Guldi 2014). The proposed framework seeks to address those desires, reapplying the concept of zoom to an exploratory data environment in which scale is collapsed and "historical ideas" generated. It is a framework that supports a range of manifestations of that negotiated data, from a relatively abstract rendering of data points as graphically arranged nodes to immersive experiences, with the evidential sources, in print or image form, directly accessible.

2 Uncertainty in the work of the narrative historian

Whilst rooted in the observed needs of diverse historians and projects of historical research, the Zoomland framework also foregrounds certain attitudes that I have adopted in my own work as a narrative historian: one whose aim is to present deeply researched historical accounts to a broad and non-specialist audience and readership. Among these is the aspiration to a sophistication in storytelling (or story-building) that equates to both the literary and the cinematic, with their vast but quite distinct toolboxes of tricks and sleights-of-hand and genres: techniques by which the relationship between percipient and story (Propp's *szujet*, at its rawest) is mediated and manipulated into fabular constructions and complex narratives (Propp 1968).

This aspiration could be seen as problematically contrarian for an author of traditional analogue narrative history, though perhaps more congenial in the realm of

digital history, since it involves a distrust of the very voice in which historical narratives are expected to be written for a non-academic readership. The nub of this unease is encapsulated for me in the editorial advice I repeatedly received from publishers to be ‘magisterially authoritative’. The implication was less that an author should demonstrate a mastery of their subject, which of course entails a full awareness of the absences and lacunae and hermeneutic challenges, than that they should perform absolute confidence as a presiding narrator of the past. Tone, tense and genre were to be employed as mechanisms of gentle coercion: the use of conditional and subjunctive constructions should be eschewed, polyvocalism tightly managed, the knowable facts wielded as talismans.

Both books I have published were written in fierce but subtle tension with such imperatives, favoring implication over forthright assertion, cherishing ambiguity at their core. One book (*Pompeii: The Living City*) examined the social and political networks of a single, uniquely preserved Roman town as a microcosm for a period of imperial rule and Mediterranean trade and culture, interpreted through shards of epigraphical and material evidence; the other (*The World That Never Was*) looked at a late-nineteenth-century milieu of political conspiracies and subversion, in which the global diaspora that spread anarchist doctrine, and the international coordination of its policing, was discerned through the reports of informants to their secret service paymasters (Butterworth and Laurence 2005; Butterworth 2010). The books set out to prompt readers to engage with interpretive positions which might sometimes be uncomfortable or confusing: even leaving the reader suspended, temporarily, in a state of uncertainty, providing space for reflection on the evidential foundation of the narrative.

Techniques for highlighting uncertainty were important when confronted with subjects that exposed the margins of confident knowability, dependent as my books were upon the reconstruction of fragmentary and unreliable historical and archaeological evidence, and demanding of speculative boldness. These techniques are, I believe, even more essential as touchstones when grappling with the design of digital engagements with the past, which rest on data whose biases and absences require constant attentiveness. This engagement must remain open, exploratory and dialogic. In cases where exploration is heavily channeled by authoritative constraints, these will soon be felt as a deterrent by the user who craves the ability to pursue their own instincts of inquiry, frustrating their desire to probe beyond surface appearances and to test multiple configurations of data. Either that, or those constraints will have been so deeply insinuated as to co-opt the liberating process of enquiry itself to rhetorically manipulative ends. The responsibility in designing such engagements is therefore social and political, as well as scholarly.

The proposed framework conceives of digital narrative history as a mode that embraces dynamism, dialogism and multiplicity. Authorship in this environment inheres in acts of expeditionary trace-making performed by each investigator of the dataset. The *data text* (a state sequence, as discussed in Section 10 onwards) that is produced by the investigator may, as they prefer, be deemed ephemeral and discarded, or else preserved and enmeshed with those of others; it may be supportive of other trace-texts or it may contest them, in part or as a whole. The initiating author only proposes a path and rehearses the methods of its pursuit. These start with enquiry and analysis that is more or less informed by the existing knowledge of the investigator, both of the domain and the dataset, with each gradually accumulating. The investigator progresses to hypothesizing and iteratively testing these hypotheses, then to the recording of insights, the presentation of argumentation, and its further elaboration as narrative. The narrative forms emerge from the steps and missteps of the investigation, each of which constellates the salient nodes in the knowledge graph, faceting their relations and elucidating their semantic significance. Any externally conferred authority is complemented by the collective recognition of skill in the dance of meaning-making, and the insights afforded by the traces that have been made. I will return in Section 7 to consider this interaction between the individual investigator and the collective acknowledgement of meaning-making.

3 The projects referenced and their datascares

The conceptual framework proposed here derives from extensive and long-term investigations of user requirements across diverse historical datasets. It is illustrated by reference to a number of recent digital history projects, primarily three with which I am currently, or have been involved. Those three projects (and their associated datasets) are *The Lysander Flights*, *Tools of Knowledge* and *Crimes in London*. *Crimes in London* was an experiment involving the use of machine learning to explore the narratives of criminal activity and witness accounts in the Old Bailey criminal trials, and is distinct from the *Old Bailey Macroscopic* created by colleagues from the Sussex Humanities Lab: a project which is also considered, as a key precedent for work in this area.¹ *The Lysander Flights* is a detailed study and exploratory narrative account of the operations in which the low-flying RAF Lysander aircraft flew secret agents and resistance leaders into and out of occupied France between 1940 and 1944: their conduct, context and consequences.

¹ “Old Bailey Voices”, accessed February 18, 2023, <https://oldbaileyvoices.org/macroscopic.php>.

The dataset on which it rests is compiled from minute-by-minute operational reports, and records of personnel and strategic planning, the organization of resistance circuits, and the executions of resistance members. *Tools of Knowledge* concerns the creative communities involved in the production and use of scientific instruments in Britain during the four-and-a-half centuries up to 1914, and the instruments themselves as encodings and vectors of both craft and scientific knowledge.² At its heart is a large and meticulously curated legacy database, the product of a single curator's long career, which has been semantically remodeled and complemented with artefact data from multiple museum collections, as well as data from diverse sources that broadly contextualizes the entities involved: persons, places, objects, businesses and institutions.

Taken together, these projects present a spectrum of possibilities for complex investigative and narrative methods – covering sociabilities, mobilities, temporalities and identity. As described in Section 3, the datasets on which they rest are semantically modelled, both in order to capture the greatest possible nuance in the data and to enable interoperability with other datasets. Each entity mentioned above is defined as a node within a knowledge graph: each is potentially linked to every other entity type, as the data dictates. These linking edges are themselves additionally typed using controlled vocabularies to describe in detail the nature of the particular relationship, with each relationship characterized as an event. A social relationship between people might be defined as family, or business, or legal, for example, with a taxonomical account of finer grain relations such as husband or brother-in-law (family), partner, apprentice or employee (business), prosecuting lawyer or co-defendant (legal). Each entity node is further characterized by its attributed properties: nationality or gender, for example, in the case of people; type, size or material for an object in a museum collection, with additional relevant and domain specific attributes of *call sign* and engine type for a Lysander aircraft.

Wherever possible, the relations between entities are themselves modelled as events, which may involve actors (animate or inanimate, as individuals or assemblages), locations, activities and times. A crime is modelled as an event, as is a wartime operation, but so too are the atomized constituents of each of these: a button accidentally left at a crime scene, an encounter with anti-aircraft fire over the city of Tours. All of these entity nodes carry properties of their own, which include information about their evidential basis or provenance, and metadata about the reliability of the data. The automated geocoding of a placename against

2 “Tools of Knowledge, Modelling the Creative Communities of the Scientific Instrument Trade, 1550–1914”, accessed February 18, 2023, <https://toolsofknowledge.org/about/>, (AHRC AH/T013400/1).

a gazetteer might have a probability of 78% and may or may not have been human-authenticated, a time might be *circa*, or represented by a span of uncertain start or conclusion (“born before”, “died by”), or it might be a spot date standing in for a span (working life: only record, 1756). The qualitative and quantitative characteristics of the data vary, and with these their tractability to more abstract or concrete representation, with the potential for media that actualize the former being linked in to the datasets. This modelling of the historical data will be usefully born in mind in relation to what follows.

4 How to make a knowledge graph

Knowledge graphs are a form of structured knowledge representation, derived from potentially multiple data sets and sources, and modelled at various levels of refinement and authority, according to the interests of those who have contributed to their construction and content. Varied pipelines composed of methods and tools support more or less automated processes to extract the data and then transform and load it (ETL), or extract and load the data and then transform it (ELT): the sequencing of the phases determined by a combination of source type, analytical needs, and storage capacity for processing. The components of the pipelines range from Natural Language Processing methods – including Named Entity Recognition or Cluster Labelling approaches that may leverage word or concept embeddings to capture semantic nuance – through to semi-automated tools such as OpenRefine. At the time of writing the rapid emergence of Generative Pre-Trained Transformers and experimental tools such as GPTIndex promise even higher levels of automation.³

At its most refined, the resultant knowledge graph is aligned with a formal schema, which is itself reconciled where possible with published ontologies, including those for source citation: CIDOC-CRM, BIO CRM, VIAF, Fabio, Citeo, etc (potentially using OpenRefine’s proprietary near-cousin, OntoRefine, or equivalent tools).⁴ Beyond this, specific interest groups that contribute rich and densely modelled data, generated by them during a tightly focused project of research,

3 “Welcome to the GPT Index”, accessed February 18, 2023, <https://gpt-index.readthedocs.io/en/latest/>.

4 “What is the CIDOC-CRM?”, accessed February 18, 2023, <https://cidoc-crm.org/>; Jouni Tuominen, Eero Hyvönen and Petri Leskinen, “Bio CRM: A Data Model for Representing Biographical Data for Prosopographical Research”, accessed February 18, 2023, <http://www.sparontologies.net/ontologies/fabio>; <https://sparontologies.github.io/fabio/current/fabio.html>; <https://sparontologies.github.io/fabio/current/fabio.html>, accessed February 18, 2023, <https://viaf.org>.

may either embroider extensions to this core ‘authority’ schema, or devise novel ontologies for their own immediate interest. As Beretta has explained in relation to the symogih.org project, identifying those points of overlap and concurrence enable interoperability, and allow immediate semantic mappings to be made between the core graph’s nodes and those populating its hinterland (Beretta 2021).

Stepping back one degree more in the graph, we find ourselves further distanced from rigid formalizations and edging towards the folksonomical and emergent: bottom-up terms and concepts, linked to the schema only by means of a ‘fuzzy ontology’ that accommodates them loosely. This material, which could encompass free-text comments contributed by Zoomland explorers or digitized historical texts or transcripts of audiovisual account, may in turn be computationally annotated, extracted, abstracted and modelled, using similar methods to those discussed. These processes can preserve the micro-graphs representing, in simple terms, a set of entities linked by their description of an event. At a higher level of abstraction, they may also capture those semantic vectors drawn together by the strength and density of their connecting edges to reveal concepts that have clustered according to some semantic affinity, within the high-dimensional space generated by the representation of the myriad word associations derived from textual sources. The folksonomical free text source may therefore be conceived of, in graphical terms, as loose constellations of nodes floating around the ever more densely modelled data towards the graph’s core, spatially distributed as it would be by a force-directed layout algorithm. Constellations that are barely tethered at the periphery may be more closely integrated to the core, through the step-by-step linkage of nodes by means of newly minted edges. The tasks involved in the identification of these edges – as candidature for semantic interest and contextual relevance – may be performed, in turn, by a combination of machine learning methods and the contribution made by those human explorers of the knowledge graph who are drawn to assist in its development at a more artisanal level.

To most effectively support a virtuous cycle of contribution, the nature of the user’s engagement with the graphical interface, by means of which they can explore the semantic content of the graph, should include exploratory reward and re-motivation. The process of contribution should be well considered but relatively frictionless; whatever friction is encountered should result from an intentional choice on the part of the explorer to deviate into a more conscious engagement with the data, or else it should be algorithmically-generated as an injunction to heightened criticality, based on an assessment of the validity of the contribution. Within modes and layouts, however, the operational mechanism for informational and cognitive adjustment is here conceptualized by analogy with cinematic ‘zoom’, as it has variously been deployed.

5 A media archaeology of zoom: Digression and immersion

So, what is meant here by ‘zoom’ and where does the concept sit in a hermeneutics of data exploration and emergent digital storytelling? In traditional cinematic terms zoom is, at its simplest, a change in the focal length of the lens which, in contrast to a camera move towards a subject, retains the relative size and significance of the subject and background, while narrowing the view-shed. It signals attentive interest, but is only a tentative step towards recentering that interest which a camera move would have advanced a step further, and with a subjective focalization offering the most complete recentering, by means of an edit or *cut*. Whatever the zoom’s velocity and extent – a short *whip* or deep *crash*; *buried* and contemplative – its effect is to generate a subliminal sense of provisionality. Like the suspended moment of evidential uncertainty mentioned in Section 3, it offers an instant of self-reflection, in which the viewer wonders where their interest might next be led: whether inward to the soul of the subject, or outward to contextualize its situation, or even displaced onto some other subject which will be viewed relationally to it. Such is the potent effect of Zoom in cinematic form on the spectator.

Fixed in an authored audio-visual or graphical sequence, the speculation engendered by the zoom as by any other composition or camera move, according to the skill of its construction and deployment, propels narrative engagement. For us, in seeking to define the design requirements for an exploratory Zoomland, it might translate into a moment that informs and compels a more active expression of agency in what the next configuration of visual information will be. However, for such progressive choices to be most appropriately informed, the fixed relationship of subject and background that the zoom ensures may benefit from inflections which at least hint at context, or even by previews of those next steps and what they might reveal. The experience here might be conceived as similar to the liminal zone of peripheral vision, where an apprehension of movement or change in light intensity is sufficient instinctually to prompt further investigation. So, for example, the visualization which, on completion of its putative zoom, would switch fully from one faceting of the knowledge graph to another – to a looser temporal granularity, perhaps, or tighter geospatial resolution – here surfaces only those entities algorithmically predicted to be most salient to the explorer. How entities are selected for this proleptic function and how they are evoked will vary by the circumstantial configuration of the interface.

Although the most conventional understanding of zoom – as a tightening of view-shed and loss of peripheral vision – may confer benefits in certain Zoomland

scenarios, it should not preclude other applications analogous to the technique. Nor should the interactive application of zoom be inflexibly reverential to its origins in cinematic or televisual media, where its practical use has been limited and often modish. Rather, the core mechanisms of zoom may be beneficially modified for this novel grammar of Zoomland storytelling in combination with other techniques for the manipulation of the cinematic image and their cognitive effects, such as dollying moves (in or out) that either complement or contradict the zoom. Changes in depth of field can blur or sharpen background or foreground, shifting attention between them or altering their respective resolution to suggest varying relationships or impressionistic affinities. The lenticular effects of pulled focus may also redirect us away from an individual subject to the social or collective: a notion developed, with different intent, in the theory of 'lenticular lenses' applied to the digital analysis of text.⁵ By embracing an expanded definition of Zoomland's media archaeology, all these translated affordances of cinematic zoom become available for use in varying permutations, sequenced to produce expressively nuanced results.

Of equal relevance and complementary to such cinematic precedents, though, for current purposes, are insights drawn from the great theorist-practitioners of realist and modernist prose fiction: the potency of digression in Hugo and the layering of social and spatial networks; the densely evoked cognitive realism of Balzac, with its accumulation of persuasive detail; Tolstoy's eagle eye that discovers relevance in and between Napoleon's cold and the chaos of the battle of Borodino, as Carlo Ginzburg has referenced with regard to the macroscopic ambitions of micro-historical practice (Ginzburg 2012, 209). All are suggestive of principles for novel modes of storytelling with the historical data, which will refine the ideal interface design framework envisaged. This is particularly so for the more abstract levels of zoomed visualization at which it is the identification of patterns in the data that approximate to contextualizing story beats. The distribution of pickpocketing in eighteenth century London viewed in relation to that of the watch houses that facilitated its policing, for example; or audits of stock held by an instrument maker, derived from probate auction catalogues, with the dimensions of instruments, individually and collectively reckoned against the likely square footage of the display and storage space in their commercial premises; or the intensity of a Lysander pilot's flight record correlated with pilot-related accidents.

Examples such as these may be evocative as well as analytically revealing, even when encountered through the abstract visualization of relevant data. How-

⁵ Al Idrissou, Leon van Wissen, and Veruska Zamborlini, "The Lenticular Lens: Addressing Various Aspects of Entity Disambiguation in the Semantic Web" Paper presented at Graphs and Networks in the Humanities, Amsterdam (3–4 February, 2022).

ever, to envisage the framework for an exploratory historical storyworld exclusively in these terms would be negligent, at a moment when the dominant media and genres for storytelling are themselves immersive: in their photo-realistically rendered virtuality, their kinetic preoccupations, and often in their exploratory and constructionist affordances too. Indeed, the digital capture of physical objects and environments as point clouds, whether through photogrammetry of instruments that are representative of those from probate auctions, or laser scans of a crashed Lysander's cockpit, or extruded maps of historical London ground plans, raises the prospect of further negotiations between data models, of quite different scales and semantics. The prospect afforded is one that makes digitally manifest Chekhov's widely paraphrased and abbreviated advice to the short story writer: "Don't tell me the moon is shining; show me the glint of light on broken glass" (Yarmolinsky 2014: 14). In such cases, zoom could serve an important mediating and interpretive function, bridging the concrete and the abstract, to simulate the situated immediacy of lived experience, albeit in a form that must be responsibly hedged around with caveats and correctives. So, whilst the suggested framework does not countenance the integration of historical data exploration and ludic environments, I would argue that it should encompass notions of both "playability" (with dual senses of "play": as rehearsal without formal constraint, and of the scope for minor movement within complex structures or in mechanical motion) and of immersion.

6 The idea of scale – the three principal axes

Before progressing further through the speculative design of frameworks for Zoomland visualizations, it will be useful to consider briefly the most familiar affordance to which principles of zoom are applied: that of scale. At every turn in their work, the historical researcher must confront the question of the most appropriate scales at which to scope their subject, or into which their subject may usefully be decomposed. At what level of scale in the data available to them is it possible to detect change and assess the range of causal effect, and with what confidence, as determined in part by the quality and representative claims that can be made of that data. Following directly from this, and further complicated for historians working in a primarily narrative mode, are questions regarding the methods by which any decompositions can be recombined, and the validity of the insights produced by those recombinations. Zoom, in a straightforward conceptualization, allows experimental movement and comparison between the general and specific, the whole and the component. For the conscientious expert historian

its products will prompt not only further questions and hypotheses about how each informs the other, but also an implicit problematization of those relations and the assumptions that underlie them.

With its remit to rehearse investigative expertise for a broad public, and to cultivate their capacity for such data exploration, the Zoomland interface must go further than merely making visually accessible such straightforward zoom-in-scale. It must additionally surface these processes, reifying the dialectic interplay between them through the presentation of data that is faceted as contextually apposite, at any point. The scales of data involved in the exemplary projects discussed in this chapter, considered in terms of volume and complexity of modelling or detail, exemplifies a broad range of possible historical datasets. As such they also illuminate issues in the management of faceted data visualization and how these mechanisms may serve to bridge between one scale and another: as understood most directly in terms of spatial and temporal data, and within the graph of actor-relations. Furthermore, they should accommodate varied modes of critical interrogation: visual (pattern-matching), speculative (causal hypothesis-forming) and emotional (situated).

To address these requirements, the proposed framework for zoomable exploration is conceived as comprising three principal *axes*, each interacting with a continuous scaling, calibrated around three recognized *scales* of study (micro, meso and macro) and three core *modes* of visual organization (spatial, temporal and network). The three axes which variously characterize the conceptualization of zoom are defined as: (1) Level of Detail, involving the nature and amplitude of information appropriate to the current zoom level; (2) Level of Abstraction, from statistically evaluative to situated and immersive, or critically reflective to emotionally co-opted; (3) Cognitive, by which the depth and faceting of contextual information is focalized. A fourth potential axis, concerning authority and certainty, will be mentioned additionally in Section 12. ‘Level’ is used here, in relation to all axes, to describe the level of zoom that is set at any moment, along the range of possible settings.

Zooming on the Level of Detail axis, calibrated for informational resolution, is analogous to the illusionistic methods by which the developers of immersive environments switch in and out images or models, as the zoom reaches different pixel or polygon counts, as imperceptibly as is technically possible within a smooth animation, to avoid the lag that may be caused by loading overlarge image files relative to available processing power. It may also be imagined as an extrapolation of the methods employed on zoomable digital maps, where the most zoomed-out view emphasizes topography and transport infrastructure, for the purposes of long-distance route planning; while the most zoomed in exposes infrastructure for pedestrian way-finding; with an intermediate level which highlights resources or points for interest that may localize the interest of the former user, or expand the

horizons (or advertise to the consumerist needs) of the latter. In this respect, it begins to intersect with Cognitive Zoom.

This third axis of zoom, Cognitive Zoom, has the greatest novelty as a principle for visualizing data and also involves a greater complexity of dynamic calculation in transforming the graphed data according to rules customized for individual datasets. It may be understood most straightforwardly as the constellation of context that is deemed most revealing at any moment in relation to the immediate objective of the exploration. Or in presentational terms, the context that the viewer needs to know at this point on their narrative journey to make fullest sense of what follows. Its close antecedents lie in the work of Marian Dörk, his formal conceit of the “information flaneur”, and the visualization styles prototyped in his work on monadic exploration. This draws on Tarde’s concept of the monad to challenge the distinction between whole and part, while more recent collaborative work progresses to the concept of the ‘fold’, the latter elaborating the former as a topological model that exists in a continual state of dynamic folding of space, movement and time (Dörk et al. 2011; Brüggemann et al. 2020). For present purposes, though, the concept is animated rather through reference to filmic technique – the dollied zoom – by means of which the central object of interest is simultaneously approached focally and actually, with the foreground held steady while the background is advanced or, in this case, made informationally salient.

Level of Abstraction is probably the most readily understood as being, at one extreme of the axis, photorealistic immersion whose verisimilitude produces a sense of situated presence, potentially involving haptic, tactile and olfactory senses in addition to the visual. It is, or might be conceived, crudely, as the ultimate historical theme park experience. More difficult to envision is the other extreme of the axis where the information contained in the knowledge graph into which the dataset has been modelled is expressed at its rawest: a dense tangle of nodes and edges that exist only in a condition of illegible high-dimensionality. As discussed in Section 12, for whatever purpose this is thought tractable to human interpretation, this multivariant data must be reduced to the simplest form at which it can be visualized, in two or three dimensions (or possibly four, if some means of filtering by time is included). Between these poles of the axis lie innumerable possible calibrates: progressing away from the highest point of abstraction, at each of these the visualized dimensionality of the data is further reduced, by means of the application of algorithmic or graphical filtering. Conversely, we may approach this from the concrete extreme of the Level of Abstraction axis, by which the relations concealed in the data that drive the immersive simulacrum with which the participant is first presented are incrementally decomposed for analysis. Here again, intersection with the axis of Cognitive Zoom is likely to occur, whilst intersection with the Level of Detail axis of zoom is also possible.

7 How authority is conferred, indicated and deployed

For the user, the drift towards Abstraction in this interplay of axes is liable to entail a gradual weakening of those points of intellectual and imaginative purchase derived from immediate human experience, and a drift towards ever higher levels of abstract conceptualization, that invoke and require more scholarly domain knowledge. An immediately comprehensible example of this might relate to time and human memory. The experience of a day or a week or a month or a season is familiar to a contemporary reader or data explorer and may be tacitly invoked to afford points of identification with historical experience. The temporal divisions, rhythms and functions of these time spans are, of course, often radically different according to context, historical and otherwise, as reflected even in their naming: the exigencies of planting and harvest, of economic behaviors dependent on sailing seasons, of *fasti* and *nefasti* or feast days and fast days, of lives lived by daylight or by standardized railway time. Nevertheless, the shared experience of time at these scales can provide imaginative purchase on that difference, which an author may more or less explicitly evoke.

It is this potential to analogize, however approximately, which is drawn on by techniques such as the graphical distortion of an otherwise uniform segmentation of time to communicate more subjective temporal experiences – intensity of activity, the anxiety of waiting – in relation to timeline visualization.⁶ Career courses, lifespans, even generational shifts are similarly amenable to an analogizing approach, to produce an immediacy of narrative engagement. In static forms of data visualization, convention insists on regularity in the relationship between any property and its spatial distribution or proportional scaling, with any deviation from this rule considered as irresponsible rhetoric which risks misleading the interpreter. Such techniques may be afforded a different legitimacy, however, where the information space is itself recognized as a dynamically expressive environment. In this case, the relationship between background and foreground can itself be experimentally adjusted by the configuration of zoom settings, on any axis, while comparison between sequential animated states makes apparent any rhetoric devices. As when toggling between maps that represent geographies in Euclidian space according to conventional projections, and cartogram representations that morph according to the geospatial distribution of additional data, the agency of the ex-

⁶ Alex Butterworth, “On the growth of my own mind: Visualising the creative process behind Wordsworth’s autobiographical epic, ‘The Prelude’, in context”, accessed February 18, 2023, <https://searchisover.org/posters/butterworth.pdf>.

plorer and the perception of narrative development converge to generate imaginative engagement and suggest new interpretations (Dorling 1996).

The effect of such techniques becomes increasingly etiolated, however, as the temporal duration expands. When we reach the level of historical periodization, of *longue durées* and epochal change, an effective historical hermeneutic is likely to depend on specialist scholarly frameworks, often particular to a field or domain. The application of these collectively tuned knowledge-producing practices may reveal significance, suggest hypotheses and even bring to the surface provisional narratives in the patterning of data which to a layperson will remain mute and perplexing. The design of visualization layouts at such a level of zoom on the Abstract-Concrete axis must, it could be suggested, primarily serve the requirements of these more sophisticated users, even at the risk of presenting a complex and deterrent appearance to the non-expert. A visual form that is as simple as a scatterplot, such as that produced by Hitchcock and Jackson for their *Old Bailey Macroscope*, with its axes representing number of words per trial and sequential trial references numbers, is interpretable, even in the most basic terms, only with implicit knowledge of file naming conventions (and their relationship to chronologies, at micro and meso scale), as well as changes in methods of court recording or relevant legislation. A deeper analysis of change in trial length over a period of centuries might require more “microscopic” knowledge of courtroom architecture and its effects, and/or an instrumentalized understanding of the impact on judicial practices of changing carceral regimes or the imperatives of the political economy.

An analogue author of a narrative history can more easily be inclusive. In the course of ostensible story-telling they may educate the reader almost imperceptibly, skillfully seeding and integrating the necessary information through subtle and contextually apposite digression or allusion. Interfaces to data-driven zoomable analysis can and should seek similarly to mitigate the obstacles to properly informed interpretation by the broadest possible range of users. It is something that Hitchcock and Jackson’s macroscope attempts, generously and engagingly, in relation to the example of courtroom architecture mentioned, through the provision of a three-dimensional visualization of the Old Bailey courtroom (styled for one historical arrangement, although potentially in multiple period-accurate manifestations).⁷ Within this virtual space, users may position themselves to emulate and gain analytical insight from the experience of witnesses, lawyers, jury, judge or the accused as they were spatially situated in an environment that em-

⁷ Tim Hitchcock, “Big Data, Small Data and Meaning”. Historyonics, 9 November 2014, accessed June 17, 2023, http://historyonics.blogspot.com/2014/11/big-data-small-data-and-meaning_9.html.

bodies and demands an almost theatrical performance of roles from those participating in the courtroom proceedings.

As will already be apparent from these relatively simple examples of the highly varied states into which data can be configured, all of which would conform to the framework for a Zoomland info-graphical environment, there is a high risk that the data explorer will experience both visual and cognitive disorientation, unmoored from reassuringly familiar conceptual models. The simultaneous availability of multiple options and functions for changing position, layout, filters or parameters is a necessity for freedom of enquiry but offers no firm footholds. It is therefore imperative that the explorer be best equipped to make meaningful progress, which here implies a forward movement through sequential meaning-making. Which bring us to the principle of the *subject-in-transit*, introduced here as a necessary complement to the earlier described principles of zoomable axes.

8 The subject-in-transit

The principle of the subject-in-transit proposes a mechanism for the multi-factorial means of ensuring a situated focalization while exploring the Zoomland datascape of an historical knowledge graph. The subject-in-transit comprises a single-entity node – a person, object, place, moment in time – or could involve a set of nodes which constitute and are contained within an event entity. This node provides a persistent object of attention travelling through varying states of data selection (by means of filtering and faceting) in combination with a range of graphical layouts: until a deliberate decision is taken to transfer focus, the node retains its identity through any transition in graphical presentation. Different permutations of selection and layout, which may be adjusted experimentally or with particular intention, enable varying interpretive possibilities to be discovered in the graphed data. Nodes may be laid out according to time or spatial properties, or in a network form, with each subject to rules of reorganization triggered by passing designated points in any of the axes of Zoom.

In simple terms, nodes might stack or aggregate on a Level of Detail Zoom, with the aggregation determined variously by polygonised proximity (map), temporal subdivision (hours, days, months, years, decades), or different gauges of network clustering and affiliation (membership of a guild, apprenticeship to a master; frequency of association with a particular crime or area of London; involvement in a resistance network or military organization). On the Cognitive axis, nodes linked to the subject-in-transit in the graph – directly or indirectly,

though only via edge types which are dynamically determined to reflect contextual semantic relevance to the current layout – may be exposed and constellated to suggest new analytical perspectives for the user, with the degree of allowable graph traversal determined by the adjustable Zoom level that is set. In this way, related people, ideas or objects (spatial/network) or locations and events (spatial/temporal) are displayed, to a greater or lesser extent, and according to further dynamically determined principles of relevance. The operation of Zoom on the Abstract-Concrete axis cross-references the type of data and associated media that are available with the layout type to define the mode of presentation: in spatial layout mode, the zoom might run from organizing nodes into an abstract bubble graph visualization according to type (country when in combination with a low Level of Detail, parish when high) through to the vertical extrusion of a historical map underlay at one extreme of the axis, or at the other, an explorable 3D representation of the street in which the subject-in-transit was located at that moment in historical time. Matrices that define how nodes manifest visually in each permutation or Layout, Axis and Zoom level are pre-configured in the course of data preparation and management.

Such an approach is consistent with a mode of historiographical knowledge production that seeks to induce reflexivity, and which embraces *zoom* as a means to engage and shift affective identification. It enables more nuanced engagement with the polyvocality, uncertainty and ambiguity generated by the tensions across scales of data, while enabling narrative devices that may challenge the user-actant with the unrecognized biases encoded in their exploratory choices. Drawing on Marian Dörk's theorization of the "information flaneur" as an imagined percipient casually constellating their information environment, the principle of the subject-in-transit emphasizes instead the chosen ego node within the knowledge graph as a sustained guarantor of coherence (Dörk et al. 2011).

Hitchcock and Jackson's *The Old Bailey Macroscope* has already sketched an application of the principle, although not explicitly elaborated as such or grounded in a knowledge graph.⁸ Within the *Macroscope*, the user is able to switch between layout views while maintaining a persistent focalization on a single subject-entity, in this case the trial. Consequently, the hearing that has been presented in immersive form may also be identified and highlighted in the scatterplot, or vice versa, the 3d courtroom may be accessed via the selection of a single scatterplot glyph. It may also be examined, singly or comparatively, as a sequence of standardized courtroom discourse elements, derived from a dataset created by Magnus Huber and here presented as a sequence of strips on a horizontal bar graph, color-coded

8 "Old Bailey Voices", accessed February 18, 2023, <https://oldbaileyvoices.org/macroscopic.php>.

by discourse type and linked through to the transcript of the trial.⁹ Whilst sometimes available in a dashboard form, meaning is inherent more in the counterpoint of layouts, yet the transition between layouts is abrupt rather than fluid. That is, when compared with filmic grammar, it aligns more closely with a “cut” than an animated zoom, although the shifts in scale certainly embody something of the latter effect, albeit with a loss in selectively carried over context. *The Old Bailey Macroscope* therefore offers a highly revealing prototype and illustration of zoom, notably on the abstract-concrete axis, with two distinct explorable visualizations of data that sit respectively towards – but not quite at – its two extremes.

These identifiable lacunae in the *Old Bailey Macroscope* are, however, themselves usefully suggestive of challenges and opportunities. The scatterplot layout positions its visual representation of data on axes that carry human-readable meaning, albeit requiring implicit expert knowledge to interpret, while the 3D courtroom scenario intentionally eschews (even alienates) the user from a sense of immersion: the space is not “skinned” in surface detail, the human figures are near-skeletal maquettes; the voicing of the trial is machine-generated, uninflected and not distinguished by speaker. It is a project that leaves the dramatization to the television fictions that it has informed. However, the extreme of the abstract-concrete axis might allow for further progress in that direction, which in turn could activate a data-orientated negotiation between the levels of evidential certainty (Section 11) and the speculative affordances involved in immersive evocation. Moving from the 3D courtroom, along the axis in the other direction, towards abstraction, it has not yet tested either the territory of meso-level abstract-concrete zoom where, for example, the visual interface might allow for the experimental correlation of trial duration data directly with modification of courtroom design, expressed perhaps in more diagrammatic terms of space syntax and topic-annotated graphs of courtroom discourse. Nor does the *Old Bailey Macroscope* venture to the further extremes of abstraction, of the underlying multi-dimensional knowledge graph, in whose visualization even the guide ropes of expert knowledge must be cast aside in favor of the emergent, if imminently inchoate: one whose analytical potential will be touched upon in Section 12.

9 The data finds its shape within the framework

For the three projects which I have discussed as exemplary case studies for a prospective Zoomland approach, however, the balance of considerations is different.

⁹ <http://hdl.handle.net/11858/00-246C-0000-0023-8CF>, accessed February 18, 2023.

In two of these, the scale of the data is somewhat or substantially smaller, in terms of the total sources, indicating the aforementioned focus on meso and micro scales of zoom on most axes, but the detail of the modelling substantially greater, while its semantic grounding amplifies the structure of the graph itself, as a carrier of meaning. In the third case study, coincidentally developed from the Old Bailey dataset, the data in question is derived from textual analysis at scale and is loosely semantically modelled, and for purposes of zoomable analysis, roughly compatible with that of the other two projects. The effect envisaged is to increase the amplitude of analysis along the Abstract-Concrete axis, most notably in combination with Cognitive Zoom, by which the Subject-in-transit can be contextualized.

A recent and highly effective use of Virtual Reality for historical evocation, consonant with the Second World War in the air subject matter of the *Lysander Flights*, was *1943 Berlin Blitz*, produced by the BBC.¹⁰ The experiment is situated in a Lancaster Bomber as it flew in formation through heavy flak to rain incendiary devastation on the German cities below. The experience creates a powerfully immersive visual and physical effect, with its emotional impact intensified by the use of a voice over contemporary report by Wynford Vaughan-Thomas, a radio journalist who accompanied a mission with his sound engineer. For fifteen minutes, in an abbreviated version of the eight-hour journey, the lived experience of the terrified crew is reanimated. Its position on the Abstract-Concrete axis is clear, with the voice of the reporter, equipped with contextualizing information (carefully censored and skewed towards propaganda), fulfilling something of the function of Cognitive Zoom. How though might Cognitive Zoom, deployed at a more abstract point on the axis, generate an equivalent intensity of understanding and even empathy by revealing patterns in the data that evoke the inner world and thought processes of subject's situated experience?

The subject-in-transit here might be, by closest analogy, the pilot of the Lysander aircraft on an equally hazardous operation to infiltrate agents into occupied France. We might be introduced to the interiority of the experience by visualizing data that reveals the intensity of their schedule of sorties, how much recovery time they have enjoyed between, or how much leave they have taken in the last month, from which we might derive the imagined level of stress and fatigue; or the same question might be approached through how many crews' and colleagues' names they have watched wiped from the blackboard in the previous forty-eight hours of 1943 as casualties on similar missions (by way of reference to a common visual trope of combat films).

¹⁰ "1943 Berlin Blitz in 360°", accessed February 18, 2023. <https://www.bbc.com/historyofthebbc/100-voices/ww2/360berlin>.

Or it could be a criminal defendant, or an instrument maker, or even a scientific instrument. What, we might ask, are the chances of conviction for a girl of sixteen brought to the Old Bailey in the 1760s for the theft of a gentleman's handkerchief in a theatre, what is the likely sentence they will receive, and in what language are they most likely to hear themselves described? Or for a young Italian immigrant maker of chronometers who has just won a silver medal in the Greenwich Observatory trials of 1854, coming in behind a prominent London-based company with a three-generation lineage of supplying the admiralty, how many chronometer makers who have been in a similar position to him still have a going business two decades later. In summary, is this a world hospitable to talented incomers and, if so, what are the secrets of success? Perhaps that is a question for which the answer is carried by an object rather than a person: a chronometer that has been in service for decades, was assembled from parts supplied with recognition by half a dozen artisanal enterprises, with the elegant housing made of an unusual alloy of unknown origin detected by X-ray Fluorescent analysis, was stamped by an eminent Edinburgh maker, and which had been regularly repaired by the same workshop twice a year, before its retirement from Admiralty service and acquisition by the National Museums of Scotland, its eminence secured by careful curatorial preservation and thanks to its regular exhibition. Yet how truly representative is it of the hundreds of similar instruments supplied to the Royal Navy's ships throughout the nineteenth century?

10 Narrative exploration as hypothesis forming and testing

For the data to be so revealingly articulate, of course, it must be sifted and sorted, filtered and faceted using compound Boolean arguments, and rendered legible to others as a set or sequence of related insights, graphically presented and, where necessary, commented with explanation. The initial experience of such multi-axial zoom environments is almost certain to be haphazard, even in the hands of an expert operator, and resistant to easy interpretation. Its navigation and the construction of meaning with it entails an iterative process of trial and error, of hypothesis formation and testing, and a responsiveness to unanticipated possibilities and unexpected insights. In terms of a design specification for a supportive graphical interface, it must encourage rather than inhibit speculative forays, with the operator confident of retaining or easily regaining their orientation.

Where a user-authored sequence of states departs from an existing argument or narrative account, with which it shares certain states or state-pairs in common – having started, by definition, with all in common – or where its structure

in development *ex nihilo* is algorithmically identified as correlating with significant states and state-pairs within such an existing account, the points and the extent of agreement or divergence between the new and prior sequences may also be graphically indicated. It is quite possible that such relationships will be discovered between the current authored sequence and *multiple* analogous but somewhat contesting accounts, each of which will offer its distinct interpretation, to produce further graphing of intersection and divergence. In such cases, the comparison that is graphically indicated at any time will be between the active account and a single correlated sequence, but with the option to cycle through the other sequences, for paired comparison. By these means, a dialogic approach to negotiating authority is encouraged whilst the legibility of difference is also ensured, by dint of managing its parameters. The sense of empowerment experienced by the explorer in turn prompts them to contribute additional evidential data in support of their narrative or argument.

The comparison of arguments and narrative accounts requires, of course, a state machine – actively recording states of layout preference and data configuration – in order to ensure that the branching paths of experimentation can be followed, backtracked, retraced and deviated from, in an arborescence of growing complexity but also redundancy. Ideally, this state machine management would include some means to mark those paths most favored, as the argument that they represent becomes more established, whether by authorial annotation or frequency of iteration: tracks through a network of states and edges that the interface may inflect with visual prominence, as in the erosion of desire lines in physical landscapes.

11 Variable authority as a further axis of zoom

To complement our three core axes of zoom, a fourth and distinct type is implied by this capacity for anticipatory probing of the data: one that runs from looseness to certainty, or from a dispersed to a hierarchical authority. The axis of zoom is, in this case, manifest within the graphical environment as a scalar of inclusiveness that can be adjusted – or, in more tangible User Experience terms, ‘brushed’ – to produce either a more generously broad, or a narrower and more tightly defined focalization of the interpreted graph. This conceptual focalization – which may also be considered as the amplitude allowed by the chosen depth of focus – is technically realized as the degrees of node-traversal in the knowledge graph, filtered for availability by their confidence scores, that that are enabled during the resultant query.

To this end, the (metaphorical) tuning-dial is calibrated to winnow data according to their provenance metadata, against two measures. The first is by type and level of authority, conferred by externally validated status. This might be variously by reference to a relevant publication with a Unique Identifier that has been validated by an authority source, or else by reputation acquired among the contributing community, for example by the collective validation of contributions, of which scored records are maintained internally. The second is conferred by the reliability of the interpretation of the relationship between data represented by that edge: calculated as a combination of the confidence score as assigned by contributors and/or as probabilistically determined in the machine-learning process.

Whilst an aggregation or disaggregation of nodes occurs during a zoom-in-resolution, a zoom-in-certainty is manifest simply as the addition or removal of nodes, as they fall within or without the acceptable range. The node and edges constellated in the micro-graph freeze and preserve user-focused interest at moments of transition between layouts and modes: concepts which are themselves explicitly modelled within the knowledge graph. However, at any time it should be possible for the user to surface – with minimal active enquiry (one or two steps of interaction) – the specific provenance data pertinent to the set of nodes and edges currently filtered, or to any individual node or edge visible, and to access this data either as an annotation or wherever possible, at one further remove, by direct linkage to source. Such information is then presented: displayed as text, perhaps, either in a floating pop-up window associated with graphic elements, or within a dedicated side bar window, or as speech, whether pre-recorded or synthesized using speech-to-text methods.

12 Conclusion: Towards a narrative grammar of zoomable exploration

Behind the framework for managing the fluid visualization of historical data in narrative form that is described in this chapter, lies a process grounded in the modelling and later querying and analysis of the knowledge graph. This involves, fundamentally, the translation of one graph form into another: from a knowledge graph formalized by alignment with formal ontologies that carry rich implicit knowledge, into contextually determined sub-graphs that are extracted and made accessible to the data explorer according to the specific requirements of the moment. These sub-graphs constellate ego networks which are centered on the subject-in-transit, with various allowed affordances of graph traversal and with exploration possible to

varying degrees of amplitude. Neither a general nor particular definition of the algorithmic substrate of such a graph translation system, by which the constraints are dynamically applied, will be attempted here. It will develop through accretion, as a product of the repeated practice of expert exploration and enquiry: rehearsals of human expertise that are manifest as the authoring of narrative sequences out of visualization states. This contribution of candidate edges and the new micro-graphs that they link together will be amplified through the application of machine learning methods, trained on those human-produced accounts, whether narrative or argumentative in form.

In conclusion, it is possible to note how this more sophisticated level of knowledge extraction and narrative modelling depends on abstraction in two axes, combined with concrete specificity in another. The abstraction takes the form of high-dimensionality vector spaces, on the one hand, representing the graph of semantic associations, in which a process of clustering by variable similarity or affinity of vector pairs – or, in more refined instances, of matrices – signify potential relevance. Within the Zoomland graphical environment, these might be inspected for utility and interest through the application of unsupervised non-linear dimensionality-reduction algorithms, such as UMAP or T-SNE, and may even be human-labelled *in situ* to augment the explicit record of the knowledge graph. Meanwhile, and by extreme contrast, Concrete specificity is to be discovered in the character of those more visually immersive states, ranging up to the level of three-dimensional photorealism. As will be apparent, a crucial role is played in this by the second axis, of Abstraction-Concreteness.

The interplay of these two axes may be effectively combined in narrative sequences, with the modes and layouts of each state determined by the specific permutation by the axial configurations. To achieve the desirable coherence of narrative construction, however, will additionally require the application of a narrative grammar of state sequencing, one whose definition might draw on research such as that by Neil Cohn into the ‘structure and cognition of sequential images’, in which the ordering and interplay of knowledge faceting has the potential to generate ‘third meanings’ as powerfully as more purely figurative imagery (Cohn 2013). These meanings may be apprehended analytically or in more purely affective terms, with the most skilled exploratory authors orchestrating their arrangements into compelling, informative and persuasive accounts. The anticipated outcome of this next step into Zoomland will be exploratory adventures that may stand comparison with the best linear forms of narrative history.

In the fullest realization of this half-prototyped medium, we can imagine Chekhov’s moon seen through the eyes of an agent in the secret war of 1943 – a passenger in the rear cockpit of a Lysander aircraft, exfiltrated from a field in occupied France and huddled over a thermos of coffee laced with rum – as it reflects off the