JANUA LINGUARUM

STUDIA MEMORIAE NICOLAI VAN WIJK DEDICATA

edenda curat

C. H. VAN SCHOONEVELD

Indiana University

Series Practica, 241

A SOCIOLINGUISTIC INVESTIGATION OF MULTILINGUALISM IN THE CANTON OF TICINO SWITZERLAND

by

JÜRGEN B. HEYE

Pontificia Universidade Católica Rio de Janeiro

1975
MOUTON
THE HAGUE · PARIS

© Copyright 1975 in The Netherlands Mouton & Co. N.V., Publishers, The Hague

No part of this book may be translated or reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm, or any other means, without written permission from the publishers.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER: 74-78499

FOREWORD

Part I of this study investigates the multilingualism in the Canton of Ticino, the Italian speaking part of southern Switzerland. The investigation was conducted during the summer months of 1968 by means of questionnaires and personal interviews. The questionnaires were designed to determine (a) socioeconomic status, (b) non-native language performance, and (c) language attitudes of the respondents. The questionnaires were prepared in both German and Italian and mailed to a random sample of the population of the three major urban areas, Lugano, Bellinzona and Locarno. The results were then analyzed and correlated to provide an overall picture of the multilingual situation.

I am particularly grateful to my mentor, Dr. Robert J. DiPietro, who gave freely of his time in helping me during the various stages of this study. His criticism was always constructive and kind. My gratitude extends also to my readers, Dean Robert Lado and Dr. Helena Hrabikova. I would like to express my sincere thanks to all those people in the Canton of Ticino who, by taking the time to complete the questionnaires, made this study possible. Among them, I would like to mention especially the following: Dr. Antonio Giaccardi and Dr. Emilio Mordasini, of Bellinzona, Mr. Carlo Bianchoni, Dr. Mario Forni, Dr. Edo Galli and Dr. Antonio Marazzi, of Locarno, Dr. Edo Rossi and Dr. Adriano Soldini, of Lugano — all of whom are connected in various functions with the school system of the Canton of Ticino — the staff members of the Department of Public Education and the Cantonal Office of Statistics, in Bellinzona, and the Cantonal Library in Lugano; my informants, Mrs. Anna Ferregutti, Miss Maria Milesi, Mr. Renato Sciolli, Mr. Bernardino Baroni, of Pura and Agno, and Dr. Gianfranco Rossi, of Brusino Arsizio, who submitted patiently to many hours of questions.

My most heartfelt gratitude is directed to Mrs. Cesar A. Hidalgo and Annegret Heye who were equally instrumental for the completion of this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Fo	rewor	rd	5			
List of Tables						
Introduction						
1		ectives	3			
	1.1	General Objectives	3			
	1.2		3			
		1.2.1 Socioeconomic Status	3			
		1.2.2 Language Performance	4			
		1.2.3 Language Attitudes	4			
2	Sign	ificance of the Study	6			
	2.1	Literature	7			
	2.2	Definitions and Delimitations	7			
		2.2.1 Socioeconomic Status (SES)	8			
		2.2.2 Non-native Language Performance	8			
		2.2.3 Language Attitudes	8			
	2.3	Specific Definitions	9			
3	Met	hods and Procedures	0			
	3.1	The Questionnaire	0			
	3.2	Percentage of Returns	2			
	3.3	Normality of Sampling	2			
	3.4	Reliability of the Questionnaires	3			
		3.4.1 Reliability of Part I (SES)	3			
		3.4.2 Reliability of Part II (Non-native Language Performance) 24	4			
		3.4.3 Reliability of Part III (Language Attitudes)	4			
	3.5	Validity of the Questionnaire	5			
4	Resu	ults of Part I of the Questionnaire	6			
		Calculation of the Coefficient of Correlation	6			
	4.2	Demonstration of the 'Product-Moment' Method	7			
		4.2.1 SES Indices	7			
		4.2.2 Correlation: Income and Education	9			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		4.2.3 Correlation: Income and Profession
		4.2.4 Correlation: Profession and Education
	4.3	Additional Results of Part I
	4.4	Languages Studied in School
	4.5	Native Languages of the Sample Population
	4.6	SES Averages of the Sample Population
5		ults of Part II of the Questionnaire
	5.1	Self-Evaluation Scales for Non-native Language Performance 38
		5.1.1 Oral Comprehension
		5.1.2 Speaking
		5.1.3 Reading
		5.1.4 Writing
	5.2	Statistical Evaluation of Part II of the Questionnaire 43
		5.2.1 Correlations of Two Sets of Skills and Reliability of Part II . 43
6	Resi	ults of Part III of the Questionnaire
	6.1	Ratings of Language Attitudes
	6.2	Language Use in Interpersonal Communication
	6.3	Reading as an Indication of Language Attitudes
	6.4	Subjective Attributes of Languages
	6.5	The Relation between Standard Language and Dialect 51
		6.5.1 Complementary Questions
		6.5.2 Learning Non-native Language Dialects
		6.5.3 Relative 'Difficulty' Associated with Dialects
	6.6	Standard Languages in Restricted Situations
	6.7	Statistical Evaluation of Part III of the Questionnaire
7	Resi	lts of the Correlation between the Three Parts of the Questionnaire 60
	7.1	Correlation between SES and Non-native Language Performance 60
	7.2	Correlation between SES and Language Attitudes 60
	7.3	Correlation between Non-native Language Performance and Language
		Attitudes
	7.4	Discussion of Results
8	Con	clusion
Ro	feren	ces
-	pendi	
ДΡ	рени	II. Principal Statistical Formulae
		III. Supplementary Tables
		**
7	J	IV. Questionnaire Samples
inc	iex .	86

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1	Distribution of Questionnaires
Table	2	Questionnaire Returns
Table	3	Classification of the Sample by Age Groups
Table	4	Classification of the Sample by Sex
Table	5	Native Languages of the Sample
Table	6	Distribution of the Sample According to Income
Table	7	Income Distribution of the Sample According to Language
Table	8	Distribution of the Sample According to Profession
Table	9	Distribution of the Sample According to Education
Table	10	Foreign Languages Studied in School
Table	11	Distribution of the Sample According to Native Language
Table	12	Average SES Ratings of the Sample
Table	13	Extent of Non-Native Language Use Indicated by the Self-Evaluation
		Scores
Table	14	Attitudes of the Sample toward Non-Native Languages
Table	15	Extent of Language Use in Interpersonal Communication
Table	16	Extent of Reading: Books Read per Year
Table	17	Professional Usefulness of Languages
Table	18	Ranking of Languages according to 'Beauty'
Table	19	Standard Languages and Dialects in Everyday Communication
Table	20	Association of Dialect Use with Lack of Formal Education
Table	21	Association of Standard Language Use with Conceit
Table	22	Willingness to Learn Foreign Language Dialects
Table	23	Is it Useless to Learn a Foreign Language Dialect?
Table	24	Which Dialects are Considered more Difficult to Learn?
Table	25	Languages Used in Religious Functions
		Appendix III.
Table	A	Non-native Language Performance of the Sample: Oral Comprehension
Table	В	Non-native Language Performance of the Sample: Speaking
Table	\mathbf{C}	Non-native Language Performance of the Sample: Reading