COLLOQUIAL SINHALESE CLAUSE STRUCTURES

JANUA LINGUARUM

STUDIA MEMORIAE NICOLAI VAN WIJK DEDICATA

edenda curat

C. H. VAN SCHOONEVELD INDIANA UNIVERSITY

SERIES PRACTICA

83



1970 MOUTON THE HAGUE - PARIS

COLLOQUIAL SINHALESE CLAUSE STRUCTURES

by

JAMES W. GAIR cornell university



1970 MOUTON THE HAGUE - PARIS Copyright 1970 in The Netherlands. Mouton & Co. N.V., Publishers, The Hague.

No part of this book may be translated or reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm, or any other means, without written permission from the publishers.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOG CARD NUMBER: 71 - 95006

Printed in The Netherlands by Mouton & Co., Printers, The Hague.

TO SYLVIA AND BARBIE

PREFACE

The materials on which this study is based were collected for the most part while the author was working as assistant to Professor Gordon H. Fairbanks on a project carried out at Cornell University under contract to the U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. That project had as its aim the compilation of a textbook for Colloquial and Literary Sinhalese, but only that part of the work dealing with the former is reflected in this study; Literary Sinhalese, which differs sharply and in fundamental respects from the Colloquial variety, is left out of consideration here. The Colloquial materials that constituted the basic corpus for this study were collected over a period of about fourteen months from the third member of the project, Mr. M.W.S. De Silva, then of the University of Ceylon, a linguist as well as native speaker of Sinhalese. Subsequent field work in Ceylon in 1964-1965 under an NDEA-related Fulbright-Hays grant has confirmed the authenticity of that data as a reasonably representative sample of current Colloquial Sinhalese, lacking for the most part features that mark some specific regional rural varieties as well as those that characterize hyper-careful or artificial speech. Some revisions and expansions have, however, been carried out on the basis of that fieldwork as well as other work at Cornell, primarily in the direction of incorporating variant forms from other educated speakers, but retaining the restriction to colloquial and, as far as possible, informal speech. The most important of these changes will be noted.

I would like to express my appreciation to the two men who served at various times as chairman of my doctoral committee and who read this study in an earlier form: Professors C. F. Hockett and Gordon H. Fairbanks. Whatever insights I have gained into human language behavior I owe in great measure to them, and it was the latter who first stimulated my interest in Indic languages. Special thanks are due to M. W.S. De Silva, a valued colleague as well as informant, who went far beyond the ordinary functions of the latter in helping to gather and organize data and discuss and test hypotheses. Mr. D.D. De Saram and Mr. W.S. Karunatillake have been of great assistance both at Cornell and in Ceylon at different times in providing further data and helping to check doubtful points. Needless to say, errors of fact and interpretation are entirely my responsibility.

I would like to take this opportunity to make public my appreciation for the grant

PREFACE

through the Office of Education that made my fieldwork in Ceylon possible, as well as to the personnel of the U.S. Educational Foundation in Ceylon who extended themselves to the limit to make it easier for me to carry it out.

Those who, true to the tradition of that country, extended me help and kindness in Ceylon are too numerous to be listed, but among them, Professor D. E. Hettiaratchi of the University of Ceylon and Mr. K. D. Somadasa its Librarian may be singled out. Among the many who contributed further language data, I wish to mention my two young assistants from Uda Peradeniya village, Messrs. K.M.D. Kulasekara and W.M.R.A. Wijesundara, who helped out from sheer interest and eagerness to learn, and Messrs. Upasena De Silva and Anuradha Senaviratne. Mr. Kamal De Abrew very graciously made available a copy of his London M.A. dissertation, and I have profited therefrom, as indicated at several points, even though there was insufficient opportunity to make use of all the new leads that it offered.

Mrs. Hazel Neigh, Mrs. Mary Mines, and Mrs. Carole An Perrin typed various drafts of this work, and deserve appreciation for their patience and accuracy.

The publication of this work has been made possible by the generosity of the Hull Fund of Cornell University, who provided a subvention for that purpose.

Finally, my heartfelt thanks to my wife for her patience and encouragement and her amazing tolerance during several difficult periods in the writing of this work. Cornell University

September, 1965

Preface							
Index of Symbols	15						
1. INTRODUCTION	17						
1.1 Scope	17						
1.2 Theoretical Orientation	17						
[•] 1.21 Constructions	17						
1.22 Transformations	19						
1.3 Phonology	23						
1.31 Segmental Phonology	23						
1.311 Vowels	23						
1.312 Consonants	23						
1.32 Segmentation of the Corpus	25						
1.4 Conventions in Presentation	25						
2. GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES	27						
2.0 General	27						
2.1. Substantives	27						
2.11 Nouns	28						
2.12 Numeratives	30						
2.13 Deictic and Interrogative Substantives	31						
	31						
2.132 Deictic and Interrogative Pronouns	31						
2.133 Other Deictic and Interrogative Substantives	32						
_	32						
2.15 Substantive Postpositions	33						
2.2 Verbs	33						
	33						
	35						
-	37						
	37						

	2.232 Basic Forms as Verbal Substantives	7
	.3 Quasi-Verbs	8
	.4 Particles	8
		9
		9
	2.412 Quantifying Adjectives	0
		0
		0
		0
		1
		1
		1
		1
		2
		2
		2
	-	
3.	Clause Constituent Classes	4
		4
		5
		6
	.4 Adverbials	6
	.5 Infinitive Phrases	7
4.	UNDAMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SINHALESE CLAUSES	8
	.1 Independent and Dependent Clauses	8
	.2 The Predicator	8
	.3 The Focus	9
	.4 Basic and Emphatic Clauses	9
	.5 Delimitation of Predicators	1
	.6 Multiple Foci	3
		3
		4
	.9 Linear Order of Constituents	4
5.	Clause Construction Types	6
	.1 Verbal Clauses	6
	5.10 General	6
	5.101 Verb Form and Examples	6
	5.102 Initial Classification.	6
		6
		57

10

TABLE OF CONTENTS	11
5.1111 Ordinary Intransitive Clauses	57
5.1112 Stative Verbs	58
5.1113 Allocative Verbal Clauses	60
5.1114 Dative Object Clauses	60
5.112 Transitive Active Clauses	61
5.1121 Primary Active Transitive Clauses	61
5.11211 Active Transitive — Dative Object Verbs .	62
5.11212 Clausal and Quotative Object Clauses.	62
5.11213 Infinitive Object Clauses	63
5.11214 Object Complement Clauses	64
5.11215 Indirect Objects	64
5.1122 Secondary Transitive Active Clauses	64
5.11221 Secondary Transitive Derivation — Inter-	
Type Transformations	65
5.11222 The kawanawa Subtype	67
5.1123 Causative Clauses	68
5.113 Subjectless Active Clauses	70
5.1131 Transitive Subjectless Active Clauses	71
5.1132 Intransitive Subjectless Active Clauses	71
5.12 Impersonal Verbal Clauses	72
5.121 Passive Clauses	73
5.1211 With an Active Transitive Clause as Base	73
5.1212 With a Dative Object Clause as Base	75
5.1213 Passive Clauses Without atin Constituents.	76
5.1214 Passive Clauses — Residue and Limitations	76
5.122 Inactive Clauses	78
5.1221 With Animate Nominals	78
5.1222 With Inanimate Nominals.	79
5.1223 Inactive Clauses and Inter-Type Transformations .	83
5.123 Involitive Clauses	84
5.1231 Ordinary Involitive Clauses	85
5.1232 Perception Clauses	87
5.1233 Personal State Clauses	88
5.124 Inter-Type Transformations — Summary	89
5.2 Non-Verbal Clauses	92
5.21 General	92
5.211 Basic Classification	92
5.212 Predicator Marking.	92
5.22 Equational Clauses.	93
5.221 Adjectival Equational Clauses	93
5.2211 Ordinary Adjectival Equational Clauses.	93
5.2212 Expanded Predicator Equational Clauses	94
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

5.22121 Dative Case Nominals as Attributes.			•	94
5.22122 Infinitive Phrases as Attributes	•	•		95
5.2213 Allocative-Equational Clauses	•	•		9 6
5.2214 Indeterminacy in Assignments to Subtypes .	•			97
5.222 Nominal Equational Clauses	•	•		98
5.2221 Direct Case Nominals as Subjects	•		•	99
5.2222 Other Forms as Subjects	•	•	•	99
5.223 Adjectival vs. Nominal Equational Clauses	•	•		99
5.23 Impersonal Non-Verbal Clauses	•			100
5.231 Adjectival Impersonal Clauses	•		•	100
5.232 Nominal Impersonal Clauses	•	•	•	101
5.24 Minor Non-Verbal Types	•	•	•	101
5.241 Price Clauses	•	•		101
5.242 Date Clauses	•			102
5.243 Categorizing Clauses	•			102
5.244 Instrumental State Clauses				102
5.245 Age Clauses				103
5.246 Adverbial Predicator Clauses				103
5.247 Subjectless Non-Verbal Clauses				104
5.2471 With Adjectival Predicator				104
5.2472 With Nominal Predicator			•	105
6. CLAUSE TRANSFORMATIONS				106
6.1 Single-Base Transformations				107
6.11 Basic Transformations				107
6.111 Modal Transformations				107
6.1111 With Verbal Aux	•	•	•	108
6.1112 With Non-Verbal Aux	•	•	•	110
6.11121 With <i>xti</i> as Aux	•	•	•	110
6.11122 With oons or puluan as Aux				110
6.112 Infinitive Subject Transformations				111
6.1121 With Verbal Aux	•	•	•	112
6.1122 With Non-Verbal Aux	•			113
$6.113 \ hxki$	•	•		116
6.114 Verbalizing Transformations	•			116
6.1141 With <i>wenawa</i> as Aux	•	•		116
6.11411 With Adjectival Clause as Base				116
6.11412 With Nominal Clause as Base	•	•		118
6.1142 With <i>kərənəwa</i> as Aux		•		119
6.11421 With Adjectival Clause as Base			•	119
6.11422 With Nominal Clause as Base				
	•			122

6.115 Complex Verb Transformations	123
6.1151 With gannawa as Aux	123
6.1152 With <i>denowa</i> as Aux	123
6.116 The Perfect Transformation	124
6.1161 With Verbal Clause as Base	124
6.1162 With Quasi-Verbal Clause as Base	125
6.117 The <i>ati</i> 'Might' Transformation	126
6.1171 With Verbal Clause as Base	126
6.1172 With Non-Verbal Clause as Base	127
6.118 The Qualifying Transformation.	127
6.119 Negative Transformations with nææ	129
6.1191 With Verbal Base Clause	129
6.1192 With Non-Verbal Base Clause	131
6.11921 With Adjectival Predicator Base Clause	131
6.11922 With Price and Date Base Clauses	131
6.1193 The Indefinite Negative Transformation	132
6.11931 With Adjectival Predicator Clause as Base.	132
6.11932 With Verbal Predicator Clause as Base.	133
6.12 The Emphatic Transformation	133
6.13 Focus-Marking Transformations	138
6.131 The General Interrogative Transformation	138
6.132 The Negative Transformation with <i>newi</i>	139
6.133 Secondary Emphatic Transformations	140
6.1331 The —Y Secondary Emphatic Transformation	141
6.1332 <i>tamaa</i> and <i>may</i>	141
6.2 Shunting Transformations	144
6.21 Conjunct Transformations	144
6.211 The Prior Temporal (-aamə) Transformation	144
6.212 The Conditional Transformation	145
6.213 The Concessive Transformation	146
6.214 The Concurrent Transformation	146
6.215 The Contemporaneous (-ddi) Transformation	147
6.22 Conjunctional Transformations	148
6.221 The nan Conditional Transformation	148
6.222 Adjectival Form Conjunctional Transformations	149
6.2221 The nisaa-hinda Transformation	149
6.2222 The hatie Transformation	151
6.2223 The kota Transformation	151
6.2224 The hamə Transformation	152
6.2225 The kan Transformation	152
6.23 Perfect Participial Shunting Transformations	153
6.231 With Verbal Base Clause	153

13

6.232 With Quasi-Verbal Base Clause	155
6.3 Special Transformations	155
6.31 The epaa Negative Transformation	155
6.32 The no-Negative Transformation	156
6.4 Nominalizing Transformations	157
6.41 Adjectival Type Nominalizing Transformations	157
6.42 Case Transformations	160
Index	162
Bibliography	165

14

INDEX OF SYMBOLS

v	Verb (including phrasal verbs 3.3). Symbols for verbal inflection are given in 2.22.
V ^A , V ^P , V ^C	Respectively: A, P, and C verbs. 2.212.
Ν	Nominal. 3.1.
-d	Dative case. 2.1.
-g	Genitive case. 2.1.
-i	Instrumental case. 2.1.
-k(-)-	Indefinite. 2.1.
-p(-)-	Plural. 2.1.
Α	Adjectival. 3.2.
Pr	Predicator of a base clause in a transformation. 6.11.
pr	Pr of a base clause as it appears in a transform of that clause. 6.11.
Х	All constituents other than the predicator in a clause, or the forms
	derived from them in a transform of that clause. 6.11.
-Y	The assertion marker. 2.461.
-(conj)Y	The conjunction -Y. 2.464.
(-Y) kiəla	The quotation marker. 2.45.
1P, 2P, D, Aph	Respectively: proximal, first person; proximal, second person; distal; anaphoric. 2.13.

In addition to those listed above, there are a few symbols of more limited relevance that have been defined in the sections to which they pertain. See also "Conventions in Presentation" 1.4.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE

This study is an attempt to describe predicative clauses in colloquial Sinhalese through the presentation of a number of clause construction types together with some transformations that operate upon them to produce still other types. Some general characteristics of Sinhalese predicative clauses will be set forth in section 3, but ultimately their definition rests on and is implicit in the statements of constructions and transformations themselves.¹

1.2 THEORETICAL ORIENTATION

This study is aimed at producing an initial description of certain basic syntactic features in colloquial Sinhalese, and not at arriving at any novel theoretical statements relating to the description of languages in general. Thus, free use has been made of whatever current descriptive models and techniques appeared most helpful in terms of their ability to reveal and describe those features at this comparatively early stage in the analysis of Sinhalese. In essence, two general approaches to syntax, which may be characterized as the "constructional" and the "transformational" have been combined here. Although both are familiar ones in current linguistic studies, some general statements about each of them and the manner in which they have been utilized and combined would appear to be in order. It will also be necessary to state some terms and concepts relating specifically to the analysis of Sinhalese clauses, but this may be done more clearly after the presentation of phonology and essential inflectional and distributional classes and is thus deferred until section 4.

1.21 Constructions

A construction is essentially a pattern according to which forms are put together into

¹ The simplest definition of a predicative clause in Sinhalese is "a form that has a predicator as nuclear constituent" but the definition of "predicator" is in turn dependent on the characteristics of the form in which it occurs, particularly with relation to the transformations to which it is subject or by which it is derived. While we cannot point to any one transformational characteristic shared by all predicative clauses, there are transformations that pertain to large numbers of them, across types, and these are part of a network of transformations into which every predicative clause appears to enter at least one point.