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## SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

See (quasi-)phoneme lists, pp. 15ff. and Rule 71 for a discussion of the general format employed in the text.

Alpha Rules: | Ambivalent. See Rule 72-II. |
| :--- |
| Assimilation. See Rule 112-II. |
| Complementary. See Rule 210-II. |

indicates a hypothetical reconstruction.
indicates that a hypothetical reconstruction is being exhibited the reflexes of which
would be at variance with the data.

## SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

\# indicates word or free morpheme boundary. See 3.1., Primary Sources.
POE read: Prehistoric Old English.
EOE read: Early Old English.
LOE read: Late Old English.
WS read: West-Saxon.
PES read: Progressive Environmental Simplification, see 2.2.2.
Red. Rule read: Redundancy Rule or Definition. See pp. 13f.

## REDUNDANCY RULES AND DEFINITIONS

A. In environmental matrices only: $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ implies $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ or $\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathbf{V}_{2}$. In feature notation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { lng } \\
+ \text { syl }
\end{array}\right] \text { implies }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { lng } \\
+ \text { syl } \\
(+\beta-\beta \text { fea })
\end{array}\right]
$$

Concerning [ $(\beta-\beta$ fea) $]$ see Red. Rule C below.
This treatment of diphthongs ignores the distribution of length within the diphthong, a theoretical problem having little bearing on the rules presented in the present study. ${ }^{1}$
B. Consonant ${ }_{1}$ nonsyllabic-sonorant consonant ${ }_{2}>\mathrm{C}_{1}$ syllabic-sonorant $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. In feature notation:

$$
[- \text { syl }] \longrightarrow[+ \text { syl }] /[- \text { syl }][+ \text { son }][- \text { syl }]
$$

This assumes that \# implies \#C and that this has been leveled to cases of \#V (See Rule 355.3). This environment is created by the application of Rules 331.1, $331.4 b, 341$ and 345 . This rule provides an example of logical rather than chronological ordering, i.e., it may actually be simultaneous to the rules it immediately follows; it is ordered, however, in order to facilitate description. Hence, the ordering is probably merely formal and only one process is involved. See also Introduction, p. 24.
C. A feature matrix (in section II of any rule) represents one segment, i.e., it is homogeneous, unless the following is indicated:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { features } \\
(\alpha-\alpha \text { features: }) \\
\text { features }
\end{array}\right]
$$

The features listed above $[(\alpha-\alpha$ fea $)]$ must apply throughout the matrix whereas those listed below are permitted to vary. For example, see Rule 88, matrix 3.

[^0] instance.

If only nonsyllabicity, [ - syllabic], or length, [ling 1, 2, (or) 3], or a combination of nonsyllabicity and length, e.g., $\left[\begin{array}{c}\operatorname{lng} 2 \\ - \text { syl }\end{array}\right]$, is indicated, $[(\alpha-\alpha$ fea) $]$ is always implicit. For example, see Rule 72, matrices 4-5, where [-syllabic] refers to single consonants or entire consonant clusters.
D. In environmental matrices, length implies length $_{n+1}$ if " n " is greater than 1. For example, [ling 2] implies [lng 2] or [lng 3]. See, e.g., Rule 398.4a, matrix 3.
E. In environmental matrices, [accent 1], i.e., シ̀, implies [accent 1] or [accent 2], i.e., $\mathbf{V}$, unless otherwise stated.
F. [+ round] implies [+back] unless otherwise stated, e.g., see Rule 100.2, matrix 3.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Rule 275z; see Rule 131 on how this problem may have a bearing on ordering in at least one

