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## Abbreviations

| 1 | first person | EXIG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | second person |  |
| 3 | third person | $\exp$ |
| A | agent (4) | f |
| ABS | absolutive case <br> marker (2.4.1) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{f i g} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ |
| ADH | adhortative (8.1) | GEN |
| adj | adjective (2.1) | i |
| adv | adverb | imp |
| AP | active participle (8.4) | IMP |
| ASS | assertive (9.10) | IND |
| Bur | modern Burmese |  |
| C | consonant |  |
| caus | causative (10) | INF |
| cl | clitic | INST |
| col | collective | IPF |
|  | expectation | irr |
| COL | colour affix | IRR |
| COM | comitative | interj |
|  | (2.4.7) | interr |
| CON | conditional (6.2) | inv |
| conj | conjunction | lit |
| CTR | contrary to | LOC |
|  | (see ni? in | m |
|  | glossary) | n |
| d | dual ${ }^{1}$ | NEG |
| DEF | imperious (5.2) |  |
| dem | demonstrative | Nep |
| DEPR | deprehensative | NOM |
|  | (9.10) | NOT |
| dim | diminutive |  |
| di | dual inclusive ${ }^{1}$ | NP |
| dir | directive (10) |  |
| de | dual exclusive ${ }^{1}$ | npG |
| e | exclusive ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| EMPH | emphatic par- | NPT |
|  | ticle, emphatic | ns |
|  | verbal suffix | num |
| Eng | English | $\varnothing$ |
| ERG | ergative case | OPT |
|  | marker (2.4.2) | p |

auxiliary of exigency (9.9)
expletive
feminine
figuratively
glide
genitive (2.4.4)
inclusive ${ }^{1}$
impersonal conju-
gation (4.2)
imperative (8.2)
individualizer (see
ca-ĩ, dik and dzik
in glossary)
infinitive (8.6)
instrumental (2.4.3)
imperfective (5.1)
irregular
irrealis (6.3)
interjection
interrogative
invariable
literally
locative (2.4.6)
masculine
noun
negative (non-nexal
negation, 4.5)
Nepali
nominalizer
nexal negation
(3.1.1)
negative participle (8.4)
negative perfect
gerund (7.5.2)
non-preterit (4.4.7)
non-singular
numeral
zero
optative (6.1)
plural ${ }^{1}$

| P | patient (4) | SUS | aspectivizer of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| part | particle |  | sustained action |
| PAS | passive (8.8) |  | (5.3.7) |
| pe | plural exclu- | TB | Tibeto-Burman |
|  | sive ${ }^{1}$ | Tib | written Tibetan |
| pej | pejorative | v | verb |
| pf | prefix, prefixal | V | vowel |
|  | slot (esp. 4) | vi | intransitive verb |
| PF | perfective (5.1) | VOC | vocative (2.4.5) |
| pf $G$ | perfect gerund | vr | reflexive verb (4.2) |
|  | (7) | VS | Vikram Samvat era |
| pi | plural inclu- | vt | transitive verb |
|  | sive ${ }^{1}$ |  | (4.2) |
| poet | poetic, characteristic of ele- | * | reconstructed or unattested form |
|  | vated diction | [] | phonetic transcrip- |
| postp | postposition, |  | tion/etymological |
|  | postpositive |  | note |
| PP | passive parti- | // | phonemic transcrip- |
|  | ciple (8.5) |  | tion |
| prG | present gerund | <> | morpheme/allomorph |
|  | (7) | < | derives from |
| PT | preterit (4.4.7) | $\rightarrow$ | direction of a tran- |
| Q | yes/no question |  | sitive relationship |
|  | marker (6.4) |  |  |
| REF | reflexive/recip- |  |  |
|  | rocal (4.4.5) |  |  |
| REP | reported speech |  |  |
|  | particle (9.8) |  |  |
| RES | resultative as- |  |  |
|  | pectivizer (5.3.5) |  |  |
| S | subject (4) |  |  |
| S | singular ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| sf | suffix, suffixal |  |  |
|  | slot (esp. 4) |  |  |
| ST | Sino-Tibetan |  |  |
| STC | Sino-Tibetan, a |  |  |
|  | Conspectus (see |  |  |
|  | bibliography) |  |  |
| sub | subordinator |  |  |
| SUB | subordination |  |  |
|  | through -ille |  |  |
|  | (9.4) |  |  |
| SUP | supine (8.7) |  |  |
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# Transliteration and Transcription 

Nepali words are transliterated from the devanāgarī script using the following symbols:

(1) Silent $a$ is not rendered in the transliteration, even though it is generally not deleted in the devanāgarī orthography.
(2) The distinctions between $i$ and $\overline{1}, u$ and $\bar{u}, b$ and $v, s$ and ss and $s$, preserved in conservative orthography, are also rendered in the transliteration, although they do not correspond to any phonemic distinctions in modern spoken Nepali.
(3) The candrabindu used to indicate vowel nasality in devanāgari is rendered by the symbol ${ }^{\sim}$ above the vowel.

Pokhrel et al. (2040) and Rabinovič et al. (1968) are taken as standards for modern Nepalese orthography:

Written Limbu (see 0.2) is transliterated as devanāgarī using the transliteration on $p .554$, except that eh [ $\varepsilon$ ] is transliterated as è.

Literary Tibetan and Burmese orthography are transliterated using the following symbols:

Tibetan

| $k$ | $k h$ | $g$ | $\hat{n}$ | $k$ | $h k$ | $g$ | $\boldsymbol{g}$ | $\hat{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $c$ | $c h$ | $j$ | $\tilde{n}$ | $s$ | $h s$ | $z$ | $z$ | $\tilde{n}^{2}$ |
| $t$ | $t h$ | $d$ | $n$ | $t$ | $h t$ | $d$ | $d$ | $n$ |
| $p$ | $p h$ | $b$ | $m$ | $t$ | $h t$ | $d$ | $d$ | $n$ |
| $t s$ | $t s h$ | $d z$ |  | $p$ | $h p$ | $b$ | $b h$ | $m$ |
| $w$ | $\mathcal{L}$ | $z$ | $h$ | $y$ | $r$ | $l$ | $\omega$ | $\theta$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $r$ | $l$ |  |  | $h$ | 1 | $a$ |  |
| $s$ | $s$ | $h$ | $a$ |  | 1 | $e$ | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| $i$ | $u$ | $e$ | $o$ |  | $o$ | $o$ | $u$ |  |

For Burmese: the creaky tone is indicated by accent aigu, the falling or heavy tone by accent grave, and the level tone is unmarked. Phonetic transcriptions of Modern Burmese are given between brackets using the following IPA symbols. Nasalization is treated as a syllable-final segment and indicated by placing ~ above the vowel.
syllable-initials

| $p$ | $t$ | $k$ | $c$ | $s$ | $\theta$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p^{h}$ | $t^{h}$ | $k^{h}$ | $c^{h}$ | $s^{h}$ |  |
| $b$ | $d$ | $g$ | $j$ | $z$ | $\partial$ |
| $m$ | $n$ | $\eta$ | $n$ |  |  |
| $m$ | $n$ | $\eta$ | $n$ |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1 | $W$ | $y$ | $r$ | $h$ |  |
| 1 | $w$ | $S$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

syllable-finals

vowels

and the diphthongs ${ }^{4}$

$$
\text { ai, } a u, \text { ou }
$$

[^1]
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## Introduction

### 0.1. The Limbus

The Limbus are a sedentary agriculturalist people of the Mongoloid race dwelling in the hills of the Kośi and Mecī zones of eastern Nepal, parts of Sikkim to the west of the Tista and in Darjeeling district. By far the largest part of the Limbu nation lives within eastern Nepal, which is the home of approximately 180,000 speakers of Limbu (Subba 1976: 142). The Limbus designate themselves by the name Yakthunba and their language by the name Yakthumparn or Yakthunba pa•n. Dās (1896b: 31) claims that the autonym ${ }^{1}$ 'Yak-thumba' means yak-herd, but $I$ can find no evidence to support this etymology, and $I$ have been unable to find any Limbu who could tell me the origin or meaning of the term yakthunba. The component yak- is probably identical to the first part of the autonym of the more northerly dwelling Kir $\frac{\tilde{a}}{t} \bar{i}$ people, the Yakkhas or Yākhā, amongst whom it has, however, recently become fashionable to call themselves Jimī, Majhiya or Devān (Regmi 1983). The component -thurba may derive from the etymon *thup- of which the adjective kedhurba 'brave, heroic, manly, bold' appears to be an active participle. The word Limbū is a Nepali ethnonym, and the Limbu homeland in eastern Nepal is known in Nepali as Limbuvān. Campbell (1840: 595) believes the term Limbū to be a Gorkha corruption of the autonym 'Ekthoomba', although I suspect that the origin of the term Limbū must be sought elsewhere. Of the Limbu homeland Campbell (595) writes:

The Limboos consider themselves to be the original inhabitants of the country they now occupy, at least they are satisfied that none of the neighbouring tribes have any claims of preoccupation, but they are not agreed among themselves, on the point of nativity.

Indeed, there are various local legends, most of which are rather fanciful, tracing the origins of the Limbus or the lineages of their kings back to the province of Tsang
${ }^{1}$ Terms djfferentiating various types of ethnonym are defined in Matisoff (1985a: 3-9).
in Tibet, to Vārānasi on the Gangetic plain, to ancient Babylon, to China and so forth (Campbell 595, Cemjon 2018: 11, Dās 1896b: 31, Limbū 1978: 6, Regmi 1983: 74-75).

The Limbus are often identified by the term Kirāta or Kirāti. The term Kirāta first appears in the Yajurveda where it is used to designate an alpine, cave dwelling people of the Mongoloid race living in the northeast (Chatterji 1974: 26). Subsequent references to Kirātas in the Mahābhārata, Rāmāyaṇa, Viṣ̣̣u-Purāṇa and Kirātārjun̄̄ya portray the Kirāta as fierce, warlike and handsome savage hunters living in the densely forested (now largely denuded) eastern Himalaya, with golden complexions which gave them an appearance very distinct from the Indo-Aryan inhabitants of the Gangetic plain (Chatterji 28-34, Dās 1896a: 29, Kaisher K.C. 1972/1974). Although Chatterji (37-38) suggests that the term Kirāta probably covered all Mongoloid peoples living along the northeastern fringe of the Subcontinent, it is the speakers of the Kir $\frac{\tilde{a}}{\bar{a}} \overline{\mathrm{i}}$ group of Tibeto-Burman languages in eastern Nepal to which the term strictly applies. In the writings of some contemporary Limbu authors writing in Nepali (viz. Cemjoń, Māden, Limbū, B.B. Subba), the terms Kirāta and Kirã $t \bar{i}$ are used to refer specifically to the Limbus.

Campbell (597) writes that at the time of the Gorkha conquest, the Limbus reigned with considerable autonomy 'in feudal subordination to the rajas of Beejapoor and Mukwanpoor'. Makvānpur fell to Pŗthvī Närāyaṇa sāh, the founder of Nepal's currently reigning dynasty, on the 23rd of October 1762, and vijaypur fell to the Gorkhas on the 17 th of July 1774 (Stiller 1973: 122-3, 137). Continuing expansion in eastern Nepal brought the Gorkhas into contact with Sikkimese forces, and in 1774 a treaty was signed with the raja of Sikkim giving Prothvī Nārāyaṇa Sāh all of eastern Nepal 'west of the Singalila watershed' and, in the Tarā̄, all land as far as the Tista (Stiller 138). Although eastern Nepal was nominally under the rule of Přthvī Nāräyaña $\boldsymbol{s} \bar{a} h$ at the time of his death on the 11 th of January 1775, Cainpur was only wrested from the grip of Sikkimese forces in 1776 (Stiller 150), and Sikkimese territory in fact extended to west of the arun and included Limbuvän until the Gorkhas overran Sikkim in 1788 (Sprigg, MS: 2). Most peoples in eastern Nepal accepted Gorkhali rule peacefully (Stiller 138), but the Limbus were not actually pacified until after Prāṇabala Rāṇa became subbā of Dhankuṭa in 1782. Limbus, led by two freedom fighters, Muregan and Thāmuȳ̄, are said to have fought against the Gorkha troops with poisoned arrows (Cemjon 1948: 77). Campbell (597)
recounts how the Limbus under siege 'held their stronghold Yangrong against a superior Goorkha force, for nearly a month, and did not yield until nearly the whole clan fell in a succession of assaults hand to hand with the Kookri'. Only after the Gorkhas had driven the Sikkimese forces from northern Limbuvān in $1785-86$ did peace come to eastern Nepal (Cemjon 1948: 78). Limbus, however, became recruited into the Gorkhali army as early as the battle of cainpur in 1776 and fought on the Gorkhali side against their own people (Stiller 150,281). In 1863 Jañg Bahādur Rāṇã began conscripting Limbus into the Royal Nepalese Army on a large scale. Because hundreds of Limbu soldiers died of an outbreak of cholera in Kathmandu, many Limbu families fearing conscription fled to Darjeeling (Regmi 1983: 80). Their prowess as warriors is reputed to be of great antiquity, and today the Limbus are one of the few Tibeto-Burman ethnic groups of Nepal from which the famous Gorkha regiments of the British Army are recruited.

Although now a minority in Nepal's multi-ethnic society, the Limbus' sense of identity as a separate people is strong. The Nepalese authorities have come to governmentally control all formal tribal lands except those of the Limbus, who have retained their ancestral land rights (Caplan 1964). These land rights are determined by the Limbu kinship system. The autochthonous Limbu kipat-system of land tenure was still operative in Limbuvān in 1975 alongside the governmental raikar-system (Jones \& Jones 1976, Bista 1980).

The codex of the Nevārī king Jayasthiti Malla dating from the end of the fourteenth century was an early attempt to codify the caste system in the Kathmandu Valley (Höfer 1979: 41). After the conquest of Nepal by Prthvī Nārāyaṇa sāh, edicts were periodically issued which declared the non-Hindu peoples of Nepal to be Hindus and which were intended to assimilate these peoples into the caste system and to consolidate Nepal's many peoples into a nation. This process of assimilation is discussed in Hansson (1982). The place of the various Tibeto-Burman peoples of Nepal within the caste system was codified much later in the Muluki ain of Jañg Bahädur Rānā which classed the Limbus with other Kirā̃ $t \overline{\overline{1}}$ speakers such as the Sunuvār and $R \bar{a} \overline{\bar{l}}$ amongst the 'enslavable alcohol-drinkers' in an intermediate position below that of the Gurun and Magar, 'non-enslavable alcoholdrinkers', but above the many pānī nacalne or 'water unacceptable' and untouchable castes (Höfer 135, 141). Campbell (599-602) identifies the Limbus as being neither Hindu nor Buddhist. Though strongly influenced by Hinduism, the

Limbus practice an animist religion and worship an indigenous pantheon populated by both gods and goddesses and household deities reminiscent of the Roman penätēs (Van Driem 1986).

## 0.2 . The language

The Limbu language can be roughly divided into four dialects: Phedāppe, Pãacthare, Chathare and räplejuñe (or Tämarkholā).

Phedāpe is spoken throughout the Tehrathum district, and even Indo-Aryans living outside Tehrathum bazar, especially those of lower caste, speak some Limbu in addition to their native Nepali. The largest centre of population in the Phedāppe speaking area of Limbuvān is Tehrathum, although Tehrathum bajār itself is largely Nepali and Newari speaking. Nepalis living in the bazar seldom speak Limbu, and in fact many Limbus living in the bazar do not speak Limbu either. The term Phedappe is a Nepali adjectival form of Phedāp, the region where the Phedappe dialect is spoken and formerly the designation for all of presentday Limbuvān (Cemjon 31). The term Phedāp contains the Limbu morpheme *phe• found in phe•damma 'witch doctor', phedzikkum 'sorcerer' and phe•dahan ${ }^{2}$ [< han king] 'warrior hero'. Dās (1896b: 34) claims that 'Phedub' means 'land of verdure', but his source was probably giving a description which he mistook for an etymology.

Pã̃thare is literally the dialect of the $p \frac{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}{} c$ thar or 'five clans', chathare of the cha thar or 'six clans'. $p \tilde{\tilde{a}}^{\boldsymbol{a}} c t h a r e$ is spoken to the east of the Tamor river. Centres of population in p $\frac{\tilde{\tilde{x}}}{\bar{a}} c t h a r e ~ s p e a k i n g ~ L i m b u v a ̄ n ~ a r e ~ Y a ̄ s ́ o k, ~$ Phidīm, Ilām, beyond which p $\overline{\tilde{a}} c t h a r e ~ s p e a k i n g ~ L i m b u v a ̄ n ~$ extends to the east and eastnortheast. Chathare (including the tchotthor pokhori and danappat homordzun sub-dialects ${ }^{3}$ ) is spoken in parts of Dhankuṭa district away from the bazar and in parts of Tehrathum district fringing Dhankuṭ district. I have observed that the Limbu of Chathare speakers is virtually wholly unintelligible to Phedāppe speakers of the village of Tamphula (where the dialect described in this grammar is spoken) who must even resort to Nepali to
${ }^{2}$ Campbell's 'pheda hung' (1840: 597).
${ }^{3}$ Sub-dialect names, given in IPA, are those of Dillī Vikram Ing $\bar{a} \bar{a} b \bar{a}$ Subbā, alias $B$. Subba (personal communication, 1984).
communicate with distant relatives living in Chathar. Though ideally $p$ 告cthare and Phedäppe are mutually intelligible dialects, understanding $p \frac{\tilde{x}}{a} c t h a r e ~ p r e s e n t s ~ c o n s i-~$ derable problems to native Phedāppe speakers of Tamphul्̄a village. I have observed how Nārāyaṇ Prasād, a fluent native speaker of Phedäppe, was unable to make heads or tails of the animated conversation of $p \overline{\tilde{a}} c t h a r e ~ l a d s ~ i n ~$ Yäśok, but was quite successful in a one-on-one conversation with a P be understood. The differences between $P$ ã̈cthare and Phedāppe can be a source of amusement.

Tāplejure (including the tamarkhole, janrupe and moǐwakhole sub-dialects ${ }^{3}$ ) is the dialect spoken to the north of Phedāp along and especially north of the Tamor River in Tāplejun district and beyond. Whereas the dialect boundary
 one crosses the Tamor between Tehrathum and Yāsok, the differences between Phedāppe and Tāplejune as one moves north appear to be gradual, and the differences are on the whole less pronounced than between any of the other two dialects.
R.K. Sprigg (1966) has written a treatise on phonological formulae for the $p \tilde{\tilde{a}} c t h a r e ~ L i m b u ~ v e r b . ~ B o y d ~ M i c h a i-~$ lovsky (1985) has written on dental suffixes in TibetoBurman as evinced by their reflexes in Limbu directives and causatives (see p.245) and has been preparing a grammar of Limbu based on his work in the Maivā-Meva Valleys of T̄̄plejun district. A description of pã̃thare by Weidert \& Subba (1985) has recently become available.

The dialects of Limbu are traditionally classified as belonging to the Khambu subtype of languages within the Kiranti (Kirã $t \bar{i}$ ) group or Bahing-Vayu nucleus, one of the eight main nuclear groups in Benedict's classification of

[^2]Tibeto-Burman ${ }^{5}$. Benedict's Bahing-Vayu nucleus, the Kiranti group, corresponds to the Complex Pronominalized languages of Eastern Himalayan in Konow's older classification (1909), so called because the transitive verb's agreement system codes for both agent and patient actants and because, with a singular, dual and plural and with an inclusive/exclusive distinction in the first person, there are eleven pronominal categories. Major historical work on pronominal morphology in Tibeto-Burman has been done by Bauman (1975).

Limbu was once a written language. Limbu, Lepcha and Nevāī are the only Sino-Tibetan languages of the central Himalayas to possess their own scripts (Sprigg 1959: 590). Sprigg (1959: 591-592 \& MS: 1-4) tells us that the Limbu or Kiranti script was devised during the period of Buddhist expansion in Sikkim in the early 18 th century when Limbuvān still constituted part of Sikkimese territory. The Kiranti script was probably composed at roughly the same time as the Lepcha script which was devised by the third Mahärājā of Sikkim, Phyag-rdor rNam-rgyal, ca. 1700-1717. The Kiranti script is ascribed to the Limbu hero, sirijanga who was killed by the Tasong monks in conspiracy with the Mahārāja of Sikkim at the time that simha Pratāp säh was king in Nepal (i.e. 11 January 1775 to 17 November 1777; Stiller 141,153 ). Both Lepcha and Kiranti scripts were ostensibly devised with the intent of furthering the spread
tāní), Dumi (Dumī), Khaling (Khāliñ) are all Rai (Rāi), which is a collective ethnonym for several groups of people speaking heterogenous but related Kiranti languages. 'Rodong' is merely an indigenous ethnonym, probably Cämliń, meaning 'Rai'.

Recent data collected by the Linguistic Survey of Nepal under the direction of Werner Winter (Universität Kiel) shed new light on intra-Kiranti relationships. The results of extensive survey work conducted throughout eastern Nepal under Winter's direction will soon be made available in published form. New contours have emerged, and new nuclei or related language clusters have been defined within Kiranti on the basis of the gathered data.
5 The eight nuclei within Tibeto-Burman are: TibetoKanauri, Bahing-Vayu, Abor-Miri-Dafla, Kachin, BurmeseLolo, Bodo-Garo, Kuki-Naga and Karen (see Benedict 1972: 4-11, 1976).
of Buddhism, and Sirijangā was apparently a Limbu Buddhist, also known by the Tibetan title of 'the Dorze Lama of Yangrup'.

In 1855, Campbell published a copy of the indigenous Kiranti script which he had received from Lieut. George Mainwaring of the 16 th Regiment $N$.I. Grenadiers (Campbell 1855: 202). It is noteworthy that this, ultimately Devanāgarī-based, Kiranti script, though it distinguishes aspirate and non-aspirate stops, makes no distinction between voiced and voiceless plosives (see also 1.1). A facsimile of this list can be found in Appendix IV. Brian Hodgson managed to procure fourteen Limbu books in the Kirānti script which he donated to the India office Library, and Sprigg acquired an additional five books in the Limbu script in 1958 for the School of Oriental and African Studies (Sprigg 1959: 591).

In recent times İmānsin Cemjon has made attempts to resurrect the Kiranti script. Both his bilingual Kiräta Mundhum or Kirāta-Veda, with a column of Limbu flanked by its Nepali translation, and his Yākthuñ-pene-mikphuplā Pochekwā or 'Limbu-Nepali-English Dictionary' appeared in 1961, but in both works the Limbu was rendered in the Devanāgarī script. In the dictionary, however, he included a table giving the Kiranti script (21-23), reproduced here in Appendix IV. Scrutiny of Cemjon's Kiranti alphabet and the original Kiranti script attributed to sirijanga, in which the old books which Hodgson and Sprigg procured were written, reveals that Cemjon had drastically altered and expanded upon the original script so that his new version of the Kiranti script not only made provision for the voiced counterparts of the plosives but also provided counterparts for Nepali characters for s, $\tilde{n}, t r$ and $j \tilde{n}$. In 1965 Cemjon wrote another book in Limbu verse with Nepali translations, but here too he makes use of the Devanagarī script.

Subsequent attempts were made to revive the Limbu script by B.B. Subba in Sikkim in the late '70s. Subba (1976) adopted Comjon's new and expanded Kiranti script but made a few minor but enlightened modifications of his own (1978) which rigorously improved the script by making provision for both the glottal stop phoneme and for phonemic vowel length. More significant is that Subba produced illustrated textbooks for Primary 1 through 5 on behalf of the Directorate of Education of the Government of Sikkim (1976, 1977, 1982) as well as a Limbu textbook intended for adults (1978) and a Limbu-Nepali-English dictionary (1979) with the Limbu in new Kiranti script, the Nepali in Devanagarī
and the English in Roman alphabet.
More recent, modest attempts have been made by J. Mäden in Darjeeling, who produced two booklets, one to instruct the reader in the use of the Kiranti script (1983) and the other containing Limbu verse in the Devanägari script (1984) .

Modern written Limbu is without exception based on the easternmost variety of $P$ 弚cthare speech.

### 0.3. This grammar

This grammar is a description of the Phedāppe dialect of Yakthunba or Limbu as it is spoken in Tamphula village in Tehrathum district in eastern Nepal's Kosi zone. The author spent three months in early 1984 and the first six months of 1985 as a live-in guest in the household of farmer Netra Bahādur Pãyāngū (Panyangu) with his wife, five sons and three daughters. The family's house was named Ya•kpo•ppo•n after the ya•kpo-ppo•y-tree or large Engelhardtia spicata which had once stood at the site of the house. ${ }^{6}$

During these periods, the author enjoyed the warm hospitality, generous friendship and well developed sense of humour of his Limbu family. Initially, in 1984, communication took place in Nepali with and through the elder sons, but eventually the medium of conversation between author and hosts became Limbu. A basis was laid which enabled the author, upon his return in 1985 , largely to obviate the use of Nepali except for translating recorded texts and obtaining glosses. Both members of the family and their many relatives offered the author valuable guidance in the form of good-natured ridicule of the deficiencies in the

6 It is common practice in the Phedapp to name houses and individuals or groups of individuals after large trees which serve as arborescent landmarks. Whereas houses and places take Limbu names, people are generally given Indo-Aryan first names but retain their Limbu clan or family names. For example, one of the local phe-danma is called okhre Māhil̄̄, lit. 'walnut (adj.) second-born', after the okhar or walnut (Juglans regia) tree which stands at the site of his parental home. In slope farming, the various cultivated terraces or pyansi are given proper names, although these are of ten not named after trees. For example, one of the pyansi on the Panyangu property was called $o \cdot n g e \cdot$.
author's Limbu as well as practical instruction on the correct way to say things, which sometimes led to reflective and insightful conversations amongst the de facto instructors themselves on the differences in meaning, nuance and applicability of various words and expressions in their own language.

The many kind people of Tamphula village were eager to relate to the author their ideas on creation, the supernatural, their neighbours, themselves and the world at large as well as to offer the hospitality of their hearth and home. It is through their acceptance of the author into their community and thanks to the generous hospitality and care of the Panyangu family that this grammar was made possible.

The present grammar consists of ten chapters of analysis and four appendices containing a corpus of texts, verbal paradigms, a glossary and an anthology of Limbu scripts.

The first chapter of the analysis is devoted to the phonology of the Phedāppe dialect and describes the phonemes of the full and native phonemic system and their allophones. Chapter 2 deals with nominal morphology, and Chapters 3 to 8 and Chapter 10 with verbal morphology. The seven verbs 'to be', the topic of Chapter 3, include one aberrant conjugation and six intransitive verbs. Four of these six occur as auxiliaries in periphrastic construction, treated in Chapter 7. Chapter 4 provides a morphological analysis of simplex verb forms. Simplex verb forms are tensed forms of intransitive, transitive or reflexive verbs which show agreement with actant or actants. Complex verb forms are those derived from these simplicia through augmentative suffixation and form the topic of Chapters 5 to 7. Of great interest are the periphrastic tenses discussed in Chapter 7.

Other verbal constructions, such as the adhortative and imperative, are not derived from simplicia through augmentative suffixation but also entail subtraction of affixes (eg, adhortative forms) or are derived from the verb stem (eg. active participles) or from both verb stem and/or simplicia (eg. nominalized construction in -pa). Such verbal constructions form the topic of Chapter 8. Many Limbu verbs have causative and directive counterparts derived through now defunct processes of affixation. Productive causative formation is periphrastic. Both improductive and productive causatives are treated in Chapter 10 , where there is also a general discussion on transitivity and actant coding in the Limbu verb.

Limbu, like other Tibeto-Burman languages ${ }^{7}$, is characterized by the syntactic element order SOV. Coordination in Limbu is straightforward and is discussed in Chapter 7 because it is intimately tied to the meaning and probably the genesis of perfect gerunds. Subordination in Limbu is treated in 8.3 and Chapter 9.

## Chapter One <br> Phonology and Phonetics

1.1. Limbu phonemes and the native phonemic system

The full inventory of Limbu phonemes is given in the following table. Phonemes occurring exclusively in loans appear between parentheses.

Consonant phonemes

| $k$ | $k h$ | $(g)$ | $(g h)$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$ |  | $(d z)$ | $(d z h)$ |  |
| $(t)$ | $(t h)$ | $(d)$ | $(d h)$ | $(\underline{q})$ |
| $t$ | $t h$ | $(d)$ |  | $n$ |
| $p$ | $p h$ | $b$ | $(b h)$ | $m$ |
|  | $y$ |  | $r$ |  |
|  | $s$ |  | $h$ | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Vowel phonemes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i/i. u/u• }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a / a \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

The occurrence of loan phonemes is restricted to a rather limited set of Nepali loans specified in 1.2. The Limbu consonant system devoid of non-native phonemes may be schematized in the following way:


Nepali loans show the tendency to assimilate to this native phoneme system. For example, the word khyu 'ghee, clarified butter' is a loan from Nepali ghiu. A bilingual Limbu may say tina 'tic kā-powder' from Nepali $t \bar{i} k \bar{a}$, whereas speakers who have either no or a very limited command of Nepali tend to say tiki, substituting a native dental for the alien retroflex. Similarly, a bilingual speaker may say $1 a \cdot 1 t i \cdot n$ 'kerosene lamp' [< Nep. lāltīn] where the monolingual Limbu says la•lti•n.

The adaptation of loans to the native phoneme system is not limited to the voice assimilation of plosives and dentalization of retroflexes. Limbus ka•ndruk (type of vegetable condiment) comes from Nepali gundruk, where it seems that modifying gundruk to *kundruk would have sufi.ficed. There seems to be no reason for the change of vowel other than that the resultant form perhaps 'sounds more Limbo' as a consequence. The same probably holds for karəhi 'wok' from Nepali karāhī. It is apparently in deference to native syllable structure (1.3) that Nepali iskus 'vegetable marrow' occurs in Limbu as sikus, with the unacceptable pre-consonantal /s/ comfortably metathesize to wordinitial position.

Loans which are not at variance with native phonology in their original form are adopted unscathed, eg. sirak 'quilt' [< Nep. sirak], phitta 'kerosene lamp wick' [< Nep. phittā]. Other unadapted loans appear to be of more recent origin, eg. dhiki 'rice thrasher' [< Nep. dhikī], bhidiyo• 'video' [< Rep. bhīdiyo].
1.2. Allophones and phonetic description of Limb phonemes

Consonants - native phonemes
/k/ syllable-initially: unaspirated voiceless dorso-velar plosive
syllable-finally: the same, unreleased with simultaneous glottal stop
after a nasal, glottal stop or intervocalically: unaspirated voiced torsovelar plosive rarely
eg. in the loan ma•ki 'maize'.
/th/
aspirated voiceless dorso-velar plosive after a nasal or glottal stop and
intervocalically: aspirated voiced dorso-velar plosive
$\left[g^{i}\right]$,
rarely [ $\left.k^{h}\right]$. eg. mi-kho•? 'smoke', ma•khi 'blood'.
/n/ voiced dorso-velar nasal [g]
/t/ syllable-initially: unaspirated voiceless apico-dental plosive
word-internally syllable-finally: unreleased with simultaneous glottal stop word-finally or before /?/ or /h/: lateralized preceded by a glottal stop after a nasal, glottal stop or intervocalically: unaspirated voiced apicodental plosive
/th/ aspirated voiceless apico-dental plosive
after a nasal, glottal stop or intervocalically: aspirated voiced apicodental plosive
$/ p / \quad$ syllable-initially: unaspirated voiceless bilabial plosive syllable-finally: unreleased with simultaneous glottal stop after a nasal, glottal stop or intervocalically: unaspirated voiced bilabial plosive
/b/ unaspirated voiced bilabial plosive in a considerable number of loans ${ }^{1}$, the hybrid biha•ndik 'morning' and the native words $k e \cdot b$ 'tiger', $k \varepsilon \cdot b$ (also $k e \cdot ? e \cdot b a$ ) 'mute', la.b 'moon' (and its derivatives la•bbutthun 'lunar foam', la•bbherik 'counter-clockwise', la.bne•tti 'lunar cord'), la•bbhok 'tuber'
${ }^{1}$ viz. badam 'peanuts', baḍkyo-la 'shit pellet', badza 'musical instrument', bakulla 'crane', balla 'at last', barne. 'ought', barha•n 'one time (in distillation)',
and la•bdzo•kma? 'cost'. /b/ has an optional intervocalic/postnasal allophone in the form of voiced median labial-velar approximant eg. /nuba/ as either [nuba] or [nuwa], /co•gunbal as either [tco•gunba] or [tco-gunwa], /ande•ben/ [ande•wen].
/ph/ aspirated voiceless bilabial plosive after a nasal, glottal stop or intervocalically: aspirated voiced bilabial plosive
rarely
eg. pa.nphe. 'village'.
/m/ voiced bilabial nasal
[m]
$/ c /$ unaspirated voiceless lamino-postalveolar affricate
after a nasal, glottal stop or intervocalically: unaspirated voiced lamino-postalveolar affricate
/1/ 1 . initially in full words: voiced apico-alveolar lateral approximant eg. /lun/ [lun]
2. syllable-initially in word-internal position and word-initially in clitics:
In these positions the phoneme /1/ has two allophones, the laminoalveolar trill and the voiced apico-alveolar lateral approximant
These two allophones occur in complementary distribution in all affixes and parts of speech, with the exception of nouns taking possessive prefixes and the second members of a few
ba•kas 'box', ba'ni 'habit', ba•ri 'garden', ba•ula 'sleeve', be•1 'bel-tree', be•la 'period', bom 'bomb', botti 'lamp', biha 'marriage', bihibar 'Thursday', budhobar 'Wednesday', hisa.b 'arithmetic', talab 'salary' and subba (ethnonym).
composita. The distribution is such that [r] occurs after vowels and glottal stop, [1] elsewhere:

```
/1/->[r]/{暗
                                posita}\mp@subsup{}{}{2
```



```
In older composita such as mikwara'p
'bat' (< mikwa 'tear' + la\cdotp 'wing'),
intervocalic /1/ is realized as [r],
whereas in composita of probably more
recent date, /1/ retains its word-
initial realization, eg. haplun 'fire-
place-stone' (< ha 'tooth' + lun
'stone'), with a linking glottal stop
not uncommon in composita. }\mp@subsup{}{}{3}\mathrm{ Similarly,
this rule does not apply when a pro-
ductive prefix is attached to a noun
beginning with /1/, eg. ku-1a\cdotp 'its
wing'.
eg.
```

genitive suffix:
menda?-re ku-sa? (goat-GEN its-offspring)
'the goat's offspring'
phak-le ku-mi (pig-GEN its-tail)
'the pig's tail'
pha-re sin (bamboo-GEN wood)
'the wood of bamboo'
mik-le ran (eye-GEN colour)
'the colour of the eyes'
ku-la.p-le ku-ran (its-wing-GEN its-colour)
'the colour of its wings'

[^3]```
clitic lok/rok 'only':
anga?in-10k-Pi. (mine-only-Q) 'Mine only?'
anchi-rok-le-1. (wedi-only-GEN-Q)
    'Only oursdi?'
```

in verbs:
wamerap?e 'They'll make me wet.'
wamenlap?en 'They won't make me wet.'
assertive particle $10 \cdot /$ ro:
keninwa moye ro•! 'You've lost your mind!'
anga pe'gan $10 \cdot 1$ 'I'm off!'
deprehensative particle locə/rəcə:
ma•ngha kennisun laca 'You seem to be myopic'
kon le.su race 'He appears to know'
As a result of this distribution, intervocalic /1/ is realized as [r] or, when geminate, as [11]. Occasionally we find idiolectal doublets like yarik alongside yallik, both 'much, many'.
3. syllable-finally: in loans
[1],
eg. be•1. Some loans with syllablefinal [1] are re-analyzed as ending in final /t/, eg. Nep. syāl 'jackal' > syapl ( = /syat/), Nep. rumā 'handkerchief' > rumail ( = /rumat/). In native words /1/ does not occur syl-lable-finally except as part of an intervocalic geminate.
4. as the second member of an initial cluster:
eg. cokkrokma 'uvula', phuphudre. 'elder sister's husband (female speaking)', sangatra 'citrus fruit', lotthrake. 'tree squirrel'. Initial clusters containing /1/ as a postconsonantal glide are never wordinitial.

| /r/ | lamino-alveolar trill <br> word-initially: only in the loans ruma?1 'handkerchief', rupi 'rupī-bird', ran 'colour'. <br> syllable-initially in word-internal position: eg. kho.mre.? 'peach tree', samrippa 'silhouette'. <br> syllable-finally: in loans, eg. pi•r 'suffering', pardzo-kma? 'be situated', and in the onomatopoea phirli. 'whirling'. | [ $r$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /w/ | voiced median labial-velar approximant | [w] |
| /y/ | voiced median palatal approximant | [J] |
| /s/ | ```voiceless lamino-alveolar fricative after /t/ or /n/: voiceless aspirated lamino-postalveolar affricate``` | $[s]$ $\left[t \epsilon^{h}\right]^{4}$ |
| /h/ | voiced glottal fricative [ 6 ] |  |
| 171 | ```syllable-finally: non-released glot- tal stop syllable-initially in word-internal position: released glottal stop``` | [? $\left.{ }^{\text { }}\right]$ $[?]$ |
| Consonants - loan phonemes |  |  |
| $18 /$ | unaspirated voiced dorso-velar plosive occurs in the loans ga•ro. 'wall', go.11 'bullet' and gundri (often [kundri]) 'straw mat'. ${ }^{6}$ | $[8]{ }^{5}$ |
| /gh/ | ```aspirated voiced dorso-velar plo- sive in one loan [gars] 'fodder'.``` | $\left[g^{6}\right]$. |

4 Confusion of the Nepali phonemes /ch/ and /s/ is characteristic of the Nepali of some bilingual Limbus, eg. chirāunu for sirāunu (= Limbu li•mma?) 'pass through a hole, thread, insert'.
5 The particle go•, /k $\quad . /$, does not occur clause-initially, and clause-internally it most of ten appears in a voiced environment. Its glossary form is therefore given

| $1 d /$ | unaspirated voiced apico-dental plosive in the loans da.ri 'beard', dorta 'registration' and doi 'yoghurt'.' | [d]; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /bh/ | aspirated voiced bilabial plosive | $\left[b^{6}\right]$; |
|  | in the loans bhidiyo. 'video', bhitra |  |
|  | 'inside', bhitta 'the walls', bhenta |  |
|  | 'eggplant' and in the word bhattra |  |
|  | 'forest fire' which I believe to be of |  |
|  | Indo-Aryan etymology. |  |
| /dz/ | unaspirated voiced lamino-postalveolar affricate | [dz], |
|  | in the loans dzanti 'participants in a procession', dze. 'that which', dzilla |  |
|  | 'district', dzoro• 'fever's dzun 'that which', dzutta 'shoe'.' |  |
| $/ d z h /$ | aspirated voiced lamino-postalveolar affricate | $\left[d z^{\text {i }}\right.$ ] |
|  | in the lone loan dzhan 'even more so, yet more'. |  |
| /t/ | unaspirated voiceless retroflex | [ $t$ ], |
|  | in țika 'țikā-powder' (also tika and bhente ${ }^{\text {ent }}$ eggplant'. |  |
| /th/ | aspirated voiceless retroflex | $\left[t^{h}\right]$, |
|  | in țhikai 'right'10 and ko•tha 'room', muṭhi 'fist'. |  |
| $1 / 1$ | unaspirated voiced retroflex | [d], |
|  | in the loans dasana 'mattress', do.1i |  |
|  | 'palanquin', badkyo.la 'shit pellet', |  |
|  | cude. 1 (type of apparition). |  |

in the loans dasana 'mattress', do. li cude. 1 (type of apparition).
as go•. Following a word ending in a voiceless plosive, 80•, /ko./, is realized as [ko•]. See also 1.5.
6
> alongside native nudak 'yoghurt'.
${ }^{8}$ alongside native tun 'fever'.
s alongside native lansup 'shoe'.
10 alongside native nu 'right', porn 'alright', 10 '0.K.'
/dh/ aspirated voiced retroflex in the loan ḍiki 'rice thrasher'.
$/ \eta{ }^{\prime} / \quad$ voiced retroflex nasal
$\left[d^{f}\right]$,
[n]. in bhentea 'eggplant' and in the affected pronounciation by some bilingual speakers of Nepali proper names contraining Nepali n.

Whereas /p/ and /k/ each have a single syllable-final aldophone, $\left[\begin{array}{l}? \\ p\end{array}\right]$ and $[\hat{k}]$ respectively, $/ t /$ has two syllable-final allophones: [ $\ell$ ] and [?1]. The lateral variety is the perepausal allophone, occurring before a word boundary or before the glottal phonemes $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and /?/. In word-internal position, syllable-final /t/ deletes a following glottal stop but is realized as its lateral allophone [?1] notwithstanding. In this way, the distinctiveness of syllableinitial /P/ is not lost: /V tiV/ $\rightarrow$ [VPIV], eg. [mebhe?len] /me-phet-2e-n/ 'I shall not fetch it', [menga?le. wa:] /men-kat-2e. wa./ 'he did not have it transported'. Compare with this the voiced allophone of $/ t /$ in intervocalic position: /VAV/ $\rightarrow$ [VaV], eg. [kubo-den] /kupo-ten/ 'his position in society', [k edda] /fetal 'you'll come'.

Similarly, a syllable-final glottal stop cancels a following syllable-initial glottal stop: /VアPV/ $\rightarrow$ [VV], eg. [naPmenu?en] /na?-me-nup->e-n/ 'he doesn't love me', [ho•?o•lam] /ho•?-2o•-lam/ 'from inside the furuncle'.

Internasal glottal stop is sometimes not realized in allegro speech, eg. /hu?m?na/: [hu?m?na] or [hu?mna].

The following minimal pairs and near minimal pairs illustrate the distinctiveness of glottal stop vis-à-vis the glottalized syllable-final allophones of /p/, /t/ and /k/:
/7/ - ø: yuma? 'come down', yuma 'grandma'; he•?ma? 'be able to', he.ma? 'dry in the sun (something inedible), dry by the fire'; ta?ma? 'bring, fetch, Lama? 'come'; pe? ${ }^{\prime}$ merge? 'go!', pe•/pe'ge 'he went'; pine 'Ill give it to yous', pine 'I jump'; kuhi? 'its chaff', kuhi 'its shit'; sa? 'child', sa 'meat'; pu? 'it'll get severed', pu 'bird'; sa?ma? 'visit', samar? 'deliver'; wa? 'hen', wa' 'there is'.
/p/ - ø: samar? 'write', samar 'deliver'; (piplin) $m \varepsilon g h e \cdot p ? \varepsilon n$ 'I won't yoke (the cow)', meghe•?en 'I wont quarrel'; lupe 'leech', $1 u$ 'well'; ho•pma? 'burst open', ho•mat 'bark'.
/t/ - ø: syapl 'jackal, sting', sya 'uncooked rice'; setchu 'they killed him', sesu 'they scattered it'; purl
'it'll get mixed', pu 'bird'; anghotnen 'we'repi not hoarse', angho•nen 'we'repi not going to utter incantations'; mema-tnen 'it won't get used up', mema-nen 'it won't get lost'.
/k/ - ø: sendik 'night', sendi 'good-bye (1pi/ADH)'; phak 'swine'; pha 'bamboo'; ko•kma? 'prop up', ko•ma? 'stand guard'; o•kma? 'cry', o•ma? 'drool'; yark 'gift', (kemik) ya. '(you'll) be horrified'.
/?/ - /p/: sa?ma? 'visit someone', sapma? 'write'; mehapnen 'it won't catch on fire', mahapnen 'it won't get stuck (up there)'; ho•? 'furuncle', ho•p 'there is not'; $11 \rho$ 'slingshot', lip 'it's heavy'.
/ア/ - /E/: pu? 'it'll get severed', pu?l 'it'll get mixed'; mess?子en 'I shall not knead it', mesoวlen 'I shall not be glad'; kembhe?nen 'he won't give you anything to sit on', kembhetnen 'he won't squeeze you'.
/?/ - /k/: he?ma? 'get or become shattered', hekma? 'cut with a sickle'; tapma? 'bring, fetch', takma? 'fetch (water), tap'; sap 'child', sak 'it is difficult'.

Despite the great phonetic similarity between glottal stop and the non-aspirate plosives in syllable-final position, even a non-native speaker of Limbu who has difficulty hearing the difference in any given case can distinguish them easily through observing the allophonic variation of $/ s /$ and $/ 1 /$ which they condition. The lateral is rhotacized following a glottal stop or vowel, but not following $/ p /$, /t/ or /k/, eg. thik menda? rok 'only one goat', thik phak lok 'only one pig'. Dual morphemes, which invariably contain initial /s/, have a different allophone following /t/ than after /?/, eg. 1ot-ch-u 'they ${ }^{\prime}$ swallowed it', lo?-si 'theyd seem'.

The mutual distinctiveness of the syllable-final allophones of $/ p /, / t /$ and $/ k /\left(v i z .\left[\begin{array}{l}? \\ p\end{array}\right],[\mathcal{Z}] /[\rho 1]\right.$ and $[k]$ ) is illustrated by the following minimal pairs and near minimal pairs:
/t/ - /k/; khamda•?1, khamda•tma 'spider', khamda•k 'hard palate'; pya?1 'cricket', pyak 'slap'; mesa•ttu 'they derided him', mesa.ktu 'they castrated him'.
$/ p /-/ k /:$ sapma? 'write', sakma? 'be difficult'; la•p 'wing', (ase•Pl) la.k '(I'm) hungry'; tupma? 'sweep', tukma? 'ache'; luk 'it'll be completed', lup 'leech'.
/p/ - /t/: kemenlepnen 'they won't hit you (with a projectile)', kemenletnen 'they won't release you'; ha•ptu 'he mourned for him', ha•ttu 'he portioned it out'; (piplin) meghe•ptu 'they yoked (the bull)', meghe•ttu 'they fought about it'.

The following minimal pairs illustrate the distinctiveness of the aspirated and non-aspirated plosives:
$/ k /-/ k h /: k o \cdot r e ? 1$ 'clay slingshot pellet', kho•re?l 'type of bowl'; ken 'he'll stumble and fall', khen 'that, he, she'; $k>y$ 'this, he, she', khon 'he'll get hit with a stick'; (sa?ha?) megoktu 'they'll bear (young)', (sig) meghoktu 'they'll chop (wood)'.
/t/ - /th/: tokpe?1 'straight up', tho•kpe?l 'rhododendron'; tepl 'leaf', the? 'spit'; tok 'cooked rice', thok 'body'; kedaktwi- 'are you going to show it to him?', kedhaktwi. 'did you bring it up?'; medon 'they shall meet', $m e d h o n ~ ' t h e y ' l l ~ e n g a g e ~ i n ~ c o m b a t ' . ~$
/p/ - /ph/: pe•?ma?1 'vomit (n.)', phe•?mapl 'fart (n.)'; pu 'bird', phu 'elder brother'; pe•Prum 'let's vomit on it (1pi/ADH)', phe•?rum 'meal, flour'; pakma 'second wife', phakma 'sow'; kebenchusi 'you lined them up', kebhenchusi 'you handed them across'.

The rarity of the phoneme /b/ accounts for the scantness of the following set of minimal pairs:
$/ p /$ - /b/: khene? ke•pnei-? 'shall I pinch you?', khene? ke•bnei.? 'are you mute?'; la'p 'wing', labb 'moon'.

The phonemes /y/ and /w/ occur both as syllable initials and as post-consonantal glides. Their distinctiveness as glides is illustrated by the following sets of minimal pairs and near minimal pairs:
$/ y /$ - $\varnothing$ : menchya 'maiden', mencha? 'grandchild'; sya 'uncooked rice', sa 'meat'; kebyansi 'your rice terraces', kebansi 'he sent you ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
/w/ - ø: cwall 'water', ca•P1 'game'; twaba 'forehead', taba 'he's on his way'.
/y/ - /w/: syapl 'jackal, sting', swapl 'silent'.
Distinctivity of the three nasal phonemes, $/ \mathrm{m} /, / \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{g} /$, is illustrated by the following minimal pairs:
$/ m /-/ \eta /: ~ y u m ~ ' s a l t ', ~ y u \eta ~ ' s i t s ' ; ~ l i m ~ ' t a s t e s ~ s w e e t ', ~$ lin 'thatching; grows; begins to shine'; nim 'ant, termite', nip 'poison'; tim 'fills up', ti•n 'thorn'; sim 'woman's dress', sin 'wood'; him 'house', hin 'survives'.
$/ m /$ - /n/: min 'name', nin 'poison'; kesa•m 'he's preparing to strike you', kesa•n 'your child (ABS)'; kuna•m 'its scent', kuna•n 'his face (ABS); 1عmsi 'kidneys', lenchi 'they'lld slide out of place'.
$/ n /$ - $/ n /: t h i \cdot n$ 'egg', thi'nma? 'retract one's foreskin'; mebhun?en 'I won't dislodge it', mebhun?en 'I won't scrape it together'; len 'it'll slide out of place', len 'he wanders about lost'; po•n 'abounds', po•n 'alright'.

Doubling of consonants is distinctive. The phonetic difference between doubled and non-doubled consonants is often considerable. Intervocalic /1/ is rhotacized [r] except when it is geminate [11]. Intervocalically plosives tend to be voiced, whereas doubled plosives are unvoiced, eg. ye•ba/ye•pa/ 'he has come', ye•ppa/ye•ppa/ 'he is laughing'. Even when there is no great phonetic dissimilarity between doubled and non-doubled realization of a phoneme, as with the nasals, doubling is the distinctive presence of an additional phoneme, eg. kennunenni.? 'aren't you feeling alright?', kennu•nnenni•? 'aren't you ashamed?'.

Notwithstanding all afore-mentioned distinctions, homophony abounds in Limbu. For example, some forms of the verbs setma? 'kill a sacrificial animal in honour of a new guest' and sc?ma? 'kill' are embarassingly homophonous: setm?nasi (1pi 3 ns) 'we killed some people/we killed some sacrificial animals ( $p h u d o \cdot \eta$ ) in honour of a new guest', settetchuge (1de $\rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{PT}$ ) 'we killed him/we slaughtered a phudo•n for a new guest'.

Vowel phonemes

| /i/ | ```short unrounded front high vowel before a nasal: often lowered to eg. /lin/ [1my] 'thatching'``` | $\begin{aligned} & {[i]} \\ & {[r],} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /i// | long unrounded front high vowel | [i:] |
| /u/ | short rounded back high vowel | [u] |
| /u•/ | long rounded back high vowel | [u:] |
| /e./ | unrounded half-long mid-high front vowel | $[e \cdot]$ |
| /a/ | short unrounded mid vowel | [ə] |
| 10.1 | ```rounded half-long mid-high back vowel before a nasal: of ten raised to eg. /sinbo\cdot\eta/ [sinba\cdot\eta]``` | $\begin{aligned} & {[o \cdot]} \\ & {[\infty \cdot]} \end{aligned}$ |
| /\&/ | unrounded short mid-low front vowel | [ $\varepsilon$ ] |
| / $/ 1$ | unrounded long mid-low front vowel | [ $\varepsilon:]$ |


| 101 | unrounded short mid-low back vowe regular allophone in after bilabials | $\begin{gathered} {[1]} \\ {[0]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.1 | unrounded long mid-low back vowel | [ 1 :] |
| /a/ | short unrounded mid central | [a] |
| /a. 1 | long unrounded mid central | [a: |

Long vowels are of ten realized with pharyngealized voice or 'creak'. The creak is particularly pronounced in long /u•/, eg. su•ma? 'be late'. In the verb stems of yu?ma?, -yu•r-/ yup- 'bring down', a long creaky vowel alternates with glottal stop.

Vowel length is distinctive, both in closed and open syllables. Only the half-long vowels $/ e \cdot /$ and $/ 0 \cdot /$ and the rare mid-vowel /a/ do not partake in the length opposition. Some minimal pairs are given below:
/i/ - /i•/: i 'hair (on one's scalp)', i. 'he wanders'; pipma?, pittup 'jump, I jump over it (vertically)', pi-pma?, pi•ttun 'suck, 1 suck it'; si 'he will die', si.

$/ \varepsilon /-/ \varepsilon \cdot /:$ abhe 'he'll lay down matting for $u \mathrm{~s}^{\prime}$, abhe. 'he'll release us [birds]'; medhen?enchin 'I won't pen them in', medhe'n?enchin 'I won't tear them'; kegheksu 'you dried it (meat)', keghe.ksu 'you chipped it'; tepma? 'consent to give', te•pma? 'become overcooked'.
/a/ - /a./: khamma? 'tuck in', kha•mmal 'yawn'; keyan 10• 'hey, you'll get hit (by a projectile)', keya•g lo. 'hey, you'll get dizzy (up there)'; man 'deity', ma•n 'it is far'; yan 'money', yarg 'he is dizzy'; han 'king', harg 'it will taste spicy'; anan 'wepi shall go crazy', ana•n 'my younger siblings (of the same sex as I am)'; lan 'leg, foot', $1 \mathbf{1 a} \cdot \mathrm{~g}$ 'dances'; laktu 'it's boiling', la.ktu 'he tramples underfoot'; kedajba (cum) 'intimate (friend)', keda-nba 'tree-feller'; mesaktu 'they locked him up', mesa-ktu 'they castrated him'.
/o/ - /o./: melotchun 'theyd won't swallow it', melo•tchun 'theyd won't pay'; memopen 'I shall not dig', memo-pen 'it won't get me drunk'; pondamme? 'mould it!', po•ndamme? 'disperse!'; khoma? 'jot down', kho•ma? 'utter incantations'; medopnen 'it doesn't make any sense', medo•nnen 'it's not short'.
/u/ - /u•/: yu 'comes down', yu. 'is in effect'; tun 'fever', tu•力 'it will bend'; mesuptu 'they took draughts through their pi•tchins', mesu•ptu 'they capped it'; tukma?
'hurt', tu•kma? 'sweep'; pu? 'it will get severed', pu•? 'it will boil over'.

The distinctive quality of vowel height is demonstrated by the following minimal pairs:
/i/ - /e./, /i/ - /e/: khi 'thread', khe. 'yam'; lim 'is sweet', le.m 'is slippery'; tim 'gets full', tem 'grabs a hold of'; popipl 'cucumber', po?e•?1 'winnowing basket'; sendi 'good-bye (1pi/ADH)', sende 'split up'; phik 'talks loudly', phek 'unfolds, blooms, unfurls'.
$/ e \cdot /-/ \varepsilon, \varepsilon \cdot /: p e \cdot m a ? ~ ' v o m i t ', ~ p \varepsilon \cdot m a p ~ ' f l y ' ; ~ y e \cdot p p a ~ ' h e ~$ is laughing', yeppa 'standing'; phe•sup 'I farted', phe•sun 'I let fly'; ke•b 'tiger', ke•b 'mute'; khe•g 'fishing rod', khen 'that'; ke•mba 'tall', kemba 'your father'; le•m 'is slippery', lem 'kidney'.
$/ \varepsilon /$ - /a/: tem 'grabs a hold of', ta'm 'refuse (n.)'; phek 'unfolds, blooms, unfurls', phak 'swine'; $1 \varepsilon m$ 'kidney', lam 'way'; sema? 'spill, scatter', sama? 'deliver'.
/al - /o/: samma? 'splutter', sonma? 'sell'; phak 'swine', phok 'explodes'; pa•?1 'talks', po•?1 'fungus'; kedanba (cum) 'intimate (friend)', kedonba 'your tunibā'.
/0, 0.1 - /o./: pon 'kicks up (of wind)', po•n 'all right'; po-21 'fungus', po•?1 'he'll lose his way'.
/o./ -/u/: po•?1 'he'll lose his way', purl 'misses'.
/1/ - /u/: pipl 'bull, cow', pupl 'it will become blended'.
/ $/$ - /ə/ -/a/: $\varepsilon n n^{\prime}$ today', on 'horse', ando' 'later', ande. 'before'.

Whispered vowels occur regularly in Limbu. Their devoiced quality is subphonemic. The vowels /i/ and /u/ may become voiceless in unstressed position in lengthy words, eg. /nisctchuge./ [nisc\}tchyge.] 'wedo saw it', /hipsusige./ [hipsusige.] 'we de hit them', /miksurumbal [mi ${ }_{k}$ su'rumba] 'blind', /siradhanba/ [stradfanba] 'pleasing'.

The phenomenon of harmonic neutralization affects these same two vowels, /i/ and /u/, and also their long counterparts /i./ and /u./. In an environment containing back vowels, /i, i./ may become [u, u•], and in an environment containing front vowels, $/ u, u \cdot /$ may become $[i, i \cdot]$ in allegro speech, eg. mebhuksu mebu•ru 'they mixed it all up' for mebhuksu mebi•ru.

Nasalization of vowels is not distinctive except in the Limbu word for 'yes', $\tilde{a}$, and in a small number of Nepali loans, eg. culẽsi (cutting implement). Vowels may be phonetically nasalized before a nasal consonant. ${ }^{11}$

[^4]Stress in Limbu is not very pronounced and is nondistinctive. Stress is marked in glossary entries by a stress mark ['] before the stressed vowel, and is indicated only when it is not predictable. Unless otherwise indicated: affixes, whether inflectional or derivational, are never stressed. Verbs and deverbatives are always stressed on the root. Nouns and other parts of speech are stressed on the first syllable.

### 1.3. The Limbu word

The phonological word consists of one or more syllables. Two features which define the word as a phonological unit are hiatus and syllable structure and type.

### 1.3.1. Hiatus

Hiatus is the phenomenon whereby a glottal stop occurs (1) preceding a vowel in word-initial position, ${ }^{12}$ or (2) intervocalically in word-internal position. Hiatus prevents diphthongisation. Aside from loan words, eg. ba'ula 'sleeve' [< Nep. bāhulā], diphthongs in Limbu occur only in forms with affixed interrogative particle <-i•> (6.4) or vocative suffix <-e•> (2.4.5) which do not exhibit glottal hiatus. ${ }^{13}$

| /a-i•r-E/ | [ $\mathrm{Pa}_{\text {Pi }} \mathbf{r c}$ ] | Wepi wandered. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /hi-a-e.s-e-tch-u/ | [hiPaPe.settch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] | Wedi shat. |
| /khune? ku-inghon hen wa./ | [khune? kupinghon hen wa:] | What's his news? |

becomes arbitrary what portion of the myriad of phonetic data one wishes to describe and what details one wishes to leave undescribed. For example, khamakma? 'get dark', rapidly uttered, cones out [khama?n]. It is not the author's intention in this grammar to provide an exhaustive description of all but the most conspicuous phonetic regularities.
12 Glottal hiatus occurs before vowel-initial verb roots. even when word-internal.
${ }^{13}$ For the sake of convenience, the second nasal of nasals doubled before the vocative or interrogative endings are treated as a feature of the ending.

Glottal hiatus does not occur word-internally following a consonant. Except in intervocalic position, word-internal glottal stop is phonemic and distinctive.

| yune | He sat down. | pe•ge | He went. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yunPe | I'm sitting. | pe•kPe | I'm going. |
| yune? | Sit down! | $p e \cdot g \varepsilon ?$ | Go! |

### 1.3.2. Syllable structure

The canonical syllable structure ${ }^{14}$ observed in native Limbu may be schematized as follows:

$$
\left(C_{i}(G)\right) V\left(c_{f}\right)
$$

A syllable may consist of only a vowel or of a syllabic nasal ( $V$ ). Except in the case of the interrogative suffix $-i$. or the vocative ending -e•, consecutive vocalic syllables are separated through glottal hiatus (1.3.1). Diphthongized syllables containing either of these two suffixes have no syllable-final consonant or coda ( $C_{f}$ ). In syllables with an initial consonant or onset ( $C_{i}$ ), the nucleus is not a nasal.

The set of phonemes which occur as onset is: /k, kh, $c$, $t, t h, n, p, p h, m, y, l, w, s, h /^{15}$ and, in word-internal position, also / $/ /$ and / / /. Additionally, in a limited number of loan words, the following loan phonemes may occur as onsets: $/ g, g h, d z, d z h, t, t h, d, d h, d, b, b h, r /$. The set of codas consists of : $/ k, \eta, t, t h, 16 n, p$,

14 Aside from loans, eg. haphta, the only exceptions are the word ho•ndge.k 'lizard', wi?sma [?< wi?syma] 'another kind of', ho?ma?n 'sleep (n.)' and some onomatopoeic expressions, eg. pətslok-patslok 'sloshslosh'. Cemjoń (2018 V.S.) lists hondigek for 'lizard', but the pronounciations ho•ndoge•k, ho•ndige•k etc. are rejected.
15 Although pãathare dialect speakers in the vicinity of Yaśok on the far side of the Tamor have retained / $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{in}$ word-initial position, it has disappeared in this position in Phedāppe and been replaced by $/ \mathrm{n} /$, eg. p $\frac{\tilde{a}}{\boldsymbol{a}} \mathrm{c}$ thare Limbu /na/ 'fish', Phedāppe Limbu /na/ (cf. Bur. nà, Tib. ña); p笠cthare Limbu /nasi/ 'five', Phedāppe Limbu /nasi/ (cf. Bur. yà, Tib. lnáa).
16 eg. adhge.k 'my head'.
ph, ${ }^{17} b, m, ? /$ and, as the onset of an intervocalic geminate, /1/.

The phonemes $/ y, w /$ and /1/ may occur as a post-consonantal glide (G), the latter in the form of its allophone [r], eg. swa?l 'quiet; sya?l 'sting', cwapl 'water', pyak 'slap', sammyan 'gold', twaba 'forehead', pe-kkrokna (type of bird), kikkrokna (type of bird), ho•mbrikwa 'sweat', kumbhre.n 'one half', nadha.kkrin 'deaf'. The post-consonantal glide /1/ never occurs in the initial syllable of a word.

Intervocalic voiced plosives and intervocalic /n/ are ambisyllabic. The allophone of $/ t /$ preceding a glottal stop initial syllable, [?1], straddles both syllables, eg. pipl +- Pin $\rightarrow$ piplin $[p i p-11 n]$ 'cow (ABS)'.

### 1.4. Assimilation and dissimilation:

Various types of assimilation are common in Limbu: (a) regressive assimilation of dentals to labials, (b) homorganicity of consecutive nasal phonemes, (c) velarization of dental $/ n /$, (d) optional regressive lateralization of nasal, (e) morphologically restricted glottal stop assimilation to nasals, and (f) voice assimilation of initial plosives across word boundaries.

Dissimilation is rare. The only attested case involves the demonstrative khen and is discussed on p. 19.
(a) Virtually without exception is the rule that dental phonemes regressively assimilate for place of articulation to bilabial phonemes:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
/ t / \rightarrow[p] \\
/ n / \rightarrow[m]
\end{array}\right\} \quad / \quad-\left\{\begin{array}{l}
/ m / \\
/ p /
\end{array}\right\}
$$

eg. /me-n-kot-mPna-ha? ke-kot-pa-ha?/ [mengopm?naha? kegoppaha?] 'the haves and the have-nots', /me-n-met-pan/ [memmeppan] 'I did not tell him', /o•mot-ma?/ [o:mopma?] 'to look at', /ke•t-mPna-be-n/ [ke-pmPnaben] 'the inserted', /ke•t-ma?/ [ke.pmap] 'add'.

An exception is the infinitive of penma? (-pench-/-pen-) 'arrange in rows', which contrasts with pemmar (-pend-/ -pen-) 'be removed (of clothes)'. It appears implausible to argue that this exception can be explained as serving to maintain a lexical contrast in view of the rampant homophony elsewhere in the lexicon where this possibility is

[^5]not exploited, eg. phemma? (-phe?r-/-phen-) 'spoil', phemma? (-phend-/-phen-) 'take off (clothes)', phemma? (-pher-/ -phen-) 'come', phemma? (-phench-/-phen-) 'bring'. In very few words non-assimilated /t/ does occur before a bilabial, eg. pitma 'cow', setma? 'build a wall'. Generally, however, dentals assimilate to following bilabials even in well enunciated lento speech.
(b) Homorganicity of consecutive nasal phonemes is limited to regressive assimilation of dental /n/ to bilabial $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and progressive assimilation of bilabial $/ \mathrm{m} /$ to velar /g/. The former has already been dealt with under the preceding assimilation rule. The latter is limited to the PP, 1PS/PT and NP suffixes.
$/ m / \rightarrow[\eta] / / g^{\prime} \quad$, eg. /hay-mPna/ [hay-y?na]
(c) Dental /n/ optionally assimilates to following /k/ or /kh/: /ke-n-kho•s-u-n/, [keng $\left.h_{o} \cdot \operatorname{sun}\right]$ or [keng $h_{o} \cdot \operatorname{sun}$ ] 'yous didn't find it'.
(d) Nasals may become lateralized preceding /1/ in allegro speech, although this is rare:
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
/ m / \\
/ n / \\
/ n /
\end{array}
$$\right\} \quad \rightarrow \quad[1] \quad / \quad-1 /
\]

(e) The two forms of the plural infinitive affix, -a?me?/-amme?, suggest that glottal stop may regressively assimilate to a following nasal, diachronically giving rise to geminates.

The initial glottal stop of the locative and absolutive endings optionally assimilate progressively to a preceding nasal, eg. him-7o./him-mo. 'in the house', nam-?in/nam-min 'the sun (ABS)', lun?in/lungin 'rock (ABS)', thanben?in/ thanbennin 'youth, lad (ABS)'.
(f) Plosives optionally assimilate for voice across word boundaries. This is explicable in light of the non-distinctiveness of voice in native plosive phonemes.

- hen co-kmar allo?
- thi - dhumma?.
- What to do now?
- Drink millet beer.
- anige hen dzo•kma??
- pe•kmp bo•r.
/co-kma?/
/po.n/
- What shall wepe do?
- It's time [for youp] to go.
- khen-an o.?myan bhenṭa bhitra akm? po•n. anga co•gun bi•ne thebae•, khene? go•! /pi•ne/
- Having roasted that too, [I'll] have to put it inside the eggplant. I'll make it and give it to you, grandpa, for you!

The nasal of the word khen 'that' often dissimilates when combined with the postpositive particle -an 'also' to yield khenay, as in the preceding example. In the absolutive, both the form khegnin and khennin occur.
1.5. The orthography

In addition to the phonemes and loan phonemes indicated in the table on p.1, the orthography chosen in this grammar distinguishes the voiced plosive allophones, the glottalized lateral allophone of $/ t /$ and the post-dental allophone of $/ s /: g, g h, d, d h, b, b h, d z$ and $P 1$ and $c h$. The length mark is dropped after the final /e./ in the supine, exclusive, instrumental, ergative, genitive and subordinator suffixes.

Hyphenation is used: (1) to separate two phoneme symbols which might otherwise be read as the digraph for another phoneme, eg. ma-khi 'blood', mik-hi 'eye detritus', (2) to separate two parts of a compound or onomatopoeia, or (3) to separate morphemes within a word.

Intervocalic glottal hiatus is indicated by $?$, unless the syllables have been separated by hyphens.

# Chapter Two <br> Nominal Morphology 

This chapter is devoted to nominals which comprise the parts of speech: adjectives, pronouns and nouns. Adjectives, including verbal adjectives, may be adnominal, predicative or occur independently as nominal heads. When adjectives are used independently, they take all the case and number suffixes nouns take. Adjectives form the topic of 2.1 .

Pronouns include personal, demonstrative and interrogative pronouns, and are dealt with in 2.2.

Nouns and parts of speech used as nominal heads may be dualized and pluralized and take case endings. The ergative, absolutive, genitive, instrumental, vocative and locative cases are indicated by endings affixed directly to the noun and assimilating to its final in a regular way. In addition, a number of postpositions can be affixed directly to the noun and are treated as case endings, viz. the comitative, mediative, etc. Two or more case endings may co-occur attached to a single noun, eg. a-ndzum-1e-n-ille (my-friend-GEN-ABS-INST) '[using] my friend's'. Number and case are the topics of 2.3 and 2.4 respectively. Other spatial and abstract relations indicated by prepositions in English are indicated in Limbu by postpositions which are not attached to the noun as endings but are the complements of nouns in the genitive, eg. cumlun-le ku-sikto--?o-(bazar-GEN its-beneath-LOC) 'below the bazar'. Such postpositions are listed in the glossary.

### 2.1. Adjectives

### 2.1.1. Agreement in adjectives

Adjectives agree in gender but not in case or number with the nouns they modify. Adjectives, including verbal adjectives, ending in /-pa/ have corresponding feminine forms in /-ma/, eg. yəmba yembitcha 'big man', yomma menchuma 'big lady', cukpa pi?l 'small bull/cow', cukma pitma 'small
cow'. Nouns lack grammatical gender independent of semantic sex distinction. ${ }^{1}$

Feminine adjectival forms ending in -ma collocate exclusively with nouns denoting animate entities of the female sex. Nouns denoting inanimate objects and phenomena take the non-feminine forms of the adjective in -pa/-ba, eg. cukpa lup 'small stone', yomba him 'large house', kereknulle ke•mba sinbo•n 'the tallest tree'

A small number of adjectives, including the negative participle, do not end in /-pa/ or /-ma/ and are invariable in form. Some of these end in the derivational diminutive suffix -sa, eg. cuksa menchya 'tiny lass', cuksa thanben 'tiny lad'.

Adjectives may be adnominal, predicative (with attributive 'to be' co-kma? (3.5) or with a similar verb such as lo?ma?, po•nma? etc.) or may be used as nouns themselves. Adnominally adjectives generally precede the noun they modify, although they also occur after the noun when the specified attribute establishes the identity of the nominal referent, rather than merely ascribe a generic trait to it. This is especially the case with kinship terms in which the adjective identifies the individual concerned, eg. tore. sarumba 'the third-born male guest', nakpa yamba 'the elder nephew'. The collocation ke•mba kyan means 'the/a long pestle' and may refer to any pestle to which the generic trait 'long' may be ascribed. However, contrast with this the use of kyan ke.mba in the following exchange, which takes place in a household with two pestles, a larger one and a smaller one:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { - kyan pi•re?! }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { - atinnin? } \\
& \text { - kyan ke'mba. } \\
& \quad \text { - Give me the pestle! } \\
& \quad \text { - Which one? } \\
& \quad \text { - The long one. }
\end{align*}
$$

A group of invariable adjectives marked 'adv.adj.' in the glossary may be used adverbally or as predicate adjectives but not adnominally or as nouns; eg. kerek ma•ki pe•na? ya? na-tchri-po-tchri po-kse raca 'All the maize, millet and rice has gotten mixed up'.
${ }^{1}$ The essential distinction and interplay between gender (genus) and sex (geslacht) in language is discussed by C.B. van Haeringen (1954).

### 2.1.2. The nominalizing suffix -tanba

The suffix -tanba may be added to a noun or adjective and is also found in the adjectives a•kdanba 'what kind of ', hekdanba 'that kind of', okdanba 'this kind of, such a'. Added to a noun or adjective, the suffix -tanba has the effect of creating a nomen with an associative or like meaning, strongly reminiscent of the suffix -vāla in Hindi. In the following sentence,

> him-danba a•tto me-be $\cdot g-\varepsilon$ ?
> house-vāā where nsAS-go-PT
> Where have the people associated with the house gone?
the term himdanba denotes those people whom the speaker expected to be at home tending the house when he arrived and found it unguarded. Affixed to a noun, it may convey the sense of 'something like $x$ ', as in the following example:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { cigip-tanba co•k. }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { glue-vāā be } \\
& \text { It's just like glue. }
\end{align*}
$$

The suffix nominalizes adjectives which, without it, can occur only as predicate adjectives. The suffixed forms may be used either independently or adnominally.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { yonyon-danba co-g- } \varepsilon \text {. }  \tag{4}\\
& \text { light-vāa be-PT } \\
& \text { It was a light one. }
\end{align*}
$$

(5) kon a•kkhya•k se•se•-dayba mona ni go•!
this how much clear-minded-vāla man CTR then What a clear-minded man this is!

It is commonly suffixed to colour morphemes (2.1.3) and to the postpositive adverb kusin 'like, in the fashion of', giving kusindanba 'one like $x$ '.
(6)


### 2.1.3. The colour affixes

The bound colour morphs mak 'black', pho 'white', he?l 'red' and hik 'green' occur as predicative adjectives with the verbs lopma? 'appear' and co-kma? attributive 'to be'. Free forms of the bound colour roots are formed by affixation of the discontinuous colour affix <ku-root-la> to these colour morphs. The resultant free forms can occur both as nouns and as adjectives.

```
ku-mak-1a black
ku-bho-ra white
ku-het-1a red
ku-hik-la green
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a-him-min kubhora co-k. ke-him-min } \\
& \text { my-house-ABS white be. yours-house-ABS } \\
& \text { kuhetla-kuhetla cook. } \\
& \text { red--red } \\
& \text { be } \\
& \text { My house is white. Your house is all red. }
\end{aligned}
$$

kuhikla a-phis
military base (lit. 'green office')

The colour morphs can also occur in forms with the suffix -tanba 'like, of the kind' (2.1.2), resulting in adjectives which may be used adnominally or as independent nominals themselves.

| mak-tanba | black, |
| :---: | :---: |
| o-danba | white, white-ki |
| $h \varepsilon t-t a \eta b a$ | red, red-kind; |
| hik-tabba | green, green-kin |
| anga mak-tanba | $c o \cdot k-2 \varepsilon$. |
| I black-one | ike be-1sPS/NPT |
| 'm a black |  |

(12) mikphu?la me•n la?ba, ku-mik pho-danma. European NOT perhaps, her-eye white-vā1̄/f.
Maybe she's not a European (a white-eye), but she sure is white-eyed! (i.e she sure does look like one)

The four bound colour morphs may also be incorporated into an active participial expression (6.5) with the verb lo?ma? 'to seem, to appear':

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mak-k }-1 \rho ?-b a & \text { black-AP-appear-AP } \\
\text { pho-ge-1op-ba } & \text { white-AP-appear-AP } \\
h \varepsilon t-k \varepsilon-1 \supset \supset-b a & \text { red-AP-appear-AP } \\
h i k-k \varepsilon-1 \supset ?-b a & \text { green-AP-appear-AP }
\end{array}
$$

Although an AP form of lo?ma? is appropriate in the sentence wa? makkelopba 'the hen is black', it would be inappropriate and particularly insulting at best to use the form makkelopba in reference to a person. The reason that maktapba is suitable in (11) above, whereas makkelopba is not, is because makkelopba suggests jet black. The associative nature of the -tanba suffix in maktanba results in a colour term which is less committal about the quality and degree of blackness than the active participial form of mak
lo?ma? 'appear black'. Similarly, phodaŋba is appropriate in the expression uttered by a Limbu at seeing the untanned abdomen of a European in phodanba kedzo•k! 'You sure are white!', whereas phogelozba is suitable, for example, in refering to a white goat or a so-gha.

Additionally, the colour omdanba 'yellow' exists only in its -tanba suffixed form. The colour morpheme 'yellow' does not occur separately. The colour omdanba has a very narrow range of applicability in the spectrum, limited to bright daffodil yellow. Gold, for example, is not momanba; it is kuhikla. The colour mmdanba does not as such belong to the set of four cardinal colours listed above. The spectral range of the respective colours is defined under their glossary entries.

### 2.2. Pronouns

The personal pronouns differentiate three persons, three numbers and, in the non-singular first person, inclusive and exclusive. The personal pronouns are:

| anga | I | 1 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| anchi | we | 1 di |
| anchige | we | 1 de |
| ani | we | 1 pi |
| anige | we | 1 pe |
| khene? | you | 2 s |
| khenchi | you | 2 d |
| kheni | you | 2 p |
| khune? | he, she | 3 s |
| khen | he, she, it | 3 s |
| khunchi | they | $3 \mathrm{~d} / 3 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
| khenha? | they | $3 \mathrm{p} / 3 \mathrm{~ns}$ |

The pronoun anga has a contracted form nga in allegro speech.

The third person pronoun khunc? denotes only animate referents. Although now an integral part of the pronominal system, the pronoun khen 'that, the' is actually a demonstrative, and khenha? is its synthetic plural. The demonstratives kon 'this' and its synthetic plural kopha? 'these' are likewise used as third person pronouns, though less frequently because they constitute the more marked member of the proximal/distal distinction in the demonstratives. I suspect that the demonstratives khen and kon have only in recent times come to be used as third person pro-
nouns because they behave differently than the personal pronouns in some respects, whereas they also exhibit behaviour unique to personal pronouns.

The difference is that, with the exception of the demonstratives khey, kon and their plurals, the pronouns take neither ergative nor absolutive suffixes and occur unchanged as subject, agent or patient in a syntagm, eg. nga kenipe 'you can see me', anga kheni o-mepmap asiradhan 'I enjoy watching youp'. The demonstratives have both absolutive (kon/kongin, khen/khengin, konha?, khephap) and ergative forms (kople/kolle, khegle/khelle, kopha?re, kheghapre). Note that, although personal pronouns take no ergative or absolutive suffix, adnominal quantifiers do, eg. khunchi nepman $\rightarrow$ khunchi nepmanle 'they both (ERG)'. Furthermore, the singular demonstratives can be used adnominally, whereas the personal pronouns cannot. The personal pronouns can occur as possessive prefixes, whereas the demonstratives cannot (2.2.1).

The similarities are that (1) the independently used demonstratives behave syntactically like personal pronouns and are even more frequent than khunc? and khunchi, (2) the singular demonstratives form their independent genitive as do the personal pronouns (2.4.4.2), and (3) that, in the singular, they replace khunc? in referring to inanimate referents.

Khunchi is a dual pronoun, whereas khenha? is plural. However, both khunchi and khenha? are of ten loosely used to refer to non-singular third person referents. Ambiguity between duality and plurality of referent does not exist in the first and second person, whereas a coalesced non-singular is characteristic of the third person in general, esp. in the verbal paradigm (see 2.3.1, 4.4.4, 4.4.13).

Demonstrative pronouns, khen 'that', kon 'this' etc., and indefinite pronouns, wi?sma 'another kind of ', e•Pyanba 'other' etc., invariably precede the noun when used adnominally.

### 2.2.1. Personal possessive prefixes

The singular personal pronouns anga, khene? and khune? have possessive prefixes in a-, ke- and ku-, eg. ke-sapla 'your book', a-go'co' 'my dog', ke-him-mo' 'at your house', $k \varepsilon-n d z u m-n u$ 'with your friend', a-ndzum-ha? 'my friends', $k \varepsilon-m b a-r e ~ ' y o u r ~ f a t h e r-E R G ', ~ a-b a-p p h e--P o ' ~ ' i n ~ m y ~ v i l-~$ lage', a-wa? 'my chicken', ku-ho-rik 'his/her/its hide', ke-gyan 'your pestle', a-yuma 'my grandmother', ke-ndheba
'your grandfather', kemora huPre?! 'Shut your mouth!', kumara huPre?! 'Shut him up!, Have him shut up!', ku-ba'ni hekke. habha 'His habit is just like that', kemin hen? amin Cra•m Baha•dur 'What is your name? - My name is Grām Bahādur'.

The dual and plural pronouns anchi-, anchige-, ani-, anige-, khenchi-, kheni- and khunchi- are prefixed integrally to the noun they modify, eg. anige-pa.nphe--2o- 'in ourpe village', khunchi-menda? 'their goat'. Infrequently, the singular prefixes are used together with a non-singular pronoun of the same person, eg. anige a-mba-nulle kheni $k \varepsilon$-mba kappo-ba co-k (we pe my-father-than youp yours-father older be) 'Your ${ }^{p}$ father is older than ourpe father'.

When possessive prefixes are added, some nouns, predominantly kinship terms and terms similar in meaning (eg. cum, -ndzum 'friend'), have a prothetic nasal which manifests itself after the singular possessive prefixes a-, keand $k u-$, eg. cum 'friend', andzum 'my friend', pa 'father', amba 'my father', phona? 'uncle', kembhona? 'your uncle', sumar 'aunt', kunchuma? 'his aunt'. Some nouns (inc. two kinship terms) drop the vowel of their first syllable when a possessive prefix is attached, eg. thege.k 'head', kedhge•k 'your head', ne?nc? 'older sister', kunne? 'his elder sister', mudhuk 'moustache', amdhuk 'my moustache', nusa? 'sibling', kunsa? 'his sibling'.

Some noun compounds are separable when possessive prefixes are attached. These take prefixes on both parts, eg. cumde•n 'buddy', andzum-ande•nha? 'my buddies', te•?lphun 'garments, clothing', kude•?1-kubhun 'his clothing'. These nouns are marked as such in the glossary.

As in English, but even more so because Limbu makes no sex distinction here, the third person singular possessive is ambiguous. The sentence khunc? kude•?1 phendu means 'He took his clothes off', where 'his' may or may not be coreferential with 'he' in the same sentence. Similarly, menchuma ku-him-mo wa. (woman his/her-house-LOC be) may mean that the lady in question is present in her own house or that she is present in someone else's house. The burden of disambiguating such utterances rests on the context.

### 2.2.2. Personal pronouns: an analysis

The following is a morphemic analysis of the first person personal pronouns:

| anga | a | $n$ | ga |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | e |
| anchi | $a$ | $n$ | si |
|  | 1 |  | d |
| ani | a | $n$ | 1 |
|  | 1 |  | p |
| anchige | a | $n$ | si |
|  | 1 |  | d |
| anige | a | $n$ | i |
|  | 1 |  | p |

The exclusive morpheme <-ge>, also found in exclusive verb forms (4.4.15) as <-ge> and, after bilabials, <-be>, is the non-singular allomorph of the exclusive morpheme <-ga> found in the pronoun anga 'I'. It is virtually certain that -ge and -be are contracted reflexes of -gya and -bya because the latter forms are still characteristic of the speech of $p \frac{\text { zै }}{\text { a }}$ thare speakers and even common amongst some elderly women native to the Phedāppe area, eg. anchigya nisigya for anchige nisige 'we de see', which would suggest that the -gya > -ge transition occurred very recently. It is interesting to speculate that the $/ y /$ of the non-singular exclusive <-gya> might be somehow diachronically related to the morpheme <-i> signaling plurality of first and second person in the verb.

The first person morpheme a- denotes 'inclusive of speaker'. This same morpheme is also reflected by the first person verbal affixes $-a,-7 \varepsilon$ (with lowering, cf. /a/ for $/ \varepsilon /$ in surrounding dialects) and -an.

The second person possessive prefix and verbal affixes of the form $/ k \varepsilon-/$ and the second person pronouns, all beginning in /khe-/, reflect a second person morpheme with initial velar and front vowel. The third person possessive prefix /ku-/ and the third pronouns, all beginning in /khu-/ (remember that khen and khenha? are demonstratives, 2.2), reflect a third person morpheme with initial velar and back vowel. This same back vowel is reflected in the morpheme of third person patient /-u/ (see 4.4.3.2).

| add | speaker |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | khene? |
|  | anchi | khenchi |
|  | ani | kheni |
| r |  |  |
| e |  |  |
| s | anga | khune? |
| $s$ exclusive | anchige | khunchi |
| e | anige | khenha? |
| e |  |  |

### 2.3. Dualization and pluralization

In nouns, the plural suffix is -ha?, the dual suffix -si. The plural suffix is superfluous when the plurality of the noun is indicated by the verb or the general context, eg.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hilo•-2o• ku-lanyo•p me-dha•p. }  \tag{13}\\
& \text { mud-LOC his-footprint nsAS-be_visible } \\
& \text { His footprints are to be seen in the mud. }
\end{align*}
$$

The plural suffix is used (1) to indicate plurality in a context in which the plurality of the nominal referent would not otherwise be evident, (2) to stress the plurality of the nominal referents, or (3) to indicate multiplicity or manifoldness.

```
mana te.
mona mede.
manaha? mede.
sapla wa.
sapla mewa.
saplaha? mewa.
```

A man came.
The men came./Some men came.
The men came./A number of men came.

There is a book.
There are books./The books are there.
There are all sorts of books./There are many books./There are various pieces of writing.

The use of the plural suffix to indicate manifoldness is well illustrated by the pluralization of proper names or kinship terms which do not have plural referents in the strict sense.

> Prabhu Ra•m-ha? khettho• ya•mbok me-dzo•g-u. Prabhu Räm-p up there work nsAS-do-3P
> (lit. The Prabhu Rāms work up there.) 'Prabhu Rām and those like him (viz. his colleagues) work up there'
sarumba-ha? me-be.k. e•Pyanba me-ya.k.
second-born-p nsAS-go. other nsAS-be
The second-born [son] and crew will go. Everybody else will stick around.

Collective nouns which already have plural referents in the singular may take the plural suffix, as in the following example. Pluralization of collective nouns has the effect of individualizing the referents which in the singular of the noun are viewed as a collective whole.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { thege } \cdot k ? i & \text { hair on scalp } \\
\text { thege } \cdot k \text { ?iha? } & \text { hairs on scalp }
\end{array}
$$

A plural noun takes a singular verb when the nominal referents are viewed collectively (17). The plural of men 'price' in both (16) and (17) is logical in view of the fact that the various commodities available at the bazar all differ in price.
(16) kerek-le ku-men-ha? me-ghik.
all-GEN its-price-p nsAS-be_expensive
The prices of everything are too high.
kerek-le ku-men-ha? khik.
all-GEN its-price-p be_expensive
The prices of everything is too high.
The absolutive forms of interrogative and indefinite pronouns such as e•n 'who', hen 'what' and e•Pyanba 'other' may take the plural suffix. Adjectives may also be pluralized when used as nouns themselves.

- e•n-ha? me-ba•?1?
who-p nsAS-talk
- na•pmi-ha? pa•kkha me-ba•?1.
other-p outside nsAS-talk
- Who are talking?
- Others are talking outside.

In the non-singular, the dual of third-person arguments is marked vis-à-vis the plural. A dual referent may be indicated by a plural noun with a verb in the dual (19) and, less commonly, a plural verb may be used with a dual subject (20). Conversely, the dual suffix is not attached to nouns with non-dual referents.

> khegha? $\partial \cdot k t-\varepsilon-t c h i$.
> they P scream-PT-dPS
> They (two) screamed.
(20) - habha hekke• ni ke-dza-m-mi•?
just_so that_way $2-$ eat/3P-pA-Q

- o•?-s-u-wan mu ca-s-u-ba.
roast-dA-3P-pfG REP eat-dA-3P-IPF
- Do youp eat it just like that (viz. raw)?
- (butting in:) They said theyd eat it after having roasted it first.


### 2.3.1. The dual and generalized dual morphemes

The underlying form of all dual and generalized dual morphemes is <-si>. Dual morphemes denote duality of actant, whereas generalized dual morphemes denote non-singularity of actant. Generalized dual morphemes historically derive their meaning through over-generalization of the original dual sense to include the notion of plurality.

The dual morpheme of nominal flexion is <-si>, eg. manasi '[two] men'.

The dual morphemes of verb morphology are the dual agent morpheme <-s> (4.4.8) and the dual patient/subject morpheme <-si> (4.4.9). The underlying form of the dual agent morpheme is also <-si>, but because the dual agent morpheme is always immediately followed by the third person patient morpheme <-u>, the /i/ elides before <-u> in keeping with the morphophonological regularity of vocalis ante vocalem corripitur (4.3).

The generalized dual morphemes of verbal morphology are the non-singular patient morpheme <-si> (4.4.13), the non-singular agent morpheme <-si> (4.4.12) and the first inclusive and third person non-singular forms of suffixal 'to be' (3.1). In $\rightarrow 3$ forms, duality and plurality of a non-singular third person patient are not distinguished; the non-singularity of a third person patient is indicated by a generalized dual morpheme. The duality and plurality
of a first person agent is not distinguished in ns $1 \rightarrow 2$ forms; the non-singular agent number is indicated by a generalized dual morpheme. ${ }^{2}$ The generalized dual affixes of suffixal 'to be' results in homophonous pairs with the dual nominal suffix (see 3.1, esp. footnote 2).

### 2.3.2. Numerals and the dual suffix

In numerals from two to nine, the derivational suffix -si is a generalized dual morpheme as defined in 2.3.1.

| 2 | $n \varepsilon t c h i$ | 6 | tuksi/thuksi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | sumsi | 7 | nusi |
| 4 | lisi | 8 | yetchi/yとnchi |
| 5 | $n a s i$ | 9 | phansi |

The numeral 'one' lokthik is a synthetic form consisting of lok 'only' and thik 'one'. Lok is a postpositive adverb, and thik is both a prepositive quantifier meaning 'one' and a postpositive article meaning 'a, a certain'.

> yay-dhik pi-r-ay- $\varepsilon$ ?
> money-a give-1sP-IMP
> Give me a rupee.
thik yan la•bdzo•k.
one money costs
It costs one rupee.
The form lokthik is both the counting form of the numeral and the emphatic form of prepositive thik.

The numerals from ten to a hundred are formed by a straightforward process of number morpheme compounding. The interesting morphemes are the decimal morpheme -bo•g, in

[^6]the numerals 10,20 and 30 , and $-k i p^{3}$ in the decades 40 , $50,60,70,80$ and 90 as a suffix and in 100 as the root. In the numeral 10 , thik 'one' occurs as thi. In the numeral 20, 'two' occurs as its allomorph -ni-, whereas elsewhere it occurs in its more usual form -net-. The morpheme 'eight' -yet-/-yen- occurs as -ye•- in the eighties.

| 10 | thibo•n | 20 nibo•n | 40 likip | 70 nukip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | thikthik | 21 netthik | 41 lithik | 71 nuthik |
| 12 | thikne?l | 22 netne?1 | 42 line?1 |  |
| 13 | thiksum | 23 netchum |  | 80 ye-kip |
| 14 | thikli | etc | 50 nakip | 81 ye-thik |
| 15 | thikna |  | 51 nathik |  |
| 16 | thikthuk | 30 sumbo-n | 52 nanc 1 | 90 phangip |
| 17 | thiknu | 31 sumdhik |  | 91 phandhik |
| 18 | thikyc 31 | 32 sumne?1 | 60 thukkip |  |
| 19 | thikphan | etc | 61 thukthik | 100 kipthik |

Collective numerals are used to enumerate entities in a group seen as a whole, of ten used for groups of people, but not used in counting. Collective numerals are formed with the suffix -phu:

1 thikphu
2 nepphu
3 sumbhu
4 libhu
5 nabhu
6 thukphu
7 nubhu
8 yepphu
9 phajbhu
Ordinal numbers are not attested. The only fraction is kumbhre.n 'one half', eg. yan-dhik-?an kumbhre•n pi•r-an-e? (money-a-and one_half give-1sP-IMP, lit. Give me a rupee and a half) 'Give me one rupee and eight annas'.

[^7]
[^0]:    1 also as a superscript to disambiguate English glosses

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ When $\tilde{n}$ is used to indicate a front vowel, it is transcribed as i.
    ${ }^{3}$ not in closed syllables.
    4 not in open syllables.

[^2]:    4 The Khambu subtype also includes: 'Sangpang, Nechereng, Rodong, Waling, Rungchenbung, Lambichong, Chingtang and Yakkha'. The other subtype within Kiranti is the Bahing subtype and includes 'Sunwari, Dumi, Khaling and Rai'. Close to these two subtypes which together constitute the Kiranti nucleus is Vayū or Hayū (described by Michailovsky 1981). More remotely linked to the Kiranti group is Nevāri, the language of the indigenous, or at least pre-Gorkha, population of the Kathmandu Valley (Benedict 1972: 4-5). The subdivisions adopted in Benedict (1972) are confusing, however, for Sangpang (Sānpāñ), Nechereng (Nācerińn, Nāccheriń), Chingtang (Chiń-

[^3]:    2 I have often heard bilingual Limbus confuse Nepalese /r/ and /l/ in their Nepali, eg. $\bar{a} m \bar{a}$ re for $\bar{a} m \bar{a} l e ~ ' m o t h e r ~$ (ERG)'.
    3 eg. wetchya?dok 'cooked rice' < wetchya 'uncooked rice' +tok 'cooked grain, bhāt'

[^4]:    11 At this subphonemic level of description, however, it

[^5]:    17 In the loan haphta 'week'.

[^6]:    2 The LSJ (Konow 1909) attests separate forms for the $1 d e \rightarrow 2$ and $1 p e \rightarrow 2$. The former are identical to the ns $1 \rightarrow 2$ forms in the dialect studied in this grammar, whereas the latter have the form VERB-a-si-ge (VERB-1-p-e). Here too, the number affix is a generalized dual morpheme with non-singular meaning, -si, though such an affixal order is not characteristic of the dialect described in the present grammar.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ The use of -kip in the decades from 40 is reminiscent of Old English use of hund prefixed to the decades from 70 , cf. twentig, brītig, fēowertig, fīftig, siextig vs. hundseofontig, hundeahtatig, hundnigontig, hundtēontig, hundendleofantig, hundtwelftig. Benedict (1972) identifies Limbu 'gip' with a poorly attested Tibeto-Burman decimal root *gip (pp. 19, 94).

