


Johannes Haus

Dynamic Simulation of the Chemical Looping Combustion Process



Cuvillier Verlag Göttingen
Internationaler wissenschaftlicher Fachverlag



Dynamic Simulation of the Chemical Looping Combustion Process





Dynamic Simulation of the Chemical Looping Combustion Process

**Vom Promotionsausschuss der
Technischen Universität Hamburg**

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Doktor-Ingenieur (Dr.-Ing.)

genehmigte Dissertation

von

Johannes Haus

aus

Augsburg

2020



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliographische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

1. Aufl. - Göttingen: Cuvillier, 2020

Zugl.: (TU) Hamburg, Univ., Diss., 2020

Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Stefan Heinrich, Prof. Dr. Schlüter

Prüfungsleitung: Prof. Dr. Reimund Horn

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 29.10.2020

© CUVILLIER VERLAG, Göttingen 2020

Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen

Telefon: 0551-54724-0

Telefax: 0551-54724-21

www.cuvillier.de

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen.

1. Auflage, 2020

Gedruckt auf umweltfreundlichem, säurefreiem Papier aus nachhaltiger Forstwirtschaft.

ISBN 978-3-7369-7335-0

eISBN 978-3-7369-6335-1



Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	9
2. State of the art	13
2.1. Fundamentals and modeling of fluidized bed reactors.....	13
2.2. Chemical Looping Combustion	20
2.2.1. Process routes in Chemical Looping Combustion and Conversion	22
2.2.2. Performance parameters to evaluate Chemical Looping Combustion	26
2.2.3. Pilot plant operation	28
2.3. Reaction kinetics	31
2.4. Modeling of Chemical Looping Combustion.....	39
3. Methodology	43
3.1. Experimental pilot plant	43
3.1.1. Layout and dimensions of the CLC pilot plant	43
3.1.2. Materials used inside the CLC pilot plant.....	47
3.1.3. Operation of the CLC pilot plant.....	48
3.1.4. Evaluation of the performance of the CLC pilot plant.....	51
3.1.5. Molar flows inside the pilot plant	52
3.1.6. Inventory loss during operation.....	54
3.2. Experimental lab scale fluidized bed	55
3.2.1. Reactor setup	55
3.2.2. Experimental procedure in the laboratory reactor.....	56
3.2.3. Data evaluation in the laboratory reactor	58
Molar balance from gas concentration measurements.....	58
Fitting the experimental data to particle reaction models.....	59
3.3. Dynamic Flowsheet Simulation	62
3.3.1. Hydrodynamic modeling of fluidized beds.....	64
3.3.2. Reaction kinetics in fluidized bed	68
3.3.3. Calculation procedure for the fluidized bed reactors	71
3.3.4. Modeling of the dynamic behavior for the fluidized bed reactors	74
3.3.5. Cyclone unit	77
3.3.6. The loop seal unit.....	78
4. Results and Discussion	81
4.1. Operation of the CLC pilot plant with different fuels	81
4.1.1. Gas concentration measurements at AR and FR.....	81
Fine lignite injected on lower stage	81
Fine lignite injected into the upper stage	82



Coarse lignite injected on lower stage	83
Coarse lignite injected into the upper stage	84
Fine bituminous coal injected into the lower stage.....	84
Fine bituminous coal injected into the upper stage.....	84
Coarse bituminous coal injected into the lower stage.....	85
Coarse bituminous coal injected into the upper stage.....	86
Methane injected along with fluidization gas	87
Coarse Biomass conversion during injection into the lower stage	88
4.1.2. Performance parameters for the pilot plant operation	89
Carbon capture efficiency/oxide oxygen efficiency	89
4.1.3. Influence of the second stage on the gas conversion	95
4.1.4. Dynamic operation of the pilot plant.....	96
4.2. Experimental lab scale fluidized bed	100
4.2.1. Gas concentration measurements	100
Inert bed gasification.....	100
Reactive bed gasification	100
Inhibition of inert sand bed gasification by hydrogen and carbon monoxide.....	101
Influence of the fuel particle size on reactive bed gasification.....	102
Simultaneous CO ₂ and steam gasification	103
Gasification with either CO ₂ or steam	104
Gasification under iG-CLC conditions: simultaneous CO ₂ and steam gasification	106
Gasification under iG-CLC conditions: variation of CO ₂ and steam concentrations	107
Gasification under iG-CLC conditions: variation of operating velocity u_R	108
Gasification under iG-CLC conditions: temperature dependence of simultaneous CO ₂ and steam gasification.....	108
4.3. Dynamic Flowsheet Simulation results.....	112
Gas composition and situation in the FR stages	112
Movement of bed masses inside the system	114
Conversion of the oxygen carrier.....	116
5. Summary and Conclusions	119
5.1. Experimental pilot plant	119
5.2. Laboratory kinetic reactor	120
5.3. Dynamic Flowsheet Simulation	121
List of Abbreviations	123
List of Symbols.....	125
References	127

Danksagungen

Die vorliegende Doktorarbeit wurde von mir am Institut für Feststoffverfahrenstechnik im Rahmen meiner Tätigkeit als wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter angefertigt. Besonderer Dank gilt dabei dem Institutsleiter Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Stefan Heinrich, der die Koordination des Gesamtprojektes sowie meines Teilprojektes im Schwerpunktprogramm der DFG übernommen hatte. Zusätzlich ermöglichte er es mir zeitlich wie auch finanziell an allen wichtigen wissenschaftlichen Konferenzen teilzunehmen, die den Fortschritt der Arbeit förderten. Auch das von ihm geschaffene Umfeld am Institut mit Besuchen von Gastwissenschaftlern und der regen Austauschmöglichkeiten mit starken Kollegen war für das Arbeiten sehr förderlich.

Einen herzlichen Dank will ich an Herrn Prof. Dr.-Ing. Joachim Werther aussprechen. Seine Art die wissenschaftlichen Ergebnisse in aller Tiefe zu besprechen und an der Erstellung der wissenschaftlichen Publikationen mitzuwirken half mir die notwendige, präzise Arbeitsweise zu entwickeln.

Herrn Dr.-Ing. Ernst-Ulrich danke ich für die unkomplizierte fachliche Betreuung, die Evaluierung von Messergebnissen und die Möglichkeit Problematiken an den Anlagen schnell zu besprechen und zu lösen.

Mit Heiko Rohde und Frank Rimoschat stand mir ein hilfsbereites und fachlich kundiges Personal zur Seite, welches mir bei der nicht immer reibungslose Arbeit an den Experimentalanlagen mit Rat und Tat zur Seite stand.

Für mich vorteilhaft war, dass von den beiden Vorgängern Marvin Kramp und Andreas Thon funktionierende Versuchsanlagen geplant, gebaut und in Betrieb genommen wurden, so dass ich schnell zu Ergebnissen gelangen konnte.

Bedanken möchte ich mich hierbei noch herzlich bei den Kollegen, welche dazu beitrugen, eine freundschaftliche, schöne Zeit am Institut zu gestalten. Meine Studierenden in Abschlussarbeiten und als wissenschaftliche Hilfskräfte machten es möglich die Breite an Ergebnissen zu erzeugen.

Zum Schluss möchte ich mich noch zutiefst bei meiner ganzen Familie bedanken, welche auf meinen Weg zum Dokortitel mitfieberten und auch vorher durch die materielle und ideelle Unterstützung im Studium zu meinem Werdegang beigetragen haben. Das schönste war die Geburt meiner Tochter Emilia und die Hochzeit mit meiner wundervollen Frau Marta, welche auch in die Zeit der Arbeit fielen.

Heidelberg, 08.11.2020





1. Introduction

Extraordinary weather conditions are felt to happen in an ever-increasing frequency in modern times. In history one can find many exceptional climate situations, but statistically relevant changes in the commonness of those can be noticed. The research community and most parts of the political establishment agree that the emission of anthropogenic greenhouse gases is the main reason for the changing climate conditions. Greenhouse gases can be mainly traced back to the economic activities and development of humankind; these are among others generating heat and electricity by fossil fuels, transportation powered by combustion engines, agricultural activities and fertilizer use as well as land use change. The greenhouse effect describes basically that gases in the atmosphere, mainly carbon dioxide, methane, ozone and water vapor, reflect the heat, which is emitted from earth's surface. On the one hand, this effect raises the overall temperature to livable temperatures, on the other hand, an increased greenhouse effect by higher concentrations of the mentioned gases in the atmosphere can lift the surface temperature to problematic levels and is then called global warming. Today, nearly all countries on this planet, decided that they want to limit global warming to 2°C in the Paris Agreement [1].

To achieve the 2°C target, especially the industrialized countries in the EU, China, India and the US must take strong measures to reduce their emission of climate active gases [2]. Most reliable research points in a direction that the reduction of climate gases is not even enough, and Negative Emission Technologies (NETs) have to be applied on a big scale to keep the temperatures on the planet in reasonable limits [3].




Figure 1: A standard scenario of future CO₂ emissions to keep the 2°C global warming target. [4]

For the energy sector this means that decarbonization of power production must take place. Decarbonization means that fossil fuel power plants are shut down in favor of renewable energies, like wind and solar. Heat can be generated by biomass, which is also considered mostly carbon neutral. Another approach to meet the climate goals are so called carbon



capture and storage technologies (CCS). These technologies are used to capture carbon dioxide after the combustion process with the heat release. The carbon dioxide is then compressed and stored in various possible underground formations. CCS has the main advantage that the current energy system based on big shares of fossil fuel can be modified and does not need to undergo drastic changes. A major disadvantage of CCS technologies is still the elevated cost during electricity generation compared to venting the carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. This could be solved by carbon pricing and carbon trading, in which a reasonable price for carbon dioxide emissions is set by a governmental player and companies profit strongly from reducing them. Another reason why CCS could become important in the future is its ability to allow for negative emissions. When biomass is used in a thermal power station and the exhaust gases stored instead of emitted one can create a negative balance of emissions in the atmosphere, because the biomass absorbed carbon from the atmosphere before. In this case one speaks of Bio-Energy Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) [5].

Currently, some encouraging developments take place in the energy sector not only in Germany. Wind power turbines become economically competitive even during low electricity stock prices. Several days a year the complete electricity demand in Germany can be met by renewables. Contrariwise, when wind or sun generation is low, the need for power generation via thermal power plants arise. This is because the capacity of storing electricity is limited not only in Germany. If carbon dioxide emissions are to be avoided, CCS technologies are an option to provide energy in times of low renewable production.

One of the most discussed CCS technologies is the Chemical Looping Combustion (CLC) process. Contrary to the regular air-fired combustion, which takes place in one combustion chamber, the CLC process is carried out in two or more interconnected reactors. Between the reactors oxygen is transported via an oxygen carrying particulate, which is called oxygen carrier (OC) [6]. By separating the air intake to the process and the oxidation of the fuel in two different reactors, a pure stream of carbon dioxide can be achieved without the usage of air separation or flue gas carbon dioxide removal. The carbon dioxide should be relatively easily made available for compression and storage. The CLC process shows some interesting features, which make it a practical object for studies on heterogeneous reaction networks, interconnected fluidized bed reactors and the multiple involved reactive solids.

In the past, besides nuclear power plants, the energy system was based on fossil fuel fired power plants running on lignite and coal, which were erected to supply mid and base load. Peak loads were handled with power plants, which were a bit more flexible in their power supply, often gas turbines, hydro dams and pumped-storage hydroelectricity. Nowadays, the fluctuations inside the energy system are growing due to the intermittent renewables and existing regulating capacities are insufficient, leading to obscure phenomena, like negative or skyrocketing electricity prices. These effects are often attributed to the renewable energy use only, but another problem inside the energy system can be found in the thermal power plants itself. They cannot arrange their power output according to the demand fast enough due restrictions in load change rates, which are due to thermal expansion of the equipment and stress of the refractory lining [7]. Operation is most efficient when the designed power is achieved and, furthermore, personal talks of the author with plant operators and plant



equipment suppliers revealed that there is limited knowledge about the operation of plants outside of the designed conditions, like shutdown and startup.

To bring renewable energies, fossil fuel fired power plants and novel thermal CCS technologies together in the future, the need for a more dynamic operation of the thermal plants arises, so that the intermittency of renewable sources can be accepted. This means that the thermal power plants will be operated often at part-load and changes in fuel load might be needed within minutes or hours. Also, shutdown and startup can be expected to happen more regularly if an energy system is achieved in which most of the electricity is provided by renewables.

For the design and implementation of flexible CCS thermal power plants, novel simulation tools could be beneficial, which can predict their operational behavior at a variety of conditions. One option for this is the widely used engineering tool of flowsheeting. In this approach, the modeling is broken down to all unit operations which constitute the entire process. To this day flowsheeting tools do not allow for dynamic analyses for complex solids processes. In this work a novel flowsheeting software tool called Dyssol is applied on a system of interconnected fluidized bed reactors used for CLC. A pilot scale and a lab scale reactor system are used to gather experimental data and reaction kinetics for an accurate flowsheet modeling of CLC.

This PhD thesis was financed and conducted within the framework of the research priority program of the German Research Foundation (DFG) SPP1679 “Dynamic Simulation of Interconnected Solids Processes”. The goal of the research program is to develop simplistic and dynamic process models for a variety of solids processes. These models shall be integrated into a novel software, which is programmed in concourse of the entire research program.

The aim of this work is the development of process models for fluidized bed reactors and their integration into the simulation software Dyssol. Further, auxiliary equipment needed to model the exemplary process of Chemical Looping Combustion must be integrated into Dyssol. With the help of the dynamic models and the software, dynamic simulations have to be carried out, which will then be compared to experimental results at the pilot plant operated at the Institute. The pilot plant will be operated in a way to make dynamic effects, like start-up, shut-down and load changes visible. As a result, a validated software tool for dynamic simulation of interconnected fluidized bed reactors should be ready, which can later be used for process optimization and control of interconnected fluidized bed reactors.

Another aim of this work is to establish a database of experimental findings of the CLC process. This is done by searching for necessary series of experiments, which deliver the validation data for the simulations.





2. State of the art

According to scientific data based on various approaches, if humankind wants to achieve the 2°C goal put out during the Paris climate conference, strategies for CCS and NETs must be implemented into our energy systems [8]. Several concepts for the capture of climate gas emissions have been proposed. One of the major issues found in applying CCS technology is the nitrogen in the ambient air. Nitrogen has a fraction of around 79 vol.% in air and will be found in similar shares in the flue gases of regular air-fired boilers. For compression and storage of CO₂ this nitrogen creates a big overhead, which increases the costs for CCS to prohibitive levels. Also, CO₂ can be stored in its supercritical state due to the volume reduction, whereas this is not possible for N₂. Therefore, the most prominent technologies, namely Pre-Combustion, Oxyfuel und Post Combustion Capture, rely on an air separation process step, which heavily decreases overall efficiency of the process.

Another option is the Chemical Looping Combustion, where the separation of oxygen and nitrogen from the air takes place via a chemical reaction of a solid material. The research on CLC has reached several milestones in the meantime. The first being the research on suitable materials for the usage as OC in the system. A plethora of natural ores, refined ores, base chemicals and synthetic materials have been investigated for the suitability in CLC systems [9]. The second aspect is the successful operation of more than 15 CLC pilot plant units for solid fuels [9]. With the knowledge about OCs and pilot plant operation, process modeling was used to propose designs for upscaling considerations of the process to industrial scales. Standard terminology and evaluation procedures for the process were proposed in work of the research groups at Chalmers University of Technology in Gothenburg, Sweden and the Instituto de Carbochimica (CSIC-ICB) in Zaragoza, Spain, which resulted in review papers and overview works [10–13]. Song and Shen [14] reviewed most reactor concepts used in CLC.

In the present chapter, a process description is given in concurrence with a definition of the main evaluation criteria for CLC. Afterwards, recent developments of the pilot plant operations in the years 2012–2018 are shown and concluded. This is because the two previous PhD theses by Thon [15] and Kramp [16] at Hamburg University of Technology (TUHH) were summarizing the field until 2012. This helps to discuss findings at the own pilot plant operation in Hamburg. Afterwards the status of process simulations for CLC is recapped to lead over to the own simulation work.

2.1. Fundamentals and modeling of fluidized bed reactors

The first CLC pilot operation was carried out in a system of interconnected fluidized bed reactors [6]. Since then most of the installed pilot plants were based on this technology. Two other reactor types have been reported, namely a moving bed reactor [17,18] and a rotating reactor [19], but they play a minor role in CLC research.

A fluidized bed is formed when an upwards directed flow through a particle bed exerts a force on the particles, which lifts them up and starts a movement of the particles. Depending on the shape and density of the particles and the porosity of the bulk as well as properties of the fluid

used to fluidize, a certain velocity of the fluid is needed to enable fluidization, the so-called minimum fluidization velocity u_{mf} . If the reactor superficial velocity u_R is above this velocity, sand-like or aeratable solids will form a fluidized bed. This was categorized early by Geldart, who investigated powders with different particle size distributions and densities for their fluidization behavior [20].

Depending on the operating superficial velocity u_R , different fluidization states of the particle bed can be achieved. Above u_{mf} , particles will be put into motion and a bubbling fluidized bed (BFB) will form, meaning that from the gas distributor bubbles will rise to the surface of bed. If the velocity is strongly increased, more and more particles will be entrained with the gas flow and no clear bed surface is visible anymore. At these conditions, a large mass flow of particles is moved out of the fluidized bed to a cyclone, where it is separated and led back to the lower part of the fluidized bed. This operation mode is called circulating fluidized bed (CFB), which shows other fluid mechanic structures than the bubbling bed, for example strands and clusters.

If the velocity is further increased, the exerted force on the particles is so high that they are directly lifted to the exit of the reactor. This setup is then called an entrained flow reactor, which is based on the pneumatic transport of all inserted particles. Distinctive operation states of fluidized beds are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Fluidized bed operation states depending on the reactor superficial velocity; translated from Wirth [21].

The fluidized bed reactor concept was applied to a variety of processes within chemical and energy engineering. Major processes carried out are for example biomass and waste combustion, drying, fluid-catalytic cracking, agglomeration and coating. This is due to several advantages, which the fluidization of particles establishes. Those are for example the easy handling of solids material and the intense mixing of gases and solids. Further, in a fluidized