Kristofer Leach

Modelling Force Transfer in Boundary Layers of Moving Walls for Compressible and Incompressible Turbulent Flows Across Multiple Scales





Modelling Force Transfer in Boundary Layers of Moving Walls for Compressible and Incompressible Turbulent Flows Across Multiple Scales

Dieses Werk ist copyrightgeschützt und darf in keiner Form vervielfältigt werden noch an Dritte weitergegeben werden. Es gilt nur für den persönlichen Gebrauch.

Modelling Force Transfer in Boundary Layers of Moving Walls for Compressible and Incompressible Turbulent Flows Across Multiple Scales

Vom Fachbereich Produktionstechnik der UNIVERSITÄT BREMEN

> zur Erlangung des Grades Doktor-Ingenieur genehmigte

> > Dissertation

von M.Math. Kristofer Leach

Gutachter: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Claus Braxmaier Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Thomas Schuster, Universität des Saarlandes

> Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 10. Dezember 2014

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

1. Aufl. - Göttingen: Cuvillier, 2014 Zugl.: Bremen, Univ., Diss., 2014

© CUVILLIER VERLAG, Göttingen 2014 Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen Telefon: 0551-54724-0 Telefax: 0551-54724-21 www.cuvillier.de

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen. 1. Auflage, 2014 Gedruckt auf umweltfreundlichem, säurefreiem Papier aus nachhaltiger Forstwirtschaft

ISBN 978-3-95404-910-3 eISBN 978-3-7369-4910-2

Zusammenfassung

Die Entwicklung kleiner Werkzeugmaschinen zur Bearbeitung extrem kleiner Werkstücke ist u.a. auf den Gebieten der Mechantronik, der Optik oder der Medizin von zunehmend größerer Bedeutung. Das Miniaturisieren existierender Werkzeuge stößt allmählich an gewisse Grenzen und es ist nötig, neue Werkzeuge zu entwickeln, um einen Fortschritt zu erzielen.

Diese Arbeit beschreibt die Gestaltung einer neuartigen Schleifkugel, die magnetisch gelagert und von einer pressluftgetriebenen Strömung in Rotation versetzt wird. Es wird eine Parameterstudie durchgeführt, welche die optimale geometrische Auslegung eines Prototyps unter Einhaltung gewisser physikalischer Einschränkungen bestimmt. Die daraus hervorgehende optimale Auslegung wird im Anschluss detailliert untersucht, um festzustellen, welcher Belastung die elektromagnetische Lagerung standzuhalten hat und um die zum Schleifen verfügbare Kraft zu quantifizieren. Entgegen den Erwartungen zeigte die Untersuchung, dass Luft kein geeignetes Antriebsmedium darstellt und dass Öl an dessen Stelle treten muss um genügend Schleifkraft zu erzielen. Die bei der Entwicklung des Prototyps gesammelten Erfahrungen dienen als Grundlage für die Entwicklung eines kleineren funktionsfähigen Schleifwerkzeugs, welches unter Verwendung von hydraulischem Antrieb analysiert wird. Im Anschluss wird unter Verwendung von Luftantrieb eine skalenübergreifende Analyse der auf die Schleifkugel wirkenden Kräfte durchgeführt.

Machzahlen betragen bis zu 0,9, während Reynoldszahlen maximal 10^5 erreichen. Daher wird Large-Eddy-Simulation in Verbindung mit dem kompressiblen Smagorinsky Modell nach Furby eingesetzt. Geringe Temperaturvariation erlaubt die Annahme adiabater Wände. Fluid-Struktur Interaktion wird durch das logarithmische Wandgesetz für kompressible turbulente Strömungen modelliert. Die Parameterstudien untersuchen den Einfluss verschiedener Faktoren wie geometrische Eigenschaften und Viskosität des Antriebsmediums. Anschließend werden Simulationen unter einer Vielzahl verschiedener Normvolumenströme \dot{V}_N und Kugelrotationsfrequenzen f durchgeführt.

Da die Schleifkraft des 40 mm Prototyps weniger als 0,04 N betrug, wurde für ein funktionstüchtiges 8 mm Schleifwerkzeug das Antriebsmedium durch ein Öl mit einer kinematischen Viskosität von $1,38 \cdot 10^4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ bei Raumtemperatur ersetzt. Zwei weitere Kanäle wurden hinzugefügt und vertikal angeordnet, um die Schleifkraft und die Anpresskraft zu erhöhen. So konnte ausreichend Schleifkraft von mehr als 0,1 N und Anpresskraft von mehr als 1 N erzielt werden. Im Anschluss wurden durch eine Skalenanalyse dimen-

i

Q/

sionslose Gleichungen für Normalkräfte, Schleifkraft sowie Anpresskraft aufgestellt. Diese konnten nicht nur genutzt werden um auf beliebige weitere Skalen schließen zu können, sondern auch um die beiden Antriebsmedien und die beiden Geometrien untereinander zu vergleichen.

Die in dieser Arbeit präsentierten Ergebnisse zeigen nicht nur wie die Kraftübertragung von Fluiden auf Festkörper skalenübergreifend modelliert werden kann und die Resultate zur Herleitung dimensionsloser Gleichungen, welche für beliebige Parameter gelten, genutzt werden, sondern bieten eine Grundlage für die Entwicklung eines neuartigen und bahnbrechenden Schleifwerkzeuges auf dem Gebiet des Mikroschleifens.

ii

Abstract

Developing miniature tools used to machine parts that are themselves small in size is rapidly gaining importance in fields such as mechatronics, optics, or medicine. Miniaturising existing tools has its limitations and it is becoming ever more necessary to develop new tools in order make progress in this regard.

This thesis describes the design of a new kind of abrading sphere which is magnetically mounted inside a spherical gap and set in rotation pneumatically with air. A parametric study is performed in order to determine optimal geometric layout of a prototype while taking physical restrictions into account. The resulting optimal configuration is then examined in detail in order to determine demands to be met by the magnetic bearing and its computerised control, as well as to quantify the extent of force potentially available to the abrasion process. Contrary to expectation, the analysis showed that air is not a viable propulsion medium and that oil needs to take its place to yield sufficient grinding force. Using the knowledge gained from developing the prototype, a smaller working model is devised and analysed using hydraulic propulsion. Use of the tool with pneumatic propulsion is then subjected to a study across multiple length scales focusing on the forces acting on the grinding sphere.

Mach numbers range up to 0.9 with Reynolds numbers of up to 10^5 . Hence, Large Eddy Simulation is performed in conjunction with the compressible Smagorinsky model according to Fureby. Minimal temperature variation allows for the assumption of adiabatic walls. Fluid-solid interaction is modelled using the law of the wall for compressible turbulent flow. Parametric studies investigate the influence of varying geometric factors and viscosities of the fluid used. Subsequently, simulations are conducted under a variety of standard volumetric flow rates \dot{V}_N and rotation frequencies f.

The available grinding force determined for the 40 mm prototype using pneumatic propulsion was found not to exceed 0.04 N. For the working 8 mm model, the propulsion medium was thus changed to an oil with a kinematic viscosity of $1.38 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at room temperature. Two additional fluid ducts were added and introduced vertically from the top to increase grinding force and downward force. Sufficient grinding force in excess of 0.1 N and contact force exceeding 1 N could be achieved. In a subsequent study across multiple scales, non-dimensional relations governing normal forces, grinding force, as well as grinding power were established. These could not only be used to predict arbitrary scales, but also to compare the two propulsion media and geometric variations with each other.



The results presented in this thesis demonstrate how fluid-to-solid force transfer can be modelled across a multitude of scales and the results used to derive non-dimensional relations that hold true for arbitrary parameters. They also lay the foundation for the development of a novel and revolutionary grinding tool in the field of miniature precision machining.

Preface

I would like to extend my gratitude to my project supervisors the late Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hans J. Rath, Prof. Dr. Claus Braxmaier, and Dr.-Ing. Rodion Groll for their continued support and their valuable and inspiring guidance in the field of Fluid Mechanics and CFD. Also, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Thomas Schuster, Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ekkard Brinksmeier, Prof. Dr.-Ing. Bernd Orlik, Dr.-Ing. Ralf Gläbe, Dr.-Ing. Lars Schönemann, Carla Brandao, Alexander Norbach, and all the members of SPP 1476 for their excellent cooperation and fruitful discussions while working on project *GrindBall*. Additionally, I would like to thank my colleagues at ZARM Stephan Reichel, Claudia Zimmermann, Torben Schadowski, Fabian Fastabend, Rico Schultz, and Želimir Marojević with whom I had inspiring scientific inter-exchange throughout my time there. I would especially like to thank the members of my family Barry, Helga, and Jennifer for their love and support.

Furthermore, many thanks are due to the German Research Foundation (DFG) for funding project *GrindBall* and the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN) for providing free access to their super-computing facilities, thereby enabling a quality of simulations that would not have been achievable by regular means.

V

Dieses Werk ist copyrightgeschützt und darf in keiner Form vervielfältigt werden noch an Dritte weitergegeben werden. Es gilt nur für den persönlichen Gebrauch.

Contents

1	Intro	oductio	on	1
	1.1	Motiva	ation	1
	1.2	Basic	setup	3
	1.3	Goals	and limitations	4
2	Gov	erning	equations	7
	2.1	Conser	rvation of mass	7
	2.2	Conser	rvation of momentum	8
	2.3	Motion	n and deformation of a fluid element	10
	2.4	Deform	nation law for a Newtonian fluid	13
	2.5	Mecha	unical and thermodynamic pressure	15
	2.6	The N	avier-Stokes equations	16
	2.7	The er	nergy equation	16
	2.8	The p	erfect gas	19
	2.9	Suther	rland's viscosity model	21
	2.10	Incom	pressible flow	21
	2.11	Summ	ary	22
3	Con	putati	onal methods	25
	3.1	Discre	tisation methods	25
		3.1.1	Finite Difference Method	26
		3.1.2	Finite Volume Method	27
		3.1.3	Finite Element Method	29
	3.2	Interp	olation schemes	30
		3.2.1	Upwind interpolation scheme	30
		3.2.2	Linear upwind interpolation scheme	31
		3.2.3	Linear interpolation scheme	31
		3.2.4	Other interpolation schemes	33
	3.3	Bound	lary conditions	33
	3.4	Unstea	ady problems	34
		3.4.1	Explicit (forward) Euler Method	36
		3.4.2	Implicit (backward) Euler Method	38
		3.4.3	Crank-Nicolson Method	39
		3.4.4	Other methods	40

vii

	3.5	Solving the Navier-Stokes equations
		3.5.1 SIMPLE
		3.5.2 PISO
		3.5.3 PIMPLE
	3.6	Large Eddy Simulation
		3.6.1 Filtered Navier-Stokes equations
		3.6.2 Smagorinsky model
		3.6.3 Dynamic Smagorinsky model for incompressible flow
		$3.6.4$ Law of the Wall \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 51
	3.7	Summary
	-	
4	Dev	eloping a prototype grinding tool 55
	4.1	Parametric study 56 4.1.1 Veriable requestors
		4.1.1 Variable parameters
		4.1.2 Simulation setup
		4.1.3 Forces
	1.0	$4.1.4 \text{Evaluation} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
	4.2	Computational results
		4.2.1 Simulation Setup
		4.2.2 Reynolds and Mach numbers
		4.2.3 Temperature variation
		4.2.4 Normal forces
		4.2.5 Tangential forces
		$4.2.6 \text{Flow analysis} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
		4.2.7 Grinding force dependency
		$4.2.8 \text{Grinding power} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
5	Emp	irical validation of simulated data 87
	5.1	Experimental setup
	5.2	Simulation setup
	5.3	Empirical and computational results
6	Dav	loning a fully functional 9 mm grinding tool
U	6 1	Determination of an adequate propulsion fluid
	0.1	6.1.1 Covitation: limiting factor of liquida
		6.1.2 Oil vigeogity study
	6.9	Ontimination of momentum and momentum (77)
	0.2	Contraction of geometric parameters
		$0.2.1 \text{Gap neight} \qquad \dots \qquad 97$
		$0.2.2 \text{Co-auct position} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
		0.2.3 Duct diameter
		$0.2.4 \text{Main duct offset} \dots \dots$
		$6.2.5 \text{Final adjustments} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $

		6.2.6 Summary	103
	6.3	Optimisation of the computational grid	105
		6.3.1 Test setup	106
		6.3.2 Results for air	106
		6.3.3 Results for oil	108
	6.4	Computational Results	108
		6.4.1 Reynolds and Cavitation numbers	109
		6.4.2 Normal forces	109
		6.4.3 Tangential forces	112
		6.4.4 Grinding power	114
7	Mod	delling force transfer across multiple scales 1	17
	7.1	Simulation Setup	117
	7.2	Computational results	121
		7.2.1 Normal forces	121
		7.2.2 Tangential force	125
		7.2.3 Grinding power	131
	7.3	Non-dimensional analysis	136
		7.3.1 Normal forces	137
		7.3.2 Tangential forces	138
		7.3.3 Grinding power	142
	7.4	Single duct vs. triple duct geometry	143
	7.5	Air vs. oil propulsion	146
8	Con	clusion 1	49
	8.1	Summary	149
	8.2	Limitations	151
	8.3	Future research possibilities	153
٨	nond	1	55
Αŀ	penu		55
Α	The	orems & formulae 1	55
	A.1	Divergence theorem	155
	A.2	Leibnitz integral rule	155
	A.3	Reynolds transport theorem	156
	A.4	Grinding angle and corresponding abrasion force	156
	A.5	Extrapolation of standard deviation	157
	A.6	Sigmoid function	158
В	Adv	anced Meshing Techniques 1	61
	B.1	Meshing a cylinder with hexahedra	161
	B.2	Automatic Mesh Generation	164

	B.3	Selective Grid Refinement	174
С	Sup	plemental results	177
	C.1	40mm GrindBall prototype (Chapter 4)	178
	C.2	Dynamometer validation (Chapter 5)	180
	C.3	8mm hydraulic grinding tool (Chapter 6)	180
	C.4	Scale Analysis (Chapter 7)	185
D	Оре	nFOAM settings	189
	D.1	40mm GrindBall parametric study (Chapter 4)	189
	D.2	40mm GrindBall prototype (Chapter 4)	191
	D.3	Dynamometer validation (Chapter 5)	192
	D.4	Propulsion fluid study (Chapter 6)	194
	D.5	Simulations using ISO VG46 oil (Chapter 6)	195
	D.6	Scale Analysis (Chapter 7)	196
Bi	bliog	raphy	199

Bibliography

Dieses Werk ist copyrightgeschützt und darf in keiner Form vervielfältigt werden noch an Dritte weitergegeben werden. Es gilt nur für den persönlichen Gebrauch.

Nomenclature

Scalar quantities are dented by normal italic symbols while vectors and tensors are presented in bold. To differentiate, vectors are underlined once while tensors receive double underlining. Alternatively, Einstein notation may be used to denote vector and tensor components. Unless stated otherwise, units and dimensions are presented according to the International System of Units, i.e. mass (M) in kg, length (L) in meters m, time (T) in seconds s, temperature (Θ) in Kelvin K, and amount of substance (N) in mol. Furthermore, the derived units Newton N=kg m s⁻², Pascal Pa=kg m⁻¹ s⁻², Hertz Hz=s⁻¹, Joule J=kg m² s⁻² and Watt W=J s⁻¹ are employed.

Symbol	Description	Unit	Dimension
A	Area	m^2	L^2
A_S	Sutherland coefficient	$kg m^{-1}s^{-1}K^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	$M L^{-1} T^{-1} \Theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
<u>a</u>	Acceleration	${ m ms}^{-2}$	$L T^{-2}$
a	Speed of sound	${ m ms^{-1}}$	$L T^{-1}$
a	Arbitrary scalar		
В	Constant		
<u>b</u>	Body force vector	Ν	$\rm MLT^{-2}$
b	Blending coefficient		
C_{ij}	Clark tensor	$m^2 s^{-2}$	L^2T^{-2}
C	Constant		
c_p	Specific heat at constant pressure	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$	$L^2 \Theta^{-1} T^{-2}$
c_v	Specific heat at constant volume	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$	$L^2 \Theta^{-1} T^{-2}$
d	Diameter	m	L
E	Energy	J	$M L^2 T^{-3}$
$E_{\rm tot}$	Total energy per unit volume	$\mathrm{Jm^{-3}}$	$M L^{-1} T^{-2}$
$\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i$	Unit vector		
e	Internal energy per unit mass	$m^2 s^{-2}$	L^2T^{-2}
\mathbf{F}	Force	Ν	$M L T^{-2}$
<u>f</u>	Force per volume	${ m N}{ m m}^{-3}$	$M L^{-2} T^{-2}$
F_t	Abrasion force	Ν	$M L T^{-2}$
f	Rotation frequency	Hz	T-1
f	Generic flux term		
f	Arbitrary function		
G	Convolution kernel		
g	Gravity vector	${ m ms^{-2}}$	$L T^{-2}$
\overline{h}	Enthalpy per unit mass	m^2s^{-2}	L^2T^{-2}

Roman Symbols

h	Height	m	L
Ι	Identity tensor		
K	Constant		
K_B	Boltzmann Constant	$\mathrm{J}\mathrm{K}^{\text{-1}}$	$\mathrm{M}\mathrm{L}^2\Theta^{\text{-1}}\mathrm{T}^{\text{-2}}$
k	Thermal conductivity	$\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{\text{-1}}\mathrm{K}^{\text{-1}}$	$\rm ML\Theta^{-1}T^{-3}$
k_l	Characteristic length of wall roughness	m	L
$k_{\rm sgs}$	Subgrid scale kinetic energy	$m^2 s^{-2}$	L^2T^{-2}
L_{ij}	Leonard tensor	m^2s^{-2}	L^2T^{-2}
L	Characteristic length	m	L
\mathbf{M}	Moment	Nm	$\rm ML^2T^{-2}$
M	Molecular weight	$\rm kgmol^{-1}$	${ m M~N^{-1}}$
m	Mass	kg	М
\dot{m}	Mass flow rate	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{s}^{\text{-1}}$	${ m M}{ m T}^{-1}$
<u>n</u>	Normal vector		
Р	Power	W	M L T ⁻³
p	Pressure	Pa	$\mathrm{M}\mathrm{L}^{\text{-}1}\mathrm{T}^{\text{-}2}$
Q	Source term		
Q	Heat per unit volume	$\mathrm{Jm^{-3}}$	$\mathrm{M}\mathrm{L}^{\text{-}1}\mathrm{T}^{\text{-}2}$
<u>q</u>	Heat flux	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{s}^{-2}$	${ m MT^{-3}}$
R_{ij}	Reynolds tensor	$m^2 s^{-2}$	L^2T^{-2}
R	Specific gas constant	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$	$L^2 \Theta^{-1} T^{-2}$
R_0	Universal gas constant	$\rm Jmol^{-1}K$	$M L^2 N^{-1} \Theta^{-1} T^{-2}$
<u>r</u>	Spatial position vector		
r	Radial vector		
r	Radius	m	L
<u>S</u>	Symmetric velocity gradient	s ⁻¹	T-1
S	Path length	m	L
<u>T</u>	Arbitrary tensor		
U	Arbitrary tensor		
Т	Temperature	К	Θ
T_S	Sutherland constant	К	Θ
t	Time	S	Т
U	Magnitude of velocity	ms^{-1}	L T ⁻¹
<u>u</u>	Velocity vector	$\mathrm{ms^{-1}}$	L T ⁻¹
u_{τ}	Shear velocity	ms^{-1}	L T ⁻¹
u_1, u_2, u_3	Velocity components	${ m ms^{-1}}$	$L T^{-1}$
<u>v</u>	Arbitrary vector		2
V	Volume	m^3	L^{3}
V	Volumetric flow rate	$m^{3}s^{-1}$	$L^{3}T^{-1}$
W	Rate of work per unit area	kg s⁻²	$M T^{-3}$
W	Arbitrary vector		

Nomenclature

W	Work per unit volume	$\mathrm{Jm^{-3}}$	$\rm ML^{-1}T^{-2}$
x	Spatial position vector		
$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	Unit vector		
x	Standard variable		
x_1, x_2, x_3	Cartesian coordinates		
x, y, z	Cartesian coordinates		

Greek Symbols

Symbol	Description	Unit	Dimension
α	Thermal diffusivity	Pas	$M L^{-1} T^{-1}$
α, β, γ	Direction cosines		
α, β	Angles		
β	Coefficient of thermal expansion	K-1	Θ^{-1}
Г	Diffusion coefficient	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$M L^{-1} T^{-1}$
γ	Ratio of specific heats		
δ	Viscous sublayer thickness	m	L
δ_{ij}	Kronecker delta		
Δ	Difference		
$\overline{\Delta}$	Filter cutoff length	m	L
ϵ	Strain rate tensor	s ⁻¹	T^{-1}
ϵ	Error		
$\overline{\epsilon}$	Extrapolated standard deviation		
θ	Angle		
κ	Von Kármán Constant		
λ	Coefficient of bulk viscosity	Pas	$M L^{-1} T^{-1}$
λ	Interpolation factor		
μ	Dynamic viscosity	Pas	$\rm ML^{-1}T^{-1}$
ν	Kinematic viscosity	$m^2 s^{-1}$	L^2T^{-1}
ξ	parameter		
ho	Density	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$	$M L^{-3}$
<u></u>	Stress tensor	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-2}$	$\mathrm{M}\mathrm{L}^{\text{-1}}\mathrm{T}^{\text{-2}}$
σ	Standard deviation		
$\sigma(x)$	Sigmoid function		
<u> </u>	Sub-grid scale stress tensor	$m^2 s^{-2}$	L^2T^{-2}
au	Stress	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-2}$	$M L^{-1} T^{-2}$
$ au_w$	Wall shear stress	$\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-2}$	$M L^{-1} T^{-2}$
Φ	Dissipation function	$ m N~s^{-1}$	$M L T^{-3}$
$\overline{oldsymbol{\psi}}$	Arbitrary vector		
ψ	Arbitrary intensive property		

$\mathrm{d}\Omega$	Rotation vector		
Ω	Control volume	m^3	L^3
$\underline{\omega}$	Vorticity	s^{-1}	T-1

Dimensionless quantities

Symbol	Description	Definition
Co	Courant number	$\Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{u_i}{\Delta x_i}$
Pe	Péclet number	$\frac{\rho U \Delta x}{2\Gamma}$
Re	Reynolds number	$\frac{UL}{\nu}$
Re_w	Boundary Reynolds number	$\frac{u_{\tau}k_{l}}{\nu}$
u^+	Dimensionless velocity	$\frac{u}{u_{ au}}$
u_{τ}	Shear velocity	$\sqrt{\frac{\tau_w}{\rho}}$
y^+	Wall distance	$\frac{yu_{\tau}}{\nu}$

Subscripts

Symbol	Description
0	Initial value
0	Zero value
d	Duct
eff	Effective quantity
g	Gap
l	Length related quantity
M	Mechanical
max	maximum
min	minimum
0	Offset
op	operating point
p	Pressure
S	Sphere
sgs	Sub-grid scale
t	Tangential
t	Turbulent
tot	Total
au	Shear stress related quantity
v	Viscous
w	Wall related quantity

Nomenclature

Superscripts

Symbol	Description
+	Wall coordinate
*	Deviatoric component
D	Dimensionless quantity
1mm	1 mm sphere
8mm	$8\mathrm{mm}$ sphere
$40 \mathrm{mm}$	$40\mathrm{mm}$ sphere
diff	diffusive
num	numerical
p	prototype
real	realistic
T	Transpose

Operations

Operation	Description	Definition
∇s	Gradient of s	$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_i} \mathbf{\underline{e}}_i$
$\nabla \mathbf{\underline{v}}$	Gradient of $\underline{\mathbf{v}}$	$\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \left(\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i \otimes \underline{\mathbf{e}}_j \right)$
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\underline{v}}$	Divergence of $\underline{\mathbf{v}}$	$\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i}$
$ abla^2 s$	Laplacian of s	$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x_i}$
$\mathbf{\underline{v}}\otimes \mathbf{\underline{w}}$	Outer product of $\underline{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{w}}$	$T_{ij} = v_i w_j$
$tr(\underline{\mathbf{T}})$	Trace of $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}$	T_{kk}
$\underline{\mathbf{T}}^*$	Deviatoric component of $\underline{\underline{T}}$	$T_{ij} - T_{ii}\delta_{ij}$
$\underline{\mathbf{T}}$: $\underline{\mathbf{U}}$	Double inner product of $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}$	$T_{ij}U_{ij}$

Abbreviations

Acronym	Description
1D	One-dimensional
2D	Two-dimensional
3D	Three-dimensional
CDS	Central differencing scheme
DNS	Direct Numerical Simulation
FDM	Finite Difference Method
FEM	Finite Element Method
FVM	Finite Volume Method
LES	Large Eddy Simulation
	Laige Laay Simulation

LHS	Left hand side
LUDS	Linear Upwind Differencing Scheme
RANS	Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes
RHS	Right hand side
sgs	Subgrid scale
rpm	Revolutions per minute
UDS	Upwind differencing scheme
w.r.t.	With respect to

xvi

List of Figures

$1.1 \\ 1.2$	Grinding force depending on axis orientation	$\frac{2}{3}$
2.1 2.2 2.3	Stresses σ_{ij} acting on a control volume $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ Distortion of a moving fluid element (as seen in [Whi05]) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	10 11 17
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Discretisation on a computational grid in x-direction (cp. [Nol93]) FVM control volume (cp. [Nol93])	26 27 30 31 32
3.7	Approximation of $f(t)$ integrated over Δt . (i) explicit Euler, (ii) implicit Euler, (iii) midpoint rule, (iv) trapezoidal rule. (cp. [FP96]) Symbolic representation of the energy spectrum decomposition in Large Eddy Simulation where k is the wave number and $E(k)$ is the associated energy	36 47
3.8	Law of the wall: layer transitions	52
$ \begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.5 \end{array} $	Magnetic bearing aligned around the grinding sphere \ldots \ldots \ldots Variable parameters for the parametric study \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots Side view of the mesh used for the parametric study (clipped along $y = 0$) Top view of the mesh used for the parametric study (clipped along $y = 0$) The four main mesh domains: (i) inlet basin, (ii) duct, (iii) spherical gap, (iv) outlet basin (clipped along $y = 0$) \ldots \ldots \ldots	56 57 60 60 61
4.6 4.7	Timeline for simulations in the parametric study	62 65
4.8	Dimensionless velocity profiles for individual cases in part one of the para-	67
4.9	Dimensionless pressure distribution for individual cases in part one of the parametric study	68
4.10	Mach number distribution for individual cases in part one of the parametric study	69

xvii

4.11	Results from the second part of the parametric study: Tangential force F_t and normal forces $F_t = F_t$ and F_t	71
4 1 2	Mesh refinement inside the spherical gap (clipped along $y = 0$)	73
4 13	Timeline for simulations done for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	74
4 14	Temperature distribution in [K] for the case $\dot{V}_{x} = 9 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ $f = 100 \text{ Hz}$	76
4 15	Forces F^p and F^p for all simulations conducted for the prototype	77
4 16	Mean forces \overline{F}^p and \overline{F}^p averaged over rotation frequency f for the prototype	77
4.17	Tangential force F_x^p over rotation frequency f with fitted regression lines	•••
1.11	for each standard volumetric flow rate \dot{V}_N for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	78
4.18	Stationary force transfer $F_{t,0}^p$ and idle rotation frequency f_0^p over volumetric	
	flow rate \dot{V}_N for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	79
4.19	Flow profiles for $f = 0$ Hz, $\dot{V}_N = 8 \text{ m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$	80
4.20	Flow profiles for $f = 50$ Hz, $\dot{V}_N = 8 \text{ m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$	80
4.21	Flow profiles for $f = 100 \text{Hz}, \dot{V}_N = 8 \text{m}^3\text{h}^{-1}$	81
4.22	Flow profiles for $f = 300 \text{Hz}, \dot{V}_N = 8 \text{m}^3\text{h}^{-1}$	81
4.23	Tangential force F_t^p depending on rotation frequency f and standard volu-	
	metric flow rate \dot{V}_N for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	82
4.24	Grinding power P_G^p and $P_{G,\max}^p$ over rotation frequency f with fitted curves	
	for each constant volumetric flow rate \dot{V}_N for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	84
4.25	Grinding power P_G^p depending on rotation frequency f and volumetric flow	
	rate \dot{V}_N for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	85
5.1	Experiment devised to validate fluid-to-solid force transfer	87
5.2	Experimental setup for the dynamometer validation	88
5.3	Computational grid for the dynamometer validation (2D slice) \ldots .	89
5.4	Computational grid for the dynamometer validation (3D view)	89
5.5	Isosurfaces with $\overline{U} = 40 \text{ m/s}$ for flow angles γ (from left) 90°, 60°, and 45°	90
5.6	Normal forces for a flow angle of 90°	91
5.7	Normal and tangential forces for a flow angle of 60°	91
5.8	Normal and tangential forces for a flow angle of 45°	92
6.1	Geometric parameters for the 8 mm <i>GrindBall</i>	96
6.2	Tangential force and normal force plotted over viscosity for three test runs	96
6.3	Tangential force and normal force plotted over gap height	98
6.4	Further geometric parameters for the 8 mm <i>GrindBall</i>	99
6.5	Tangential force and normal force for varying co-duct configurations	99
6.6	Tangential force and normal force plotted over duct diameter	100
6.7	Tangential force and normal force plotted over main duct offset \ldots .	101
6.8	Tangential force and normal force plotted over the angle ϕ	101
6.10	Tangential force and normal force plotted over duct diameter	102
6.9	Tangential force and normal force plotted over main duct offset	102

6.11	8 mm <i>GrindBall</i> module (top left) and its interior represented by CAD	
	imagery (top right and bottom)	104
6.12	Computational domain for the final <i>GrindBall</i> geometry	106
6.13	Grids tested for the final <i>GrindBall</i> geometry. (i) base, (ii) mod 1, (iii)	
	$mod 2$, (iv) $mod 3$, (v) $mod 4$, (vi) $base 2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	107
6.14	Results of the mesh test for air	107
6.15	Results of the mesh test for oil	108
6.16	Pressure and Cavitation number and velocity magnitude for $\dot{V} = 71 \mathrm{min^{-1}}$	110
6.17	Forces F_x^{oil} and F_n^{oil} for all simulations conducted for 8 mm oil $\ldots \ldots$	110
6.18	3D forces F_x^{oil} and F_n^{oil} over rotation frequency f and flow rate \dot{V}	111
6.19	Pressure distribution for two different rotation frequencies f at constant	
	flow rate \dot{V}	111
6.20	Tangential force F_t^{oil} over rotation frequency f with fitted regression lines	
	for each volumetric flow rate \dot{V} for 8 mm oil $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	112
6.21	Stationary force transfer $F_{t,0}^{\text{oil}}$ and idle rotation frequency f_0^{oil} over volumet-	
	ric flow rate \dot{V} for 8 mm oil $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	113
6.22	Tangential force F_t^{oil} depending on rotation frequency f and volumetric	
	flow rate \dot{V} for 8 mm oil $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	114
6.23	Grinding power P_G^{oil} and $P_{G,\max}^{\text{oil}}$ over rotation frequency f with fitted curves	
	for each constant volumetric flow rate \dot{V} for 8 mm oil $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	115
6.24	Grinding power P_G^{oil} depending on rotation frequency f and volumetric	
	flow rate V for 8 mm oil $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	116
7.1	Timeline for simulations done for the <i>GrindBall</i> prototype	118
7.2	Mean pressure distribution inside the spherical gap across three scales	122
7.3	Forces F_r and F_z for all simulations conducted for 40 mm, 8 mm, and 1 mm	123
7.4	Mean forces \overline{F}_r and \overline{F}_r averaged over rotation frequency f for 40 mm,	-
	8 mm, and 1 mm	124
7.5	Tangential force $F_t^{40\text{mm}}$ over rotation frequency f with fitted regression	
	lines for each standard volumetric flow rate \dot{V}_N for 40 mm air	125
7.6	Tangential force F_{\star}^{8mm} over rotation frequency f with fitted regression lines	
	for each standard volumetric flow rate \dot{V}_N for 8 mm air $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	126
7.7	Tangential force $F_t^{1\text{mm}}$ over rotation frequency f with fitted regression	
	curves for each standard volumetric flow rate \dot{V}_N for 1 mm air \ldots	127
7.8	Stationary force transfer $F_{t,0}^{40\text{mm}}$ and idle rotation frequency $f_0^{40\text{mm}}$ over	
	volumetric flow rate \dot{V}_N for 40 mm, 8 mm, and 1 mm	128
7.9	Tangential force $F_t^{40\text{mm}}$ for a 40 mm pneumatic sphere	130
7.10	Tangential force F_t^{8mm} for an 8 mm pneumatic sphere	130
7.11	Tangential force $F_t^{1\text{mm}}$ for a 1 mm pneumatic sphere	131
7.12	Grinding power $P_G^{40\text{mm}}$ and $P_{G,\text{max}}^{40\text{mm}}$ for a 40 mm pneumatic sphere	132
7.13	Grinding power $P_C^{8\text{mm}}$ and $P_C^{8\text{mm}}$ for an 8 mm pneumatic sphere	132