

Christoph Kirsch

**Free movement of judgements within the EU:
abolishment of the exequatur and
reform of the grounds of refusal to enforce?**

-

**The Commission's proposal for a new
Brussels I Regulation**



Cuvillier Verlag Göttingen
Internationaler wissenschaftlicher Fachverlag







Free movement of judgements within the EU:

abolishment of the exequatur and

reform of the grounds of refusal to enforce?

-

The Commission's proposal for a new Brussels I Regulation



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

1. Aufl. - Göttingen : Cuvillier, 2011

Zugl.: Nottingham, Univ., Diss., 2011

978-3-86955-984-1

© CUVILLIER VERLAG, Göttingen 2011

Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen

Telefon: 0551-54724-0

Telefax: 0551-54724-21

www.cuvillier.de

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen.

1. Auflage, 2011

Gedruckt auf säurefreiem Papier

978-3-86955-984-1



Preface

This paper was originally submitted as a thesis in completion of the LL.M. programme in International Commercial Law at the University of Nottingham, United Kingdom.

I would like to extend my appreciation to Prof. James J. Fawcett for encouraging and supervising this thesis. Moreover, I would like to thank my friends and colleagues at the School of Law who made my year in the United Kingdom an unforgettable experience. Special mention should be made for my friend Vasyl Chorny for many hours of discussions and inspiring new ideas, and Jennifer Marie-Luise Wackwitz for her constant support.

The work reflects the state of law on 7 September 2011.

Berlin, December 2011

Christoph Kirsch



Outline

A. Introduction	1
B. Background: review and reform of the Brussels I Regulation	3
C. Abolishment of the exequatur requirement	6
I. Enforcement under Brussels I – the exequatur Requirement	6
II. Enforcement under the Commission’s Proposal	7
III. Discussion of the Proposal: Should the exequatur be abolished?	8
1. The political rationale: mutual trust	8
2. The economic rationale: costs and duration	10
3. Abolishment of the exequatur in other legal instruments	12
4. The functions of exequatur and consequences of an abolishment	14
a. Title import function	14
b. Title inspection function	17
IV. Interim conclusion	18
D. Reform of the defences against the enforcement	19
I. <i>Ordre Public</i>	20
1. Art. 34(1) Brussels I Regulation	20
2. Art. 46 Commission Proposal	22
3. Discussion	22
a. Abolishment of the <i>ordre public</i> defence - fundamental rights concerns	23
(1) The framework of human rights protection in the EU	24
(2) The fundamental rights issues	24
(3) The ECHR and enforcement without an <i>ordre public</i> review	24
(a) Art. 6 ECHR	24
(b) The indirect effects doctrine: <i>Soering, Drozd and Pellegrini</i>	25
(c) Enforcement implementing an obligation of European law	27
(4) Fundamental rights and legality of a Regulation without any review	29
(5) Individual application to the ECtHR - a sufficient remedy?	30
(6) Interim conclusion	31
b. The continuing need for an <i>ordre public</i> review	32
c. Harmonisation of minimum standards	36
d. Art. 46 Proposal – A reduction to procedural <i>ordre public</i>	36
e. Denationalisation – The standard of Art. 47 of the Charter	40
f. Procedure in the Member State of enforcement	41
g. Interim conclusion	42