Phosphorus response and amino acid composition of different green gram (Vigna radiata (L.) K.Wilczek) cultivars and green gram rotation effects on maize (Zea mays L.) growth in Myanmar



Cuvillier Verlag Göttingen

Department of Organic Agriculture and Agroecosystems Research in the Tropics and Subtropics University of Kassel Germany

Phosphorus response and amino acid composition of different green gram (*Vigna radiata (L.) K.Wilczek*) cultivars and green gram rotation effects on maize (*Zea mays L.*) growth in Myanmar

Doctoral Dissertation
submitted for the degree of Doctor of Agricultural Sciences
of the Faculty of Ecological Agricultural Sciences
University of Kassel

Presented by

Myo Kywe

M.Agr.St. (Qld), Australia

Witzenhausen, 20th February, 2006

Bibliografische Information Der Deutschen Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.ddb.de abrufbar.

1. Aufl. - Göttingen : Cuvillier, 2006 Zugl.: Kassel, Univ., Diss., 2006

ISBN 3-86537-788-2

1. Referee: Prof. Dr. Andreas Bürkert

2. Co-referee: Prof. Dr. Maria Renate Finckh

Date of examination: 20th February, 2006

Gedruckt mit Unterstützung des Deutschen Akademischen Austauschdienstes

© CUVILLIER VERLAG, Göttingen 2006 Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen

Telefon: 0551-54724-0 Telefax: 0551-54724-21

www.cuvillier.de

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen.

1. Auflage, 2006 Gedruckt auf säurefreiem Papier

ISBN 3-86537-788-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT	Pages
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LISTS OF FIGURES	V
LIST OF APPENDICES	vii
SUMMARY	Х
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG	xii
Chapter 1	1
General introduction	
1.1. Maize, green gram and rotation systems in Myanmar	
1.2. Aims and hypotheses	
1.3. References	
Chapter 2	
Literature review	
2.1. Nutritional constraints in tropical soils of Myanmar	
2.1.1. Availability and management of phosphorus in tropical soils	
2.1.2. Nitrogen availability and management	
2.1.3. Soil organic matter, soil pH	8
2.1.4. The role of legumes in a rotation	
2.1.5. Farmyard manure management in tropical soils	
2.2. The nutritional value of legume proteins	
2.3. References	
Chapter 3	20
Phosphorus response and amino acid composition of different green gram	(Vigna
radiata (L.) R. Wilczek) cultivars from Myanmar	20
3.1. Introduction	
3.2. Materials and methods	21
3.2.1. Site conditions and experimental set up	21
3.2.2. Pot experiment	
3.2.3. Data analysis	
3.3. Results	
3.3.1. Field experiments at Yezin and Nyaung Oo	
3.3.2. Pot experiment	
3.4. Discussion	
3.5. Conclusions	
3.6. References	
Chapter 4	
Green gram rotation effects on maize growth parameters and soil quality in	
4.4 Laboratoria.	
4.1. Introduction	
4.2. Materials and methods	
4.2.1. Experimental details	
4.2.2. Plant sampling and analysis	
4.2.3. Measurements of mycorrhizae and nematodes	
4.2.4. Data analysis	
4.3. Results	
4.3.1. Green gram	
4.3.2. Maize	51
4.4. Discussion	59

4.4.1. Green gram	59
4.4.2. Maize	59
4.5. Conclusions	61
4.6. References	62
Chapter 5	66
General discussion	66
5.1. General findings	66
5.1.1. P application on green gram	66
5.1.2. Amino acid composition	66
5.1.3. Maize green gram rotation	67
5.1.4. Manure	67
5.1.5. Phosphorus application on maize	67
5.1.6. Legume cultivars and management	67
5.2. Final Recommendations	68
Appendices	69
Acknowledgements	92
Curriculum Vitae	94
Erklärung	95

LIST OF TABLE Pages
Table 1.1. Land utilization in Myanmar in 2003-2004
Table 1.2. Area sown and production of various groups of crops in Myanmar, 2003
2004 (MOAI, 2004)
Table 2.1. N and P contents of different animal manures
Table 3.1. List of improved cultivars and landraces from Myanmar of green gran
tested for their growth response to phosphorus and for their amino acid
composition in a field experiment in Myanmar, 200122
Table 3.2. Combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) table for phosphorus (P) effects
on total dry matter (TDM) and grain yield of different green gram cultivars grown
in field experiments at Yezin and Nyaung Oo, Myanmar (2001-2002)27
Table 3.3. Effects of phosphorus (P) application at 0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ on pes
damage (%) and virus score in different green gram cultivars at Nyaung Oo
Myanmar, 200230
Table 3.4. Effects of phosphorus (P) application at 0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ on pes
damage (%) and virus incidence (scored from 0 to 2) in different green gran
cultivars at Yezin, Myanmar, 20033
Table 3.5. Effects of phosphorus (P) application at 0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ on nitrogen
and phosphorus concentration (mg g ⁻¹) in different green gram cultivars a
Yezin, Myanmar, 200332
Table 3.6. Amino acid concentrations (μmol essential amino acid g ⁻¹ TDM) in seeds
of three improved and seven landraces green gram cultivars from Myanmar
2001
Table 3.7. Effects of phosphorus (P) application at an equivalent rate of 0 and 15 kg
P ha ⁻¹ on total dry matter (TDM), grain yield and root length density (RLD) of
four green gram cultivars grown in a pot experiment34
Table 3.8. Effects of phosphorus (P) application at an equivalent rate of 0 and 15 kg
P ha ⁻¹ on shoot and seed nitrogen (N) concentration (mg g ⁻¹) of four green gran
cultivars grown in a pot experiment35
Table 4.1. Cropping system in field experiments at Yezin, Myanmar
Table 4.2. Combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) table on manure (M) and
phosphorus (P) application effects on green gram grown in a cropping systems
(CS) experiment with continuous maize and maize in rotation with green gram a
Yezin, Myanmar (five seasons from 2002-2004)46
Table 4.3. Effects of manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹), banded phosphorus
(P) fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) and cultivar on nitrogen (N) and F
concentrations of green gram shoots in 2003 at Yezin, Myanmar (2nd rain
season)
Table 4.4. Effects of manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹), banded phosphorus
(P) fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) and cultivar on nitrogen (N) and F
concentrations of green gram shoots in 2003 at Yezin, Myanmar (3 rd dr
season). Means with different letters within columns are significantly different a
P < 0.05
Table 4.5. Effects of manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded
phosphorus (P) fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on nitrogen (N) and F
concentrations of green gram shoots in 2004 at Yezin, Myanmar (4 th rain
season)
Table 4.6. Effects of manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded
phosphorus (P) fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on nitrogen (N) and F
concentrations of green gram shoots in 2004 at Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dr

season). Means with different letters within columns are significantly different at P < 0.0550
Table 4.7. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) table for the time dependent effects (five
seasons from 2002-2004) of cropping system (continuous maize versus green
gram-maize rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded
phosphorus (P) fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on total dry matter (TDM), grain
yield and root length density (RLD) of maize at Yezin, Myanmar55
Table 4.8. Decline of nitrogen (N) and increase of phosphorus (P) concentrations in
maize shoots in a cropping system field experiment at Yezin, Myanmar across
five seasons (2002-2004). Data show treatments means; (n.a. not available)56

477 and 106, respectively. Columns within seasons marked with different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05
Figure 4.6. Effects of cropping system, manure and phosphorus (P) application on root length density maize in a field trial at Yezin, Myanmar (2002-2004). C = continuous maize, R = maize-green gram rotation, M0P0 = no manure, no mineral P; M0P1 = no manure, 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ ; M1P0 = 2 t ha ⁻¹ manure, no mineral P; M1P1 = 2 t ha ⁻¹ manure, 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ . The lsd _{0.05} values are 0.28, 0.65, 0.21, 0.39 and 0.29, respectively. Columns within seasons marked with different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05
Figure 4.7. Effect of phosphorus (P) application (kg ha ⁻¹) and legume residue
management (removal <i>versus</i> incorporation) on grain yield of maize in the 5 th
season at Yezin, Myanmar (2004 dry season). The Isd _{0.05} value was 42.
Columns within seasons marked with different letters are significantly different at
P < 0.05
Figure 4.8. Effects of cropping system and manure application on mycorrhizal
infection of maize in the 2004 rainy and dry season at Yezin, Myanmar (2004). M-M = continuous maize, M-G = maize-green gram rotation; R = legume straw
removed; I = legume straw incorporated. The lsd _{0.05} values 22.6 and 31.0, in
season 4 and 5, respectively. Columns within seasons marked with different
letters are significantly different at P < 0.05
Figure 4.9. Effect of cropping system and manure application on plant-parasitic
nematodes in soils of a maize cropping systems experiment in the 4 th and 5 th
season at Yezin, Myanmar (2004). The data are back transformend after log-
transformation for statistical analysis; Isd _{0.05} values of transformed values are
0.26 and 0.64, respectively. Columns within season marked with different letters
are significantly different at P < 0.0558
Figure 4.10. Soil mineral N dynamics (0-15 cm) in plots planted to continuous maize
and plots under a green gram-maize rotation where legume residues had been
either removed or incorporated before the onset of the maize season in 2004 at
Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dry season)58

LISTS OF APPENDICES
Table 1. Analysis of variance table showing the effects of manure (M) and P
application on three greengram cultivars with at Yezin, Myanmar (1 st rainy
season, 2002)69
Table 2. Analysis of variance table showing the effects of manure (M) and P
application on three greengram cultivars with at Yezin, Myanmar (2st rainy
season, 2003)
Table 3. Analysis of variance table showing the effects of manure (M) and P
application on three greengram cultivars with at Yezin, Myanmar (3 rd dry
season, 2003)
Table 4. Analysis of variance table showing the effects of manure (M) and P
application on three greengram cultivars with at Yezin, Myanmar (4 th rainy
season, 2004)
application on three greengram cultivars with at Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dry season,
2004)71
Table 6. Analysis of variance table for maize grown in a cropping system (CS)
experiment with continuous maize and maize in rotation with greengram and
manure (M) and P application at Yezin, Myanmar (2 nd rainy season, 2003)71
Table 7. Analysis of variance table for maize grown in a cropping system (CS)
experiment with continuous maize and maize in rotation with greengram and
manure (M) and P application at Yezin, Myanmar (3 rd dry season, 2003)72
Table 8. Analysis of variance table for maize grown in a cropping system (CS)
experiment with continuous maize and maize in rotation with greengram and
manure (M) and P application at Yezin, Myanmar (4 th rainy season, 2004)72
Table 9. Analysis of variance table for maize grown in a cropping system (CS)
experiment with continuous maize and maize in rotation with greengram and
manure (M) and P application at Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dry season, 2004)73
Table 10. Analysis of variance table for maize grown in a cropping system (CS)
experiment with continuous maize and maize in rotation with greengram and
manure (M), P application, and Legume management (LM) at Yezin, Myanmar
(5 th dry season, 2004)
Table 11. Effects of manure (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P) fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on leaf area index of maize at Yezin, Myanmar
(1 st rainy season, 2002). Means with different letters within columns are
significantly different at P < 0.0574
Table 12. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize <i>versus</i> green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on leaf area index and ear number of maize at
Yezin, Myanmar (2 nd rainy season, 2003). Means with different letters within
columns are significantly different at P < 0.0575
Table 13. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize versus green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on leaf area index and ear number of maize at
Yezin, Myanmar (3 rd dry season, 2003). Means with different letters within
columns are significantly different at P < 0.0576
Table 14. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize versus green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on leaf area index and ear number of maize at
Yezin, Myanmar (4 th rainy season, 2004). Means with different letters within
columns are significantly different at P < 0.0577

Table 15. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize versus green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on leaf area index and ear number per plot of
maize at Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dry season, 2004)78
Table 16. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize versus green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on plant height and stem diameter of maize at
Yezin, Myanmar (2 nd rainy season, 2003)79
Table 17. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize versus green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on plant height and stem diameter of maize at
Yezin, Myanmar (3 rd dry season, 2003)80
Table 18. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize <i>versus</i> green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on plant height of maize at Yezin, Myanmar (4 th
rainy season, 2004). Means with different letters within columns are significantly
different at P < 0.05
Table 19. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize <i>versus</i> green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on plant height and stem diameter of maize at
Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dry season, 2004)82
Table 20. Treatment means and probabilities of treatment effects on nitrogen and
phosphorus content of maize at Yezin, Myanmar (2 nd rainy season, 2003)83
Table 21. Treatment means and probabilities of treatment effects on nitrogen and
phosphorus content of maize at Yezin, Myanmar (3 rd dry season, 2003)84
Table 22. Treatment means and probabilities of treatment effects on nitrogen and
phosphorus content of maize at Yezin, Myanmar (4 th rainy season, 2004)85
Table 23. Effects of legume residue management (removal versus incorporation),
manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P) application
(0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on nitrogen and P concentration of maize shoots at Yezin,
Myanmar (5 th dry season, 2004)86
Table 24. Effects of cropping system (continuous maize versus green gram maize
rotation), manure application (0 and 2000 kg ha ⁻¹) and banded phosphorus (P)
fertilization (0 and 15 kg P ha ⁻¹) on nitrogen and concentration of maize shoots
at Yezin, Myanmar (5 th dry season, 2004)87
Figure 1. Effects of manure and phosphorus (P) application on grain yield of three
green gram cultivars in a field trial at Yezin, Myanmar (2002). M0P0 = no
manure, no mineral P; M0P1 = no manure, 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ ; M1P0 =2 t ha ⁻¹
manure, no mineral P; M1P1 = 2 t ha ⁻¹ manure, 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ 88
Figure 2. Effect of cropping system, manure and phosphorus (P) application (kg ha
1) on the grain yield of three green gram cultivars during the 2 nd (rainy) and 3 rd
(dry) season in a field trial at Yezin in Myanmar (2003). M0P0 = no manure, no
mineral P; M0P1 = no manure, 15 kg P ha ⁻¹ ; M1P0 =2 t ha ⁻¹ manure, no mineral
Tillielai F, MOFT – 110 Hallule, 13 kg F Ha , MTFO –2 t Ha Hidhule, 110 Hillielai $\frac{1}{2}$ D, M4D4 – $\frac{1}{2}$ + $\frac{1}{2}$ manufactor $\frac{1}{2}$ kg D ha ⁻¹
P; M1P1 = 2 t ha ⁻¹ manure, 15 kg P ha ⁻¹
Plate 1. Green gram protein experiment at Yezin University Farm, 2001
Plate 2. Green gram protein experiment at Nyaung Oo Experimental Farm, 200289
Plate 3. Maize-green gram rotation experiment at Yezin University Farm, 2003 rainy
season
Plate 4. Maize-green gram rotation experiment at Yezin University Farm, 2004 dry
season90

Plate 5. Maize-green gram rotation experiment at Yezin University Farm, 2004 rainy
season91