

Maren Urner

Aus der Reihe: e-fellows.net stipendiaten-wissen

e-fellows.net (Hrsg.)

Band 1241

Investigating the dynamic role of fluctuations in ongoing activity in the human brain

Doctoral Thesis / Dissertation

YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS VALUE



- We will publish your bachelor's and master's thesis, essays and papers
- Your own eBook and book - sold worldwide in all relevant shops
- Earn money with each sale

Upload your text at www.GRIN.com
and publish for free



Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de> .

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author s and publisher s rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

Imprint:

Copyright © 2013 GRIN Verlag
ISBN: 9783656963684

This book at GRIN:

<https://www.grin.com/document/299815>

Maren Urner

Aus der Reihe: e-fellows.net stipendiaten-wissen

e-fellows.net (Hrsg.)

Band 1241

Investigating the dynamic role of fluctuations in ongoing activity in the human brain

GRIN - Your knowledge has value

Since its foundation in 1998, GRIN has specialized in publishing academic texts by students, college teachers and other academics as e-book and printed book. The website www.grin.com is an ideal platform for presenting term papers, final papers, scientific essays, dissertations and specialist books.

Visit us on the internet:

<http://www.grin.com/>

<http://www.facebook.com/grincom>

http://www.twitter.com/grin_com

Investigating the dynamic role of fluctuations in ongoing activity in the human brain

Dissertation submitted for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy of the University College London

Maren Urner

October 2013

Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience
Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging
Institute of Neurology
University College London

Declaration

I, Maren Urner, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in the thesis.

The work presented in Chapters 3 and 4 has been published as the following papers:

Urner, M., Schwarzkopf, D. S., Friston, K., Rees, G. (2013). Early visual learning induces long-lasting connectivity changes during rest in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 77, 148-56.

Urner, M. Sarri, M., Grahm, J., Manly, T., Rees, G., Friston, K. (2013). The role of prestimulus activity in visual extinction. *Neuropsychologia* 51(8), 1630-7.

“The fact that the body is lying down is no reason for
supposing that the mind is at peace. Rest is... far from restful.”
Seneca (~60 A.D. (1969))

Abstract

Traditionally, the focus in cognitive neuroscience has been on so-called evoked neural activity in response to certain stimuli or experiences. However, most of the brain's activity is actually spontaneous and therefore not ascribed to the processing of a certain task or stimulus – or in other words, uncoupled to overt stimuli or motor outputs. In this thesis I investigated the functional role of spontaneous activity with a focus on its role in contextual changes ranging from recent experiences of individuals to trial-by-trial variability in a certain task. I studied the nature of ongoing activity from two perspectives: One looking at *changes* in the ongoing activity due to learning, and the other one looking at the *predictive role* of prestimulus activity using different methodologies, i.e. EEG and fMRI. Finally, I ventured into the realm of inter-individual differences and mind-wandering to investigate the relationship between ongoing activity, certain behavioural traits and neuronal connectivity.

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Declaration..... | 2 |
| Abstract..... | 4 |
| Chapter 1 General introduction..... | 16 |
| 1.1 Spontaneous and evoked activity | 16 |
| 1.2 The study of spontaneous activity | 17 |
| 1.2.1 Electrophysiological research of ongoing activity | 18 |
| 1.2.1.1 Cortical states and response variability | 18 |
| 1.2.1.2 Predictive coding and predictive timing | 21 |
| 1.2.2 Neuroimaging research of ongoing activity | 23 |
| 1.2.2.1 Resting state fluctuations | 24 |
| 1.2.2.2 Vascular basis | 25 |
| 1.2.2.3 Neural basis..... | 26 |
| 1.2.2.4 Functional networks..... | 29 |
| 1.3 The functional role of spontaneous activity | 30 |
| 1.3.1 Perceptual domain | 32 |
| 1.3.2 Motor domain..... | 36 |
| 1.3.3 Cognitive domain | 37 |
| 1.4 Conclusions | 42 |
| 1.5 This thesis | 43 |

Chapter 2 Methods – measuring spontaneous activity 44

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.1 | Introduction | 44 |
| 2.2 | Group versus inter-individual differences versus lesion studies | 44 |
| 2.3 | Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)..... | 47 |
| 2.3.1 | Overview | 47 |
| 2.3.2 | The BOLD response..... | 50 |
| 2.3.3 | How to collect resting state data | 51 |
| 2.3.4 | Pre-processing and noise correction..... | 53 |
| 2.3.5 | Functional connectivity analyses of resting state data | 56 |
| 2.3.6 | DCM – or: going beyond functional connectivity | 61 |
| 2.3.6.1 | Effective connectivity | 61 |
| 2.3.6.2 | Deterministic dynamic causal modelling..... | 62 |
| 2.3.6.3 | Stochastic DCM..... | 65 |
| 2.3.6.4 | Model selection..... | 66 |
| 2.4 | Electroencephalography (EEG)..... | 67 |
| 2.4.1 | Event-related potentials (ERPs)..... | 68 |
| 2.4.2 | Time-frequency analyses (TFAs)..... | 69 |

Chapter 3 Early visual learning induces long-lasting connectivity changes during rest in the human brain 71

| | | |
|-----|--------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 71 |
|-----|--------------------|----|

| | | |
|---|--|-----------|
| 3.2 | Materials and methods..... | 74 |
| 3.2.1 | Participants..... | 74 |
| 3.2.2 | Stimuli and task design | 75 |
| 3.2.3 | Experimental procedure | 76 |
| 3.2.4 | Behavioural analysis | 77 |
| 3.2.5 | fMRI data acquisition..... | 78 |
| 3.2.6 | fMRI data analysis | 78 |
| 3.2.6.1 | Perceptual learning session..... | 79 |
| 3.2.6.2 | Psychophysiological interaction analysis | 80 |
| 3.2.6.3 | Dynamic causal modelling..... | 81 |
| 3.3 | Results | 83 |
| 3.3.1 | Participants showed early rapid learning of the motion task | 83 |
| 3.3.2 | Motion task activated visual, frontal and parietal areas..... | 84 |
| 3.3.3 | Early learning-related modulation of hippocampal activity during task performance..... | 86 |
| 3.3.4 | Learning-related changes in connectivity during rest | 86 |
| 3.3.5 | Dynamic causal modelling..... | 87 |
| 3.4 | Discussion | 90 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion..... | 96 |
| Chapter 4 The role of prestimulus activity in visual extinction..... | | 97 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 97 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 4.1.1 | The phenomenon of visual extinction | 97 |
| 4.1.2 | How does visual extinction relate to spatial neglect? | 97 |
| 4.1.3 | Mechanisms of visual extinction..... | 98 |
| 4.1.4 | Prestimulus activity affects perception | 99 |
| 4.1.5 | Can I analyse visual extinction using prestimulus activity? | 100 |
| 4.2 | Materials and methods..... | 101 |
| 4.2.1 | Participant | 101 |
| 4.2.2 | Design and procedure..... | 103 |
| 4.2.2.1 | Neuropsychological testing..... | 103 |
| 4.2.2.2 | fMRI paradigms | 104 |
| 4.2.2.2.1 | Extinction paradigm (event related design)..... | 104 |
| 4.2.2.2.2 | Stimulus localiser (block design)..... | 105 |
| 4.2.2.2.3 | Stimuli | 105 |
| 4.2.3 | fMRI data acquisition..... | 106 |
| 4.2.4 | Data analysis | 106 |
| 4.2.4.1 | Behavioural data | 106 |
| 4.2.4.2 | fMRI data..... | 106 |
| 4.2.4.2.1 | Extinction paradigm | 107 |
| 4.2.4.2.2 | Stimulus localiser | 108 |
| 4.2.4.2.3 | Peristimulus time histograms (PSTH)..... | 109 |
| 4.2.4.2.4 | Dynamic causal modelling (DCM) | 109 |
| 4.3 | Results | 111 |
| 4.3.1 | Patient showed signs of visual extinction | 111 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.3.2 | Stimulus localiser activated visual areas..... | 112 |
| 4.3.3 | Extinction paradigm produced unseen trials | 113 |
| 4.3.4 | Prestimulus activity in visually responsive areas affects perception . | 114 |
| 4.3.5 | Time-course of responses to seen and unseen trials | 116 |
| 4.3.6 | Perception depends on the coupling between visual areas..... | 118 |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 119 |
| 4.4.1 | Prestimulus activity in visual areas affects stimulus perception | 120 |
| 4.4.2 | Prestimulus activity in other brain areas might play a role | 121 |
| 4.4.3 | Mechanisms behind visual extinction | 122 |
| 4.4.4 | Limitations of the study | 123 |
| 4.4.5 | Methodological aspects..... | 124 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion..... | 125 |

Chapter 5 Effects of ongoing cortical state on ambiguous perception

| | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | | 127 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 127 |
| 5.2 | Materials and methods..... | 131 |
| 5.2.1 | Participants and apparatus..... | 131 |
| 5.2.1.1 | Stimuli..... | 132 |
| 5.2.1.2 | Training and thresholding | 132 |
| 5.2.1.3 | Behavioural task during EEG | 133 |
| 5.2.1.4 | EEG data acquisition | 134 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 5.2.1.5 | fMRI data acquisition and analysis..... | 134 |
| 5.2.2 | EEG data analysis | 135 |
| 5.2.2.1 | Pre-processing..... | 136 |
| 5.2.2.2 | ERP analysis | 137 |
| 5.2.2.3 | Prestimulus analysis..... | 137 |
| 5.3 | Results | 138 |
| 5.3.1 | Behavioural results..... | 138 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Performance and response patterns..... | 139 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Reaction times..... | 141 |
| 5.3.2 | Event-related potentials..... | 141 |
| 5.3.3 | Time frequency analysis of prestimulus activity | 143 |
| 5.4 | Discussion | 146 |
| 5.4.1 | ERP results..... | 147 |
| 5.4.2 | Alpha band oscillations | 147 |
| 5.4.3 | Beta band oscillations | 148 |
| 5.4.4 | Gamma band oscillations | 149 |
| 5.4.5 | Conclusion and future direction..... | 150 |
| Chapter 6 The relationship between mind-wandering, creativity and neuronal coupling..... | | 152 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 152 |
| 6.2 | Materials and methods..... | 156 |

| | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----|
| 6.2.1 | Participants..... | 157 |
| 6.2.2 | Stimuli and task design | 158 |
| 6.2.3 | Experimental procedure | 159 |
| 6.2.4 | Behavioural analysis | 160 |
| 6.2.4.1 | UUT | 160 |
| 6.2.4.2 | Thought probes | 161 |
| 6.2.4.3 | Target detection | 161 |
| 6.2.5 | fMRI data acquisition..... | 161 |
| 6.2.6 | fMRI data analysis | 162 |
| 6.2.6.1 | Pre-processing..... | 162 |
| 6.2.6.2 | Block task | 162 |
| 6.2.6.3 | Incubation task..... | 163 |
| 6.2.6.4 | ROIs | 163 |
| 6.2.6.5 | Dynamic causal modelling..... | 164 |
| 6.3 | Results | 165 |
| 6.3.1 | Behavioural results..... | 165 |
| 6.3.2 | Imaging results | 168 |
| 6.3.2.1 | Task-active regions | 168 |
| 6.3.2.2 | DMN regions | 169 |
| 6.3.2.3 | Stochastic DCM..... | 169 |
| 6.4 | Discussion | 171 |

| | | |
|--|--|------------|
| 6.4.1 | Behavioural results..... | 172 |
| 6.4.2 | Imaging results..... | 174 |
| 6.4.3 | Limitations | 175 |
| 6.5 | Conclusion..... | 176 |
| Chapter 7 General discussion..... | | 177 |
| 7.1 | Overview of findings..... | 177 |
| 7.2 | Implications of this research..... | 180 |
| 7.2.1 | Ongoing activity predicts perception | 180 |
| 7.2.2 | Ongoing activity is modulated by learning and trait variables | 182 |
| 7.2.3 | Cause and effect: the interplay between ongoing and evoked activity | 183 |
| 7.3 | Outstanding questions and conclusion | 184 |
| 7.3.1 | Timescale of changes in ongoing activity and its relation to structural changes | 184 |
| 7.3.2 | Origin and scale of ongoing activity | 186 |
| 7.3.3 | Conclusion or: The function of ongoing activity | 188 |
| References. | | 192 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 3-1 Experimental paradigm.. | 77 |
| Figure 3-2 Behavioural learning and hippocampal activation. | 83 |
| Figure 3-3 Winning model and summed (group) log evidence for all models. | 89 |
| Figure 3-4 Parameter estimates and model fitting reflected consolidation..... | 90 |
| Figure 4-1 The extinction paradigm..... | 102 |
| Figure 4-2 Right parietal lesion. | 102 |
| Figure 4-3 Stimulus localiser activated visual areas in both hemispheres..... | 112 |
| Figure 4-4 Behavioural results of the extinction paradigm..... | 114 |
| Figure 4-5 Visually responsive areas are more active before bilateral seen trials ... | 115 |
| Figure 4-6 Peristimulus time courses show difference before stimulus onset..... | 117 |
| Figure 4-7 Differences in effective connectivity before bilateral seen trials | 119 |
| Figure 5-1 Random dot motion stimulus. | 128 |
| Figure 5-2 Response pattern across participants..... | 139 |
| Figure 5-3 Response pattern over blocks | 140 |
| Figure 5-4 Response repetitions for random and coherent percepts | 141 |
| Figure 5-5 Grand averages comparing correct subliminal and supraliminal trials. . | 142 |
| Figure 5-6 Grand averages comparing periliminal trials | 143 |
| Figure 5-7 Low frequency prestimulus analysis | 145 |
| Figure 5-8 High frequency prestimulus analysis | 145 |
| Figure 5-9 High frequency prestimulus analysis | 146 |
| Figure 6-1 Experimental paradigm | 157 |
| Figure 6-2 Interparticipant differences in mind-wandering and awareness..... | 166 |
| Figure 6-3 Task activations at fusiform gyrus | 169 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 6-4 Correlation between creativity and brain connectivity..... | 171 |
|---|-----|

List of Tables

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 3-1 Main effect of the motion learning task compared to baseline..... | 84 |
| Table 3-2 Main effect of the motion learning task compared to the static control task | 85 |
| Table 4-1 Stimulus localiser activated visual areas | 113 |
| Table 4-2 Activity differences during the extinction paradigm for the baseline period testing for areas that show higher activity before BS compared to BU trials | 116 |
| Table 5-1 Individual MT coordinates | 135 |
| Table 6-1 Individual coordinates of task related activation in the fusiform gyrus .. | 168 |

Chapter 1 General introduction

1.1 Spontaneous and evoked activity

Traditionally, the focus in cognitive neuroscience has been on so-called evoked neural activity in response to certain stimuli or experiences. However, most of the brain's activity is actually spontaneous and therefore not ascribed to the processing of a certain task or stimulus – or in other words, uncoupled to overt stimuli or motor outputs. Possibly, the existence of ongoing intrinsic activity was first noted by Hans Berger when he introduced electroencephalography for humans in 1929 (Berger, 1929), asking whether “it [is] possible to demonstrate the influence of intellectual work upon the human electroencephalogram, insofar as it has been reported here?” to conclude subsequently that “[o]f course, one should not at first entertain too high hopes with regard to this, because mental work, as I explained elsewhere, adds only a small increment to the cortical work which is going on continuously and not only in the waking state”. Four years later, Bishop (1933) reported the potential physiological significance of the ongoing activity describing his experiments with rabbits. He observed cyclic changes in the excitability in visual cortex during stimulation of the optic nerve. Summarising his findings, he stated that “[...] we would look upon the cortex as being in constant activity, the physiological activity of the whole network of neurons bearing some direct relationship to the ‘present state’ of the animal’s complex behavio[u]r which is sometimes referred to as his ‘mental state’”.

Indeed, ongoing activity occurs throughout the brain and its existence is manifested in the variability of cortical responses in repeated responses to physically identical

conditions or stimuli. In the past, this variability had simply been labelled as noise and scientists got rid of it by averaging over repeated trials (Gerstein, 1960; Zohary et al., 1994). However, during the last two decades an increasing number of neuroscientists recognised that ongoing neural activity is not mere noise, but plays a fundamental role in stimulus-driven processing (Arieli et al., 1996; Tsodyks et al., 1999) and behavioural variability indeed (Hesselmann, Kell, Eger, et al., 2008; Coste et al., 2011; Kleinschmidt et al., 2012).

I investigated the characteristics of the ongoing brain activity¹ focusing on its functional role and its role in contextual changes, where contextual changes can be differences in the experience of individuals (e.g. learning-related changes) or can be related to trial-by-trial variability.

1.2 The study of spontaneous activity

Why study ongoing brain activity? Contrary to the focus on evoked activity in neuroscience, spontaneous neural activity dominates the brain's energy consumption (Attwell and Laughlin, 2001; Mintun et al., 2001; Attwell and Iadecola, 2002). The energy consumption during rest exceeds task-related increases in neural metabolism, which are usually < 5 % (Raichle and Mintun, 2006). Thus, the majority of neuroscientific studies are focused on a minor component of brain activity. Maybe it is time for an adjustment or alteration in the neurosciences, shifting towards an

¹ In the literature, different terms have been used to describe ongoing neural activity – as compared to evoked responses – among which are “resting state activity”, “endogenous activity”, “spontaneous activity”, and “autonomous activity”. I use the term “ongoing activity” and “spontaneous fluctuations” interchangeably and refer to activity not evoked by an external stimulus or task.

experimental approach that is indeed focusing on the factor that uses the lion's share of the brain's energy, namely ongoing or spontaneous neural activity.

Although ongoing brain activity has been studied using electrophysiological and neuroimaging methods, its physiological origin and cognitive consequences are not yet fully understood. Crucially, any clarification is difficult by its very nature, because any study that addresses the functional significance of spontaneous fluctuations inevitably requires a primary task-context in order to probe perceptual and / or behavioural consequences of the fluctuations (Hesselmann, Kell, and Kleinschmidt, 2008). Attributed roles of ongoing brain activity span processes at different levels of neural activity and range from the traditional view of “intrinsic noise” over low-level physiological processes and uncontrolled mental activity to a monitoring of the environment (Mantini and Vanduffel, 2013). In conclusion, one of the most intriguing questions in the neurosciences might be related to the functional significance of the brain's “intrinsic noise”.

1.2.1 Electrophysiological research of ongoing activity

The brain is a noisy system whose processing parts – the neurons – receive a large number of fluctuating inputs which in turn generate spike patterns. These often appear very irregular and much of the activity is spontaneous.

1.2.1.1 Cortical states and response variability

Cortical states are determined by the states of individual neurons and the states of individual neurons are in turn related to the state of their neighbours. Possibly the ground-breaking study investigating spontaneous activity and its relation to the large variability of evoked responses to repeated presentations of the same stimulus, is the