

Russell Mutingwende

The Challenge of Reigning-in Hedge Funds through Regulation and the Need to Improve Disclosure Requirements

Master's Thesis

YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS VALUE



- We will publish your bachelor's and master's thesis, essays and papers
- Your own eBook and book - sold worldwide in all relevant shops
- Earn money with each sale

Upload your text at www.GRIN.com
and publish for free



Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de> .

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author s and publisher s rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

Imprint:

Copyright © 2005 GRIN Verlag
ISBN: 9783638003896

This book at GRIN:

<https://www.grin.com/document/86639>

Russell Mutingwende

The Challenge of Reigning-in Hedge Funds through Regulation and the Need to Improve Disclosure Requirements

GRIN - Your knowledge has value

Since its foundation in 1998, GRIN has specialized in publishing academic texts by students, college teachers and other academics as e-book and printed book. The website www.grin.com is an ideal platform for presenting term papers, final papers, scientific essays, dissertations and specialist books.

Visit us on the internet:

<http://www.grin.com/>

<http://www.facebook.com/grincom>

http://www.twitter.com/grin_com

The Challenge of reigning-in Hedge Funds through Regulation and
the Need to improve Disclosure Requirements

by

Russell R. Mutingwende

submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Commerce

in the subject

Business Management

at the

University of Stellenbosch

June 2005

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I owe to many a debt of gratitude for the help I received in preparing this paper.

I am grateful to Professor J.H. van Rooyen for trusting me to write on a topic on which I had limited previous knowledge; for providing me with constant support, guidance and by being consistently available (despite a demanding schedule) to discuss my thoughts and questions at length to my satisfaction. Thank you!

I am also grateful to Ms Eigelaar-Meets and Professor D. Nel for their assistance in the formulation of my questionnaire and survey data analysis without whose input a core feature of this work would not have been possible.

I am especially grateful to all the professionals who took time out of very congested schedules to respond to my queries and to participate in my survey. A special mention goes to Mr Waron Mann and Mr Steven Becker (Abante Capital); Mr Edgar Loxton (Allan Gray); Mr Jan Mouton and Mr Paul Roos (PSG Capital); and Ms Lizelle Steyn, Mr Matthew de Wet and Mr Nic Andrew (Nedcor Retail Investments) for granting me personal interviews and sharing their industry insights with me.

Finally, I would like to thank my family, friends and Reggie for their emotional, spiritual and financial support and for endorsing my decision to return to study further and follow my passion which spawned this work.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to look at the definition of the group of alternative investments commonly known as ‘hedge funds’, in order to better understand why regulatory bodies the world over are vehemently working on introducing new legislation and guidelines as a means of maintaining market security and integrity in order to ensure adequate investor protection. This study posits that the two most viable options available to regulatory bodies to ensure effective implementation of these changes are (i) to either further restrict access to hedge funds and thereby curb their ‘retailization’ and/or (ii) to introduce rigorous levels of disclosure on the part of hedge funds and their intermediaries.

It is the objective of this study to establish that for either of these options to be attained, tangible improvement in both the quantity and quality of information disclosure from hedge funds and their intermediaries about their positions, strategies and exposures in a manner that would enable them to continue to provide the market efficiency-enhancing services that they currently offer. After introducing all the key issues that have motivated this resolve, the study looks at the current regulatory environment and the challenges facing regulators such as the varying degrees of banking freedom offered by different states and jurisdictions. Proposed changes to current legislation are also considered across several jurisdictions. The results from the local market field study set the platform for recommendations to be investigated in future studies in order to provide guidelines for the supervision of the hedge fund industry.

ABSTRACT – AFRIKAANS OPSOMMING

Hierdie studie het ten doel om die definisie van die groep alternatiewe beleggings wat algemeen as ‘skansfondse’ bekend staan, te ondersoek ten einde beter te begryp waarom regulerende liggame wêreldwyd hulle vurig beywer vir die instelling van nuwe wetgewing en riglyne om marksekuriteit en -integriteit te handhaaf ten einde beleggers voldoende te beskerm.

Na die bespreking van die sleutelkwessie, stel die studie ondersoek in na die huidige regulerende omgewing en die uitdagings wat reguleerders in die gesig staar, soos die wisselende grade van bankvryheid wat verskillende state en jurisdiksies bied. Voorgenome veranderinge aan huidige wetgewing vir verskeie jurisdiksies word ook oorweeg.

Die studie toon deur opname in die mark dat die twee mees lewensvatbare opsies tot beskikking van regulerende liggame om te verseker dat hierdie veranderinge doeltreffend geïmplementeer word, is (i) om toegang tot skansfondse verder te beperk en sodoende die ‘verkleinhandel’ (*retailization*) daarvan te kniehalter en/of (ii) om streng vlakke van openbaarmaking vir skansfondse en hulle tussengangers, in te stel. Die studie toon dat enigeen van hierdie opsies tasbare verbetering meebring in sowel die kwantiteit as die kwaliteit van die openbaarmaking van inligting deur skansfondse en hulle tussengangers aangaande hulle posisies, strategieë en blootstelling, en op ’n wyse dat hulle in staat gestel word dat hulle huidige dienste vir verbeterde markdoeltreffendheid, voortgesit word.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Statement of Problem	3
1.2	Aims of the research	3
1.3	Importance of the study	3
1.4	Research methodology.....	4
1.5	Scope and limitations of the study	5
1.6	Brief overview of the study.....	6
1.7	Abbreviations and acronyms.....	8
CHAPTER 2	DEFINITION AND DESCRIPTION OF HEDGE FUNDS	9
2.1	The origin of hedge funds	9
2.2	The Investment process	11
2.2.1	Qualification requirements for US hedge funds.....	12
2.2.2	Investor restrictions for US hedge funds.....	12
2.2.3	Hedge fund structures	13
2.2.4	Investment strategies and styles	13
2.2.5	Advantages and benefits of hedge funds.....	14
2.3	Summary	16
CHAPTER 3	REPORTING STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS.....	17
3.1	Introduction.....	17
3.2	Criticism of hedge funds.....	18
3.3	Transparency	19
3.3.1	Standards and requirements	20
3.3.2	Investor due diligence	21
3.3.3	Investment mandate adherence.....	22
3.4	Benchmarking and performance measurement.....	22
3.4.1	Valuation of Assets	22
3.4.2	Return Calculation	23

3.4.3	Dispersion of returns.....	24
3.5	Risk Management.....	25
3.5.1	Efficient Frontier	26
3.5.2	Mean-Variance	28
3.5.3	The Sharpe Ratio	29
3.5.4	Value at Risk (VaR).....	30
3.5.5	Correlation and the effect of 'herding'	30
3.6	Management fees.....	32
3.7	Index Surveys.....	34
3.7.1	Survivorship Bias	34
3.7.2	Selection Bias	35
3.7.3	Instant History Bias	35
3.8	Fund of Funds	36
3.9	Summary	39
CHAPTER 4	REGULATION AND SUPERVISION OF HEDGE FUNDS.....	41
4.1	Current regulation.....	41
4.1.1	USA	41
4.1.2	UK.....	42
4.1.3	Other countries	43
4.1.3.1	Hong Kong	43
4.1.3.2	Ireland	44
4.1.4	South Africa	45
4.2	Proposed regulatory changes	47
4.2.1	USA	48
4.2.2	UK.....	50
4.2.3	Other countries	52
4.2.3.1	Hong Kong	52
4.2.3.2	Ireland	52
4.2.4	South Africa	53
4.3	Summary	53

CHAPTER 5	EMPIRICAL RESEARCH.....	54
5.1	The plan and procedures of the study.....	54
5.2	Empirical Research.....	57
5.3	Summary	83
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	84
6.1	Summary of findings and conclusions.....	84
6.2	Recommendations	89
6.3	Suggestions for further research.....	91
ANNEXURES	92
	Annexure 1: The CSFB/Tremont Hedge Fund Index values for June 2004	92
	Annexure 2: The Hurst Index	93
	Annexure 3: Nedcor Hedge Fund Review	94
	Annexure 4: BJM Equity Fund.....	95
	Annexure 5 : Survey questionnaire.....	96
	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	120

FIGURES:

Figure 1: Performance of the CSFB/Tremont Index relative to other leading indicators....	15
Figure 2: Risk Management is a puzzle.	25
Figure 3: The Efficient Frontier.....	27
Figure 4: The current South African regulatory structure.....	46

TABLES:

Table 1: The World Bank's long term investment policy asset mix.	28
Table 2: Correlation of hedge fund performance with the size of the fees charged.	32
Table 3: Hedge Funds vs. Fund of Funds investment requirements.....	38
Table 4: Comparison of current vs. proposed thresholds in the USA.	50
Table 5: Q 2.1. Cross-tabulation of assets under management in South Africa.....	58
Table 6: Q 7.1. Cross-tabulation of whether quality should be improved per firm type.	61

Table 7: Q 7.2.1. Communication more relevant to the investment decision of private investors.....	62
Table 8: Q 7.2.2. Communication more understandable to private investors.....	63
Table 9: Q. 7.2.3. Comparability of hedge funds from the point of view of private investors.....	65

GRAPHS:

Graph 1: Q 2.1 Assets under management in South Africa	57
Graph 2: Q2.2 Assets under management: Abroad	59
Graph 3: Q 3.1 Length of hedge fund investing/advising in South Africa	59
Graph 4: Q 3.2 Length of hedge fund investing/advising: Abroad	60
Graph 5: Q 7.2.1 Communication more relevant to the investment decision of private investors.....	62
Graph 6: Q 7.2.2 Communication more understandable to private investors	63
Graph 7: Q 7.2.3 Comparability of hedge funds from the point of view of private investors	64
Graph 8: Q 9 Factors preferred by the respondents for inclusion in a standard prospectus	67
Graph 9: Q 10 Setting of minimum hedge fund thresholds to make them more exclusive	68
Graph 10: Q 11 Setting of minimum FOF thresholds to make them more exclusive	68
Graph 11: Q 13 Restrictions on educating private investors.....	70
Graph 12: Q 15 Accommodation of differences in styles and strategies within a single benchmark	72
Graph 13: Q 19 Introduction of standardised risk measures.....	73
Graph 14: Q22. Fee structures should be improved in terms of aligning manager and investor objectives.....	75
Graph 15: Q 24 Mandatory participation in independent survey	76
Graph 16: Q 25 Retailization of hedge funds in the South African market.....	77
Graph 17: Q 26. Are management skill levels different between hedge fund and fund of fund managers.....	78
Graph 18: Q 29.1 Stricter regulation to safeguard the industry	80
Graph 19: Q 29.3 SRU operating costs to be met by hedge fund operators	82

Chapter 1 Introduction

“It is a riddle wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma” - Sir Winston Churchill

This provocative quote by Mr Churchill, when deliberating on the seemingly unpredictable nature of the then Russian government, may appear prophetic to those who have taken a mild look at the group of alternative investment vehicles known as ‘hedge funds’.

Caldwell (1995) proposes that hedge funds are generally recognised as having been introduced to the world by journalist-turned-investment guru, Alfred Winslow Jones. A.W. Jones’ investment creation combined the concepts of short-selling and leverage into a single-purpose financial instrument. Short-selling involves borrowing a security and selling it to another party in anticipation that the market price of the security will fall before the debt is due enabling the initial buyer to repurchase it - at a lower price- in the market. Thus, the initial buyer (i.e. investor) can ‘return’ the security to the initial lender and earn a profit from the resultant price differential. Leverage is the process of investing with borrowed money as a means of amplifying potential gains.

Jones identified two sources of risk and sought to mitigate these by going *long* on the stocks he considered “undervalued” and *short* on the stocks he considered “overvalued”. By establishing a portfolio consisting of stocks that would be rewarded in part when the market went up with those that would also be rewarded when the market went down; the fund was thus considered to be hedged as losses from one position would be used to offset against profits of the other position. And so was born the term ‘hedge fund’. It may thus come somewhat as a shock to learn that most hedge funds today do not necessarily hedge at all – deliberately, so! Hedge funds are one of the largest growing investment vehicles amongst private investors, and endowment funds. Even the World Bank is heavily invested in them. Data from Managed Account Reports Inc. (Mar/Hedge) estimated that in 1998 US\$110 billion was invested in hedge funds. Figures quoted from Hedge Fund Review (HFR) puts the current asset under management for the year ending December 2003 at US\$817 billion. E-financialnews.com estimates that total assets invested in hedge funds will exceed US\$1 trillion by the end of December 2004. This forecast, however, still pales in comparison to the US\$25-plus trillion that is currently estimated to be invested in mutual funds, pension funds and insurance companies.

According to the Investment Company Institute, the funds are “*highly regulated financial entities that comply with federal laws and regulations. In particular, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulates [them] under the Investment Company Act of 1940, ...which imposes restrictions not only on [the] funds but also on their investment advisers, principal underwriters, directors, officers and employees*”.

However, the aforementioned attributes only apply to the mutual funds, pension funds and insurance companies, to the exclusion of hedge funds. Consequently, hedge funds are not bound by most of the aforementioned regulatory authorities because they fall beyond the reach of most regulatory platforms.

Hedge funds are an alternative investment group of assets that, in terms of classification, fall into a grey area. As with lifestyles and artistic genres, alternative investments define the exclusion of all that is in the mainstream. The term hedge fund is commonly, but erroneously, used to define the entire class of alternative investment vehicles, when in fact they are a subset, albeit a pre-eminent one as a result of the ability to attract seemingly ever increasing investor funds (see Kunene, 2002:8). Hedge funds find private equity and venture capitalists as neighbours under this banner. Gregoriou and Rouah (2003), in referring to earlier work by Bing (2000) and Brown et al. (1997), aptly noted that the current problem with hedge funds is that there is a dearth of academic literature available defining their operations as “most of the literature and analysis of hedge funds has focused on assessing their performance”.

Despite the billions of dollars entrusted to them, great uncertainty regarding hedge funds still persists. Even amongst academics and leading hedge fund managers, there is still no clear definition for the term ‘hedge funds’, and that is just the tip of the iceberg. The recurring issue is that research on hedge funds has been inhibited as a result of the lack of disclosure by industry participants. Subsequently, the present size of the hedge fund universe can at best only be estimated as significant numbers of hedge funds elect not to participate in statistical surveys conducted by index providers such as TASS and Mar/Hedge