### **Lars Windauer**

### Performance analysis of an XForms framework with the main focus on profiling by example of Chiba

**Diploma Thesis** 



## YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS VALUE



- We will publish your bachelor's and master's thesis, essays and papers
- Your own eBook and book sold worldwide in all relevant shops
- Earn money with each sale

### Upload your text at www.GRIN.com and publish for free



### Bibliographic information published by the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the National Bibliography; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de .

This book is copyright material and must not be copied, reproduced, transferred, distributed, leased, licensed or publicly performed or used in any way except as specifically permitted in writing by the publishers, as allowed under the terms and conditions under which it was purchased or as strictly permitted by applicable copyright law. Any unauthorized distribution or use of this text may be a direct infringement of the author s and publisher s rights and those responsible may be liable in law accordingly.

#### **Imprint:**

Copyright © 2007 GRIN Verlag ISBN: 9783638900164

#### This book at GRIN:

https://www.grin.com/document/85134

# Performance analysis of an XForms framework with the main focus on profiling by example of Chiba

### **GRIN - Your knowledge has value**

Since its foundation in 1998, GRIN has specialized in publishing academic texts by students, college teachers and other academics as e-book and printed book. The website www.grin.com is an ideal platform for presenting term papers, final papers, scientific essays, dissertations and specialist books.

### Visit us on the internet:

http://www.grin.com/ http://www.facebook.com/grincom http://www.twitter.com/grin\_com Technische Fachhochschule Berlin

(University of Applied Science) Department: Informatics and Media Studies: Media Informatics

# Performance Analysis of an XForms Framework with the Main Focus on Profiling by Example of Chiba

# Thesis

submitted by

### Lars Windauer

at

2<sup>nd</sup> July 2007

### Acknowledgements

Several people in the back supported me to write this thesis:

First and most important my parents. They paid a small fortune for university, books and "needed" infrastructure, except the Project Blackbox datacenter. But PowerBook and MacBook were more than a mercy. My girlfriend Saadya supported me morally during the long time of concentration on this thesis.

Technically this thesis would not have been possible without the 4 years of relish education at the TFH Berlin. Lectures from operating systems or software development to J2EE and XML were the needed base to write this thesis.

Equal important was one year of work for the Chiba XForms project. Joern Turner introduced me into the XForms universe. This thesis would not exist in this appearance without the half year internship at Chiba and another half year working as XForms application developer with Joern Turner.

Robert Netzschwitz, my friend and fellow student. Accompanying me through the studies and private life. Due to our equal interests we created an infrastructure as base for our theses. By exploring tools like Maven and Together Architect. Or by sharing an internet server to run our versioning control system, Wiki and several other servers needed within our studies.

Thank you all.

### Content

1.	Perf	ormance & Profiling	1
	1.1.	Performance	1
	1.2.	Performance in the Software Development Life Cycle	7
	1.3.	Profiling	8
2. Methods		nods	.13
	2.1.	Modelling Approach	. 13
	2.2.	Measurement Approach	.14
	2.3.	Testing	.14
	2.4.	Benchmarking	.16
	2.5.	Monitoring	.17
	2.6.	Exhaustive Analysis	.20
	2.7.	Hotspot Analysis Approach	.21
	2.8.	Exploring the Domain	.23
	2.9.	Verify & Validate	. 24
	2.10.	Tuning Approach	28
	2.11.	Conclusion	.29
3. XForms / Chiba Fundamentals		rms / Chiba Fundamentals	.31
	3.1.	XForms	.31
	3.2.	Chiba	32
	3.3.	Conclusion	.35
4. Performance Analysis Iteration			
4.	Perf	ormance Analysis Iteration	37
4.	Perf 4.1.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System	. <b>37</b> 37
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service	. <b>37</b> 37 38
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba	. <b>37</b> 37 38 39
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots	.37 37 38 .39 .41
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles.	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44 .47
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44 .47 .54
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions</b>	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44 .47 .54
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1.	Ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>Ng XForms Actions</b> Tuning preparations.	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44 .47 .54 .63
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions</b> Tuning preparations Analyse Bottleneck	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44 .47 .54 .63 .63
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3.	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions</b> Tuning preparations Analyse Bottleneck Confute Hypothesis	.37 38 .39 .41 .44 .47 54 .63 .65 .66
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4.	Ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions</b> Tuning preparations Analyse Bottleneck Confute Hypothesis The Tuning Process	. <b>37</b> 37 38 39 41 44 47 54 63 65 66 66
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5.	Ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions</b> Tuning preparations Analyse Bottleneck Confute Hypothesis The Tuning Process Prove Enhancement	. <b>37</b> 38 39 41 44 54 63 65 66 66 78
4.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6.	Ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions.</b> . Tuning preparations Analyse Bottleneck Confute Hypothesis The Tuning Process Prove Enhancement Finale	. <b>37</b> 37 38 39 41 44 54 63 65 66 66 66 78 82
4. 5. 6.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6. Clos	ormance Analysis Iteration	. 37 38 39 41 44 54 63 65 66 66 78 82 82
4. 5. 6. 7.	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6. Clos Bibli	ormance Analysis Iteration Utilised System Profiling Tools Put into Service Acquiring Information about Chiba Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots XForms Test Bundles Test Setup Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions <b>ng XForms Actions.</b> . Tuning preparations Analyse Bottleneck Confute Hypothesis The Tuning Process Prove Enhancement Finale <b>ing.</b>	. 37 38 39 41 44 54 63 65 66 66 66 78 82 83 83
<ol> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> </ol>	Perf 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. 4.7. Tuni 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6. Clos Bibli App	ormance Analysis Iteration         Utilised System         Profiling Tools Put into Service         Acquiring Information about Chiba         Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots         XForms Test Bundles         Test Setup         Verify Hypothesis About Hotspots 3 - XForms Actions         ng XForms Actions         Tuning preparations         Analyse Bottleneck         Confute Hypothesis         Prove Enhancement         Finale         ing	.37 .38 .39 .41 .44 .47 .54 .63 .65 .66 .66 .78 .82 .82 .83 .85 .89

### Abstract

The goal of this thesis is to analyse a common XForms framework (Chiba) with the focus on profiling for performance inadequacies and to fix them if possible. A prototype of a largely automated performance measurement setup to underpin the analysis and the verification of enhancements has been designed and implemented.

The thesis starts with a theoretical part to specify terms & methodologies. Before the paper comes to its practical part the analysed project is introduced. Afterwards a practical performance analysis from the first steps to the narrow of the analysed project is exemplarily described.

In detail, chapter 1 Performance & Profiling elaborates how to quantify and profile performance in theory. Chapter 2 Methods introduces various methods to analyse performance. XForms and the analysed product Chiba are presented in chapter 3 XForms / Chiba Fundamentals. The implemented performance analysis is described in chapter 4 Performance Analysis Iteration and chapter 5 Tuning XForms Actions.

The Performance Analysis Iteration focuses on the design and implementation of tests to analyse and validate potential performance shortages, while Tuning XForms Actions illustrates how profiling techniques can be utilised not only to analyse but also to enhance a validated shortage. Finally - in chapter 6 Closing- the results of the analysis and the enhancements are reconsidered.

An overview of figures and tables, referenced resources as well as the appendix can be found at the end of the thesis. Project files and measurement results are placed on the at-tached CD.

# 001

"You cannot control what you cannot measure" DeMarco, T

### 1.Performance & Profiling

Before starting the analysis some definitions have to be made as a base for the further thesis.

### 1.1. Performance

"Someone's or something's performance is how successful they are or how well they do something" (cp. [Corb06, p. 1066]).

This definition of performance does not only focus on how someone or something is or does something. But it implies to be or do something successful. Hence, it must be possible to measure performance to analyse someone's or something's performance.

To prove that someone is more, equal or less successful a scope within performance can be compared is needed. An example: Stanley won the Grand Challenge (GP) II<sup>1</sup>. Hence Stanley was performing best within that race. The GP II was a race by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) in 2005. Robot cars had to drive autonomously 175 miles through the Mojave Desert (USA). Hence, Stanley was not the driver but a VW Touareg V5 modified by the Stanford University Racing Team. And within this race in 2005 Stanley was proven to be the best performing robot car.



Figure 1 Bailey's Human Performance Model (fig.: [Rubi94, p. 4])

A model to analyse human performance is Bailey's human

performance model displayed in Figure 1. The three categories and their co-operation can be understood as: somebody (human) does something (activity) in someplace (context). Comparing this to the former example works too: Stanley (machine) was the fastest driving (activity) car and won the Grand Challenge II in 2005 (context).

Before going deeper into the details of performance we take a look why performance matters at all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DARPA. Grand Challenge. 2007. http://www.darpa.mil/grandchallenge05/gcorg/index.html