



# Modern Psychometrics

The Science of Psychological Assessment

Fourth Edition

John Rust, Michal Kosinski, and David Stillwell

# Modern Psychometrics

This popular text introduces the reader to all aspects of psychometric assessment, including its history, the construction and administration of traditional tests, and the latest techniques for psychometric assessment online.

Rust, Kosinski, and Stillwell begin with a comprehensive introduction to the increased sophistication in psychometric methods and regulation that took place during the 20th century, including the many benefits to governments, businesses, and customers. In this new edition, the authors explore the increasing influence of the internet, wherein everything we do on the internet is available for psychometric analysis, often by AI systems operating at scale and in real time. The intended and unintended consequences of this paradigm shift are examined in detail, and key controversies, such as privacy and the psychographic microtargeting of online messages, are addressed. Furthermore, this new edition includes brand-new chapters on item response theory, computer adaptive testing, and the psychometric analysis of the digital traces we all leave online.

*Modern Psychometrics* combines an up-to-date scientific approach with full consideration of the political and ethical issues involved in the implementation of psychometric testing in today's society. It will be invaluable to both undergraduate and postgraduate students, as well as practitioners who are seeking an introduction to modern psychometric methods.

**John Rust** is the founder of The Psychometrics Centre at the University of Cambridge, UK. He is a Senior Member of Darwin College, UK, and an Associate Fellow of the Leverhulme Centre for the Future of Intelligence, University of Cambridge, UK.

**Michal Kosinski** is an Associate Professor of organizational behavior at the Stanford Graduate School of Business, USA.

**David Stillwell** is the Academic Director of the Psychometrics Centre at the University of Cambridge, UK. He is also a reader in computational social science at the Cambridge Judge Business School, UK.



# Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

# **Modern Psychometrics**

The Science of Psychological Assessment

**Fourth Edition**

**John Rust, Michal Kosinski, and  
David Stillwell**

Fourth edition published 2021  
by Routledge  
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

and by Routledge  
52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

© 2021 John Rust, Michal Kosinski and David Stillwell

The right of John Rust, Michal Kosinski, and David Stillwell to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*Trademark notice:* Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

First edition published in 1989  
Third edition published by Routledge 2009

*British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Names: Rust, John, 1943- author. | Kosinski, Michal, author. | Stillwell, David, author.

Title: Modern psychometrics : the science of psychological assessment/John Rust, Michal Kosinski and David Stillwell.

Description: Fourth edition. | Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon ; New York, NY: Routledge, 2021. | Includes bibliographical references and index. |

Identifiers: LCCN 2020034344 (print) | LCCN 2020034345 (ebook) | ISBN 9781138638631 (hardback) | ISBN 9781138638655 (paperback) | ISBN 9781315637686 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Psychometrics.

Classification: LCC BF39 .R85 2009 (print) | LCC BF39 (ebook) | DDC 150.28/7--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020034344>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020034345>

ISBN: 978-1-138-63863-1 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-138-63865-5 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-315-63768-6 (ebk)

Typeset in Bembo  
by MPS Limited, Dehradun

# Contents

<i>Preface to the fourth edition</i>	<i>xii</i>
<b>1 The history and evolution of psychometric testing</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>What is psychometrics?</i>	1
<i>Psychometrics in the 21st century</i>	2
<i>History of assessment</i>	4
<i>Chinese origins</i>	4
<i>The ability to learn</i>	5
<i>The 19th century</i>	7
<i>Beginnings of psychometrics as a science</i>	7
<i>Intelligence testing</i>	8
<i>Eugenics and the dark decades</i>	9
<i>Psychometric testing of ability</i>	11
<i>The dark ages come to an end</i>	11
<i>An abundance of abilities</i>	12
<i>Tests of other psychological constructs</i>	13
<i>Personality</i>	13
<i>Integrity</i>	14
<i>Interests</i>	16
<i>Motivation</i>	16
<i>Values</i>	16
<i>Temperament</i>	17
<i>Attitudes</i>	17
<i>Beliefs</i>	18
<i>Summary</i>	18
<b>2 Constructing your own psychometric questionnaire</b>	<b>20</b>
<i>The purpose of the questionnaire</i>	20
<i>Making a blueprint</i>	20

Content areas	21
Manifestations	21
Writing items	24
Alternate-choice items	24
Multiple-choice items	24
Rating-scale items	25
All questionnaires	26
Knowledge-based questionnaires	26
Person-based questionnaires	27
Designing the questionnaire	28
Background information	28
Instructions	28
Layout	29
Piloting the questionnaire	30
Item analysis	31
Facility	31
Discrimination	32
Distractors	33
Obtaining reliability	33
Cronbach's alpha	34
Split-half reliability	34
Assessing validity	35
Face validity	35
Content validity	35
Standardization	36

### 3 The psychometric principles

38

Reliability	38
Test-retest reliability	38
Parallel-forms reliability	39
Split-half reliability	40
Interrater reliability	40
Internal consistency	40
Standard error of measurement (SEM)	41
Comparing test reliabilities	42
Restriction of range	42
Validity	43
Face validity	43
Content validity	43
Predictive validity	43
Concurrent validity	44

Construct validity	44
Differential validity	45
Standardization	45
Norm referencing	46
Criterion referencing	51
Equivalence	52
Differential item functioning	55
Measurement invariance	55
Adverse impact	56
Summary	57

## **4 Psychometric measurement** 58

True-score theory	58
Identification of latent traits with factor analysis	60
Spearman's two-factor theory	60
Vector algebra and factor rotation	62
Moving into more dimensions	64
Multidimensional scaling	65
Application of factor analysis to test construction	66
Eigenvalues	66
Identifying the number of factors to extract using the Kaiser criterion	66
Identifying the number of factors to extract using the Cattell scree test	67
Other techniques for identifying the number of factors to extract	67
Factor rotation	67
Rotation to simple structure	69
Orthogonal rotation	69
Oblique rotation	69
Limitations of the classical factor-analytic approach	70
Criticisms of psychometric measurement theory	70
The Platonic true score	71
Psychological vs. physical true scores	71
Functional assessment and competency testing	72
Machine learning and the black box	74
Summary	74

## **5 Item response theory and computer adaptive testing** 76

Introduction	76
Item banks	76
The Rasch model	77

<i>Assessment of educational standards</i>	77
<i>The Birnbaum model</i>	78
<i>The evolution of modern psychometrics</i>	78
<i>Computer adaptive testing</i>	79
<i>Test equating</i>	79
<i>Polytomous IRT</i>	79
<i>An intuitive graphical description of item response theory</i>	80
<i>Limitations of classical test theory</i>	80
<i>A graphical introduction to item response theory</i>	82
<i>The logistic curve</i>	82
<i>3PL model: difficulty parameter</i>	83
<i>3PL model: discrimination parameter</i>	84
<i>3PL model: guessing parameter</i>	84
<i>The Fisher information function</i>	85
<i>The test information function and its relationship to the standard error of measurement</i>	86
<i>How to score an IRT test</i>	88
<i>Principles of computer adaptive testing</i>	89
<i>Summary of item response theory</i>	91
<i>Confirmatory factor analysis</i>	92

## 6 Personality theory

93

<i>Theories of personality</i>	94
<i>Psychoanalytic theory</i>	94
<i>Humanistic theory</i>	96
<i>Social learning theory</i>	97
<i>Behavioral genetics</i>	98
<i>Type and trait theories</i>	100
<i>Different approaches to personality assessment</i>	101
<i>Self-report techniques and personality profiles</i>	101
<i>Reports by others</i>	103
<i>Online digital footprints</i>	103
<i>Situational assessments</i>	104
<i>Projective measures</i>	104
<i>Observations of behavior</i>	104
<i>Task performance methods</i>	105
<i>Polygraph methods</i>	105
<i>Repertory grids</i>	106
<i>Sources and management of bias</i>	106
<i>Self-report techniques and personality profiles</i>	107
<i>Reports by others</i>	107
<i>Online digital footprints</i>	108
<i>Situational assessments</i>	108

<i>Projective measures</i>	108
<i>Observations of behavior</i>	108
<i>Task performance methods</i>	109
<i>Polygraph methods</i>	109
<i>Repertory grids</i>	109
<i>Informal methods of personality assessment</i>	109
<i>State vs. trait measures</i>	110
<i>Ipsative scaling</i>	110
<i>Spurious validity and the Barnum effect</i>	111
<i>Summary</i>	112

## **7 Personality assessment in the workplace 113**

<i>Prediction of successful employment outcomes</i>	114
<i>Validation of personality questionnaires previously used in employment</i>	114
<i>Historical antecedents to the five-factor model</i>	114
<i>Stability of the five-factor model</i>	115
<i>Cross-cultural aspects of the five-factor model</i>	115
<i>Scale independence and the role of facets</i>	117
<i>Challenges to scale construction for the five-factor model</i>	117
<i>Impression management</i>	118
<i>Acquiescence</i>	118
<i>Response bias and factor structure</i>	118
<i>Development of the five OBPI personality scales</i>	119
<i>Assessing counterproductive behavior at work</i>	120
<i>The impact of behaviorism</i>	120
<i>Prepsychological theories of integrity</i>	121
<i>Modern integrity testing</i>	121
<i>Psychiatry and the medical model</i>	122
<i>The dysfunctional tendencies</i>	123
<i>The dark triad</i>	125
<i>Assessing integrity at work</i>	125
<i>The OBPI integrity scales</i>	126
<i>Conclusion</i>	127

## **8 Employing digital footprints in psychometrics 129**

<i>Introduction</i>	129
<i>Types of digital footprints</i>	131
<i>Usage logs</i>	131
<i>Language data</i>	131
<i>Mobile sensors</i>	131
<i>Images and audiovisual data</i>	132
<i>Typical applications of digital footprints in psychometrics</i>	132

Replacing and complementing traditional measures	132
New contexts and new constructs	132
Predicting future behavior	133
Studying human behavior	133
Supporting the development of traditional measures	133
Advantages and challenges of employing digital footprints in psychometrics	134
High ecological validity	134
Greater detail and longitude	135
Less control over the assessment environment	135
Greater speed and unobtrusiveness	136
Less privacy and control	136
No anonymity	137
Bias	138
Enrichment of existing constructs	139
Developing digital-footprint-based psychometric measures	139
Collecting digital footprints	139
Preparing digital footprints for analysis	141
Reducing the dimensionality of the respondent-footprint matrix	143
Building prediction models	150
Summary	151

## 9 Psychometrics in the era of the intelligent machine 152

History of computerization in psychometrics	152
Computerized statistics	153
Computerized item banks	153
Computerized item generation	154
Automated advice and report systems	154
The evolution of AI in psychometrics	155
Expert systems	156
Neural networks (machine learning)	157
Parallel processing	158
Predicting with statistics and machine learning	159
Explainability	161
Psychometrics in cyberspace	162
What and where is cyberspace?	162
The medium is the message	163
Moral development in AI	164
Kohlberg's theory of moral development	165
Do machines have morals?	166

<i>The laws of robotics</i>	167
<i>Artificial general intelligence</i>	167
<i>Conclusion</i>	168

<i>References</i>	169
<i>Index</i>	172

# Preface to the fourth edition

It is now 30 years since the first edition of *Modern Psychometrics* was published, and in that time the science has continued to make great strides. Many of the future possibilities tentatively discussed in the first and second editions are now accepted realities. Since the publication of the third edition in 2009, the internet has completely revolutionized our lives. Psychometrics has played a major part in this, much of it good, some not so good. Psychometric profiles derived from our online digital activity are the subject of constant AI scrutiny, providing corporations, political parties, and governments with tools to nudge us for their own benefit and not always in our best interest. But also, psychographic microtargeting of information based on these profiles enables individualized learning, information retrieval, and purchasing of preferred products on a scale previously undreamed of. It is the engine that drives the big tech money machine and the digital economy.

At the same time, psychometrics continues to play a central role in improving examination systems in our schools and universities, recruitment and staff development in human-resources management, and development of research tools for academic projects. This book is intended to provide both a theoretical underpinning to psychometrics and a practical guide for professionals and scholars working in all these fields. In this new edition we outline the history and discuss central issues such as IQ and personality testing and the impact of computer technology. It is increasingly recognized that modern psychometricians, because their role is so central to fair assessment and selection, must not only continue to take a stand on issues of racism and injustice but also contribute to debates concerning privacy and the regulation of corporate and state power.

The book includes a practical step-by-step guide to the development of a psychometric test. This enables anyone who wishes to create their own test to plan, design, construct, and validate it to a professional standard. Knowledge-based tests of ability, aptitude, and achievement are considered, as well as person-based tests of personality, integrity, motivation, mood, attitudes, and clinical symptoms. There is extensive coverage of the psychometric principles of reliability, validity, standardization, and bias, knowledge of which is essential for the testing practitioner, whether in school examination boards, human-resources departments, or academic research. The fourth edition has been extensively updated and expanded to take into account recent developments in the field, making it the ideal companion for those wishing to achieve qualifications of professional competence in testing.

But today, no psychometrics text would be complete without extensive coverage of key issues in testing in the online environment made possible by advances in internet

technology. Computer adaptive testing and real-time item generation are now available to any psychometrician with the necessary know-how and access to the relevant software, much of it open source, such as Concerto (The Psychometrics Centre, 2019). Psychometric skills are currently in enormous demand, not just from classic markets but also for new online applications that require understanding and measuring the unique traits of individuals, such as the provision of personalized health advice, market research, online recommendations, and persuasion. The fourth edition extends coverage of these fields to provide advice to computer scientists and AI specialists on how to develop and understand computer adaptive tests and online digital-footprint analysis.

The groundwork for the collaboration that led to this fourth edition was established in The Psychometrics Centre at the University of Cambridge. We were very fortunate to have been supported by an amazing team, without whose enthusiasm, creativity, ambition, and drive many of the revolutionary developments in psychometrics would not have been possible. Among them are Iva Cek, Fiona Chan, Tanvi Chaturvedi, Kalifa Damani, Bartosz Kielczewski, Shining Li, Przemyslaw Lis, Aiden Loe, Vaishali Mahalingam, Sandra Matz, Igor Menezes, Tomoya Okubo, Vesselin Popov, Luning Sun, Ning Wang and Youyou Wu. Many have now dispersed to all corners of the world, but their work continues, and there is much more yet to do. Finally, thanks are due to Peter Hiscocks and Christoph Loch, who facilitated the move of the The Psychometrics Centre to the Judge Business School, and to Susan Golombok, the original coauthor, for her generosity and support in the preparation of this new edition.



# Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

# 1 The history and evolution of psychometric testing

## Introduction

People have always judged each other in terms of their skills, potential, character, motives, mood, and expected behavior. Since the beginning of time, skill in this practice has been passed on from generation to generation. Being able to evaluate our friends, family, colleagues, and enemies in terms of these attributes is fundamental to us as human beings. Since the introduction of the written word, these opinions and evaluations have been recorded, and our techniques for classifying, analyzing, and improving them have become not just an art but also a technology that has played an increasing role in how societies are governed. Triumphant in this field has been the secret of success in war, business, and politics. As with all technologies, it has been driven by science—in this case, the science of human behavior, the psychology of individual differences, and, when applied to psychological assessment, psychometrics.

In the 20th century, early psychometricians played a key role in the development of related disciplines such as statistics and biometrics. They also revolutionized education by introducing increasingly refined testing procedures that enabled individuals to demonstrate their potential from an early age. Psychometrics required statistical and computational know-how, as well as data on a large scale, before its impact could be felt. Today, we think of big data in terms of the technological revolution, but large-scale programs implementing the analysis of human data on millions of individuals date back to over 100 years ago in the form of early national censuses and military recruitment. These early scientists did not see their subject as just an interesting academic discipline; they were also fascinated by its potential to improve all our lives. And indeed, in most ways it has, but it has been a long and rocky road—with many false starts, and indeed disasters, on the way. In this chapter, we start with definitions, followed by an evaluation of future potential, a history, a warning about past missteps, applause for current successes, and an invitation to learn from history's lessons. It is said that those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it. Let us all make sure that this does not happen, but rather that we can bring about a future that sees human potential expand to the stars.

## What is psychometrics?

Psychometrics is the science of psychological assessment, and has traditionally been seen as an aspect of psychology. But its impact has been much broader. The scientific principles that underpin psychometrics apply equally to other forms of assessment such as educational examinations, clinical diagnoses, crime detection, credit ratings, and staff

## 2 *History and evolution of psychometric testing*

recruitment. The early psychometricians were equally at home in all of these fields. Since then, paths have often diverged, but they have generally reunited as the importance of advances made in one context come to the attention of workers in other areas. Currently, great strides are being made in the application of machine-learning techniques and big-data analytics—particularly in the analysis of the digital traces we all leave online—and these are beginning to have a significant impact across a broad range of applications. These are both exciting and disturbing times.

We experience psychometric assessment in many of our activities, for example:

- We are tested throughout our education to inform us, our parents, teachers, and policy makers about our progress (and the efficiency of teaching).
- We are assessed at the end of each stage of education to provide us with academic credentials and inform future schools, colleges, or employers about our strengths and weaknesses.
- We must pass a driving test before we are allowed to drive a car.
- Many of us need to pass a know-how or skills test to be able to practice our professions.
- We are assessed in order to gain special provisions (e.g., for learning difficulties) or to obtain prizes.
- When we borrow money or apply for a mortgage, we must complete credit scoring forms to assess our ability to repay the debt.
- We are tested at work when we apply for a promotion and when we seek another job.
- Our playlists are analyzed to assess our music tastes and recommend new songs.
- Our social media profiles are analyzed—sometimes without our consent—to estimate our personality and choose the advertisements that we are most likely to click.

Assessment can take many forms: job interviews, school examinations, multiple-choice aptitude tests, clinical diagnoses, continuous assessment, or analysis of our online footprints. But despite the wide variety of applications and manifestations, all assessments should share a common set of fundamental characteristics: they should strive to be accurate, measure what they intend to measure, produce scores that can be meaningfully compared between people, and be free from bias against members of certain groups. There are good assessments and bad assessments, and psychometrics is the science of how to maximize the quality of the assessments that we use.

### **Psychometrics in the 21st century**

Psychometrics depends on the availability of data on a large scale, and so it is no surprise that the advent of the internet has massively boosted its influence. If we had to date the internet, we would probably start at CERN, the European Organization for Nuclear Research, in Geneva, with Tim Berners-Lee's invention of the World Wide Web in 1990; he linked the newly developed hypertext markup language (HTML) to a graphic user interface (GUI), thereby creating the first web pages. Since then, the web has expanded to make Marshall McLuhan's "global village" a reality (McLuhan, 1964). The population of this global village grew from a handful of academics in the early 1990s to a diverse and vibrant community of one billion users in 2005, and to over four billion users

(representing more than 50% of the world's population) in 2020. Thus, within less than 20 years, the new medium of cyberspace came into existence, creating a completely new science with new disciplines, new experts, and, of course, new problems. Some aspects of this new science are exceptional. While the science of biology is only 300 years old, and that of psychology considerably younger, both their subjects of study—humans and life itself—have existed for millions of years. Not so the internet. Hence the cyberworld is unique, and it is hard to predict what to expect of its future. It is also a serious disruptor; it has completely changed the nature of its adjacent disciplines, especially computer and information sciences, but also psychology and its progeny, psychometrics.

By the year 2000, the migration of psychometrics into the online world was well underway, producing both new opportunities and new challenges, particularly for global examination organizations such as the Educational Testing Service (ETS) at Princeton and Cambridge Assessment in the UK. On the positive side, gone were the massive logistical problems involved in securely delivering and recovering huge numbers of examination papers by road, rail, and air from remote parts of the world. But the downside was that examinations needed to take place at fixed times during the school or working day, and it became possible for candidates in, say, Singapore to contact their friends in, say, Mexico with advance knowledge of forthcoming questions. Opportunities for cheating were rife. To counter these challenges, the major examination boards and test publishers turned to the advantages offered by large item banks and computer adaptive testing, the psychometricians' own version of machine learning. However, it was the development of the app—an abbreviation of “application” used to describe a piece of software that can be run through a web browser or on a mobile phone—that was to prove the most disruptive to traditional ways of thinking about psychometric assessment.

One such app was David Stillwell's myPersonality, published on Facebook in 2007 (Stillwell, 2007; Kosinski, Stillwell, & Graepel, 2013; Youyou, Kosinski, & Stillwell, 2015). It offered its users a chance to take a personality test, receive feedback on their scores, and share those scores—if they were so inclined—with their Facebook friends. It was similar to countless other quizzes widely shared on Facebook around that time, yet it employed an established and well-validated personality test taken from the International Personality Item Pool (IPIP), an open-source repository established in the 1990s for academic use as a reaction to test publishers' domination of the testing world. The huge popularity of myPersonality was unforeseen. Within a few years, the app had collected over six million personality profiles, generated by enthusiasts who were interested to see the sort of results and feedback about themselves that had previously only been available to psychology professionals. It was one of psychometrics' first encounters with the big-data revolution.

But the availability of psychometric data on such a grand scale was to have unexpected consequences. Many saw opportunities for emulating the procedure in online advertising, destined to become the major source of revenue for the digital industry. Once the World Wide Web existed, it could be searched or trawled by search engines, the most ubiquitous of which is Google. In the mid-1990s, search engines simply provided information. By 2010 they did so with a scope and accuracy that exceeded all previous expectations; information on anything or anyone was ripe for the picking. But those who wished to be found soon became active players on the scene—it was the advertising industry's new paradise. The battle to reach the top in search league

tables—or, at the very least, the first results page—began in earnest. Once online advertising entered the fray, it became a new war zone. The battle for the keywords had begun. Marketing was no longer about putting up a board on the high street; it was about building a digital presence in cyberspace that would bring customers to you in droves. By the early 2000s, no company or organization could afford not to have a presence in cyberspace. For a high proportion of customers, companies without some digital presence simply ceased to exist.

While web pages were the first universally available data source in cyberspace, social networks soon followed, and these opened a whole new world of individualized personal information about their users that was available for exploitation. Not only was standard demographic information such as age, marital status, gender, occupation, and education available, but there were also troves of new data such as the words being used in status updates and tweets, images, music preferences, and Facebook Likes. And these data sources soon became delicious morsels in a new informational feeding frenzy. They were mined extensively by tech companies and the marketing industry to hone their ability to target advertisements to the most relevant audiences—or, to put it another way, to those who might be most vulnerable to persuasion. The prediction techniques used were the same as those that had been used by psychometricians for decades: principal component analysis, cluster analysis, machine learning, and regression analysis. These were able to predict a person's character and future behavior with far more accuracy than simple demographics. Cross-correlating demographics with traditional psychometric data, such as personality traits, showed that internet users were giving away much more information about their most intimate secrets than they realized. Thus, online psychographic targeting was born. This new methodology, creating clickbait and directing news feeds using psychological as well as demographic data, was soon considered to be far too powerful to exist in an unregulated world. But this will prove one day to have been just the midpoint in a journey that began many centuries ago.

## **History of assessment**

### ***Chinese origins***

Employers have assessed prospective employees since the beginnings of civilization, and have generated consistent and replicable techniques for doing this. China was the first country to use testing for the selection of talents (Jin, 2001; Qui, 2003). Earlier than 500 BCE, Confucius had argued that people were different from each other. In his words, “their nature might be similar, but behaviors are far apart,” and he differentiated between “the superior and intelligent” and “the inferior and dim” (Lun Yu, Chapter Yang Huo). Mencius (372–289 BCE) believed that these differences were measurable. He advised: “assess, to tell light from heavy; evaluate, to know long from short” (Mencius, Chapter Liang Hui Wang). Xunzi (310–238 BCE) built upon this theory and advocated the idea that we should “measure a candidate's ability to determine his position [in the court]” (Xun Zi, Chapter Jun Dao).

Thus, over 2,000 years ago, much of the fundamental thinking that today underpins psychometric testing was already in place, as were systems that used this in the selection of talents. In fact, there is evidence that talent selection systems appeared in China even before Confucius. In the Xia Dynasty (c. 2070–1600 BCE), the tradition of selecting officers by competition placed heavy emphasis on physical strength and skills, but by the time of the Zhou Dynasty (1046–256 BCE) the content of the tests had changed. The

emperor assessed candidates not only based on their shooting skills but also in terms of their courteous conduct and good manners. From then on, the criteria used for the selection of talent grew to include the “Six Skills”: arithmetic, writing, music, archery, horsemanship, and skills in the performance of rituals and ceremonies; the “Six Conducts”: filial piety, friendship, harmony, love, responsibility, and compassion; and the “Six Virtues”: insight, kindness, judgment, courage, loyalty, and concord. During the Warring States period (475–271 BCE), oral exams became more prominent. In the Qin Dynasty, from 221 BCE, the main test syllabus primarily consisted of the ability to recite historical and legal texts, calligraphy, and the ability to write official letters and reports. The Sui (581–618 CE) and Tang Dynasties (618–907 CE) saw the introduction of the imperial examinations, a nationwide testing system that became the main method of selecting imperial officials. Formal procedures required—then as they do now—that candidates’ names should be concealed, independent assessments by two or more assessors should be made, and conditions of examination should be standardized. The general framework of assessment set down then—including a “syllabus” of material that should be learned and rules governing an efficient and fair “examination” of candidates’ knowledge—has not changed for 3,000 years. While similar but less sophisticated frameworks may have existed in other ancient civilizations, it was models based on the Chinese system that were to become the template for the modern examination system. The British East India Company, active in Shanghai, introduced the Chinese system to its occupied territories in Bengal in the early 19th century. Once the company was abolished in 1858, the system was adopted by the British for the Indian Civil Service. It subsequently became the template for civil service examinations in England, France, the USA, and much of the rest of the world.

### ***The ability to learn***

It has long been recognized by teachers that some students are more capable of learning than others. In Europe in 375 BCE, for example, Socrates asked his student Glaucon:

When you spoke of a nature gifted or not gifted in any respect, did you mean to say that one man will acquire a thing hastily, another with difficulty; a little learning will lead the one to discover a great deal; whereas the other, after much study and application, no sooner learns than he forgets; or again, did you mean that the one has a body that is a good servant of his mind, while the body of the other is a hindrance to him? – Would not these be the sort of differences which distinguish the man gifted by nature from the one who is ungifted?

Plato, (449a–480a) *Respublica* V)

This view of the ability to learn, generally referred to as intelligence, was very familiar to European scientists in the 19th century—almost all would have studied Greek at school and university. Intelligence was not education but educability, and represented an important distinction between the educated person and the intelligent person. An educated person is not necessarily intelligent, and an uneducated person is not necessarily unintelligent.

In medieval Europe, the number of people entitled to receive an education was very small. However, the Reformation and then the Industrial Revolution were transformative. In Europe, the importance of being able to read the Bible in a native