

ROUTLEDGE STUDIES IN DEVELOPMENT ECONOMICS

# Trade, Investment and Economic Development in Asia

Empirical and policy issues

Edited by  
Debashis Chakraborty  
and Jaydeep Mukherjee



# Trade, Investment and Economic Development in Asia

In an era of globalization, trade in goods and cross-border services and capital flows play a key role in determining the economic growth path of countries. Over the last two decades, countries have embarked on several alternate tracks to liberalize and deepen their linkage with the world economy. The growing trade–investment nexus and the emerging developments lead to deeper international production networks, rise in cross-border trade in services and in regional trade agreements and so on.

The debate of whether it is possible to empirically validate the potential benefits of this deepening trade–investment linkage is ongoing. The evidence in literature is, however, ambiguous. This book contributes to the literature by looking at Asian economies and at the EU, Maghreb countries and Pacific Island economics. It examines the issues under four broad areas, namely: (1) trade: theoretical and policy issues, (2) factor flows: impact on trade and welfare, (3) impact of trade and factor flows on environment and (4) institutions, international trade and policy issues.

**Debashis Chakraborty** is Assistant Professor at the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, New Delhi. His research interests include international trade policy and WTO negotiations, environmental sustainability and Indian economic development. He has co-edited the books *Environmental Challenges and Governance: diverse perspectives from Asia* and *Environmental Scenario in India: successes and predicaments*, both published by Routledge.

**Jaydeep Mukherjee** is Assistant Professor at the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, New Delhi. His research interests include financial-sector and real-sector economy interlinkage, open-economy macroeconomy, macro-economic policy and applied econometrics. He has been involved in more than fifteen research projects commissioned by ministries of Indian government, multilateral bodies and corporate entities.

## Routledge Studies in Development Economics

For a full list of titles in this series, please visit [www.routledge.com](http://www.routledge.com)

- |     |  |     |  |
|-----|--|-----|--|
| 99  | <b>State-Business Relations and Economic Development in Africa and India</b><br><i>Edited by Kunal Sen</i>   | 106 | <b>The Universal Social Safety-Net and the Attack on World Poverty</b><br>Pressing need, manageable cost, practical possibilities, favourable spillovers<br><i>Anthony Clunies-Ross and Mozammel Huq</i> |
| 100 | <b>Digital Interactions in Developing Countries</b><br>An economic perspective<br><i>Jeffrey James</i>   |     |  |
| 101 | <b>Migration and Inequality</b><br><i>Edited by Tanja Bastia</i>   | 107 | <b>Youth and Employment in Sub-Saharan Africa</b><br>Working but poor<br><i>Edited by Hiroyuki Hino and Gustav Ranis</i>   |
| 102 | <b>Financing Regional Growth and the Inter-American Development Bank</b><br>The case of Argentina<br><i>Ernesto Vivares</i>                              | 108 | <b>Financial Stability and Growth</b><br>Perspectives on financial regulation and new developmentalism<br><i>Edited by Luiz Carlos Bresser-Pereira, Jan Kregel and Leonardo Burlamaqui</i>               |
| 103 | <b>Globalization and Development</b><br>Rethinking interventions and governance<br><i>Edited by Arne Bigsten</i>   |     |  |
| 104 | <b>Disasters and the Networked Economy</b><br><i>J.M. Albala-Bertrand</i>  | 109 | <b>A History of Development Economics Thought</b><br>Challenges and counter-challenges<br><i>Shabrukh Rafi Khan</i>  |
| 105 | <b>Microfinance, Debt and Over-Indebtedness</b><br>Juggling with money<br><i>Edited by Isabelle Guérin, Solène Morvant-Roux and Magdalena Villarreal</i> | 110 | <b>Economic Complexity and Human Development</b><br>How economic diversification and social networks affect human agency and welfare<br><i>Dominik Hartmann</i>  |

- 111 Building Businesses in Emerging and Developing Countries**  
Challenges and opportunities  
*Edited by Elie Chrysostome and Rick Molz*
- 112 The Informal Economy in Developing Countries**  
*Edited by Jean-Pierre Cling, Stéphane Lagrée, Mireille Razafindrakoto and François Roubaud*
- 113 African Industrial Development and European Union Cooperation**  
Prospects for a reengineered partnership  
*Francis A.S.T. Matambalya*
- 114 Developmental Macroeconomics**  
New developmentalism as a growth strategy  
*Luiz Carlos Bresser-Pereira, José Luis Oreiro and Nelson Marconi*
- 115 Industrial Innovation, Networks and Economic Development**  
Informal information sharing in low-technology clusters in India  
*Anant Kamath*
- 116 Employment and Inclusive Development**  
*Rizwanul Islam and Iyanatul Islam*
- 117 Growth and Institutions in African Development**  
*Edited by Augustin K. Fosu*
- 118 Public Finance and Economic Growth in Developing Countries**  
Lessons from Ethiopia's reforms  
*Stephen B. Peterson*
- 119 Peripheral Visions of Economic Development**  
New frontiers in development economics and the history of economic thought  
*Edited by Mario Garcia-Molina and Hans-Michael Trautwein*
- 120 The Political Economy of Natural Resources and Development**  
From Neoliberalism to resource nationalism  
*Edited by Paul A. Haslam and Pablo Heidrich*
- 121 Institutional Innovation and Change in Value Chain Development**  
Negotiating tradition, power and fragility in Afghanistan  
*Holly A. Ritchie*
- 122 China's War against the Many Faces of Poverty**  
Towards a New Long March  
*Jing Yang and Pundarik Mukhopadhaya*
- 123 Exploring Civic Innovation for Social and Economic Transformation**  
*Edited by Kees Biekart, Wendy Harcourt and Peter Knorringa*
- 124 Trade, Investment and Economic Development in Asia**  
Empirical and policy issues  
*Edited by Debashis Chakraborty and Jaydeep Mukherjee*
- 125 The Financialisation of Power in Africa**  
*Sarah Bracking*

This page intentionally left blank

# **Trade, Investment and Economic Development in Asia**

Empirical and policy issues

**Edited by Debashis Chakraborty  
and Jaydeep Mukherjee**

First published 2016  
by Routledge  
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge  
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

© 2016 Debashis Chakraborty and Jaydeep Mukherjee

The right of the editor to be identified as the author of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*Trademark notice:* Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

*British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

A catalog record for this book has been requested

ISBN: 978-1-138-96243-9 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-315-61739-8 (ebk)

Typeset in Galliard  
by Apex CoVantage, LLC

# Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <i>List of figures</i>  | x         |
| <i>List of tables</i>   | xii       |
| <i>Contributors</i>   | xv        |
| <i>Foreword by Surajit Mitra</i>  | xvii      |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i>   | xx        |
| <i>Abbreviations</i>  | xxii      |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>1 Editors' introduction: an Asian perspective of global trade and investment dynamics</b>                        | <b>1</b>  |
| DEBASHIS CHAKRABORTY AND JAYDEEP MUKHERJEE  |           |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>PART I</b>   |           |
| <b>Trade: empirical and policy issues</b>   | <b>23</b> |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>2 India's vertical and horizontal intra-industry trade during post-liberalization period</b>                     | <b>25</b> |
| HEMANGI K. KELKAR AND L. G. BURANGE   |           |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>3 Emerging production network between India and ASEAN: an analysis of value-added trade in select industries</b> | <b>41</b> |
| BISWAJIT NAG  |           |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>4 Global crises and Iran–India trade relations: a gravity analysis</b>   | <b>68</b> |
| SEYED KOMAIL TAYEBI AND ZAHRA ZAMANI  |           |
| <br>  |           |
| <b>5 Determinants of exports of Indian manufacturing: a firm-level analysis</b>                                     | <b>82</b> |
| ANIRBAN BISWAS  |           |



|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>6 Services trade policy and trade performance:<br/>the case of India</b>  | <b>99</b>  |
| HILDEGUNN KYVIK NORDÅS AND DOROTHÉE ROUZET   |            |
| <b>7 Accountancy services sector in Asia:<br/>case study of India</b>  | <b>119</b> |
| PRALOK GUPTA AND RUPA CHANDA   |            |
| <b>8 The effect of the euro on bilateral trade<br/>and exports of EMU economies</b>                                      | <b>136</b> |
| MOHD HUSSAIN KUNROO  |            |
| <b>PART II</b>   |            |
| <b>Foreign capital flows: impact on trade and welfare</b>  | <b>159</b> |
| <b>9 Does foreign direct investment form human capital?<br/>A study with world input–output data in India</b>            | <b>161</b> |
| GUNJA BARANWAL   |            |
| <b>10 Special economic zones and agriculture:<br/>an alternative theorisation</b>  | <b>175</b> |
| SOUMYATANU MUKHERJEE AND SAMEEN ZAFAR  |            |
| <b>11 Effect of foreign capital inflows on the Indian<br/>manufacturing sector: a firm-level study</b>                   | <b>195</b> |
| VAISHNAVI SHARMA   |            |
| <b>12 The impact of financial integration and external shocks<br/>on economic growth: analysis for Maghreb countries</b> | <b>213</b> |
| SOUMIA ZENASNI AND ABDERREZZAK BENHABIB  |            |
| <b>13 Trends, determinants and challenges of foreign<br/>direct investment in emerging markets</b>                       | <b>234</b> |
| PRAVIN JADHAV, VIJAYA KATTI AND RAHUL NATH CHOUDHURY   |            |
| <b>PART III</b>  |            |
| <b>Trade–fiscal policy interface and environmental<br/>implications</b>  | <b>247</b> |
| <b>14 Environmental policy instruments for international<br/>trade: a review</b>   | <b>249</b> |
| SACCHIDANANDA MUKHERJEE AND DEBASHIS CHAKRABORTY   |            |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>15 Impact of carbon-based border tax adjustment on trade:<br/>a case study of India's export to select EU countries</b>                       | <b>263</b> |
| SOUVIK BHATTACHARJYA, NITYA NANDA<br>AND SASWATA CHAUDHURY   |            |
| <b>16 How CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are influenced by <i>scale, composition</i><br/>and <i>technique effects</i>: panel data analysis results</b> | <b>282</b> |
| DEBASHIS CHAKRABORTY AND SACCHIDANANDA MUKHERJEE   |            |
| <b>PART IV</b>   |            |
| <b>Institutions, international trade and policy issues</b>   | <b>301</b> |
| <b>17 WTO and food security in developing countries:<br/>unfair provisions</b>   | <b>303</b> |
| SACHIN KUMAR SHARMA  |            |
| <b>18 Handling non-tariff measures in RTAs:<br/>case of ASEAN and SAARC</b>  | <b>316</b> |
| RAJAN SUDESH RATNA   |            |
| <b>19 Pacific integration with Asia</b>  | <b>335</b> |
| DIBYENDU MAITI AND SUNIL KUMAR   |            |
| <b>20 Editors' conclusion: trade and investment issues<br/>in Asia – lessons for the future</b>  | <b>350</b> |
| DEBASHIS CHAKRABORTY AND JAYDEEP MUKHERJEE   |            |
| <i>Index</i>   | <b>377</b> |

# Figures

|       |   |     |
|-------|---|-----|
| 2.1   | India's IIT at eight-digit level  | 30  |
| 3.1   | India's trade with ASEAN  | 42  |
| 3.2   | India's import of intermediate and capital goods from ASEAN                                       | 42  |
| 3.3   | India's export and import of specific goods to/from ASEAN   | 43  |
| 3.4   | Types of GVC governance   | 45  |
| 3.5   | Network and chain (net-chain) approach among the actors of production                             | 47  |
| 3.6   | Participation level in GVC  | 50  |
| 6.1   | STRI scores by sector, India  | 102 |
| 6.2   | STRI scores by sector – comparison with the BIICS   | 103 |
| 6.3   | STRI for insurance before and after the reform, India   | 111 |
| 6.4   | STRI for insurance and non-life insurance penetration   | 112 |
| 6.5   | STRI for distribution before and after the reform, India  | 113 |
| 7.1   | World Bank STRI for accountancy services, selected Asian countries                                | 121 |
| 7.2   | OECD STRI for accountancy services  | 122 |
| 9.1   | Sectoral clustering of FDI in India – cumulative total FDI  | 163 |
| 9.2   | Spatial clustering of FDI in India  | 163 |
| 9.3a  | Human capital formation in India over the years   | 164 |
| 9.3b  | Human capital formation in India over the years – total higher education institutes               | 164 |
| 9.4   | Clustering of human capital (higher education) in India – concentration of educational institutes | 165 |
| 10.1  | Equilibrium in the domestic market for ' <i>m</i> '   | 184 |
| 12.1  | Intra-Maghreb comparison of GDP per capita in PPP terms   | 217 |
| 12.2  | International comparison of GDP per capita in PPP terms   | 217 |
| A12.2 | Impulse response functions to an external shock   | 230 |
| A12.3 | Generalized impulse response functions based on MVTAR model                                       | 231 |
| 13.1  | Macro-level trends in FDI inflows in emerging market economies over 2002–12                       | 239 |
| 13.2  | Sector-level trends of FDI inflows in emerging market economies over 2003–12                      | 239 |

|       |   |     |
|-------|---|-----|
| 15.1  | Ranking of countries to be potentially impacted by GHG emission (2010)                                  | 264 |
| 15.2  | Ranking of potentially impacted countries based on their exports to US (2010)                           | 264 |
| 15.3  | Estimated sectoral CO <sub>2</sub> emission   | 272 |
| 15.4  | Germany's import demand elasticity for India's goods  | 273 |
| 15.5  | France's import demand elasticity for India's goods   | 273 |
| 16.1  | Average per-capita CO <sub>2</sub> emission scenario for countries belonging to different income groups | 287 |
| 16.2  | Average merchandise trade scenario for countries belonging to different income groups                   | 288 |
| 16.3  | Average service trade scenario for countries belonging to different income groups                       | 288 |
| 16.4  | Relationship between log of per-capita CO <sub>2</sub> emissions and key variables                      | 293 |
| 17.1  | Production and procurement of wheat   | 309 |
| 17.2  | Comparison of minimum support price of wheat with ERP   | 310 |
| 17.3  | Trend in inflation indicators – CPI and WPI food  | 310 |
| 17.4  | Comparison of minimum support price of wheat with ERP   | 311 |
| 17.5  | Comparison of CPI and CPI excess inflation index in India   | 311 |
| 18.1  | SPS notifications to WTO  | 320 |
| 18.2  | TBT notifications to WTO  | 321 |
| 18.3  | Guidelines for regionalization  | 322 |
| 18.4  | Intra-ASEAN export share  | 325 |
| 18.5a | Distribution of HS 8415 import to Indonesia, 1997–2013  | 327 |
| 18.5b | Distribution of HS 8418 import to Singapore, 1997–2013  | 327 |
| 18.5c | Distribution of HS 8528 import to Thailand, 1997–2013   | 328 |
| 18.5d | Distribution of HS 8536 import to Singapore, 1997–2013  | 328 |
| 18.5e | Distribution of HS 8541 import to Singapore, 1997–2013  | 329 |
| 18.6  | Intra-regional exports share of SAARC   | 331 |
| 19.1  | Trade share of PICs to Australia and New Zealand (per cent)   | 346 |
| 19.2  | Trade share of PICs to major Asian economies (per cent)   | 346 |

# Tables

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 1.1  | Key economic features of select Asian economies  | 8  |
| 1.2  | Trade-investment scenario of select Asian economies  | 10 |
| 2.1  | Share of intra-industry traded products  | 31 |
| 2.2  | Share of HIIT and VIIT in India's IIT  | 33 |
| 2.3  | Segregation of India's IIT index into HIIT and VIIT  | 34 |
| A2.1 | Classified IIT products during 1990–91 to 2013–14  | 37 |
| A2.2 | Index of India's product quality space for sections  | 38 |
| 3.1  | Various upgrading under IPN  | 47 |
| 3.2  | Participation in GVC by Asian countries: year-wise participation index   | 51 |
| 3.3  | Net value added in exports in GVCs: ratio of forward to backward linkages  | 52 |
| 3.4  | 'Distance to final demand index' reflecting country's position in industry-wise value chain                        | 53 |
| 3.5  | Index of number of production stages in selected industries  | 54 |
| 3.6  | Share (per cent) of value added from selected trade partners embodied in India's gross exports                     | 55 |
| 3.7  | Share (per cent) of value added from selected trade partners embodied in ASEAN's gross exports                     | 56 |
| 3.8  | Total foreign value addition by countries in India's final demand of select industries                             | 57 |
| A3.1 | Origin of value-added source industry in India's final demand of transport equipment                               | 60 |
| A3.2 | Origin of value-added source industry in India's final demand of machinery equipment                               | 62 |
| A3.3 | Origin of value-added source industry in India's final demand of electrical and optical equipment                  | 64 |
| 4.1  | Trends in India–Iran bilateral trade   | 69 |
| 4.2  | Trade values and ranks of Iran's top ten trading partners  | 70 |
| 4.3  | India's rank on trade with Iran  | 70 |
| 4.4  | Measures of <i>GL</i> intra-industry trade, on average, for Iran and India in the two-digit level during 1991–2013 | 72 |

|       |   |     |
|-------|---|-----|
| 4.5   | Estimated results for Iran–India bilateral (inter-industry) trade: 1992–2013  | 77  |
| 4.6   | Estimated results for Iran–India intra-industry trade (IIT): 1992–2013  | 78  |
| 5.1   | Variable measurement for export determinant analysis  | 87  |
| 5.2   | Estimation results on probability of exports  | 90  |
| 5.3   | Estimation results on intensity of exports  | 91  |
| 6.1   | Services exports and imports by sector, 2014  | 101 |
| 6.2   | STRI and cross-border exports of services   | 106 |
| 6.3   | Gravity exports of cars and services restrictions   | 109 |
| 6.4   | Exports of cars and services restrictions, importer fixed effects   | 110 |
| A6.1  | STRI sector coverage  | 115 |
| A6.2  | STRI country coverage   | 116 |
| 7.1   | Selected Asian countries' accounting, auditing, bookkeeping, and tax consulting services exports and imports, 2006–13 | 120 |
| 8.1   | Empirical studies on trade effects of currency unions using gravity modelling   | 139 |
| 8.2   | Coefficient estimates of the gravity equation   | 148 |
| 8.3   | Lag selection of dependent variable   | 148 |
| 8.4   | Estimates of bilateral trade of EMU countries   | 149 |
| A8.1  | Integration timeline of European countries  | 153 |
| 9.1   | Effect of FDI on relative wages   | 167 |
| 9.2   | Human capital formation effects of FDI  | 168 |
| A9.2  | ISIC and NIC codes matching   | 171 |
| 11.1  | Year-wise number of observations  | 200 |
| 11.2  | Industry-wise number of observations in the sample  | 201 |
| 11.3  | Summary statistics  | 202 |
| 11.4  | Firm types and their frequency  | 202 |
| 11.5  | GMM estimation for performance variables  | 204 |
| 11.6  | Robustness check for performance variables  | 205 |
| 11.7  | Impact of capital inflows on the performance variables of large firms   | 206 |
| 11.8  | GMM estimates of performance variables with different financing sources   | 207 |
| 11.9  | Robustness check for different financing sources  | 208 |
| 12.1  | Overview of AMU countries in 2012   | 216 |
| 12.2  | Unit root test results  | 221 |
| 12.3  | The Johansen cointegration test results   | 221 |
| 12.4  | The fraction of the variance of the <i>macro-economic variables</i> due to <i>external shocks</i> , 1990–2012         | 222 |
| 12.5  | Linearity test results  | 224 |
| 12.6  | Results of non-linearity test based on MVTAR model  | 225 |
| A12.1 | Definition and sources of variables   | 228 |
| 13.1  | Results of panel data analysis for all emerging economies   | 240 |
| 13.2  | Results of sectoral analysis (macro-economic variables)   | 241 |

|       |  |     |
|-------|--|-----|
| 13.3  | Results for sectoral analysis (qualitative variables)  | 242 |
| A13.1 | Description of variables used in regression analysis   | 244 |
| 15.1  | CO <sub>2</sub> emission per unit of output  | 271 |
| 15.2  | Impact on India's exports to Germany under<br>two BTA scenarios  | 274 |
| 15.3  | Impact on India's exports to France under<br>two BTA scenarios   | 275 |
| A15.1 | List of sectors  | 278 |
| 16.1  | Influence of <i>scale</i> , <i>composition</i> and <i>technique effects</i><br>on per-capita CO <sub>2</sub> emissions | 290 |
| 16.2  | Influence of <i>interaction dummies</i> on per-capita CO <sub>2</sub> emissions  | 295 |
| 17.1  | Aggregate measurement of support in India  | 307 |
| 17.2  | Composition of domestic support in India   | 308 |
| 18.1  | SPS – top fifteen notifying countries to WTO   | 321 |
| 18.2  | Priority products where harmonisation has taken<br>place in ASEAN  | 324 |
| 19.1a | Top ten trading partners of select PICs  | 341 |
| 19.1b | Top ten trading partners of select PICs  | 342 |
| 19.2  | Export shares of PICs  | 343 |
| 19.3  | Import shares of PICs  | 344 |
| 19.4  | Growth of bilateral trade flow and contribution of trade<br>cost reduction   | 347 |
| 20.1  | Comparing tariff profile of select Asian countries<br>over 1988–2000 and 2001–13                                       | 353 |
| 20.2a | Service Trade Restrictiveness Index (STRI) of select<br>Asian countries across sectors and delivery modes              | 356 |
| 20.2b | Service Trade Restrictiveness Index (STRI) of select<br>Asian countries across sectors and delivery modes              | 358 |
| 20.3  | Average market share of major exporters of merchandise<br>and service sector from Asia                                 | 360 |
| 20.4  | Logistics Performance Index (LPI) for select<br>Asian countries  | 362 |
| 20.5  | Correlation coefficient for per-capita GDP of<br>South Asian countries   | 371 |
| 20.6  | Correlation coefficient for per-capita GDP of East<br>and Southeast Asian countries                                    | 372 |

# Contributors

**Gunja Baranwal** is Research Fellow at the Centre for Studies in Social Sciences (CSSS), Calcutta.

**Abderrezzak Benhabib** is Professor of Economics and Management and Director of Laboratory MECAS, University of Tlemcen, Algeria.

**Souvik Bhattacharjya** is Researcher at the Green Growth and Resource Efficiency Division of The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), New Delhi.

**Anirban Biswas** is Assistant Professor of Economics at the Ambedkar University, New Delhi.

**L. G. Burange** is Professor of International Economics at the Department of Economics, University of Mumbai, Mumbai.

**Debashis Chakraborty** is Assistant Professor of Economics at the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT), New Delhi.

**Rupa Chanda** is Professor of Economics and Social Sciences at the Indian Institute of Management (IIM), Bangalore.

**Saswata Chaudhury** is Researcher at the Green Growth and Resource Efficiency Division of The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), New Delhi.

**Rahul Nath Choudhury** is Research Associate at the Institute for Studies in Industrial Development (ISID), New Delhi.

**Pralok Gupta** is Assistant Professor at the Centre for WTO Studies, Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT), New Delhi.

**Pravin Jadhav** is Assistant Professor of Economics at the University of Petroleum and Energy Studies (UPES), Dehradun.

**Vijaya Katti** is Professor of Economics and Chairperson (Management Development Programme) at the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT), New Delhi.

**Hemangi K. Kelkar** is Doctoral Research Scholar at the Department of Economics, University of Mumbai, Mumbai.



**Sunil Kumar** is Senior Lecturer in the School of Economics, University of the South Pacific, Fiji.

**Mohd Hussain Kunroo** is Doctoral Research Scholar at the Department of Economics, Jamia Millia Islamia University, New Delhi.

**Dibyendu Maiti** is Associate Professor at the Delhi School of Economics, University of Delhi.

**Jaydeep Mukherjee** is Assistant Professor of Economics at the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT), New Delhi.

**Sacchidananda Mukherjee** is Associate Professor at the National Institute of Public Finance and Policy (NIPFP), New Delhi.

**Soumyatanu Mukherjee** is Doctoral Research Scholar at the School of Economics, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom.

**Biswajit Nag** is Associate Professor of Economics at the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT), New Delhi.

**Nitya Nanda** is Researcher at the Green Growth and Resource Efficiency Division of The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), New Delhi.

**Hildegunn Kyvik Nordås** is Senior Policy Analyst at the Trade and Agriculture Directorate (TAD)/Trade in Services Division (TSD), OECD, Paris.

**Rajan Sudesh Ratna** is Economic Affairs Officer at the Trade Policy and Analysis Section, Trade, Investment and Innovation Division, United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP), Bangkok.

**Dorothee Rouzet** is Trade Policy Analyst at the Trade and Agriculture Directorate (TAD)/Trade in Services Division (TSD), OECD, Paris.

**Sachin Kumar Sharma** is Assistant Professor at the Centre for WTO Studies, Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT), New Delhi.

**Vaishnavi Sharma** is Doctoral Researcher at the Indira Gandhi Institute for Development Research (IGIDR), Mumbai.

**Seyed Komail Tayebi** is Professor of International Economics at the Department of Economics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan.

**Sameen Zafar** is Doctoral Researcher at the School of Economics, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom.

**Zahra Zamani** is Doctoral Research Scholar at the Department of Economics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan.

**Soumia Zenasni** is Assistant Professor at Tlemcen School of Economics, University of Tlemcen, Algeria.

# Foreword

Driven by a vision to be an academic centre of excellence in the domain of international business and also as an internationally well-networked institution committed towards delivering globally competitive business education, training and research, the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT) has emerged as a national institute in India in international business education and research. With a modest beginning as a facilitator for external trade in 1963, IIFT has become a pioneering university for foreign trade and policy matters besides being a hub for research and knowledge dissemination in the Asia-Pacific and African region.

Being a consistent catalyst for new ideas and concepts when world economy got into turbulent weather, IIFT undertook an academic initiative in 2008 to organize research conference series with an aim to provide a platform for academic debate and discourse on contemporary empirical issues in international trade and finance (EIITF) at regular intervals. The institute organized the Fourth EIITF on 18 and 19 December 2014 at its New Delhi campus. This was a unique conference which had a fair balance of representations from academia, policy researchers, multilateral agencies, industry and policy-making communities across the globe. The conference was aimed at pushing the frontiers of academic research in international trade and finance.

The timeline of organizing EIITF 2014 could not have been more appropriate. World trade and investment flows, since the turn of the new millennium, were showing interesting dynamics. The trade patterns in both developed and emerging economies had experienced significant transformations, particularly in the aftermath of the global financial crisis of 2008–9 and the subsequent Euro-zone crisis of 2011–12. According to the Trade and Development Report 2014 by UNCTAD, in the two decades preceding the crisis, global trade in goods and services expanded at an annual average rate of 6.8 per cent, which is twice as fast as the corresponding annual global output growth figure at 3 per cent. The leading Asian economies like India and China contributed significantly to this impressive rise in global trade growth. The deepening of global value chains (GVCs) and international production networks (IPNs) in Asia in recent times further underlines the growing synergies between the economies of this region.

However, growth in global trade volume slowed down substantially in the aftermath of these crises, with merchandise trade growing at close to 2 per cent

in volume during 2012–13 and the first few months of 2014, which is below the global output growth. Trade in services, however, registered a faster growth at around 5 per cent at current prices in 2013 and at about 7 per cent in the first quarter of 2014, with tourism and transportation services being the major components of services trade. While some policy makers have attributed supply-side bottlenecks like higher trade barriers as obstacles to global trade expansion, many point to the lack of global demand as the main cause for such slowdown and strongly advocate for a resilient domestic demand-led output recovery as the means for reviving global trade. At the same time, in line with a policy that is consistent for reduction in global imbalances, such demand-driven recovery needs to be geographically distributed in an appropriate manner. Also, a reduction of the trade barriers through negotiations at the multilateral forum is the need of the hour.

Given the fact that developed countries still have to cover a long way to get back to their pre-crisis growth path, developing countries, particularly the emerging economies in Asia like China, India, Indonesia and so forth, need to take a lead to boost South–South trade in the coming days. Asia has strengthened its presence in the trade-investment sphere over the last decade (2001–13), with the share of the continent in merchandise export and FDI inward flows increasing from 30.43 per cent to 38.84 per cent and 18.41 per cent to 29.36 per cent respectively.

At the multilateral front, the period since the launch of the Doha Ministerial (2001) has witnessed intensified negotiations between developed and developing countries at the World Trade Organization (WTO) forums. The agreement on trade facilitation at the Bali Ministerial of WTO (2013) is a welcome progress, which is expected to contribute significantly in the global merchandise trade growth. The trade reforms, both multilateral and unilateral ones, over the past decade have significantly augmented the cross-border trade-investment waves. Nevertheless, a time-bound conclusion of the Doha Round negotiations appears to be the effective remedy for promoting global trade-investment integration further.

Interestingly a number of regional/free trade agreements (RTAs/FTAs) and international investment agreements (IIAs) also have come into existence over the last decade, which underlines the persisting significance of the preferential trading arrangements in the age of multilateral negotiations. Although comparatively laggard in initial stages, the RTAs/FTAs route as a trade policy instrument have emerged as major channels for international trade. While the inclination towards regionalization was witnessed since the eighties, the trend indeed attracted more countries since the nineties, as export-oriented growth strategy became the mantra. Furthermore, the Asian crisis during 1997–8 demonstrated that both the economic linkages among the countries in the region as well as a country's exposure to economic problems that beset its neighbours are crucial. The remarkable success by several East and Southeast Asian economies over the last decade in terms of deepening integration in advanced production networks and value chains has contributed significantly to increase intra-regional trade in Asia, particularly in the production of parts and components. The regionalization

within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Free Trade Area itself has been a major driver behind deepening of these networks and value chains. It is expected that the ongoing discussions on the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), involving the FTA partners of ASEAN, namely Australia, China, India, Japan, South Korea and New Zealand, will fuel the intra-Asian trade and investment flows further.

Last but not least, too much focus on growth by ignoring the sustainability considerations can lead to disastrous outcomes for the planet, as evident from the recent concerns over global warming, ozone layer depletion and so forth. The ongoing United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) negotiations in general and the recently concluded twenty-first session of the Conference of the Parties (COP) at Paris in particular are crucial in mitigating such challenges.

The current volume, contributed by select scholars participating at the EIITF 2014 conference, captures all these developments, providing empirical analyses on crucial policy issues in trade-investment and their role in fostering economic development in the context of India and several Asian economies. The volume also captures analyses on BRICS countries, EU, Maghreb countries and Pacific Island economies. The eighteen chapters included in the volume are classified appropriately under four broad themes, namely: (1) trade: empirical and policy issues, (2) foreign capital flows: impact on trade and welfare, (3) trade-fiscal policy interface and environmental implications and (4) institutions, international trade and policy issues, all of which are extremely relevant in the current context. The chapters in the current volume have been contributed by leading academicians and researchers involved in applied international economics and finance. I am confident that the empirical evidence and analyses provided in this comprehensive volume would serve as ready reference for academicians, researchers and policy makers, particularly in emerging economies facing similar challenges.

Dr Surajit Mitra  
Director and Vice Chancellor,  
Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT)  
New Delhi

# Acknowledgements

The contributions included in this edited volume are the final forms of select research papers presented at the Fourth Empirical Issues in International Trade and Finance (EIITF) conference organized by Indian Institute of Foreign Trade (IIFT) in the New Delhi campus during 18–19 December, 2014. The purpose of this conference series organized by IIFT, alternatively held in its New Delhi and Kolkata campuses every two years, is to provide a platform for academic debates and discourses in the area of international trade and finance. We are grateful to IIFT for organizing the Fourth EIITF conference that covered a wide range of topical sub-themes in the subject domain and generated enthusiastic interactions. Our sincere thanks also goes to all the discussants and participants in the conference, whose intellectual input helped in enriching the academic discussions and quality of research.

We are sincerely grateful to all the contributors of this volume for their constant support at each stage, starting from conceptualization to final production. They had to take time out of their busy schedules, professional as well as family commitments, to author an extremely well-researched article and gladly cooperated with all our time-to-time interjections, editorial or otherwise, on every occasion.

We are truly grateful to Dr Surajit Mitra, Director and Vice Chancellor, IIFT, for his constant encouragement and intellectual support at each stage of this academic endeavour and kindly consenting to write the foreword of the volume. We also thank Professor Rakesh Mohan Joshi, Chairperson (Research and International Collaboration and Capacity Development) at IIFT for extending every support from his end to our aid from time to time. The enlightening discussions with our faculty colleagues at Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, often providing wider perspectives, are also gratefully acknowledged. We also express our sincere gratitude to all the individuals who directly or indirectly have helped us during the course of working on this volume through energized debates, policy dialogues and engaging discussions in shaping our thought process on the trade-investment flows and the associated dynamics in Asia in general and India and its trade partners in particular.

We are sincerely thankful for the constant support and encouragements received from Ms. Lam Yong Ling, editor, Routledge, who kept her faith in our efforts since the proposal stage. The support from Ms. Samantha Phua, Routledge

team, is also acknowledged. Further, we would like to sincerely thank the anonymous reviewers whose helpful comments and suggestions during the proposal stage added significant values to this volume. The contributions made by Chris Butler on the editorial front, particularly his efforts to check even the minute details of the manuscript, is gratefully noted.

Last but not least, we would like to dedicate this volume to our respective family members. They have patiently withstood our erratic schedules over the year-long period, first during organization of the conference in 2014 and then during the subsequent stages of editing, with understanding smiles and silent encouragement. Without that remarkable consistency in their support, this volume would never have come out.

Debashis Chakraborty  
Jaydeep Mukherjee

# Abbreviations

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| ACCSQ  | ASEAN Consultative Committee for Standards and Quality              |
| ADB    | Asian Development Bank  |
| ADB I  | Asian Development Bank Institute                                    |
| ADF    | Augmented <i>Dickey-Fuller</i>                                      |
| AE     | Azhar and Elliott   |
| AEC    | ASEAN Economic Community  |
| AFAS   | ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services                               |
| AFTA   | ASEAN Free Trade Area   |
| AMS    | Aggregate Measurement of Support                                    |
| AMU    | Asian Monetary Union  |
| AoA    | Agreement on Agriculture  |
| APTA   | Asia-Pacific Trade Agreement  |
| ARIC   | Asia Regional Integration Center                                    |
| ARTNeT | Asia Pacific Research and Training Network on Trade                 |
| ASCC   | Asia Pacific Study Centers Consortium                               |
| ASCM   | Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures                  |
| ASEAN  | Association of Southeast Asian Nations                              |
| ATR    | asset turnover ratio  |
| BCAs   | border carbon adjustments   |
| BIICS  | Brazil, China, India, Indonesia and South Africa                    |
| BITs   | Bilateral Investment Treaties                                       |
| BRICS  | Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa                       |
| BRIE   | <i>Berkeley</i> Roundtable on the International Economy             |
| BTAs   | border tax adjustments  |
| BTADU  | border tax adjustment based on domestic unrestricted carbon content |
| BTAFU  | border tax adjustment based on foreign unrestricted carbon content  |
| BTDIxE | Bilateral Trade Database by Industry and End-Use Category           |
| BTIA   | Bilateral Trade and Investment Agreement                            |
| C      | Chapter   |
| CA     | chartered accountants   |
| CAGR   | compound annual growth rate   |

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| CAREC  | Central Asian Regional Economic Cooperation                             |
| CDM    | clean development mechanism   |
| CECA   | Comprehensive Economic Cooperation Agreement                            |
| CEE    | Central and Eastern European  |
| CEIEUI | Center of Excellence for International Economics, University of Isfahan |
| CEPA   | Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement                            |
| CEPII  | Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales          |
| CEPR   | Centre for Economic Policy Research                                     |
| CEPS   | Centre for European Policy Studies                                      |
| CEPT   | Common Effective Preferential Tariff                                    |
| CER    | closer economic relations   |
| CIF    | cost, insurance, freight  |
| CMIE   | Center for Monitoring Indian Economy                                    |
| CoA    | Committee on Agriculture  |
| COP    | Conference of the Parties   |
| CPI    | Consumer Price Index  |
| CRS    | Constant Returns to Scale   |
| CSERGE | Centre for Social and Economic Research on the Global Environment       |
| CU     | Customs Union   |
| DGCI&S | Directorate General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics           |
| DGET   | Directorate General of Employment and Training                          |
| DGFT   | Directorate General of Foreign Trade                                    |
| DIPP   | Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion                           |
| DOTS   | Direction of Trade Statistics   |
| DS     | dispute settlement  |
| EBOPS  | extended balance of payment statistics                                  |
| ECBs   | external commercial borrowings  |
| EEA    | European Economic Area  |
| EKCH   | Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis                                  |
| EMC    | electromagnetic compatibility   |
| EMEs   | emerging market economies   |
| EMU    | European Economic and Monetary Union                                    |
| EPAs   | economic partnership agreements   |
| EPZs   | Export Processing Zones   |
| ERF    | Economic Research Forum   |
| ERIA   | Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia                     |
| ERM    | exchange rate management  |
| ETS    | emission trading system   |
| ETS    | emissions trading schemes   |
| EU     | European Union  |
| FAO    | Food and Agriculture Organization                                       |
| FCI    | Food Corporation of India   |
| FD     | first differences   |



|        |   |
|--------|---|
| FDI    | Foreign Direct Investment                                       |
| FEH    | Factor Endowment Hypothesis                                     |
| FF     | Fontagane and Freudenberg                                       |
| FGLS   | feasible generalized least squares                              |
| FHPR   | Freedom House Index on Political Rights                         |
| FICs   | Forum Island countries  |
| FPI    | Foreign Portfolio Investments                                   |
| FOB    | free on board   |
| FTAs   | Free Trade Agreements   |
| GATS   | General Agreement on Trade in Services                          |
| GATT   | General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade                          |
| GCC    | Gulf Cooperation Council  |
| GDP    | Gross Domestic Product  |
| GE     | general equilibrium   |
| GFA    | gross fixed asset   |
| GHGs   | greenhouse gases  |
| GHM    | Greenaway, Hine and Milner                                      |
| GIRF   | generalized impulse response functions                          |
| GL     | Grubel and Lloyed   |
| GMM    | generalized methods of moments                                  |
| GMS    | Greater Mekong Subregion  |
| GTAP   | Global Trade Analysis Project                                   |
| GVCs   | global value chains   |
| HD     | Human Development   |
| HHDI   | Hybrid Human Development Index                                  |
| HIC    | higher-income country   |
| HIIT   | Horizontal Intra-Industry Trade                                 |
| H-O    | Heckscher-Ohlin   |
| HS     | Harmonized System   |
| HT     | high-technology   |
| HT     | Harris-Todaro   |
| HT     | Hausman-Taylor  |
| HVIIT  | high-quality vertical intra-industry trade                      |
| I      | investment  |
| IAF    | International Accreditation Forum                               |
| ICAI   | Institute of Chartered Accountants of India                     |
| ICIO   | Inter-Country Input-Output                                      |
| ICRIER | Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations |
| ICT    | information and communication technology                        |
| ICTSD  | International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development      |
| IDB    | Inter-American Development Bank                                 |
| IEA    | International Energy Agency                                     |
| IEC    | International Electrotechnical Commission                       |
| IFRS   | International Financial Reporting Standards                     |

|       |  |
|-------|--|
| IFS   | international financial statistics   |
| IGIDR | Indira Gandhi Institute for Development Research                                   |
| IIFT  | Indian Institute of Foreign Trade  |
| IIT   | Intra-Industry Trade   |
| ILAC  | International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation                                 |
| IMF   | International Monetary Fund  |
| INCCA | Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment                                       |
| ILO   | International Labour Organization  |
| IO    | input–output   |
| IPNs  | international production networks  |
| IPPC  | International Plant Protection Convention  |
| IRDAI | Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India                            |
| IRS   | increasing returns to scale  |
| ISEC  | Institute for Social and Economic Change   |
| ISO   | International Organisation of Standardisation                                      |
| ITU   | International Telecommunication Union  |
| IZA   | Institute for the Study of Labor   |
| JETRO | Japan External Trade Organization  |
| LDCs  | Least Developed Countries  |
| LIC   | low-income country   |
| LLPs  | limited liability partnerships   |
| LM    | Lagrange Multiplier  |
| LMIC  | lower-middle-income country  |
| LPI   | Logistics Performance Index  |
| LT    | low-technology   |
| LTD   | long-term debt   |
| LVIIT | low-quality vertical intra-industry trade  |
| M&A   | merger and acquisition   |
| MAF   | multinational accounting firm  |
| MBIs  | market-based instruments   |
| MDP   | multi-disciplinary partnerships  |
| MENA  | Middle-East and North Africa   |
| MFN   | most favoured nation   |
| MHT   | medium-high-technology   |
| MIDC  | Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation                                     |
| MLT   | medium-low-technology  |
| MNCs  | Multinational Companies  |
| MoUs  | memoranda of understanding   |
| MRAs  | mutual recognition agreements  |
| MSG   | Melanesian Spearhead Group   |
| MSP   | Minimum Support Price  |
| MSTQ  | metrology, standards, testing, quality management, accreditation and certification |
| MVTAR | multivariate threshold autoregressive model  |
| NAFTA | North American Free Trade Agreement  |

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| NAMA   | Non-Agricultural Market Access                                      |
| NBER   | National Bureau of Economic Research                                |
| NCAER  | National Council of Applied Economic Research                       |
| NCLT   | National Company Law Tribunal                                       |
| NFA    | net foreign assets  |
| NFRA   | National Financial Regulatory Authority                             |
| NIC    | National Industrial Classification                                  |
| NIEs   | newly industrialized economies                                      |
| NRCH   | natural resource curse hypothesis                                   |
| NRIs   | Non-Resident Indians  |
| NSSO   | National Sample Survey Organisation                                 |
| NT     | National Treatment  |
| NTBs   | Non-Tariff Barriers   |
| NTMs   | Non-Tariff Measures   |
| OECD   | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development              |
| OEMs   | original equipment manufacturers                                    |
| OIE    | International Office of Epizootics                                  |
| OLI    | ownership, location, and internalization                            |
| OLS    | ordinary least squares  |
| OPEC   | Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries                   |
| PACER  | Pacific Agreement for Closer Economic Relations                     |
| PBTIDA | profits before taxes, interest payments, dividends and amortization |
| PCGDP  | per-capita GDP  |
| PCGNI  | per-capita gross national income                                    |
| PFDI   | primary-sector FDI  |
| PHH    | pollution haven hypothesis  |
| PICs   | Pacific Island countries  |
| PICTA  | Pacific Island Countries Trade Agreement                            |
| PP     | Phillips-Perron   |
| PPML   | Poisson pseudo-maximum likelihood                                   |
| PPMs   | processes and production methods                                    |
| PPP    | purchasing power parity   |
| PQS    | product quality space   |
| PTB    | Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt                               |
| QLH    | quality ladder hypothesis   |
| RCA    | revealed comparative advantage                                      |
| RCEP   | Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership                         |
| ROCE   | return on capital employed  |
| ROOs   | Rules of Origin   |
| RTAs   | regional trade agreements   |
| RTFP   | Regional Trade Facilitation Program                                 |
| R&D    | research and development  |
| S      | Section   |
| SAARC  | South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation                    |

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| SADC     | Southern African Development Community                                 |
| SAFTA    | South Asia Free Trade Area   |
| SAH      | smooth adjustment hypothesis   |
| SAPTA    | SAARC Preferential trading Arrangement                                 |
| SARS     | SAARC Regional Standards   |
| SARSO    | South Asian Regional Standards Organisation                            |
| SD       | sustainable development  |
| SEGA     | SAARC Expert Group on Accreditation                                    |
| SEZ      | Special Economic Zone  |
| SFDI     | secondary-sector FDI   |
| SIA      | Secretariat of Industrial Assistance                                   |
| SITC     | Standard International Trade Classification                            |
| SME      | small and medium enterprise  |
| SOM AMAF | Senior Officials of the ASEAN Ministers of Agriculture and Forestry    |
| SPARTECA | South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agreement       |
| SPS      | Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures                                    |
| STD      | short-term debt  |
| STRI     | Services Trade Restrictiveness Index                                   |
| TBT      | Technical Barriers to Trade  |
| TFDI     | tertiary-sector FDI  |
| TIS      | trade in services  |
| TISP     | trade in services by partner country                                   |
| TIVA     | trade in value added   |
| TMNP     | temporary movement of natural persons                                  |
| TNCs     | transnational corporations   |
| TPDs     | Targeted Public Distribution System                                    |
| TPP      | Trans-Pacific Partnership  |
| TRIPS    | Trade-Related Intellectual Property Rights                             |
| TTFS     | transport and trade facilitation strategy                              |
| UMIC     | upper-middle-income country  |
| UN       | United Nations   |
| UNCTAD   | United Nations Conference on Trade and Development                     |
| UNDP     | United Nations Development Programme                                   |
| UNEP     | United Nations Environment Programme                                   |
| UNESCAP  | United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific |
| UNFCCC   | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change                  |
| UNSD     | United Nations Statistics Division                                     |
| USA      | United States of America   |
| UPSS     | usual and subsidiary status  |
| UV       | unit value   |
| VAR      | vector autoregression  |
| VER      | Voluntary Export Restraints  |

|      |                                  |
|------|----------------------------------|
| VIF  | variation inflation factor       |
| VIIT | vertical intra-industry trade    |
| WCO  | World Customs Organization       |
| WDI  | World Development Indicators     |
| WHO  | World Health Organization        |
| WIOD | World Input Output database      |
| WITS | World Integrated Trade Solutions |
| WMD  | Weapon of Mass Destruction       |
| WPI  | Wholesale Price Index            |
| WRI  | World Resources Institute        |
| WTO  | World Trade Organization         |

# 1 Editors' introduction

## An Asian perspective of global trade and investment dynamics

*Debashis Chakraborty and Jaydeep Mukherjee*

### **Evolving trade-investment nexus: through the looking glass of literature**

Over the last two decades, countries have embarked upon several alternate tracks (viz., unilateral, bilateral, regional and multilateral) to liberalize and deepen their linkage with the world economy. This growing strength of globalizing forces have resulted in a phenomenal rise in world trade and investment flows over the past three decades, which has been hailed by the multilateral bodies. The World Trade Report (2013) has noted that in gross terms, the dollar value of world merchandise trade has increased by more than 7 per cent per year on average between 1980 and 2011, reaching a peak of US\$18 trillion at the end of that period. The trade in commercial services grew even faster, at roughly 8 per cent per year on average, and stood at around US\$4 trillion in 2011. In all, since 1980, world trade has grown on average nearly twice as fast as world production (WTO 2013).

The evolving volume and pattern of trade and investment flows has called for fresh inquiries through advancements in theoretical and empirical frameworks for explaining them in newer light from time to time. The rise in trade across countries at similar development planes from the sixties onwards highlighted the limitations of the traditional factor endowment model in explaining these trade flows and paved the road for intra-industry trade (IIT) models, which explains simultaneous exports and imports within product categories (Grubel and Lloyd 1975). Empirical investigations have revealed that a significant proportion of trade flows across countries are of the IIT type, explained by trade in parts and components, and the trend has only deepened in the recent period. The IIT analytical framework has been further extended, with segregation of overall IIT in vertical and horizontal types (Greenaway, Hine and Milner 1994), and the growing literature on this front imparts deeper understanding of trade patterns.

The limitation of the classical trade theories in explaining the frequent use of trade practices like dumping, emerging specialization patterns and the like paved the stage for the imperfect completion literature during the late seventies. In particular, the specialization patterns were explained with internal and external scale considerations, thereby discussing the possibility of establishing comparative advantages in narrower product lines (Krugman 1980). The rise in regional trade

agreements (RTAs) from the eighties fuelled this effect further by creating scale and competition advantages for the local firms, in addition to ensuring higher foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows through the market expansion effect (Urata 2002). The gravity model framework contributed significantly in understanding the trade flows in the context of old and new trade theories as well as the trade effects of the RTAs (Bergstrand 1989).

In addition to deepening of trade flows in merchandise products, trade in knowledge-intensive services has significantly increased since the nineties in line with technical progress, crucially contributing in raising productivity of value-added merchandise products (Markusen 1989). Furthermore, the rise in FDI flows, particularly within RTAs, and greater access to high-end technical services over the last decade has contributed significantly in deepening the international production networks (IPNs) and cross-country participation in value chains, with significant influence on off-shoring and outsourcing decisions (OECD, WTO and World Bank 2014).

Evolution in investment patterns are also worth mentioning. Until the seventies, cross-border FDI flows could broadly be explained by market-seeking, tariff-jumping or resource-seeking motivations, depending on the scenario in the recipient country in question. The eclectic paradigm based on the ownership, location, and internalization (OLI) framework provided a newer outlook to analyse the determinants of FDI by focusing on the institutional factors apart from other characteristics (Dunning 1977). The gradual liberalization of tariff barriers and industrial policies across countries witnessed a surge in FDI flows during the eighties, and the theoretical and empirical literature on determinants of FDI since then has been significantly enriched (Faeth 2008). Two resulting investment-related developments are worth mentioning. First, in light of the North–South FDI flows, the relationship between environmental governance and trade-investment flows emerged as a key research question in the ‘pollution haven hypothesis’ (PHH) framework. Second, the strategic interest of FDI flows vis-à-vis the regulatory role of the foreign government has become important with the growing volume of sovereign wealth fund (SWF)–supported investments (Chaisse, Chakraborty and Mukherjee 2011). Over time empirical methodologies have come up to measure preparedness of a country for hosting a successful SWF programme (Park and Estrada 2009).

The recent development of IPNs and deepening of the value chains underline the growing intra-firm relationship, thereby emphasizing the firm heterogeneity-related factors for explaining trade patterns. Recent empirical and theoretical evidences reveal that final firm decisions on whether to invest or trade are influenced by several factors, including, relative wage, protection and productivity (Helpman 2006). It is observed that firm-level export decisions to a large extent are reliant on factors like trade costs (Lanz and Miroudot 2011) and efficiency levels (Melitz 2003). With newer developments in theoretical frameworks, advancements in gravity model literature have significantly contributed in explaining trade patterns in light of newer dimensions, such as bilateral trade costs (Bergstrand, Egger and Larch 2013).

Realizing the potential benefits from the deepening globalization waves, the countries, cutting across the development spectrum, are now constantly engaged in negotiating market access for trade and investment, both regionally and multilaterally. In 1995, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) gave way to its successor, the World Trade Organization (WTO), which has spearheaded the multilateral trade negotiations over the past two decades. Finalizing the modalities for the next level of reforms in agricultural, non-agricultural and service sectors as well as procedural issues like anti-dumping measures are, however, long overdue, owing to the delays in completion of the Doha Round negotiations (Martin and Mattoo 2011). As the perceived need for protection changes with incremental development, WTO members tend to adopt a cautious approach at the negotiating forums, which explains the delay in conclusion of the Round. Moreover, the recent growth in the number of RTAs is particularly notable in the context of the slow progress of WTO Doha Round negotiations. The negotiation experience of the recent mega-regional blocs, such as Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) and the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), indicates that multilateralism still remains the best solution (Menon 2014).

Apart from reforms in the areas of tariff and non-tariff barriers (NTBs), the recent negotiations have focused extensively on enhancing trade facilitation measures to promote commercial exchanges (Beverelli, Neumueller and Teh 2014; Neufeld 2014a). Reaching an agreement on this front at the Bali Ministerial (2013) is expected to augment the cross-border trade and investment flows on one hand and provide a model to break the current stalemate on the other (Neufeld 2014a). In addition, an agreement has been reached there on the question of ensuring food security through public procurement of foodgrains from poor farmers at prices higher than the market price (FAO 2014).

Is it possible to empirically conclude whether the least developed countries (LDCs) and other developing and emerging economies have benefitted by this deepening trade-investment linkage? The Prebisch-Singer thesis during the formative years of GATT argued that the poorer nations specializing in primary products might face deterioration of their terms of trade with respect to richer economies specializing in manufacturing products. The empirical result of the phenomenon is, however, found to be mixed (Arezki, Hadri, Loungani and Rao 2013). Rise of IIT between developed and developing countries from the eighties, however, underlined the expanding industrial base in the latter group. On a macro level, a comparison of the shares of North–North, South–South and North–South exports in manufactured products over the last three decades provides a heartening answer. The share of North–North trade (i.e. trade between developed countries) as a percentage of the global figure has declined from a peak of 56 per cent in 1990 to 36 per cent in 2011, while the corresponding figure for South–South trade has increased from 8 to 24 per cent over the same period. The share of North–South trade over 2000–12 period has remained at around 37 per cent, which is found to be considerably higher as compared to the corresponding 1980 figure (WTO 2013).



The macro influence of the globalizing forces on the South can also be observed by looking at the FDI inflow figures. In 2013, FDI flows to developing countries reached above US\$778 billion, while the corresponding figure for developed countries stood at around \$672 billion. On the whole, FDI inflows to the South accounted for a record share of 54 per cent of the total FDI inflows in 2013, reinforcing the key role that FDI is expected to play as a source of financing as well as fuelling the growth-enhancing technology spillovers (UNCTAD 2014). The growing FDI flows to the South can be explained by several underlying motivations, namely, resource seeking, market seeking, tariff jumping and so on. Economic integration and FDI flows in particular have already emerged as an important area of research (Berger, Busse, Nunnenkamp and Roy 2010). For instance, the expected post-NAFTA increase in cross-border investment inflows played a key role for Mexico to sign the agreement (Medvedev 2012). However, the evidence from developing countries suggests that while RTA membership may not necessarily improve FDI attractiveness, adoption of a favourable special economic zone (SEZ) and transnational policy significantly influence the same (Cherif and Dreger 2015). Understandably, specific commitments in the area of investment liberalization have been a defining feature in the recent RTAs, while the number of bilateral investment treaties (BITs) is also on the rise. Subsequently, newer methodologies have evolved to estimate the influence of various regulatory provisions in BITs on FDI inflows (Chaisse and Bellak 2011).

Does such higher trade-investment flow necessarily securing welfare improvement? To measure the welfare effect of liberalization policies, application of both partial and general equilibrium models are widely used. Partial equilibrium studies have generally focused on the influence of trade and investment flows on employment, skill formation, wage rate and so on through sectoral, cross-sectional or firm-level analysis (OECD 2008). Among general equilibrium studies, Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) modelling is extensively used for analysing the influence of RTAs, NTBs and the like (Fugazza and Maur 2008; Nag and Sikdar 2011). It is observed that the trade welfare effect of globalization may be mixed, depending on the comparative advantages of the economy in question, which underlines the role of supporting policy intervention (di Giovanni, Levchenko and Zhang 2012; Khan 2005). In addition, evidence from literature reveals that an adverse environmental effect of trade-investment flows may create a negative repercussion on sustainability scenarios (Chakraborty and Mukherjee 2013), thereby leading to a social welfare loss in the long run.

Two decades of deepening globalization waves, the financial crisis of 2008–2009 and the Eurozone crises of 2011–12 and 2014–15, have significantly influenced the trade-investment patterns in both developed and developing countries. It is widely acknowledged that the spectacular rise in trade-investment share of developing countries and LDCs, such as the South, can be explained by the fact that over the last two decades, the deepening of the IPNs in manufacturing goods, particularly in the emerging countries in Asia, has led to enhanced participation of these economies in global value chains through specialization in narrow product lines. Such specialization and associated welfare effects are in line with the

growing importance of scale economies, strategic trade policies and economic geography-related undercurrents (Krugman 1991).

In this background it is important to analyse and address the pending market access reform issues relating to trade-investment flows through negotiations at the appropriate WTO forums. Moreover, given the global climate change concerns, there is a growing need to ensure that the growth trend continues along an environmentally sustainable path. In line with the global scenario, the major challenge for Asian economies in the recent period is to address the imbalances caused by the financial crisis, deepen trade integrations with the world and translate the enhanced trade flows into sustainable development.

### **Trade-investment scenario in Asia in the global context**

Asia has strengthened its presence in the trade-investment sphere with the share of the continent in merchandise export and FDI inward flows over 2001–13, increasing from 30.43 per cent to 38.84 per cent and from 18.41 per cent to 29.36 per cent in that order. Outward orientation of Asian economies has undergone interesting transformations since the sixties. While during the fifties several countries followed import-substitution policies, export orientation received prominence in the aftermath of the industrial export success by the Asian Tigers (i.e. Singapore, Hong Kong, Taiwan and South Korea), thanks to the 'Flying Geese' model, aided through FDI from Japan (Hayter and Edgington 2004). The dynamic capital accumulation that followed led to changes in structure of comparative advantage and production and trade patterns of Asian economies (Kojima 2000). Moreover, the selective state intervention in South Korea and Taiwan ensured high rates of return in manufacturing, which fuelled the industrialization process (Jenkins 1991).

Japanese transnational corporations (TNCs) deepened their presence in the East Asian region through sub-contracting, licensing, joint ventures, FDI and so forth (Kasahara 2013). Both real and financial asset flows from Japan led to greater inter-economy linkages (Kojima 1978). Industrial development deepened in Southeast Asia in the subsequent period, when US firms, to counter the Japanese challenge, deepened their operation in the region to procure parts and components on one hand and to benefit from product and process innovation through the enhanced role of their Asian affiliates on the other (Zysman and Doherty 1995). This industrialization and export-orientation drive in several Asian economies have received considerable boost from the special economic zones (SEZs) and export processing zones (EPZs), which attracted FDI on one hand and boosted exports and employment on the other (Tantri 2011; Zeng 2011). The growing number of EPZs created several export-oriented enclaves, though neither competition nor interactions with local firms was facilitated till the mid-1980s (Kimura 2006).

Since 1986, with the initiation of GATT Uruguay Round negotiations, trade policy reforms gradually deepened. China entered the trade-investment mainstream through negotiations in multilateral forums and continuous domestic

reforms (Jacobson and Oksenberg 1993). India, another major Asian player, also joined the reform path in 1991 following the International Monetary Fund (IMF) recommendations, and the erstwhile import-competing growth model gave way to the export-oriented development strategy (Joshi and Little 1996). In Southeast Asia, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries in 1992 agreed to liberalize trade further through implementation of the Common Effective Preferential Tariff (CEPT) Scheme that gradually eliminated tariffs in the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA), which facilitated intra-region trade flows further (Okabe and Urata 2013). These developments played a crucial role in consequent evolution of Asian IPNs in manufacturing products, particularly in Southeast and East Asia (Hiratsuka 2011). In South Asia, a similar regionalization drive followed through implementation of the SAARC Preferential trading Arrangement (SAPTA) in 1995, but intra-regional trade volume remained low given various trade restrictions (Sawhney and Kumar 2008). The South Asia Free Trade Area (SAFTA) was implemented in 2006, but the development of IPNs in the region is only a recent phenomenon (Anukoonwattaka and Mikić 2011).

Asia in the recent period has seen the emergence of several bilateral, regional and cross-regional agreements for promoting trade-investment flows with both Asian and non-Asian partners. Among the 'Asian' regional forums apart from AFTA and SAFTA, Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), Asia-Pacific Trade Agreement (APTA), *Pacific Island Countries Trade Agreement (PICTA)* and Central Asian Regional Economic Cooperation (CAREC) deserve mention, while a number of bilateral and regional agreements are in the making. Several Asian economies are presently part of ten or more RTAs, with intra-bloc trade explaining more than 50 per cent of total trade for eight countries (Kawai and Wignaraja 2010). The rise of these Asian RTAs promotes trade-investment flows on one hand and deepens their presence in global value chains (GVCs) on the other (Brunner 2013). In particular, adoption of specific trade-facilitation measures in Asian RTAs augments both trends (Neufeld 2014b). However, the Asian RTAs are also faced with multiple challenges, namely, simplification, coordination and management of the rules of origin (ROOs) provisions across trade blocs, securing greater reform commitments in agricultural trade, deepening commitments including 'WTO-plus' commitments in the areas of investment, competition policy, trade facilitation and so on (Kawai and Wignaraja 2010).

The integration process in Asia is likely to deepen further, with the likely formation of Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) in coming years that will facilitate deeper trade-investment linkage between the existing RTAs of ASEAN and its six RTA partners, namely, Australia, China, India, Japan, New Zealand, South Korea (Urata 2013). Another cross-regional mega RTA, namely, Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), is also on the making, which includes both East and Southeast Asian economies and several countries from the North and South American continents. The political undercurrent of both these proposed blocs is crucial here, as the American and Chinese hegemony might play a role in denying entry to each other at TPP and RCEP respectively (Hamanaka 2014).

One unintentional by-product of the outward orientation in Asia has been the emergence of environmental and climate change-related challenges. Given the income-related constraints that motivated most of the Asian economies to opt for export-led growth models, increasing utilization of both natural and human resources has been a crucial strategy for them right from the beginning. Hence the growth engine has led to intensification of primary activities (including agriculture, fishery and mining), rise in thermal and hydro power generation plants, conversion of forests and wetlands and so on, among other things, with obvious environmental repercussions. Existence of PHH—confirming FDI flows has often been noted in economies characterized by high growth (Chakraborty 2012; He 2006; Merican, Yusop, Noor and Hook 2007). Most of the Asian economies shifted their focus on environmental sustainability concerns only in the recent period, but the local-level political economic compulsions play a crucial role in determining the policy effectiveness (Mukherjee and Chakraborty 2015).

The evolving trade-investment-sustainability scenario of selected Asian economies can be explained with the help of Tables 1.1 and 1.2. It is observed from Table 1.1 that over the last decade, per-capita GDP (PCGDP) has increased in all the select Asian countries, barring countries heavily dependent on energy product exports (e.g. Brunei and Bahrain). While the lower-income countries have generally witnessed a structural shift towards the manufacturing sector, the importance of the service sector has grown in the middle-income countries. The divergence between growth and development, however, becomes apparent from the human development (HD) ranking and CO<sub>2</sub> emission trends compared over two time periods. On one hand, Singapore and Qatar have performed commendably on both fronts, in line with their PCGDP growth. Income growth in China, India and Japan, on the other hand, has been associated with downward movement of their HD ranking as well as rising emission volumes. Economies like Kazakhstan, Saudi Arabia, South Korea, Sri Lanka and Turkey have improved their HD rankings but performed poorly on the sustainability front. The deterioration in HD ranking of Tajikistan and Uzbekistan has, however, been accompanied by improved emission records. The diverse performance of countries with varying economic profiles on the HD sustainability front underlines the importance of country-specific policies and resource base in shaping their present status.

Table 1.2 reveals that merchandise trade as a percentage of GDP has improved for a number of Asian countries over the two reported time periods, although exceptions in Indonesia, Philippines, Sri Lanka and Tajikistan reveal the growing importance of their domestic sectors. Growing FDI inwardness is another defining feature of several Asian economies in the new millennium. Service trade as a percentage of GDP is found to be quite significant for Cambodia, Fiji, Hong Kong, Maldives, Singapore and Thailand. Conversely, importance of FDI outflow in GDP has sharply increased for Hong Kong, Singapore and Kuwait. The rising outward orientation has been associated with an improved foreign exchange reserve scenario in several Asian countries, which is likely to ensure favourable expectations and better stability. Contributions of the professions working abroad in Bangladesh, Philippines, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Tajikistan and Vietnam

Table 1.1 Key economic features of select Asian economies

| Country                 | GDP Group <sup>1</sup> | GDP Per Capita<br>(Constant, 2005 USD) |          | Share of Manufacturing<br>Sector in GDP (%) |                    | Share of Service Sector<br>in GDP (%) |                    | Human<br>Development<br>Ranking |      | CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions (Metric<br>Tonnes Per Capita) |           |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------|---|-----------|
|                         |                        | 2003                                   | 2013     | 1992–2002                                   | 2003–2013          | 1992–2002                             | 2003–2013          | 2003                            | 2013 | 1992–2001   | 2002–2011 |
| East Asia               |                        |  |          |   |                    |                                       |                    |                                 |      |   |           |
| China                   | Upper-middle-income    | 1436.53                                | 3619.44  | 32.48                                       | 31.96              | 36.89                                 | 43.44              | 85                              | 91   | 2.65  | 4.89      |
| Hong Kong,<br>SAR China | High-income            | 23109.44                               | 33638.97 | 4.14 <sup>2</sup>                           | 2.18               | 88.31 <sup>3</sup>                    | 92.10              | 22                              | 15   | 5.56  | 5.62      |
| Japan                   | High-income            | 34521.28                               | 37573.37 | 21.80                                       | 19.32              | 65.91                                 | 71.41              | 11                              | 17   | 9.36  | 9.46      |
| South Korea             | High-income            | 17214.20                               | 23875.18 | 25.63                                       | 29.17              | 57.25                                 | 59.75              | 28                              | 15   | 8.35  | 10.31     |
| Southeast Asia          |                        |  |          |   |                    |                                       |                    |                                 |      |   |           |
| Brunei                  | High-income            | 26712.88                               | 24184.71 | 13.81                                       | 12.48              | 41.65                                 | 29.55              | 33                              | 30   | 17.41   | 18.20     |
| Cambodia                | Low-income             | 389.35                                 | 708.50   | 13.06 <sup>4</sup>                          | 17.44              | 38.31 <sup>4</sup>                    | 40.84              | 130                             | 136  | 0.12  | 0.24      |
| Indonesia               | Lower-middle-income    | 1180.48                                | 1797.54  | 25.51                                       | 25.52              | 39.75                                 | 40.37              | 110                             | 108  | 1.21  | 1.69      |
| Laos                    | Lower-middle-income    | 427.36                                 | 752.41   | 12.82                                       | 8.51               | 28.10                                 | 37.61              | 133                             | 139  | 0.12  | 0.20      |
| Malaysia                | Upper-middle-income    | 5126.90                                | 6997.73  | 28.20                                       | 26.08              | 44.70                                 | 46.58              | 61                              | 62   | 5.16  | 6.97      |
| Myanmar                 | Lower-middle-income    | –                                      | –        | 7.16  | 10.68 <sup>5</sup> | 30.64                                 | 35.28 <sup>5</sup> | 129                             | 150  | 0.16  | 0.21      |
| Philippines             | Lower-middle-income    | 1116.12                                | 1581.49  | 23.63                                       | 22.41              | 48.90                                 | 54.77              | 84                              | 117  | 0.87  | 0.84      |
| Singapore               | High-income            | 26297.10                               | 37491.08 | 25.24                                       | 23.30              | 66.40                                 | 70.91              | 25                              | 9    | 14.92   | 6.05      |
| Thailand                | Upper-middle-income    | 2458.30                                | 3437.84  | 30.97                                       | 34.56              | 49.61                                 | 44.85              | 73                              | 89   | 2.79  | 4.01      |
| Vietnam                 | Lower-middle-income    | 619.30                                 | 1028.63  | 16.47                                       | 18.44              | 42.12                                 | 42.63              | 108                             | 121  | 0.52  | 1.36      |

*South Asia*

|             |                     |         |         |                    |       |                    |       |     |     |      |      |
|-------------|---------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|
| Afghanistan | Low-income          | 241.62  | 415.00  | 18.75 <sup>6</sup> | 15.50 | 37.81 <sup>6</sup> | 46.64 | –   | 169 | 0.06 | 0.14 |
| Bangladesh  | Lower-middle-income | 444.99  | 715.83  | 14.95              | 16.42 | 51.64              | 55.77 | 139 | 142 | 0.19 | 0.31 |
| Bhutan      | Lower-middle-income | 1171.12 | 1976.55 | 9.38               | 8.35  | 36.17              | 37.83 | 134 | 136 | 0.58 | 0.62 |
| India       | Lower-middle-income | 646.65  | 1189.78 | 15.58              | 16.01 | 48.35              | 52.53 | 127 | 135 | 1.02 | 1.40 |
| Maldives    | Upper-middle-income | 3690.27 | 5829.81 | 7.26 <sup>7</sup>  | 5.59  | 76.75 <sup>8</sup> | 78.95 | 96  | 103 | 1.37 | 2.86 |
| Nepal       | Low-income          | 306.03  | 409.04  | 9.30               | 7.40  | 37.57              | 47.59 | 136 | 145 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| Pakistan    | Lower-middle-income | 621.47  | 789.58  | 15.96              | 14.97 | 50.26              | 53.85 | 135 | 146 | 0.70 | 0.89 |
| Sri Lanka   | Lower-middle-income | 1136.29 | 2004.26 | 16.22              | 18.40 | 51.65              | 57.86 | 93  | 73  | 0.40 | 0.63 |

*West Asia*

|                      |                     |          |          |                    |                    |                    |                     |    |    |                    |       |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----|----|--------------------|-------|
| Bahrain              | High-income         | 18107.94 | 17501.73 | 16.10 <sup>8</sup> | –                  | 60.99 <sup>9</sup> | –                   | 43 | 44 | 27.04              | 20.35 |
| Iran                 | Upper-middle-income | 2548.03  | 2945.29  | 12.66              | 10.94 <sup>9</sup> | 49.58              | 45.90 <sup>7</sup>  | 99 | 75 | 4.81               | 7.02  |
| Kuwait               | High-income         | 31313.25 | 30146.92 | –                  | 5.61 <sup>10</sup> | –                  | 33.44 <sup>10</sup> | 44 | 46 | 30.96 <sup>8</sup> | 29.70 |
| Qatar                | High-income         | 52630.11 | 59893.40 | –                  | –                  | –                  | –                   | 40 | 31 | 59.23              | 52.22 |
| Saudi Arabia         | High-income         | 12265.73 | 17545.38 | 9.83               | 10.08              | 44.81              | 36.62               | 77 | 34 | 14.03              | 16.65 |
| United Arab Emirates | High-income         | 46661.39 | 25140.75 | 13.19 <sup>2</sup> | 9.62               | 49.17 <sup>2</sup> | 43.21               | 41 | 40 | 28.17              | 25.07 |

*Central Asia and Eurasia*

|            |                     |         |         |                     |       |       |       |     |     |       |       |
|------------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| Kazakhstan | Upper-middle-income | 3186.76 | 5425.34 | 15.22 <sup>8</sup>  | 12.63 | 51.21 | 53.76 | 80  | 70  | 10.45 | 12.94 |
| Tajikistan | Lower-middle-income | 300.90  | 480.63  | 28.09               | 17.92 | 33.78 | 47.03 | 122 | 133 | 0.55  | 0.37  |
| Turkey     | Upper-middle-income | 6178.62 | 8728.76 | 22.52               | 18.41 | 53.96 | 63.16 | 94  | 69  | 3.06  | 3.77  |
| Uzbekistan | Lower-middle-income | 485.59  | 902.77  | 11.09 <sup>11</sup> | 11.67 | 39.99 | 46.73 | 111 | 116 | 4.88  | 4.37  |

*Pacific Island Economies*

|      |                     |         |         |       |       |       |       |    |    |      |      |
|------|---------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|----|------|------|
| Fiji | Upper-middle-income | 3469.79 | 3825.50 | 14.35 | 14.48 | 57.58 | 66.91 | 92 | 88 | 1.05 | 1.34 |
|------|---------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|----|------|------|

Source: (a) Human Development Ranking obtained from UNDP (2005, 2014)

(b) All other figures computed by Authors with data obtained from World Bank (undated)

Table 1.2 Trade-investment scenario of select Asian economies

| Country              | Merchandise Trade as % of GDP |           | Service Trade as % of GDP | Regional Trade Agreements Participation |                      | FDI Inflows as % of GDP |           | FDI Outflows as % of GDP | Total Reserves as % of Total External Debt |           | Personal Remittances Received as % of GDP |           |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
|                      | 1992–2002                     | 2003–2013 | 2005–2013                 | Signed and in Effect                    | Ongoing Negotiations | 1992–2002               | 2003–2013 | 2003–2013                | 1992–2002                                  | 2003–2013 | 1992–2002                                 | 2003–2013 |
| East Asia            |                               |           |                           |   |                      |                         |           |                          |  |           |   |           |
| China                | 37.82                         | 53.38     | 6.65                      | 14                                      | 8                    | 4.25                    | 4.03      | 1.34                     | 90.12                                      | 404.38    | 0.32                                      | 0.55      |
| Hong Kong, SAR China | 233.76                        | 350.24    | 63.27                     | 4                                       | 1                    | 15.90                   | 26.44     | 30.76                    | –  | –         | 0.08                                      | 0.14      |
| Japan                | 16.31                         | 26.73     | 5.76                      | 14                                      | 10                   | 0.10                    | 0.17      | 1.80                     | –  | –         | 0.03                                      | 0.03      |
| South Korea          | 50.25                         | 73.48     | 15.71                     | 12                                      | 11                   | 0.71                    | 0.95      | 1.98                     | –  | –         | 0.85                                      | 0.62      |
| Southeast Asia       |                               |           |                           |   |                      |                         |           |                          |  |           |   |           |
| Brunei               | 91.36                         | 88.34     | 18.11                     | 8                                       | 4                    | 2.51                    | 3.23      | 0.15                     | –  | –         | –   | –         |
| Cambodia             | 65.53                         | 115.25    | 28.71                     | 6                                       | 2                    | 4.89                    | 6.50      | 0.17                     | 15.77                                      | 68.33     | 1.85                                      | 1.98      |
| Indonesia            | 53.13                         | 46.00     | 7.96                      | 9                                       | 8                    | 0.42                    | 1.66      | 0.88                     | 16.32                                      | 36.39     | 0.50                                      | 1.11      |
| Laos                 | 52.50                         | 49.29     | 9.50                      | 8                                       | 2                    | 3.30                    | 3.63      | –                        | 5.04                                       | 14.54     | 1.14                                      | 0.40      |
| Malaysia             | 166.17                        | 158.30    | 27.27                     | 14                                      | 7                    | 4.79                    | 3.45      | 5.00                     | 73.83                                      | 92.39     | 0.28                                      | 0.59      |
| Myanmar              | –                             | 31.93     | 5.00                      | 6                                       | 4                    | –                       | 2.81      | –                        | 7.20                                       | 47.87     | –   | 0.38      |
| Philippines          | 73.49                         | 67.38     | 14.24                     | 7                                       | 3                    | 1.83                    | 1.20      | 1.44                     | 21.80                                      | 75.04     | 7.60                                      | 11.38     |
| Singapore            | 264.91                        | 302.68    | 86.83                     | 22                                      | 10                   | 11.75                   | 17.90     | 10.62                    | –  | –         | –   | –         |
| Thailand             | 84.00                         | 122.18    | 27.26                     | 12                                      | 9                    | 2.79                    | 3.34      | 1.52                     | 41.53                                      | 128.25    | 1.03                                      | 1.01      |
| Vietnam              | 73.78                         | 133.38    | 14.75                     | 8                                       | 7                    | 6.60                    | 5.65      | 0.54                     | 16.34                                      | 52.21     | 3.92                                      | 6.09      |

|                          |        |        |       |    |    |      |      |       |        |        |      |       |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------|----|----|------|------|-------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| South Asia               |        |        |       |    |    |      |      |       |        |        |      |       |
| Afghanistan              | 66.73  | 41.03  | 24.07 | 2  | 2  | 0.62 | 1.70 | –     | –      | 219.45 | –    | 1.70  |
| Bangladesh               | 24.76  | 38.79  | 6.00  | 3  | 3  | 0.17 | 0.89 | 0.004 | 12.88  | 31.63  | 3.46 | 8.62  |
| Bhutan                   | 66.52  | 85.23  | 14.10 | 2  | 1  | 0.12 | 1.97 | –     | 129.56 | 85.06  | –    | 0.49  |
| India                    | 17.63  | 33.68  | 13.81 | 13 | 15 | 0.60 | 1.73 | 0.90  | 32.32  | 101.50 | 2.14 | 3.31  |
| Maldives                 | 79.01  | 70.48  | 91.74 | 1  | 2  | 2.35 | 8.07 | –     | 43.73  | 41.84  | 0.44 | 0.21  |
| Nepal                    | 37.68  | 37.59  | 10.62 | 2  | 1  | 0.21 | 0.22 | –     | 31.41  | 74.70  | 2.47 | 19.51 |
| Pakistan                 | 30.71  | 32.68  | 7.55  | 10 | 7  | 0.88 | 1.62 | 0.05  | 9.41   | 27.34  | 2.62 | 4.86  |
| Sri Lanka                | 68.00  | 53.93  | 12.39 | 5  | 2  | 1.31 | 1.40 | 0.11  | 20.32  | 26.37  | 6.55 | 8.24  |
| West Asia                |        |        |       |    |    |      |      |       |        |        |      |       |
| Iran                     | 39.00  | 40.27  | –     | 1  |    | 0.39 | 1.05 | –     | –      | –      | 1.00 | 0.44  |
| Kuwait                   | 70.62  | 75.55  | 19.10 | 3  | 11 | 0.15 | 0.65 | 7.66  | –      | –      | –    | 0.003 |
| Qatar                    | 79.04  | 81.28  | 17.04 | 3  | 11 | 2.05 | 3.52 | 2.82  | –      | –      | –    | 0.35  |
| Saudi Arabia             | 53.86  | 72.20  | 15.44 | 3  | 11 | 0.20 | 3.72 | 0.39  | –      | –      | –    | 0.04  |
| United Arab Emirates     | 84.52  | 127.72 | –     | 8  | 11 | 0.21 | 3.89 | –     | –      | –      | –    | –     |
| Central Asia and Eurasia |        |        |       |    |    |      |      |       |        |        |      |       |
| Kazakhstan               | 53.69  | 70.04  | 11.58 | 8  | 6  | 6.36 | 8.18 | 2.13  | 36.29  | 21.27  | 0.53 | 0.17  |
| Tajikistan               | 126.67 | 86.46  | 16.98 | 7  | 2  | 1.44 | 4.50 | –     | 5.97   | 13.38  | 6.43 | 35.68 |
| Turkey                   | 31.79  | 43.38  | 7.94  | 18 | 13 | 0.54 | 1.93 | 0.30  | 18.82  | 28.91  | 1.76 | 0.19  |
| Uzbekistan               | 47.56  | 57.13  | –     | 8  | 2  | 0.56 | 2.17 | –     | 23.44  | –      | –    | –     |
| Pacific Island Economies |        |        |       |    |    |      |      |       |        |        |      |       |
| Fiji                     | 77.45  | 83.63  | 46.30 | 3  | 2  | 2.67 | 7.99 | 0.05  | 201.70 | 132.33 | 2.32 | 5.52  |

Source: (a) Regional Trade Agreements Participation noted from ADB (undated), Ersoy (2013), WTO (2012a, 2012b, 2012c, 2014).

(b) All other figures computed by authors with data obtained from World Bank (undated)



can be understood from the rise in personal remittances inflows as percentage of GDP in these locations. Finally, most of the Asian economies in East, South and Southeast Asia have actively engaged with trade partners (often Asian neighbours) through multiple RTAs. In addition, all the economies from these regions are presently part of several ongoing RTA negotiations, signifying the premium attached to regional trade partnerships.

India, a country at the crossroads of West, Central, East and Southeast Asia, plays a crucial role in the Asian trade-investment context, given its shared land border with several neighbours. The country has grown at a rate consistently higher than 6 per cent since the East Asian crisis in the late nineties. Only in the aftermath of the recent recession, the growth rate has faltered (Chakraborty 2013). The country's presence in the global trade-investment sphere has considerably deepened over the past two decades. The share of India in merchandise export and FDI inward flows over 2001–13 has increased from 0.72 per cent to 1.87 per cent and from 0.30 per cent to 1.94 per cent respectively. It has partnered several Asian countries through RTAs and is presently engaged in negotiation with the European Union (EU) for a bilateral trade and investment agreement (BTIA) (Chaisse *et al.* 2011). It is also a key member in the BRICS group, which consists of Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa. The country is now integrating fast with the GVCs, particularly with the ones located in Asia (Anukoonwattaka and Mikic 2011). India is also a participant of the 'Asian Highway Network', the success of which would crucially influence trade and welfare scenarios in the South Asian region. However, the network has faced several challenges, including resource- and governance-related constraints (Rohatgi, Bandyopadhyay and Gupta 2011).

The present volume focuses on the trade-investment-sustainability scenario in the Asian context, through both empirical and theoretical analysis. The empirical analyses included in the volume have used the relevant indices, for example, IIT indices, OECD Trade in Value Added (TIVA) indices on one hand and various modelling techniques, for example, dynamic panel data modelling, gravity modelling, application of input–output–based analysis, time series models and the like on the other. The chapters included in the volume have focused on trade-investment policies in the Asian context, India's trade relationship with select Asian and non-Asian partners, implications of India's trade policies and multilateral negotiations and so on. The chapters included in the volume offer a perspective on the scenario in developing countries, in recognition of their growing in the global trade and investment canvas.

## **Structure of the volume**

The proposed volume intends to contribute to the existing literature by covering select empirical analyses, relating to crucial policy issues in the trade-investment sphere and their role in fostering economic development in the context of India and several Asian economies, though studies on BRICS countries, EU, Maghreb countries and Pacific island economics have also been included in the volume. The

chapters included in the volume are classified under four broad themes, namely: (1) trade: theoretical and policy issues, (2) factor flows: impact on trade and welfare, (3) impact of trade and factor flows on environment and (4) institutions, international trade and policy issues. The chapters included in the current volume deal with contemporary research questions on these broad themes. In addition, detailed literature surveys, discussions on data availability and issues relating to statistical estimation techniques in theoretical background ensures that each chapter significantly contributes to the ever-growing literature on international trade and investment.

Part I includes seven chapters, covering both theoretical and empirical analysis. The first chapter by Hemangi K. Kelkar and L. G. Burabnge analyses the nature of India's IIT over 1990–91 to 2013–2014 at HS eight-digit level. A sharp rise in IIT indices signifies that the process of trade liberalization favoured reallocation of resources within the same industry rather than among the industries. Furthermore, segregation of IIT in horizontal and vertical types on the basis of product quality shows that India's major export basket is characterized by high product differentiation. While low-quality vertical IIT has increased as compared to high-quality vertical IIT, the empirical results indicate that India is improving on the quality ladder of traded products.

Consistently growing intra-sector IIT involving several regional partners indicates emergence of IPNs from market-driven forces, for example, vertical specialization, higher production costs in home countries and institutional-led reasons like free trade agreements (FTAs). Taking note of the gradual implementation of the India–ASEAN FTA since 2010, the second chapter by Biswajit Nag notes that the resulting tariff reforms have opened up a new opportunity for the expansion of the ASEAN production network to India. Through an analysis with the OECD TIVA database, the chapter analyses the degree of foreign value-added component in India's gross exports in selected sectors between 2000 and 2009, and the empirical findings confirm deepening of IPNs.

While the growth in bilateral IIT facilitates deepening of IPN-led trade linkage among partners, external sanctions and global financial crises exert an opposite effect. The third chapter by Seyed Komail Tayebi and Zahra Zamani attempts to analyse the determinants of Iran–India trade flows for a range of products over 1991–2013. Bilateral IIT indices reveal a growing trend, although there is ample scope for both partners to expand their trade relations through IIT-type trade. The augmented gravity model estimation results indicate strong influence of the gravity variable set including GDPs, per-capita incomes in determining the bilateral trade flows, although trade imbalance and international sanctions against Iran as well as global financial crises play crucial roles.

While the market, climatic and institutional drivers significantly influence the export pattern and decisions, firm-level characteristics are increasingly playing an important role. The fourth chapter by Anirban Biswas traces the factors affecting the export performance of Indian manufacturing firms belonging to different technology groups over 1994–2010. While age of firm, advertisement expenses and import of capital goods and so on significantly boost export orientation,