

Knowledge-Intensive Business Services

Geography and Innovation

Edited by
David Doloreux
Mark Freel
Richard Shearmur

KNOWLEDGE-INTENSIVE BUSINESS SERVICES

Ashgate Economic Geography Series

Series Editors:

Michael Taylor, Peter Nijkamp, and Tom Leinbach

Innovative and stimulating, this quality series enlivens the field of economic geography and regional development, providing key volumes for academic use across a variety of disciplines. Exploring a broad range of interrelated topics, the series enhances our understanding of the dynamics of modern economies in developed and developing countries, as well as the dynamics of transition economies. It embraces both cutting edge research monographs and strongly themed edited volumes, thus offering significant added value to the field and to the individual topics addressed.

Other titles in the series:

Interrogating Alterity

Alternative Economic and Political Spaces

Edited by Duncan Fuller, Andrew E.G. Jonas and Roger Lee

ISBN: 978-0-7546-7341-5

Foreign Direct Investment, Agglomeration and Externalities

Empirical Evidence from Mexican Manufacturing Industries

Jacob Jordaan

ISBN: 978-0-7546-4729-4

Traditional Food Production and Rural Sustainable Development

A European Challenge

Edited by Teresa de Noronha Vaz, Peter Nijkamp and Jean-Louis Rastoin

ISBN: 978-0-7546-7462-7

Upgrading Clusters and Small Enterprises in Developing Countries

Environmental, Labor, Innovation and Social Issues

Edited by Jose Antonio Puppim de Oliveira

ISBN: 978-0-7546-7297-5

The Moving Frontier

The Changing Geography of Production in Labour-Intensive Industries

Edited by Lois Labrianidis

ISBN: 978-0-7546-7448-1

Knowledge-Intensive Business Services

Geography and Innovation

Edited by

DAVID DOLOREUX
University of Ottawa, Canada

MARK FREEL
University of Ottawa, Canada

RICHARD SHEARMUR
INRS – Université du Québec, Canada

 **Routledge**
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

First published 2010 by Ashgate Publishing

Published 2016 by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017, USA

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

Copyright © David Doloreux, Mark Freel and Richard Shearmur 2010

David Doloreux, Mark Freel and Richard Shearmur have asserted their right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, to be identified as the editors of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Notice:

Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Knowledge-intensive business services : geography and innovation. -- (Ashgate economic geography series)

1. Information services industry--Congresses.
2. Industrial location--Congresses.
3. Knowledge management--Congresses.
4. Diffusion of innovations--Economic aspects--Congresses.
5. Strategic alliances (Business)--Congresses.

I. Series II. Doloreux, David, 1972- III. Freel, Mark S.

IV. Shearmur, Richard G.

338.4'7001-dc22

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Doloreux, David, 1972-

Knowledge-intensive business services : geography and innovation / by David Doloreux, Mark Freel, and Richard Shearmur.

p. cm. -- (Ashgate economic geography series)

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7546-7889-2 (hardback)

1. Knowledge management.
2. Technological innovations.
3. Business services.
- I. Freel, Mark S.
- II. Shearmur, Richard G.
- III. Title.

HD30.2.D65 2010

658.4'038--dc22

2010007971

ISBN 9780754678892 (hbk)

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of Contributors</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xvii</i>

1	Introduction <i>David Doloreux, Mark Freel and Richard Shearmur</i>	1
2	US International Trade in Knowledge-Intensive Business Services <i>Barney Warf</i>	19
3	Scale, Distance and Embeddedness: Knowledge-Intensive Business Services Location and Growth in Canada <i>Richard Shearmur</i>	43
4	Knowledge-Intensive Business Services Users and Uses: Exploring the Propensity to Innovation Related Cooperation with Knowledge-Intensive Business Services <i>Mark Freel</i>	75
5	Knowledge-Intensive Business Services as Knowledge Mediators in Different Regional Contexts: The Case of Norway <i>Heidi Wiig Aslesen and Arne Isaksen</i>	99
6	Knowledge Intermediaries or Routine Service Producers? Exploring Finnish M-KIBS Using the Innovation System Approach <i>Mika Kautonen and Marja Hyypiä</i>	123
7	Are Knowledge Flows between Knowledge-Intensive Business Services Firms and their Clients Dominated by Codified or Tacit Knowledge? Why? The Case of Québec City <i>Réjean Landry, Nabil Amara and David Doloreux</i>	145
8	Innovation and the Pattern of Knowledge Sourcing in the Vienna Software Cluster <i>Michaela Trippl and Franz Tödtling</i>	167

9	The Socio-Economic and Innovation Landscape of Knowledge-Intensive Business Services in the Ottawa Region <i>David Doloreux, Daniela Defazio and David Rangdrol</i>	187
10	Inside KIBS' Black Box: Exploring the Existence and Function of Knowledge Angels <i>Emmanuel Muller, Andrea Zenker and Jean-Alain Héraud</i>	211
11	Knowledge-Intensive Business Services, Territory and Innovation: Concluding Remarks <i>Richard Shearmur and David Doloreux</i>	233
	<i>Index</i>	241

List of Figures

2.1	US export revenues for 10 selected KIBS, 1986-2007	32
2.2	US import payments for 10 selected KIBS, 1986-2007	32
2.3	US trade balance in 10 selected KIBS, 1986-2007	33
2.4	Total US imports, exports, and trade balance of KIBS to/from 11 largest trading partners, 2007	35
3.1	Relative location of KIBS in Canada, by type of city, 2006	56
3.2	Resource price fluctuations, Canada, 1995-2008	63
4.1	Propensity and reach of cooperation by partner type	78
4.2	Innovation activities by cooperation activity	80
4.3	Training and graduate employment by cooperation activity	82
4.4	Geographic locations by cooperation activity	85
4.5	Propensity to cooperate by age, size and sector	86
5.1	Analytical model	106
6.1	Distinctive standardised, innovation-related factors according to the three KIBS categories	135
6.2	Distinctive standardised, internationality-related factors according to the three KIBS categories	136
6.3	Distinctive standardised, client- and partner-related factors according to the three regional categories	137
9.1	Spatial reach of cooperation with most important collaborators (% of the sample, n=69)	204

This page intentionally left blank

List of Tables

2.1	Employment in select US KIBS Sectors, December 2007 ('000s)	29
2.2	Affiliated v. unaffiliated KIBS trade, 2007 (millions \$US)	31
2.3	US KIBS export receipts from selected countries, 2007 (millions \$US)	34
2.4	Major sources of foreign students studying in the United States, 2007-2008 academic year	35
2.5	US KIBS import payments to selected countries, 2007 (millions \$US)	36
3.1	Possible KIBS sectors: Composition of workforce by occupation, Canada, 2006	50
3.2	Some characteristics of T-KIBS and P-KIBS, Québec, 2007	52
3.3	Employment in P-KIBS and T-KIBS across the Canadian urban system, 2001-2006	55
3.4	Correlations between selected local indicators and KIBS location, 2006	57
3.5	Exploratory analysis of KIBS growth, Canada, 2001-2006	59
3.6	KIBS and local growth, 2001-2006	65
4.1	Variables used in the analysis	87
4.2	Logit models of the probability of cooperating with KIBS for innovation	89
5.1	Types of knowledge exchange	105
5.2	Urban regions and industries in Norway, 2008	107
5.3	Background information on the respondents, 2006 (N=448)	110
5.4	Percentage share of firms' sales on different markets (N=448)	110
5.5	Different innovation results in KIBS firms in the period 2004-2006 (N=448)	111
5.6	Share of firms with innovation collaboration, by type of partner (N=186)	112
5.7	Share of firms with innovation collaboration, by location of their partners (N=186)	114
5.8	Share of firms that use different knowledge sources of relevance to innovation, by location of the source (regardless of type of source) (N=404)	114
5.9	Summary table: KIBS role as mediator in different RIS	116
6.1	Employment in private KIBS sectors, 1993-2007 ('000s)	129
6.2	The six clusters of Management-KIBS, standardised factors	133
7.1	Hypotheses	152

7.2	Descriptive statistics	153
7.3	Type of knowledge that firms exchange with clients, by service industry (Québec City Sample)	154
7.4	Estimated logit models of factors affecting the types of knowledge that firms exchanged with their clients	158
7.5	Summary of types of knowledge that firms exchanged with their clients	160
8.1	Types of linkages to external knowledge sources and partners	170
8.2	Size and dynamics of the Vienna software cluster (plant level)	172
8.3	Age and size of cluster firms in the database and the sample	174
8.4	Innovation activities in the Vienna software cluster	174
8.5	Type of innovation	175
8.6	Advantages of the cluster for knowledge exchange	175
8.7	Geographical location of knowledge sources	176
8.8	Knowledge transfer channels	177
8.9	Recruiting of specialists: Sources and geography	177
8.10	Geographical location of R&D co-operation	178
8.11	Number of knowledge links and types of innovating firms	179
8.12	Number of important knowledge transfer channels and types of innovating firms	180
9.1	Socio-economic profile of the city-region of Ottawa	193
9.2	High-tech and KIBS employment in Ottawa, 2006	195
9.3	Innovation activity	197
9.4	Innovation outputs in KIBS	197
9.5	Characteristics of cooperating firms and <i>non</i> -cooperating firms	198
9.6	External cooperation for innovation	200
9.7	Geographical pattern of cooperation for innovation	201
9.8	Knowledge exchange with the most important collaborator	202
9.9	Distribution of types of knowledge that KIBS exchanged with their most important collaborators	203
9.10	Type of knowledge exchanged by location of the most important collaborator	204
10.1	Core characteristics of business angels and knowledge angels	217
10.2	Main characteristics of interviews with KIBS in Alsace and Baden-Württemberg	221
10.3	Synthesis of the 20 investigated cases (Baden-Württemberg: Cases 1-10, Alsace: Cases 11-20)	227

List of Contributors

Nabil Amara, PhD, is Associate Professor at the department of management of the faculty of business at Laval University in Québec City. His research interests focus on the measurement of efficiency of knowledge transfer in private and public sector and innovation in manufacturing and service sectors. His most significant works on knowledge transfer and innovation have been published in *Research Policy*, *Public Administration Review*, *Journal of Technology Transfer*, *Science Communication*, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* and *Technovation*.

Heidi Wiig Aslesen works as an Associate Professor at the Norwegian School of Management (BI) and as a researcher at NIFU STEP. She holds an MA in political science with a major in international political economy from the University of Oslo, and holds a PhD in economic geography from Lund University, Sweden. At BI she works as an Associate Dean for Bachelor in Entrepreneurship, and teaches in the field of innovation and entrepreneurship at BA, MA and PhD levels. She has for several years carried out research in the field of entrepreneurship and innovation studies, focusing especially on regional/national/international and sectoral dimensions of innovation. She has been leading, coordinating and taking part in several research projects financed by both national (Norwegian research council, Innovation Norway, different ministries) and international sources (EU, OECD).

Daniela Defazio is Research Fellow in the Center for Innovation Research (CIR) at Tilburg University, the Netherlands. Her research interests concentrate on the innovation process from knowledge creation to knowledge transfer, both from the economics and management perspectives. She also has expertise in science policy and its evaluation as a tool for supporting policy making. She has published in *Research Policy* and in the *International Journal of Foresight and Innovation Policy*.

David Doloreux is Associate Professor in the Telfer School of Management at the University of Ottawa and holds the Research Chair on entrepreneurship, innovation and regional development. He is also Research Fellow at Fraunhofer-ISI. His research program focuses on two principal themes: the determinants of innovation in manufacturing firms and knowledge-intensive business services and the dynamics and functions of clusters and regional innovation systems across different sectors and regions in Canada and Europe. His works have been published

in leading journals in the field of innovation and regional development such as *Industry and Innovation*, *Regional Studies*, *Technovation*, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, and *International Journal of Urban and Regional Studies*.

Mark Freel is Professor in the area of innovation and entrepreneurship at the Telfer School of Management, University of Ottawa. Dr. Freel has co-authored one textbook on entrepreneurship and small firms, and published several book chapters and numerous articles in leading academic journals. He is an active researcher and has undertaken research on behalf of regional, national and supra-national government bodies, as well as research funded through charities and research councils. He has substantial experience managing and analysing large scale data sets, garnered over a number of projects whilst employed at the Universities of Edinburgh, Aberdeen, Warwick and Paisley (all in the UK). His current research is concerned with entrepreneurship and economic development in a variety of national contexts and, specifically, with innovation policy and practice in relation to small and medium sized firms.

Jean-Alain Héraud is Professor of economics at Université de Strasbourg (UdS), France. He is presently head of the department of economics and management. Member of BETA (Bureau d'Economie Théorique et Appliquée), a joint research team between UdS and the national research agency CNRS. He specialises in economics of innovation, science and technology policy and has worked also on specific fields like regional development, training and employment, energy systems, technology foresight. In recent years he has organised collective research on multi-level and multi-actor governance of science and technology, within the European network of excellence PRIME. In France, he has been involved in a national experiment on regional systems of innovation, leading to the design of regional innovation policies.

Marja Hyypiä is a Researcher at the Unit for Science, Technology and Innovation Studies, University of Tampere, Finland. She has been working on themes related to the role and significance of knowledge-intensive business services in innovation systems since 2003. She is also a doctoral student in administrative science at the University of Tampere, her thesis exploring competence development in knowledge-intensive business service organisations.

Arne Isaksen is Professor at the department of work, life and innovation at the University of Agder, Norway. He received his PhD in economic geography from the University of Oslo in 1995. His current research areas are regional clusters, regional innovation systems and policy, companies' innovation mode, and the role of knowledge-intensive business services and industries in economic and regional development. Isaksen is the author of many papers in academic journals in economic geography and related fields. Recent publications include a book

on *Regional Innovation Policy for Small-Medium Enterprises* (co-editor and author).

Mika Kautonen is a Senior Researcher at the Research Unit for Science, Technology and Innovation Studies, University of Tampere, Finland, and the head of the innovation studies department of the unit. He has conducted and been in charge of numerous national and international research projects focusing on innovation systems and environments and their spatial dynamics; territorial innovation models and theories, especially the regional innovation system approach; firms' innovation processes and related interactions; knowledge-intensive services; and innovation policies. He has consulted and lectured internationally, nationally and regionally in these issues and has over 50 scientific publications related to his research interests.

Réjean Landry has been Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada since 1999. He is the holder of a Chair on Knowledge Transfer and Innovation funded by the Canadian Health Services Research Foundation and the Canadian Institute of Health Research. Dr Landry is professor at the department of management in the faculty of business at Laval University in Québec City where he teaches on knowledge transfer and knowledge management. He has published extensively on public policies, innovation and knowledge transfer. His most recent works on knowledge transfer and innovation have been published in *Journal of Technology Transfer*, *Research Policy*, *Public Administration Review*, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *Technovation*, and *Science Communication*.

Emmanuel Muller is an Economist, specialised in innovation and knowledge economics. He gained a European PhD in economics in Strasbourg (France) in 1999 and he holds a professorship at the University of Applied Sciences Heidelberg (Germany) since 2001. He has worked as Researcher at Fraunhofer ISI since 1993 while remaining a member of the Bureau d'Economie Théorique et Appliquée (BETA, Université de Strasbourg) since 1992. Apart from teaching innovation economics, management and policy as well as quantitative methods he is working in the fields of applied and contract research mainly on behalf of the European Commission and local authorities in different countries on the issues of regional innovation systems, knowledge-based interactions and service innovations.

David Rangdrol is Research Assistant in the Telfer School of Management and a PhD candidate in political science at the University of Ottawa. He has worked on research projects related to language policy and economic development in Canada. In 2006, he obtained an MA in International Studies from Uppsala University in Sweden.

Richard Shearmur is a Professor at the University of Québec's INRS Urbanisation Culture Société, a graduate university and research centre specialising in urban

and cultural studies. After graduating from Cambridge in 1989 he spent five years as an international property consultant working as a chartered surveyor in London, Paris and Madrid. This firsthand experience of KIBS work convinced him to undertake an MA in urban planning (McGill) and a PhD in economic geography (Université de Montréal) which he completed in 1999. He has published work in a variety of fields connected with the spatial deployment of economic activity and territorial development: intra-metropolitan urban forms, high-order services in metropolitan areas, the development of peripheral regions, the geography of high-order services and, most recently, the geography of innovation in service and manufacturing establishments. He currently holds the Canada Chair in Spatial Statistics and Public Policy.

Michaela Trippl is a Researcher and Lecturer at the Institute of Regional Development and Environment at the Vienna University of Economics and Business. She holds an MA and PhD in Economics from the Vienna University of Economics and Business. Her main areas of research comprise spatial aspects of knowledge-intensive industries, regeneration strategies for old industrial areas, regional innovation processes and clustering, and new form of political governance. Publications include books such as *Regional Knowledge Economies* (jointly with P. Cooke, C. DeLaurentis and F. Tödtling) and a number of articles in edited volumes and journals such as *Urban Studies*, *Research Policy*, *European Planning Studies*, *Industry and Innovation*, *Environment and Planning A* and *Economic Geography*.

Franz Tödtling is professor and head of the Institute for Regional Development and Environment at the Vienna University of Economics and Business Administration. His main research areas are the knowledge economy from a spatial perspective, innovation systems and policy, as well as regional development and policy. He has been involved in a number of international research projects and cooperations funded by the European Science Foundation, the European Framework Program and the US National Science Foundation. Publications include books such as *Regional Knowledge Economies* (jointly with Ph. Cooke, Carla de Laurentis and Michaela Trippl) and *The Governance of Innovation in Europe* (jointly with Ph. Cooke and P. Boekholt) a large number of articles in edited volumes and professional journals such as *Research Policy*, *Technovation*, *Journal of Technology Transfer*, *Industry and Innovation*, *Papers of the Regional Science Association*, *Regional Studies*, *Environmental & Planning* and *European Planning Studies*.

Barney Warf is a Professor of Geography at the University of Kansas. His research and teaching interests lie within the broad domain of human geography. Much of his work concerns economic geography, emphasising services and telecommunications. His work straddles contemporary political economy and social theory on the one hand and traditional quantitative, empirical approaches on the other. He has studied, among other things, New York as a global city,

telecommunications and electronic capital markets, offshore banking in Panama and Bahrain, international networks of legal and engineering services, mergers in the telecommunications industry, and the geographies of cyberspace. He has also written on military spending, ports and maritime trade, Indonesia, Cleveland, voting technologies, the electoral college, and religious diversity in different national contexts. He has co-authored or co-edited six books, 25 book chapters and 90 refereed journal articles, and serves on several editorial boards.

Andrea Zenker graduated in geography (with a specialisation in economic geography) from the Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Germany, in February 1996. She then worked as a consultant for desktop mapping at GRIT – Graphical Information Technology Consulting, and since 1997 has been a project manager at the Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research Karlsruhe, Competence Center ‘Policy and Regions’. In 2007 she received her PhD in Geography from the Université Louis Pasteur Strasbourg, France with the thesis ‘Innovation, perception and regions: Are perceptions of the environment related to firms’ innovation behaviours?: The cases of Alsace and Baden’. Her main research activities are in regional economic development and regional innovation research, innovation initiatives and strategies, as well as methodological aspects of regional innovation. She has a further focus on the innovation system, policies and actors in France. Besides national projects for Ministries or regional governments, she is involved in European projects and contracts for the Commission dealing with innovation activities, policies and strategies.

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgements

The book owes its existence to the project 'Knowledge-Intensive Business Services in Different National and Regional Contexts: An International Perspective' coordinated by David Doloreux, and Mark Freel, University of Ottawa, and Richard Shearmur, INRS-UCS. To develop the ideas and research presented here, the editors organised two seminars with the authors to discuss the theme of KIBS and regional innovation. The first meeting was held in Bordeaux (13-15 September, 2008) and the second meeting was held in Montreal (13-15 April 2009). During these meetings, authors prepared papers and discussed questions emerging from the research projects and issues related to KIBS, innovation and territory. Most of the chapters in the book are elaborations of the papers initially presented during these meetings.

We would like to acknowledge several organisations and persons that have made this book possible, besides, of course, the authors who have generously contributed to the book. First, we would like to thank the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, International Opportunities Fund (SSHRC grant number 861-2007-3001) for enabling the research on which this book is based. Second, we would like to thank the Telfer School of Management at the University of Ottawa and INRS-UCS for providing the support and institutional environment for developing the KIBS research. Third, we would like to express thanks to Ashgate, and especially Katy Low, commissioning editor of the Ashgate Economic Geography Series, for her help and support in the edition of the book. Fourth, we are deeply indebted to Sara Pimpaneau and would like to express our special thanks to her for undertaking the thankless task of reading and commenting on successive drafts, correcting mistakes, suggesting interpretations, and polishing and editing the language. However interesting the book, it is unlikely that it can have induced much enthusiasm after the second or third reading!

Finally, our respective families have had to tolerate our travels to seminars, the time spent writing, reading and editing the chapters, and the feverish home stretch – which stretches on (as usual) much longer than anticipated. Thanks to all of you for your support, and especially to the eight children that the editors (and their spouses) have been trying to keep fed and amused throughout this process.

David Doloreux, Mark Freel, Richard Shearmur
Ottawa and Montreal

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 1

Introduction

David Doloreux, Mark Freel and Richard Shearmur

1.1 Introduction: The Study of Knowledge-Intensive Business Service and Innovation

The topic of knowledge-intensive business services (KIBS) has received a great deal of attention in the last decade from scholars in different disciplines. Most of the studies deal with key aspects of the economic organisation of KIBS firms, their dynamics, and their competitive strategies in the globalising economy. There is a significant literature on the competitive position of KIBS, but little research about the role KIBS play in regional and national contexts. The objective of this book is to gather in one place a body of empirical work that examines KIBS innovation in varying organisational and geographical contexts. The primary focus is on KIBS and their roles in innovation systems from a geographical and territorial perspective. The book also discusses and investigates the way in which KIBS interact with other economic actors and contribute to innovation dynamics across a variety of scales from the global to the individual.

This book, whilst firmly empirical, presents an up-to-date survey of current knowledge about KIBS with particular emphasis on the connection between KIBS, innovation and geography. The book is the result of several research initiatives related to KIBS in North America (United States and Canada), Europe (Austria, France and Germany) and Nordic Countries (Finland and Norway). Given the common theme that runs through the different chapters (KIBS, territory and innovation), the variety of national and disciplinary contexts enable differences and similarities between research approaches, empirical results and conceptualisations of KIBS in different contexts to be analysed.

The origins of the book reflect a growing interest in service industries in general, KIBS in particular, and their capacity to innovate and/or contribute to innovation in varying regional and national contexts. The foundation for this growing interest is the body of evidence stretching back to the late 1970s that identifies KIBS (or high-order professional services) as the fastest-growing sectors of the economy. This, in turn, is but one element of wider structural changes in OECD economies that have been observed and analysed over the closing decades of the twentieth century, such as the general shift from manufacturing to services.

The way in which KIBS contribute to innovation is manifold, and can be examined from a variety of perspectives, which can be broadly categorised in two ways. First, KIBS are innovators in their own right. They introduce new services,

news methods of delivering services, they explore new markets and marketing techniques, and they must grapple with issues such as intellectual property and appropriating the benefits of their own innovations. Such questions are often explored at the level of the firm or of specific collaborative networks, and require detailed examination of the legal and regulatory contexts within which such firms operate and the way in which the benefits of innovation can be appropriated by the firm (Bader 2006). Indeed, current debates about the possibility of protecting intellectual property in a context where certain nations are more lax than others and where digital media facilitate the reproduction of software, text and images, highlight the saliency of these questions (Johns 2009, Grandstand 2005). Of course, all KIBS are not alike in this respect: large computer services companies, for instance, can identify certain standard products and processes, and these can be legally protected (though legal protection is no guarantee against theft (Johns 2009)). In contrast, management consultants or lawyers – to the extent that they have developed certain products and methods of service delivery or competencies that are specific to the firm – cannot usually patent them. For this type of KIBS it is reputation, social capital and other informal methods that can serve to partially protect the firm's specific products, processes, knowledge and innovations. In some ways this type of protection is more powerful – in KIBS sectors – than formal methods.

The second broad approach to examining KIBS' contribution to innovation is to consider them as part of a wider economic system. The economy as a whole innovates, and KIBS, as vectors of information and knowledge exchange, have become key elements in this innovation process: it is not so much the precise legal and business hurdles faced by individual establishments that are the focus of this type of study, but rather the way in which KIBS establishments interact with, contribute to and draw upon the wider economic system. This approach is often used when innovation systems are considered (Lundvall 1992), and therefore tends to incorporate a geographic dimension. Indeed, from this perspective the global economy is subdivided into smaller systems – countries and maybe regions (Cooke et al. 2004, Lundvall 2007) – which share certain institutions, business cultures, education systems and resources, and which operate under the same legal and regulatory frameworks. Each system operates differently, and the role of KIBS is therefore not necessarily identical in each national and regional context. Furthermore, as KIBS services have grown exponentially over the last three decades, the way in which innovation systems operate given these new actors continues to be of interest.

Of course, these two approaches are not distinct. For instance the capacity firms have of protecting intellectual property is one of the regulatory features that characterise each innovation system (Grandstand 2005). Conversely, the way in which intellectual property rights are enshrined in legislation, and whether or not such laws are effective, reflect regional or national cultures and institutions (Duffy 2002). Thus, whilst acknowledging that many particular items (of which the protection of intellectual property is but one example) contribute to creating the

context within which firms innovate, and whilst recognising that there exists a rich body of research that focuses on the way in which firms grapple with particular aspects of each system, in this book we adopt a broader approach.

Rather than analysing and characterising the multiple ways in which each innovation system differs from the other, we take as our point of departure – as a given – the different contexts within which KIBS operate. These contexts can be either national or regional, or both. Given these different contexts, the chapters in this book seek to understand the various ways that KIBS contribute to economy-wide innovation. In particular we seek to understand the extent to which the role of KIBS, as innovators and as contributors to system-wide innovation, differs across different regional and national contexts.

1.2 Knowledge-Intensive Business Services

Academic (and policy) interest in services appears to have increased in line with services' increasing importance to developed economies. By the early 2000s, the share of the service sector amounted to about 70 percent of total value added and accounted for about 70 percent of total employment in OECD economies (Wölfl 2005). Some 9 out of 10 new jobs in Europe are service jobs (Bryson and Daniels 2007). There can be little doubt that developed economies are largely service economies. Services are now recognised as a dynamic component of national economic activity, and are gaining importance in terms of employment and value added, and North America and Europe are no exception.

As already alluded to above, the term 'services' should not, of course, be taken to indicate some undifferentiated mass of industry. Rather, the service sector is marked by considerable heterogeneity – ranging from retailing, to wholesaling, through architecture and software engineering, public services, through personal services to business services. In the current context, this heterogeneity provides an important point of departure. Of specific interest to us are innovation systems and the innovativeness of individual firms and organisations that comprise these systems. Typically, studies of innovation and of innovation systems have been dominated by accounts of manufacturing firms and the organisations which support technological innovations in manufacturing. Services, where they appear at all in these stories, are merely consumers of innovation: they are the passive adopters of technologies developed by manufacturing (Tether 2001).

Whilst this caricature may comfortably apply to many service industries (such as most personal services),¹ its blanket application has profound implications for economies dominated by services. As Gallouj (2002: 144) notes, such a position may 'preclude serious thought (particularly on the part of the public authorities)

1 Even this generalisation should be tempered: in the province of Québec (Canada), for instance, the retail sector undertakes considerable Research and Development (R&D) in order to improve stock management, logistics and electronic commerce (CST 2003).

about ways of energising an area of activity of great importance for the future of firms, industries and nations'. Recent statistics have shown sharply increasing innovation expenditures within a number of service sectors, even when restrictive indicators, more appropriate for manufacturing innovation, are used (Howells 2000). In particular, KIBS appear to exhibit many of the characteristics of technology-based manufacturing and to act as creative innovators in their own right, rather than as mere adopters and users of new technologies. This in turn, has led some authors to speak of a shifting 'balance of power' in favour of KIBS (Lundquist et al. 2008) or of 'an ongoing redistribution of knowledge in favour of KIBS and away from traditional producers and service providers' (Tether and Hipp 2002: 166). KIBS, from this perspective, occupy dynamic and central positions in 'new' knowledge-based economies.

The evidence pointing to the growing importance of business services is clear. Even beyond the dramatic restructuring of the 1970s and 1980s, KIBS' role in developed economies has continued to grow. The contribution of key business service sectors to OECD value added grew from 24.3 percent to 28.4 percent between 1990 and 2007. Interpreting this growth is not always straightforward. Debate continues, for instance, over the extent to which the growth in business services is due to growth in outsourcing of previously in-house functions, or the growth of new service needs. Competitive pressures and the increasing speed of technical change are likely to imply both the outsourcing of non-core functions for cost reasons and the sourcing of new specialist services to support new activities – though the relative valence of these trends is not easy to assess. However, in this context, it is interesting to note the limited and diminishing component of KIBS demand accounted for by manufacturing.

Although manufacturing-KIBS exchanges dominate academic and policy discussions (and are at the heart of the outsourcing arguments), evidence suggests that a small and declining proportion – less than 10 percent – of KIBS consumption is accounted for by manufacturing firms (Wood 2006). The increasing complexity of services and interdependence between different service establishments therefore suggests that milieu dynamics (the gathering of flexible service teams around key integrators) may characterise some of these activities (Gordon and McCann 2005).

Perhaps a greater challenge to those who would place KIBS at the centre of innovation systems is the charge that much so-called KIBS employment growth has been in routine functions (such as basic accounting or administrative services). Firms engaged in such activities may support employment, but they are likely to have only a limited impact upon the competitiveness of client firms or on innovation systems (Wood 2006). Here again, though, it is difficult to gauge the extent to which this charge is fair. Certainly, many apparent KIBS firms provide 'relatively routine professional, financial and business expertise' and benefit from 'familiarity with clients through repeat business' (Wood 2006: 348). However, others provide sophisticated expertise and, operating in international markets, act as important links to global best practice for local clients. Regardless, a key

challenge for academics and policymakers is to clearly identify the subjects (actors and activities) of interest and to avoid sweeping generalisations and hyperbole when discussing knowledge-intensive business services.

1.3 Innovation

The ‘subjects of interest’ in this book and the different chapters are those KIBS firms facilitating innovation in their clients or directly innovating themselves. We are interested in innovation and innovators. And, to that end, the distinction between own innovation and client innovation is crucial. KIBS may themselves be the source of innovations – introducing innovations in their own services or in the processes which support the design, production or delivery of those services (Miles 2007). Equally, they can also play a role in the innovativeness of other firms by helping direct the choice and use of new technologies or assisting with organisational restructuring. In the former case (i.e. own innovation), business services have long been recognised as intensive users of new information and communication technologies. However, until relatively recently, the temptation has been to view them as passive adopters of such technologies. Increasingly, however, studies demonstrate the use of such technologies in developing process or service innovations in KIBS.² Building upon this picture of services innovation, survey evidence on the innovativeness of business service firms has been provided by successive Community Innovation Surveys (CIS), in Europe, and similar endeavours elsewhere, such as the Survey of Innovation in Canada. These data show KIBS sectors to be reasonably innovative, albeit less oriented towards R&D-based technology product and process innovation than manufacturing. However, there is evidence that innovation within some sub-sectors of KIBS (technology-based or T-KIBS), bears close resemblance to innovation in high-technology manufacturing – in terms of both the volume of innovations and the manner in which they are developed.

Notwithstanding the fact that innovation in KIBS establishments is increasingly studied by way of surveys, an important ambiguity has yet to be resolved with regards how this innovation is characterised. Indeed, many KIBS establishments enter into one-to-one relationships with their clients, and the service (whether it is the design of computer systems, management advice or legal solutions) is customised to fit each client and each project (Miles 2005). Service production and delivery is also customised. It is unclear how this type of customisation should be characterised by researchers, and how it is characterised by survey respondents. On the one hand, customisation is a type of innovation: each project is unique, hence novel, and some survey responses may thus overstate innovation. On the other hand, customisation itself can become routine: each management consultant routinely modifies his or her advice to suit the problem faced by,

2 See, for example, Barras’ early work on banking (Barras 1986, 1990).

and the absorptive capacities of, each client: some survey responses may thus understate innovation activity. Indeed, innovation in firms that provide highly customised services – where product and process innovation is routine – may be more meaningfully conceptualised and identified in areas such as human resource management, company strategy and marketing. On the whole, whereas T-KIBS innovation may be approached in a similar fashion to that in manufacturing sectors (though in these sectors, too, product and process innovation may become routine), innovation in other types of KIBS is more difficult to conceptualise and calls for a wider understanding of the nature of innovation which may extend to strategy, management, marketing and service delivery (Evangelista 2006, Camancho and Rodriguez 2008).

KIBS' contribution to client innovation (or elsewhere in the economy) is also a contentious issue. Studies based on the CIS, for example, consistently find services, including KIBS, to be poorly linked into wider innovation systems and supporting institutions (Miles 2005). Djellal and Gallouj (2001: 59), for example, talk in terms of 'the negligible role of public organisations...and universities as sources of innovation' in services. However, whilst there are few public sector research institutes or agencies devoted to services, this need not inhibit their contribution to other organisations' innovations. As Miles (2007: 282) notes, 'KIBS are *business* services not because they exclusively service businesses, but because they deal with *business processes*'. And, whilst much of the activity may be standardised and involve few changes in client organisations (e.g. waste disposal, accounting services, facilities management, and so on), a sizeable proportion supports technical and organisational change. Clearly, T-KIBS, by proffering technology solutions to their clients, will tend to foster technological innovation in clients. Other types of KIBS may support other forms of innovation by, amongst other things, introducing clients to best-practice, by training employees or by altering the way clients tackle problems. Miles (2007: 284) summarises this well:

As problem solvers, KIBS focus their knowledge on the problems faced by their clients, and potential solutions to these problems. KIBS may effect solutions themselves, provide clients with the tools and skills to do this themselves, or provide clients with the resources that will enable them to better understand their problems and develop means to overcome them

1.4 Geography

In parallel with the growing interest in KIBS' role in the economy writ large there has been considerable work over the last two decades on the geography of these services (Beyers and Alvine 1985, Kirn 1987, Beyers and Lindhal 1996, Coffey and Shearmur 1997, Coffey 2000).

The geographic question has been considered from three sometimes contradictory perspectives. First, it has been argued that fast-growing high value services are

‘footloose’ – they require little capital, are easy to set up, and can constitute an export base for ailing manufacturing dependent regional economies. This approach drew considerable interest in the late 1980s. However, it has largely given way to the realisation that, even if these services grew faster outside metropolitan areas in the late 1970s and 1980s (Kirn 1987), this may have more accurately reflected the delayed tertiarisation of some regional economies and not a fundamental shift of these activities away from metropolitan areas.

The second, converse, approach is best exemplified by Sassen’s (2001) argument relating to global cities. She argues that certain cities are command centres which provide key high-order services to subordinate (and not necessarily geographically contiguous) hinterlands. In many ways this approach is a restatement of the Christallerian idea that there exists a hierarchy of service centres with the more complex and higher-order services locating in progressively larger (and more central) cities. In these large cities high-order services draw upon the local presence of key clients, qualified labour and related services – benefit, in short, from agglomeration economies – and deliver their services across large distances by virtue of the central location and converging transport networks that provide good access to remoter clients.

A final approach is that suggested by Cooke and Leydesdorff (2006): they suggest that business services are key components of local innovation systems. Even if they are not necessarily innovating or growing fast themselves, the services contribute to the overall functioning of territorially embedded networks and innovation dynamics.

Empirical evidence clearly shows that KIBS locate towards the top of the urban hierarchy (Shearmur and Doloreux 2008). Given their relative scarcity in many smaller cities it can be deduced that either establishments in these cities have little recourse to these services or that the services are delivered across distance. Although there are no doubt cases where KIBS contribute to local innovation dynamics, inferences from the geographic evidence suggest that in many cases KIBS contribute to local innovation systems from the outside, therefore suggesting that innovation systems may not, in fact, operate at such a small scale (Lundvall 2007). However, there is little direct systematic evidence of the way in which KIBS are accessed and delivered across space.

These geographic concerns may appear marginal to some KIBS researchers precisely because there are so few KIBS outside of most nation’s largest cities: the context in which KIBS are studied is almost always that of large cities and metropolitan regions. However, if it is true that establishments increasingly require access to KIBS in order to obtain specialised management and technological advice (as has been argued above), then the way in which KIBS are accessed from smaller cities and remote areas is of key concern to economic geographers and to local development agencies. If this access can occur across large distances, then it will indicate that certain components of ‘local’ innovation systems need not necessarily be local, and will emphasise the importance of connectivity to other regions for local economies. However, if it can be shown that successful local

innovation systems are those that have their own local KIBS sectors, then their absence should be of concern to local policy makers.

1.5 Organisation of the Book

The reader will note some equivocation in our opening comments. This is an inevitable consequence of the relatively recent attention devoted to services innovation, generally, and KIBS innovation specifically, in empirical studies. Though the body of empirical work is growing, many questions remain unanswered (and, even, unaddressed). This book, then, is self-consciously empirical. The essays in this collection have in common that they all empirically explore the changing role of KIBS in the wider economy. From the early 1970s when producer services were viewed as almost parasitic to the productive primary and manufacturing sectors (Cohen and Zysman 1987), through the 1980s and 1990s when they were increasingly viewed as productive (exporting) sectors in their own right and as evidence of the increasing complexity and functional specialisation and the economy (Illeris 1996, Daniels 1985), the view today is that these sectors play a key role in knowledge transmission, information exchange and, by extension, in economy-wide innovation processes (Wood 2002). As mentioned in the introductory paragraphs of this chapter, it is within this last paradigm that the following chapters are set.

Apart from the unifying paradigm, the period in which this book is published and the current economic dynamics and priorities, these chapters are connected along three key dimensions. The first is epistemological: whilst employing different research techniques, the chapters all take a resolutely empirical approach. Indeed, whilst there are a growing number of case studies that reflect the current paradigm, so far much of the evidence that obtains to the geography, roles and interaction between firms in the knowledge economy remains focused on the manufacturing sector. The data driven nature of this collection provides a unique body of evidence that sheds light on the generalisations currently being made about KIBS, their role in the economy and their innovative behaviour. In particular, this empirical focus puts into relief the problem associated with precisely defining what is meant by 'KIBS'. At a time when the very notion of classifying establishments according to their end product is being questioned (Daniels and Bryson 2002), the way in which KIBS establishments are identified is an important element in each contribution.

The definition, and function, of KIBS may vary according to national, and local, culture and institutions. A second dimension that unites the chapters is that of context: covering different national and regional contexts within North America and Europe, the contributions can be read in a comparative light. Each positions KIBS within an international, national or urban context and examines their interaction with other actors in the economy. Although the evidence put forward in this collection suggests that the role KIBS are playing in Western post-industrial societies is relatively similar, certain key differences also emerge. For instance, the