

sempre:

Society for Education, Music
and Psychology Research

ROUTLEDGE

Music and Familiarity

LISTENING, MUSICOLOGY AND PERFORMANCE

Edited by

ELAINE KING and HELEN M. PRIOR



An **Ashgate** Book



SEMPRE Studies in The Psychology of Music

MUSIC AND FAMILIARITY

SEMPRE Studies in the Psychology of Music

Series Editors

Graham Welch, *Institute of Education, University of London, UK*

Adam Ockelford, *Roehampton University, UK*

Ian Cross, *University of Cambridge, UK*

The theme for the series is the psychology of music, broadly defined. Topics will include: (i) musical development at different ages, (ii) exceptional musical development in the context of special educational needs, (iii) musical cognition and context, (iv) culture, mind and music, (v) micro to macro perspectives on the impact of music on the individual (such as from neurological studies through to social psychology), (vi) the development of advanced performance skills and (vii) affective perspectives on musical learning. The series will present the implications of research findings for a wide readership, including user-groups (music teachers, policy makers, parents), as well as the international academic and research communities. The distinguishing features of the series will be this broad focus (drawing on basic and applied research from across the globe) under the umbrella of SEMPRE's distinctive mission, which is to promote and ensure coherent and symbiotic links between education, music and psychology research.

Other titles in the series

Collaborative Learning in Higher Music Education

Edited by Helena Gaunt and Heidi Westerlund

I Drum, Therefore I Am

Being and Becoming a Drummer

Gareth Dylan Smith

The Act of Musical Composition

Studies in the Creative Process

Edited by Dave Collins

Studio-Based Instrumental Learning

Kim Burwell

Musical Creativity: Insights from Music Education Research

Oscar Odena

Music and Familiarity

Listening, Musicology and Performance

Edited by

ELAINE KING
University of Hull, UK

HELEN M. PRIOR
King's College, London, UK



Routledge
Taylor & Francis Group

LONDON AND NEW YORK

First published 2013 by Ashgate Publishing

Published 2016 by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017, USA

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

Copyright © 2013 Elaine King and Helen M. Prior

Elaine King and Helen M. Prior have asserted their right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, to be identified as the editors of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Notice:

Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Music and familiarity : listening, musicology and performance. -- (SEMPRE studies in the psychology of music)

1. Music--Psychological aspects. 2. Music appreciation.

3. Musical analysis. 4. Musicology. 5. Music--Performance--Psychological aspects.

I. Series II. King, Elaine, 1974- III. Prior, Helen M.

IV. Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research.

781.1'7-dc23

The Library of Congress has cataloged the printed edition as follows:

Music and familiarity : listening, musicology and performance / edited by Elaine King and Helen M. Prior.

pages cm. -- (SEMPRE studies in the psychology of music)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4094-2075-0 (hardcover) 1. Music--Psychological aspects. 2. Music appreciation--Psychological aspects. I. King, Elaine, 1974- II. Prior, Helen M.

ML3830.M966 2013

781'.11--dc23

2012042526

ISBN 9781409420750 (hbk)

ISBN 9781315596600 (ebk)

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of Music Examples</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Series Editors' Preface</i>	<i>xix</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xxi</i>

Introduction	1
<i>Elaine King and Helen M. Prior</i>	

PART I LISTENING

1	Keeping it Fresh: How Listeners Regulate their own Exposure to Familiar Music	13
	<i>Alinka Greasley and Alexandra Lamont</i>	
2	Familiarity, Schemata and Patterns of Listening	33
	<i>Helen M. Prior</i>	
3	The Effects of Repertoire Familiarity and Listening Preparation on New Audiences' Experiences of Classical Concert Attendance	63
	<i>Melissa C. Dobson</i>	
4	Familiarity with Music in Post-Operative Clinical Care: A Qualitative Study	81
	<i>Katherine A. Finlay</i>	

PART II MUSICOLOGY

5	Unfamiliar Sounds? Approaches to Intercultural Interaction in the World's Musics	109
	<i>Henry Stobart</i>	
6	Well, What Do You Know? Or, What Do You Know Well? Familiarity as a Structural Force in Crumb's <i>Black Angels</i>	137
	<i>Jonathan James Hargreaves</i>	

7	Familiarity, Information and Musicological Efficiency <i>Vanessa Hawes</i>	157
8	Familiarity and Reflexivity in the Research Process <i>Clemens Wöllner, Jane Ginsborg and Aaron Williamon</i>	175
9	Familiarity in Music Education <i>Susan Hallam</i>	197

PART III PERFORMANCE

10	The Significance of Familiar Structures in Music Memorisation and Performance <i>Artemis Apostolaki</i>	217
11	Groove as Familiarity with Time <i>Rowan Oliver</i>	239
12	Social Familiarity: Styles of Interaction in Chamber Ensemble Rehearsal <i>Elaine King</i>	253
13	Familiarity and Musical Performance <i>Mine Doğantan-Dack</i>	271
	<i>Index</i>	289

List of Figures

1.1	Patterns of changing complexity over time	15
1.2	Patterns of musical waxing and waning	27
2.1	Template for the representations of listeners' perceptual schemata	39
2.2	Representation of final schema for participant A (Clementi)	41
2.3	Representation of final schema for participant J (Clementi)	43
2.4	Representation of final schema for participant S (Clementi)	45
2.5	Representation of final schema for participant A (Schoenberg)	47
2.6	Representation of final schema for participant J (Schoenberg)	49
2.7	Representation of final schema for participant S (Schoenberg)	50
2.8	Representation of final schema for participant A (Berio)	52
2.9	Representation of final schema for participant J (Berio)	54
2.10	Representation of final schema for participant S (Berio)	55
3.1	Mean familiarity and enjoyment ratings for each piece in the three concerts attended by the participants	70
3.2	Mean familiarity ratings for each piece from the LP and non-LP groups	71
3.3	Mean enjoyment ratings for each piece from the LP and non-LP groups	71
5.1	Isaac Gilsemans, <i>A View of the Murderers' Bay</i> (1642)	115
5.2	<i>A pinkillu</i> flute consort performing in a llama corral during the feast Carnival, Wak'an Phukru community, Macha, Bolivia	119
5.3	<i>Charango</i> players of Easter songs in Sacaca, Bolivia	125
6.1	Three-part network of associations in a musical reference	140
6.2	Programme for <i>Black Angels</i>	143
6.3	Analysis of <i>Black Angels</i> : Blocks and historical arch	149
8.1	Reasons why and age when (mean and SD) respondents chose to work in the field	181
10.1	The working memory model, including the episodic buffer	227
10.2	Music-specific sub-system of the working memory model: The central overlapping area demonstrates the cognitive processes shared by verbal and musical functions	229

This page has been left blank intentionally

List of Tables

2.1	Number of hearings preceding different types of responses	57
3.1	Participant profiles	67
3.2	The programmes of the three concerts attended	68
4.1	Musical examples	89
4.2	Participant music listening preferences according to genre	91
9.1	Stages of procedural and declarative skill acquisition	201
9.2	Teacher and learner attachment styles	206
12.1	Summary of background information for the ensembles included in the data set	260
12.2	Task-related and socio-emotional categories (column 1) with frequency of utterances for the cello–piano duo data (column 2) plus comparison with suggested limits provided by Bales (columns 3 and 4)	262
12.3	Task-related and socio-emotional categories (column 1) with frequency of utterances for the vocal–piano duo data (column 2) plus comparison with suggested limits provided by Bales (columns 3 and 4)	264

This page has been left blank intentionally

List of Music Examples

5.1	Easter song perception: (a) by European subjects (6/8 upbeat); (b) by Bolivian (Quechua-speaking) subjects and performers (2/4 on beat)	125
6.1	Echo effect in Crumb's <i>Black Angels</i> , 'Pavana Lachrymae'	147
6.2	Crumb's <i>Black Angels</i> , opening	151
13.1	Beethoven, Piano Trio in E-Flat, Op. 70 No. 2, I, bars 104–08	284
13.2	Beethoven, Trio in E-Flat, Op. 70 No. 2, I, bars 121–30	285

This page has been left blank intentionally

Notes on Contributors

Artemis Apostolaki completed her PhD thesis at the University of Hull, investigating the role of solfège in music memorisation. Her background is in linguistics and music whereby she obtained a degree in Greek literature, specialising in linguistics, from the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki before completing a Masters degree in music performance at the University of Hull. She received a Gerry Farrell Travel Award from the Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research in support of her doctoral study. Her research interests include music perception and encoding, and music education in respect to aural skills and music reading. She is currently employed as a cello teacher.

Melissa C. Dobson completed her PhD thesis at the University of Sheffield, focusing on the factors that influence audience members' experiences and enjoyment of classical concert attendance. Her research interests lie in the social psychology of music; she is particularly interested in both performers' and audience members' responses to live music performance. Forthcoming articles are in press at *Psychology of Music*, *Music Performance Research* and the *Journal of New Music Research*.

Mine Doğan-tan-Dack is Research Fellow at Middlesex University in London where she is currently leading the music research programme. Born in Istanbul, she is a music theorist and pianist, performing regularly as a soloist and chamber musician. She has published articles on the history of music theory, expressivity in music performance, affective responses to music, chamber music performance, and phenomenology of pianism. Her books include *Mathis Lussy: A Pioneer in Studies of Expressive Performance* (2002) and the edited volume *Recorded Music: Philosophical and Critical Reflections* (2008). She was a finalist for the annual Excellence in Research Award given by the Association for Recorded Sound Collections. She is the founder of the Marmara Piano Trio (www.mdx.ac.uk/alchemy) and the recipient of an AHRC award for her research in chamber music performance.

Katherine A. Finlay is Lecturer in Psychology at the University of Buckingham. Her research interests are a fusion of clinical and music psychology research, with an emphasis on pain management. She read music as an undergraduate at Cambridge University and moved into psychology through an MSc in Music Psychology at Keele University. Her PhD at Edinburgh University investigated the role of audio-analgesia and psychological methods of pain control in working

with acute and chronic pain sufferers. She has subsequently extended her research into the field of health psychology. She is a member of the Buckingham University Music Psychology Research Group and regularly performs as a flautist in recitals and orchestral concerts.

Jane Ginsborg is Associate Dean of Research, Director of the Centre for Music Performance Research and Programme Leader for Research Degrees at the Royal Northern College of Music, where she holds a Personal Chair. Formerly a professional singer, with a BA (hons) degree in Music from the University of York and an Advanced Diploma in Singing from the Guildhall School of Music and Drama, she subsequently gained a BA (hons) degree in Psychology at Keele University; she is a Chartered Psychologist and a Fellow of the Higher Education Academy. She was a Lecturer at the University of Manchester, a post-doctoral researcher at the University of Sheffield and Senior Lecturer in Psychology at Leeds Metropolitan University. She has published widely on expert musicians' preparation for performance, collaborative music making and musicians' health, is Managing Editor of the online peer-reviewed journal *Music Performance Research* and serves on the Editorial Boards of the *Journal of Interdisciplinary Music Studies* and *Musicae Scientiae*. In 2002 she was awarded the British Voice Association's Van Lawrence Award for her research on singers' memorising strategies.

Alinka Greasley is Lecturer in Psychology of Music at the University of Leeds. Her research lies within the field of social psychology of music and focuses on all aspects of people's engagement with music, including musical preferences, listening behaviour, understanding audiences and music festival research. She has a developing international profile of journal articles (for example, *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *Musicae Scientiae*) and book chapters (for example, Oxford University Press, Ashgate) and presents regularly at national and international conferences. She is a Member of the British Psychological Society, the Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research, and a Fellow of the Higher Education Academy.

Susan Hallam is Professor of Education at the Institute of Education, University of London and currently Dean of the Faculty of Policy and Society. She pursued careers as both a professional musician and a music educator before completing her psychology studies and becoming an academic in 1991 in the department of Educational Psychology at the Institute. Her research interests include disaffection from school, ability grouping and homework and issues relating to learning in music, practising, performing, musical ability, musical understanding and the effects of music on behaviour and studying. She is the author of numerous books, including *Instrumental Teaching: A Practical Guide to Better Teaching and Learning* (1998), *The Power of Music* (2001), *Music Psychology in Education* (2005) and *Preparing for Success: A Practical Guide for Young Musicians* (in

press); editor of *The Oxford Handbook of Psychology of Music* (2009) and *Music Education in the 21st Century in the United Kingdom: Achievements, Analysis and Aspirations* (2010); and has extensive other scholarly contributions. She is past editor of *Psychology of Music*, *Psychology of Education Review* and *Learning Matters*.

Jonathan James Hargreaves graduated from the University of York in 2009, and his PhD thesis, *Music as Communication: Networks of Composition*, investigated issues of communication between composers and listeners in twentieth-century music. In 2009 he worked as Research Associate for Heriot–Watt University, composing music for an experiment investigating the effects of music on dairy cattle, and in 2010–11 he was Research Consultant for the *Changing Key* project, a study of the potential for the role of music in school transition, funded by the Paul Hamlyn Foundation. He now lives and works in London, where he is a musician, arranger and educator. In March 2011, he co-founded The Octandre Ensemble, of which he is Co-Artistic Director and Conductor. Jonathan teaches in the Junior Department at Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music and Dance.

Vanessa Hawes is Lecturer in Music at the University of Christ Church Canterbury. She completed her PhD at the University of East Anglia on *Music's Experiment with Information Theory* and is currently working on projects involving the combination of performance and analysis research. Her publications include articles and book chapters on music theory and applied musicology.

Elaine King is Senior Lecturer in Music at the University of Hull. She co-edited *Music and Gesture* (2006) and *New Perspectives on Music and Gesture* (2011), and has published book chapters and articles on aspects of ensemble rehearsal and performance, including practice techniques, gestures and team roles. She is a member of the Royal Musical Association (Council, 2009–12) and Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research (Conference Secretary, 2006–12). She is an active cellist, pianist and conductor.

Alexandra Lamont is Senior Lecturer in Psychology of Music at the University of Keele. She comes from a multidisciplinary background, having studied, taught and researched in the fields of music, education and psychology. Her research focuses on the question of why people approach music in different ways and this has involved studies with infants, children and adults from perceptual, cognitive, social and educational perspectives. She has published output in book chapters and journals including *Psychology of Music*, *Musicae Scientiae* and the *Journal of Early Childhood Research*. She is currently Editor of the journal *Psychology of Music*.

Rowan Oliver is Lecturer in Popular Music at the University of Hull. His research interests include African diasporic popular music, the nature of groove and the

use of technology in performance. As a professional musician he has worked internationally with a number of artists, including six years as the drummer with Goldfrapp, and he continues to perform and produce in a range of genres. In 2007 his score for *Mouth to Mouth* won Best Film Music at the Annonay International First Film Festival and he is currently composing for several feature films in production. He is book reviews editor for the *Journal of Music, Technology and Education*.

Helen M. Prior (née Daynes) is Research Assistant at King's College, London. She is working with Professor Daniel Leech-Wilkinson on a project investigating *Shaping Music in Performance*, which will contribute to the AHRC Research Centre for Musical Performance as Creative Practice. She studied as an undergraduate at the University of Hull before achieving an MSc in Music Psychology at Keele University. She completed her PhD thesis, *Listeners' Perceptual and Emotional Responses to Tonal and Atonal Music*, at the University of Hull. She has lectured in Music and Music Psychology at the Universities of Hull and Sheffield. She is a member of the Royal Musical Association and Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research.

Henry Stobart is Reader in Music/Ethnomusicology at the Department of Music, Royal Holloway, University of London. He is the founder and coordinator of the UK Latin American Music Seminar, Associate Fellow of the Institute for the Study of the Americas, and former Committee Member of the British Forum for Ethnomusicology. His doctoral research focused on the music of a Quechua-speaking herding and agricultural community of Northern Potosí, Bolivia. Following a research fellowship at Darwin College, Cambridge, he was appointed as the first lecturer in Ethnomusicology at Royal Holloway in 1999. His books include *Music and the Poetics of Production in the Bolivian Andes* (2006), the edited volume *The New (Ethno)musicologies* (2008), *Knowledge and Learning in the Andes: Ethnographic Perspectives* (co-edited with Rosaleen Howard, 2002), and the interdisciplinary volume *Sound* (co-edited with Patricia Kruth, 2000). His current research focuses on indigenous music VCD (DVD) production, music 'piracy' and cultural politics in the Bolivian Andes. He is also an active professional performer with the Early/World Music ensemble *SIRINU*, who have given hundreds of concerts and recorded on many European radio networks since their first Early Music Network tour in 1992.

Aaron Williamon is Professor at the Royal College of Music where he heads the Centre for Performance Science. His research focuses on music cognition, skilled performance, and applied psychological and health-related initiatives that inform music learning and teaching. His book, *Musical Excellence*, is published by Oxford University Press (2004) and draws together the findings of initiatives from across the arts and sciences, with the aim of offering musicians new perspectives and practical guidance for enhancing their performance. He is a Fellow of the Royal

Society of Arts and the UK's Higher Education Academy, and has been elected an Honorary Member of the RCM.

Clemens Wöllner is Interim Professor of Systematic Musicology at the University of Bremen, Germany. He holds a Masters degree in Psychology of Music (Sheffield) and a PhD in Systematic Musicology (Halle-Wittenberg). He was a full-time lecturer at Martin Luther University in Halle (Germany), and a Research Fellow in Psychology of Music at the Royal Northern College of Music in Manchester. In addition, he worked as a guest lecturer at the University of Oldenburg, the University of Applied Sciences in Magdeburg and the Stuttgart Academy of Music. His main research interests are in the fields of music performance, with a particular focus on musicians' skilled movements, orchestral conducting and ensemble coordination. In 2006, he received an ESCOM Young Researcher Award for his work on expressive conducting, and was recently appointed Associated Junior Fellow of the Hanse-Wissenschaftskolleg.

This page has been left blank intentionally

Series Editors' Preface

There has been an enormous growth over the past three decades of research into the psychology of music. SEMPRES (the Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research) is the only international society that embraces an interest in the psychology of music, research and education. SEMPRES was founded in 1972 and has published the journals *Psychology of Music* since 1973 and *Research Studies in Music Education* since 2008, both now in partnership with SAGE (see www.sempre.org.uk). Nevertheless, there is an ongoing need to promote the latest research to the widest possible audience if it is to have a distinctive impact on policy and practice. In collaboration with Ashgate since 2007, the 'SEMPRES Studies in The Psychology of Music' has been designed to address this need. The theme for the series is the psychology of music, broadly defined. Topics include (amongst others): musical development at different ages; musical cognition and context; culture, mind and music; micro to macro perspectives on the impact of music on the individual (such as from neurological studies through to social psychology); the development of advanced performance skills; musical behaviour and development in the context of special educational needs; and affective perspectives on musical learning. The series seeks to present the implications of research findings for a wide readership, including user-groups (music teachers, policy makers, parents), as well as the international academic and research communities. The distinguishing feature of the series is its broad focus that draws on basic and applied research from across the globe under the umbrella of SEMPRES's distinctive mission, which is to promote and ensure coherent and symbiotic links between education, music and psychology research.

Graham Welch

Institute of Education, University of London, UK

Adam Ockelford

Roehampton University, UK

Ian Cross

University of Cambridge, UK

This page has been left blank intentionally

Acknowledgements

We should like to thank the authors for their contributions to this volume. In addition, we wish to thank Heidi Bishop and Laura Macy at Ashgate for their constant support in enabling this volume to come together as well as the members of the production team for their assistance in the delivery of the book. We are grateful to the SEMPRES Series Editors, especially Ian Cross, for their enthusiasm and assistance in this project too.

This page has been left blank intentionally

Introduction

Elaine King and Helen M. Prior

The notion of familiarity is ubiquitous in our lives: it pervades everyday conversations, thoughts and activities. If one is familiar with someone or something, one might be described as being ‘well acquainted’, ‘intimate’ or ‘close’ to it. Idealistically, the Latin *familia* (‘family’) from which the word derives connotes a domestic, tightly knit unit. One might become more familiar with someone or something through repeated exposure, such as through meetings between people, frequenting a particular place, regular practice or dedicated study.

We often assume that familiarity is a dichotomous variable – we either know someone or something, or we do not – but we can think about familiarity on a bipolar continuous scale: we are more familiar with our nearest loved ones than our friends; more familiar with our close work colleagues than with acquaintances we have met on one or two occasions; more familiar with those acquaintances than with those whom we have read about, but not met; more familiar with the music recording we have listened to a hundred times than the one we have just encountered on a single occasion as background music. Yet familiarity is, in reality, still more complex, and is not adequately represented by this bipolar conception.

In some cases, we become familiar with someone or something without conscious effort or intention; in other cases, there is a deliberate desire, effort or need to increase (or decrease) our familiarity with someone or something.¹ There are of course countless reasons why an individual might wish to become more (or less) familiar with someone or something, but often it is simply because we want to know more (or less) about that person or thing than we already do.

In understanding the notion of familiarity, liking is a key variable. There is widespread belief that in the context of personal or professional relationships ‘familiarity breeds contempt’² (that is, the more we get to know someone, the less we get to like them), yet this is by no means always the case: personal or

¹ Whether or not it is possible to de-familiarise ourselves entirely with someone or something merits attention; arguably, a process of de-familiarisation could be achieved intentionally or unintentionally through distancing (in time or space). For example, when writing this Introduction, we drafted the text and then came back to it at a later stage: we tried to de-familiarise ourselves with the original draft so as to review it critically through a ‘fresh’ pair of eyes.

² Apuleius, the Roman philosopher (124–70 AD) said ‘familiarity breeds contempt; rarity wins admiration’, while Aesop, the Greek writer, alludes to the proverb in his fables.

professional relationships can prosper over time. Indeed, the ‘mere exposure’ effect (Zajonc 1968), also known as the familiarity principle, is a psychological phenomenon which indicates that the more familiar we are with someone or something, the more we like it; in other words, we prefer something because it is familiar. However, our liking for someone or something will naturally fluctuate, and there could come a point at which familiarity with a person or thing leads to excessive comfort or boredom (or even contempt). There is potentially a fine line, therefore, between being ‘under-’ or ‘over-’ familiar with someone or something, and both states seem to be undesirable, as explained by an inverted U-shaped effect (this theory originated in the work of Wundt 1874). If ‘optimum’ levels of familiarity are desirable, then individuals might intentionally (or unintentionally) manipulate exposure to someone or something so as to ‘control’ this effect. The familiarity principle has been addressed in relation to liking and other factors in existing research over the past several decades and current thinking applied to music is presented in this volume (see overview below).

The way in which we become familiar with someone or something is influenced by our mode of interaction with it: familiarity gained through talking with someone on the telephone is not the same as familiarity gained through multi-modal interaction of a personal meeting. There are many ways in which musicians can become familiar with music, such as through performing, studying or listening to it, although the nature of engagement will affect the individual’s experience and knowledge of it along with their sense of familiarity about it: a person listening to music while concentrating on some other activity will become familiar in a different way from a person listening to the same recording and giving it their full attention; a person listening to one recording of a piece will gain different knowledge about that piece from a person listening to another recording of the same piece; a musician performing a piece will experience it differently from someone listening to it.

Research in musicology, music psychology and music education often draws upon the notion of familiarity as it affects our understanding of and engagement with music. The largest body of research about familiarity and music focuses on listening. Philosophical, introspective accounts such as that provided by Cone (1977) have been supplemented by empirical research exploring the changes in the aspects of music that are understood by individuals as they become familiar with a specific piece of music (for two rare examples, see Deliège and Mélen 1997 and Pollard-Gott 1983). Attentive listening is not the only type of listening interaction known to be affected by familiarity, however: familiarity has been found to impact upon the effects of listening to background music while carrying out other tasks (Silverman 2010), something exemplified by numerous people on a daily basis. Other studies investigate familiarity as a variable influencing evaluative responses to music (Edmonston 1969; Gaver and Mandler 1987, Kinney 2009; Mull 1957; North and Hargreaves 1997, 2001, 2008; Ritossa and Rickard 2004; Schubert 2007; Tan, Spackman and Peaslee 2006), and such research often draws upon

the inverted U-shaped curve described by Wilhelm Wundt (1874; see above) and elaborated by David Berlyne (1971), as do some of the authors in this volume.

Emotional responses to music are often considered to be a prime motivator for listening to music. Familiarity is sometimes considered as a dichotomous variable in studies of such responses and through in-depth examination by using immediate or delayed repetition of a piece (Ali and Peynircioğlu 2010; Iwanaga, Ikeda and Iwaki 1996). Some studies use music participants who have become familiar with pieces through performance (Fredrickson 1999; Sloboda and Lehmann 2001) and the bodily effects of familiar music are also explored (Lingham and Theorell 2009).

Familiarity is not restricted to exposure with a specific piece of music. Music may be more or less familiar in its language or genre, and this has been found to affect listeners' ability to memorise new pieces of music as well as the generation of musical expectations (Curtis and Bharucha 2009; Demorest et al. 2008). Technological developments have created opportunities for wider dissemination of music, perhaps increasing the idiosyncrasies of an individual's listening experiences. With such an influence on a wide range of variables relating to music listening, it is perhaps surprising that familiarity effects have only rarely been considered as a core subject of study, rather than a variable to be taken into account in the examination of other aspects of musical engagement.

Musicology has perhaps tacitly acknowledged the effects of familiarity on musical understanding. Donald Francis Tovey's guide to the Beethoven Piano Sonatas (Tovey [1931] 1998), for example, is aimed towards students encountering pieces of music for the first time, and therefore provides an aide to their engagement with, and understanding of, the music. Arguably, this type of music analysis promotes familiarity with an 'ideal' understanding of a piece of music. Indeed, in musicology, including music analysis, an 'ideal' listener is often assumed (Cook 1990; Dunsby 1995). That 'ideal' listener will of course be familiar not only with a wide range of music, but also with the networks of influence surrounding composers and the effects of these on their music, as identified by musicologists. Scholarly interpretations and readings of musical works and events are, without doubt, influenced by an individual's familiarity with particular texts or methods of enquiry (Bent with Drabkin 1987; Cone 1977) and such issues inevitably impact upon the ways in which music educators tackle the subject too.

For performers, the notion of familiarity underpins studies of performance preparation by musicians working at different levels, including novice (Frewen 2010) and professional (Chaffin, Imreh and Crawford 2002), while it also relates to research on specific aspects of performance, such as memorisation (Ginsborg 2004, Williamon and Valentine 2002) and ensemble playing (Ginsborg and King 2012; King and Ginsborg 2011; Williamon and Davidson 2002).

Despite a plethora of research about the notion of familiarity and music, there is no existing book or journal that focuses specifically on the subject. Following a successful conference of the Society for Education, Music and Psychology Research (SEMPRE) on 'Music and Familiarity' at the University of Hull in

October 2009, this edited volume draws together leading research showcased at the event along with invited contributions from colleagues to expose contemporary theoretical and empirical approaches to familiarity in relation to listening, studying and performing music.

Overview of *Music and Familiarity*

The 13 chapters in this book are conceived as a broad narrative trajectory, although they have been divided into three parts so as to highlight the notion of familiarity from three key perspectives: listening (Part I: chapters 1–4), musicology (Part II: chapters 5–9) and performance (Part III: chapters 10–13). In Part I, the chapters are driven by music psychologists who explore the influence of familiarity on our engagement with music through listening based on empirical enquiries, specifically how much we listen, and how much we like the music we listen to (Chapter 1), the process of getting to know music through regular listening (Chapter 2), how comfortable we feel when listening (Chapter 3), and music's efficacy as a pain-reliever (Chapter 4). The second group, Part II, exposes the notion of familiarity from varied musicological stances, including ethnomusicological (Chapter 5), analytical (Chapter 6), philosophical (Chapter 7), practical (Chapter 8) and educational (Chapter 9). In Part III, the effects of familiarity are explored in relation to different aspects of the Western art and popular performance process, specifically through memorisation (Chapter 10), rehearsal (Chapter 12) and performance itself (chapters 11 and 13).

There are numerous themes that emerge across the volume, providing important links across the three parts: the role of schemata in our cognitive understanding of music (chapters 2, 5, 9 and 13); responses to Berlyne's influential research (chapters 1, 4, 10 and 11); socio-cultural issues (chapters 3, 5, 6 and 8); group-music making (chapters 9, 11–13); memory and learning (chapters 9–10); and reflexivity in research (chapters 5–8 and 13).

In Chapter 1 ('Keeping it Fresh: How Listeners Regulate their own Exposure to Familiar Music'), Alinka Greasley and Alexandra Lamont address existing theoretical hypotheses concerning the ways in which we engage with music over long timespans, arguing that existing models such as the inverted U-shaped hypothesis that are derived from laboratory-based studies are too simplistic for a real-life, longer-term context. They reveal the complex ways in which listeners modify their music listening over a one-month period and over their lifespan, highlighting the differences between individuals' habits, as well as listeners' awareness of the effects of familiarity on their enjoyment of the music.

Although Greasley and Lamont take a broad approach to listeners' familiarity with music, they also advocate more detailed study of familiarity with specific pieces of music over relatively long timescales. Such tactics are adopted by Helen M. Prior in Chapter 2 ('Familiarity, Schemata and Patterns of Listening'), who undertakes a fine-grained examination of three listeners' perceptual responses

to music by Clementi, Schoenberg and Berio over a fortnightly period of daily listening. Her presentation of qualitative data in representations of perceptual schemata allows some insight into the development of perceptual responses to these pieces over time, with such responses including descriptions of the listeners' understanding of the music as well as the fascinating connections made between music and their other experiences, knowledge and ideas.

Prior's focus on the effects of familiarity on specific pieces of music is continued to some extent in Chapter 3 ('The Effects of Repertoire Familiarity and Listening Preparation on New Audiences' Experiences of Classical Concert Attendance'), in which Melissa Dobson applies the concept of repeated listening to a real-life situation. Dobson reports the findings of a study in which she investigated the ways that repeated listening to specific pieces of music influenced novice concert attendees' enjoyment of concert performances of those pieces. Her findings demonstrate the complexity of our relationship with music as listeners.

In Chapter 4 ('Familiarity with Music in Post-operative Clinical Care: A Qualitative Study'), Katherine Finlay examines the use of music as an audio-analgesic through a study of music listening in a clinical setting. Although research indicates that music can support a standard clinical care regime, little research has investigated the impact of familiarity on the effectiveness of music in reducing pain. Finlay's chapter addresses this issue, exploring qualitative findings from a recent study of the use of music following knee surgery. Her findings underline the importance of familiarity as a variable with a strong influence on the benefits that may be gained from music listening.

In Chapter 5 ('Unfamiliar Sounds? Approaches to Intercultural Interaction in the World's Musics'), Henry Stobart contextualises and problematises the notion of familiarity as he reflects on our engagement with music, although his focus extends beyond the Western tradition to more or less familiar musical genres from other parts of the world. Stobart highlights the potential mismatch between familiarity and geographical proximity, the potentially perceptually narrowing effects of musical education, and the implications of perceptual mismatches across cultures, all of which provide important scope for consideration by all kinds of musicologists.

An analytical perspective on our engagement with music is demonstrated in Chapter 6 ('Well, What Do You Know? Or, What Do You Know Well? Familiarity as a Structural Force in Crumb's *Black Angels*'), as Jonathan James Hargreaves considers the ways in which George Crumb manipulates familiar and unfamiliar musical materials to influence the listener's perception of meaning within his music. Hargreaves' analysis, however, takes into account not only those listeners familiar with the specific works quoted by Crumb in *Black Angels*, but also those who hear the work while they are unfamiliar with the other works quoted within the piece. As such, this chapter considers familiarity with music in multiple forms.

In Chapter 7 ('Familiarity, Information and Musicological Efficiency'), Vanessa Hawes shifts the focus from our engagement with pieces of music to our scholarly endeavour in musicology. She notes the complexities of the field, with

its disparate sub-disciplines and concomitant methodologies, and suggests ways of assessing the efficiency of communication between researchers working with different backgrounds. These means, she suggests, will provide helpful ways for the relatively inexperienced (unfamiliar) researcher to assess the value of research from disparate fields. Hawes argues for a philosophy of musicology to provide scope for reflection on the discipline.

A similarly reflective approach is taken by Clemens Wöllner, Jane Ginsborg and Aaron Williamon in Chapter 8 ('Familiarity and Reflexivity in the Research Process'), although their focus is less on the field of musicology than on the researchers within that field. Specifically, they discuss findings from a recent questionnaire survey exploring music researchers' engagement with music, and the implications this familiarity with their subject of study may have on their research.

In Chapter 9 ('Familiarity in Music Education'), Susan Hallam explores the role played by familiarity in music education, examining existing research in relation to the enculturation of musical language, the development of musical skills, and teachers and teaching. Educational issues are further considered in Chapter 10 ('The Significance of Familiar Structures in Music Memorisation and Performance') as Artemis Apostolaki explores the development of memorised performances both theoretically and empirically in the light of cultural differences in learning music. Specifically, Apostolaki examines the effectiveness of the solfège system in aiding memorisation for performance.

Moving away from issues of pitch and memory, Rowan Oliver examines the performer's relationship with musical time in Chapter 11 ('Groove as Familiarity with Time'). Oliver interrogates notions of 'groove' in popular performance from a performer's perspective, arguing that groove stems not only from temporal understanding between two or more performers, but also from a solo performer's conception of musical time. As such, a performer's familiarity with a particular temporal frame of reference is seen to facilitate the experience of groove through examples of musical material used in varied contexts.

In Chapter 12 ('Social Familiarity: Styles of Interaction in Chamber Ensemble Rehearsal'), Elaine King looks beyond the musical interaction within and between performers to consider the socio-emotional behaviour arising in the chamber ensemble rehearsal context. She applies complementary analytical frameworks in the study of social interaction between musicians working in 'new' (unfamiliar) and 'established' (familiar) duo partnerships. Her research introduces transactional thinking into the analysis of musicians' socio-emotional behaviour in rehearsal and supports theories of group development. Ensemble work is also the focus of Chapter 13 ('Familiarity and Musical Performance'). Mine Doğantan-Dack documents the elusive effects of repeated performances of the same work, and hence the experience of familiarity through performance itself, by a philosophical reflective analysis of classical trio performances. She draws together issues of both musical and social familiarity in her account.

As a whole, this book is securely bound together by its overall theme of familiarity. The diversity of perspective and methodology enables valuable contributions to different disciplines of music research, notably psychology, musicology, education, analysis, theory and performance studies. We hope that readers from all of these fields, among others, will find the volume stimulating and enjoyable, while the material will be of interest to a range of readers, including students and experienced researchers.

References

- Ali, S.O. and Peynircioğlu, Z.F. (2010). Intensity of Emotions Conveyed and Elicited by Familiar and Unfamiliar Music. *Music Perception* 27/3: 177–82.
- Bent, I. with Drabkin, W. (1987). *Analysis. The New Grove Handbooks in Music*. London: Macmillan Press.
- Berlyne, D.E. (1971). *Aesthetics and Psychobiology*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Chaffin, R., Imreh, G. and Crawford, M. (2002). *Practicing Perfection: Memory and Piano Performance*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cone, E.T. (1977). Three Ways of Reading a Detective Story – Or a Brahms Intermezzo. In R.P. Morgan (ed.), *Music: A View from Delft* (pp. 77–94). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Cook, N. (1990). *Music, Imagination and Culture*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Curtis, M.E. and Bharucha, J.J. (2009). Memory and Musical Expectation for Tones in Cultural Context. *Music Perception* 26/4: 365–75.
- Deliège, I. and Mélen, M. (1997). Cue Abstraction in the Representation of Musical Form. In I. Deliège and J. Sloboda (eds), *Perception and Cognition of Music* (pp. 387–412). Hove: Psychology Press.
- Demorest, S.M., Morrison, S.J., Beken, M.N. and Jungbluth, D. (2008). Lost in Translation: An Enculturation Effect in Music Memory Performance. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal* 25/3: 213–23.
- Dunsby, J. (1995). *Performing Music: Shared Concerns*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Edmonston, W.E.J. (1969). Familiarity and Musical Training in the Esthetic Evaluation of Music. *The Journal of Social Psychology* 79: 109–11.
- Fredrickson, W.E. (1999). Effect of Musical Performance on Perception of Tension in Gustav Holst's First Suite in E-flat. *Journal of Research in Music Education* 47/1: 44–52.
- Frewen, K.G. (2010). Effects of Familiarity with a Melody Prior to Instruction on Children's Piano Performance Accuracy. *Journal of Research in Music Education* 57/4: 320–33.
- Gaver, W.G. and Mandler, G. (1987). Play it Again, Sam: On Liking Music. *Cognition and Emotion* 1/3: 259–82.

- Ginsborg, J. (2004). Singing By Heart: Memorization Strategies for the Words and Music of Songs. In J.W. Davidson (ed.), *The Music Practitioner: Exploring Practices and Research in the Development of the Expert Music Performance, Teacher and Listener* (pp. 149–60). Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Ginsborg, J. and King, E. (2012). Rehearsal Talk: Familiarity and Expertise in Singer–Pianist Duos. *Musicae Scientiae*; doi: 10.1177/1029864911435733.
- Iwanaga, M., Ikeda, M. and Iwaki, T. (1996). The Effects of Repetitive Exposure to Music on Subjective and Physiological Responses. *Journal of Music Therapy* 33/3: 219–21.
- King, E. and Ginsborg, J. (2011). Gestures and Glances: Interactions in Ensemble Rehearsal. In A. Gritten and E. King (eds), *New Perspectives on Music and Gesture* (pp. 177–201). Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Kinney, D.W. (2009). Internal Consistency of Performance Evaluations as a Function of Music Expertise and Excerpt Familiarity. *Journal of Research in Music Education* 56/4: 322–37.
- Lingham, J. and Theorell, T. (2009). Self-reflected ‘Favourite’ Stimulative and Sedative Music Listening: How does Familiar and Preferred Music Listening Affect the Body? *Nordic Journal of Music Therapy* 18/2: 150–66.
- Mull, H.K. (1957). The Effect of Repetition upon the Enjoyment of Modern Music. *The Journal of Psychology* 43: 155–62.
- North, A.C. and Hargreaves, D.J. (1997). Experimental Aesthetics and Everyday Music Listening. In D.J. Hargreaves and A.C. North (eds), *The Social Psychology of Music* (pp. 84–103). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- North, A.C. and Hargreaves, D.J. (2001). Complexity, Prototypicality, Familiarity and the Perception of Musical Quality. *Psychomusicology* 17: 77–80.
- North, A.C. and Hargreaves, D.J. (2008). *The Social and Applied Psychology of Music*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pollard-Gott, L. (1983). Emergence of Thematic Concepts in Repeated Listening to Music. *Cognitive Psychology* 15: 66–94.
- Ritossa, D.A. and Rickard, N.S. (2004). The Relative Utility of ‘Pleasantness’ and ‘Liking’ Dimensions in Predicting the Emotions Expressed by Music. *Psychology of Music* 32/1: 5–22.
- Schubert, E. (2007). The Influence of Emotion, Locus of Emotion and Familiarity upon Preference in Music. *Psychology of Music* 35/3: 499–516.
- Silverman, M.J. (2010). The Effect of Pitch, Rhythm, and Familiarity on Working Memory and Anxiety as Measured by Digit Recall Performance. *Journal of Music Therapy* 47/1: 70–83.
- Sloboda, J.A. and Lehmann, A.C. (2001). Tracking Performance Correlates of Changes in Perceived Intensity of Emotion During Different Interpretations of a Chopin Piano Prelude. *Music Perception* 19/1: 87–120.
- Tan, S.-L., Spackman, M.P. and Peaslee, C.L. (2006). The Effects of Repeated Exposure on Liking and Judgements of Musical Unity of Intact and Patchwork Compositions. *Music Perception* 23/5: 407–21.

- Tovey, D.F. ([1931] 1998). *A Companion to Beethoven's Pianoforte Sonatas: A Bar-by-Bar Analysis of Beethoven's 32 Pianoforte Sonatas* (revised edition). London: The Associated Board of the Royal Schools of Music.
- Williamon, A. and Davidson, J. (2002). Exploring Co-Performer Communication. *Musicae Scientiae* 6: 53–72.
- Williamon, A. and Valentine, E. (2002). The Role of Retrieval Structures in Memorizing Music. *Cognitive Psychology* 44: 1–32.
- Wundt, W. (1874). *Grundzüge der Physiologischen Psychologie*. Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann.
- Zajonc, R.B. (1968). The Attitudinal Effects of Mere Exposure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. Monograph Supplement* 9: 1–27.