



**Occupation: ruin,  
repudiation, revolution**  
constructed space conceptualized

Edited by Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith

OCCUPATION: RUIN, REPUDIATION, REVOLUTION



Plate 1 'I took bread and cheese and slipped out the door' (Kerouac 1970, 157). 2014

# Occupation: ruin, repudiation, revolution

constructed space conceptualized

Edited by  
Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith  
*Curtin University, Western Australia*

First published 2015 by Ashgate Publishing

Published 2016 by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017, USA

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

Copyright © Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith and the contributors 2015

Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith have asserted their rights under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, to be identified as the editors of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Notice:

Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

### **British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

### **Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Occupation: ruin, repudiation, revolution: constructed space conceptualized / [edited]  
by Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4724-4063-1 (hardback : alk. paper)

1. Architecture – Human factors. 2. Place (Philosophy) in architecture. I. Churchill,  
Lynn, editor. II. Smith, Dianne (Dianne Joy), editor.

NA2542.4.O32 2015

720.1 – dc23

2014046298

ISBN: 9781472440631 (hbk)

ISBN: 9781315598680 (ebk)

# Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>List of Plates</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Foreword: Against the Interior, by Charles Rice</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xvii</i>

1	Introduction: What? <i>Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith</i>	1
---	---	---

## **PART I RUIN**

2	<i>Damnatio Memoriae: Interiors and the Art of Forgetting</i> <i>Edward Hollis</i>	15
3	Self-ruining and Situated Vagrancy: The Geography of Performance <i>Benedict Anderson</i>	31
4	'Crude Hints Towards an History of my House in L[incoln's] I[nn]Fields': Occupying Ruin <i>Lynn Churchill</i>	49

## **PART II REPUDIATION**

5	Tragedy and Assimilation: Occupying the Patterned Surface <i>Kirsty Volz</i>	67
6	Ordinary Things, Domestic Space and Photography: Takashi Yasumura's Interiors <i>Jane Simon</i>	83

7	Seeing the Unseen: This is Not an Interior <i>Vanessa Galvin</i>	99
---	---	----

**PART III REVOLUTION**

8	Occupying Utopia: Collusion, Persuasion, Revolution <i>Lynn Churchill</i>	117
9	Hypersexual Occupations <i>Nicole Kalms</i>	137
10	With Feet Firmly Planted on Unstable Ground <i>Jesse O'Neill</i>	157
11	An Insane Perspective to the Occupation of Interiors <i>Dianne Smith</i>	171
	<i>Index</i>	189



## List of Figures

- 3.1 *National Memorial to the Murdered Jews of Europe*
- 3.2 Interior view of abandoned fabric factory, Minde, Portugal
- 3.3 Plan of anthems layout, *Plaza of Nations*
- 3.4 Perspective view of anthems layout, *Plaza of Nations*
- 3.5 Feldbahnlören Königsplatz, Platz der Republik 1948, Landesarchiv Berlin/Gnilka, Ewald; F Rep. 290, Nr. 0172325
- 3.6 Teufelsberg Trümmerschutt 1952, Landesarchiv Berlin/Schwab, E., F Rep. 290, Nr. 0264853
- 5.1 Florence Broadhurst's pattern Cranes (26) in olive/green
- 5.2 Image from Australian artists Gotye's music video, 'Somebody that I Used to Know' (feat. Kimbra). From the album *Making Mirrors*. Video directed by Natasha Pincus. Body artwork by Emma Hack
- 6.1 'A Pair of Slippers' 1997, from the series *Domestic Scandals*
- 6.2 'A Father' 1998, from the series *Domestic Scandals*
- 6.3 'A Tape Recorder' 2002, from the series *Domestic Scandals*
- 6.4 'A Fan Heater' 1999, from the series *Domestic Scandals*
- 6.5 'Japanese Oranges' 2002, from the series *Domestic Scandals*
- 6.6 'Rolls of Toilet Paper and a Plastic Flower' 1998, from the series *Domestic Scandals*
- 7.1 KENZO-inspired apartment. Design by Olga Akulova
- 7.2 KENZO-inspired apartment. Design by Olga Akulova
- 9.1 The Newman House by Cassandra Fahey
- 9.2 General Pant Group's advertisement from 2010. Melbourne Central Shopping Centre, Melbourne, Australia
- 9.3 Kittens Car Wash 2014, Bentleigh East
- 9.4 Axonometric diagram, Kittens Car Wash, Bentleigh East
- 9.5 Solid Objectives *Pole Dance*, 2010



9.6 Axonometric diagram, *Pole Dance*, 2010

11.1 Ward entrance, Taunton State Hospital, Taunton, Massachusetts, from Payne (2009)

11.2 Patient toothbrushes, Hudson River State Hospital, Poughkeepsie, New York, from Payne (2009)

11.3 Patient ward, Buffalo State Hospital. Buffalo, New York, from Payne (2009)

## List of Plates

Plate 1 'I took bread and cheese and slipped out the door' (Kerouac 1970, 157). 2014  
This work is a composite image created by Loren Dyer from images made available under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 unported license. Original images are attributed to C. Goodwin ['Red range (2)'; licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Eadweard Muybridge (1830–1904) ['plate 61, *man in pelvis cloth running at full speed*': 1887. Part of 'Animal locomotion: an electro-photographic investigation of consecutive phases of animal movements 1872–1885'. Public domain. <http://digitallibrary.usc.edu>]; and J.dncsn ['White lamp'. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]

Plate 2 'Self portrait'. 2014  
This work is a composite image created by Loren Dyer from images made available under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 unported license. Original images are attributed to Olcott Beaman (1837–1876), James Fennemore (1849–1941) and John K. Hillers (1843–1925) ['Grand Canyon. NARA – 517800'. Circa 1900. Public Domain. U.S. National Archives and Records Administration (ARC ID: 517734)]; Qz10 ['Suomalainen Mokki'. Public Domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Daguerre, Louis(1787–1851) ['Boulevard du Temple'. 1838. Public Domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Lindaglinda ['Beelitz'. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; and Roland zh ['2012 "tag der offenen werft" – zsg werft wollishofen 2012–03–24 15–35–50'. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>].

Plate 3 'Symbiosis'. 2014  
This work is a composite image created by Loren Dyer from images made available under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. Original images are attributed to 捌茶 ['Japanese Leather Paper Model "The Early Spring"' licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Sarah Marie Jones ['Man Streaking After Skinnydipping' licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]

Plate 4 'Palimpsest'. 2014

This work is a composite image created by Loren Dyer from images made available under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. Original images are attributed to Rzuwig ['Perge Stadion 05'. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; NASA Earth Observatory; Jesse Allen ['Precision Farming In Minnesota – Natural Colour'. Public Domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Stanislaus Walery (1863–1935) ['Art Deco Nude Gravure 14'. Circa 1920. Public Domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>] and L Dyer ['From the Hill'. 2008. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license.]

Plate 5 'What is that ... driving away ... they recede ... pixels? But we lean forward ...' (adapted from Kerouac 1970, 156). 2014

This work is a composite image created by Loren Dyer from images made available under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. Original images are attributed to NASA Earth Observatory; Jesse Allen ['Precision Farming In Minnesota – Natural Colour'. Public Domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Almare ['DECT Telephone Siemens SL565'. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 2.5 generic license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Fantagu ['Modern Hunting Rifle'. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Share Alike 3.0 Unported license. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>], MSGT Michael Ammons. '1997 F-4 Heritage Flight Over Florida Edit 1'. Public domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org>]; Rufus M. Porter (1792–1884) (editor) ['*Scientific American* – Series 1 – Volume 009 – Issue 10'. 1853. Public domain. [http://www.nature.com/scientificamerican/archive/index\\_1909.html](http://www.nature.com/scientificamerican/archive/index_1909.html)]; Alois Metz (1869–1921) ['Landschaft Mit Figuren'. 1910. Public domain. <http://commons.wikimedia.org/>]; Unknown author ['Navy Fighter Planes Parked On Work Adjacent To Building 75 At The Naval Air Station, Long Beach, California – NARA – 295426'. Public domain. US National Archives and Records Administration ARC ID: 295426 and unknown author ['*Romerskt Sköldtak* (Testudo), Nordisk Familjebok'. 1917. Public domain. Nordisk familjebok (1917), vol. 25, p. 1364].

## Notes on Contributors

**Benedict Anderson** works in scenography, dance dramaturgy, architecture and film. Project venues include: ARCO Art Fair Madrid Spain, Fondation Cartier Paris and Lisbon Architecture Triennial. He has reviewed for Architecture Australia and publications include: 'Out of Space: the Rise of Vagrancy in Scenography' (*On Scenography* journal, 2013) and 'Breathe: Wearing Your Air' (co-author Nancy Diniz, *ACADIA* Journal, 2013). Positions include: Advisor, Bauhaus College Dessau, and Gäst Professor Institut für Raumgestaltung University of Innsbruck. He is a partner in the Berlin based firm Thinkbuild Architecture ([www.thinkbuild.com](http://www.thinkbuild.com)), Professor of Spatial Design and Director of the Centre for Contemporary Design Practices, University of Technology, Sydney; [www.benedict-anderson.com](http://www.benedict-anderson.com).

**Lynn Churchill's** research, teaching and practice speculate on the human body's physical and psychical relationship with architecture in the context of twentieth and twenty-first century history, politics, economics and information technology. In 2013 she exhibited creative practice as research: *I think where I am not and I am where I do not think* (triptych), Moores Gallery, Fremantle, and in 2014 presented a paper by the same name at 2014 IDEA Symposium, Melbourne. Lynn is Head of Discipline, Interior Architecture, School of the Built Environment, Curtin University, and Director and Secretary of the Board of IDEA (Interior Design/Interior Architecture Educators Association).

**Vanessa Galvin** is a PhD Candidate in Architecture Interior Architecture at Curtin University, Australia. Her professional experience as a corporate interior designer has provided an essential and intimate knowledge of the specific practices and modes of thinking central to her research. This practical understanding enables her to challenge naturalized modes of thinking, saying and doing in order to conceive of interior space and its representational conventions in new ways. Her publications include 'Seeing the Unseen: Confronting the Real in Depictions of Lived Environments', in IDEA Conference Proceedings, Perth, 2012; and 'Picturing Ideal Space through Perfect Moments' in *International Journal of the Image* (2012).

**Edward Hollis** is Director of Research, School of Design, Edinburgh College of Art and University of Edinburgh, UK. Edward studied Architecture at Cambridge and Edinburgh Universities and for the subsequent six years he practised in Sri Lanka and then in Edinburgh. In 1999, he lectured in Interior Architecture at Napier University, Edinburgh. In 2004, he moved to Edinburgh College of Art, where he is now director of research in the school of Design. Hollis's research focusses on time, storytelling, and building. He published *The Secret Lives of Buildings* in 2009, and *The Memory Palace, A Book of Lost Interiors* in 2013.

**Nicole Kalms** lectures in the Department of Architecture at Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. Nicole's research interests are in the field of contemporary urbanism and feminism. In 2013 she was awarded the Women's Planning Network scholarship for her contribution to original research in planning policy and sexualized images in public space. Her recent research is published in the Architectural Humanities Research Association's new journal, *Architecture and Culture*. As the program coordinator of the Interior Architecture program at Monash University, Nicole leads a programme focussed on cross-disciplinary approaches to design and spatial theory. Nicole is currently completing a research project and book titled *Hypersexual Urbanism*.

**Jesse O'Neill** lectures in Design History, Culture and Theory at The Glasgow School of Art, Singapore. Jesse received his Doctorate of Philosophy from the University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. His research concentrates on colonial design, and he has previously, as Merewether Scholar at the State Library of New South Wales, written on the print culture and publishing trades of the early Australian settlements. In 2013 Jesse was appointed lecturer in the Forum for Critical Inquiry at the Glasgow School of Art. His current research and writing focuses on the history of design and the built environment in Singapore and the Straits Settlements.

**Jane Simon** lectures in Media Studies at Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia. Jane has published articles on amateur and experimental film, photography, artists' books and modes of writing about visual culture. She co-edits *Somatechnics* journal, and is currently working on a project which explores the domestic interior in contemporary art photography. Jane also specializes in photographic media and artists' books. Her artists' books are in international public collections including Manchester Metropolitan University's Special Collections Library and University of West England's Centre for Fine Print Research.

**Dianne Smith** is Associate Professor and Director of Research in the School of the Built Environment at Curtin University, Australia. Dianne's research focuses on discriminatory design, design for the cognitively impaired, the meaning of environments, the impact of colour on experience and our understanding of place, and design education. Recently she co-edited and co-authored *M2: Models and Methodologies for Community Engagement* (2014) and *Perspectives on Social Sustainability and Interior Architecture: Life from the Inside* (2014).

**Kirsty Volz** is the convenor of the interior design major within the Bachelor of Digital Media program at Griffith University, Australia. Kirsty has published research across the subject areas of interior design, scenography, drama, fine arts and architectural history. Kirsty is passionate about enriching the identity of interior design as a profession through practice, teaching, research and writing.

This page has been left blank intentionally



## Foreword: Against the Interior

*Charles Rice*

Over the last decade, academic studies of the interior have increased substantially. No longer can the claim for the interior's marginal status (especially with respect to the domestic) be sustained. If anything, the interior has become central in historical and theoretical scholarship in design, architecture, and visual and material culture. This recent prominence has something to do with the interior's encompassing nature, with the idea that it can be detected as the binding agent between subjects, objects and situations, as more and more scholarship works through the cultural problematics of relation and immersion. If these are the two most prominent contexts for understanding contemporary experience (how do we find identity in relation; how is our sensorium extended through immersion?) then the interior acts as a reference for understanding how these questions are involved with a sense of encapsulation, of enclosure, that is, with a sense of location and locatability.

Understanding the interior as this reference is historically and philosophically useful. In modernity, the interior emerged to compensate for, but also to problematize, a sense of experience as connection, as longevity, as cultural grounding, a sense which had been all but obliterated through modernity's social and technological upheavals. In this history we can pinpoint a double moment of encapsulation staged at London's Great Exhibition of 1851. In Joseph Paxton's enormous glasshouse, the Crystal Palace, the world's goods were brought together in one place. The orderly conduct accompanying their visual consumption set the scene for a domesticated approach to commodities. Its counterpart was Henry Roberts' Model Houses for Four Families, which literally fabricated the form and conduct of the nuclear family. In these two structures of encapsulation, public and private could be organized and traversed, making the modern world in its metropolitan form governable.

Perhaps we are now too comfortable with what the interior has given to culture by way of our ability to fabricate experience within its confines, and to extend that fabrication through the construction of new (always interior) worlds.

The present collection of essays is, then, discomfiting. Rather than celebrating or extending the interior's recent prominence in scholarship, the essays take their point of departure from three key words which suggest a kind of dismantling of the interior's importance at the precise moment of its prominence. The condition of occupation, what might be thought to be a fundamental basis for understanding the interior's encapsulating quality, is met with ruin, repudiation and revolution, hardly very encouraging departure points. Or are they? These keywords suggest precisely what makes the interior so compelling as a context for research: its simultaneous ubiquity, as we have established, as well as its constant dissimulation. While we recognize its encapsulating presence, what is the interior in actuality? A kind of confrontation needs to be staged in order to get at this question.

How do you consciously push against the interior's ubiquity? By repudiating it. How do you break down its supposed unity, whether as artefact or conceptual condition? By accounting for it as ruin. How do you overcome the stasis that accompanies the interior's ubiquity? You mount a revolution.

What results from these questions is a refreshing sense of the elusive, especially at this point in scholarship on the interior, when there seems to be so much certainty about what it is. But more particularly, these keywords allow the essays to stage various strategic encounters with artefacts, designers, and concepts, even as the interior remains elusive. In the end, it is as a kind of rubric for such studies that the interior's value emerges, not as thing in itself, nor even as the dominant context for understanding relation and immersion, but as the always elusive target of work toward dismantling certainties.

**Charles Rice** is Professor of Architectural History and Theory, and Head of the School of Art and Design History at Kingston University London. He is author of *The Emergence of the Interior: Architecture, Modernity, Domesticity* (2007), and co-editor of *The Journal of Architecture*.

## Acknowledgements

The editors would like to thank and acknowledge the following people for their generous and innovative approach to the book's proposition: to explore the concept of occupation, as positioned by the precepts ruin, repudiation and revolution:

- The contributing authors for their enthusiastic response to the intent of the book, and for their rigorous incisive approach to the precepts.
- Charles Rice, Professor and Head of School, School of Art and Design History, Faculty of Art, Design and Architecture, Kingston University, London for writing the Foreword.
- Christina Houen, Editor/Director of [www.perfectwordsediting.com](http://www.perfectwordsediting.com), for her project coordination, her dedicated editing and production work, her attention to detail and timely advice.
- Loren Dyer, PhD Candidate, Curtin University, for her montaging of images for the plates in the book.
- Allyson Crimp, Designer, of [et-al.com.au](http://et-al.com.au) for her image editing.

We thank Ashgate for their enthusiastic approach to publishing the book. Last and not least, we thank Curtin University for support enabling the research and production associated with the book project.

This book was seeded by ideas encapsulated in papers presented at the 2012 IDEA (Interior Design Interior Architecture Educators Association) Symposium: Interior: a State of Becoming, Perth Western Australia.

This page has been left blank intentionally

## Introduction: What?

*Lynn Churchill and Dianne Smith*

*What is that feeling when you're driving away from people and they recede on the plain till you see their specks dispersing? – it's the too-huge world vaulting us, and it's good-by. But we lean forward to the next crazy venture beneath the skies.*

*We wheeled back through the sultry old light of Algiers, back on the ferry, back toward the mud-splashed, crabbed old ships across the river, back on Canal, and out; on a two lane highway to Baton Rouge in purple darkness; swung west there, crossed the Mississippi at a place called Port Allen. ...*

*With the radio on to a mystery program, and as I looked out the window and saw a sign that said USE COOPER'S PAINT and I said, 'Okay, I will'. we rolled across the hoodwink night of Louisiana plains – Lawtell, Eunice, Kinder, and De Quincy, western rickety towns becoming bayou-like as we reached the Sabine. In Old Opelousas I went into a grocery store to buy bread and cheese while Dean saw to gas and oil. It was just a shack; I could hear the family eating supper in the back. I waited a minute; they went on talking. I took bread and cheese and slipped out the door. We had barely enough money to make Frisco. Meanwhile Dean took a carton of cigarettes from the gas station and we were stocked for the voyage – gas, oil, cigarettes and food. Crooks don't know. He pointed the car straight down the road. (Kerouac 1970, 156–7)*

The intent of this book is to disturb the complexity of human occupation, to embrace a diverse range of vantage points – conceptual, theoretical and pragmatic – and to reveal something of the more intangible underlying realities. The hope is to broaden the discussion. What Jack Kerouac's prose evokes is the illusory and sensuous nature of human occupation, that at any particular moment, we occupy a montage of multiple points or places simultaneously. These places are generated by us and/or maybe already exist within each of us. Instantaneously, our thoughts and our emotions draw us from the immediate physical location to places beyond it temporarily and spatially; and the associated feelings may be integral to the point of origin or disconnected, and sometimes, disquieting. As Berleant (1992) described, we are at the centre of the world that we experience. Perhaps this explains why the experience of others in the same place and time will invariably differ from our own.

Kerouac's mid-twentieth century drug-infused account of his 1947 road trip across America contrasts dramatically to that of the contemporary world, where technologies have extended our ability to morph in different ways – that is, to extend and destabilize the boundary or periphery of our physical occupancy. As the following narrative potentially depicts:

*I get driving directions and check for traffic using Google's real-time data. Don't take the 405 at this time of day. Clicking on the little orange person, I am taken out of my world of stereoscopic vision to one constituted by nine camera eyes and stitched together to form a panoramic digital bubble that lets me see streets, interiors, and even oceans 360 degrees horizontally and 290 degrees vertically, I start to see differently, as if I am flying above the world, zooming in and zooming out at will, in a multi-perspectival digital bubble. What does it mean that this panning and zooming has become (almost) natural, that it has become how I see and experience the world, or how I want to see and experience my world? (Presner, Shepard and Kawano 2014, 24)*

What the more recent, less poetic driving in Los Angeles narrative describes is the experience of numerous simultaneous realities made possible by increasingly widespread access to information technologies. Thanks to our ubiquitous devices, many of us live our lives sliding between physical and virtual realities, exercising a multitude of exponential extensions to our physical limitations. Expanded by our devices, everyday occupation has become a constellation of experiences and connections: slippages between here and anywhere, unrestricted, non-linear.

For many of us who live the more privileged life, this dynamic matrix of realities is the new normal. However, what occurs in societies with less access to technologies? Does the woman washing her clothes at the well in the Indian village think of her past life or project into the future, whether it is about dinner for her family, sharing stories with friends while in the fields, or how she would like to be in Delhi or return to her youth? Here again, occupation is composed of the past, present and future – physically by the pump with washing in hand, but emotionally or intellectually elsewhere.

What of the young boy with severe brain injury resulting from being king-hit<sup>1</sup> outside the local hotel? Now, (with the help of his carer), his life takes place in a motorized, technologically advanced chair and a responsive 'smart-unit'. With a simple slight movement of the boy's head, the unit automatically opens and the lights, air conditioning, blinds and other internal devices respond to his coming home. What could be an extremely limited occupancy has been transformed by the unit's array of sophisticated technologies which serve to extend his body's limitations. We can interpret that the boy's dependence on technology is a complex integration with his sense of self.

We become the culmination of these experiences. When Wittgenstein describes the child in the box or under a draped sheet, for whom the 'cubby' has become a house – in this moment and this space, the house is real. Wittgenstein states: 'thereupon it is interpreted as a house in every detail ... He quite forgets that it is a chest; for him it actually is a house ... . Then would it not also be correct to say he sees it as a house?' (1967, 206). The physical world has transformed through imagination and experience to be a particular place which the child occupies.

These stories of the child, the boy, the woman, the driver and Kerouac amplify the state of occupancy within any tangible setting that may be challenged, overridden, or manipulated by realities not only from within or outside the body but also by the body's capacity to project across space and time. By observing examples from the extremities of human occupation, we come to understand how the everyday plays out.

The following chapters within *Occupation: ruin, repudiation, revolution* probe extreme and diverse constructions of human occupation. This introductory chapter situates the book's precepts and challenges the reader to consider occupation in terms of the relationship between the interior of the body, the body itself, and the exterior world. You are asked to consider whether you can occupy, comfortably or authentically, the implications of any or all of the vantage points raised? Here, we draw on the work of Merleau-Ponty to introduce occupation as experience, of Sigmund Freud to theorize the complex synergetic interplay between the internal and external worlds, and of Acconci, Orlan and Stelarc to locate one's body within the ambit of others across space, time and command.

Each vantage point teases the fixed views of occupation and sets the scene for the author's conceptualization of occupation, infused with notions of ruin, repudiation, and or revolution.

## OCCUPATION AS EXPERIENCE

Phenomenology seeks to understand (and explain) how 'experience is lived and felt' (Tomkins 2013, 262). Merleau-Ponty (1962) proposes that we experience the everyday places, things and events in terms of what they mean for us personally (52). Our surroundings offer opportunities that mould our experiences – and therefore, who we are. Inanimate things are just that; however, depending on how a person occupies a space, these elements become things – a handle is a handle when the particular object is used in that way. 'For a person whose hands are paralyzed or amputated, though, or for the person who, having never encountered a doorknob before, does not know to twist it, this knob is not a handle, and the door may very well be experienced as intrusive ...' (Bredlau 2010, 418).

The details of occupation are largely unrecognized: we simply exist. The person and the environment that he/she senses are a continuum, as Merleau-Ponty (1962), Berleant (1992) and others have discussed. For example, Berleant states that we do not just aesthetically experience the world; we engage with it through a 'multisensorial phenomenal involvement with the environment, place or object, which is immediate ...' and which differs every time we engage with the situation (Berleant 1992, 28). It is of interest that until the experience is interrupted in some way, such that we become conscious of the insertion or invasion, and in association, our degree of vulnerability or power, it is just taken for granted. Ideas, illusions, objects, places, people or collectives can all potentially transform the taken-for-granted world into a site of negotiation and reflection. For example, '... the touch of a stranger who barely brushes against me can be experienced as invasive while the deep embrace of a friend is respectful ...' (Bredlau 2010, 412).