OFFSHORE CONTRACTS AND LIABILITIES

edited by BARIŞ SOYER and ANDREW TETTENBORN

informa law from Routledge

OFFSHORE CONTRACTS AND LIABILITIES

MARITIME AND TRANSPORT LAW LIBRARY

Offshore Contracts and Liabilities by Barış Soyer and Andrew Tettenborn (2014)

> Marine Insurance Fraud by Barış Soyer (2014)

International Maritime Conventions
Volume One
The Carriage of Goods and Passengers by Sea
by Francesco Berlingieri
(2014)

International Carriage of Goods by Road: CMR
6th edition
by Malcolm A. Clarke
(2014)

International Labour Law Redefined edited by Jennifer Lavelle (2013)

Modern Maritime Law:
Volume 2: Managing Risks and Liabilities
by Aleka Mandaraka-Sheppard
(2013)

Modern Maritime Law: Volume 1: Jurisdiction and Risks by Aleka Mandaraka-Sheppard (2013)

Carriage of Goods by Sea, Land and Air: Uni-Modal and Multi-Modal Transport in The 21st Century edited by Bariş Soyer and Andrew Tettenborn (2013)

The Law of Yachts and Yachting by Filippo Lorenzon and Richard Coles (2012)

Freight Forwarding and Multimodal
Transport Contracts
2nd edition
by David A. Glass
(2012)

Marine Insurance Clauses
5th edition
by N. Geoffrey Hudson, Tim Madge
and Keith Sturges
(2012)

Pollution at Sea: Law and Liability edited by Barış Soyer and Andrew Tettenborn (2012)

> Contracts of Carriage by Air 2nd edition by Malcolm A. Clarke (2012)

Places of Refuge: International Law and the CMI Draft Convention by Eric Van Hooydonk (2010)

> Maritime Fraud and Piracy by Paul Todd (2010)

The Carriage of Goods by Sea under the Rotterdam Rules edited by D. Rhidian Thomas (2010)

The International Law of the Shipmaster by John A. C. Cartner, Richard P. Fisk and Tara L. Leiter (2009)

The Modern Law of Marine Insurance: Volume 3 edited by D. Rhidian Thomas (2009)

The Rotterdam Rules: A Practical Annotation by Yvonne Baatz, Charles Debattista, Filippo Lorenzon, Andrew Serdy, Hilton Staniland and Michael Tsimplis (2009)

The Evolving Law and Practice of Voyage Charters edited by D. Rhidian Thomas (2009)

> Risk and Liability in Air Law by George Leloudas (2009)

Legal Issues Relating to Time Charterparties edited by D. Rhidian Thomas (2008)

Contracts of Carriage by Land and Air 2nd edition by Malcolm A. Clarke and David Yates (2008) Bills of Lading and Bankers' Documentary Credits
4th edition
by Paul Todd
(2007)

Liability Regimes in Contemporary Maritime Law edited by D. Rhidian Thomas (2007)

Marine Insurance: The Law in Transition edited by D. Rhidian Thomas (2006)

Commencement of Laytime
4th edition
edited by D. Rhidian Thomas
(2006)

General Average: Law and Practice 2nd edition by F. D. Rose (2005)

War, Terror and Carriage by Sea by Keith Michel (2004) Port State Control 2nd edition by Oya Ozcayir (2004)

Commercial and Maritime Statutes edited by Peter Macdonald Eggers and Simon Picken (2002)

Modern Law of Marine Insurance: Volume Two edited by Francis Rose (2002)

Bills of Lading: Law and Contracts by Nicholas Gaskell, Regina Asariotis and Yvonne Baatz (2000)

> Shipbrokers and the Law by Andrew Jamieson (1997)

This page intentionally left bank

OFFSHORE CONTRACTS AND LIABILITIES

EDITED BY

PROFESSOR BARIŞ SOYER AND PROFESSOR ANDREW TETTENBORN

informa law from Routledge

First published 2015 by Informa Law 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada by Informa Law

711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Informa Law is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

© 2015 Barış Soyer and Andrew Tettenborn

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Offshore contracts and liabilities / edited by Baris Soyer, Andrew Tettenborn. pages cm – (Maritime and transport law library)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-415-73751-7 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-315-79497-6 (ebook) 1. Offshore support vessels – Law and legislation. 2. Offshore structures – Law and legislation. 3. Contracts, Maritime. 4. Marine insurance – Law and legislation. I. Soyer, Baris, editor of compilation. II. Tettenborn, Andrew, editor of compilation.

K4176.O33O344 2014 346.02'2 – dc23 2014009958

ISBN: 978-0-415-73751-7 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-31579-497-6 (ebk)

Typeset in Plantin by Florence Production Ltd, Stoodleigh, Devon, UK

Auth	ors' Biographies	xi
Fores		xix
Prefe	ace	xxi
	e of Cases	xxiii
	e of Statutes	xxxiii
Tabl	e of Conventions and Rules	xxxix
PAF	PT 1	
	FSHORE CONTRACTS	1
	APTER 1 – BIMCO'S OFFSHORE CONTRACTS at Hunter	3
I.	Introduction	3
II.	Main characteristics of BIMCO standard contracts	4
III.	Specialisation and offshore expansion	5
IV.	New strategy, new documents	6
V.	Offshore contracts: content, contractual structure and	
	methodology	8
VI.	Winds of change	9
VII.	A new SUPPLYTIME	10
	APTER 2 – KEY ASPECTS OF THE NEW WINDTIME FORM	11
Lars	Rosenberg Overby	
I.	Background	11
II.	Industry specifics	12
III.	Key aspects	13
	APTER 3 – HEAVYCON 2007: LIABILITIES, EXCEPTIONS,	
	EMNITIES	29
Simo	m Baughen	
I.	Structure of the charter	30
II.	The contractual voyage	31
III.	Obligations of owners and charterers	32

TX 7	The discount discount of a section of	25
IV. V.	Loading and discharge: who does what?	35 36
v. VI.	Freight Free time and demurrage	38
VI. VII.	Free time and demurrage Cancellation and termination	42
	Bills of lading/cargo receipts	44
IX.	Protection of owners' servants and agents	45
X.	Knock-for-knock regime	46
XI.	Conclusion	51
		,
	PTER 4 – KNOCK-FOR-KNOCK CLAUSES IN OFFSHORE	
	TRACTS: THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES	53
Richa	ard W. Williams	
I.	Introduction	53
II.	The structure of the knock-for-knock scheme	56
III.	The scope of the knock-for-knock scheme	58
IV.	Conclusion	67
CUA	PTER 5 – THE CONSTRUCTION OF MUTUAL INDEMNITIES	
	KNOCK-FOR-KNOCK CLAUSES	68
	n Rainey QC	00
	. ~	60
I.	Introduction: mutual indemnification clauses	68
II.	The courts' general approach to knock-for-knock	70
III. IV.	Construing standard form contracts in general	77 84
V.	Exemption and exclusion clause principles applied Conclusions	107
٧.	Conclusions	107
CHA	PTER 6 – CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS EXCLUSION CLAUSES	
IN O	FFSHORE CONTRACTS: THE NEED FOR GREATER	
CLA	RITY	108
Chris	Kidd	
I.	Introduction	108
II.	Recoverable losses	109
III.	Exclusion of consequential loss	113
IV.	Issues of construction of consequential loss exclusion clauses	116
V.	Exemption clauses specific to offshore contracts	119
VI.	Conclusion	130
СПЛ	PTER 7 – EXCLUDING CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES	133
	t Gay	133
		122
I. II.	Introduction What such alayses are intended to achieve in the offenore industry.	133
II.	What such clauses are intended to achieve in the offshore industry	135
III. IV.	The first line of attack: the established meaning of 'consequential' The second line of attack: 'or' and 'including but not limited to'	137 150
V.	The second line of attack: of and including but not infinted to The third line of attack: the items in the list	150
V. VI.	The fourth line of attack: the terms in the list The fourth line of attack: refusing to apply exclusion clauses	157
VII.	The justification of the lines of attack	161
	How to exclude consequential damages	174

	APTER 8 – WILFUL MISCONDUCT AND GROSS GLIGENCE EXCLUSIONS IN KNOCK-FOR-KNOCK	
PRO	VISIONS IN OFFSHORE CONTRACTS	181
Eliza	ibeth Blackburn QC and Jeremy Lightfoot	
I.	An introduction to knock-for-knock clauses in offshore contracts	181
II.	Wilful misconduct	184
III.	Gross negligence	187
	APTER 9 – KNOCK-FOR-KNOCK: THE P&I PERSPECTIVE en Lerede	201
I.	Preamble	201
II.	The origins of the concept of knock-for-knock agreements	202
III.	Application to P&I insurance	203
IV.	Knock-for-knock and the pooling agreement	203
V.	The requirement for a knock-for-knock agreement	204
VI.	Conclusion	207
	APTER 10 – STANDARD CONTRACTS USED IN E OFFSHORE INSURANCE SECTOR: CLEAR AND	
UNA	AMBIGUOUS?	209
Geor	ge Leloudas and Barış Soyer	
I.	Introduction	209
II.	Insuring offshore drilling units and craft: contemporary practice	210
III. IV.	Using marine hull forms in the offshore sector Problems associated with standard clauses used to insure offshore craft or equipment: LSBDF 1972, LMOMUF 1996	211
	and LSPF 1972/2009	219
V.	Problems associated with standard clauses that set out the	
	obligations of the assured	244
VI.	Conclusions	249
PAF	PT 2	
	SHORE LIABILITIES	251
	APTER 11 – POLLUTION FROM OFFSHORE RIGS AND FALLATIONS: UK LAW	253
	hen Tromans QC	233
I.	Setting the scene: the <i>Deepwater Horizon</i> incident	253
II.	The west of Shetland environment	256 256
III.	The Montara spill	256 256
IV.	International law	258
V.	EU law	259
VI.	UK law	263
VII.	Overall conclusions	272

TO LIABILITY REGIMES CONCERNING OIL RIGS AND INSTALLATIONS 27 Erik Røsæg I. Overview 27 III. The strict liability 27 III. Covered claims 28 IV. Security 28 V. Channelling and recourse 28 VI. Geographical scope 28 VII. Jurisdiction and procedure 28 CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? 28 Andrew Tettenborn 28 II. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30 Gregory Jones QC and David Graham	
Erik RosægI. Overview27II. The strict liability27III. Covered claims28IV. Security28V. Channelling and recourse28VI. Geographical scope28VII. Jurisdiction and procedure28CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW?28Andrew Tettenborn28I. Introduction28II. Issues relating to liability29III. Procedural matters29CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY?30	
I. Overview 27 II. The strict liability 27 III. Covered claims 28 IV. Security 28 V. Channelling and recourse 28 VI. Geographical scope 28 VII. Jurisdiction and procedure 28 CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? 28 Andrew Tettenborn 28 I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	74
II. The strict liability 27 III. Covered claims 28 IV. Security 28 V. Channelling and recourse 28 VI. Geographical scope 28 VII. Jurisdiction and procedure 28 CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? 28 Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	
II. The strict liability 27 III. Covered claims 28 IV. Security 28 V. Channelling and recourse 28 VI. Geographical scope 28 VII. Jurisdiction and procedure 28 CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? 28 Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	74
III. Covered claims IV. Security V. Channelling and recourse VI. Geographical scope VII. Jurisdiction and procedure CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction II. Issues relating to liability III. Procedural matters 28 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	75
IV. Security V. Channelling and recourse VI. Geographical scope VII. Jurisdiction and procedure CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction II. Issues relating to liability III. Procedural matters 28 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	-
V. Channelling and recourse VI. Geographical scope VII. Jurisdiction and procedure CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction II. Issues relating to liability III. Procedural matters CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY?	
VI. Geographical scope VII. Jurisdiction and procedure 28 CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? 28 Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	
VII. Jurisdiction and procedure 28 CHAPTER 13 – OFFSHORE INJURY: WHOSE JURISDICTION, WHAT LAW? 28 Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	
WHAT LAW? Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	
WHAT LAW? Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	
Andrew Tettenborn I. Introduction 28 II. Issues relating to liability 29 III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	39
 II. Issues relating to liability III. Procedural matters CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30 	
III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	39
III. Procedural matters 29 CHAPTER 14 – MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING: AN INCOMING TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY? 30	90
TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY?	92
TIDE OF OPPORTUNITY OR LIABILITY?	
Gregory Jones QC and David Graham)4
I. Introduction 30)4
II. Concepts 30)6
III. International legal and institutional context 31	10
IV. Background developments in the European Union 31	19
V. Marine spatial planning in the UK 33	33
VI. The European Commission's proposal 33	35
VII. Evaluation 34	41
VIII. Liabilities 34	19
IX. Conclusions 35	52
APPENDICES 35	55
1. SUPPLYTIME 2005 35	57
2. WINDTIME 37	
3. HEAVYCON 2007 40	
4. Institute Time Clauses Hulls – Port Risks (20/7/87) 41	
5. American Institute Hull Clauses (20/9/09) 42	
6. London Market Offshore Mobile Unit Form 43	
7. London Standard Platform Form 2009 45	
	. •
Index 46	ó5

EDITORS AND CONTRIBUTORS

Professor Barış Soyer, BA (Ankara), LLM, PhD (Southampton), Director, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Head of Postgraduate Legal Studies Department, Swansea University

Professor Soyer is a member of the British Maritime Law Association and British Insurance Law Association. He is the author of Warranties in Marine Insurance (Cavendish, 2001), and of an extensive list of journal articles published in elite journals such as Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly, Berkley Journal of International Law, Journal of Business Law, Torts Law Journal and Journal of Contract Law. His book was the joint winner of the Cavendish Book Prize 2001, and was awarded the British Insurance Law Association Charitable Trust Book Prize in 2002 for its contribution to insurance literature. A new edition of this book was published in 2006. He is the also the general editor of the Reforming Marine and Commercial Insurance Law (Informa, 2008); one of the editors of Pollution at Sea: Law and Liability (Informa, 2012); and Carriage of Goods by Sea, Land and Air (Informa Law Routledge, 2013). He has contributed to various books, including Modern Law of Modern Insurance, volumes 2 and 3; Marine Insurance: The Law in Transition, Liability Regimes in Contemporary Maritime Law; and Legal Issues relating to Time Charterparties. He is one of the editors of the Journal of International Maritime Law, and is also on the editorial board of Shipping and Trade Law and Baltic Maritime Law Quarterly. He currently teaches Admiralty Law, Charterparties and Carriage of Goods by Sea and Marine Insurance on the LLM Programme and also is the Head of Postgraduate Legal Studies Department at Swansea.

Professor Andrew Tettenborn, LLB (Cantab), MA, Professor of Law, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University

Professor Tettenborn has been attached to the IISTL at Swansea Law School since 2010. He has also taught at the universities of Cambridge, Exeter and Geneva, has written extensively on widespread aspects of private, shipping and commercial law, and sits on the editorial board of *Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly*. He has held visiting positions at Melbourne University, the University of Connecticut and at Case Law School, Cleveland, Ohio. He is author or co-author of books on torts, damages and maritime law, and of numerous articles and chapters on aspects of common law, commercial law and restitution.

CONTRIBUTORS

Professor Simon Baughen, MA (Oxon), Professor of Shipping Law, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University

Simon Baughen joined Swansea in 2013 as Professor of Shipping Law, having previously been a Reader at the University of Bristol Law School. Simon has also worked as a practising shipping lawyer, first in the London P&I Club, and then as a solicitor with Horrocks & Co. Simon's principal research area is in shipping law and his book on the subject, simply called Shipping Law, has run to five editions and is already well known to academics and students alike as by far the most learned and approachable work on the subject. A sixth edition is due in 2015. He is also the author of the very well-established practitioner's work Summerskill on Laytime (2013). His other main area of research is the regulation of the activities of multinational corporations in the developing world. This is the theme of his forthcoming book Holding Corporations to Account: Closing the Governance Gap, which is due to be published in 2015. He has previously touched on this theme in his book International Trade and the Protection of the Environment (2007), which deals with the relationship between trade and investment treaties and environmental protection. He has also written and taught extensively about the law of trusts, which he is teaching on the LLB programme. Simon is a member of the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, a University Research Centre within the School of Law, and he is teaching Carriage of Goods by Sea, Land and Air, and Oil and Gas Law on the LLM programme at Swansea.

Elizabeth Blackburn QC, Barrister, Stone Chambers, London

Elizabeth Blackburn QC is a leading commercial silk, specialising in shipping and maritime law, with particular expertise in large-scale casualty work where there are related conflict of laws and jurisdiction issues and complex expert issues. In June 2013, Elizabeth was named best in Shipping & Maritime at the LMG Euromoney Europe Women in Business Law Award.

Robert Gay, Legal Director, Hill Dickinson LLP, London

Robert's paper, 'Problems and Pitfalls of Supplytime 89', has been widely cited, including in arbitrations. His commentary on the provisions in SUPPLYTIME 2005 for early termination for cause and war cancellation (and on frustration) is available in hard copy from HD. He designed and continues to organise a course on SUPPLYTIME for BIMCO, where the topics on which he speaks also include knock-for-knock, exclusion of consequential damages, and insurance, wreck removal and pollution. Robert is an expert on tanker charterparties, especially time charters, but also issues such as laytime and demurrage. He is a member of the Documentary Committee of Intertanko, which is responsible for keeping Intertanko's recommended clauses under review and drafting fresh clauses as required. Robert's work in marine and energy insurance has mostly been for the assured. However, he assisted with the drafting of the International Hull Clauses for the London Market, and has advised P&I insurers on policy wordings. He has given expert evidence on English marine insurance law for the Multi-Member Court of First Instance in Piraeus. Robert has taught as a Visiting Lecturer in Law at University College London. He is a Supporting

Member of the LMAA, and a member of the Education and Events Committee of the London Shipping Law Centre.

David Graham, Barrister, Francis Taylor Building, London

David is a member of Chambers' Environmental Crime Group, and has developed a particular interest in water pollution offences. While a pupil, David assisted members of Chambers in the leading case on disturbance of European Protected Species, *R. (Vivienne Morge)* v. *Hampshire County Council* [2011] UKSC 2. He is co-author (with Charles George QC) of 'After Morge, Where Are We Now? The Meaning of Disturbance', published in *The Habitats Directive* (2012). He assisted his supervisor, Hereward Phillpot, in the cases of *Cornwall Waste Forum St Dennis Branch* v. *SSCLG* [2011] EWHC 2761 (Admin), which concerns the extent to which different regulatory authorities are entitled to presume that their counterparts have conducted appropriate assessments; and *Britannia Assets* v. *SSCLG* [2011] EWHC 1908 (Admin), concerning the relevance of 'fall-back' permissions in appropriate assessment. David has also advised on the application of the Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment directives.

Grant Hunter, Chief Officer for Legal and Contractual Affairs, BIMCO

Grant Hunter is responsible for overseeing the development, revision and promotion of BIMCO's wide range of standard contracts and clauses. He has worked for BIMCO since 1997. Previous careers include five years at sea with the Ben Line and eight years working ashore in the commercial and operations department of P&O Bulk Shipping in London. Grant has a Masters degree in maritime policy and law from the London School of Economics.

Gregory Jones QC, Barrister, Francis Taylor Building, London

Gregory Jones QC is called to the Bars of England and Wales, Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. Since working as a stagiaire at the European Commission and Jean Pierre Warner Scholar at the European Court of Justice, Gregory has appeared in leading planning and environmental cases before public inquiries and courts in England and Wales and Northern Ireland, and also before the Court of Justice of the European Union. Recently, he promoted the £450 million Able Marine Energy Park on the South Bank of the Humber for the construction of offshore wind turbines on behalf of Able UK, and also the Great Yarmouth Revision Order on behalf of both the Great Yarmouth Port Authority and the Great Yarmouth Port Company. A Visiting Fellow of the Centre of European Law, King's College, London, Gregory has published extensively, most recently as editor and contributor to *The Habitats Directive; A Developer's Obstacle Course?* (2012).

Chris Kidd, Partner, Ince & Co. LLP, London

Chris is dual-qualified as a solicitor in England and Hong Kong. Between 1995 and 2001 he practised in Ince & Co.'s Hong Kong office, during which time he was the Secretary of the Hong Kong Maritime Law Association. Chris has strong industry links in Hong Kong, China and Korea. He is a Fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators and a member of the LMAA Supporting Members' Liaison Committee. Chris's practice encompasses shipping and offshore matters. He leads the firm's

shipbuilding team and frequently advises buyers and shippards in relation to building, conversion and repair contracts, as well as dispute resolution, for specialist offshore vessels and floating platforms. He worked with BIMCO to develop NEWBUILD-CON, a standard form newbuilding contract, and is a regular speaker and chairman of seminars on shipbuilding, conversion and repair. Chris has specialist experience in jurisdictional issues, mediation and in major London arbitrations and litigation, particularly for claims arising from shipbuilding, conversion and repair contracts. His experience includes LMAA, ICC and LCIA arbitrations, as well as cases in the Commercial Court, Hong Kong courts and liaising with lawyers conducting litigation in other jurisdictions. He also advises on the drafting and negotiation of contracts, as well as dispute resolution in the renewables sector, including offshore wind-farm installation contracts, charterparties and contracts for the construction of wind-farm installation vessels. He has been involved in Greater Gabbard, Gunfleet Sands, London Array, West of Duddon Sands, Borkum Riffgat, Kentish Flats, Westermost Rough and Gwynt y Mor amongst others. He has also worked with BIMCO to develop WINDTIME, a standard offshore wind-farm personnel transfer and support vessel charterparty. Chris has also advised in connection with accidents to offshore vessels, and fixed and floating platforms. He has acted in a significant number of cases, including BP Thunderhorse, Bombay High North and Piper Alpha.

Dr George Leloudas, BCL (Athens), LLM (Bristol, McGill), PhD (Trinity Hall, Cambridge), Solicitor (England and Wales), Attorney at Law (Athens, Greece), Senior Lecturer, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University

Dr Leloudas joined the School of Law in 2011 as a lecturer. He is a graduate of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. He holds LLM degrees in Commercial Law from the University of Bristol (2002) and in Air and Space Law from the Institute of Air and Space Law of McGill University (Montreal, 2003). He also completed his PhD in air law with emphasis on liability and insurance at Trinity Hall, Cambridge University in 2009. Before joining the School, Dr Leloudas worked as a solicitor in a leading aviation law firm in London for several years, where he advised on aerospace liability and airline regulatory matters. He was also an assistant to the legal counsel of the International Union of Aviation Insurers (IUAI), providing support in relation to the replacement of the Rome Convention on Surface Damage. Dr Leloudas is an instructor at the Training and Development Institute of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), where he teaches international air law for lawyers and legal professionals, law of aviation insurance and air cargo liability. His principal research interest is the carriage of passengers and goods by air, but his interests extend to multimodal transport, insurance law and tort law. He is an active researcher and his doctoral thesis, 'Risk and Liability in Air Law', was published by Informa in September 2009. He is the course co-ordinator of International Litigation and Arbitration (LLM) and is involved in the teaching of the Marine Insurance course (LLM).

Fabien Lerede, Off-shore Syndicate Claims Director, Charles Taylor, London Fabien Lerede is Offshore Syndicate Claims Director at the Standard Club, responsible for handling offshore members' P&I claims and reviewing their contracts. Fabien started his career as an Avocat at the Paris Bar (1998) before joining Charles

Taylor, the managers of the Standard Club, in 2007. He has a Master's degree in Maritime Law from University College London, and is also qualified as solicitor of England and Wales. He was also one of the members of the sub-committee that developed the new WINDTIME form of charterparty for BIMCO.

Jeremy Lightfoot, BA (Hons Oxon), Barrister, Stone Chambers, Gray's Inn, London Jeremy Lightfoot has a commercial litigation and arbitration practice, specialising in shipping and maritime law. Jeremy is recommended in the Legal 500 and Chambers & Partners 2014 as a leading junior barrister for shipping and commodities.

Simon Rainey QC, Barrister, Quadrant Chambers, London

Simon Rainey QC is a barrister at, and former joint head of, Quadrant Chambers, London, one of the leading commercial sets. He has been in practice since 1983 and took silk in 2000. He has been cited for many years as a Leading Silk in the areas of Shipping, Commodities, Commercial Litigation and Dispute Resolution, International Arbitration, Energy and Natural Resources, and Insurance and Reinsurance by Legal 500 and Chambers and Partners. He was appointed Recorder of the Crown Court in 2000 and was authorised to sit as a deputy High Court judge in 2008. He also sits as an arbitrator under LMAA, ICC, LCIA, UNCITRAL and other appointments. He is the author of Tug and Tow and Offshore Contracts, the leading text on offshore industry contracts (the third edition of which was published by Informa in December 2011), and is frequently involved in advisory work and litigation on all aspects of offshore marine, construction, energy and natural resource disputes, being described in Chambers & Partners (2013) as 'an "excellent advocate", who has developed a thriving energy practice. He regularly acts on major disputes involving complex legal and technical issues'. He represented Gazprom in the only recent reported case in the Commercial Court and Court of Appeal to consider mutual indemnities and knock-for-knock arising out of the punch-through of a jack-up rig in the Bay of Bengal (Seadrill Management Services v. OAO Gazprom [2010] 1 Lloyd's Rep 543 and [2011] 1 All ER (Comm) 1077). He was appointed Visiting Research Fellow at the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University in 2010, and Honorary Professor of Law at Swansea University in 2013.

Professor Erik Røsæg, formerly Director of the Scandinavian Institute of Maritime Law, Oslo University

Professor Erik Røsæg teaches and writes in the fields of maritime law and third-party interests in commercial law. He has been much involved in the negotiations of liability Conventions in the IMO, chairs the Norwegian Maritime Law Commission and has also chaired other committees preparing draft legislation. He has published extensively in national and international journals and books, and is much in demand as a speaker and consultant in a number of jurisdictions. He is currently involved in the Oslo Law of the Sea Forum.

Lars Rosenberg Overby, Partner, Kromann Reumert, Copenhagen

Lars Rosenberg Overby joined Kromann Reumert in 1997, and has been a partner with the firm since 2003. Lars specialises in offshore, maritime and insurance law, particularly insurance regulation. He has special expertise in charterparties and

contracts relating to offshore wind farms, and was a member of the BIMCO WINDTIME sub-committee. Lars also represents clients in court and arbitration proceedings, including before the Danish Supreme Court and in international arbitration. He is also a member of the CMI International Working Group on Arctic and Antarctic legal issues. Lars obtained his law degree from the University of Copenhagen in 1991. Before that, he studied at the Nordic Institute of Maritime Law, Oslo, in 1990. Lars was employed with P&I Club Skuld during the period 1991-1997, and worked as trainee with Bentleys, Stokes & Lowless, London, in 1995–1996. Finally, Lars acted as temporarily appointed judge in the Eastern Division of the Danish High Court in 2002. He was Assistant Professor in Maritime Law at the University of Copenhagen (1992–2001), and has co-authored the leading textbook on maritime law, Søret (4th edn, 2013). He reviews textbooks on transport and insurance law, and has published a number of articles on transport law issues; most recently about offshore wind-farm contracts (MarIus, No. 417) and has contributed to Insurance and Reinsurance (2012), Commercial Litigation (2011), Sale & Purchase of Business Enterprises (2014), Anuario De Derecho Marítimo (annually), Forumshopping and Civil Litigation - Jurisdictional Comparisons (1998). Lars has a STCW survival certificate. As a pro bono priority, he is a member of the board of directors for the Georg Stage Memorial Foundation (Stiftelsen Georg Stages Minde), a training ship.

Stephen Tromans QC, Joint Head of Chambers, 39 Essex Street, London

Stephen Tromans QC is a barrister specialising in environment, planning, energy and infrastructure law. He was formerly a university lecturer (lecturing at the Department of Land Economy, Cambridge University (1981-87)), and a solicitor (partner at Simmons & Simmons (1990-1999). He is currently Joint Head of Chambers at 39 Essex Street, one of the largest civil sets of barristers' chambers, with chambers in Singapore. In 2013, his Chambers was awarded a Queen's Award for Enterprise for export of legal services. He has a broad practice, including international work, much of which has a focus on marine and liability issues, and has advised companies such as BP and Shell. His notable cases include the Trafigura case on liability for waste disposal in the Ivory Coast, the Buncefield Depot explosion and fire, the Brent Spar incident, and the Greenpeace litigation on oil exploration West of Shetland. He has been a Visiting Professor at Nottingham Law School, and is an Honorary Fellow of the Institute of Waste Management, and an Honorary Member of the UK Environmental Law Association. He is the original editor of the Encyclopedia of Environmental Law, and the author of texts on Environmental Impact Assessment (Bloomsbury, 2nd edn, 2012); Nuclear Law (Hart, 2nd edn, 2010); and Contaminated Land (Thomson Reuters, 2nd edn, 2008). In the early 1990s he was one of the Group of Experts that advised the European Commission on the proposed environmental liability directive. He has acted on a number of occasions as a specialist adviser to House of Lords and House of Commons select committees.

Professor Richard W. Williams, LLB (Wales), LLM (London), Professor of Law, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University Richard Williams retired from private practice at the end of 2000 after thirty years with the international law firm Ince & Co. He had been a partner for twenty-five years and was chairman of the firm's dry shipping business group. He has been a

Professor at Swansea University since then, and teaches the law relating to Charterparties and the Carriage of Goods by Sea, Land and Air on the LLM course. Throughout his career he has been involved not only in the litigation of cases to the highest courts of appeal in the United Kingdom and abroad, but also in the development of policy and documentation within the industry both for clients and international industry bodies. He has been consulted by United Nations agencies and other international bodies, such as BIMCO and the International Group of P&I Clubs in relation to industry-wide issues and the drafting of standard documents, and is currently a member of the working group established by the UK Department for Transport to consider the implications of the implementation of the Rotterdam Rules into UK law. He is the author of numerous papers and articles on shipping law; is the co-author of the textbook Limitation of Liability of Maritime Claims (Lloyd's of London Press, 4th edn, 2005); and author of the Gard Guidance to the Statutes and Rules (2008), and the Gard Guidance on Maritime Claims and Insurance (2013). He is a regular chairman and speaker on maritime law matters at conferences around the world, and organises and speaks at training courses for various industry bodies and private multinational companies.

This page intentionally left bank

FOREWORD

This book is the outcome of a colloquium held at the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law (IISTL) at Swansea University in late 2013. As an attendee I can vouch for it being a striking example of the valuable work being conducted by the IISTL. The topics are all of pressing interest, since it is easy to forget that there is more to shipping practice than cargo, collision and charterparty claims. Offshore operations now account for a very sizeable proportion of all marine lawyers' time. In sheer value, much of the equipment involved would put a capesize container vessel in the shade; the logistics of utilising it to advantage are on the grandest scale; the losses at stake if it is disabled or unexploitable are massive; and all accidents import the hot breath of the coastal government unceasing in its desire to make sure everything is being done by the book. To deal with this, specialised forms are necessary: profits and losses turn on accuracy in the placing of risk and the correct positioning of insurance: and in between the ever-increasing whims of regulators and governments have to be humoured. It is these practical necessities that form the inspiration for this work. Thus, WINDTIME, specially adapted for offshore wind energy, is not only analysed but, more importantly, related to SUPPLYTIME, of which it is a variant: and there is excellent coverage of the vital HEAVYCON, perhaps one of the only forms where what is being carried is normally larger than what is carrying it. The channelling of liability gets, as it should, wide coverage, with very perceptive pieces on knock-for-knock agreements and the containing of consequential losses (both vital elements in the control of risk and avoidance of double insurance). Coupled with that is an excellent piece on precisely what insurance forms are appropriate, making the point that many participants in failing to use properly drafted clauses are greatly adding to their exposure. Added to all this are two pieces on public law (Norwegian offshore pollution liability and the planning aspects of offshore operations), and a chapter on the often-forgotten subject of personal injury liability. You have here a book, ably produced by Professors Sover and Tettenborn, which anyone in offshore practice will need, if only because the other side will probably have it already.

> Sir David Steel Former Judge of the Commercial and Admiralty Courts Maritime Arbitrator

This page intentionally left bank

PREFACE

Good money, they say, should go to those prepared to take risks to earn it. This is obviously true of those employed to work on offshore structures at the sharp end: but, as any practitioner will be happy to confirm, the same rule also applies in spades to a firm extending its commercial practice into areas where few lawyers previously ventured. Boosted billings there may be: but these come packaged with uncertainty, unpredictability and unquantifiable hazards.

This book, the product of the Ninth Annual Colloquium of the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law (IISTL), held in September 2013, attempts to deal with one such area of uncharted legal waters: namely, liabilities arising from offshore operations, whether these be to pump oil, to mine manganese or to satisfy the insatiable appetite of politicians, industrialists and the thinking middle classes for unlimited guilt-free energy.

Like all the best books on developing parts of the law not previously subject to detailed coverage, this one starts with the tools lawyers actually use: in particular, the new, and thus esoteric, standard forms, such as WINDTIME or HEAVYCON. A number of experts take them apart, or point out why we need new agreements rather than trying to shoe-horn offshore agreements into the old forms, or provide an overview of the vital efforts of BIMCO in the background, without which confusion would have been confounded much worse. Passing on from that, we deal with ways of controlling the enormous potential liabilities that, like the grey North Sea swell, eddy ceaselessly round any offshore project with profits in the tens of millions, and hardware in the billions, constantly in hazard. In such a case pin-point accuracy in such matters as knock-for-knock agreements, exclusions, indemnities and the apportionment of consequential losses is necessary. Then, third, we take the opportunity to home in on the nature of some of these losses, be they property damage, personal injuries, environmental liabilities or whatever, before finally looking at the impact of planning and administrative considerations.

We are enormously grateful to many for making possible the Colloquium out of which this book grew. Swansea University, as ever, provided its unparalleled logistical support; the staff of the IISTL, who provided the essential unsung back-up without which these things cannot happen; the speakers and chairmen; and, in particular, the publishers Informa, whose constant and unstinting support has been a feature of the IISTL Colloquia for as long as some of us can remember. We would also like to take this opportunity to thank staff at Informa, in particular Faye Mousley and Alexia Sutton, for their assistance during the production of this book.

PREFACE

We sincerely hope that this collection will contribute to the understanding of law in this area, which is likely to expand in size in the near future due to the existence of a strong correlation between economic development and further exploitation of seabed mineral resources.

> Barış Soyer Andrew Tettenborn February 2014, Swansea

Acergy Shipping Ltd v. Société Bretonne de Reparation Navale SAS	
(The Acergy Falcon) [2011] EWHC 2490 (Comm); [2012] 1 All ER	124
(Comm) 369	134
[2008] UKHL 48; [2009] 1 AC 61; [2008] 2 Lloyd's Rep 275	
Addax Ltd v. Arcadia Petroleum Ltd [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep 493	142 142
Addison v. Denholm Ship Management (UK) Ltd [1997] ICR 770	-
Aegean Sea Traders Corp. v. Repsol Petroleo SA (The Aegean Sea) [1998] 2 Lloyd's	
Rep 39	
Aello, The [1961] AC 135 (HL)	
AIB Group (UK) plc v. Martin [2001] UKHL 63; [2002] 1 WLR 94	
AIG Europe (Ireland) Ltd v. Faraday Capital Ltd [2006] EWHC 2707 (Comm);	
[2007] Lloyd's Rep IR 267	244
Aktieselskabet Reidar v. Arcos Ltd [1967] 1 AC 361	
Albert E Reed & Co. Ltd v. London & Rochester Trading Co., Ltd [1954] 2 Lloyd's	
Rep 463	195
Albion, The [1952] 1 Lloyd's Rep 367	
Alexander v. Railway Executive [1951] 2 KB 882	
Alfred McAlpine plc v. BAI (Run-Off) Ltd [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep 437	
Allen N. Spooner & Son Inc. v. Connecticut Fire Ins. Co. 314 F2d 753 (1963)	
Alpstream AG v. PK Airfinance Sàrl [2013] EWHC 2370 (Comm)	
Anna H, The [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep 11	298
Antaios Compaña Naviera SA v. Salen Rederierna AB [1985] AC 191	162
A P J Priti, The [1987] 2 Lloyd's Rep 37	34
Armitage v. Nurse (1998) Ch 241	63, 188, 197, 270
Aspen Insurance UK Ltd v. Pectel Ltd [2008] EWHC 2804 (Comm); [2009]	
Lloyd's Rep IR 440	244–5
Associated Portland Cement Manufacturers v. Houlder (1917) 22 Com Cas 279	40
AstraZeneca UK Limited v. Albemarle International Corporation [2011] EWHC	
1574 (Comm); [2011] 2 CLC 252	87, 88, 99, 107
Athamas, The [1963] 1 Lloyd's Rep 287 (CA)	
Atlantic Star, The [1974] AC 436]	297
Attorney-General of Belize v. Belize Telecom Ltd [2009] UKPC 10, [2009] 1 WLR	
1988	
August 8, The [1983] 2 AC 450	297
Banco, The [1971] P 137	207
Bank of Credit and Commerce International v. Ali [2001] UKHL 8; [2002]	
1 AC 251	84 108
Barrett v. Chevron USA Inc, 752 F 2d 129 (1985)	-
Baxendale v. Fane (The Lapwing) [1940] P 112	

Beazley Underwriting Ltd, Liberty Mutual Insurance Europe Ltd v. The Travelers
Companies Inc. [2011] EWHC 1520 (Comm); [2012] 1 All ER (Comm) 1241 229, 231, 232
Bell Assurance Ass'n v. Licenses & General Insurance Corp. & Guarantee Fund Ltd
(1923) 17 Ll L Rep 100
Beursgracht, The [2001] EWCA Civ 2051; [2002] Lloyd's Rep IR 335
BHP Petroleum Ltd v. British Steel plc and Dalmine SpA [1999] 2 Lloyd's Rep 583
(first instance); [2000] 2 Lloyd's Rep 277 (Court of Appeal) 116, 119, 123, 128, 129, 130,
141, 142, 143, 152, 153, 154, 155
Booth v. Phillips [2004] EWHC 1437 (Comm); [2004] 1 WLR 3292
Brass v Maitland (1856) 26 LJQB 49
Brazilian Rubber Plantations & Estates Ltd, Re [1911] 1 Ch 425
British Sugar v. NEI Power Projects (1988) 87 BLR 42
British Waterways v. Royal & Son Alliance Insurance plc [2012] EWHC 460 (Comm);
[2012] Lloyd's Rep IR 562
Burke v. UVEX Sports GmbH [2005] ILPr 26
C. A. Blackwell (Contractors) Ltd v. Gerling Allegemeine Verischerungs AG [2007]
EWCA Civ 1450; [2008] Lloyd's Rep IR 529
Caledonia North Sea Ltd v. British Telecommunications plc (Piper Alpha) [2002]
SC (HL) 117; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep 553
Caledonia North Sea Ltd v. Kelvin International Services Ltd [2002] SC (HL)
117; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep 553 see Caledonia North Sea Ltd v. British
Telecommunications plc (Piper Alpha) [2002] SC (HL) 117; [2002]
1 Lloyd's Rep 553
Caledonia North Sea Ltd v. London Bridge Engineering Ltd [2002] SC (HL)
117; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep 553 see Caledonia North Sea Ltd v. British
Telecommunications plc (Piper Alpha) [2002] SC (HL) 117; [2002] 1 Lloyd's
Rep 553
Camerata Property Inc. v. Credit Suisse Securities Europe Ltd [2011] EWHC 479
(Comm); [2011] 1 CLC 627
Campbell v. Conoco (UK) Ltd [2002] EWCA Civ 704; [2003] 1 All ER (Comm) 35
Canada Rice Mills Ltd v. Union Marine & General Insurance Co. Ltd [1941] AC 55
Canada Steamship Lines v. The King [1952] AC 192
Canadian Salt Co v. The Ship Irving Cedar [2000] FC D-62
Cap Palos (1921) P 458
Cendor Mopu, The see Global Process Systems Inc. v. Syarikat Takaful
Malaysia Bhd (The Cendor Mopu) [2011] UKSC 5, [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep 560
Chandler v. Cape plc [2012] EWCA Civ 525; [2012] 1 WLR 3111
Chartbrook Ltd v. Persimmon Homes Ltd [2009] UKHL 38; [2009] 1 AC 1101
Chung Tak Lam v. United Kingdom (European Court of Human Rights), Fourth
Section, 5 July 2001)
City Equitable Fire Insurance Co. Ltd, Re [1925] Ch 407
Civil and Marine Slag Cement Ltd v. Cambrian Stone Ltd [2000] All ER (D) 825 (QB)114
Clark (Inspector of Taxes) v. Perks [2001] STC 1254
CMA GGM SA v. Classica Shipping Co. Ltd (The CMA Djakarta) [2004]
EWCA Civ 114; [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep 460
Colour Quest Ltd v. Total Downstream UK [2009] EWHC 540 (Comm);
[2009] 2 Lloyd's Rep 1
Commission v. France (Case C-239/03) [2004] ECR-I 9325
Commission v. Ireland (Case 113/80) [1982] 1 CMLR 706
Commission v. Ireland (Case C-459/03) [2006] ECR-I 4635
Commonwealth Smelting Ltd v. Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Ltd [1984]
2 Lloyd's Rep 608
Commune de Mesquer v. Total France SA and Total International (Case C-188/07)1
[2008] 3 CMLR
Connolly Shaw Ltd v. A/S Det Nordenfjeldske D/S (1934) 49 Ll L Rep 183 (KBD)

Conocophillips (UK) Ltd v. Patenrederei MS Jonk [2010] EWHC 1214 (Comm) 295, 300, 301
Convery v. Dublin County Council [1996] 3 IR 153
Cooley v. Ramsley [2008] EWHC 129 (QB); [2008] IL PR 27
Cosco Bulk Carrier Co. Ltd v. Team-Up Owning Co. Ltd [2010] EWHC 1340
(Comm); [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep 187
Cowell v. Yorkshire Provident Life Assurance Co. (1901) 17 TLR 452
Coxe v. Employers' Liability Assurance Corporation Ltd [1916] 2 KB 629
Croudace Construction Ltd v. Cawoods Concrete Ltd [1978] 2 Lloyd's
Rep 55 (CA)
Cullen v. Butler (1816) M & S 461
Dairy Containers Ltd v. Tasman Orient CV [2004] UKPC 22; [2005] 1 WLR 215
Dalmare SpA v. Union Maritime Ltd (The Union Power) [2012] EWHC 3537
(Comm); [2013] 1 Lloyd's Rep 509
Davidson v. Burnard (1868) LR 4 CP 117
Dawsons Ltd v. Bonnin [1922] 2 AC 413
Dedgeon v. Pembroke (1874) LR 9 QB 581
Deepak Fertilisers & Petrochemical Corporation v. ICI Chemicals & Polymers Ltd [1999]
1 Lloyd's Rep 387 51, 114, 120, 122, 149, 150, 151
Deepwater Horizon, In Re, 710 F3d 338 (2013)
Deichland, The [1990] 1 QB 361
Delian Spirit, The [1972] 1 QB 103; [1971] 1 Lloyd's Rep 506
Democritos, The [1976] 2 Lloyd's Rep 149 (CA)
Derbyshire, The [1988] AC 276
Deutsche Bank AG v. Sebastian Holdings Inc [2013] EWHC 3463 (Comm)
Deverne II see Vitesse Yacht Charters SL v. Spiers (The Deverne II) [2003] EWHC
2426 (Admlty) [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep 179
Dictator, The [1892] P 304
Dominique, The [1989] 1 Lloyd's Rep 431 (HL)
Dudgeon v. Pembroke (1877) 2 App Cas 284
Dumez France SA v. Hessische Landesbank (Case 228/88) [1990] E.C.R. I-49; [1990]
ILPr 299
Dunthorne v. Bentley [1996] RTR 428
The state of the s
Ease Faith v. Leonis Marine Management Ltd [2006] EWHC 232 (Comm);
[2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep 673
E. E. Caledonia Ltd v. Orbit Valve Co. Europe [1994] 1 WLR 221; [1994]
1 WLR 1515
Elvanite Full Circle Ltd v. AMEC Earth & Environmental (UK) Ltd [2013] EWHC 1191 (TCC); (2013) 148 Con LR 127
Environmental Systems Pty Ltd v. Peerless Holdings Pty Ltd [2008] VSCA 26
Nettle JA
Esso Malaysia, The [1975] QB 198
Evangelos Theta, The [1971] 2 Lloyd's Rep 200
Evaligetos Titeta, Tite [1971] 2 Lloyd's Rep 200
Ferryways NV v. Associated British Ports [2008] EWHC 225 (Comm); [2008]
1 Lloyd's Rep 639
Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co. Ltd v. Vokins & Co. Ltd [1951] 1 Lloyd's Rep 32
Forder v. Great Western Ry Co. [1905] 2 KB 532
Frans Maas (UK) Ltd v. Samsung Electronics (UK) Ltd [2004] EWHC 1502
(Comm); [2004] 2 Lloyd's Rep 251
Fraser v. Furman (Productions) Ltd [1967] 1 WLR 898
Fraser v. John N. Ward & Son Ltd, 1987 SLT 513
Friends Provident Life & Pensions Ltd v. Sirius International Insurance Corp. [2004]
EWHC 1799 (Comm); [2005] Lloyd's Rep IR 135
Front Commander, The [2006] EWCA Civ 944; [2006] 2 Lloyd's Rep 251

Gemma, The [1899] P 285
Gilbert-Ash (Northern) Ltd v. Modern Engineering (Bristol) Limited [1994] AC 689 76, 93, 94, 95
Global Process Systems Inc. v. Syarikat Takaful Malaysia Bhd (The Cendor Mopu)
[2011] UKSC 5; [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep 560
Glynn v. Margetson [1893] AC 351
Granville Oil & Chemicals Ltd v. Davis Turner & Co Ltd [2003] 2 Lloyd's Rep 356
Great Scottish & Western Ry Co. Ltd v. British Railways Board, Transcript,
10 February 2000
Grill v. General Iron Screw Collier Co (1866) LR 1 CP 600
Gill b. General from Serew Golffer Co (1996) ER 1 C1 900
Hadley v. Baxendale (1854) 9 Ex 341
113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 123, 124, 125, 128, 130, 131,
137, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 179, 180
Hain SS Co. Ltd v. Tate & Lyle Ltd [1936] 2 All ER 597
Happy Day, The [2002] EWCA Civ 1068; [2002] 2 Lloyd's Rep 487 (CA)
Harty v. Sabre International Security Ltd (formerly SIS Iraq Ltd) [2011] EWHC
852 (QB)
Hawksley v. Outram [1892] 3 Ch. 359
Hellespont Ardent see Red Sea Tankers Ltd v. Papchristidis (The Hellespont Ardent)
(1997) 2 Lloyd's Rep 547
Herdenter, The see Tsavliris, Alexander v. OSA Marine Ltd (The Herdentor)
(unreported, 1996)
Heron II, The see Koufos v. C Czarnikow Ltd (The Heron II) [1969] 1 AC 350;
[1967] 2 Lloyd's Rep 457
Hewison v. Meridian Shipping Service Pte Ltd [2002] EWCA Civ 1821; [2003]
ICR 766
HIH Casualty and General Insurance Ltd v. Chase Manhattan Bank [2003]
UKHL 6; [2003] 2 Lloyd's Rep 61
Himalaya, The [1954] 2 Lloyd's Rep 267
Hinton v. Dibbin (1842) 2 QB 646
Hobbs v. Marlowe [1978] AC 16
Homburg Houtimport BV v. Agrosin Private Ltd, The Starsin [2003] UKHL 12,
[2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep 571; [2004] 1 AC 715 (HL)
Hong Kong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd [1962] 2 QB 26
Horabin v. British Overseas Airways Corporation [1952] 2 Lloyd's Rep 450
Hotel Services Ltd v. Hilton International Hotels Ltd [2000] Build LR 235 115, 131, 142, 179
Titler out vices Eta vi Timon international Titlers Eta [2000] Sana Eta 23
ICDL GCC Foundation FZ-LLC v. European Computer Driving Licence
Foundation Ltd [2012] IESC 55
Interfoto Library Ltd v. Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd [1989] 1 QB 433
Internet Broadcasting Corp. Ltd v. Mar LLC (MARHedge) [2009] EWHC 884
(Ch); [2009] 2 Lloyd's Rep 295
107, 157, 159, 169–74
Internet Broadcasting Corp. Ltd v. Mar LLC [2009] EWHC 884 (Ch);
[2009] 2 Lloyd's Rep 295
Intertanko v. Secretary of State for Transport (Case C-308/06) [2008] ECR I-4057
Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v. West Bromwich Building Society [1998]
1 WLR 896 (HL)
Investors in Industry Commercial Properties Ltd v. South Bedfordshire DC
[1986] QB 1034
J J Lloyd Instruments Ltd v. Northern Star Insurance Co. Ltd (The Miss Jay Jay)
[1987] 1 Lloyd's Rep 264
John F. Hunt Demolition Ltd v. ASME Engineering Ltd [2007] EWHC 1507 (TCC);
[2008] Bus LR 558
JP Morgan Chase Bank v. Springwell Navigation Corp [2008] EWHC 1793 (Comm)

JP Morgan Chase Bank v. Springwell Navigation Corp [2010] EWCA Civ 1221;	100
[2010] 2 CLC 705	190
Kane v. New Forest District Council (No. 1) [2001] EWCA Civ 878; [2002] 1 WLR 312 Karin Vatis, The [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep 330 (CA)	
Karp v. Hults, 209 NYS2d 128, affd 9 NY 2d 857, 216 NYS2d 99, 175 NE2d 465 (1961)	
KG Bominflot Bunkergesellschaft für Mineralöle mbH & Co. v. Petroplus Marketing AG (The Mercini Lady) [2010] EWCA Civ 1145; [2011] 1 Lloyd's Rep 442	
Kiddle v. Lovett (1885) 16 QBD 605	
Koelzsch v. Luxembourg (C-29/10) [2011] IRLR 514	
Koufos v. C Czarnikow Ltd (The Heron II) [1969] 1 AC 350; [1967] 2 Lloyd's Rep 457	
Kronhofer v. Maier (Case C-168/02) [2004] ILPr 27	
K/S Merc-Scandia XXXXII v. Certain Lloyd's Underwriters (The Mercandian	293
Continent) [2001] EWCA Civ 1275; [2001] 2 Lloyd's Rep 563	248
Kudos Catering (UK) Limited v. Manchester Central Convention Complex Ltd	210
[2013] EWCA Civ 38 [2013] 2 Lloyd's Rep 270	99–100, 104,
	105, 107, 128
	103, 101, 120
Laceys Footwear (Wholesale) Ltd v. Bowler International Freight Ltd [1997]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 369	
Lamb Head Shipping Co. Ltd v. Jennings (The Marel) [1992] 1 Lloyd's Rep 402	212
Lam v. Brennan [1997] 3 PLR 22, C.A.	
Ledger v. MacGregor Energy Services Ltd 2000 GWD 39-1464	290
Leonis SS Co. Ltd v. Rank (Joseph) Ltd (No. 2) (1908) 13 Com Cas 161	38
Levison v. Patent Steam Carpet Cleaning Co. Ltd [1978] 1 QB 69	166, 167
Lewis v. Great Western Railway Co (1877) 3 QBD 195	184
Leyland Shipping Co. Ltd v. Norwich Union Fire Insurance Society Ltd [1918] AC 350	212, 221, 229
Liberty Mutual Insurance v. HSBC Bank plc [2002] EWCA 691	
Linda, The [1988] 1 Lloyd's Rep 175	
Lloyds TSB General Insurance Holdings v. Lloyds Bank Group Insurance Co.	
Limited [2003] UKHL 48; [2003] 4 All ER 43	229
London Fire & Emergency Planning Authority v. Halcrow Gilbert Associates Ltd	
[2007] EWHC 2546 (TCC); (2008) 24 Const LJ 103	151
Louis Dreyfus & Co. v. Lauro [1938] 60 Ll L Rep 94	
Maciej Rataj, The (Case C-406/92) [1994] ECR I-5439; [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep 302	
Mair v. Railway Passengers Assurance Co. Ltd (1877) 37 LT 356	224
Manifest Shipping Co. Ltd v. Uni-Polaris Insurance Co. Ltd (The Star Sea) [2001]	
UKHL 1; [2003] 1 AC 469	
Marc Rich v. Bishop Rock Marine Co. Ltd [1994] 1 WLR 1071	
Marex Financial Ltd v. Fluxo-Cane Overseas Ltd [2010] EWHC 2690 (Comm)	188
Margaronis Navigation Agency Ltd v. Henry W. Peabody & Co. (London) Ltd [1965] 1 Lloyd's Rep 173	41
Marielle Bolten, The [2009] EWHC 2552 (Comm); [2010] 1 Lloyd's Rep 648 (QBD)	45
Marika M, The [1981] 2 Lloyd's Rep 622	19
Markerstudy Insurance Co. Ltd v. Endsleigh Insurance Services Ltd [2010] EWHC	
281 (Comm)	-
Matthew Hall Ortech Ltd v. Tarmac Roadstone Ltd (1997) 87 BLR 96	
Matthews v. Kuwait Bechtel Corp [1959] 2 QB 57	293, 295
Maxine Footwear Co. Ltd v. Canadian Government Merchant Marine Ltd [1959]	
AC 589	33
McCain Foods GB Ltd v. Eco-Tec (Europe) Ltd [2011] EWHC 66 (TCC); [2011]	114
CILL 2989	
McDermid v. Nash Dredging Co. Ltd [1987] AC 2906	290

Metcalfe v. Britannia Ironworks (1877) 2 QBD 423 (CA)	39
Metropolitan Life Insurance v. Noble Lowndes, 84 NY 2d 430, 643 NE2d 504	
(1994)	193
Millar's Machinery Co. Ltd v. David Way & Son (1935) 40 Com Cas 204 (CA)	
	140, 145, 148, 149
Miramar, The [1984] AC 676	
Mir Steel v. Morris [2012] EWCA Civ 1397; [2013] 2 All ER (Comm) 54	
	60, 91
Mitsubishi Corporation v. Eastwind Transport (The Irbenskiy Proliv) [2004]	400
EWHC 2924 (Comm); [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep 383	
Moel Tryvan Shipping Co Ltd v. Andrew Weir & Co. [1910] 2 KB 844	
Motis Exports Ltd v. Dampskibsselskabet AF 1912 A/S [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep 211	171
Mudlark, The [1911] P 116	296
Municipal Mutual Ltd v. Sea Insurance Co Ltd [1996] 2 Lloyd's Rep 265	244
Municipal Mutual Ltd v. Sea Insurance Co Ltd [1998] Lloyd's Rep IR 421	
Training in Training 21th of Ook Institute Oo 21th [1770] 210) to 1th 121 minimum	
National Semiconductors (UK) Ltd v. UPS Ltd [1996] 2 Lloyd's Rep 212	106
	100
Naviera de Canarias SA v. Nacional Hispanica Aseguradora SA (The Playa de las	226
Nieves) [1978] AC 853	
Newona, The (1920) 4 Ll L Rep 156	
Newton-Sealey v. Armor Group Services Ltd [2008] EWHC 233 (QB)	
Nolisement (Owners) v. Bunge & Born [1917] 1 KB 160	41
Nomarchiaki Aftodioikisi Aitoloakarnanias v Ipourgos Perivallontos (Case C-43/10)	
[2013] Env LR 21	347
Odenbreit v. FBTO Schadeverzekeringen NV (C-463/06) [2007] ECR I-11321; [200	181
2 All ER (Comm) 733	-
Overend Gurney & Co v. Gibb (1872) LR 5 HL 480	
Owusu v. Jackson (C-281/02) [2005] ECR I-1383; [2005] QB 801	292
Peabody Donation Fund v. Sir Lindsay Parkinson & Co Ltd [1985] AC 210	
Pentecost v. London District Auditor [1951] 2 KB 759	187
Perrett v. Collins [1998] 2 Lloyd's Rep 255	352
Petersen v. Dunn & Co. (1895) 1 Com Cas 8	41
Philcox v. Civil Aviation Authority (1995) 92(27) LSG 33	352
Phillips v. Barker (1821) 5 B & Ald 161	
Phillips v. Rafiq [2007] EWCA Civ 74; [2007] 1 WLR 1351	
Photo Production Ltd v. Securicor Transport Ltd [1980] AC 827	
	162, 163, 164, 171
Pigott Foundations Ltd v. Shepherd Construction Ltd (1993) 67 BLR 48	141, 180
Piper Alpha, The see Caledonia North Sea Ltd v. British Telecommunications plc	
(Piper Alpha) [2002] SC (HL) 117; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep 553	
Po, The [1991] 2 Lloyd's Rep 206	298
Polestar Maritime v. YHM Shipping (The Rewa) [2012] EWCA Civ 153; [2012]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 510	81, 83
Porter v. Zurich Insurance Co. [2009] EWHC 376 (QB); [2010] Lloyd's Rep IR 373	
Prinsengracht, The [1993] 1 Lloyd's Rep 41	
Promet Engineering (Singapore) Pte Ltd v. Sturge (The Nukila) [1997] 2 Lloyd's	270
	012
Rep 146	
Pteroti Cia Naviera SA v. National Coal Board [1958] 1 QB 469	
Pythia, The [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep 160	19
R (Alconbury Developments Ltd) v. Secretary of State for the Environment,	
Transport and the Regions [2001] UKHL 23; [2003] 2 AC 295	344
R. (An Taisce) v. Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change [2013] EWHC	
4161 (Admin)	349
R (D) v. Worcestershire CC [2013] EWHC 2490 (Admin), [2013] BLGR 741	

R (MA & Others) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2013] EWHC 2213	
(QB); [2013] Eq LR 972	343
Raffinerie Mediterranee (ERG) SpA v. Ministero dello Sviluppo economico	
(Case C-378/08) [2010] 3 CMLR 9	260
Rainy Sky SA v. Kookmin Bank [2011] UKSC 50; [2012] 1 Lloyd's Rep 34	78, 199
Rauch v. Underwriters of Lloyd's at London, 320 F.2d 525 (1963)	224–5
Red Sea Tankers Ltd v. Papchristidis (The Hellespont Ardent) [1997] 2 Lloyd's	
Rep 547	197, 199, 270
Regus (UK) Ltd v. Epcot Solutions Ltd [2008] EWCA Civ 361; [2009] 1 All ER	,,
(Comm) 586	151 172
Resolute, The [2009] 1 Lloyd's Rep 225	
Réunion Uuropéenne SA v. Bevarchtingskantoor BV (Case C-51/97) [1998]	, 199
	207
ECR I-06511	287
Robb v. Salamis (M & I) Ltd [2006] UKHL 56; [2007] 2 All ER 97 291Royal Exchange	
Assurance (of London) Corp v Kingsley Navigation Co Ltd [1923] AC 235	229
R. v. Secretary of State for Transport ex parte Factortame Ltd (Case C-48/93 and	
Case C-420/11) [1996] ECR I-1029	350
Saint Line Ltd v. Richardsons Westgarth & Co Ltd [1940] 2 KB 99	114, 138, 149
Saldanha v. Fulton Navigation Inc. [2011] EWHC 1118 (Admlty); [2011]	
2 Lloyd's Rep 206	293, 297
Salemink v. Raad van bestuur van het Uitvoeringsinstituut Weknemersverzekeringen	
(Case C-347/10), unreported	319
Samuel (P) & Co. Ltd v. Dumas [1924] AC 431	
Satef-Huttenes Albertus SpA v. Paloma Tercera Shipping Co. SA (The Pegase) [1981]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 175	144-5
Seadrill Management Services Ltd v OAO Gazprom (The Ekha) [2009] EWHC 1530	111 3
(Comm); [2010] 1 Lloyd's Rep 543; [2010] EWCA Civ 691; [2011] 1 All ER	5 77 04 104
(Comm) 1077	5, 77, 94, 104
Sealion Shipping Ltd Toisa Horizon Inc. v. Valiant Insurance Co. (The Toisa Pisces)	
[2012] EWHC 50 (Comm); [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep 252	
	240, 242, 243
Sea Servizi Ecologici Affossamenti Srl v. Muliceiro Serviços Maritimos Ltd	
(The Aveiro) [2007] EWHC 2639 (Comm)	135
Shared Network Services Ltd v. Nextiraone UK Ltd [2012] EWCA Civ 1171	88
Shawinigan Ltd v. Vokins & Co. Ltd [1961] 2 Lloyd's Rep 153	195
Shipping Developments Corporation SA v. Sojuzneftexport [1971] 1 Lloyd's Rep 50	
Sigma Finance Corp, Re (In Administration) [2009] UKSC 2, [2010] 1 All ER 571	
Siney v. Dublin Corporation [1980] IR 40	
Sirius International Insurance v. FAI General Insurance [2004] UKHL 54; [2005]	
1 Lloyd's Rep 461	78
Smedvig Ltd v. Elf Exploration UK plc (The Super Scorpio II) [1998]	
	60
2 Lloyd's Rep 659	
Smith v. South Wales Switchgear Ltd [1978] 1 WLR 165	
Smith v. Wilson (1832) 3 B & Ad 728	179
Smit International (Deutschland) GmbH v. Josef Mobius Baugesellschaft mbH &	
Co. [2001] CLC 1545	, 56, 74, 104,
	134, 183, 201
Sohyde Drilling & Marine Co v. Coastal States Gas Producing Co, 644 F 2d	
1132 (1981)	296
South Australia Asset Management Corp v. York Montague Ltd [1997] AC 191	112
Spencer-Franks v. Kellogg Brown & Root Ltd [2008] UKHL 46; [2009] 1 All ER	
269 SC (HL) 159	291
Spiliada Maritime Corp v. Cansulex Ltd [1987] AC 460	
Spread Trustee Co Ltd v. Hutcheson [2011] UKPC 13; [2012] 2 AC 194	
Spurling (J) Ltd v. Bradshaw [1956] 1 WLR 461	
Spuring (1) Liu v. Diausnaw [1930] I WLN 401	100

Starsin, The see Homburg Houtimport BV v. Agrosin Private Ltd, The Starsin [2003]
UKHL 12, [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep 571; [2004] 1 AC 715 (HL)
Steel v. State Line SS Co (1877) 3 App Cas 72
Stocznia Gdanska SA v. Gearbulk Holdings Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 75; [2009]
1 Lloyd's Rep 461
Stocznia Gdanska SA v. Latvian Shipping Co [1998] 1 WLR 574
Sucden Financial Ltd v. Fluxo-Cane Overseas Ltd [2010] EWHC 2133 (Comm),
[2010] 2 CLC 216
Suisse Atlantique Société d'Armement SA v. NV Rotterdamsche Kolen Centrale
[1967] 1 AC 361
Sunderland v. Louth County Council [1990] ILRM 658
Super Scorpio II, The [1998] 2 Lloyd's Rep 659
Supershield Ltd v. Siemens Building Technology FE Ltd [2010] EWCA Civ 7;
[2010] 1 Lloyd's Rep 349
Swiss Bank Corporation v. Brink's-Mat Ltd [1986] 2 Lloyd's Rep 79
Sylvia Shipping Co. Ltd v. Progress Bulk Carriers Ltd [2010] EWHC 542 (Comm);
[2010] 2 Lloyd's Rep 81
Szalatnay-Stacho v. Fink [1947] KB 1
Sze Hai Tong Bank Co Ltd v. Rambler Cycle Co Ltd [1959] AC 576; [1959]
2 Lloyd's Rep 114 (PC)
2 Zioyu 3 Rep 111 (1 G)
Tasman Orient Line CV v. New Zealand China Clays Ltd [2010] NZSC 37; [2010]
2 Lloyd's Rep 13
Tate Gallery (Trustees) v. Duffy Construction Ltd & Anor (No. 2) [2007] EWHC
912 (TCC); [2008] Lloyd's Rep IR 159
Tektrol Ltd v. International Insurance Co. of Hanover Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 845;
[2005] 2 Lloyd's Rep 701
Tesco Stores Ltd v. Secretary of State for the Environment [1995] 1 WLR 759
Texas Instruments Ltd v. Nason (Europe) Ltd [1991] 1 Lloyd's Rep 146
TFW Printers Ltd v. Nason (Europe) Ltd [1991] 1 Lloyd's Rep 140
2 CLC 106
Thames & Mersey Marine Insurance Co Ltd v. Hamilton, Fraser & Co
(The Inchmaree) (1887) 12 App Cas 484
Thames Trains Ltd v. HSE [2003] EWCA Civ 720
Thomas Cook Group Ltd v. Air Malta [1997] 2 Lloyd's Rep 399
(1887) 12 App Cas 503
Thwaites v. Aviva Assurances [2010] ILPr 47; [2010] Lloyd's Rep IR 667
TM Noten BV v. Harding [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep 283
Toomey v. Banco Vitalicio de España SA de Seguros y Reaseguros [2004] EWCA
Civ 622; [2005] Lloyd's Rep IR 423
Tor Line AB v. Alltrans Group of Canada Ltd (The TFL Prosperity) [1984]
1 WLR 48 (HL)
Tradigrain SA v. Intertek Testing Services (ITS) Canada Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 154;
(2007) 1 CLC 154
Transfield Shipping Inc v. Mercator Shipping Inc (The Achilleas) [2008] UKHL 48;
[2009] 1 AC 61; [2008] 2 Lloyd's Rep 275
142, 144–5, 147
Tsavliris, Alexander v. OSA Marine Ltd (The Herdentor) (unreported, 1996) 56, 98, 103, 118,
120, 121, 122, 124, 130, 153,
155, 157, 175, 177
Turtle, The A (A Turtle Offshore SA & Anor v. Superior Trading Inc) [2008]
EWHC 3034 (Admlty); [2009] 1 Lloyd's Rep 177
102, 105, 106, 107, 158,
168–9, 173, 205

Ulyanovsk, The [1990] 1 Lloyd's Rep 425
Universal Cargo Carriers Corp. v. Citati [1957] 2 QB 401
Valiant Insurance Co v. Sealion Shipping Ltd [2012] EWCA Civ 1625; [2013]
1 Lloyd's Rep 108
Van de Walle, Criminal Proceedings against, Re (Case C-1/03) [2004] E.C.R. I-7613;
[2005] 1 CMLR 8
Versloot Dredging BV v. HDI-Gerling Industrie Versicherung AG (The DC
Merwestone) [2013] EWHC 1666 (Comm); [2013] 2 Lloyd's Rep 131
237, 238, 239, 240, 241
Victoria Laundry (Windsor) Ltd v. Newman Industries Ltd [1949] 2 KB 528
Vitesse Yacht Charters SL v. Spiers (The Deverne II) [2003] EWHC 2426 (Admlty);
[2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep 179
Von Rocks, The [1998] 2 Lloyd's Rep 198
Walters v. Whessoe Ltd [1968] 2 All ER 816
Ward v. McMaster [1988] IR 337
Watson v. British Boxing Board of Control Ltd [2001] QB 1134, CA
Wayne Tank and Pump Co Ltd v. Employers Liability Assurance Corp Ltd [1974]
QB 57
Weber v. Universal Ogden Services Ltd (C-37/00) [2002] ECR I-2013; [2002]
QB 1189
West India Telegraph Co v. Home & Colonial Insurance Co (1880) 6 QBD 51217
Wilsons & Clyde Coal Co Ltd v. English [1938] AC 57
Wilson v. Brett (1843) 11 M&W 113
Wink v. Croatia Osiguranje DD [2013] EWHC 1118 (QB)
Xin Yang, The and An Kang Jiang, The [1996] 2 Lloyd's Rep 217
Yarm Road Ltd v. Hewden Tower Cranes Ltd [2003] EWCA Civ 1127; (2003) 90 Con LR 1 80

This page intentionally left bank

TABLE OF STATUTES

Civil Contingencies Act 2004, 265	ss. 90–91 352
Civil Liability (Contribution) Act 1978	s. 92 352
s. 1(4)	s. 102 352
Consumer Protection Act 1987	s. 104 352
Part I	s. 108 352
s. 1(2)(b)	Sch. 5
s. 1(2)(c)	Sch. 6
s. 45	para 3 333
Contracts Rights of Third Parties Act	para 9
1999 45	para 13
	para 15
Employer's Liability (Defective Equipment)	para 15(1) 333
Act 1969	Marine Insurance Act (MIA) 1906
Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013	s. 39(5)
s. 69	s. 39(5)
Equality Act 2010	s. 55(1)
s. 149	s. 55(2)
	s. 55(2)(a)
Food and Environmental Protection Act	Merchant Shipping Act 1995
1985	ss. 137–140
Part I	s. 313(1)
Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974	s. 313(1)
s. 84	Sch.7
Libel Act 1843	Petroleum (Production) Act 1934 263
s. 2	Petroleum Act 1998
5. 2 100-9	Planning Act 2008
Marine (Scotland) Act 2010	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act
s. 5	2004
Marine Act (Northern Ireland) 2013	s. 20(5)
Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 333–5	s. 64(5)
s. 44	Pollution Prevention and Control Act 1999
s. 49	s. 3
s. 50	3. 5
s. 51 333	Road Traffic Act 1938
s. 58(1)	s.145(3)(a)
s. 58(4)	3.143(3)(a)231
s. 60	Sale of Goods Act 1893
s. 65	s. 51(2)
s. 66	s. 55
s. 85	Sale of Goods Act 1979
8. 0.7	Sale of Goods Act 1979 63

TABLE OF STATUTES

Senior Courts Act 1981	Offshore Installations (Emergency Pollution
s. 20	Control) Regulations 2002 264
s. 20(2)(f)	Offshore Installations (Prevention of Fire
s. 21(4)	and Explosion, and Emergency Response)
s. 24(1)	Regulations 1995 (SI 1995/743) 291
	Offshore Installations and Wells (Design
Third Parties (Rights against Insurers) Act	and Construction, etc) Regulations
1930 296	1996 (SI 1996/913)291
Third Parties (Rights against Insurers) Act	Offshore Petroleum Activities (Oil
2010	Pollution Prevention and Control)
s. 1(1)	Regulations 2005 264
	Pipelines Safety Regulations 1996
Unfair Contact Terms Act 1977 161, 165,	(SI 1996/825)
171, 172	Provision and Use of Work Equipment
	Regulations (SI 1998/2306) 291
Statutory Instruments	
•	EU legislation
Civil Jurisdiction (Application to Offshore	
Renewable Energy Installations, etc.)	Brussels Regulation I (Council Regulation
Order 2009 (SI 2009/1743)	(EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000
Art. 5	on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and
Civil Jurisdiction (Offshore Activities)	Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and
Order 1987/2197	Commercial Matters)
Art. 2	Art. 2
Art. 3	Art. 2(1)
Civil Procedure Rules	Art. 5(3)
BPD 6	Art. 9
para 2.3(1)	Art. 9.1(b)
para 3.1(9)	Art. 11(2) 295, 296
para 6.3.1(6)	Art. 19.2(a)
para 6.9(2).7 293	Art. 19.2(b)
para 9(2).7	Art. 71
Credit Ratings Agencies (Civil Liability)	Art. 71(1)
Regulations 2013 (SI 2013 No 1637),	Brussels Regulation I (Revised) (Council
implementing Regulation 1060/2009	Regulation (EC) No 1215/2012 on
Art. 35a	Jurisdiction and the Recognition and
Diving at Work Regulations 1997	Enforcement of Judgments in Civil
(SI 1997/2776)	and Commercial Matters)
Food Safety (Fishery Products and Live	Council Decision 98/249/EC of 7 October
Shellfish) (Hygiene) Regulations	1997 on the conclusion of the
1998	Convention for the protection of
Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974	the marine environment of the
(Application outside Great Britain)	north-east Atlantic
Order 2013 (SI 2013/240)	Decision 884/2004/EC of the European
Art. 4	Parliament and of the Council
Art. 6	amending Decision No 1692/96/EC
Art. 8	on Community Guidelines for the
Art. 9	development of the trans-European
Marine Licensing (Appeals) Regulations	transport network (trans-European
(Northern Ireland) 2011/80 352	transport strategy)
Marine Licensing (Notices Appeals)	Directive 85/374/EEC of 25 July 1985
Regulations 2011/936	on the approximation of the laws,
Marine Licensing (Notices Appeals)	regulations and administrative provisions
(Wales) Regulations 2011/923 352	of the Member States concerning
Marine Licensing Appeals (Scotland)	liability for defective products 291, 302
Regulations 2011/203 (Scottish SI) 352	Art. 2

TABLE OF STATUTES

Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation	Art. 9 329
of natural habitats and of wild fauna	Art. 9(1)
and flora (Habitats Directive)	Art. 9(3) 329
Art. 6	Art. 10
Directive 94/22/EC of the European	Art. 10(1) 328
Parliament and of the Council on the	Art. 11 329
conditions for granting and using	Art. 11(1) 328
authorizations for the prospection,	Art. 12 329
exploration and production of	Art. 13 330
hydrocarbons	Art. 13(1) 328
Directive 2000/60/EC of the European	Art. 13(2) 328
Parliament and of the Council of	Art. 13(3) 328
23 October 2000 establishing a	Art. 13(4)
framework for Community action	Art. 13(5)
in the field of water policy (Water	Art. 13(6)
Framework Directive)	Art. 13(10) 328
Art. 2(1)(a)	Art. 14
Art. 3(1)(b)	Arts. 15–16
Directive 2001/42/EC of the European	Art. 20
Parliament and of the Council on the	Art. 21
assessment of the effects of certain	Directive 2008/98/EC of the European
plans and programmes on the	Parliament and of the Council of
environment (strategic environmental	19 November 2008 on waste
assessment)	(Waste Framework Directive)
Annex I	(WFD) (2008/98/EC) 260, 261
Art. 3(2)(a)	Directive 2009/28/EC of the European
Art. 3(2)(b)	Parliament and of the Council of
Art. 5(1)	23 April 2009 on the promotion
Art. 7	of the use of energy from renewable
Directive 2004/35/EC of the European	sources (Renewables Directive)
Parliament and of the Council of	Directive 2013/30/EU of the European
21 April 2004 on environmental liability	Parliament and of the Council of
with regard to the prevention and	12 June 2013 on safety of offshore
remedying of environmental damage	oil and gas operations and amending
(Environmental Liability	Directive 2004/35/EC
Directive)	Art. 1(1)
Art. 2(6)	Art. 1(2)
Art. 7, 261	Art. 2(1)
Directive 2008/56/EC of 17 June 2008	Art. 3
establishing a framework for community	Art. 3(1)
action in the field of marine environmental	Art. 3(2)
policy (Marine Strategy Framework	Art. 3(3)
Directive)	Art. 4
Art. 1	Art. 4(2)
Art. 1(1)	Art. 4(3)
Art. 1(2)	Art. 5
Art. 1(3)	343, 345, 346, 347
Art. 1(4)	
· ·	Art. 5(1)
Art. 3(2)	
	Art. 5(3)
Art. 3(5)	
Art. 3(8)	Art. 6
Art. 4	Art. 7
Art. 5(1)	Art. 7(1)
Art. 7	Art. 8
Art. 8 328	Art. 9 340

TABLE OF STATUTES

Art. 10(1)	Treaty on European Union (Maastricht)
Art. 11 340, 347	1992
Art. 12 339, 340, 343–4, 345, 348	Title II
Art. 12(1)	para 38 307
Art. 13	Treaty on the Functioning of the EU
Art. 14	(TFEU), 2012
Art. 15	Art. 15
Art. 16	Art. 43 341
Art. 16(1)(b)	Art. 43(2)
Art. 18	Art. 100(2)
Art. 19	Art. 191(1)
Art. 39	Art. 191(2)
Recommendation of the European	Art. 192(1)
Parliament and of the Council of	Art. 192(2)
30 May 2002, concerning the	Art. 192(2)(b)
implementation of Integrated	Art. 194(2) 335, 341
Coastal Zone Management in	
Europe (2002)	E-mi-m -d-d-d
Regulation 1255/2011 on Integrated	Foreign statutes
Maritime Policy	Australia
Regulation 2371/2002 of 20 December	Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse
2002 on the conservation and	Gas Storage Act 2006 (Cth)
sustainable exploitation of fisheries	Ş , ,
resources under the Common	Denmark
Fisheries Policy (Common	Merchant Shipping Law
Fisheries Policy)	s. 61
Rome I (Regulation (EC) No 593/2008	s. 392
of the European Parliament and of the	ss. 372–394
Council of 17 June 2008 on the law	00. 312 371
applicable to contractual obligations)	Germany
Art. 8	Insurance Contract Law
Art. 25	s. 115(1).2
Rome II (Regulation (EC) 864/2007 of	3. 113(1).2
the European Parliament and of the	Norway
Council of 11 July 2007 on the law	Act (No. 59/1984 relating to Creditors'
applicable to non-contractual	Rights to Satisfaction of Claims)
obligations)	s. 2-2
Art. 3	Domstolloven, 13 August 1915, No. 5
Art. 4	s. 38
Art. 4(1)	Insurance Contracts Act (No. 69/1989) 274
Art. 4(1)	Maritime Code (No. 3/1994)
Art. 4(3)	s. 177
Art. 4(3)(1)	s. 191
Art. 5	s. 193
Art. 5(1)	s. 193(c)
Art. 5(1)(b)	s. 194
Art. 5(1)(c)	s. 197
Art. 5(2)	s. 204
Art. 14	s. 209
Art. 15	s. 251
Art. 18	Merchant Shipping Law (Soloven)
Art. 28	s. 392
Treaty Establishing the European	Petroleum Act (No. 72/1996) 274, 284
Community (Nice Treaty, 2002)	s. 1-6(c)
Art. 130	s. 1-6(d)
Arts. 174–175 326	s. 1-6(d) 283

TABLE OF STATUTES

s. 3-3	s. 57 275
s. 3-3	s. 57(a)
s. 6	s. 57(b)–(e)
s. 6-2	s. 58
s. 6-4	Torts Act (No. 26/1969 relating to
s. 7-1 275, 280, 283, 287	Compensation in Certain
s. 7-2 286, 287	Circumstances)
s. 7-3 275, 276	s. 4-2
s. 7-4 282, 285, 286, 287	s. 5-2
s. 7-5	s. 5-3
s. 7-6 275, 287	
s. 7-7	United States
s. 7-8	Clean Water Act 1972 254, 255
s. 10-7	Oil Pollution Act 1990 254, 270, 272
s. 10-8	Resources and Ecosystems Sustainability,
Pollution Act (No. 6/1981)	Tourist Opportunities and Revived
s. 4	Economies of the Gulf Coast States
s. 54	Act 2012

This page intentionally left bank

TABLE OF CONVENTIONS AND RULES

Aarhus Convention on Access to Information,	Convention on Civil Liability for Oil
Public Participation in Decision-making	Pollution Damage resulting from
and Access to Justice in Environmental	Exploration for and Exploitation
Matters (UNECE), 1998 263	of Seabed Mineral Resources
Arrest Convention (International Convention	(CLEE), 1977
Relating to the Arrest of Sea-Going	
Ships) 1952 297, 298	Dublin Declaration on North Sea Pollution,
	2010259
Barcelona Convention concerning the	
Protection of the Mediterranean Sea	European Convention on Human
against Pollution (1976) 258, 318	Rights (ECHR)
Bonn Agreement of 1983 between countries	Art. 6
bordering on the North Sea, and	
Action Plan 2010 259	Fisheries Convention (Convention on
Brussels Convention on Limitation of	Fishing and Conservation of the
Liability of Owners of Sea-Going	Living Resources of the High Seas)
Ships (1957)	1958
Bunkers Convention (International	Art. 1(2)
Convention on Civil Liability for	Art. 2
Bunker Oil Pollution Damage	Fund Convention (International Convention
(2001)	on the Establishment of an International
	Fund for Compensation for Oil
CBD (Convention on Biological	Pollution Damage) 1992 283
Diversity) 312–15, 318	
Art. 3	Hague/Hague-Visby Rules
Art. 5	(International Convention for the
Art. 6	Unification of Certain Rules of
Art. 23	Law relating to Bills of Lading),
Civil Liability Convention (International	1924/1968
Convention on Civil Liability for Oil	Art. III(1)
Pollution Damage) (CLC), 1992 283	Art. III(8)
Art. 3(4)(f)	Helsinki Convention (HELCOM) for
Collision Convention (Convention for the	protection of the marine environment
Unification of Certain Rules of Law	of the Baltic Sea, 1992 258, 316
with respect to Collisions between	
Vessels) 1910	International Convention on Civil
Art. 2	Liability for Oil Pollution Damage
Art. 2.1(a)	(1969)
Art. 3(e)	
Art. 4	Liability Convention (Convention on
Art. 7 303	Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage

TABLE OF CONVENTIONS AND RULES

Resulting from Exploration for and	Art. 10(2)(c)
Exploitation of Seabed Mineral	Art. 32
Resources, 1977 275	
London Convention on Limitation of	Protocol on Integrated Coastal Zone
Liability for Maritime Claims 1924	Management in the Mediterranean
Art. 1 65	(2011)
Art. 10 65	•
London Convention on Limitation of	Supplementary Fund Protocol (1992) to
Liability for Maritime Claims 1957	International Convention on the
Art. 6(2)	Establishment of an International
London Convention on Limitation of	Fund for Compensation for Oil
Liability for Maritime Claims 1976 303	Pollution Damage
Art. 1 65	
Art. 1(2)	United Nations Convention on
Art. 1(4)	the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)
Art. 1(5)	1982 310–11, 318, 336
Art. 2 51	Arts. 3–5
Art. 4	Art. 19(2)
Protocol of 1996 22, 65, 268	Art. 21(1)
Sch. 7, Part I	Art. 22(1)
London Convention on the Prevention	Art. 25(1)
of Marine Pollution by Dumping of	Art. 56 300, 311
Wastes and Other Matter 1972	Art. 58(2) 300
Art. 15(5)	Art. 60
Lugano Convention (Convention on	Art. 60(2)
jurisdiction and the recognition and	Art. 61(2)
enforcement of judgments in civil	Arts. 61–73
and commercial matters), 2007 288	Art. 62(1)
Art. 5(3)	Art. 62(5)
	Art. 65
Nordic Environmental Convention 1974	Art. 66(4)
Art. 3 287	Art. 67(1)(3)
Art. 13	Arts. 116–120
	Arts. 118–120 311
OPRC (International Convention on	Art. 192
Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response	Arts. 192–237 305
and Co-operation) 1990 258	Art. 211 311
OSPAR (Convention for the Protection	Art. 266
of the Marine Environment of the	Art. 277
North-East Atlantic), 1992	
315–16, 318	Warsaw Convention 1929
Art. 2(1)	Art. 25 185–6
Art. 2(3)	
Art. 10(2)(a)	York-Antwerp Rules 1994

PART 1

OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

This page intentionally left bank

CHAPTER 1

BIMCO'S OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

Grant Hunter*

I.	Introduction	V.	Offshore contracts:
II.	Main characteristics of BIMCO standard contracts 4		content, contractual structure and methodology 8
III.	Specialisation and offshore	VI.	Winds of change 9
	expansion	VII.	A new SUPPLYTIME 10
IV.	New strategy, new documents 6		

I. INTRODUCTION

The BIMCO¹ is renowned worldwide for its work on developing standard forms of contract for the shipping industry. Sitting alongside the multitude of conventional cargo charterparties is a suite of offshore standard contracts, most notably SUPPLYTIME, which is one of the organisation's most widely used contracts. The offshore sector has become a major focus for BIMCO's documentary work. The wide range of specialised offshore-related contracts represent a significant and important part of BIMCO's portfolio of standard forms. Sales of SUPPLYTIME outstrip even that of conventional dry cargo voyage charterparties such as the GENCON form.

The involvement of BIMCO in the offshore sector dates back to the nascent beginnings of North Sea oil and gas exploration. But BIMCO's involvement in the development of standard forms of charterparty goes back much further. The historical aspect of BIMCO's work has played a major role in building the organisation's reputation and establishing trust from non-traditional sectors of the shipping industry in BIMCO's documentary products.

In 2013, BIMCO's Documentary Committee, which is responsible for reviewing and approving all new and revised BIMCO clauses and contracts, celebrated its

^{*} Chief Officer for Legal and Contractual Affairs, BIMCO.

¹ BIMCO is an international shipping association providing a wide range of services to its global membership of stakeholders who have vested interests in the shipping industry, including shipowners, operators, managers, brokers and agents. The association's main objective is to facilitate the commercial operations of its membership by means of developing standard contracts and clauses, and providing quality information, advice and education.

OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

centenary in Paris where the first meeting took place. The inaugural meeting in the spring of 1913 brought together the so-called 'Copenhagen Committee' (a small group of BIMCO members who drafted the organisation's first ever charterparty, BALTCON, in 1908), members of the UK Chamber of Shipping's own Documentary Committee, and lastly, but most significantly, representatives of the major P&I clubs.

In 1913 BIMCO's documentary aspirations were to create the first truly international standard forms of charterparty. The objective was to draw up contracts that were fair, balanced and acceptable to each party and couched in terms that could be readily understood by laymen. Changing market fortunes with roller-coaster freight rates were as much a feature of shipping in the early years of the twentieth century as they are in present times. Recognising that a charterparty written to address only the current status of the market would have a short shelf-life, BIMCO resolved to prepare contracts that were 'market neutral' – suitable for use by shipowners in both good and bad times.

The involvement of the major P&I clubs from the very outset was critical to the success of the venture. By inviting the major P&I clubs to participate in its documentary work, BIMCO aimed to create charterparties that shipowners could use without the need for modification, safe in the knowledge that their P&I cover would not be prejudiced by their use. While the shipping industry has seen some dramatic developments during the last 100 years, these basic principles continue to form the bedrock of BIMCO's documentary activities today.

The shipowners and P&I club representatives that gathered in Paris in 1913 to discuss uniform terms for charterparties would be much heartened to learn that their ambition to create harmonised internationally acceptable contracts and clauses has continued to resonate through the decades to the present time. To the highly risk-aware offshore oil and gas sector, the 'risk-management' philosophy instilled into BIMCO's documentary work from earliest times is an important factor in the appeal of using BIMCO contracts.

II. MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF BIMCO STANDARD CONTRACTS

A. Uniformity

One of the key features of BIMCO's diverse range of standard forms of contract is uniform terms. Back in 1913, voyage charterparties for the coal, grain and timber trades were the predominant contract in use in the industry and were commonly issued by individual merchants incorporating terms generally favourable to themselves. The concept of developing 'uniform terms of charter' to make it less easy for the advantaged to impose unreasonable terms upon the disadvantaged in a freight rate negotiation was proposed by Adolf Carl, the first President of BIMCO, in 1905. In 1908, the Baltic and White Sea Conference (as BIMCO was then known) adopted its very first charterparty, BALTCON.

B. Ethical dimension

BALTCON succeeded because it introduced an 'ethical dimension' to charterparties of 'fairness' and 'balance' – characteristics sadly lacking in the charterparties prevalent at the time. Although there was initial scepticism about BIMCO's objectives, it was soon realised that balanced documents conferred a long-term benefit on an industry which, even in those days, was exposed to the same turbulent freight market conditions that we have today. Uniformity of charterparty terms also brought with it the prospect of reducing the risk of potential disputes by the consistent use of clear and comprehensible language. BIMCO takes pride in the fact that its standard forms of contract are rarely the subject of court cases or arbitration solely on the basis of the interpretation of their terms alone.

III. SPECIALISATION AND OFFSHORE EXPANSION

In the years that followed the Second World War new specialised shipping activities emerged. Containerisation, reefers, liquefied gas and chemical carriers, and cement carriers all required the use of specialised charterparties.

In the mid-1970s, BIMCO expanded its range of charterparties to include an offshore support vessel time charterparty – SUPPLYTIME – its first foray into the offshore world. Although BIMCO has subsequently developed a comprehensive portfolio of offshore industry-related standard forms, the 'mother' of all these contracts is undoubtedly the SUPPLYTIME form, first published in 1975 and subsequently amended in 1989 and 2005.

SUPPLYTIME was born out of the rapid expansion of oil and gas exploration and production into the deeper waters of the North Sea and other areas of the world in the 1970s, and the need for a uniform set of contractual terms to govern the provision of services by the specialist vessels needed to support these activities. Prior to the development of SUPPLYTIME, many support vessels were chartered using in-house contracts or adapted standard time charter forms, neither of which were ideally suited to address the peculiarities and hazards of this special trade. The publication of SUPPLYTIME created a relationship between BIMCO and the offshore industry that has continued for nearly forty years. The offshore sector has become the most supportive user of BIMCO standard forms of contract and regularly approaches the organisation with requests for revisions of well-used forms to reflect changes in commercial practice or legal developments as well as with requests for new types of contract.

SUPPLYTIME has for many years been among the top-ten BIMCO forms sold to the industry. It has stood shoulder-to-shoulder next to other BIMCO contract stalwarts such as GENCON, BARECON and SHIPMAN. In 2013, SUPPLYTIME 2005 became BIMCO's number-one best-selling document – reflecting not only the continuing growth in this sector, but also the importance that the offshore industry places on the availability and use of BIMCO standard contracts. However, the original SUPPLYTIME from 1975 was highly favourable towards owners and encountered resistance in the industry. Its development was further evidence of a general malaise that had worked its way into BIMCO's approach to standard charterparties at that time.

OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

By the 1970s many in the shipping industry felt that BIMCO had in some way lost sight of its original objective to produce 'balanced' charterparties and felt that many of its products had become too owner-friendly and favourable towards certain shipping nations.

IV. NEW STRATEGY, NEW DOCUMENTS

In the mid-1980s, under the presidency of Dr Helmut Sohmen and Documentary Chairmanship of Nils-Gustaf Palmgren, BIMCO developed a new documentary strategy to reaffirm its position as the leading producer of standard forms of contract for the shipping industry.

It was decided that the organisation should focus on developing one document for each niche of shipping and to establish a thorough and consistent process to govern the review and adoption of new and revised documents by the Documentary Committee. Most importantly, the strategy re-introduced the need for balance in BIMCO forms – to ensure that the interests of both parties were fairly represented. In addition, the new strategy recognised the need to broaden the scope of BIMCO charterparties to encompass other jurisdictions such as the United States. It was at this point that BIMCO also introduced what has become its trademark box-layout that has featured in the majority of its contracts ever since.

A. TOWCON and TOWHIRE

With the new strategy to develop a contract for each shipping niche, BIMCO embarked on a campaign to increase its range of specialised documents for the growing offshore oil and gas sector. International ocean towage was one key area lacking uniform charter terms. The International Salvage Union and the European Tug Association approached BIMCO in the 1980s with a request for assistance in drawing up two standard ocean towing agreements: TOWCON and TOWHIRE. These ground-breaking contracts introduced a mutual allocation of risk for international towage whereby each party bore the risks to their own vessel and obtained insurance to cover those specific risks. This so-called 'knock-for-knock' regime helped to avoid duplicate insurance and reduced claims. In line with the documentary strategy the towage agreements also brought a greater degree of balance between the parties than previously used contracts, which heavily favoured tug owners.

Published in 1985, TOWCON and TOWHIRE quickly gained widespread acceptance in the ocean towage sector and remain the predominant form used for this activity today. The forms were revised in 2008 to reflect changes in commercial practice, law and regulations and to bring greater clarity to some of the provisions which had, over the course of time, been the subject of disputes.

B. HEAVYCON

With the need to develop offshore infrastructure in ever deeper waters came a new type of ship designed to carry very large, heavy and voluminous 'cargo': the superheavylift float-on/float-off ship used to transport very large offshore structures from

BIMCO'S OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

fabrication yards to offshore sites. BIMCO responded to industry demand for a standard charterparty for this type of ship with the publication in 1987 of HEAVY-CON, which was most recently revised in 2007.

C. HEAVYLIFTVOY

Whereas HEAVYCON is designed for single very large items carried on deck, the heavylift sector also operates 'mid-sized' heavylift vessels used for transporting project cargoes. These mid-sized 'lift-on/lift-off' carriers often transport equipment above and below deck and carry cargo for more than one client. Until HEAVYLIFTVOY was developed, contracts for these types of cargoes were frequently concluded using liner booking notes, which were a less than ideal vehicle for the purpose. Following the revision of HEAVYCON in 2007, it was proposed to draft a new charterparty specifically for this sector. The result was the 2009 HEAVYLIFTVOY, which provides heavylift operators with a choice of contract depending on the type of heavylift operation in which they are engaged.

D. BARGEHIRE

While specialised super heavylift vessels play an essential role in transporting the very largest and most voluminous cargoes for the offshore industry, specialised semi-submersible barges towed by tugs are the more common method of transportation for the majority of offshore-related equipment and infrastructure. The benefit of using a barge is that it can be delivered to the place where the cargo is to be loaded and then left until cargo securing operations have been completed and tug assistance is once again required. Barges are commonly hired on a bareboat charter basis, and in 1994 BIMCO developed BARGEHIRE 94 based on the widely used BARECON 89 bareboat charterparty form. BARGEHIRE was updated in 2008 to reflect changes in commercial practice and new regulations, such as those relating to ballast water management. Of significant note is the insurance arrangements for the barge, which are commonly the responsibility of the barge owners under BARGEHIRE. This has created an interesting variant of a pure bareboat charterparty more akin to short term or seasonal bareboat charters sometimes used in the ferry or cruise industry.

E. PROJECTCON

The use of semi-submersible barges for the transportation of large cargoes for the offshore sector requires the use of ocean-going tugs. However, the overall operation of tug and barge with cargo often relies on the use of several contracts, such as TOWCON for the tug and BARGEHIRE or HEAVYCON for the barge. The differing contractual structures between these contracts has on occasion given rise to a conflict of provisions. To address this problem and provide the industry with a purpose-made 'special projects' charterparty for tug and barge combinations, BIMCO developed PROJECTCON in 2005, which incorporated the same 'knock-for-knock' liability regime found in SUPPLYTIME and TOWCON/TOWHIRE.

F. WINDTIME

The most recent addition to the suite of BIMCO offshore contracts is the WINDTIME time charterparty for offshore wind farm personnel transfer vessels. As countries, particularly around Western Europe, seek alternative methods of generating power, the offshore wind farm industry has grown rapidly in response in the past few years. The world's largest offshore wind farm is located in UK waters and is capable of generating enough electricity to power half a million homes through its 175 turbines.

BIMCO has worked together with wind farm companies, such as Dong and Siemens, and crew transfer vessel operators, such as Turbines Transfers Ltd, over a period of two-and-a-half years to develop the first standard contract for this new sector of the offshore industry. WINDTIME, which is modelled on the SUPPLYTIME 2005 contract, was published in July 2013. A first fixture was concluded in August the same year, and numerous fixtures have followed during 2013.

V. OFFSHORE CONTRACTS: CONTENT, CONTRACTUAL STRUCTURE AND METHODOLOGY

Throughout its history of developing standard forms of contract BIMCO has relied on its own Documentary Committee and wider membership to provide the necessary expertise. Wherever possible, representatives of both sides to a contract are invited to participate in the drafting process. BIMCO is a practical organisation and the focus is very much on the commercial aspects of the contract to ensure that the commercial needs of the relevant industry are properly taken into account when drafting. Essential legal and insurance input is provided mainly through the members of the Documentary Committee, many of whom are lawyers or P&I practitioners. In some instances, major law firms will offer their assistance with particular projects and this help is always gratefully accepted.

The role of the BIMCO Secretariat is to ensure that standard forms of contract produced by various sub-committees all have the same 'look and feel' and structure as other recently produced BIMCO contracts. The sub-committees rely on the Secretariat to inform them of the latest editions of core clauses such as War Risks and Dispute Resolution that are common to almost all BIMCO forms.

The common factor between the sub-committees of six to ten people who draft the contracts and the sixty-strong Documentary Committee that reviews and ultimately approves each new or revised standard form is that they all give their time freely to the various projects. BIMCO does not 'employ' people to draft its documents – they are written for the industry by those in the industry with appropriate knowledge and expertise who share the firm belief in the benefits to a particular sector of the industry that a standard form of contract brings.

The development of a new contract or the revision of an existing one is not a quick process, but it is thorough. BIMCO standard forms can often take two years or more to reach the point where they are approved for publication by the Documentary

BIMCO'S OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

Committee.² During that time the Committee will have reviewed the progress of the draft document every six months and provided feedback and comment. Subcommittees often meet as regularly as once a month for a full day's drafting work.

When revising a contract, the sub-committee will have to take into account a most important factor: how much of the contract should be revised? Changes in commercial practice and legal and regulatory developments are obvious reasons for amendments, but sub-committees also have to consider whether poorly worded provisions that have not given rise to any known disputes should be improved or left alone. The temptation is, of course, to try to produce the most clearly worded document possible. However, for users of a current edition of a widely used standard form, 'improved' wordings in a revised edition can sometimes be a double-edged sword. A change of wording may suggest a problem with the old wording – even though the old provision may not have given rise to any issues in practice.

Changes often bring uncertainty. New versions of standard forms are not adopted by the industry overnight: they take time, often several years, to 'bed in'. Too many changes to a very successful standard document can make it difficult for users to get the contract accepted by their counterparts. If the industry does not embrace a new edition within the first few years, chances are the document may fail and the industry will continue to use the earlier edition.

This was the dilemma faced by the sub-committee tasked with the revision of the highly successful but perhaps not so elegantly worded SUPPLYTIME 89. Time and again the sub-committee deliberated over provisions that were poorly phrased but which were not known to have given rise to any problems in commercial practice. The sub-committee resolved, in most cases, not to try to fix what wasn't broken. Some may say this was a missed opportunity to clarify some 'grey areas', but the fact is SUPPLYTIME 2005 has become BIMCO's number-one best-selling document. No-one can know for sure whether a significant re-write of SUPPLYTIME 89 would have been equally well received by the industry, but back in 2003 the sub-committee was of the unanimous view that a complete re-write, even if it brought greater clarity, would not be welcomed by the offshore sector who were so familiar with and relied upon the SUPPLYTIME 89 wording.

VI. WINDS OF CHANGE

During the drafting of WINDTIME the sub-committee conducted a fairly critical analysis of the SUPPLYTIME 2005 provisions on which the wind farm contract is based. The wind farm sector is very differently constructed to the offshore oil and gas industry and feels less hide-bound by the risk-reward ratio arguments around which some of the provisions of SUPPLYTIME are based. As a result WINDTIME is arguably more 'balanced' than SUPPLYTIME. More importantly, its refined provisions attempt to resolve many of the concerns levelled towards some of the less well-worded provisions of SUPPLYTIME.

² For the current membership of the Documentary Committee, see www.bimco.org/About/Board_Committees/Documentary_Committee.aspx, accessed 31 January 2014.

OFFSHORE CONTRACTS

Many of the owners who operate in the wind farm industry as well as in the offshore oil and gas sector will no doubt read with interest what the WINDTIME subcommittee has done to produce the new variant of SUPPLYTIME. It is quite likely that we may see users of SUPPLYTIME beginning to 'borrow' provisions from WINDTIME. This is not in the best interests of 'standardisation' of contract forms as it is likely to result in an uneven approach and the development of various in-house 'hybrid' versions of SUPPLYTIME.

VII. A NEW SUPPLYTIME

In November 2013 BIMCO Documentary Committee met in Copenhagen and agreed that the revision of SUPPLYTIME 2005 should be given priority on the organisation's work programme for 2014.³ Useful lessons were learned from the development of WINDTIME and these will no doubt be applied by the members of the sub-committee that will be appointed to undertake the revision of SUPPLYTIME. This is a project that will be very closely followed by the offshore industry. Stakeholders will be invited to have their say and to contribute ideas and suggestions. Case law will provide important reading material for the drafters who will be selected for the task based on commercial, operational, legal and P&I expertise.

Although the revision of SUPPLYTIME is unlikely to be a swift process it will be a thorough one. BIMCO's Documentary Committee will want to review the draft at each stage of the development process until it is entirely satisfied that it meets the needs of the industry and conforms to BIMCO documentary policy. Before it is put forward for adoption, the new draft SUPPLYTIME will be the subject of an industry-wide consultation – making sure that users have the opportunity to review and comment on the draft before it reaches the publication stage. The offshore industry has always taken a very proactive stance towards BIMCO documents, always keen to suggest new types of contract and to press for the revision of existing ones when they are felt to have become outdated. More than ever, BIMCO will work together with the offshore sector on the revision of SUPPLYTIME to give it the clarity and consistency of wording that will provide users with a greater degree of certainty when using the form.

³ The other significant ongoing projects relate to the revision of NYPE 93 and Standard Bunker Contract 2001.

CHAPTER 2

KEY ASPECTS OF THE NEW WINDTIME FORM

Lars Rosenberg Overby*

I.	Background11	III. Key aspects 1	13
II.	Industry specifics		

I. BACKGROUND¹

Work on the standard BIMCO charterparty for offshore wind farm personnel transfer and support vessels began in 2010. Representatives of the English National Workboat Association, which among other things services the wind farm industry with crew transfer vessels ('CTVs') felt the need for a standard contract that could reduce time-consuming negotiations and allow contracts to be concluded smoothly. BIMCO was approached with the suggestion that the organisation should take on producing a standard contract for the industry. BIMCO agreed and formed a sub-committee consisting of representatives from shipowners, charterers, brokers and P&I clubs, as well as lawyers with industry experience.

The aim of the sub-committee was to draft a contract that was in sync with the practical reality of the business and which could simplify contracting. It was decided to base the contract on SUPPLYTIME 2005, which has been the basis for many offshore wind farm charterparties, involving both installation and crew transfer vessels. This standard form is, however, not a balanced document and could in some respects benefit from amendment.²

SUPPLYTIME 2005³ has been used in the industry for a number of years. Possibly, this is because some of the pioneers in the market came with an offshore oil and gas background and because this standard form appears to be the most appropriate among the forms available (or maybe the least inappropriate). Soon, however, significant changes to suit the purpose of the individual contract were required by charterers. Often these changes were aimed at achieving contract terms

^{*} Partner, Kromann Reumert, Copenhagen.

¹ The history of the charterparty is described in detail in the explanatory notes published by BIMCO. 2 S. Rainey, *The Law of Tug and Tow and Offshore Contracts*, 3rd edn (Informa, 2011), contains helpful comments on the SUPPLYTIME 2005 form and identifies issues for consideration. This book is referred

to below simply as 'Rainey'.

3 Frequently referred to in the industry as the 'BIMCO form'.