

# UNDERSTANDING URBAN METABOLISM

A tool for urban planning

Edited by Nektarios Chrysoulakis,  
Eduardo Anselmo de Castro and Eddy J. Moors



# UNDERSTANDING URBAN METABOLISM

*Understanding Urban Metabolism* closes the gap between the bio-physical sciences and urban planning and illustrates the advantages of accounting for urban metabolism issues in urban design decisions. *Urban Metabolism* considers a city as a system, and distinguishes between energy and material flows as its components. Based on research from the EU 7th Framework Programme (FP7) Project BRIDGE (sustainaBle uRban plannIng Decision support accountinG for urban mEtabolism), this book deals with the exchanges and transformation of energy, water, carbon and pollutants and introduces a new method for evaluating how planning alternatives can modify the physical flows of urban metabolism components and how environmental and socioeconomic components interact.

The inclusion of sustainability principles into urban planning provides an opportunity to place the new knowledge provided by bio-physical sciences at the centre of the planning process, but there is a strong need for closing the gap between knowledge and practice, as well as a better dissemination of research results and exchange of best practice. This book meets that need and provides the reader with the tools they need to integrate an understanding of urban metabolism into urban planning practice.

**Nektarios Chrysoulakis** is a Research Director at the Foundation for Research and Technology – Hellas (FOURTH) in Herakleion, Greece. He holds a BSc in Physics, an MSc in Environmental Physics and a PhD in Remote Sensing from the University of Athens. He has been involved in R&D projects funded by organizations such as the European Union, the European Space Agency and the Ministries of Environment, Development, Culture and Education. He has considerable experience in the area of Earth Observation and GIS. His main research interests include urban research, urban energy balance, natural and technological risk analysis, thermal infrared imagery and surface temperature studies, environmental monitoring and change detection. He is the coordinator of the FP7 projects BRIDGE and GEOURBAN. He has more than 100 publications in peer-review journals and conference proceedings.

**Eduardo Anselmo de Castro** has a degree in Civil Engineering, an MSc in Local Geography, Local and Regional Planning and a PhD in Regional Economics. He is Associate Professor in the University of Aveiro, where he lectures courses on Regional Economics and Planning, and Social and Economic Analysis. Since 1992 he has been a member and regular coordinator of research teams participating in several European and National projects in Innovation and Development Policy, Regional Economics and Regional Policy, Strategic Spatial Planning, Socio-economic Evaluation of Telecommunication Services

and Sustainable Development. He is author and co-author of more than 100 papers presented in national and international conferences or published in scientific journals and books.

**Eddy J. Moors** is Head of the research group Climate Change and Adaptive Land and Water Management of Alterra–Wageningen University and Research Centre, The Netherlands. The group focuses on developing innovative solutions to improve the quality of life within sustainable boundaries. Before coming to Alterra, Moors worked for Wageningen University, the Ministry of Defence of the Netherlands and in Africa and the Caribbean for the World Meteorological Organization. His background is in hydro-meteorology. He has extensive experience in integrating different disciplines to tackle research questions that ask for an inter- and trans-disciplinary approach. He is and has been coordinator of numerous national and international projects ranging from Europe, Africa, India, East-Siberia to the USA and Brazil. He is author and co-author of more than 70 peer reviewed papers and numerous professional publications.

# UNDERSTANDING URBAN METABOLISM

A tool for urban planning

*Edited by Nektarios Chrysoulakis,  
Eduardo Anselmo de Castro and Eddy J. Moors*

First published 2015  
by Routledge  
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge  
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

© 2015 selection and editorial material, Nektarios Chrysoulakis, Eduardo Anselmo de Castro and Eddy J. Moors; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of the editor to be identified as the author of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*Trademark notice:* Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

*British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data*  
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*  
Understanding urban metabolism : a tool for urban planning / edited by Nektarios Chrysoulakis, Eduardo Anselmo de Castro and Eddy J. Moors.  
pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Sustainable urban development. 2. City planning—Environmental aspects. 3. Human ecology. I. Chrysoulakis, Nektarios. II. Castro, Eduardo Anselmo de. III. Moors, Eddy J.  
HT241.U58 2015

307.1'216—dc23            2014006866

ISBN: 978-0-415-83511-4 (hbk)  
ISBN: 978-1-315-76584-6 (ebk)

Typeset in Bembo  
by Swales & Willis Ltd, Exeter, Devon, UK

# CONTENTS

<i>Figures, tables and plates</i>	viii
<i>Contributors</i>	xii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvi

## PART I

### **Introduction** 1

- 1 Urban metabolism 3  
*Alexandros Karvounis*
- 2 Decision support tools for urban planning 13  
*Nick Hodges, Ainhua González and Zina Mitraka*
- 3 The BRIDGE approach 18  
*Nektarios Chrysoulakis*

## PART II

### **Measurements and modelling of physical flows** 27

- 4 Physical fluxes in the urban environment 29  
*Björn Lietzke, Roland Vogt, Duick T. Young and C.S.B. Grimmond*
- 5 Environmental measurements in BRIDGE case studies 45  
*Vicenzo Magliulo, Pierro Toscano, C.S.B. Grimmond, Simone Kotthaus, Leena Järvi, Heikki Setälä, Fredrik Lindberg, Roland Vogt, Tomasz Staszewski, Anicenta Bubak, Afroditi Synnefa and Mattheos Santamouris*

6	Use of Earth Observation to support urban modelling parameterization in BRIDGE <i>Constantinos Cartalis, Marina Stathopoulou, Zina Mitraka and Nektarios Chrysoulakis</i>	58
7	Meso-scale meteorological models in the urban context <i>Roberto San José and Juan Luis Pérez</i>	69
8	Urban air quality models <i>Carlos Borrego, Myriam Lopes, Pedro Cascão, Jorge Humberto Amorim, Helena Martins, Richard Tavares, Ana Isabel Miranda, Matthew James Tallis and Peter H. Freer-Smith</i>	79
9	Urban energy budget models <i>C.S.B. Grimmond, Leena Järvi, Fredrik Lindberg, Serena Marras, Matthias Falk, Thomas Loridan, Gregoire Pigeon, David R. Pyles and Donatella Spano</i>	91
10	Urban water balance and hydrology models to support sustainable urban planning <i>Eddy J. Moors, C.S.B. Grimmond, Ab Veldhuizen, Leena Järvi and Frank van der Bolt</i>	106
11	Urban carbon budget modelling <i>Donatella Spano, Serena Marras and Veronica Bellucco</i>	117
<b>PART III</b>		
<b>The socioeconomic components</b>		<b>129</b>
12	The use of communities of practice to involve stakeholders in the decision support system design <i>Judith E. M. Klostermann, Annemarie Groot and Ainhoa González</i>	131
13	Collection of socio-economic data and indicators for urban integrated modelling <i>Margaretha Breil and Ainhoa González</i>	141
14	Combining environmental and socio-economic data <i>Eduardo Castro and Marta Marques</i>	153
<b>PART IV</b>		
<b>The BRIDGE DSS</b>		<b>161</b>
15	The BRIDGE impact assessment framework <i>Ainhoa González, Mike Jones and Alison Donnelly</i>	163

16	The BRIDGE Decision Support System <i>Zina Mitraka, Manolis Diamantakis and Nektarios Chrysoulakis</i>	175
17	Decision making under uncertainty: use of foresight for assessing planning alternatives <i>Marta Marques, Eduardo Castro and Annemarie Groot</i>	185
18	Guidelines for urban sustainable development <i>Myriam Lopes, Carlos Borrego, Helena Martins and Jorge Humberto Amorim</i>	197
<b>PART V</b>		
	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>207</b>
19	Conclusions <i>Nektarios Chrysoulakis, Eduardo Castro and Eddy J. Moors</i>	209
	<i>Index</i>	213



# FIGURES, TABLES AND PLATES

## Figures

2.1	The HEAVEN Decision Support System	14
2.2	The Smart City – Transportation aspects	15
3.1	Flowchart of the BRIDGE methodology	20
4.1	Scales and layers (planetary boundary layer: PBL; urban boundary layer: UBL; urban canopy layer: UCL) in the urban atmosphere	30
4.2	Schematic depiction of the (a) Urban Energy Balance; (b) Urban Water Balance; and (c) Urban Carbon Balance from a micrometeorological perspective	31
5.1	Monthly mean values of net carbon dioxide exchange ( $F_c$ ) in BRIDGE case studies, as a function of incoming global radiation ( $R_g$ )	51
5.2	Monthly mean values of sensible heat flux in BRIDGE case studies, as a function of incident global radiation	53
5.3	Monthly mean values of latent heat flux in BRIDGE case studies, as a function of incoming solar radiation	53
6.1	Surface temperature in Athens (daytime analysis) based on Landsat observations and auxiliary spatial data	63
7.1	Emissivity map for Firenze	72
7.2	Albedo hourly values for different AOT conditions for Firenze	73
7.3	Full year (2008) time series comparison (left) and lineal regression (right) between WRF/UCM and Kumpula station (Helsinki) measurements: sensible heat flux in $Wm^{-2}$ (top) and wind speed in $ms^{-1}$ (bottom)	75
7.4	Time series comparison (left) and lineal regression (right) between WRF/UCM and King's College station (London) measurements: wind speed for the period 1 October to 31 December 2008, at $5.4 km \times 5.4 km$ (top) and $0.2 km \times 0.2 km$ (bottom) resolution domains	76
8.1	(a) PM10 daily mean, (b) $O_3$ daily 8-hour maximum and (c) $NO_2$ daily maximum scatter plot for Helsinki – Kalio.	84
8.2	URBAIR model structure	85
8.3	Comparison of measured versus simulated PM10 concentration in Athens in the year 2008	87

9.1	Median observed and simulated $Q^*$ , $Q_H$ and $Q_E$ in Helsinki using ACASA, SUEWS and LUMPS models for January and August 2008	97
9.2	Maps of (a, b) $Q_H$ and (c, d) $Q_E$ surface fluxes for the Helsinki urban area modelled with WRF-ACASA (domain 5, $\Delta x = 0.6$ km, $18.6 \times 18.6$ km) for (a, c) 00:00 and (b, d) 12:00 UTC on 15 July 2008	98
9.3	Mean diurnal sensible, latent and storage fluxes in April 2008 observed and ACASA simulated for Firenze	99
9.4	Maps of $Q_H$ , $Q_E$ and storage heat ( $Q_{s, anthro}$ ) surface fluxes at (a, b, c) 5 am and (d, e, f) 12 noon local time (a, d) turbulent sensible heat flux, (c, f) storage heat flux in impervious surface elements (buildings, roads, etc.), (b, e) latent heat flux for Firenze on 15 April 2008	100
9.5	Diurnal variations of average anthropogenic heat flux for a winter and summer weekday for the five BRIDGE cities in Europe, 2008	101
10.1	The urban water cycle as represented in the SIMGRO model	109
10.2	Simulated, using SUEWS, water balance at two catchments Pa and Pi in Helsinki, June–August 2010	111
10.3	The relation between the fraction of impervious surface and annual evaporation rates simulated using SUEWS for individual grid cells ( $1 \text{ km} \times 1 \text{ km}$ ) of the CAZ area in London, UK	112
10.4	Cumulative hourly runoff for a highly built-up grid cell ( $1 \text{ km} \times 1 \text{ km}$ ) in central London with the different PAs, using the SUEWS model	113
10.5	Annual cumulative precipitation shortage (negative numbers indicate precipitation excess) in 2010 for the control and the three PAs, using the SIMGRO model for the CAZ area in London, UK	114
11.1	Carbon input, pools and output in urban ecosystems	118
11.2	Source of $\text{CO}_2$ emissions and uptake in urban areas	119
11.3	Weekday summer and winter profiles of vehicular $\text{CO}_2$ emissions ( $E_{VT}$ ) compared to total $\text{CO}_2$ emissions ( $F_{CO_2}$ ) for an urban (URB) and suburban (SUB) area of Montreal	120
11.4	$F_C$ as function of different vegetation cover fraction	122
11.5	Time series plot showing measured (flux tower) and modelled (WRF-ACASA tower pixel) carbon flux for Helsinki for the period 19–29 July 2008	124
11.6	Modelling framework with the most relevant data exchanges between models	125
11.7	WRF-ACASA simulated $\text{CO}_2$ fluxes for current (top panel) and future 2020 (bottom panel) land-use scenario	126
15.1	Flow diagram illustrating the steps of the BRIDGE impact assessment framework	168
15.2	Example of AHP decision-making tree in BRIDGE, as applied in the Helsinki case study	168
16.1	Simplified diagram of the BRIDGE DSS architecture (left) and the BRIDGE DSS toolbar in the ArcGIS environment (right)	177
16.2	Selection of indicators input window of the DSS GUI	180
16.3	(a) Adjustment of relative importance input window and (b) socio-economic indicator values input window	181
17.1	Process characteristics of a Foresight exercise	186

## Tables

4.1	Classification of elements of the urban canopy layer (UCL) and their scales	30
5.1	Measurements carried out in BRIDGE case studies	46
5.2	Mean and standard deviation values of summer and winter concentration of the main air pollutants in BRIDGE case studies	54
7.1	Correspondence between CLC2000 and USGS/UCM land cover classes	73
8.1	Air quality indicators produced by CAMx for Helsinki, Gliwice and London	81
8.2	Model quality objectives for O <sub>3</sub> , PM10 and NO <sub>2</sub>	83
8.3	Statistical parameters obtained with CAMx for Gliwice – Mewy	83
8.4	Statistical parameters obtained with CAMx for Helsinki – Kalio	84
8.5	Simulation domain characteristics, for baseline and PAs 1, 2 and 3	86
8.6	URBAIR performance statistics obtained for PM10 and NO <sub>2</sub>	88
8.7	Flux model evaluation	89
9.1	TEB morphological parameters	94
9.2	Modelled extents (lat, lon) used in LUCY and population density for each area	95
9.3	Input parameters used in ACASA runs in Firenze and Helsinki	96
9.4	Observed (mean) and model performance evaluation statistics ( <i>RMSE</i> ; W m <sup>-2</sup> and <i>MBE</i> , W m <sup>-2</sup> ) for four urban land-surface models	98
9.5	Performance of Noah/SLUCM in London on 3 June 2010	101
10.1	Existing land-use cover at the Central Activity Zone in London, UK	110
11.1	Carbon emissions factors and fuel consumption per vehicle and fuel type	120
11.2	Modelled annual CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes, separated by source, in the suburban neighbourhood of Vancouver	121
12.1	Overview of the first and the second round of CoP gatherings and the two umbrella CoP meetings	133
12.2	Objectives and indicators and their development during the BRIDGE project	134
13.1	European common indicators for urban sustainability	142
13.2	Socio-economic policy goals identified during the case study workshops with local practitioners (CoP)	146
13.3	Results of the CoP meetings concerning socio-economic objectives and indicators	148
13.4	Final set of socio-economic indicators	150
15.1	Key differences between SEA and EIA	164
15.2	BRIDGE sustainability objectives and ‘core’ and ‘discretionary’ indicators	169
15.3	AHP scale and example of a pair-wise comparison matrix in which the three indicators concerning air quality are compared	170
17.1	Dimensions’ evolution in each scenario	190
17.2	Main drivers’ configuration for each scenario	191
17.3	DSS scores concerning the Gliwice case study, on the 1st and 2nd round	193
17.4	Average standard deviation of the questionnaires’ answers, grouped by dimensions, on the 1st and 2nd round, concerning the Gliwice case study	193
17.5	DSS scores concerning the Helsinki case study, on the 1st and 2nd round	194
17.6	Average standard deviation of the questionnaires’ answers, grouped by dimensions, on the 1st and 2nd round, concerning the Helsinki case study	194

**Plates**

- 1 The urban planning alternatives evaluated within BRIDGE
- 2 Spider diagrams and final appraisal scores for all case studies, using the default weight and socio-economic indicators values
- 3 Mean air temperature (K) for the evening period (20:00–23:00 LST) for summertime for Athens base case (top) and planning alternatives (bottom), which are maps of differences
- 4 Shortwave albedo for Athens estimated from Landsat observations
- 5 Surface emissivity for Athens estimated from Landsat observations
- 6 The DEM generated for the broader Athens area using ASTER stereo imagery
- 7 2008 annual averages for Helsinki at  $0.2 \text{ km} \times 0.2 \text{ km}$  spatial resolution: ground heat flux in  $\text{Wm}^{-2}$  (upper left), sensible heat flux in  $\text{Wm}^{-2}$  (upper right), surface runoff in mm (lower left) and air temperature in K (lower right)
- 8 2008 annual averages for Firenze at  $0.2 \text{ km} \times 0.2 \text{ km}$  spatial resolution: ground heat flux in  $\text{Wm}^{-2}$  (upper left), surface runoff in mm (upper right), sensible heat flux in  $\text{Wm}^{-2}$  (lower left) and air temperature (lower right)
- 9 Annual average concentrations for Gliwice (domain 2) for (a)  $\text{NO}_2$ , (b) PM10 and (c) PM2.5
- 10 Comparison of 1.5 m high horizontal fields of 24-hour average PM10 concentration on 22 September 2008 at the Egaleo area in Athens: (a) baseline (b) PA1 (c) PA2 and (d) PA3
- 11 Spatial differences in risks for higher temperatures in 2010 because of low evaporation rates for the control and the three PA, using the SIMGRO model for the CAZ area in London UK
- 12 WRF-ACASA simulation domain (d05,  $\Delta x = 600 \text{ m}$ ) centered on the flux tower area in Meri-Rastila, Helsinki (shaded square) (left panel). The flux tower is in the north of downtown
- 13 Future land-use scenario for Firenze city centre obtained by the CA module in 20 simulation steps
- 14 Example of a GIS-based model output for  $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentrations, for a road network (left) and for the exclusion of a section of the road (right) in the Helsinki case study
- 15 Evaluation results form
- 16 Indicator maps presenting the distribution of PM10 concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) in Egaleo (Athens, Greece) for the summer period of 2008 (June–August)

# CONTRIBUTORS

**Jorge Humberto Amorim** University of Aveiro, Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Veronica Bellucco** Department of Science for Nature and Environmental Resources, University of Sassari, Via Enrico De Nicola 9, 07100 Sassari, Italy

**Carlos Borrego** University of Aveiro, Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Margaretha Breil** Centro Euro-Mediterraneo per i Cambiamenti Climatici S.c.a.r.l., Isola di S.Giorgio Maggiore - 30124, Venezia, Italy, Tel. +39 0412700447, Email: margaretha.breil@feem.it

**Acicenta Bubak** Institute for Ecology of Industrial Areas Kossutha St., 41-844 Katowice, Poland

**Constantinos Cartalis** University of Athens, Department of Physics, Panepistimioupolis, Build. Phys-5, 15784, Athens, Greece, Tel. +30 210 72726843, Email: ckartali@phys.uoa.gr

**Pedro Cascao** Cesam & Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Eduardo Castro** University of Aveiro, Department of Social, Political and Territorial Sciences, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, Tel. +351 234 370005, Email: ecastro@ua.pt

**Nektarios Chrysoulakis** Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas, Institute of Applied and Computational Mathematics, N. Plastira 100, Vassilika Vouton, 70013, Heraklion, Greece, Tel. +30 2810 391762, Email: zedd2@iacm.forth.gr

**Manolis Diamantakis** Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas, Institute of Applied and Computational Mathematics, N. Plastira 100, Vassilika Vouton, 70013, Heraklion, Greece

**Alison Donnelly** Discipline of Botany, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

**Matthias Falk** University of California, Davis, USA Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change (CMCC), Italy

**Peter H. Freer-Smith** Centre for Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Building 85 Highfield Campus, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

**Ainhoa Gonzáles** Discipline of Botany, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland, Email: agonzal@tcd.ie

**C.S.B. Grimmond** Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6BB, UK, Tel. +44 118 378 6248, Email: c.s.grimmond@reading.ac.uk

**Annemarie Groot** Alterra - Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands

**Nick Hodges** Newcastle University, Rohan, Main Street, Willoughby, Rugby CV23 8BH, UK, Tel. +44 1788 890791, Email: nickhodges281@btinternet.com

**Leena Järvi** University of Helsinki, Department of Physics, Pl 48, Fin-00014, Helsinki, Finland, Tel. +358 50 3110371, Email: leena.jarvi@helsinki.fi

**Mike Jones** Discipline of Botany, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

**Alexandros Karvounis** South East European Research Centre, 24 Proxenou Koromila Street, 54622, Thessaloniki, Greece, Tel. +30 2132 038341, Email: akarvounis@seerc.org

**Judith E. M. Klostermann** Alterra - Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands, Email: judith.klostermann@wur.nl

**Simone Kotthaus** Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6BB, UK

**Björn Lietzke** University of Basel, MCR Lab at the Department of Environmental Sciences, Klingelbergstrasse 27, Ch-4056, Basel, Switzerland

**Fredrik Lindberg** University of Göteborg, Gothenburg, Sweden, Tel. +46 31 7862606, Email: fredrik@gu.se King's College London, London, UK

**Myriam Lopes** University of Aveiro, Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, Tel. +351 234 372594, Email: myr@ua.pt

**Thomas Loridan** RMS, London, UK King's College London, London, UK

**Vicenzo Magliulo** Institute for Mediterranean Agricultural and Forest Systems, Cnr-Isafom, Via Patacca, 85, Ercolano (Napoli) - 80040, Italy, Tel. +39 0817717325, Email: enzo.magliulo@cnr.it

**Marta Marques** University of Aveiro, Department of Social, Political and Territorial Sciences, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, Tel. +351 234 370005, Email: marta.marques@ua.pt

**Serena Marras** Department of Science for Nature and Environmental Resources, University of Sassari, Via Enrico de Nicola 9, 07100 Sassari, Italy. Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Climate Change (CMCC), Via Enrico de Nicola 9, 07100 Sassari, Italy

**Helena Martins** Cesam & Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Ana Isabel Miranda** Cesam & Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Zina Mitraka** Foundation for Research and Technology – Hellas, Institute of Applied and Computational Mathematics, N. Plastira 100, Vassilika Vouton, 70013, Heraklion, Greece, Tel. +30 2810 391762, Email: mitraka@iacm.forth.gr

**Eddy J. Moors** Alterra – Wageningen University and Research Centre, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands, Tel. +31 317 486431, Email: eddy.moors@wur.nl

**Juan Luis Pérez** Technical University of Madrid, Environmental Software and Modelling Group, Campus de Montegancedo, Boadilla del Monte, 28660, Madrid, Spain

**Gregoire Pigeon** Meteo France, Toulouse, France

**David R. Pyles** University of California, Davis, USA Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change (CMCC), Italy

**Roberto San José** Technical University of Madrid, Environmental Software and Modelling Group, Campus de Montegancedo, Boadilla del Monte, 28660, Madrid, Spain, Tel. +34 91 336 7465, Email: roberto@fi.upm.es

**Mattheos Santamouris** National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Physics Department, Building Physics-5, University Campus, Athens – 15784, Greece

**Heikki Setälä** University of Helsinki, Department of Physics, Pl 48, Fin-00014, Helsinki, Finland

**Donatella Spano** Department of Science for Nature and Environmental Resources, University of Sassari, Via Enrico de Nicola 9, 07100 Sassari, Italy, Tel. +39 079 229339, Email: spano@uniss.it. Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Climate Change (CMCC), Via Enrico de Nicola 9, 07100 Sassari, Italy

**Tomasz Staszewski** Institute for Ecology of Industrial Areas Kossutha St., 41-844 Katowice, Poland

**Marina Stathopoulou** University of Athens, Department of Physics, Panepistimioupolis, Build. Phys-5, 15784, Athens, Greece

**Afroditi Synnefa** National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Physics Department, Building Physics-5, University Campus, Athens – 15784, Greece

**Matthew James Tallis** School of Biological Sciences, University of Portsmouth, King Henry Building, King Henry 1 Street, Portsmouth, PO1 2DY, UK. Centre for Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Building 85 Highfield Campus, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

**Richard Tavares** Cesam & Department of Environment and Planning, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Pierro Toscano** Institute of Biometeorology (IBIMET – CNR), Via G.Caproni 8, 50145 Firenze, Italy

**Frank van der Bolt** Alterra – Wageningen University and Research Centre P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands

**Ab Veldhuizen** Alterra – Wageningen University and Research Centre, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands

**Roland Vogt** University of Basel, MCR Lab at the Department of Environmental Sciences, Klingelbergstrasse 27, Ch-4056, Basel, Switzerland, Tel. +41 61 2670 700, Email: roland.vogt@unibas.ch

**Duick T. Young** Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, UK



# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research leading to the results presented in the book has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement n° 211345 (the BRIDGE Project: <http://www.bridge-fp7.eu>). The authors are grateful to all the people who have contributed to the BRIDGE research, as well as to the participants in each of the cities.

## **PART I**

# Introduction

*This page intentionally left blank*

# 1

## URBAN METABOLISM

*Alexandros Karvounis*

SOUTH EAST EUROPEAN RESEARCH CENTRE

### Introduction

This chapter deals with the current dynamics of urban systems, introducing the ‘urban metabolism’ concept and its importance in sustainable urban planning. Due to radical changes in ecology, socio-economic values and environmental quality, long term efficiency of cities is questioned. Urban planning seems to be an effective tool for the necessary reformatations towards a sustainable city model, while constraints confronted in urban processes are well described. Community empowerment and flexible legislation are getting involved in the changes needed. The evolution of urban centres has caused a spatial segregation and competition that takes place within cities. At the same time the resource flow systems of the cities seem to move towards saturation (Batty 2008). Cities are no longer only economic stimulators, but also social, cultural and ecological motors towards sustainable development. Environmental problems are nowadays so severe that globally cities face them in everyday life activities. Moreover, consumption is increasing the demand of resources, resulting in complex waste flows that call for sustainable solutions (Rotmans and Van Asselt 2000).

The aspect of life quality gains importance for planning strategies and is now on the core of actions with the establishment of planning systems by city governments. The recognition of the fact that cities are a human creation, contributes to the understanding of the role of nature and interactions with the flow of resources needed with growth (Pincetl 2012). So, urban transformations necessitate city planning to integrate physical, social and cultural infrastructure, the economy and the environment of the city. As an extent, new strategies and mechanisms are the means necessary to promote flexibility in commuting, supply of power, equal water distribution and effective waste management system (Chrysoulakis et al. 2013).

In an effort to deal with the appearance of new large scale problems, research is focused on the efficiency of the city. Sustainability is entering the field and the understanding over the new contents of the city requires to be discussed (Pincetl 2012). Due to previously described problems that take place globally, there is an extensive need to quantify the aspects of consumption and waste production of urbanizing areas. In terms of this large increase in the demand of resources, the concept of urban metabolism has been introduced, which compares a city to an organism, in their common trait of the demand for food and the deposition of waste on the environment (Grimm et al. 2008). The spatial heterogeneity and various local ecosystems reveal that reference to organisms is more appropriate than a comparison with ecosystems as they evince the high reliance of socio-economic factors in the total considering of

urban metabolism concept (Golubiewski 2012), especially if someone considers the dynamic character of biological and physical flows that take place.

Nevertheless, opinions differ, as from one point of view, only individual organisms have a metabolism and from the other, cities are presumed more like ecosystems, an aggregation of various metabolisms. As ecologists support, an ecosystem embodies interactions among various individuals. So, we can analogize the city better as an ecosystem than an organism (Pincetl 2012). Further, the fact that a city seems to function as an ecosystem, supports the research to this way (Golubiewski 2012). At this point, it is fundamental to highlight that although natural ecosystems are considered in general terms as energy self-sufficient, urban ecosystems' metabolic cycles seem unsustainable (Chrysoulakis et al. 2013).

As Kennedy et al. (2007) argue 'urban metabolism can be defined as the sum total of the technical and socio-economic processes that occur in cities, resulting in growth, production of energy, and elimination of waste'. More specifically, it describes the processes of resource inputs, the way they are distributed within the system, how they convert to products ready to be consumed and the concern over their recycling (Zhang et al. 2012). At the end of the previous century, via a system based approach, city and flows within it were presented by their impacts on the environment (Pincetl et al. 2012).

The concept of urban metabolism was explored through ecology and industrial ecology, bio-physical sciences, political economy and urban planning studies, revealing in each case different aspects integrated in the concept (Pincetl et al. 2012). For example, urban and industrial ecologists deal with circulation of materials within urban systems while ecological economists from one point of view focus on the interdependent relationship between nature and urban economy; at the same time there are opinions that examine the way urban metabolisms may contribute to the production of inequality globally (Rapoport 2011). A broader concept is described by the urban metabolic system that Zhang et al. (2012) introduce, considering cities as socio-economic systems with both industrial and consumption components (Zhang et al. 2012). Nowadays, urban metabolism becomes more and more topical, as it appears to be a tool that, through understanding of bio-physical systems, enables the management and integration of ecological and socio-economic processes that take place within urban systems (Golubiewski 2012).

## **The 'urban metabolism' concept**

### ***Environmental flows***

In 2005, the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA) emphasized the relation between environmental flows and socio-economic structures with regard to the evolution of urban ecosystems. The MEA estimated the consequences on ecosystems' changes and highlighted the contribution of the human factor. This plight is well understood through the 1987 Brundtland Commission report, with ecosystem health to be its basic axis, drawing attention to environmental deterioration and setting the foundations for raising awareness before an ecological collapse. Furthermore, Agenda 21 for cities, a new agenda, supported Brundtland Commission's assertions by paving the way towards a sustainable city model (Pincetl 2012).

The urban metabolism concept was first introduced by Marx who proposed an urban-rural metabolism, suggesting the transformation of human and animal wastes into fertilizers in order to implement a circular process for urban ecosystems. However, the environmental implications of urbanization are also evident through the 'metabolic rift' concept he introduced, which, additionally, attempted to study social influence. The population increase and the human migration from rural to urbanized areas created a rift in the metabolism processes, since human beings altered the cyclical character of many

flows, obstructing the return of wastes to the soil. In the 20th century, Eugene Odum pointed out the biological aspect of the urban metabolism concept (Golubiewski 2012; Rapoport, 2011) and dealt with conceptualization of energy. However, the concept was firstly applied by Wolman in 1965, to a hypothetical city. He comprehended the complexity of urban systems and studied the dynamic socio-ecological flows that should be evaluated (Pincetl 2012).

More specifically, urban metabolism is determined by the quantification of inputs and outputs flows, in order for conclusions on the balances of ecosystem to be drawn (Pincetl 2012). Nutrients, energy, materials, water capacity and wastes are all calculated, while their life cycle is integrated into the concept as well (Pincetl 2012; Wachsmuth 2012). The system that functions within a city relies on a circulatory network where materials and wastes are moving through. Metabolic flows are derived from urban development, food consumption, energy and material use; as a city develops and grows all the human-made circulate systems have to expand and the environment should be ready to accept the increasing disposal of wastes. Nonetheless, the waste management needs to consume energy in order for garbage to be reused or recycled (Golubiewski 2012).

An important impact of globalization, with regard to urban metabolism, is that the circulation of soil nutrients has changed. Due to population demand and globalization, nutrients do not stay at the place they are produced so the cycle of food changes. Nutrients are currently consumed far away from the place of origin (Wachsmuth 2012). The bigger the population demand, the bigger the crop capacity with respect to global market competition rules. Additionally, productivity has been reinforced by the application of fertilizers, and provision of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium to the soil is intensified (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012).

Carbon, in turn, is placed at the top of the list of nutrients that urban metabolism studies have calculated. Its importance is evident, for instance, through the carbon footprint, which nowadays is used as a tool for quantification of sustainability aspects (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012). Carbon is primarily connected to greenhouse gases released in the atmosphere, as cities produce carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) and as a consequence of an imbalance in biogeochemical processes. Urbanization is followed by climate change which is increased by atmospheric carbon concentrations.  $\text{CO}_2$  appears to be the end-stage of waste decomposition of all products while its increase is caused by the demand for nutrients and fossil fuel burning. The tendency of cities to use renewable energy is promising a decrease of carbon fluxes dependency.

As for energy matters, urban metabolism studies examine the main types that include fuels, electricity, radiation and heat, although we cannot ignore the stored energy that construction of materials and food and waste management uses (Chrysoulakis et al. 2013). Additionally, it is important to mention that when we refer to energy consumption, we focus on non-renewable forms of energy, which do not promote a sustainable solution. With regard to urban metabolism, energy flows can contribute to understanding how rural and urban areas use them and balance, or not, their supply and demand needs (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012). Guidelines can regulate and diminish energy consumption, while citizens themselves can contribute simply by choices of eco-friendly materials (Loridan and Grimmond 2012). It is urgent that urban heat island (UHI) effect is considered in urban planning, as it takes place locally while having a regional/global impact. Causes such as impermeable surfaces, city size, land cover pattern, scarcity of green and open water bodies can drive its intensification. Impacts from inefficient energy fluxes are serious, especially if we consider the possible relation to the increasing flood risks that most European cities experience (Grimm et al. 2008).

Human activities have altered the cycle of water, as urbanization drives forces to expand infrastructure, by constructing reservoirs or artificial water entities. In addition we cannot ignore the expanded dimensions of current pollution events. Fertilizers, air pollutants and acid rain are common reasons that

cause water resources deterioration. In cities, mainly in North America, wastewater systems are still not separated from storm water infrastructure (Grimm et al. 2008; Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012). But the quality of water also decreases as the number of organisms increase because of the intake of food in household waste (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012). Within cities the pollution is getting worse due to conflicting land uses, such as the construction of road networks and residences into river beds (Grimm et al. 2008). Additionally, while modern cities should confront pollution, in the developing world the situation is even more desperate with millions of people denied access to potable water (Hallsmith 2007).

Concluding, most present day cities are based on unsustainable cycles that are open and imbalanced between inputs and outputs, with a relation between inputs and outputs that tends to be linear. In contrast, natural systems have a cyclical course of flows. Cities' goals are to concentrate attention on a decrease of inputs, or effective reuse and recycling of waste. Linearity of urban flow systems have to be brought to an end and need to be replaced by a cyclical course.

### ***Socio-economic values***

Urban metabolism requires a wider meaning in order to include political and social factors in the study of urban phenomena. Moving beyond the nature–society dualism that incorporates the metabolic process of today's theories, the urban metabolism concept led to a reconceptualization, taking into account social and economic factors. The social factor is to be considered as highly important because urban metabolism refers to peoples' desires and visions, which shape the material and energy flows and also control the waste output of cities. This process embodies the way political and human perspectives enter the game (Rapoport 2011).

Characteristically, the urbanization process requires an understanding of changes in socio-environmental, economic and legislative aspects. In no case, can we analyse the dynamic phenomenon of urbanization based exclusively on the flow of materials (Golubiewski 2012). Social networking with its interconnections and the evolution of residents' location may be linked to the urban living environment (Grimm et al. 2008). Quality of life and prosperity for everyone should be set as a goal and involvement of businesses, non-profit organizations and civil society will enable the implementation.

Human impacts and, thus, social drivers play a basic role in the metabolism activation of each city. Social welfare is based on a sense of community, safety, equity and health care, education, chances, spiritual development and aesthetic life. It is accepted that not all actors can actively contribute to urban metabolism in the same way, as it is subject to current social networks; citizens' needs, in turn, cannot be satisfied to the same degree. Nevertheless, the impacts of urban metabolism processes within a city remain fundamental due to their global effects (Rapoport 2011).

The needs are represented by supply and demand, as the increase of population is testing the city's ability to meet the new needs. Resource consumption and high demand for energy, technological innovations and economies based on networks that promote global interconnectivity are observed in each industrialized urban centre (Rapoport 2011). It is widely accepted that economic forces lead to cities to grow or shrink, an important aspect of urban metabolism, which is moving in parallel to political–economic vectors at all levels of human organization, either local or global (Pincetl et al. 2012). Thus, it is important to evaluate socio-economic metabolism and come up with energy consumption independent/self-sufficient economies and material-independent economies (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012).

The urban metabolism concept, as it describes resource exploitation and energy use through the demand of inputs, quantifying environmental flows, can give these high rates of consumption by

economic activity (Golubiewski 2012). Material resources that flow within the city trigger economic and social development and their effective use determines how much waste, emissions, effluents and resource shortages they create (Karvounis 2009). The material fluxes can alter depending on economic activities that take place in the area. For example, the high concentration of phosphorus used in Finland is justified by the quantities of manure produced by the livestock industry (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012).

Of particular concern is the fact that many believe that economic growth itself is a significant factor that leads to environmental deterioration. As industrial and urban ecology defines, city growth and expansion, energy consumption and waste elimination are all processes that are implemented in cities, and metabolism is defined by integrating all these processes. In particular, during the last centuries, the intensification of urbanization has demonstrated the relationship between economic abundance and prosperity with environmental exhaustion. However, in terms of sustainable urban metabolism, industrial ecologists try to eliminate the resources used per unit of economic output with a process referred to as dematerialization, in order to ameliorate metabolic efficiency (Golubiewski 2012; Rapoport 2011). Further, the link between economic development and environmental decline can be easily explained if we refer to Marx's idea of metabolic rift (Rapoport 2011).

### ***Towards a sustainable city model***

Bio-physical sciences' research has to be totally integrated into urban planning at different scales. Even in a neighbourhood or a city, planning has to promote sustainable practices, and transformations taking place have to deliver sustainability. During the last decades, sustainable development and environmental protection came to the foreground, highlighting the necessity of understanding the interrelationship between resource flows and spatial structures within a city (City of Kitchener 2007). Besides, nature influenced city models such as Ebenezer Howard's Garden Cities and Le Corbusier's Contemporary City, while planners as Scott Campbell linked sustainability principles to ecosystem services (Pincetl 2012).

On a more practical level, a sustainable city can be designed with a combination of practices and strategies described below. Urban tissue and built environment can largely succour the efforts towards sustainability upgrade. The goals remain to be the creation of a city in which functions and infrastructure amplify social prosperity, economic equity and environmental balance. This implies that the built environment such as buildings, open and green spaces, roads and different kinds of infrastructure achieve the previously described objectives. Core characteristics for sustainable cities should include walkability, liveability, conservation and safety. More specifically, cities should promote inter-connectivity of areas through walkable tours where citizens feel safe and can 'experience' the city itself. Transit capacity that a city provides is now a primary goal of urban regeneration and aims at the interchange of different modes of transportation (City of Kitchener 2007). In urban planning terms, local authorities can publish regulations that stop urban sprawl by intensifying housing in existing areas, encouraging a compact city model. Similarly, mixed land-use strategies can be proved as efficient enough for the creation of sustainable societies. If decision makers combine residential with commercial land uses at the neighbourhood level, they can boost employment opportunities while the proximity to workplace reduces car congestion and, consequently, the need for energy, noise levels and air pollution. Built environment has to attach a special sense of place to the neighbourhood, respecting a high-quality landscape at the same time. The internal environment of urban centres has to be healthy and active enough in order for citizens to have the opportunity of a great quality of life. Old urban fabric elements can be totally combined to create new materials, for example old stone houses can be regenerated with the addition of steel. These proposals can be easily presented through advanced design software. Design of the built



environment can also give urban centres the chance to adapt to the cycle of growth and transform their parts without ruining the whole urban tissue (Kennedy et al. 2011; Rapoport 2011).

As we understand from the bio-physical flows that create the metabolism of a city, transit capacity of a city remains one of the most fundamental goals to achieve. Independent of the size of a city, urban sprawl has to come to an end and density needs to keep going up. Planners have to promote the pedestrianization of many roads, avert the parking of cars and propound the beneficial use of alternative modes of transportation. Open spaces and squares in cities should be well connected through bicycle lanes or pedestrian friendly routes where citizens will be safe to move around. Additionally, a more sustainable urban form will be further supported by updated land use plans and policies which promote a reduction of the travel time within neighbourhoods, created by the coexistence of residential and commercial uses, offices and community facilities. As a consequence, air pollutants per locality can be significantly reduced, eliminating the impacts on the greenhouse and UHI effects by the increase of residents' proximity to public transport hubs. Renewable energies have to invade our lives and energy efficiency policies have to be implemented. In parallel with transportation systems upgrade, greening of the cities and conservation standards for new and existing buildings should be completely adapted and implemented in each city globally; these kinds of strategies can become attractive through the provision of tax incentives. Water pollution and the rapid rate of water extraction require sustainable treatment and planning ahead; therefore a great deal of attention must be given to the waste management sector. Actions to lower demand and consumption appear to be the first strategies that need to be introduced, while at the same time reuse and recycling must replace garbage disposal completely (Karvounis 2009).

Climate change can be mitigated by 'greening the city' actions. Urban reforestation, preservation of green spaces and creation of gardens, on balconies or roof gardens, are the short term actions that can be implemented by the citizens themselves. But the hard part of this task is that, in many cases, strengthening of the sense of community is required (Kennedy et al. 2011). The NIMBY (Not In My Back Yard) syndrome has to cease and be replaced by acting together for a common goal. These kinds of urban strategies can improve local climate conditions, understate the UHI effect and even diminish water needs. Air flow in the city will be ameliorated and CO<sub>2</sub> will be absorbed by the plants. Highly beneficial is the increase of urban agricultural practices in backyards and unused plots with the objective of directly supplying food to consumers. The sense of community will be amplified while environmental health will improve.

Self-sustaining communities are based on more sustainable economies that are supported by individuals who have concern for environmental safeguards (Rapoport 2011). An economic approach which focuses not only on job creation or profit-making, but also on innovation, has to transmute the business base. Green businesses have to be attracted in spatially well-defined areas where zoning is reinforced by financial and tax incentives. Thereby policy makers and local authorities can promote sustainable production and consumption through the spatial concentration of businesses that invest only in environment friendly technologies and form a network that allows the exchange of know-how, the provision of training or even a great accessibility to natural resources located in high proximity (Roseland 2005).

## **Contributions of urban metabolism to planning and decision making**

### ***Policy tools***

Urban planning can reform dynamics within city boundaries and small scale interventions in policy making can have results seen globally. According to Pincetl (2012), comprehensive planning can open democratic processes. Planning tools should include community participation and empowerment during

decision-making and planning processes. Community empowerment and engagement are essential in order to achieve the goals and objectives determined.

Understanding by policy makers of the urban metabolism concept and how it can contribute to everyday life would be of great benefit. To achieve this benefit, it is essential for urban policy makers to know about risks regarding resource exhaustion (Kennedy et al. 2007). Once the end users acquire the big picture, they can fill the gaps and seek new solutions for interconnection of different sectors in order to improve effectiveness. A sustainable city model could provide a good background for the adoption of new policies and strategies enabling cities to reduce their reliance on inputs from distant places, to decrease waste streams and to achieve social equity (Chrysoulakis et al. 2013). In addition, awareness of the financial, social and environmental needs of local population is fundamental, since by applying systems theory, mechanisms for indication and evaluation could be set up. However, it is important to consider that planning is not only concerned with short term interventions as the long term considerations are more important than ever, due to the current global problems (Hallsmith 2007). Thus, working towards new policy goals is considered one-way, and the search for quality is a search for long term development, overcoming barriers such as the lack of (both quantitative and qualitative) data (Arbor 1999).

Policy and decision-making frameworks can give new chances for experimentation. New practices have to be implemented, risks defined and limitations of current policies as well as increasing financial difficulties overcome. Policy reformation becomes necessary as a response to global problems, which should be addressed first by governance (Pincetl et al. 2012). Further, the policy and legislation framework can embody the urban metabolism concept in the definition of new objectives and guidelines. An environmental approach has to be totally integrated with socio-economic challenges of the current era and, finally, urban policies have to be updated. Furthermore, an interdisciplinary approach can clarify the effect that legislation has on urban flows and can contribute to more efficient management (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012).

A difficult task to be achieved continues to be the incorporation of different levels of action, as individuals have to change behaviour. Government and local authorities have to be able to formulate regulations (standard setting) depending on needs, and private agencies have to be able to integrate new technologies such as computational modelling with the means to provide multi-disciplinary approaches as tools for both decision making and future scenarios evaluation (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012). The cooperation and coordination of administrations at different scales should promote local plans to be integrated with national policies, resulting in city planning being more integrated. Social organization is essential for a city's function. Thus, human needs act as a catalyst for decision making that shapes both urban environment and local natural ecosystems (Pincetl 2012). Urban governance is a benchmark for organization and social integrity, requiring proactive participation from citizens and motivating them to act within a democratic framework (UNEP 2008). If urban metabolism is integrated in planning policies for the analysis of cities, it requires the examination of each component of the urban cycle, such as inputs and waste flows, and current socio-economic structures, as well as taking into account the legislation and policy drivers that influence these processes (Pincetl et al. 2012).

Policy recommendations have to be economic centred and broaden their effect (Rapoport 2011). The positive and negative effects of a city have to be considered as a reflection of the modification that sectoral policies suggest, even if we refer to the internal metabolism of the system or the system built beyond its boundaries (Villarroel-Walker and Beck 2012). This turnover is fundamental, even though there are still opinions that express that this kind of political relevant strategy does not lead to a complete reformation for effective urban planning interventions (Rapoport 2011). It is worth mentioning the significant proportion of uncertainty that may be associated with the results of the previously described