

ENERGY MODELING IN ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN



TIMOTHY L. HEMSATH
and KAVEH ALAGHEH BANDHOSSEINI



Energy Modeling in Architectural Design

Energy Modeling in Architectural Design demonstrates how design elements can lead to energy savings, to help you reduce the energy footprint of your buildings. In addition to identifying climate opportunities, you'll also learn fundamental passive design elements for software-agnostic energy modeling of your projects from conception. Using parametric models and testing each element during design will lead you to create beautiful and high-performance buildings. Illustrated with more than 100 color images, this book also includes a pattern guide for high-performance buildings, discusses energy and daylighting optimization, and has a glossary for easy reference.

Timothy L. Hemsath is a registered architect and an associate professor of architecture at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA; as well as sustainable design leader for HDR, Inc. in Omaha, USA.

Kaveh Alagheh Bandhosseini is a doctoral candidate in architecture at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA.



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Energy Modeling in Architectural Design

Timothy L. Hemsath and
Kaveh Alagheh Bandhosseini

First published 2018
by Routledge
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

and by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2018 Taylor & Francis

The right of Timothy L. Hemsath and Kaveh Alagheh Bandhosseini to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Hemsath, Timothy, author. | Bandhosseini, Kaveh Alagheh, author.

Title: Energy modeling in architectural design / Timothy Hemsath and Kaveh Alagheh Bandhosseini.

Description: New York : Routledge, 2018. | Includes index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016050710 | ISBN 9781138889378 (hardback) | ISBN 9781138889392 (pbk.) | ISBN 9781315712901 (ebook) | ISBN 9781317496328 (mobi/kindle)

Subjects: LCSH: Architecture and energy conservation. | Buildings--Energy conservation--Computer simulation.

Classification: LCC NA2542.3 .H46 2018 | DDC 720/.472--dc23

ISBN: 978-1-138-88937-8 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-138-88939-2 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-315-71290-1 (ebk)

Acquisition Editor: Wendy Fuller

Editorial Assistant: Norah Hatch

Production Editor: Kelly Cracknell

Typeset in ITC Galliard

by Servis Filmsetting Ltd, Stockport, Cheshire

With love to Sawyer and DiAnna



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Contents

<i>List of Illustrations</i>	xi
<i>Foreword</i>	xvii
<i>Preface</i>	xxi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxiii
<i>Contributors</i>	xxv
1 Introduction	1
Design Process	4
Financial Benefits	5
Architectural Relevance for Professional Readers	6
Educational Readers	7
Outline of the Book	8
Looking Ahead	9
2 An Overview of Energy-Efficient Building Design	11
History of Energy Efficiency	11
Federal Policy	14
ASHRAE	19
Science	20
AIA Research Corporation	22
Certifications and Rating Systems	24
Energy Codes	27
Future of Building Energy	29
Building Load Types	30
Conclusion	33
Exercise: Calculate the zEPI and CO ₂ Emissions	33

3 Climate Opportunity	39
Weather Files	41
Energy and Climate	44
Understanding the Macroclimate	45
Microclimate Opportunities	50
Visualizing Climate for Design	53
Climate Analysis	59
Exercise: Define the Climate Opportunities	61
4 Energy Modeling for Architects	65
Defining the Energy Modeling Context	65
BEM Design Process	67
Early Evaluations	72
Making the BEM	76
Beginning a BEM	79
Conclusion	86
Exercise: Identify the BEM Type and Inputs	86
5 BEM Baseline	89
Establishing a Baseline	89
Building a Goal-Setting Model	94
Evaluating the BEM Output	97
Diagnosing the BEM	97
Comparative Analysis	105
Determining Impact	109
Where to Go from Here	112
Exercise: Create Your Own Target	112
6 Passive Solar BEM	115
Geometric Design Considerations	116
Measuring Building Geometry	119
Building on the BEM	123
Design Examples	135
Designing for Energy Performance	142
Exercise: Test a Range of Building Forms	143
7 Issues with BEM	145
Introduction	145
WBEM Obstacles	146
Parallel Example (Literature Review)	150
Methodology	150
Pattern Guide	158
The End?	186

8 Project Examples	189
Madison Central Library	191
Bullitt Center	194
SAC Federal Credit Union	196
La Casa Housing	198
Durham County Human Services Complex	201
350 Mission Street	204
Carbon Neutral Energy Solutions Laboratory	207
Fireside Elementary	210
Hawaii Preparatory Academy Energy Lab	212
Further Reading	213
 <i>Appendices</i>	 215
A.1 Comparison of Various BEM Tools	215
A.2 All Shape Simulation Outcomes Presented in the Pattern Guide	218
A.3 Baseline Project Example	230
A.4 Baseline Setting Template	233
A.5 Design Comparison Template	235
 <i>Glossary</i>	 237
<i>Bibliography</i>	243
<i>Index</i>	251



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Illustrations

1.1	Energy pyramid showing design decisions to maximize architecture's role in reducing our reliance on energy based upon building type and climate	3
2.1	Common skin-dominated passive solar design approaches	31
2.2	Common internal-load dominated passive solar design strategies	32
3.1	International Energy Conservation Code's map of U.S. climate zones	40
3.2	Annual temperatures in Fargo, North Dakota and Houston, Texas	46
3.3	Hourly dry-bulb temperatures in Fargo, North Dakota and Houston, Texas	46
3.4	Seasonal wind roses for Fargo, North Dakota and Houston, Texas	47
3.5	Sun simulation website from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln Astronomy Department	50
3.6	CFD thermal analysis of ground-level temperatures, comparing the temperature difference between a parking lot versus a green space	52
3.7	Summary of annual bioclimatic design strategies for two hot climates	54
3.8	Composite output of radiation and temperature from Climate Consultant	55
3.9	Solar radiation levels during summer months on a small commercial building	56
3.10	Design operations based on sun location for light and shadow	57
3.11	Site plan of building design with annual wind rose showing prevailing winds during summer and winter	58
3.12	CFD model of urban context and wind velocity	59
3.13	Average hourly statistics for dry bulb temperatures	62
4.1	Typical building energy modeling process for performance-based compliance for LEED credits and California Title 24 based on ASHRAE 90.1	66
4.2	Using BEM early and often starting at the early stage or conceptual design as a cyclical iterative activity	66
4.3	Author's recreation of MacLeamy's optimal project delivery curve	68
4.4	Comparison of BEM use in building design based on phase of development	69
4.5	The evolution of the BEM showing LOD and the increasing accuracy of the energy simulation	70

4.6	Diagram showing examples of various methods to define the shoebox for BEM	71
4.7	MacLeamy's curve of the optimal design process incorporating the BEM design framework	72
4.8	Diagram showing the logic and steps involved in a BEM design process	74
4.9	How an energy model reads the geometry in an architectural model	78
4.10	Conceptual graph of the information and its accuracy used in a BEM	79
4.11	Required inputs for a beginning BEM	85
5.1	Simulation settings used for energy-modeling baseline based on the Building America reference	91
5.2	Baseline template for a mid-rise four-story apartment considered a commercial building type compliant with ASHRAE 90.1 – 2004	91
5.3	Example dataset from the Building Performance Database	94
5.4	Baseline model and goal-setting model	96
5.5	Metrics comparison of a 30,000 sq. ft. office design target to the median property	97
5.6	Monthly energy consumption	101
5.7	Monthly heat gains	101
5.8	Monthly heat losses	102
5.9	Pie charts of the conductive gains and conductive losses	103
5.10	Annual heating and cooling loads	104
5.11	Summative comparisons of energy conservation measures' energy reduction from baseline	106
5.12	Sensitivity index showing the maximum, median, and minimum values of buildings' performance within six climate zones	110
5.13	Energy cost versus overhang depth in a small residential project	111
5.14	Discrete element evaluation of annual energy loads, heating, and cooling	112
6.1	Orientation diagram showing the optimal east to west degree rotation for climate zone 5	116
6.2	Aspect ratio showing the relationship between a building's length and width while maintaining total floor area	116
6.3	Stacking diagram of a building's vertical proportion maintaining internal volume	117
6.4	Conceptual massing of 12 different combinations of aspect ratio and stacking	118
6.5	Examples of shape aggregation or massing of a building's form	118
6.6	Example of how stacking affects a building's compactness index	121
6.7	Summary of building geometric indices for each office shown in Figure 6.4	122
6.8	Diagram showing a range of building type uses based on internal heat loads	126
6.9	Diagram of programmatic mixes of residential and commercial and resulting energy usage intensity (EUI) of three combinations	126
6.10	Common space layouts for internal-load- and external-load-dominated buildings	128

6.11	Example of how WWR is defined for a building façade related to the total wall area	130
6.12	Example of SHGC effect on a large office in Miami, Florida	131
6.13	Example of SHGC effect on a large office in Burlington, Vermont	132
6.14	Basic types of fixed building shading devices used to reduce the solar gains through windows and solar irradiation on building surfaces	133
6.15	Common shading devices evaluated	133
6.16	Variety of fixed shading devices evaluated with BEM in the design examples	134
6.17	Chart of heating over cooling loads for 12 different buildings across six climates	137
6.18	Statistical correlation of geometric indices and climate zones	138
6.19	BEM example of a self-shaded building	139
6.20	A range of scripted autonomously generated shading forms	139
6.21	Ralph Knowles, <i>Energy and Form: An Ecological Approach to Urban Growth</i>	139
6.22	Visual comparison of the all-glass baseline sDA against the best sDA result from shading devices	140
6.23	Instance of shading device loaded into design software to simulate lighting and energy consumption	141
6.24	Chart showing the percentage difference between sDA and ASE values for seven fixed shading devices across six U.S. locations	142
7.1	Whole-building energy analysis using LPD for the lighting energy consumption	148
7.2	Diagram showing how to move from the sDA metric to energy consumption	151
7.3	Luminous efficacy for common lighting sources	152
7.4	Typical office sizes evaluated in simulations	153
7.5	Constant items for simulations	154
7.6	Material consistency for thermal and lighting calculations	154
7.7	The effect of grid size on analysis results	155
7.8	Grid sizes	156
7.9	sDA color-coding example	157
7.10	Binary sDA	157
7.11	Binary ASE	158
7.12	Line graphs showing the behaviors of each iteration of the building simulation	158
7.13	Outline of locations and office sizes shown in the pattern guide	159
7.14	The EUI, sDA, and ASE keys related to the visual outputs showing the colour range meaning presented in the pattern guide	159
7.15	Small office mixed shapes for Miami at 1:100	160
7.16	Small office mixed shapes for Miami at 1:100	161
7.17	Small office mixed shapes for New York at 1:100	162

7.18	Small office mixed shapes for New York at 1:100	163
7.19	Small office mixed shapes for Omaha at 1:100	164
7.20	Small office mixed shapes for Omaha at 1:100	165
7.21	Small office mixed shapes for Phoenix at 1:100	166
7.22	Small office mixed shapes for Phoenix at 1:100	167
7.23	Medium office mixed shapes for Miami at 1:300	168
7.24	Medium office mixed shapes for Miami at 1:300	169
7.25	Medium office mixed shapes for New York at 1:300	170
7.26	Medium office mixed shapes for New York at 1:300	171
7.27	Medium office mixed shapes for Omaha at 1:300	172
7.28	Medium office mixed shapes for Omaha at 1:300	173
7.29	Medium office mixed shapes for Phoenix at 1:300	174
7.30	Medium office mixed shapes for Phoenix at 1:300	175
7.31	Large office mixed shapes for Miami at 1:500	176
7.32	Large office mixed shapes for Miami at 1:500	177
7.33	Large office mixed shapes for New York at 1:500	178
7.34	Large office mixed shapes for New York at 1:500	179
7.35	Large office mixed shapes for Omaha at 1:500	180
7.36	Large office mixed shapes for Omaha at 1:500	181
7.37	Large office mixed shapes for Phoenix at 1:500	182
7.38	Large office mixed shapes for Phoenix at 1:500	183
7.39	Axonomic view of a small U-shaped office in New York	184
7.40	Axonomic view of a medium H-shaped office in New York	184
7.41	Axonomic view of a medium H-shaped office in Omaha	185
7.42	Axonomic view of a large T-shaped office in Miami	185
7.43	Axonomic view of a large T-shaped office in Omaha	186
8.1	Case study list	189
8.2	Geometric comparison	190
8.3	Madison Central Library photograph	191
8.4	Madison Central Library site plan	191
8.5	Bullitt Center photograph	194
8.6	Bullitt Center site plan	195
8.7	SAC Federal Credit Union photograph	196
8.8	SAC Federal Credit Union site plan	197
8.9	La Casa Housing photograph	198
8.10	La Casa Housing site plan	198
8.11	Durham County Human Services Complex exterior photograph	201
8.12	Durham County Human Services Complex courtyard photograph	201
8.13	Durham County Human Services Complex site plan	202
8.14	350 Mission Street exterior rendering plan	204
8.15	350 Mission Street site plan	205
8.16	Carbon Neutral Energy Solutions Laboratory photograph	207
8.17	Carbon Neutral Energy Solutions Laboratory site plan	207

8.18 Carbon Neutral Energy Solutions Laboratory diagram	207
8.19 Fireside Elementary photograph	210
8.20 Fireside Elementary site plan	210
8.21 Hawaii Preparatory Academy Energy Lab photograph	212
8.22 Hawaii Preparatory Academy site plan	212



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Foreword

Rives Taylor, FAIA

“How do things get made or built. . . How do they work. . .?”

For many, if not all, designers this lies at the heart of our attraction to the discipline and what got us into this passionate journey of creation and realization in the first place. This passion is what drives the authors to frame this valuable insight into our era’s challenging design context. Design performance is the new focal point.

Perhaps now more than at any other time within the history of design do architectural designers, who are the creators of the early twenty-first-century human environment, increasingly carry the mantle of finding balance with the natural environment. In design, we have the imperative to steward the biological environment and the holistic sum of the parts. Our era elevates sustainable design, resilient strategies, resource and human stewardship practices, discussions about global impact of design to mitigate climate shift. These debates have led to calls for integrated process, regenerative, holistic, lifecycle, and net-zero project delivery. This discourse occurs from our design studios through our design practices and is ultimately displayed in the everyday environments we create, build, and operate to support our global wellbeing. Design performance is the new focal point, as Professor Hemsath notes:

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), buildings offer the lowest-cost investment potential for reducing greenhouse gases from carbon emissions. This cost capacity is twice that of any other category, with the second being industry. It helps to know that the decisions we make about a building’s design early in the process actually reduce energy consumption and climate emissions—in fact, the IPCC estimates that in the building sector, recent advances in technologies, know-how and policies provide opportunities to stabilize or reduce global energy use to about current levels by mid-century.¹

However, this is a very tall order: the design disconnect comes in our architectural discipline's seeming inability to connect cause and consequence. Endemic to much of our business thinking perhaps is that architects in the system create products that last for generations. Our profession sees through an awareness of lifecycle thinking, which creates a huge lasting global impact in the construction and operations of that built environment. For over 50 years we have been guilty of profligate energy use, often creating an adversely inhuman, sick building situation. *Energy Modeling in Architectural Design* in its initial overview of the design performance acutely documents our recent history of design and the responses, some with better outcomes than others.

It is the cause-and-effect of architectural design decisions seen in design education, through studio and technology courses at two universities and a global practice; it may be as simple as asking the designer “where is north” with drawings lacking the compass rose. How does the climate affect your design? Is accessibility a driver or simply a code requirement? How are you applying knowledge of wellbeing (much less have you even studied human factors)?

The “mystery of the design process” increasingly is hiding a lack of rigor to recognize design realization or everyday outcomes. Digital design/design virtualization has arisen from our computer-aided design, giving us virtual realization and now virtual reality. Truly incredible forms, designed through a raft of integrated coding processes, allow us to anticipate what a building looks like—but not how it will perform in the environment in a city, much less how the diversity of the human occupants will literally use it or feel about it. Will this virtual environment reflect resource stewardship or human comfort?

One of the many key elements this insightful text offers for the twenty-first-century design process is a clarity of methodology—an integrated design decision process recognizing operational outcomes. This is the best of computational design process—it is a valuable primer of what the design team needs to value in the design and delivery process for energy performance.

Energy simulation modeling, allied with daylight modeling and other resource use mapping, is perceived by too many in the architectural profession as either an academic approach or overtly an engineering-only pursuit, often applied to align the HVAC (heating, ventilation and air conditioning) design with what the architectural team has “thrown over the transom.” Many of the tools have evolved to be far friendlier than the early DOE2 versions, as Professor Hemsath notes. The lack of use, or simply using many of these simulation tools just once for the code requirement or LEED prerequisite, comes from the disconnect of the model with the design process and often the disconnect of the modeler from the rest of the team. It is the powerful insight of this text that maps the design teams, their process, and the iterative outcome of an energy simulation to deliver integrated design.

This computational design is increasingly the best practice for client value delivery; we tackle this former design dysfunction/disconnect using a design

inception process called Smart Start, where projected operational and human use performance indicators are documented for continual reference and course correction. This text excels in its documentation of the clear methodology to develop the energy optimization of the owner's project requirements and the attendant integrated architectural and mechanical basis of design. This is not simply for LEED prerequisites, but is the vital tool for the lifecycle for all projects of all scales.

Like so much in the twenty-first century, the evolution of processes, ideas, and global challenges will no doubt make many of our design processes and aesthetics rapidly outdated or out of touch with our client and student demands. Professor Hemsath has delivered more than a toolkit or methodology, but a valuable mindset of performance-based design, couched in a brief but exemplary anthology of our predecessors that influenced architectural design.

Notes

- 1 Timothy L. Hemsath and Kaveh Alagheh Bandhosseini, *Energy Modeling in Architectural Design* (Routledge, 2018); Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (Geneva: IPCC, 2014) 102.



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Preface

Why in the world am I writing this book? Because I believe that architects must lead building design into our unknown climate future. We achieve leadership through engaging our processes, designing better buildings, and demanding environmental performance. I have been an academic since 2006, when I first began teaching. New to this universe, I found it intimidating yet inspiring. I was previously involved with the U.S. Green Building Council's local chapter in Nebraska and worked briefly at Leo A. Daly.

One big disconnect we observed existed between the architect's wish to design a more sustainable building and the knowledge required to do so. We don't mean to suggest that anyone we've worked with is incompetent, of course, but this point is to identify that often our efforts to do what we think is right for the environment are at odds with our knowledge about what exactly we seek to achieve. An early example is the LEED rating system, plagued by criticism over its point system, which nonetheless moved the building industry toward greater sustainability, by awarding comparable points for often-disparate impacts on the environment. This phenomenon to quantify sustainability continues in other forms; another example is the U.S. Department of Energy-sponsored Solar Decathlon national design/build competition, where the technological value of the houses produced has greater value than their architectural quality. These efforts to measure and count something are important, though they can interfere with our ability to see the true value that design offers our built environment. This book is our attempt to connect the values of architectural design and measurable energy performance to improve the sustainability of our built environment.



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge everyone who made this book possible.

First and foremost is my life partner, best friend, and wife, DiAnna, who helped immensely by covering responsibilities, helping flesh out my grammar for the book, and pushing me to complete this effort, and also our son who has offered love whenever needed.

This book would not have been possible without my coauthor, Kaveh, who put an enormous amount of effort into simulating and writing about his work over our three-plus years working together. We began at zero, learning to understand each other and sharing the ideas that would lead to this production. I published some of our work; the rest is still sitting on my hard drive waiting for me to get to it. I compliment his ambition and persistence to push into the unknown, discover value, and question everything. Wherever he ends up after our adventure, he will surely make an impact as he has in this book.

At the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, I wish to first thank interim deans Kim Wilson and Scott Killinger and Architecture Program Director Jeffrey Day for their monetary support for student workers. I would also have had very little luck pursuing this topic without the passion and efforts of all the students over the years who explored the ideas and tested many of the concepts this book highlights. From seminars to design studios, their work planted the seeds that have grown into this manuscript. Finally, I must specifically thank some of the graduate research assistants and undergraduate workers who spent their summers and semesters working on aspects related to this book: Bryce Willis, Brett Virgl, Adam Weise, and Adam Heier.

My strength is not writing; I relied greatly on Ian Rogers for his editorial expertise in fixing all of my grammatical issues, and appreciate the time he spent revising and suggesting changes to the manuscript. In addition, I owe my ability to rely on his support to our business administrator, Jay Penner, who found some money I had sitting around for Ian's assistance.

Acknowledgments

I thank the photographers for providing their images and specifically all of the professionals across the U.S. who helped gather the information for the case studies. In alphabetical order:

Buro Happold: Matthew Herman
CORE Construction: Jessica Bateman
DLR Group: Kenneth P. West and Lissa S. Marshall
Flansburg Architects: Chris Brown and David Croteau
HDR Inc.: Katie Sosnowchik and Matthew Deboer
Leo A. Daly: Mike Brady
Miller Hull Partnership: Jim Hanford
MSR: Traci Lesneski, Byoungjin Lee, Ligeia Cholensky, and Amy Nash
Perkins and Will: Phil Freelon, Toni Martin, and Paul Banta
Skidmore, Owings & Merrill LLP: Maged Guerguis, Mariana Narvaez, and Emma Bird
Studio Twenty Seven Architecture: Todd Ray and Jim Spearman
Sustainable Engineering Group LLC: Jon Evans

To all my energy-modeling friends, I thank you for letting me bend your ears about this book and what the professional environment needs. Particular thanks to Nathan Kegel at IES for his contributions to energy modeling and my chapter on climate. I've truly enjoyed working with this group of individuals across the world who pursue this frontier of building performance simulation as it relates specifically to energy modeling.

To the academics I have spoken to at other institutions about what they are teaching, how they teach it, and what they use to educate their students with the same values I hold dear. These discussions help inspire me and open my eyes to what great things are happening. There is a lot of work to be done, and it definitely takes all of us, specifically the professionals, to push for carbon neutrality.

I should thank Peter Krebs, the mind behind Sefaira's energy modeling approach, for his brain and for speaking to me about their software plans and efforts, particularly the free educational license they provide all my students every semester to complete the coursework I thrust upon them. I should also thank the DIVA team's Alstan Jakubiec, who has helped us with many simulation issues over the years. He has been open and honest about how DIVA works and assisted in finding solutions and strategies for many of our questions. Finally, Adam Caprez, our connection to the Holland Computing Center at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

To conclude, I thank the editorial team at Routledge, and Grace Harrison, who was available to answer my questions. I am also grateful to Wendy Fuller and Norah Hatch for their help leading up to and at the end of the effort finalizing the manuscript.

Contributors

Timothy L. Hemsath, NCARB, AIA

Associate Professor of Architecture, College of Architecture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Tim is passionate about designing architecture for a sustainable built environment. As an Associate Professor in the College of Architecture, he has over 15 years of combined industry and educational experience in design, construction, and research in energy efficiency and sustainable design. His work includes establishing the Center for Urban Sustainability at the University of Nebraska-Omaha as its founding Research Fellow. He is a member of the Nebraska Community Energy Alliance and past-chair of the Nebraska Flatwater Chapter of the U.S. Green Building Council. He was the design architect for the ZNETH and ZNETH II energy-efficient prototypes working with the College of Engineering at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, and research PI for the Nebraska Research Initiative-funded project to develop research capacity surrounding zero-net energy research at the University of Nebraska.

Kaveh Alagheh Bandhosseini, PhD

Kaveh Alagheh graduated with a Master of Architecture degree from the Khorasgan branch of Azad University in Iran. After three years of practical experience and having taught in several branches of Azad University, he left Iran to continue his education in architecture. He is a PhD candidate at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. His extensive work on leveraging digital technologies to improve architectural performance has led to him winning the Per/Form competition, the Beetle[s] plug-in for Grasshopper, and a series of publications in cooperation with Professor Timothy Hemsath.

Rives T. Taylor, FAIA, LEED AP

Principal, Architect—Gensler

A Texas-practicing architect and educator, Rives Taylor, FAIA, LEED AP BD+C, is a Principal and Firmwide Sustainable Design Leader at Gensler, the leading global design firm. He also teaches sustainable design seminars at Rice University and technology curriculum at the UH School of Architecture. Having co-founded the U.S. Green Building Council Houston chapter, the AIA LFRT Green Committee, and engaged in AIA CEU and COTE green, and TxA SusCom efforts, Rives was elevated to his AIA Fellowship for his commitment to sustainable architectural education, mentoring, and practice.

In an era of climate uncertainty and pressures to reduce carbon emissions, buildings hold the largest share of energy consumption and are therefore a significant contributor of carbon into our atmosphere. We know that buildings consume energy and that conserving energy by operating a building intelligently is important. Since the primary energy source for a building is the power plant, we need to be conscientious in the use of this energy. Carbon limits on coal-fired plants place increased pressure on the use of energy in both new and existing buildings.

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), buildings offer the lowest-cost investment potential for reducing greenhouse gases (GHGs) from carbon emissions. This cost capacity is twice that of any other category, with the second being industry. It helps to know that the decisions we make about a building's design early in the process actually reduce energy consumption and climate emissions—in fact, the IPCC estimates that in the building sector, recent advances in technologies, know-how, and policies provide opportunities to stabilize or reduce global energy use to about current levels by mid-century.¹ In the long term, saving energy and reducing GHGs helps save building owners and operators money and prevents wasteful energy use during operation. Energy modeling allows one to demonstrate in measurable terms the energy savings of a building's design from the very conceptualization of a project.

Fundamental decisions made by designers, architects, and engineers early in the design process represent some of the most cost efficient ones. For instance, a building's shape and orientation are decisions made with minimal project cost implications. The building blocks we begin with in design (see Figure 1.1) are essential to conserve energy and use it efficiently. This book puts measurable energy consumption numbers to a few of these design tenets, showing how impactful they are for early design decisions. We can make wiser and more energy-efficient decisions about our building design when considering energy and following a design process that incorporates building energy modeling (BEM) to simulate a building's operational energy use.

There are endless potential building sizes, shapes, and forms—not to mention a wide range of functions and uses—from those with high energy use to low energy use, making it quite a challenge to piece together the range of possibilities. BEM tools employed in architectural design help harmonize them. Using these tools early and often provides the potential to continuously track and benchmark performance against the design goals a team might have for a project. As the building evolves, the specificity of BEM closes in on a more accurate prediction of energy use. A design might start as something simple and evolve into a complex building; using BEM along the way allows us to understand its energy performance from start to finish.

One key to a successful architectural practice today is how to use BEM in the building design process. Using energy modeling is essential to making early fundamental decisions about energy. However, anyone who has attempted to learn the energy modeling process can tell you how overwhelming it can be. There are innumerable barriers to overcome: What software do I use? When should I use it? How does it work? What am I using it for? This book will answer these questions and review in a non-specific software format how to use the tools available, when to use them, and what to do with the outputs to help in the design.

Within the energy modeling field there is an increasing number of BEM professionals certified by a consortium of professional organizations. This expertise will help provide knowledge experts to transform this industry in the next decade. However, not every professional wants to specialize in BEM. If you want to design buildings and incorporate the most energy-conscious methods into the design without becoming a BEM expert, then this book is for you—yes, you—the architect who wants to make smart decisions about energy in buildings.

This book seeks to inject BEM into architectural design, helping students and professionals to understand the workflow, leverage it to make decisions, and ultimately reduce the energy footprint of their building designs. The energy modeling process is not unlike the one we use to design: You start with some basic information and a few assumptions and go. However, the difference with BEM is the amount of upfront input required to run a simulation. What energy modeling software requires one to know in advance of producing results is challenging and can hamper the productivity of the design process. In this book we will show how to combine these workflows and leverage them in concert with design to make smarter decisions about a building's performance.

As part of designing to reduce energy consumption, what the reader can take away from this book is a framework for making productive energy-saving choices during building design. Specifically, this book discusses four key concepts that designers should incorporate in their practice, and students use in their design studios. First, a fundamental review of energy efficiency and climate helps demonstrate how design elements inform energy-saving architectural design practice. We review a range of design elements common in building design for a variety of climate zones. Second, we discuss fundamental elements critical to the energy-efficient design of buildings. Using BEM, we show how these elements have the