

GLOBAL
EDITION



Microeconomics

Theory and Applications with Calculus

FIFTH EDITION

Jeffrey M. Perloff



MICROECONOMICS

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS WITH CALCULUS

FIFTH EDITION

GLOBAL EDITION

This page intentionally left blank

MICROECONOMICS

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS WITH CALCULUS

FIFTH EDITION

GLOBAL EDITION

JEFFREY M. PERLOFF

University of California, Berkeley



Pearson

Harlow, England • London • New York • Boston • San Francisco • Toronto • Sydney • Dubai • Singapore • Hong Kong
Tokyo • Seoul • Taipei • New Delhi • Cape Town • Sao Paulo • Mexico City • Madrid • Amsterdam • Munich • Paris • Milan

Microsoft and/or its respective suppliers make no representations about the suitability of the information contained in the documents and related graphics published as part of the services for any purpose. All such documents and related graphics are provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. Microsoft and/or its respective suppliers hereby disclaim all warranties and conditions with regard to this information, including all warranties and conditions of merchantability, whether express, implied or statutory, fitness for a particular purpose, title and non-infringement. In no event shall Microsoft and/or its respective suppliers be liable for any special, indirect or consequential damages or any damages whatsoever resulting from loss of use, data or profits, whether in an action of contract, negligence or other tortious action, arising out of or in connection with the use or performance of information available from the services.

The documents and related graphics contained herein could include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors. Changes are periodically added to the information herein. Microsoft and/or its respective suppliers may make improvements and/or changes in the product(s) and/or the program(s) described herein at any time. Partial screen shots may be viewed in full within the software version specified.

Microsoft® and Windows® are registered trademarks of the Microsoft Corporation in the U.S.A. and other countries. This book is not sponsored or endorsed by or affiliated with the Microsoft Corporation.

Please contact <https://support.pearson.com/getsupport/s/contactsupport> with any queries on this content.

Acknowledgments of third-party content appear on the appropriate page within the text.

Pearson Education Limited

KAO Two
KAO Park
Hockham Way
Harlow
Essex
CM17 9SR
United Kingdom

and Associated Companies throughout the world

Visit us on the World Wide Web at: www.pearsonglobaleditions.com

© Pearson Education Limited 2022

The rights of Jeffrey M. Perloff to be identified as the authors of this work, have been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

Authorized adaptation from the United States edition, entitled *Microeconomics: Theory and Applications with Calculus*, 5th Edition, ISBN 978-0-13-518377-9 Jeffrey M. Perloff published by Pearson Education © 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a license permitting restricted copying in the United Kingdom issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, Saffron House, 6–10 Kirby Street, London EC1N 8TS. For information regarding permissions, request forms, and the appropriate contacts within the Pearson Education Global Rights and Permissions department, please visit www.pearsoned.com/permissions/.

All trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners. The use of any trademark in this text does not vest in the author or publisher any trademark ownership rights in such trademarks, nor does the use of such trademarks imply any affiliation with or endorsement of this book by such owners.

This eBook is a standalone product and may or may not include all assets that were part of the print version. It also does not provide access to other Pearson digital products like Revel. The publisher reserves the right to remove any material in this eBook at any time.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 10: 1-292-35912-9

ISBN 13: 978-1-292-35912-0

eBook ISBN 13: 978-1-292-35913-7

Typeset in SabonLTPro-Roman 10 by Integra Software Services Pvt. Ltd.

Brief Contents

Preface	14	
Chapter 1	Introduction	25
Chapter 2	Supply and Demand	34
Chapter 3	A Consumer's Constrained Choice	86
Chapter 4	Demand	129
Chapter 5	Consumer Welfare and Policy Analysis	166
Chapter 6	Firms and Production	201
Chapter 7	Costs	233
Chapter 8	Competitive Firms and Markets	272
Chapter 9	Properties and Applications of the Competitive Model	314
Chapter 10	General Equilibrium and Economic Welfare	351
Chapter 11	Monopoly and Monopsony	389
Chapter 12	Pricing and Advertising	436
Chapter 13	Game Theory	476
Chapter 14	Oligopoly and Monopolistic Competition	514
Chapter 15	Factor Markets	563
Chapter 16	Uncertainty	598
Chapter 17	Property Rights, Externalities, Rivalry, and Exclusion	634
Chapter 18	Asymmetric Information	669
Chapter 19	Contracts and Moral Hazards	696
Calculus Appendix		E-1
Regression Appendix		E-29
Answers to Selected Exercises		E-32
Definitions		E-53
References		E-59
Sources for Applications and Challenges		E-68
Index		E-77
Credits		E-109

Contents

Preface	14	2.5 Elasticities	54
Chapter 1 Introduction	25	Demand Elasticity	54
1.1 Microeconomics: The Allocation of Scarce Resources	25	Solved Problem 2.2	55
Trade-Offs	26	APPLICATION <i>The Demand Elasticities for Google Play and Apple Apps</i>	56
Who Makes the Decisions	26	Solved Problem 2.3	59
How Prices Determine Allocations	26	Supply Elasticity	60
APPLICATION <i>French Bonus-Malus Incentive System</i>	27	Solved Problem 2.4	62
1.2 Models	27	Long Run Versus Short Run	62
APPLICATION <i>Income Threshold Model and China</i>	27	APPLICATION <i>Oil Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge</i>	63
Simplifications by Assumption	28	Solved Problem 2.5	64
Testing Theories	29	2.6 Effects of a Sales Tax	65
Maximizing Subject to Constraints	29	Effects of a Specific Tax on the Equilibrium	66
Positive Versus Normative	30	The Same Equilibrium No Matter Who Is Taxed	68
New Theories	31	Firms and Customers Share the Burden of the Tax	68
1.3 Uses of Microeconomic Models in Your Life and Career	32	Solved Problem 2.6	70
Summary 33		APPLICATION <i>Subsidizing Ethanol</i>	71
Chapter 2 Supply and Demand	34	The Similar Effects of Ad Valorem and Specific Taxes	71
CHALLENGE <i>Quantities and Prices of Genetically Modified Foods</i>	34	2.7 Quantity Supplied Need Not Equal Quantity Demanded	72
2.1 Demand	35	Price Ceiling	73
The Demand Function	36	APPLICATION <i>Venezuelan Price Ceilings and Shortages</i>	75
Summing Demand Functions	40	Price Floor	76
APPLICATION <i>Aggregating Corn Demand Curves</i>	41	2.8 When to Use the Supply-and-Demand Model	77
2.2 Supply	41	CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Quantities and Prices of Genetically Modified Foods</i>	78
The Supply Function	42	Summary 79 ■ Exercises 80	
Summing Supply Functions	44	Chapter 3 A Consumer's Constrained Choice	86
How Government Import Policies Affect Supply Curves	44	CHALLENGE <i>Why Americans Buy E-Books and Germans Do Not</i>	86
2.3 Market Equilibrium	45	3.1 Preferences	88
Finding the Market Equilibrium	46	Properties of Consumer Preferences	88
Forces That Drive a Market to Equilibrium	47	APPLICATION <i>You Can't Have Too Much Money</i>	89
2.4 Shocking the Equilibrium: Comparative Statics	48	Preference Maps	90
Comparative Statics with Discrete (Large) Changes	49	Indifference Curves	91
APPLICATION <i>The Opioid Epidemic's Labor Market Effects</i>	50	Solved Problem 3.1	93
Comparative Statics with Small Changes	50	3.2 Utility	93
Solved Problem 2.1	52	Utility Function	93
Why the Shapes of Demand and Supply Curves Matter	53	Willingness to Substitute Between Goods	96

Solved Problem 3.2	98	Solved Problem 4.7	151
APPLICATION <i>MRS Between Recorded Tracks and Live Music</i>	99	Slutsky Equation	151
Curvature of Indifference Curves	99	4.4 Cost-of-Living Adjustment	153
Solved Problem 3.3	102	Inflation Indexes	153
APPLICATION <i>Indifference Curves Between Income and Health</i>	103	Effects of Inflation Adjustments	155
3.3 Budget Constraint	103	APPLICATION <i>Reducing the CPI Substitution Bias</i>	158
3.4 Constrained Consumer Choice	105	4.5 Revealed Preference	159
Finding an Interior Solution Using Graphs	106	Recovering Preferences	159
Solved Problem 3.4	108	Substitution Effect	160
Finding an Interior Solution Using Calculus	108	CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Paying Employees to Relocate</i>	161
Solved Problem 3.5	110	<i>Summary</i> 162 ■ <i>Exercises</i> 163	
Solved Problem 3.6	111		
Solved Problem 3.7	113	Chapter 5 Consumer Welfare and Policy Analysis	166
APPLICATION <i>Utility Maximization for Recorded Tracks and Live Music</i>	113	CHALLENGE <i>Per-Hour Versus Lump-Sum Childcare Subsidies</i>	166
Finding Corner Solutions	114	5.1 Uncompensated Consumer Welfare	167
Minimizing Expenditure	118	Willingness to Pay	168
Solved Problem 3.8	119	An Individual's Consumer Surplus	168
3.5 Behavioral Economics	120	A Market's Consumer Surplus	169
Tests of Transitivity	121	APPLICATION <i>A Day with the President of the European Council</i>	170
Endowment Effect	121	Effect of a Price Change on Consumer Surplus	171
APPLICATION <i>How You Frame Policy Requirements Matters</i>	122	Solved Problem 5.1	171
Saliency	122	5.2 Compensated Consumer Welfare	172
CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Why Americans Buy E-Books and Germans Do Not</i>	124	Indifference Curve Analysis	172
<i>Summary</i> 124 ■ <i>Exercises</i> 125		APPLICATION <i>Compensating Variation and Equivalent Variation for Smartphones and Facebook</i>	174
Chapter 4 Demand	129	Compensated Demand Curves and Consumer Welfare	175
CHALLENGE <i>Paying Employees to Relocate</i>	129	Comparing the Three Welfare Measures	176
4.1 Deriving Demand Curves	130	Solved Problem 5.2	178
System of Demand Functions	130	5.3 Effects of Government Policies on Consumer Welfare	179
Graphical Interpretation	131	Quotas	179
APPLICATION <i>Airplane versus Train Travel</i>	134	Food Stamps	181
4.2 Effects of an Increase in Income	134	APPLICATION <i>Cash, Food, or Vouchers?</i>	183
How Income Changes Shift Demand Curves	135	5.4 Deriving Labor Supply Curves	183
Solved Problem 4.1	136	Labor-Leisure Choice	183
Consumer Theory and Income Elasticities	137	Solved Problem 5.3	186
Solved Problem 4.2	138	Income and Substitution Effects	187
APPLICATION <i>Fast-Food Engel Curve</i>	140	Solved Problem 5.4	188
Solved Problem 4.3	142	APPLICATION <i>Fracking Causes Students to Drop Out</i>	188
4.3 Effects of a Price Increase	142	Solved Problem 5.5	189
Income and Substitution Effects with a Normal Good	143	Shape of the Labor Supply Curve	190
Solved Problem 4.4	145	Income Tax Rates and the Labor Supply Curve	191
APPLICATION <i>Substituting Cannabis for Prescription Drugs</i>	146	Solved Problem 5.6	193
Solved Problem 4.5	146	CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Per-Hour Versus Lump-Sum Childcare Subsidies</i>	194
Income and Substitution Effects with an Inferior Good	147	<i>Summary</i> 196 ■ <i>Exercises</i> 197	
Solved Problem 4.6	147		
Compensated Demand Curve	148		

Chapter 6 Firms and Production	201		
CHALLENGE Labor Productivity During Downturns	201		
6.1 The Ownership and Management of Firms	202		
Private, Public, and Nonprofit Firms	202		
APPLICATION Chinese State-Owned Enterprises	203		
The Ownership of For-Profit Firms	203		
The Management of Firms	204		
What Owners Want	204		
6.2 Production	205		
Production Functions	205		
Time and the Variability of Inputs	205		
6.3 Short-Run Production: One Variable and One Fixed Input	206		
Solved Problem 6.1	207		
Interpretation of Graphs	208		
Solved Problem 6.2	210		
Law of Diminishing Marginal Returns	210		
APPLICATION Malthus and the Green Revolution	211		
6.4 Long-Run Production: Two Variable Inputs	213		
Isoquants	213		
APPLICATION Self-Driving Trucks	216		
Substituting Inputs	217		
Solved Problem 6.3	218		
Diminishing Marginal Rates of Technical Substitution	218		
The Elasticity of Substitution	218		
Solved Problem 6.4	221		
6.5 Returns to Scale	221		
Constant, Increasing, and Decreasing Returns to Scale	221		
Solved Problem 6.5	222		
APPLICATION Returns to Scale in Various Industries	223		
Varying Returns to Scale	224		
6.6 Productivity and Technical Change	225		
Relative Productivity	225		
Innovations	226		
APPLICATION Robots and the Food You Eat	227		
APPLICATION A Good Boss Raises Productivity	228		
CHALLENGE SOLUTION Labor Productivity During Downturns	228		
Summary 229 ■ Exercises 230			
Chapter 7 Costs	233		
CHALLENGE To Automate or not to Automate?	233		
7.1 Measuring Costs	234		
Opportunity Costs	235		
APPLICATION The Opportunity Cost of an MBA	235		
Solved Problem 7.1	236		
Opportunity Cost of Capital	236		
Sunk Costs	237		
7.2 Short-Run Costs	238		
Short-Run Cost Measures	238		
APPLICATION The Sharing Economy and the Short Run	239		
Solved Problem 7.2	240		
Short-Run Cost Curves	241		
Production Functions and the Shape of Cost Curves	242		
APPLICATION Short-Run Cost Curves for a Japanese Beer Manufacturer	244		
Effects of Taxes on Costs	245		
Short-Run Cost Summary	245		
7.3 Long-Run Costs	246		
Input Choice	247		
Solved Problem 7.3	250		
Solved Problem 7.4	252		
How Long-Run Cost Varies with Output	254		
Solved Problem 7.5	255		
Solved Problem 7.6	257		
The Shape of Long-Run Cost Curves	257		
APPLICATION 3D Printing	258		
Estimating Cost Curves Versus Introspection	259		
7.4 Lower Costs in the Long Run	259		
Long-Run Average Cost as the Envelope of Short-Run Average Cost Curves	259		
APPLICATION A Beer Manufacturer's Long-Run Cost Curves	260		
APPLICATION Choosing an Inkjet or Laser Printer	261		
Short-Run and Long-Run Expansion Paths	262		
How Learning by Doing Lowers Costs	262		
APPLICATION Solar Power Learning Curves	264		
7.5 Cost of Producing Multiple Goods	264		
CHALLENGE SOLUTION To automate or not to automate?	266		
Summary 267 ■ Exercises 268			
Chapter 8 Competitive Firms and Markets	272		
CHALLENGE Deregulating the Norwegian Taxi Market	272		
8.1 Perfect Competition	273		
Price Taking	273		
Why a Firm's Demand Curve Is Horizontal	274		
Perfect Competition in the Chicago Commodity Exchange	275		
Deviations from Perfect Competition	275		
Derivation of a Competitive Firm's Demand Curve	276		
Solved Problem 8.1	278		
Why Perfect Competition Is Important	278		

8.2 Profit Maximization	278	9.5 Policies That Create a Wedge Between Supply and Demand Curves	328	
Profit	279	Welfare Effects of a Sales Tax	328	
Two Steps to Maximizing Profit	280	APPLICATION <i>The Deadweight Loss from Gas Taxes</i>	330	
8.3 Competition in the Short Run	283	Welfare Effects of a Price Floor	330	
Short-Run Competitive Profit Maximization	283	Solved Problem 9.3	333	
Solved Problem 8.2	285	APPLICATION <i>How Big Are Farm Subsidies and Who Gets Them?</i>	334	
APPLICATION <i>Fracking and Shutdowns</i>	288	Welfare Effects of a Price Ceiling	334	
Short-Run Firm Supply Curve	289	Solved Problem 9.4	335	
Solved Problem 8.3	290	APPLICATION <i>The Social Cost of a Natural Gas Price Ceiling</i>	336	
Short-Run Market Supply Curve	291	9.6 Comparing Both Types of Policies: Trade	336	
Short-Run Competitive Equilibrium	293	Free Trade Versus a Ban on Imports	337	
Solved Problem 8.4	294	Solved Problem 9.5	339	
8.4 Competition in the Long Run	295	APPLICATION <i>Russian Food Ban</i>	339	
Long-Run Competitive Profit Maximization	295	Free Trade Versus a Tariff	340	
Long-Run Firm Supply Curve	295	Solved Problem 9.6	342	
APPLICATION <i>The Size of Ethanol Processing Plants</i>	296	A Tariff Versus a Quota	343	
Long-Run Market Supply Curve	297	Rent Seeking	344	
APPLICATION <i>Industries with High Entry and Exit Rates</i>	298	CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Liquor Licenses Freeze</i>	345	
APPLICATION <i>Upward-Sloping Long-Run Supply Curve for Cotton</i>	300	<i>Summary</i>	346 ■ <i>Exercises</i>	347
APPLICATION <i>Reformulated Gasoline Supply Curves</i>	304			
Solved Problem 8.5	305			
Long-Run Competitive Equilibrium	306			
CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Deregulating the Norwegian Taxi Market</i>	307			
<i>Summary</i>	308 ■ <i>Exercises</i>			
	309			
Chapter 9 Properties and Applications of the Competitive Model	314	Chapter 10 General Equilibrium and Economic Welfare	351	
CHALLENGE <i>Liquor Licenses Freeze</i>	314	CHALLENGE <i>Price Ceilings in a Pandemic</i>	351	
9.1 Zero Profit for Competitive Firms in the Long Run	315	10.1 General Equilibrium	353	
Zero Long-Run Profit with Free Entry	315	Competitive Equilibrium in Two Interrelated Markets	354	
Zero Long-Run Profit When Entry Is Limited	316	APPLICATION <i>Partial-Equilibrium Versus Multimarket-Equilibrium Analysis in Corn and Soybean Markets</i>	355	
APPLICATION <i>What's a Name Worth?</i>	318	Minimum Wages with Incomplete Coverage	356	
The Need to Maximize Profit	318	Solved Problem 10.1	358	
9.2 Producer Surplus	318	APPLICATION <i>Urban Flight</i>	359	
Measuring Producer Surplus Using a Supply Curve	318	10.2 Trading Between Two People	359	
Using Producer Surplus	320	Endowments	359	
Solved Problem 9.1	320	Mutually Beneficial Trades	361	
9.3 Competition Maximizes Welfare	321	Solved Problem 10.2	363	
Measuring Welfare	322	Deriving the Contract Curve	363	
Why Producing Less Than the Competitive Output Lowers Welfare	322	Solved Problem 10.3	364	
Solved Problem 9.2	324	Bargaining Ability	364	
APPLICATION <i>The Deadweight Loss of Christmas Presents</i>	325	10.3 Competitive Exchange	364	
9.4 Policies That Shift Supply or Demand Curves	326	Competitive Equilibrium	365	
APPLICATION <i>Welfare Effects of Delaying 5G Technology</i>	327	Solved Problem 10.4	367	
		The Efficiency of Competition	367	
		Obtaining Any Efficient Allocation Using Competition	367	
		10.4 Production and Trading	368	
		Comparative Advantage	368	
		Solved Problem 10.5	370	
		Efficient Product Mix	372	
		Competition	372	

10.5 Efficiency and Equity	374	APPLICATION <i>Natural Gas Regulation</i>	419
Role of the Government	374	Increasing Competition	420
Efficiency	375	APPLICATION <i>Movie Studios Attacked</i>	
Equity	375	by 3D Printers!	421
APPLICATION <i>Extremely Unequal Wealth</i>	376	Solved Problem 11.8	421
Efficiency Versus Equity	381	11.6 Internet Monopolies: Networks Effects,	
Theory of the Second Best	382	Behavioral Economics, and Economies	
CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Price Ceilings</i>		of Scale	422
in a Pandemic	384	Network Externalities	422
Summary 385 ■ Exercises 385		APPLICATION <i>Critical Mass and eBay</i>	423
Chapter 11 Monopoly and Monopsony	389	Introductory Prices: A Two-Period	
CHALLENGE <i>Brand-Name and</i>		Monopoly Model	424
Generic Drugs	389	Two-Sided Markets	424
11.1 Monopoly Profit Maximization	391	Economies of Scale on the Internet	425
The Necessary Condition for Profit		Disruptive Technologies	425
Maximization	391	11.7 Monopsony	426
Marginal Revenue and the Demand		Monopsony Profit Maximization	426
Curves	391	Welfare Effects of Monopsony	428
Solved Problem 11.1	393	Solved Problem 11.9	429
Marginal Revenue Curve and the Price		CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Brand-Name</i>	
Elasticity of Demand	393	and Generic Drugs	430
APPLICATION <i>Amazon Prime Revenue</i>	395	Summary 431 ■ Exercises 431	
An Example of Monopoly Profit		Chapter 12 Pricing and Advertising	436
Maximization	395	CHALLENGE <i>Sale Price</i>	436
APPLICATION <i>Apple's iPad</i>	397	12.1 Conditions for Price Discrimination	438
Solved Problem 11.2	397	Why Price Discrimination Pays	438
Choosing Price or Quantity	399	Which Firms Can Price Discriminate	438
APPLICATION <i>Taylor Swift Concert</i>		APPLICATION <i>Disneyland Pricing</i>	439
Pricing	399	Preventing Resale	439
Solved Problem 11.3	399	APPLICATION <i>Preventing Resale of</i>	
Effects of a Shift of the Demand Curve	400	Designer Bags	440
11.2 Market Power and Welfare	401	Not All Price Differences Are Price	
Market Power and the Shape of the		Discrimination	440
Demand Curve	401	Types of Price Discrimination	441
The Lerner Index	403	12.2 Perfect Price Discrimination	441
Solved Problem 11.4	403	How a Firm Perfectly Price Discriminates	441
Sources of Market Power	404	Solved Problem 12.1	443
Effect of Market Power on Welfare	404	Perfect Price Discrimination Is Efficient	
11.3 Taxes and Monopoly	405	but Harms Some Consumers	444
Effects of a Specific Tax	406	APPLICATION <i>Botox and Price</i>	
Solved Problem 11.5	407	Discrimination	446
Welfare Effects of Ad Valorem Versus		Transaction Costs and Perfect Price	
Specific Taxes	409	Discrimination	447
11.4 Causes of Monopolies	410	APPLICATION <i>Google Uses Bidding for</i>	
Cost Advantages	410	Ads to Price Discriminate	447
Solved Problem 11.6	412	12.3 Group Price Discrimination	447
Government Actions That Create		APPLICATION <i>Tesla Price Discrimination</i>	448
Monopolies	412	Prices and Elasticities	449
APPLICATION <i>The Botox Patent</i>		APPLICATION <i>Age Discrimination</i>	450
Monopoly	414	Solved Problem 12.2	450
11.5 Government Actions That Reduce		Identifying Groups	452
Market Power	415	APPLICATION <i>Buying Discounts</i>	452
Regulating Monopolies	415	Solved Problem 12.3	453
Solved Problem 11.7	417	Welfare Effects of Group Price Discrimination	454

12.4 Nonlinear Price Discrimination	455
12.5 Two-Part Pricing	457
Two-Part Pricing with Identical Consumers	458
Two-Part Pricing with Differing Consumers	459
APPLICATION Pricing iTunes	461
12.6 Tie-In Sales	461
Requirement Tie-In Sales	462
APPLICATION Ties That Bind	462
Bundling	462
12.7 Advertising	465
Deciding Whether to Advertise	466
How Much to Advertise	467
Solved Problem 12.4	468
APPLICATION Super Bowl Commercials	469
CHALLENGE SOLUTION Sale Price	469
Summary 471 ■ Exercises 471	

Chapter 13 Game Theory 476

CHALLENGE Intel and AMD's Advertising Strategies	476
13.1 Static Games	478
Normal-Form Games	479
Failure to Maximize Joint Profits	482
APPLICATION Strategic Advertising	484
Pricing Games in Two-Sided Markets	485
Multiple Equilibria	486
Solved Problem 13.1	487
Mixed Strategies	488
APPLICATION Boomerang Millennials	489
Solved Problem 13.2	490
13.2 Repeated Dynamic Games	490
Strategies and Actions in Dynamic Games	491
Cooperation in a Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma Game	491
Solved Problem 13.3	493
13.3 Sequential Game	493
Game Tree	493
Subgame Perfect Nash Equilibrium	494
Credibility	496
Dynamic Entry Game	497
Solved Problem 13.4	499
APPLICATION Keeping Out Casinos	499
Solved Problem 13.5	500
13.4 Auctions	501
Elements of Auctions	501
Bidding Strategies in Private-Value Auctions	503
Winner's Curse	504
APPLICATION Bidder's Curse	504
13.5 Behavioral Game Theory	505
APPLICATION GM's Ultimatum	505
CHALLENGE SOLUTION Intel and AMD's Advertising Strategies	506
Summary 507 ■ Exercises 508	

Chapter 14 Oligopoly and Monopolistic Competition 514

CHALLENGE Government Aircraft Subsidies	514
14.1 Market Structures	516
14.2 Cartels	517
Why Cartels Form	518
Why Cartels Fail	519
Laws Against Cartels	520
APPLICATION Employer "No-Poaching" Cartels	521
Maintaining Cartels	522
APPLICATION Cheating on the Maple Syrup Cartel	523
Mergers	524
APPLICATION Airline Mergers	524
14.3 Cournot Oligopoly Model	525
The Duopoly Nash-Cournot Equilibrium	525
The Cournot Model with Many Firms	529
APPLICATION Mobile Number Portability	532
The Cournot Model with Nonidentical Firms	533
Solved Problem 14.1	533
APPLICATION How Do Costs, Price Markups, and Profits Vary Across Airlines?	534
Solved Problem 14.2	535
APPLICATION Differentiating Bottled Water Through Marketing	536
14.4 Stackelberg Oligopoly Model	536
Calculus Solution	537
Graphical Solution	538
Why Moving Sequentially Is Essential	539
Strategic Trade Policy: An Application of the Stackelberg Model	539
Solved Problem 14.3	542
Comparison of Collusive, Nash-Cournot, Stackelberg, and Competitive Equilibria	543
14.5 Bertrand Oligopoly Model	544
Nash-Bertrand Equilibrium with Identical Products	545
Nash-Bertrand Equilibrium with Differentiated Products	547
APPLICATION Rising Market Power	550
14.6 Monopolistic Competition	550
APPLICATION Monopolistically Competitive Food Truck Market in the Philippines	551
Monopolistically Competitive Equilibrium	552
Fixed Costs and the Number of Firms	553
Solved Problem 14.4	554
APPLICATION Subsidizing the Entry Cost of Dentists	555
CHALLENGE SOLUTION Government Aircraft Subsidies	555
Summary 557 ■ Exercises 558	

Chapter 15	Factor Markets	563	16.3 Reducing Risk	613
	CHALLENGE <i>Does Going to College Pay?</i>	563	Just Say No	613
15.1 Factor Markets		564	Obtaining Information	614
A Firm's Short-Run Factor Demand Curve		564	Diversification	614
Solved Problem 15.1		567	APPLICATION <i>Investing in Your Employer</i>	616
A Firm's Long-Run Factor Demand Curves		569	Insurance	616
Competitive Factor Markets		571	Solved Problem 16.5	617
APPLICATION <i>Black Death Raises Wages</i>		573	APPLICATION <i>Flight Insurance</i>	618
Solved Problem 15.2		574	APPLICATION <i>Earthquake Insurance in Japan</i>	619
15.2 Capital Markets and Investing		574	16.4 Investing Under Uncertainty	620
Interest Rates		575	How Investing Depends on Attitudes	
Discount Rate		576	Toward Risk	620
Stream of Payments		576	Investing with Uncertainty and Discounting	622
APPLICATION <i>Saving for Retirement</i>		578	Solved Problem 16.6	622
Investing		578	16.5 Behavioral Economics and Uncertainty	623
Solved Problem 15.3		580	Biased Assessment of Probabilities	623
Solved Problem 15.4		581	APPLICATION <i>Biased Estimates</i>	624
Durability		581	Violations of Expected Utility Theory	625
APPLICATION <i>Durability of Telephone Poles</i>		582	Prospect Theory	626
Time-Varying Discounting		583	Comparing Expected Utility and Prospect Theories	627
APPLICATION <i>Behavioral Economics: Falling Discount Rates and Self-Control</i>		584	CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>BP and Limited Liability</i>	628
Capital Markets, Interest Rates, and Investments		584	<i>Summary</i>	629 ■ <i>Exercises</i>
Solved Problem 15.5		585		630
15.3 Exhaustible Resources		586	Chapter 17	Property Rights, Externalities, Rivalry, and Exclusion
When to Sell an Exhaustible Resource		586		634
Price of a Scarce Exhaustible Resource		587	CHALLENGE <i>Trade and Pollution</i>	634
APPLICATION <i>Redwood Trees</i>		590	17.1 Externalities	635
Why Price Might Not Rise		591	APPLICATION <i>Disney's Positive Externality</i>	636
CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Does Going to College Pay?</i>		592	17.2 The Inefficiency of Competition with Externalities	636
<i>Summary</i>	594 ■ <i>Exercises</i>	594	Supply-and-Demand Analysis	637
			Cost-Benefit Analysis	639
			APPLICATION <i>Spam: A Negative Externality</i>	641
Chapter 16	Uncertainty	598	17.3 Regulating Externalities	641
	CHALLENGE <i>BP and Limited Liability</i>	598	Emissions Standard	642
16.1 Assessing Risk		599	Emissions Fee and Effluent Charge	643
Probability		600	Solved Problem 17.1	644
APPLICATION <i>Risk of a Cyberattack</i>		601	APPLICATION <i>Why Tax Drivers</i>	644
Expected Value		602	Benefits Versus Costs from Controlling Pollution	645
Solved Problem 16.1		602	Taxes Versus Standards Under Uncertainty	645
Variance and Standard Deviation		603	17.4 Market Structure and Externalities	647
16.2 Attitudes Toward Risk		604	Monopoly and Externalities	647
Expected Utility Theory		604	Monopoly Versus Competitive Welfare with Externalities	648
Risk Aversion		605	Solved Problem 17.2	648
Solved Problem 16.2		607	Taxing Externalities in Noncompetitive Markets	649
Solved Problem 16.3		608	17.5 Allocating Property Rights to Reduce Externalities	649
APPLICATION <i>Stocks' Risk Premium</i>		608	Coase Theorem	650
Risk Neutrality		609		
Risk Preference		610		
APPLICATION <i>Gambling</i>		610		
Degree of Risk Aversion		611		
Solved Problem 16.4		613		

APPLICATION <i>Buying a Town Markets for Pollution</i>	651	Chapter 19 Contracts and Moral Hazards	696
APPLICATION <i>Acid Rain Program</i>	652	CHALLENGE <i>Clawing Back Bonuses</i>	696
17.6 Rivalry and Exclusion	653	19.1 Principal-Agent Problem	698
Open-Access Common Property	653	A Model	699
APPLICATION <i>Road Congestion</i>	654	Types of Contracts	699
Club Goods	655	Efficiency	700
APPLICATION <i>Microsoft Word Piracy</i>	655	Solved Problem 19.1	701
Public Goods	656	APPLICATION <i>Honest Cabbie?</i>	702
Solved Problem 17.3	657	19.2 Production Efficiency	702
APPLICATION <i>Free Riding on Measles Vaccinations</i>	658	Efficient Contract	702
Solved Problem 17.4	660	Full Information	704
Reducing Free Riding	660	Solved Problem 19.2	707
APPLICATION <i>What's Their Beef?</i>	660	Asymmetric Information	708
Valuing Public Goods	661	APPLICATION <i>Sing for Your Supper</i>	709
CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Trade and Pollution</i>	662	19.3 Trade-Off Between Efficiency in Production and in Risk Bearing	710
<i>Summary</i>	664	Contracts and Efficiency	710
	664	Solved Problem 19.3	711
		Choosing the Best Contract	712
Chapter 18 Asymmetric Information	669	APPLICATION <i>Health Insurance and Moral Hazard</i>	713
CHALLENGE <i>Dying to Work</i>	669	Solved Problem 19.4	714
18.1 Adverse Selection	671	19.4 Monitoring to Reduce Moral Hazard	715
Insurance Markets	671	Bonding	715
Products of Unknown Quality	672	Solved Problem 19.5	716
Solved Problem 18.1	674	APPLICATION <i>Capping Oil and Gas Bankruptcies</i>	717
Solved Problem 18.2	675	Deferred Payments	718
18.2 Reducing Adverse Selection	676	Efficiency Wages	718
Equalizing Information	676	APPLICATION <i>Walmart's Efficiency Wages</i>	719
APPLICATION <i>Discounts for Data</i>	677	After-the-Fact Monitoring	719
APPLICATION <i>Adverse Selection and Remanufactured Goods</i>	678	19.5 Contract Choice	720
Laws to Prevent Opportunism	679	19.6 Checks on Principals	721
18.3 Price Discrimination Due to False Beliefs About Quality	680	APPLICATION <i>Layoffs Versus Pay Cuts</i>	721
APPLICATION <i>Reducing Consumers' Information</i>	681	CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Clawing Back Bonuses</i>	723
18.4 Market Power from Price Ignorance	681	<i>Summary</i>	724
Tourist-Trap Model	682		725
Solved Problem 18.3	683	Calculus Appendix E-1	
Advertising and Prices	684	Regression Appendix E-29	
18.5 Problems Arising from Ignorance		Answers to Selected Exercises E-32	
When Hiring	684	Definitions E-53	
Cheap Talk	684	References E-59	
APPLICATION <i>Cheap Talk in eBay's Best Offer Market</i>	686	Sources for Applications and Challenges E-68	
Education as a Signal	686	Index E-77	
Solved Problem 18.4	687	Credits E-109	
Screening in Hiring	690		
CHALLENGE SOLUTION <i>Dying to Work</i>	691		
<i>Summary</i>	692		
<i>Exercises</i>	693		

Preface

This book is a new type of intermediate microeconomics textbook. Previously, the choice was between books that use calculus to present formal theory dryly and with few, if any, applications to the real world and books that include applications but present theory using algebra and graphs only. This book uses calculus, algebra, and graphs to present microeconomic theory based on actual examples and then uses the theory to analyze real-world problems. My purpose is to show that economic theory has practical, problem-solving uses and is not an empty academic exercise.

This book shows how individuals, policymakers, and firms use microeconomic tools to analyze and resolve problems. For example, students learn that:

- individuals can draw on microeconomic theories when deciding whether to invest and whether to sign a contract that pegs prices to the government's measure of inflation;
- policymakers (and voters) can employ microeconomics to predict the impact of taxes, regulations, and other measures before they are enacted;
- lawyers and judges use microeconomics in antitrust, discrimination, and contract cases; and
- firms apply microeconomic principles to produce at least cost and maximize profit, select strategies, decide whether to buy from a market or to produce internally, and write contracts to provide optimal incentives for employees.

My experience in teaching microeconomics for the departments of economics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology; the University of Pennsylvania; the University of California, Berkeley; the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at Berkeley; and the Wharton Business School has convinced me that students prefer this emphasis on real-world issues.

Changes in the Fifth Edition

This edition is substantially revised:

- It added an extensive Appendix on basic calculus (which was available only online in the previous edition).
- It includes two new features: Common Confusions and Unintended Consequences. Common Confusions describe a widely held belief that economic theory or evidence rejects. Unintended Consequences describe how some policies and other actions have potent side-effects beyond the intended ones.
- All the chapters are moderately to substantially revised and updated, including the many examples embedded in the chapters, Solved Problems, end-of-chapter problems, and other features.

- Of this edition's 128 Applications, 81% are new (26%) or revised (55%). Sixty percent of the Applications are international. In addition, we've added 23 Applications to MyLab Economics, bringing the total number of additional Applications online to 238.
- Compared to the previous edition, this edition has 7 additional figures (215 total), 2 more photos (52), and 4 new cartoons (22), which I claim illustrate important economic concepts.

Revised Chapters

Some of the major changes in the presentation of theories in the chapters include:

Supply and Demand. Chapter 2 was generally rewritten and has a revised section on taxes.

Consumer Theory. The most important changes to Chapters 3–5 include a major revision to the consumer surplus section, an embedded example based on UberX, more details about federal marginal tax rates, and a new Solved Problem.

Production and Costs. Chapter 6 has a new discussion of kinked isoquants based on self-driving trucks and a revised discussion of efficiency and a revised Challenge Solution. Chapter 7 also has a revised discussion of efficiency and a revised Challenge Solution.

Competition. Chapters 8 and 9 have revised Challenge Solutions and a Solved Problem, a new Solved Problem, a revised section comparing tariffs to quotas, a revised discussion of efficiency and market failures including adding a discussion of allocative inefficiency. This edition now systematically defines deadweight loss as a positive number in this chapter and in subsequent chapters.

General Equilibrium and Economic Welfare. Chapter 10 has a revised Solved Problem.

Monopoly. Chapter 11 has many changes. The previous section on Network Externalities was replaced with a new section, Internet Monopolies: Network Externalities, Behavioral Economics, and Natural Monopoly, which emphasizes new economic challenges in internet industries. Subsections include new discussions of two-sided markets and disruptive technologies. It includes a revised and a new Solved Problem.

Pricing and Advertising. Chapter 12 has many new examples. The key price discrimination analysis now uses Tesla car sales in the United States and in Europe (based on actual data, as always). Its discussions on identifying groups, two-part pricing, the mathematical parts of the Challenge Solution, and several figures are revised. One of the Solved Problems is new.

Game Theory and Oligopoly. Chapter 13 on game theory has two new Solved Problems. It uses new examples to illustrate the theory. It has a new two-sided market section. Its section on Dynamic Games is revised. It has new material on limit pricing and double auctions. Chapter 14 has revised discussions of strategic trade and differentiated products and new figures and a table.

Factor Markets. Chapter 15 includes a new discussion on the frequency of compounding. The Challenge Solution is revised.

Uncertainty. Chapter 16 has a revised section on the risk premium and now formally defines certainty equivalence.

Externalities and Public Goods. Chapter 17 has a new Solved Problem. The section on public goods is completely revised including the figure.

Asymmetric Information. Chapter 18 has revisions to the sections on Products of Unknown Quality and Universal Coverage. It includes a new section on noisy monopoly.

Challenges, Solved Problems, and End-of-Chapter Exercises

The Solved Problems (which show students how to answer problems using a step-by-step approach) and Challenges (which combine an Application with a Solved Problem) are very popular with students, so this edition increases the number by 6 to 116. After Chapter 1, each chapter starts with a Challenge (a problem based on an Application) and ends with its solution. In addition, many of the Solved Problems are linked to Applications. Each Solved Problem has at least one similar end-of-chapter exercise, which allows students to demonstrate that they've mastered the concept in the Solved Problem.

This edition has 809 end-of-chapter exercises, which is over 8% more than in the last edition. Of the total, 12% are new or revised and updated. Every end-of-chapter exercise is available in MyLab Economics. Students can go to MyLab Economics to complete the exercise online, get tutorial help, and receive instant feedback.

How This Book Differs from Others

Microeconomics: Theory and Applications with Calculus differs from most other microeconomics texts in four main ways, all of which help professors teach and students learn. First, it uses a mixture of calculus, algebra, and graphs to define economic theory. Second, it integrates estimated, real-world examples throughout the exposition, in addition to offering extended Applications. Third, it places greater emphasis on modern theories—such as industrial organization theories, game theory, transaction cost theory, information theory, contract theory, and behavioral economics—that are useful in analyzing actual markets. Fourth, it employs a step-by-step approach that demonstrates how to use microeconomic theory to solve problems and analyze policy issues.

To improve student results, I recommend pairing the text content with **MyLab Economics**, which is the teaching and learning platform that empowers you to reach every student. By combining trusted author content with digital tools and a flexible platform, MyLab personalizes the learning experience and will help your students learn and retain key course concepts while developing skills that future employers are seeking in their candidates. MyLab Economics allows professors increased

flexibility in designing and teaching their courses. Learn more at www.pearson.com/mylab/economics.

Solving Teaching and Learning Challenges

In the features of the book and MyLab Economics, I show how to apply theory and analysis learned in the classroom to solving problems and understanding real-world market issues outside of class.

Using Calculus to Make Theory Clear to Students

Microeconomic theory is primarily the study of maximizing behavior. Calculus is particularly helpful in solving maximization problems, while graphs help illustrate how to maximize. This book combines calculus, algebra, graphs, and verbal arguments to make the theory as clear as possible.

Real-World Examples and Applications

To convince students that economics is practical and useful—not just a textbook exercise—this book presents theories using examples of real people and real firms based on actual market data rather than artificial examples. These real economic stories are integrated into the formal presentation of many economic theories, discussed in Applications, and analyzed in what-if policy discussions.

Integrated Real-World Examples. This book uses real-world examples throughout the narrative to illustrate many basic theories of microeconomics. Students learn the basic model of supply and demand using estimated supply-and-demand curves for corn and coffee. They analyze consumer choice by employing estimated indifference curves between live music and music tracks. They see estimates of the consumer welfare from UberX. They learn about production and cost functions using estimates from a wide variety of firms. Students see monopoly theory applied to a patented pharmaceutical, Botox. They use oligopoly theories to analyze the rivalry between United Airlines and American Airlines on the Chicago–Los Angeles route, and between Coke and Pepsi in the cola industry. They see Apple’s monopoly pricing of iPads and learn about multimarket price discrimination through the use of data on how Tesla sets prices across countries.

Applications. The text includes many Applications at the end of sections that illustrate the versatility of microeconomic theory. The Applications focus on such diverse topics as:

- how 3D printing affects firms’ decisions about scale and its flexibility over time and is undermining movie studios;
- the amount by which recipients value Christmas presents relative to the cost to gift givers;
- whether buying flight insurance makes sense;
- whether going to college pays.

APPLICATION

Welfare Effects
of Delaying 5G
Technology

The 5G cellular technology, the planned successor to 4G networks, promises faster internet speeds and the capacity to support more devices, both of which result in increased working productivity, improved social interactions, and better management of homes and businesses. By 2034, 5G could generate \$2.2 trillion in GDP and \$588 billion in tax revenue worldwide. South Korea, China, and the United States are the current world leaders in building and deploying this technology.

The rollout of 5G, however, has also caused many controversies. It requires installation of many more antennas in urban areas which raises health concerns. Switzerland, for example, has placed an indefinite moratorium on the use of 5G technology. The United Kingdom, on the other hand, like some other countries, has decided to ban Huawei, the leading global provider of ICT technology and smart devices, from its 5G. According to a report commissioned by Huawei, this move would cause a welfare loss of over \$23.5 billion in productivity benefits.

What-If Policy Analysis. This book uses economic models to probe the likely outcomes of changes in public policies. Students learn how to conduct what-if analyses of policies such as taxes, subsidies, barriers to entry, price floors and ceilings, quotas and tariffs, zoning, pollution controls, and licensing laws. The text analyzes the effects of taxes on virtually every type of market. The book also reveals the limits of economic theory for policy analysis. For example, to illustrate why attention to actual institutions is important, the text uses three different models to show how the effects of minimum wages vary across types of markets and institutions. Similarly, the text illustrates that a minimum wage law that is harmful in a competitive market may be desirable in certain noncompetitive markets.

Modern Theories

The first half of the book (Chapters 2–10) examines competitive markets and shows that competition has very desirable properties. The rest of the book (Chapters 11–19) concentrates on imperfectly competitive markets—in which firms have market power (the ability to profitably set price above the unit cost of production), firms and consumers are uncertain about the future and have limited information, a market has an externality, or a market fails to provide a public good. This book goes beyond basic microeconomic theory and looks at theories and applications from many important contemporary fields of economics. It extensively covers problems from resource economics, labor economics, international trade, public finance, and industrial organization. The book uses behavioral economics to discuss consumer choice, bandwagon effects on monopoly pricing over time, and the importance of time-varying discounting in explaining procrastination and in avoiding environmental disasters. This book differs from other microeconomics texts by using game theory throughout the second half rather than isolating the topic in a single chapter. The book introduces game theory in Chapter 13, analyzing both static games (such as the prisoners’ dilemma) and multi-period games (such as collusion and preventing entry). Special attention is paid to auction strategies. Chapters 14, 16, 17, 18, and 19 employ game theory to analyze oligopoly behavior and many other topics. Unlike most texts,

this book covers pure and mixed strategies and analyzes both normal-form and extensive-form games. The last two chapters draw from modern contract theory to extensively analyze adverse selection and moral hazard, unlike other texts that mention these topics only in passing, if at all. The text covers lemons markets, signaling, shirking prevention, and revealing information (including through contract choice).

Step-by-Step Problem Solving

Many instructors report that their biggest challenge in teaching microeconomics is helping students learn to solve new problems. This book is based on the belief that the best way to teach this important skill is to demonstrate problem solving repeatedly and then to give students exercises to do on their own. Each chapter (after Chapter 1) provides several Solved Problems that show students how to answer qualitative and quantitative problems using a step-by-step approach. Rather than empty arithmetic exercises demanding no more of students than employing algebra or a memorized mathematical formula, the Solved Problems focus on important economic issues such as analyzing government policies and determining firms' optimal strategies.

One Solved Problem uses game theory to examine why Intel and AMD use different advertising strategies in the central processing unit (CPU) market. Another shows how a monopolistically competitive airline equilibrium would change if fixed costs (such as fees for landing slots) rise. Others examine why firms charge different prices at factory stores than elsewhere and when markets for lemons exist, among many other topics.

The Solved Problems illustrate how to approach the formal end-of-chapter exercises. Students can solve some of the exercises using graphs or verbal arguments, while others require math.

SOLVED PROBLEM 18.1

MyLab Economics
Solved Problem

Suppose that everyone in our used-car example is risk neutral; potential car buyers value lemons at \$4,000 and good used cars at \$8,000; the reservation price of lemon owners is \$3,000; and the reservation price of owners of high-quality used cars is \$7,000. The share of current owners who have lemons is θ . (In our previous example, the share was $\theta = \frac{1}{2} = 1,000/[1,000 + 1,000]$). For what values of θ do all the potential sellers sell their used cars? Describe the equilibrium.

Answer

1. *Determine how much buyers are willing to pay if all cars are sold.* Because buyers are risk neutral, if they believe that the probability of getting a lemon is θ , the most they are willing to pay for a car of unknown quality is


$$p = [\$8,000 \times (1 - \theta)] + (\$4,000 \times \theta) = \$8,000 - (\$4,000 \times \theta). \quad (18.1)$$

For example, $p = \$6,000$ if $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $p = \$7,000$ if $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

2. *Solve for the values of θ such that all the cars are sold, and describe the equilibrium.* All owners will sell if the market price equals or exceeds their reservation price, \$7,000. Using Equation 18.1, we know that the market (equilibrium) price is \$7,000 or more if a quarter or fewer of the used cars are lemons, $\theta \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Thus, for $\theta \leq \frac{1}{4}$, all the cars are sold at the price given in Equation 18.1.

MyLab Economics Videos

Today's students learn best when they analyze and discuss topics in the text outside of class. To further students' understanding of what they are reading and discussing in the classroom, we provide a set of videos in MyLab Economics. In these videos, Tony Lima presents key figures, tables, and concepts in step-by-step animations with audio explanations that discuss the economics behind each step.



Developing Career Skills

This book helps you develop valuable career skills. Whether you want to work in business, government, academia, or in other areas, a solid knowledge of economics is invaluable. Employers know that you need economic skills to perform well. They also know that the more rigorous and mathematically based your training, the better you will be at logical thinking.

- Studies show that job seekers with an undergraduate degree who have economics and math training generally receive higher salaries than those with degrees in most other fields. Law schools and MBA programs are more likely to admit students with economics and math training than others, because they know how useful these skills are as well as the training in logic thinking. This training also increases your chances of getting into top graduate programs in economics, agricultural and resource economics, public policy, urban planning, and other similar fields, which is a necessary step for many careers in academia, government, and consulting.
- This book starts by illustrating how to use economic reasoning to analyze and solve a variety of problems. It trains you to use logical analysis based on empirical evidence. You will learn how to apply a variety of verbal, graphical, and mathematical techniques to solve the types of problems that governments, firms, and other potential employers face on a daily basis. In addition to training you in traditional economic analysis, this book shows you how to use game theory, behavioral economics, and other cutting-edge theories to confront modern-day challenges. For example, you'll see how firms develop contracts to motivate workers and executives to perform well, analyze how oligopolistic firms develop strategies; why online platforms (two-sided markets) that bring buyers and sellers together, such as eBay, are highly concentrated; and how disruptive innovations such as 3D printing affect markets.

Alternative Organizations

Because instructors cover material in many different orders, the text permits maximum flexibility. The most common approach to teaching microeconomics is to cover some or all of the chapters in their given sequence. Common variants include:

- presenting uncertainty (Sections 16.1 through 16.3) immediately after consumer theory;
- covering competitive factor markets (Section 15.1) immediately after competition (Chapters 8 and 9);
- introducing game theory (Chapter 13) early in the course; and
- covering general equilibrium and welfare issues (Chapter 10) at the end of the course instead of immediately after the competitive model.

Instructors can present the material in Chapters 13–19 in various orders, although Section 16.4 should follow Chapter 15, and Chapter 19 should follow Chapter 18 if both are covered.

Many business school courses skip consumer theory (and possibly some aspects of supply and demand) to allow more time for the topics covered in the second half of the book. Business school faculty may want to place particular emphasis on game theory, strategies, oligopoly, and monopolistic competition (Chapters 13 and 14); capital markets (Chapter 15); uncertainty (Chapter 16); and modern contract theory (Chapters 18 and 19).

Instructor Teaching Resources

This book has a full range of supplementary materials that support teaching and learning. This program comes with the following teaching resources:

Supplements available to instructors at www.pearsonglobaleditions.com	
	Features of the Supplement
Instructor's Manual Authored by Leonie Stone of SUNY Geneseo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Chapter Outlines</i> include key terminology, teaching notes, and lecture suggestions. • <i>Teaching Tips</i> and <i>Additional Applications</i> provide tips for alternative ways to cover the material and brief reminders on additional help to provide students. • <i>Solutions</i> are provided for all problems in the book.
Test Bank Authored by Xin Fang of Hawaii Pacific University	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple-choice problems of varying levels of complexity, suitable for homework assignments and exams • Many of these draw on current news and events
Computerized TestGen	TestGen allows instructors to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customize, save, and generate classroom tests • Edit, add, or delete questions from the Test Item Files • Analyze test results • Organize a database of tests and student results.
PowerPoints Authored by James Dearden of Lehigh University	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Slides include all the graphs, tables, and equations in the textbook, as well as lecture notes. • PowerPoints meet accessibility standards for students with disabilities. Features include, but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keyboard and Screen Reader access • Alternative text for images • High color contrast between background and foreground colors

Acknowledgments

This book evolved from my earlier, less-mathematical, intermediate microeconomics textbook. I thank the many faculty members and students who helped me produce both books, as well as Jane Tufts, who provided invaluable editorial help on my earlier text. I was very lucky that Sylvia Mallory, who worked on the earlier book, was my development editor on the first edition of this book as well. Sylvia worked valiantly to improve my writing style and helped to shape and improve every aspect of the book's contents and appearance.

Denise Clinton, Digital Editor, and Adrienne D'Ambrosio, my outstanding Executive Acquisitions Editor, worked closely with Sylvia and me in planning the book and were instrumental in every phase of the project. In this edition, Chris DeJohn, Executive Portfolio Manager, and Carolyn Philips, Content Producer, were involved in each step of this revision and provided invaluable help with the online resources.

I have an enormous debt of gratitude to my students at MIT; the University of Pennsylvania; and the University of California, Berkeley, who dealt patiently with my various approaches to teaching them microeconomics and made useful (and generally polite) suggestions. Peter Berck, Ethan Ligon, and Larry Karp, my colleagues at the University of California, Berkeley, made many useful suggestions. Guojun He, Yann Panassie, and Hugo Salgado were incredibly helpful in producing figures, researching many of the Applications, or making constructive comments on chapter drafts.

Many people were very generous in providing me with data, models, and examples for the various Applications and Solved Problems in various editions of this book, including among others: Thomas Bauer (University of Bochum); Peter Berck (deceased); James Brander (University of British Columbia); Alex Chun (Business Intelligence Manager at Sungevity); Leemore Dafny (Northwestern University); Lucas Davis (University of California, Berkeley); James Dearden (Lehigh University); Farid Gasmi (Université des Sciences Sociales); Avi Goldfarb (University of Toronto); Claudia Goldin (Harvard University); Rachel Goodhue (University of California, Davis); William Greene (New York University); Nile Hatch (University of Illinois); Larry Karp (University of California, Berkeley); Ryan Kellogg (University of Michigan); Arthur Kennickell (Federal Reserve, Washington); Fahad Khalil (University of Washington); Lutz Killian (University of Michigan); J. Paul Leigh (University of California, Davis); Christopher Knittel (Massachusetts Institute of Technology); Jean-Jacques Laffont (deceased); Ulrike Malmendier (University of California, Berkeley); Karl D. Meilke (University of Guelph); Eric Muehlegger (Harvard University); Giancarlo Moschini (Iowa State University); Michael Roberts (North Carolina State University); Junichi Suzuki (University of Toronto); Catherine Tucker (MIT); Harald Uhlig (University of Chicago); Quang Vuong (Université des Sciences Sociales, Toulouse, and University of Southern California); and Joel Waldfogel (University of Minnesota).

I am grateful to the many teachers of microeconomics who spent untold hours reading and commenting on chapter drafts. Many of the best ideas in this book are due to the following individuals who provided valuable comments at various stages:

R. K. Anderson, *Texas A&M*
 Fernando Aragon, *Simon Fraser University*
 Richard Beil, *Auburn University*
 Kenny Bell, *University of California, Berkeley*
 Robert A. Berman, *American University*
 Douglas Blair, *Rutgers University*
 James Brander, *University of British Columbia*
 Jurgen Brauer, *Augusta State University*

Margaret Bray, *London School of Economics*
 Helle Bunzel, *Iowa State University*
 Paul Calcott, *Victoria University of Wellington*
 Lauren Calimeris, *University of Colorado at Boulder*
 Anoshua Chaudhuri, *San Francisco State University*
 Finn Christensen, *Towson University*
 Anthony Davies, *Duquesne University*
 James Dearden, *Lehigh University*

- Stephen Devadoss, *Texas Tech University*
 Wayne Edwards, *University of Alaska, Anchorage*
 Steven Elliot, *University of Miami*
 Susan Elmes, *Columbia University*
 Patrick M. Emerson, *Oregon State University*
 Eduardo Faingold, *Yale University*
 Rachael Goodhue, *University of California, Davis*
 Ron Goettler, *Carnegie Mellon University, Doha, Qatar*
 Thomas Gresik, *University of Notre Dame*
 Barnali Gupta, *Miami University*
 Per Svejstrup Hansen, *University of Southern Denmark*
 Joannes Jacobsen, *University of the Faroe Islands*
 Byoung Heon Jun, *Korea University*
 Rebecca Judge, *St. Olaf College*
 Johnson Kakeu, *Georgia Institute of Technology*
 Süleyman Keçeli, *Pamukkale University*
 Vijay Krishna, *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill*
 Alberto Lamadrid, *Lehigh University*
 Stephen Lauermaann, *University of Michigan*
 Gordon Lenjosek, *University of Ottawa*
 Tony Lima, *Cal State East Bay*
 Holly Liu, *UC Davis*
 Urzo Luttmer, *Dartmouth University*
 Vikram Manjunath, *Texas A&M University*
 Carrie A. Meyer, *George Mason University*
 Joshua B. Miller, *University of Minnesota, Twin Cities*
 Laurie Miller, *University of Nebraska Lincoln*
 Stephen M. Miller, *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*
- Olivia Mitchell, *University of Pennsylvania*
 Jeffery Miron, *Harvard University*
 Shalah Mostashari, *Texas A&M University*
 Felix Naschold, *University of Wyoming*
 Orgul Ozturk, *University of Southern Carolina*
 Alexandre Padilla, *Metropolitan State College of Denver*
 Michael R. Ransom, *Brigham Young University*
 Alfonso Sánchez-Peñalver, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
 Riccardo Scarpa, *University of Waikato, New Zealand*
 Burkhard C. Schipper, *University of California, Davis*
 Riccardo Scarpa, *University of Waikato*
 Galina A. Schwartz, *University of California, Berkeley*
 Kevin Shaver, *University of Pittsburgh*
 Steven Snyder, *Lehigh University*
 Barry Sopher, *Rutgers University*
 Ilya Sorvachev, *New Economic School, Russia*
 Stephen Snyder, *University of Pittsburgh*
 Scott Templeton, *Clemson University*
 Etku Unver, *Boston College*
 Ruth Uwaifo, *Georgia Institute of Technology*
 Rodrigo Velez, *Texas A&M University*
 Ron S. Warren, Jr., *University of Georgia*
 Ryan Blake Williams, *TexasTech University*
 Christopher Wright, *Montana State University*
 Bruce Wydick, *University of California, San Francisco*
 Albert Zeveley, *Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania*

I am particularly grateful to Jim Brander of the University of British Columbia who has given me many deep and insightful comments on this book. One of my biggest debts is to Jim Dearden, who not only provided incisive comments on every aspect of my earlier textbook, but also wrote a number of the end-of-chapter exercises. I am very grateful to Ethan Ligon for co-authoring the Calculus Appendix.

For this edition, my biggest debts are to Tony Lima and Gordon Lenjosek. Tony prepared the many excellent MyLab Economics Videos. Gordon extremely carefully checked for typographic and other errors in the previous edition and suggested better ways to present many topics.

In addition, I thank Bob Solow, the world's finest economics teacher, who showed me how to simplify models without losing their essence. I've also learned a great deal over the years about economics and writing from my co-authors on other projects, especially Dennis Carlton (my co-author on *Modern Industrial Organization*), Jackie Persons, Steve Salop, Michael Wachter, Larry Karp, Peter Berck, Amos Golan, George Judge, Ximing Wu, and Dan Rubinfeld (whom I thank for still talking to me despite my decision to write microeconomics textbooks).

It was a pleasure to work with the good people at Pearson CSC, who were incredibly helpful in producing this book. Kathy Smith and Nicole Suddeth did a superlative job of supervising the production process and assembling the extended publishing team. I also want to acknowledge, with gratitude, the efforts of Melissa Honig in developing the MyLab Economics course, along with Noel Lotz and Courtney Kamauf.

Finally, I thank my family, Jackie Persons and Lisa Perloff, for their great patience and support during the nearly endless writing process. And I apologize for misusing their names—and those of my other relatives and friends—in this book!

J.M.P.

Global Edition Acknowledgments

Pearson would like to thank the following experts for their work on the Global Edition:

Contributors

Katarzyna Krajniewska, London School of Economics and Political Science
Gordon Lenjosek, University of Ottawa

Reviewers

Natalie Chen, University of Warwick
Patrick Terroir, Paris Institute of Political Studies

Introduction

1

An Economist's Theory of Reincarnation: If you're good, you come back on a higher level. Cats come back as dogs, dogs come back as horses, and people—if they've been really good like George Washington—come back as money.

If each of us could get all the food, clothing, and toys we want without working, no one would study economics. Unfortunately, most of the good things in life are scarce—we can't all have as much as we want. Thus, scarcity is the mother of economics.

Microeconomics is the study of how individuals and firms make themselves as well off as possible in a world of scarcity, and the consequences of those individual decisions for markets and the entire economy. In studying microeconomics, we examine how individual consumers and firms make decisions and how the interaction of many individual decisions affects markets.

Microeconomics is often called *price theory* to emphasize the important role that prices play in determining market outcomes. Microeconomics explains how the actions of all buyers and sellers determine prices, and how prices influence the decisions and actions of individual buyers and sellers.

**In this chapter,
we discuss three
main topics**

1. **Microeconomics: The Allocation of Scarce Resources.** Microeconomics is the study of the allocation of scarce resources.
2. **Models.** Economists use models to make testable predictions.
3. **Uses of Microeconomic Models in Your Life and Career.** Individuals, governments, and firms use microeconomic models and predictions in decision making.

1.1 Microeconomics: The Allocation of Scarce Resources

Individuals and firms allocate their limited resources to make themselves as well off as possible. Consumers select the mix of goods and services that makes them as happy as possible given their limited wealth. Firms decide which goods to produce, where to produce them, how much to produce to maximize their profits, and how to produce those levels of output at the lowest cost by using more or less of various inputs such as labor, capital, materials, and energy. The owners of a depletable natural resource such as oil decide when to use it. Government decision makers decide which goods and services the government will produce and whether to subsidize, tax, or regulate industries and consumers to benefit consumers, firms, or government employees.

Trade-Offs

People make trade-offs because they can't have everything. A society faces three key trade-offs:

1. **Which goods and services to produce.** If a society produces more cars, it must produce fewer of other goods and services, because it has only a limited amount of *resources*—workers, raw materials, capital, and energy—available to produce goods.
2. **How to produce.** To produce a given level of output, a firm must use more of one input if it uses less of another input. For example, cracker and cookie manufacturers switch between palm oil and coconut oil, depending on which is less expensive.
3. **Who gets the goods and services.** The more of society's goods and services you get, the less someone else gets.

Who Makes the Decisions

The government may make these three allocation decisions explicitly, or the final decisions may reflect the interaction of independent decisions by many individual consumers and firms. In the former Soviet Union, the government told manufacturers how many cars of each type to make and which inputs to use to make them. The government also decided which consumers would get cars.

In most other countries, how many cars of each type are produced and who gets them are determined by how much it costs to make cars of a particular quality in the least expensive way and how much consumers are willing to pay for them. More consumers would own a handcrafted Rolls-Royce and fewer would buy a mass-produced Toyota Camry if a Rolls were not 14 times more expensive than a Camry.

How Prices Determine Allocations

Prices link the decisions about *which goods and services to produce, how to produce them, and who gets them*. Prices influence the decisions of individual consumers and firms, and the interactions of these decisions by consumers, firms, and the government determine price.

Interactions between consumers and firms take place in a **market**, which is an exchange mechanism that allows buyers to trade with sellers. A market may be a town square where people go to trade food and clothing, or it may be an international telecommunications network over which people buy and sell financial securities. Typically, when we talk about a single market, we are referring to trade in a single good or a group of goods that are closely related, such as soft drinks, movies, novels, or automobiles.

Most of this book concerns how prices are determined within a market. We show that the organization of the market, especially the number of buyers and sellers in the market and the amount of information they have, helps determine whether the price equals the cost of production. We also show that in the absence of a market (and market price), serious problems, such as high pollution levels, result.

APPLICATION**French Bonus-Malus
Incentive System**

Many government actions affect prices and hence the allocation decisions.

Road transport is a significant source of emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2), the main greenhouse gas. In 2008, France introduced a *Bonus-Malus* (reward-penalty) *Vehicle Incentive System*, which subsidized low-emission vehicles and penalized high-emission vehicles. Initially, the threshold for a malus was at emissions of more than 160g of CO_2/km and that for a bonus was emissions of less than 130g of CO_2/km .¹

Three months after implementing this program, sales of vehicles with the highest levels of CO_2 emissions decreased by 70% and sales of vehicles with low levels of emissions increased by 38%. Between late 2007 and 2018, average CO_2 emissions of new vehicles registered in France fell from 149g/km to 111g/km. The diesel market share fell from 77% in 2008 to 34% by 2019. As a result, France has one of the cleanest new vehicle fleets across Europe.

Penalties and rewards affect *which vehicles are produced*, as manufacturers offer new environmentally friendly models, and *how vehicles are produced*, as manufacturers reformulate their cars to meet the new standards. These penalties and rewards also determine *who gets these vehicles* as consumers, especially from the low and average income categories, look for relatively inexpensive, non-penalized or subsidized vehicles.

1.2 Models

Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler. —Albert Einstein

To *explain* how individuals and firms allocate resources and how market prices are determined, economists use a **model**: a description of the relationship between two or more variables. Economists also use models to *predict* how a change in one variable will affect another variable.

APPLICATION**Income Threshold
Model and China**

According to an *income threshold model*, people whose incomes are below a threshold do not buy a particular consumer durable, while many people whose income exceeds that threshold buy it.

If this theory is correct, we predict that, as most people's incomes rise above the threshold in lower-income countries, consumer durable purchases will increase from near zero to large numbers virtually overnight. This prediction is consistent with evidence from Malaysia, where the income threshold for buying a car is about \$4,000.

In China, incomes have risen rapidly and now exceed the threshold levels for many types of durable goods. In response to higher incomes, Chinese car purchases have taken off. For example, Li Rifu, a 46-year-old Chinese farmer and watch

¹The sources for Applications are available at the back of this book.

repairman, thought that buying a car would improve the odds that his 22- and 24-year-old sons would find girlfriends, marry, and produce grandchildren. Soon after Mr. Li purchased his Geely King Kong for the equivalent of \$9,000, both sons met girlfriends, and his older son got married.

Given the rapid increase in Chinese incomes in the past couple of decades, four-fifths of all new cars sold in China are bought by first-time customers. An influx of first-time buyers was responsible for Chinese car sales increasing by a factor of nearly 18 between 2000 and 2017. In 2005, China produced fewer than half as many cars as the United States. In 2017, China was by far the largest producer of cars in the world, producing one out of every three cars in the world. It produced nearly three times as many cars as the United States—the second largest producer—as well as 39% more than the entire European Union. One out of every three cars is produced in China.

Simplifications by Assumption

We stated the income threshold model verbally, but we could have presented it graphically or mathematically. Regardless of how the model is described, an economic model is a simplification of reality that contains only reality's most important features. Without simplifications, it is difficult to make predictions because the real world is too complex to analyze fully.

By analogy, if the owner's manual accompanying a new DVD recorder had a diagram showing the relationships among all the parts in the recorder, the diagram would be overwhelming and useless. But a diagram that includes a photo of the buttons on the front of the machine, with labels describing the purpose of each, is useful and informative.


Economists make many *assumptions* to simplify their models.² When using the income threshold model to explain car-purchasing behavior in China, we assume that factors other than income, such as the vehicles' color choices, are irrelevant to the decision to buy cars. Therefore, we ignore the color of cars that are sold in China when we describe the relationship between average income and the number of cars that consumers want. If our assumption is correct, we make our auto market analysis simpler without losing important details by ignoring color. If we're wrong and these ignored issues are important, our predictions may be inaccurate.

Throughout this book, we start with strong assumptions to simplify our models. Later, we add complexities. For example, in most of the book, we assume that consumers know each firm's price for a product. In many markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange, this assumption is realistic. However, it is not realistic in other markets, such as the market for used automobiles, in which consumers do not know the prices that each firm charges. To devise an accurate model for markets in which consumers have limited information, in Chapter 16, we add consumer uncertainty about price into the model.

²An engineer, an economist, and a physicist are stranded on a deserted island with a can of beans but no can opener. How should they open the can? The engineer proposes hitting the can with a rock. The physicist suggests building a fire under the can to increase pressure and burst it open. The economist thinks for a while and then says, "Assume that we have a can opener. . . ."



An alternative theory.



Testing Theories

Blore's Razor: Given a choice between two theories, take the one which is funnier.

Economic *theory* is the development and use of a model to formulate *hypotheses*, which are predictions about cause and effect. We are interested in models that make clear, testable predictions, such as “If the price rises, the quantity demanded falls.” A theory stating that “People’s behaviors depend on their tastes, and their tastes change randomly at random intervals” is not very useful because it does not lead to testable predictions.

Economists test theories by checking whether predictions are correct. If a prediction does not come true, economists may reject the theory.³ Economists use a model until it is refuted by evidence or until a better model is developed.

A good model makes sharp, clear predictions that are consistent with reality. Some very simple models make sharp predictions that are incorrect, and other, more complex models make ambiguous predictions—in which any outcome is possible—that are untestable. The skill in model building is to chart a middle ground.

The purpose of this book is to teach you how to think like an economist, in the sense that you can build testable theories using economic models or apply existing models to new situations. Although economists think alike, in that they develop and use testable models, they often disagree. One may present a logically consistent argument that prices will go up in the next quarter. Another economist, using a different but equally logical theory, may contend that prices will fall in that quarter. If the economists are reasonable, they agree that pure logic alone cannot resolve their dispute. Indeed, they agree that they’ll have to use empirical evidence—facts about the real world—to determine which prediction is correct.

Maximizing Subject to Constraints

Although one economist’s model may differ from another’s, a key assumption in most microeconomic models is that individuals allocate their scarce resources to make themselves as well off as possible. Of all the affordable combinations of goods,

³We can use evidence of whether a theory’s predictions are correct to refute the theory but not to prove it. If a model’s prediction is inconsistent with what actually happened, the model must be wrong, so we reject it. Even if the model’s prediction is consistent with reality, however, the model’s prediction may be correct for the wrong reason. Hence, we cannot prove that the model is correct—we can only fail to reject it.

consumers pick the bundle of goods that gives them the most possible enjoyment. Firms try to maximize their profits given limited resources and existing technology. That resources are limited plays a crucial role in these models. Were it not for scarcity, people could consume unlimited amounts of goods and services, and sellers could become rich beyond limit.

As we show throughout this book, the maximizing behavior of individuals and firms determines society's three main allocation decisions: which goods are produced, how they are produced, and who gets them. For example, diamond-studded pocket combs will be sold only if firms find it profitable to sell them. The firms will make and sell these combs only if consumers value the combs at least as much as it costs the firm to produce them. Consumers will buy the combs only if they get more pleasure from the combs than they would from other goods they could buy with the same resources.

Many of the models that we examine are based on maximizing an objective that is subject to a constraint. Consumers maximize their well-being subject to a budget constraint, which says that their resources limit how many goods they can buy. Firms maximize profits subject to technological and other constraints. Governments may try to maximize the welfare of consumers or firms subject to constraints imposed by limited resources and the behavior of consumers and firms. We cover the formal economic analysis of maximizing behavior in Chapters 2 through 19 and review the underlying mathematics in the Calculus Appendix at the end of the book.

Positive Versus Normative

Those are my principles. If you don't like them I have others. —Groucho Marx

Using models of maximizing behavior sometimes leads to predictions that seem harsh or heartless. For instance, a World Bank economist predicted that if an African government used price controls to keep the price of food low during a drought, food shortages would occur and people would starve. The predicted outcome is awful, but the economist was not heartless. The economist was only making a scientific prediction about the relationship between cause and effect: Price controls (cause) lead to food shortages and starvation (effect).

Such a scientific prediction is known as a **positive statement**: a testable hypothesis about matters of fact such as cause-and-effect relations. *Positive* does not mean that we are certain about the truth of our statement; it indicates only that we can test whether it is true.

If the World Bank economist is correct, should the government control prices? If government policymakers believe the economist's predictions, they know that the low prices will help consumers who are able to buy as much food as they want, and hurt both the food sellers and those who are unable to buy as much food as they want, some of whom may die from malnutrition. As a result, the government's decision of whether to use price controls turns on whether the government cares more about the winners or the losers. In other words, to decide on its policy, the government makes a value judgment.

Instead of making a prediction and testing it and then making a value judgment to decide whether to use price controls, government policymakers could make a value judgment directly. The value judgment could be based on the belief that "because people *should* have prepared for the drought, the government should not try to help them by keeping food prices low" or "people should be protected against price gouging during a drought, so the government should use price controls."

These two statements are *not* scientific predictions. Each is a value judgment, or **normative statement**: a conclusion as to whether something is good or bad. A normative statement cannot be tested because a value judgment cannot be refuted by evidence.

It is a prescription rather than a prediction. A normative statement concerns what somebody believes should happen; a positive statement concerns what will happen.

Although a normative conclusion can be drawn without first conducting a positive analysis, a policy debate will be more informed if positive analyses are conducted first.⁴ Suppose your normative belief is that the government should help the poor. Should you vote for a candidate who advocates a higher minimum wage (a law that requires firms to pay wages at or above a specified level); a European-style welfare system (guaranteeing health care, housing, and other basic goods and services); an end to our current welfare system; a negative income tax (the less income a person receives, the more that person receives from the government); or job training programs? Positive economic analysis can be used to predict whether these programs will benefit poor people but *not* whether these programs are good or bad. Using these predictions and your value judgment, you decide for whom to vote.

Economists' emphasis on positive analysis has implications for what they study and even their use of language. For example, many economists stress that they study people's *wants* rather than their needs. Although people need certain minimum levels of food, shelter, and clothing to survive, most people in developed economies have enough money to buy goods well in excess of the minimum levels necessary to maintain life. Consequently, calling something a *need* in a wealthy country is often a value judgment. You almost certainly have been told by an elder that "you *need* a college education." That person was probably making a value judgment—"you *should* go to college"—rather than a scientific prediction that you will suffer terrible economic deprivation if you don't go to college. We can't test such value judgments, but we can test hypotheses such as "people with a college education earn substantially more than comparable people with only a high school education."

New Theories

One of the strengths of economics is that it is continually evolving, for two reasons. First, economists—like physicists, biologists, and other scientists—are always trying to improve their understanding of the world around them.

For example, traditional managerial textbooks presented theories based on the assumptions that decision makers always optimize: They do the best they can with their limited resources. While we cover these traditional theories, we also present another recently developed approach referred to as *behavioral economics*, which is the study of how psychological biases and cognitive limits can prevent managers and others from optimizing.

Second, economic theory evolves out of necessity. Unlike those who work in the physical and biological sciences, economists and managers also have to develop new ways to think about *disruptive innovations*. Although most innovations are incremental, some are sufficiently disruptive to dramatically change the way an industry is structured—or even to create new industries and destroy old ones.

The internet is an example of a disruptive innovation, which led to other disruptions. Online retailing has displaced much traditional brick-and-mortar retailing, online payment systems have largely replaced cash and checks, and online media, especially social media, have changed the way most people acquire and transmit information.

To analyze the economic effects of the internet and other disruptive innovations, economists have extended established theories and developed new ones. For example,

⁴Some economists draw the normative conclusion that, as social scientists, we economists should restrict ourselves to positive analyses. Others argue that we shouldn't give up our right to make value judgments just like the next person (who happens to be biased, prejudiced, and pigheaded, unlike us).

the internet has given rise to many services that allow two groups of users to interact—such as auction services, dating sites, job matching services, and payment services. In response, economists have developed the theory of such *two-sided* markets, which has influenced court decisions and government policy toward such markets. This book describes economic theories of the internet and of two-sided markets, along with other recent developments in economics.

1.3 Uses of Microeconomic Models in Your Life and Career

Have you ever imagined a world without hypothetical situations?

Because microeconomic models *explain* why economic decisions are made and allow us to make *predictions*, they can be very useful for individuals, governments, and firms in making decisions. Throughout this book, we consider examples of how microeconomics aids in actual decision making. Here, we briefly look at some uses by individuals and governments.

Individuals use microeconomics to make purchasing and other decisions. Examples include considering inflation when choosing whether to rent an apartment (Chapter 4); determining whether going to college is a good investment (Chapter 15); deciding whether to invest in stocks or bonds (Chapter 16); determining whether to buy insurance (Chapter 16); and knowing whether you should pay a lawyer by the hour or a percentage of any award (Chapter 19).

Microeconomics can help citizens make voting decisions based on candidates' views on economic issues. Elected and appointed government officials use economic models in many ways. Recent administrations have placed increased emphasis on economic analysis. Economic and environmental impact studies are required before many projects can commence. The President's Council of Economic Advisers and other federal economists analyze and advise national government agencies on the likely economic effects of all major policies.

Indeed, often governments use microeconomic models to predict the probable impact of a policy. We show how to predict the likely impact of a tax on the tax revenues raised (Chapter 2), the effects of trade policies such as tariffs and quotas on markets (Chapter 9), and the effects on collusion of governments posting the results of bidding (Chapter 14). Governments also use economics to decide how best to prevent pollution and global warming (Chapter 17).

Decisions by firms reflect microeconomic analysis. Firms price discriminate (charge individuals different prices) or bundle goods to increase their profits (Chapter 12). Strategic decisions concerning pricing, setting quantities, advertising, or entering into a market can be predicted using game theory (Chapter 13). An example in an oligopolistic market is the competition between American Airlines and United Airlines on the Chicago–Los Angeles route (Chapter 14). When a mining company should extract ore depends on interest rates (Chapter 15). A firm decides whether to offer employees deferred payments to ensure they work hard (Chapter 19).

Thus, this book will help you develop skills in economic analysis that are crucial in careers such as those in economics, business, law, and many others. Some of you will get jobs that use economic analysis intensively, such as working as an economist or setting prices or assessing financial investment options for firms. Others will use your knowledge of economics in both your work to analyze the likely outcomes from government actions and other events.

SUMMARY

1. Microeconomics: The Allocation of Scarce Resources.

Microeconomics is the study of the allocation of scarce resources. Consumers, firms, and governments must make allocation decisions. A society faces three key trade-offs: which goods and services to produce, how to produce them, and who gets them. These decisions are interrelated and depend on the prices that consumers and firms face and on government actions. Market prices affect the decisions of individual consumers and firms, and the interaction of the decisions of individual consumers and firms determines market prices. The organization of the market, especially the number of firms in the market and the information consumers and firms have, plays an important role in determining whether the market price is equal to or higher than the cost of producing an additional unit of output.

- 2. Models.** Models based on economic theories are used to answer questions about how some change, such

as a tax increase, will affect various sectors of the economy in the future. A good theory is simple to use and makes clear, testable predictions that are not refuted by evidence. Most microeconomic models are based on maximizing behavior. Economists use models to construct *positive* hypotheses concerning how a cause leads to an effect. These positive questions can be tested. In contrast, *normative* statements, which are value judgments, cannot be tested.

3. Uses of Microeconomic Models in Your Life and Career.

Individuals, governments, and firms use microeconomic models and predictions to make decisions. For example, to maximize its profits, a firm needs to know consumers' decision-making criteria, the trade-offs between various ways of producing and marketing its product, government regulations, and other factors. You can use economic analysis in many different careers, particularly in economics and business.

2 Supply and Demand

Talk is cheap because supply exceeds demand.

CHALLENGE

Quantities and Prices of Genetically Modified Foods

Countries around the globe are debating whether to permit firms to grow or sell genetically modified (GM) foods, which have their DNA altered through genetic engineering rather than through conventional breeding.¹ The introduction of GM techniques can affect both the quantity of a crop farmer's supply and whether consumers want to buy that crop. Using GM techniques, farmers can produce more output at a given cost. Common GM crops include canola, corn, cotton, rice, soybean, and sugar beet.



At least 29 countries grow GM food crops, which are mostly herbicide-resistant varieties of corn (maize), soybean, and canola (oilseed rape). Developing countries grow more GM crops than developed countries, though the United States plants 40% of worldwide GM acreage. The largest GM-producing country is the United States, followed by Brazil, Argentina, India, Canada, and China.

According to some polls, 70% of consumers in Europe object to GM foods. Fears cause some consumers to refuse to buy a GM crop. Consumers in other countries, such as the United States, are less concerned about GM foods. Only about one in six Americans care “a great deal” about GM foods. However, even in the United States, a 2017 ABC poll found that 52% of U.S. consumers believe that GM foods are generally unsafe to eat. The U.S. National Academy of Science reported that it could find no evidence to support claims that genetically modified organisms are dangerous for either the environment or human health. A letter signed by 131 Nobel Prize winners concludes that these fears are unjustified.

Nonetheless, as of 2018, 64 nations require labeling of GM foods, including European Union countries, Japan, Australia, Brazil, Russia, China, and the United States. Consumers are unlikely to avoid GM crops if products are unlabeled.

Will the use of GM seeds lead to lower prices and more food sold? What happens to prices and quantities sold if many consumers refuse to buy GM crops? We will use the models in this chapter to answer these questions at the end of the chapter.

To analyze questions concerning the price and quantity responses from introducing new products or technologies, imposing government regulations or taxes, or other events, economists may use the *supply-and-demand model*. When asked, “What is the most important

¹Sources for Applications and Challenges appear at the back of the book.

thing you know about economics?” many people reply, “Supply equals demand.” This statement is shorthand for one of the simplest yet most powerful models of economics. The supply-and-demand model describes how consumers and suppliers interact to determine the price and the quantity of a good or service. To use the model, you need to determine three things: buyers’ behavior, sellers’ behavior, and their interaction.

After reading that grandiose claim, you might ask, “Is that all there is to economics? Can I become an expert economist that fast?” The answer to both questions, of course, is no. In addition, you need to learn the limits of this model and which other models to use when this one does not apply. (You must also learn the economists’ secret handshake.)

Even with its limitations, the supply-and-demand model is the most widely used economic model. It provides a good description of how markets function, and it works particularly well in markets that have many buyers and sellers, such as most agricultural and labor markets. Like all good theories, the supply-and-demand model can be tested—and possibly proven false. But in markets where it is applicable, it allows us to make accurate predictions easily.

**In this chapter,
we examine eight
main topics**

1. **Demand.** The quantity of a good or service that consumers demand depends on price and other factors such as consumers’ incomes and the prices of related goods.
2. **Supply.** The quantity of a good or service that firms supply depends on price and other factors such as the cost of inputs that firms use to produce the good or service.
3. **Market Equilibrium.** The interaction between the consumers’ demand curve and the firms’ supply curve determines the market price and quantity of a good or service that is bought and sold.
4. **Shocking the Equilibrium: Comparative Statics.** Changes in a factor that affect demand (such as consumers’ incomes), supply (such as a rise in the price of inputs), or a new government policy (such as a new tax) alter the market or *equilibrium* price and quantity of a good.
5. **Elasticities.** Given estimates of summary statistics called *elasticities*, economists can forecast the effects of changes in taxes and other factors on market price and quantity.
6. **Effects of a Sales Tax.** How a sales tax increase affects the price and quantity of a good, and whether the tax falls more heavily on consumers or on suppliers, depend on the supply and demand curves.
7. **Quantity Supplied Need Not Equal Quantity Demanded.** If the government regulates the prices in a market, the quantity supplied might not equal the quantity demanded.
8. **When to Use the Supply-and-Demand Model.** The supply-and-demand model applies to competitive markets only.

2.1 Demand

The **quantity demanded** is the amount of a good that consumers are *willing* to buy at a given price during a specified period (such as a day or a year), holding constant the other factors that influence purchases. The quantity demanded of a good or service can exceed the quantity actually sold. For example, as a promotion, a local store might sell Lindt Excellence Dark Chocolate Bar with A Touch of Sea Salt for \$1 each today only. At that low price, you might want to buy 10 bars, but because the store has only

5 remaining, you can buy at most 5 bars. The quantity you demand is 10 bars—it's the amount you want—even though the amount you actually buy is 5.

Potential consumers decide how much of a good or service to buy based on its price, which is expressed as an amount of money per unit of the good (for example, dollars per pound), and many other factors, including consumers' tastes, information, and income; prices of other goods; and government actions. Before concentrating on the role price plays in determining demand, let's look briefly at some of the other factors.

Consumers make purchases based on their *tastes*. Consumers do not purchase foods they dislike, works of art they don't appreciate, or clothes they think are unfashionable or uncomfortable. However, advertising can influence people's tastes.

Similarly, *information* (or misinformation) about the uses of a good affects consumers' decisions. A few years ago, when many consumers were convinced that oatmeal could lower their cholesterol level, they rushed to grocery stores and bought large quantities of oatmeal. (They even ate it until they remembered that they disliked the taste.)

The *prices of other goods* also affect consumers' purchase decisions. Before deciding to buy a pair of Levi's jeans, you might check the prices of other brands. If the price of a close *substitute*—a product that you think is similar or identical to the jeans you are considering purchasing—is much lower than the price of the Levi's, you might buy that other brand instead. Similarly, the price of a *complement*—a good that you like to consume at the same time as the product you are considering buying—could affect your decision. If you only eat pie with ice cream, the higher the price of ice cream, the less likely you are to buy pie.

People's incomes play a major role in determining what and how much of a good or service they purchase. A person who suddenly inherits great wealth might purchase a Mercedes and other luxury items, and may be less likely to buy do-it-yourself repair kits.

Government rules and regulations affect people's purchase decisions. Sales taxes increase the price that a consumer must spend on a good, and government-imposed limits on the use of a good can affect demand. For example, if a city government bans the use of skateboards on its streets, skateboard sales fall.²

Other factors can also affect the demand for specific goods. Some people are more likely to buy a pair of \$200 shoes if their friends do. The demand for small, dying evergreen trees is substantially higher in December than in other months.

Although many factors influence demand, economists usually concentrate on how a product's price affects the quantity demanded. To determine how a change in price affects the quantity demanded, economists must hold constant other factors, such as income and tastes, which affect the quantity demanded.

The Demand Function

The **demand function** shows the correspondence between the quantity demanded, price, and other factors that influence purchases. Some other factors that may influence the quantity demanded include income, substitutes, and complements. A **substitute** is a good or service that may be consumed instead of another good or service. For many people, tea is a substitute for coffee. A **complement** is a good or service that is jointly consumed with another good or service. For example, many people drink coffee with sugar.

²When a Mississippi woman attempted to sell her granddaughter for \$2,000 and a car, state legislators were horrified to discover that they had no law on the books prohibiting the sale of children and quickly passed such a law. (Mac Gordon, "Legislators Make Child-Selling Illegal," *Jackson Free Press*, March 16, 2009.)

Let's examine the demand function for coffee. The quantity of coffee demanded, Q , varies with the price of coffee, p , the price of sugar, p_s , and consumers' income, Y , so the coffee demand function, D , is

$$Q = D(p, p_s, Y). \quad (2.1)$$

We assume that any other factors that are not explicitly listed in the demand function are irrelevant (such as the price of llamas in Peru) or constant (such as the prices of substitutes and complements, tastes, and consumer information).

Equation 2.1 is a general functional form—it does not specify exactly how Q varies with the explanatory variables, p , p_s , and Y . An estimated world demand function for green (unroasted) coffee beans is³

$$Q = 8.56 - p - 0.3p_s + 0.1Y, \quad (2.2)$$



where Q is the quantity of coffee in millions of tons per year, p is the price of coffee in dollars per pound (lb), p_s is the price of sugar in dollars per lb, and Y is the average annual household income in high-income countries in thousands of dollars.

Usually, we're primarily interested in the relationship between the quantity demanded and the price of the good. That is, we want to know the relationship between the quantity demanded and price, holding all other factors constant. For example, given that the price of sugar, p_s , is \$0.20 per lb and the average income, Y , is \$35 thousand per year, we can substitute those values into Equation 2.2 and write the quantity demanded as a function of only the price of coffee:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= 8.56 - p - 0.3p_s + 0.1Y \\ &= 8.56 - p - (0.3 \times 0.2) + (0.1 \times 35) \\ &= 12 - p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$


We can graphically show this relationship, $Q = D(p) = 12 - p$, between the quantity demanded and price. A **demand curve** is a plot of the demand function that shows the quantity demanded at each possible price, holding constant the other factors that influence purchases. Figure 2.1 shows the estimated demand curve, D , for coffee. (Although this estimated demand curve is a straight line, demand curves can be smooth curves or wavy lines.) By convention, the vertical axis of the graph measures the price, p , per unit of the good, which in our coffee example is dollars per lb. The horizontal axis measures the quantity, Q , of the good, per physical measure of the good per period, which in this case is million tons per year.⁴

³Because prices, quantities, and other factors change simultaneously over time, economists use statistical techniques to hold the effects of factors other than the price of the good constant so that they can determine how price affects the quantity demanded (see Regression Appendix at the back of the book). As with any estimate, the demand curve estimates are probably more accurate in the observed range of prices than at very high or very low prices. I estimated this model using data from the Food and Agriculture Organization, *Commodity Review and Outlook*; International Coffee Organization, www.ico.org/new_historical.asp; International Cocoa Organization, *The World Cocoa Economy: Past and Present* (July 2012); and World Bank, *World Development Indicators*.

⁴Economists typically do not state the relevant physical and period measures unless these measures are particularly useful in context. I'll generally follow this convention and refer to the price as, say, \$2 (with the "per lb" understood) and the quantity as 10 (with the "million tons per year" understood).

Figure 2.1 A Coffee Demand Curve

The estimated global demand curve, D , for coffee shows the relationship between the quantity demanded per year and the price per lb. The downward slope of the demand curve shows that, holding other factors that influence demand constant, consumers demand a smaller quantity of a good when its price is high and a larger quantity when the price is low. A change in price causes a *movement along the demand curve*. For example, an increase in the price of coffee causes consumers to demand a smaller quantity of coffee.



If we set the quantity equal to zero in Equation 2.3, $Q = 12 - p = 0$, we find that $p = \$12$. That is, the demand curve, D , hits the price (vertical) axis at \$12, indicating that no quantity is demanded when the price is \$12 per lb or higher. If we set the price equal to zero in Equation 2.3, $Q = 12 - 0 = 12$, we learn that the demand curve hits the horizontal quantity axis at 12 million tons. That is the amount of coffee that people would consume if coffee were free.

By plugging any particular value for p into the demand equation, we can determine the corresponding quantities. For example, if $p = \$2$, then $Q = 12 - 2 = 10$, as Figure 2.1 shows.

A Change in a Product's Price Causes a Movement Along the Demand Curve. The demand curve in Figure 2.1 shows that if the price decreases from \$6 to \$4 per lb, the quantity consumers demand increases by 2 units (million tons), from 6 to 8. These changes in the quantity demanded in response to changes in price are *movements along the demand curve*. The demand curve is a concise summary of the answers to the question “What happens to the quantity demanded as the price changes, when all other factors are held constant?”

One of the most important empirical findings in economics is the **Law of Demand**: Consumers demand more of a good the lower its price, holding constant tastes, the prices of other goods, and other factors that influence the amount they consume.⁵ One way to state the Law of Demand is that the demand curve slopes downward, as in Figure 2.1.

Because the derivative of the demand function with respect to price shows the *movement along the demand curve as we vary price*, another way to state the Law of Demand is that this derivative is negative: A higher price results in a lower quantity demanded. If the demand function is $Q = D(p)$, then the Law of Demand says that $dQ/dp < 0$, where dQ/dp is the derivative of the D function with respect to p . (Unless we state otherwise, we assume that all demand and other functions are

⁵In Chapter 4, we show that theory does not require that the Law of Demand holds; however, available empirical evidence strongly supports the Law of Demand.

continuous and differentiable everywhere.) The derivative of the quantity of coffee demanded with respect to its price in Equation 2.3 is

$$\frac{dQ}{dp} = -1,$$

which is negative, so the Law of Demand holds. Given $dQ/dp = -1$, a small change in the price (measured in dollars per lb) causes an equal unit decrease in the quantity demanded (measured in million tons per year).

This derivative gives the change in the quantity demanded in response to an infinitesimal change in the price. In general, if we look at a discrete, relatively large increase in the price, the change in the quantity might not be proportional to the change for a small increase in the price. However, here the derivative is a constant that does not vary with the price, so the same derivative holds for large and small price changes.

For example, let the price increase from $p_1 = \$2$ to $p_2 = \$4$. That is, the change in the price $\Delta p = p_2 - p_1 = \$4 - \$2 = \$2$. (The Δ symbol, the Greek letter capital delta, means “change in” the following variable, so Δp means “change in price.”) As Figure 2.1 shows, the corresponding quantities are $Q_1 = 10$ and $Q_2 = 8$. Thus, if $\Delta p = \$2$, then the change in the quantity demanded is $\Delta Q = Q_2 - Q_1 = 8 - 10 = -2$.

Because we put price on the vertical axis and quantity on the horizontal axis, the slope of the demand curve is the reciprocal of the derivative of the demand function: slope $= dp/dQ = 1/(dQ/dp)$. In our example, the slope of demand curve D in Figure 2.1 is $dp/dQ = 1/(dQ/dp) = 1/(-1) = -1$. We can also calculate the slope in Figure 2.1 using the rise-over-run formula and the numbers we just calculated (because the slope is the same for small and for large changes):

$$\text{slope} = \frac{\text{rise}}{\text{run}} = \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta Q} = \frac{\$1 \text{ per lb}}{-1 \text{ million tons per year}} = -\$1 \text{ per million tons per year.}$$

This slope tells us that to sell one more unit (a million tons per year) of coffee, the price (per lb) must fall by \$1.

A Change in Another Factor Causes the Demand Curve to Shift. If a demand curve shows how a price change affects the quantity demanded, holding all other factors that affect demand constant, how can we use demand curves to show the effects of a change in one of these other factors, such as the income? One solution is to draw the demand curve in a three-dimensional diagram with the price of coffee on one axis, the income on a second axis, and the quantity of coffee on the third axis. But just thinking about drawing such a diagram probably makes your head hurt.

Economists use a simpler approach to show how a change in a factor other than the price of a good affects its demand. A change in any factor except the price of the good itself causes a *shift of the demand curve* rather than a *movement along the demand curve*.

If the average income rises and the price of coffee remains constant, people buy more coffee. Suppose that the average income rises from \$35,000 per year to \$50,000, an increase of \$15,000. Using the demand function in Equation 2.2, we can calculate the new coffee demand function relating the quantity demanded to only its price:⁶


$$Q = 13.5 - p. \quad (2.4)$$

Figure 2.2 shows that the higher income causes the coffee demand curve to shift 1.5 units to the right from D^1 (corresponding to the demand function in Equation 2.3) to D^2 (corresponding to the demand function in Equation 2.4).

⁶Substituting $Y = 50$ and $p_s = 0.2$ into Equation 2.2, we find that $Q = 8.56 - p - (0.3 \times 0.2) + (0.1 \times 50) = 13.5 - p$.

Figure 2.2 A Shift of the Demand Curve

The global demand curve for coffee shifts to the right from D^1 to D^2 as average annual household income in high-income countries rises by \$15,000, from \$35,000 to \$50,000. At the higher income, more coffee is demanded at any given price.



Why does the demand function shift by 1.5 units (million tons per year)? Using the demand function Equation 2.2, we find that the partial derivative of the quantity of coffee demanded with respect to the income is $\partial Q / \partial Y = 0.1$. Thus, if the income increases by \$15 thousand, the quantity of coffee demanded rises by $0.1 \times 15 = 1.5$ units, holding all other factors constant.

To properly analyze the effects of a change in some variable on the quantity demanded, we must distinguish between a *movement along a demand curve* and a *shift of a demand curve*. A change in the *price* of a good causes a *movement along its demand curve*. A change in *any other factor besides the price of the good* causes a *shift of the demand curve*.



Summing Demand Functions

If we know the demand curve for each of two consumers, how do we determine the total or aggregate demand for the two consumers combined? The total quantity demanded at a given price is the sum of the quantity each consumer demands at that price.


We can use the demand functions to determine the total demand of several consumers. Suppose the demand function for Consumer 1 is $Q_1 = D^1(p)$, and the demand function for Consumer 2 is $Q_2 = D^2(p)$. At price p , Consumer 1 demands Q_1 units, Consumer 2 demands Q_2 units, and the total demand of both consumers is the sum of the quantities each demands separately:

$$Q = Q_1 + Q_2 = D^1(p) + D^2(p).$$

We can generalize this approach to look at the total demand for three or more consumers.

APPLICATION**Aggregating Corn Demand Curves**

We illustrate how to sum individual demand curves to get an aggregate demand curve graphically using estimated demand curves for corn (McPhail and Babcock, 2012). The figure shows the U.S. feed demand (the use of corn to feed animals) curve, the U.S. food demand curve, and the aggregate demand curve from these two sources.⁷



To derive the sum of the quantity demanded for these two uses at a given price, we add the quantities from the individual demand curves at that price. That is, we add the demand curves horizontally. At the 2012 average price for corn, \$7.40, the quantity demanded for food is 1.3 billion bushels per year and the quantity demanded for feed is 4.6 billion bushels. Thus, the total quantity demanded at that price is $Q = 1.3 + 4.6 = 5.9$ billion bushels.

When the price of corn exceeds \$27.56 per bushel, farmers stop using corn for animal feed, so the quantity demanded for this use equals zero. As a result, the total demand curve is the same as the food demand curve at prices above \$27.56.

2.2 Supply

To determine the market price and quantity sold of a product, knowing how much consumers want is not enough. We also need to know how much firms want to supply at any given price.

The **quantity supplied** is the amount of a good that firms *want* to sell during a given period at a given price, holding constant other factors that influence firms' supply decisions, such as costs and government actions. Firms determine how much of a good to supply based on its price and other factors, including the costs of production and government rules and regulations. Usually, we expect firms to supply more at a higher price. Before concentrating on the role price plays in determining supply, we'll briefly consider the role of some other factors.

⁷For graphical simplicity, we do not show the other major U.S. demand curves for export, storage, and use in biofuels (ethanol). Thus, this aggregate demand curve is not the total demand curve for corn.

Production cost affects how much of a good a firm wants to sell. As a firm's cost rises, it is willing to supply less of the good, all else the same. In the extreme case where the firm's cost exceeds what it can earn from selling the good, the firm sells nothing. Thus, factors that affect cost also affect supply. For example, a technological advance that allows a firm to produce a good at a lower cost causes the firm to supply more of that good, all else the same.

Government rules and regulations affect how much firms want to sell or may sell. Taxes and many government regulations—such as those covering pollution, sanitation, and health insurance—alter the costs of production. Other regulations affect when and how firms may sell the product. For instance, most Western governments prohibit the sale of cigarettes and liquor to children. Also, most major cities around the world restrict the number of taxicabs.

The Supply Function

The **supply function** shows the correspondence between the quantity supplied, price, and other factors that influence the number of units offered for sale. Written generally (without specifying the functional form), the coffee supply function is

$$Q = S(p, p_c), \quad (2.5)$$

where Q is the quantity of coffee supplied, p is the price of coffee, and p_c is the price of cocoa (which is a key input in making chocolate). The land on which coffee is grown is also suitable for growing cocoa. When the price of cocoa rises, many coffee farmers switch to producing cocoa. Therefore, when the price of cocoa rises, the amount of coffee produced at any given price falls. The supply function, Equation 2.5, might also incorporate other factors such as wages, transportation costs, and the state of technology, but by leaving them out, we are implicitly holding them constant.

Our estimate of the supply function for coffee is

$$Q = 9.6 + 0.5p - 0.2p_c, \quad (2.6)$$

where Q is the quantity of coffee in millions of tons per year, p is the price of coffee in dollars per lb, and p_c is the price of cocoa in dollars per lb.

If we fix the cocoa price at \$3 per lb, we can rewrite the supply function in Equation 2.6 as solely a function of the coffee price. Substituting $p_c = \$3$ into Equation 2.5, we find that

$$Q = 9.6 + 0.5p - (0.2 \times 3) = 9 + 0.5p. \quad (2.7)$$


Because we hold fixed other variables that may affect the quantity supplied, such as costs and government rules, this supply function concisely answers the question “What happens to the quantity supplied as the price changes, holding all other factors constant?”

Corresponding to the supply function is a **supply curve**, which shows the quantity supplied at each possible price, holding constant the other factors that influence firms' supply decisions. Figure 2.3 shows the coffee supply curve, S , that corresponds to the supply function Equation 2.7. Because the supply function is linear, the corresponding supply curve is a straight line.

A Change in a Product's Price Causes a Movement Along the Supply Curve. As the price of coffee increases from \$2 to \$4 in Figure 2.3, holding other factors (the price of cocoa) constant, the quantity of coffee supplied increases from 10 to 11 million tons per year, which is a *movement along the supply curve*.

Figure 2.3 A Coffee Supply Curve

The estimated global supply curve, S , for coffee shows the relationship between the quantity supplied per year and the price per lb, holding constant cost and other factors that influence supply. The upward slope of this supply curve indicates that firms supply more coffee when its price is high and less when the price is low. An increase in the price of coffee causes firms to supply a larger quantity of coffee; any change in price results in a *movement along the supply curve*.



How much does an increase in the price affect the quantity supplied? Differentiating the supply function, Equation 2.7, with respect to price, we find that $dQ/dp = 0.5$. As this derivative is not a function of p , it holds for all price changes, both small and large. It shows that the quantity supplied increases by 0.5 units for each \$1 increase in price.

Because the derivative is positive, the supply curve S slopes upward in Figure 2.3. Although the Law of Demand states that the demand curve slope downward, we have *no* “Law of Supply” that requires the market supply curve to have a particular slope. The market supply curve can be upward sloping, vertical, horizontal, or downward sloping.

A Change in Another Factor Causes the Supply Curve to Shift. A change in a factor other than a product’s price causes a *shift of the supply curve*. If the price of cocoa increases by \$3 from \$3 to \$6 per lb, the supply function for coffee becomes

$$Q = 9.6 + 0.5p - (0.2 \times 6) = 8.4 + 0.5p. \quad (2.8)$$


By comparing this supply function to the original one in Equation 2.7, $Q = 9 + 0.5p$, we see that the original supply curve, S^1 , shifts 0.6 units to the left, to S^2 in Figure 2.4.

Alternatively, we can determine how far the supply curve shifts by partially differentiating the supply function Equation 2.6 with respect to the price of cocoa: $\partial Q/\partial p_c = -0.2$. This partial derivative holds for all values of p_c and hence for both small and large changes in p_c . Thus, a \$3 increase in the price of cocoa causes a $-0.2 \times 3 = -0.6$ units change in the quantity of coffee supplied at any price of coffee.

Again, it is important to distinguish between a *movement along a supply curve* and a *shift of the supply curve*. When the coffee price changes, the change in the quantity supplied reflects a *movement along the supply curve*. When costs, government rules, or other variables that affect supply change, the entire *supply curve shifts*.

Figure 2.4 A Shift of a Supply Curve

A \$3 per lb increase in the price of cocoa, which farmers can grow instead of coffee, causes the supply curve for coffee to shift left from S^1 to S^2 . At the price of coffee of \$2 per lb, the quantity supplied falls from 10 million tons on S^1 to 9.4 million tons on S^2 .



Summing Supply Functions

The total supply curve shows the total quantity of a product produced by all suppliers at each possible price. For example, the total supply curve of rice in Japan is the sum of the domestic and the foreign supply curves of rice.

Figure 2.5 shows the domestic supply curve, panel a, and foreign supply curve, panel b, of rice in Japan. The total supply curve, S in panel c, is the horizontal sum of the Japanese *domestic* supply curve, S^d , and the *foreign* supply curve, S^f . In the figure, the Japanese and foreign supplies are zero at any price equal to or less than \bar{p} , so the total supply is zero. At prices greater than \bar{p} , the Japanese and foreign supplies are positive, so the total supply is positive. For example, when the price is p^* , the quantity supplied by Japanese firms is Q_d^* , panel a, the quantity supplied by foreign firms is Q_f^* , panel b, and the total quantity supplied is $Q^* = Q_d^* + Q_f^*$, panel c. Because the total supply curve is the horizontal sum of the domestic and foreign supply curves, the total supply curve is flatter than each of the other two supply curves.

How Government Import Policies Affect Supply Curves


We can use this approach for deriving the total supply curve to analyze the effect of government policies on the total supply curve. Traditionally, the Japanese government has banned the importation of foreign rice. We want to determine how much less rice is supplied at any given price to the Japanese market because of this ban.

Without a ban, the foreign supply curve is S^f in panel b of Figure 2.5. A ban on imports eliminates the foreign supply, so the foreign supply curve after the ban is imposed, \bar{S}^f , is a vertical line at $Q_f = 0$. The import ban has no effect on the domestic supply curve, S^d , so the supply curve is the same as in panel a.

Figure 2.5 Total Supply: The Sum of Domestic and Foreign Supply

If foreigners are allowed to sell their rice in Japan, the total Japanese supply of rice, S , is the horizontal sum of the domestic Japanese supply, S^d , and the imported foreign

supply, S^f . With a ban on foreign imports, the foreign supply curve, \bar{S}^f , is zero at every price, so the total supply curve, \bar{S} , is the same as the domestic supply curve, S^d .



Because the foreign supply with a ban, \bar{S}^f in panel b, is zero at every price, the total supply with a ban, \bar{S} in panel c, is the same as the Japanese domestic supply, S^d , at any given price. The total supply curve under the ban lies to the left of the total supply curve without a ban, S . Thus, the effect of the import ban is to rotate the total supply curve toward the vertical axis.

A limit that a government sets on the quantity of a foreign-produced good that may be imported is a **quota**. By absolutely banning the importation of rice, the Japanese government sets a quota of zero on rice imports. Sometimes governments set positive quotas, $\bar{Q} > 0$. The foreign firms may supply as much as they want, Q_f , as long as they supply no more than the quota: $Q_f \leq \bar{Q}$.

2.3 Market Equilibrium

The supply and demand curves jointly determine the price and quantity at which goods and services are bought and sold. The demand curve shows the quantities that consumers want to buy at various prices, and the supply curve shows the quantities that firms want to sell at various prices. Unless the price is set so that consumers want to buy exactly the same amount that suppliers want to sell, either some buyers cannot buy as much as they want or some sellers cannot sell as much as they want.

When all traders are able to buy or sell as much as they want, we say that the market is in **equilibrium**: a situation in which no participant wants to change its behavior. At the *equilibrium price*, consumers want to buy the same quantity that firms want to sell. The quantity that consumers buy and firms sell at the equilibrium price is the *equilibrium quantity*.

Finding the Market Equilibrium

To illustrate how supply and demand curves determine the equilibrium price and quantity, we use our old friend, the coffee example. Figure 2.6 shows the supply, S , and the demand, D , curves for coffee. The supply and demand curves intersect at point e , the market equilibrium, where the equilibrium price is \$2 per lb and the equilibrium quantity is 10 million tons per year, which is the quantity that firms want to sell and the quantity that consumers want to buy at the equilibrium price.

We can determine the market equilibrium for coffee mathematically using the demand and supply functions, Equations 2.3 and 2.7. We use these two functions to solve for the equilibrium price at which the quantity demanded equals the quantity supplied (the equilibrium quantity).

The demand function in Equation 2.3 shows the relationship between the quantity demanded, Q_d , and the price:

$$Q_d = 12 - p.$$

The supply curve, Equation 2.7, tells us the relationship between the quantity supplied, Q_s , and the price:

$$Q_s = 9 + 0.5p.$$


We want to find the price at which $Q_d = Q_s = Q$, the equilibrium quantity. Because the left sides of the two equations are the same in equilibrium, $Q_s = Q_d$, the right sides of the two equations must be equal as well:

$$9 + 0.5p = 12 - p.$$

Adding p to both sides of this expression and subtracting 9 from both sides, we find that $1.5p = 3$. Dividing both sides of this last expression by 1.5, we learn that the equilibrium price is $p = \$2$.

Figure 2.6 Market Equilibrium

The intersection of the supply curve, S , and the demand curve, D , for coffee determines the market equilibrium point, e , where $p = \$2$ per lb and $Q = 10$ million tons per year. At the lower price of $p = \$1$, the quantity demanded is 11, but the quantity supplied is only 9.5, so the excess demand is 1.5. At $p = \$3$, the price exceeds the equilibrium price. As a result, the market has an excess supply of 1.5 because the quantity demanded, 9, is less than the quantity supplied, 10.5. With either excess demand or excess supply, market forces drive the price back to the equilibrium price of \$2.



We can determine the equilibrium quantity by substituting this equilibrium price, $p = \$2$, into either the supply or the demand equation:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_d &= Q_s \\ 12 - 2 &= 9 + (0.5 \times 2) \\ 10 &= 10. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equilibrium quantity is 10 million tons per year.

Forces That Drive a Market to Equilibrium

A market equilibrium is not just an abstract concept or a theoretical possibility.⁸ We observe markets in equilibrium. The ability to buy as much as you want of a good at the market price is indirect evidence that a market is in equilibrium. You can usually buy as much as you want of milk, ballpoint pens, and many other goods.

Amazingly, a market equilibrium occurs without any explicit coordination between consumers and firms. In a competitive market such as that for agricultural goods, millions of consumers and thousands of firms make their buying and selling decisions independently. Yet, each firm can sell as much as it wants, and each consumer can buy as much as he or she wants. It is as though an unseen market force, like an *invisible hand*, directs people to coordinate their activities to achieve market equilibrium.

What really causes the market to be in equilibrium? If the price were not at the equilibrium level, consumers or firms would have an incentive to change their behavior in a way that would drive the price to the equilibrium level.⁹

If the price were initially lower than the equilibrium price, consumers would want to buy more than suppliers would want to sell. If the price of coffee were \$1 in Figure 2.6, consumers would demand $12 - 1 = 11$ million tons per year, but firms would be willing to supply only $9 + (0.5 \times 1) = 9.5$ million tons. At this price, the market would be in *disequilibrium*, meaning that the quantity demanded would not equal the quantity supplied. The market would have **excess demand**—the amount by which the quantity demanded exceeds the quantity supplied at a specified price—of $11 - 9.5 = 1.5$ million tons per year at a price of \$1 per lb.

Some consumers would be lucky enough to be able to buy coffee at \$1. Other consumers would not find anyone willing to sell them coffee at that price. What could they do? Some frustrated consumers might offer to pay suppliers more than \$1. Alternatively, suppliers, noticing these disappointed consumers, might raise their prices. Such actions by consumers and producers would cause the market price to rise. At higher prices, the quantity that firms want to supply increases and the quantity that consumers want to buy decreases. The upward pressure on the price would continue until it reached the equilibrium price, \$2, where the market has no excess demand.

If, instead, the price were initially above the equilibrium level, suppliers would want to sell more than consumers would want to buy. For example, at a price of coffee of \$3, suppliers would want to sell 10.5 million tons per year but consumers

⁸**MyLab Economics** has games (called *experiments*) for your course. These online games allow you to play against the computer. The *Market Experiment* illustrates the operation of the supply-and-demand model, allowing you to participate in a simulated market. To play, go to **MyLab Economics** Multimedia Library, Single Player Experiment, and set the Chapter field to “All Chapters.”

⁹Our model of competitive market equilibrium, which occurs at a point in time, does not formally explain how dynamic adjustments occur. The following explanation, though plausible, is just one of a number of possible dynamic adjustment stories that economists have modeled.

would want to buy only 9 million, as the figure shows. Thus, at a price of \$3, the market would be in disequilibrium. The market would have **excess supply**—the amount by which the quantity supplied is greater than the quantity demanded at a specified price—of $10.5 - 9 = 1.5$ million tons at a price of \$3. Not all firms could sell as much as they wanted. Rather than incur storage costs (and possibly have their unsold coffee spoil), firms would lower the price to attract additional customers. As long as the price remained above the equilibrium price, some firms would have unsold coffee and would want to lower the price further. The price would fall until it reached the equilibrium level, \$2, without excess supply and hence no pressure to lower the price further.¹⁰

In summary, at any price other than the equilibrium price, either consumers or suppliers would be unable to trade as much as they want. These disappointed people would act to change the price, driving the price to the equilibrium level. The equilibrium price is called the *market clearing price* because it removes from the market all frustrated buyers and sellers: The market has no excess demand or excess supply at the market clearing price.

2.4 Shocking the Equilibrium: Comparative Statics

If the variables we hold constant in the demand and supply functions do not change, an equilibrium would persist indefinitely because none of the participants in the market would apply pressure to change the price. However, the equilibrium changes if a shock occurs so that one of the variables we were holding constant changes, causing a shift in either the demand curve or the supply curve.

Comparative statics is the method economists use to analyze how variables controlled by consumers and firms—here, price and quantity—react to a change in *environmental variables* (also called *exogenous variables*) that they do not control. Such environmental variables include the prices of substitutes, the prices of complements, the income level of consumers, and the prices of inputs. The term *comparative statics* literally refers to comparing a *static* equilibrium—an equilibrium at a point in time from before the change—to a static equilibrium after the change. (In contrast, economists may examine a dynamic model, in which the dynamic equilibrium adjusts over time.)



¹⁰Not all markets reach equilibrium through the independent actions of many buyers or sellers. In institutionalized or formal markets, such as the Chicago Mercantile Exchange—where agricultural commodities, financial instruments, energy, and metals are traded—buyers and sellers meet at a single location (or on a single website). In these markets, certain individuals or firms, sometimes referred to as *market makers*, act to adjust the price and bring the market into equilibrium very quickly.

Comparative Statics with Discrete (Large) Changes

We can determine the comparative statics properties of an equilibrium by examining the effects of a discrete (relatively large) change in one environmental variable. We can do so by solving for the before- and after-equilibria and comparing them using mathematics or a graph. We illustrate this approach using our beloved coffee example. Suppose all the environmental variables remain constant except the price of cocoa, which increases by \$3 per lb.

Because the price of cocoa is not an environmental variable in the demand function, the demand curve does not shift. However, as we saw in Figure 2.4, the increase in the price of cocoa causes the coffee supply curve to shift 0.6 units to the left from S^1 to S^2 at every possible price of coffee.


Figure 2.7 reproduces this shift of the supply curve and adds the original demand curve. At the original equilibrium price of coffee, \$2, consumers still want to buy 10 million tons, but suppliers are now willing to supply only 9.4 million tons at that price, so the market has an excess demand of $10 - 9.4 = 0.6$. Market pressure forces the coffee price upward until it reaches the new equilibrium, e_2 .

At e_2 , the new equilibrium price is \$2.40, and the new equilibrium quantity is 9.6 million tons. Thus, the increase in the price of cocoa causes the equilibrium price of coffee to rise by 40¢ per lb, and the equilibrium quantity to fall by 0.4 million tons. Here the increase in the price of cocoa causes a *shift of the supply curve* and a *movement along the demand curve*.

We can derive the same result by using equations to solve for the equilibrium before and after the discrete change in the price of cocoa and by comparing the two equations. We have already solved for the original equilibrium, e_1 , by setting the quantity in the demand function Equation 2.3 equal to the quantity in the supply function Equation 2.7.

Figure 2.7 The Equilibrium Effect of a Shift of the Supply Curve

A \$3 per lb increase in the price of cocoa causes some producers to shift from coffee production to cocoa production, reducing the quantity of coffee supplied at every price. The supply curve shifts to the left from S^1 to S^2 , driving the market equilibrium from e_1 to e_2 , where the new equilibrium price is \$2.40.



We obtain the new equilibrium, e_2 , by equating the quantity in the demand function Equation 2.3 to that of the new supply function, with the \$3 higher cocoa price, Equation 2.8:

$$12 - p = 8.4 + 0.5p.$$

Solving this expression, we find that the new equilibrium price is $p_2 = \$2.40$. Substituting that price into either the demand or the supply function, we learn that the new equilibrium quantity is $Q_2 = 9.6$, as Figure 2.7 shows. Thus, both methods show that an increase in the price of cocoa causes the equilibrium price to rise and the equilibrium quantity to fall.

APPLICATION

The Opioid Epidemic's Labor Market Effects

Opioids are drugs that act on the nervous system to relieve pain. They include heroin, fentanyl, and pain relievers that are available legally by prescription, such as oxycodone (OxyContin), hydrocodone (Vicodin), codeine, and morphine.

Although patients can safely use opioid pain relievers for a short period, many patients continue to use them for longer periods because these drugs produce euphoria in addition to pain relief. Unfortunately, continued use can cause dependence, and excessive use can cause death. Every day, 90 Americans die from opioid overdoses.

Opioid prescriptions per capita rose 350% nationwide between 1999 and 2015. The use and abuse of opioids are responsible for fewer people working due to premature deaths, an inability to pass a job drug test, or an unwillingness to work due to sedation and other effects of the drug.

Labor-force participation rate of men—the ratio of employed working-age men to all working-age men—was 3.2 percentage points lower during 2014–2016 than during 1999–2001. According to Krueger (2017), labor-force participation fell more in areas where doctors prescribe relatively more opioid pain medication. He calculated that 0.6 percentage points of the decline for men, a fifth of the total, was due to opioid prescriptions. Similarly, the study estimated that about one-quarter of the decline in women's labor-force participation was due to the growth in opioid prescriptions.

Thus, the opioid epidemic caused the labor supply curve to shift to the left, similar to Figure 2.7. As a result, the equilibrium quantity of labor fell and the equilibrium wage rose.

Comparative Statics with Small Changes

Alternatively, we can use calculus to determine the effect of a small change (as opposed to the discrete change we just used) in one environmental variable, holding the other such variables constant. Until now, we have used calculus to examine how an argument of a demand function affects the quantity demanded or how an argument of a supply function affects the quantity supplied. Now, however, we want to know how an environmental variable affects the equilibrium price and quantity that are determined by the intersection of the supply and demand curves.

Our first step is to characterize the equilibrium values as functions of the relevant environmental variables. Suppose that we hold constant all the environmental variables that affect demand so that the demand function is

$$Q = D(p). \quad (2.9)$$

One environmental variable, a , in the supply function changes, which causes the supply curve to shift. We write the supply function as

$$Q = S(p, a). \quad (2.10)$$

As before, we determine the equilibrium price by equating the quantities, Q , in Equations 2.9 and 2.10:

$$D(p) = S(p, a). \quad (2.11)$$

Equation 2.11 is an example of an *identity*. As a changes, p changes, so that this equation continues to hold—the market remains in equilibrium. Thus, based on this equation, we can write the equilibrium price as an implicit function of the environmental variable: $p = p(a)$. That is, we can write the equilibrium condition in Equation 2.11 as

$$D(p(a)) = S(p(a), a). \quad (2.12)$$

We can characterize how the equilibrium price changes with a by differentiating the equilibrium condition Equation 2.12 with respect to a using the chain rule at the original equilibrium,¹¹

$$\frac{dD(p(a))}{dp} \frac{dp}{da} = \frac{\partial S(p(a), a)}{\partial p} \frac{dp}{da} + \frac{\partial S(p(a), a)}{\partial a}. \quad (2.13)$$

Using algebra, we can rearrange Equation 2.13 as

$$\frac{dp}{da} = \frac{\frac{\partial S}{\partial a}}{\frac{dD}{dp} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial p}}, \quad (2.14)$$

where we suppress the arguments of the functions for notational simplicity. Equation 2.14 shows the derivative of $p(a)$ with respect to a .

We know that $dD/dp < 0$ because of the Law of Demand. If the supply curve is upward sloping, then $\partial S/\partial p$ is positive, so the denominator of Equation 2.14, $dD/dp - \partial S/\partial p$, is negative. Thus, dp/da has the opposite sign as the numerator of Equation 2.14. If $\partial S/\partial a$ is negative, then dp/da is positive: As a increases, the equilibrium price rises. If $\partial S/\partial a$ is positive, an increase in a causes the equilibrium price to fall.

By using either the demand function or the supply function, we can use this result concerning the effect of a on the equilibrium price to determine the effect of a on the equilibrium quantity. For example, we can rewrite the demand function Equation 2.9 as

$$Q = D(p(a)). \quad (2.15)$$

Differentiating the demand function Equation 2.15 with respect to a using the chain rule, we find that

$$\frac{dQ}{da} = \frac{dD}{dp} \frac{dp}{da}. \quad (2.16)$$

Because $dD/dp < 0$ by the Law of Demand, the sign of dQ/da is the opposite of that of dp/da . That is, as a increases, the equilibrium price moves in the opposite direction of the equilibrium quantity. In Solved Problem 2.1, we use the coffee example to illustrate this type of analysis.

¹¹The chain rule is a formula for the derivative of the composite of two functions, such as $f(g(x))$. According to this rule, $df/dx = (df/dg)(dg/dx)$. See the Calculus Appendix at the end of the book.

SOLVED PROBLEM**2.1****MyLab Economics**
Solved Problem

How do the equilibrium price and quantity of coffee vary as the price of cocoa changes, holding the variables that affect demand constant at their typical values? Answer this comparative statics question using calculus. (*Hint:* This problem has the same form as the more general one we just analyzed. In the cocoa market, the environmental variable that shifts supply, a , is p_c .)

Answer

1. *Solve for the equilibrium coffee price in terms of the cocoa price.* To obtain an expression for the equilibrium similar to Equation 2.14, we equate the right sides of the demand function in Equation 2.3 and the supply function Equation 2.6 to obtain

$$12 - p = 9.6 + 0.5p - 0.2p_c, \text{ or} \\ p = (2.4/1.5) + (0.2/1.5)p_c = 1.6 + 0.133\dot{3}p_c. \quad (2.17)$$

(As a check, when p_c equals its original value, \$3, in Equation 2.17, the equilibrium coffee price is $p = \$2$, which is consistent with our earlier calculations.)

2. *Use this equilibrium price equation to show how the equilibrium price changes as the price of cocoa changes.* Differentiating the equilibrium price Equation 2.17 with respect to p_c gives an expression of the form of Equation 2.16:

$$\frac{dp}{dp_c} = 0.133\dot{3}. \quad (2.18)$$

Because this condition holds for any value of p_c (the derivative does not vary with p_c), it also holds for large changes in the price of cocoa. For example, as the cocoa price increases by \$3, the equilibrium cocoa price increases by $0.133\dot{3} \times \$3 = \0.40 , as Figure 2.7 shows.

3. *Write the coffee demand function as in Equation 2.15, and then differentiate it with respect to the cocoa price to show how the equilibrium quantity of coffee varies with the cocoa price.* From the coffee demand function, Equation 2.3, we can write the quantity demanded as

$$Q = D(p(p_c)) = 12 - p(p_c),$$

where $p(p_c)$ is given by Equation 2.17. That is,

$$Q = D(p(p_c)) = 12 - (1.6 + 0.133\dot{3}p_c) = 10.4 - 0.133\dot{3}p_c.$$

Differentiating this expression with respect to p_c using the chain rule, we obtain

$$\frac{dQ}{dp_c} = \frac{dD}{dp} \frac{dp}{dp_c} = -1 \times 0.133\dot{3} = -0.133\dot{3}, \quad (2.19)$$

where dp/dp_c is given by Equation 2.18. That is, as the price of cocoa increases by \$1, the equilibrium quantity of coffee falls by 0.1333 tons per year. Because the derivative in Equation 2.19 does not vary with p_c , it holds for large changes. Thus, if the price of cocoa increases by \$3, then the equilibrium quantity falls by $0.133\dot{3} \times 3 = 0.4$ million tons per year, as Figure 2.7 shows.

Why the Shapes of Demand and Supply Curves Matter

The shapes and positions of the demand and supply curves determine how much a shock affects the equilibrium price and quantity. We illustrate the importance of the shape of the demand curve using the estimated avocado demand and supply curves.¹² We start by determining what happens if the price of fertilizer (an input to the production of avocados) increases by 55¢ per lb, which causes the avocado supply curve to shift to the left from S^1 to S^2 in panel a of Figure 2.8. The *shift of the supply curve* causes a *movement along the estimated demand curve*, D^1 . The equilibrium quantity falls from 80 to 72 million lb per month, and the equilibrium price rises 20¢ from \$2.00 to \$2.20 per lb, hurting consumers.


A supply shock would have different effects if the demand curve had a different shape. Suppose that the quantity demanded were not sensitive to a change in the price, so that a change in the price does not affect the amount demanded, as the vertical demand curve D^2 in panel b shows. A 55¢ increase in the fertilizer price again shifts the supply curve from S^1 to S^2 . However, with the vertical demand curve, the equilibrium quantity does not change, but the price consumers pay rises by more, going from \$2 to \$2.73. Thus, the amount consumers spend rises by more when the demand curve is vertical instead of downward sloping.

Now suppose that consumers are extremely sensitive to price changes, as the horizontal demand curve, D^3 , in panel c shows. Consumers will buy virtually

Figure 2.8 The Effects of a Shift of the Supply Curve Depend on the Shape of the Demand Curve

A 55¢ increase in the price of fertilizer shifts the avocado supply curve to the left from S^1 to S^2 . (a) Given the actual, estimated downward-sloping linear demand curve, D^1 , the equilibrium price rises from \$2.00 to \$2.20 and the equilibrium quantity falls from 80 to 72.

(b) If the demand curve were vertical, D^2 , the supply shock would cause price to rise to \$2.73 while quantity would remain unchanged. (c) If the demand curve were horizontal, D^3 , the supply shock would not affect price but would cause quantity to fall to 69.



¹²The supply and demand curves are based on estimates from Carman (2006), which we updated with more recent data from the California Avocado Commission and supplemented with information from other sources.

unlimited quantities of avocados at \$2 per lb (or less). However, if the price rises even slightly, they stop buying avocados altogether. With a horizontal demand curve, an increase in the price of avocados has *no* effect on the price consumers pay; however, the equilibrium quantity drops substantially from 80 to 69 million lb per month. Thus, how much the equilibrium quantity falls and how much the equilibrium price of avocados rises when the fertilizer price increases depend on the shape of the demand curve.

2.5 Elasticities

It is convenient to be able to summarize the responsiveness of one variable to a change in another variable using a summary statistic. In our last example, we wanted to know whether an increase in the price of a product causes a large or a small change in the quantity demanded (that is, whether the demand curve is relatively vertical or relatively horizontal at the current price). We can use summary statistics of the responsiveness of the quantity demanded and the quantity supplied to determine comparative statics properties of the equilibrium. Often, we have reasonable estimates of these summary statistics and can use them to predict what will happen to the equilibrium in a market—that is, to make comparative statics predictions. Later in this chapter, we will examine how the government can use these summary measures to predict how a tax on a product will affect the equilibrium price and quantity, and hence firms' revenues and the government's tax receipts.

Suppose that a variable z (for example, the quantity demanded or the quantity supplied) is a function of a variable x (say, the price of z) and possibly other variables such as y . We write this function as $z = f(x, y)$. For example, f could be the demand function, where z is the quantity demanded, x is the price, and y is income. We want a summary statistic that describes how much z changes as x changes, holding y constant. An **elasticity** is the percentage change in one variable (here, z) in response to a given percentage change in another variable (here, x), holding other relevant variables (here, y) constant. The elasticity, E , of z with respect to x is

$$E = \frac{\text{percentage change in } z}{\text{percentage change in } x} = \frac{\Delta z/z}{\Delta x/x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{x}{z}, \quad (2.20)$$

where Δz is the change in z , so $\Delta z/z$ is the percentage change in z . If z changes by 3% when x changes by 1%, then the elasticity E is 3. Thus, the elasticity is a pure number (it has no units of measure).¹³ As Δx goes to zero, $\Delta z/\Delta x$ goes to the partial derivative $\partial z/\partial x$. Economists usually calculate elasticities at this limit—that is, for infinitesimal changes in x .

Demand Elasticity

The **price elasticity of demand** (or simply the *demand elasticity* or *elasticity of demand*) is the percentage change in the quantity demanded, Q , in response to a given percentage change in the price, p , at a particular point on the demand curve.

¹³Economists use the elasticity rather than the slope, $\partial z/\partial x$, as a summary statistic because the elasticity is a pure number, whereas the slope depends on the units of measurement. For example, if x is a price measured in pennies and we switch to measuring price using dollars, the slope changes, but the elasticity remains unchanged.

The price elasticity of demand (represented by ε , the Greek letter epsilon), a special case of Equation 2.20, is

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\text{percentage change in quantity demanded}}{\text{percentage change in price}} = \frac{\Delta Q/Q}{\Delta p/p} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{p}{Q}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $\partial Q/\partial p$ is the partial derivative of the demand function with respect to p (that is, holding constant other variables that affect the quantity demanded).

The elasticity of demand concisely answers the question “How much does the quantity demanded of a product fall in response to a 1% increase in its price?” A 1% increase in price leads to an $\varepsilon\%$ change in the quantity demanded. For example, Roberts and Schlenker (2013) estimated that the elasticity of corn is -0.3 . A 1% increase in the price of corn leads to a -0.3% fall in the quantity of corn demanded. Thus, a price increase causes a less than proportionate fall in the quantity of corn demanded.

We can use Equation 2.21 to calculate the elasticity of demand for a linear demand function that holds fixed other variables that affect demand:

$$Q = a - bp,$$

where a is the quantity demanded when the price is zero, $Q = a - (b \times 0) = a$, and $-b$ is the ratio of the fall in the quantity relative to the rise in price: the derivative dQ/dp . The elasticity of demand is

$$\varepsilon = \frac{dQ}{dp} \frac{p}{Q} = -b \frac{p}{Q}. \quad (2.22)$$

SOLVED PROBLEM 2.2

MyLab Economics Solved Problem

The estimated U.S. linear corn demand function is

$$Q = 15.6 - 0.5p, \quad (2.23)$$

where p is the price in dollars per bushel and Q is the quantity demanded in billion bushels per year.¹⁴ What is the elasticity of demand at the point on the demand curve where the price is $p = \$7.20$ per bushel?

Answer

Substitute the slope coefficient b , the price, and the quantity in Equation 2.22.

Equation 2.23 is a special case of the general linear demand function $Q = a - bp$, where $a = 15.6$ and $b = 0.5$. Evaluating Equation 2.23 at $p = \$7.20$, we find that the quantity demanded is $Q = 15.6 - (0.5 \times 7.20) = 12$ billion bushels per year. Substituting $b = 0.5$, $p = \$7.20$, and $Q = 12$ into Equation 2.22, we learn that the elasticity of demand at this point on the demand curve is

$$\varepsilon = -b \frac{p}{Q} = -0.5 \times \frac{7.20}{12} = -0.3.$$

The negative sign on the corn elasticity of demand illustrates the Law of Demand: Less quantity is demanded as the price rises.

¹⁴This demand curve is a linearized version of the estimated demand curve in Roberts and Schlenker (2013). I have rounded their estimated elasticities slightly for algebraic simplicity.

APPLICATION**The Demand Elasticities for Google Play and Apple Apps**

As of the first quarter of 2018, the Apple App Store (iOS) had about 2.0 million apps (mobile applications for smartphones and tablets) and Google Play (Android) had 3.8 million. How price sensitive are consumers of apps? Are Apple aficionados more or less price sensitive than people who use Android devices?

Ghose and Han (2014) estimated the demand for an app in the Apple App Store is -2.0 . That is, a 1% increase in price causes a 2% drop in the demand for an Apple app. Thus, demand is elastic in the Apple App Store.



The estimated demand elasticity for an app in Google Play is -3.7 , which means an Android app has a nearly twice as elastic demand as does an Apple app. Therefore, Google Play consumers are more price sensitive than are Apple App consumers.

Elasticities Along the Demand Curve. The elasticity of demand varies along most demand curves. On downward-sloping linear demand curves (lines that are neither vertical nor horizontal), the higher the price, the more negative the elasticity of demand. Consequently, even though the slope of the linear demand curve is constant, the elasticity varies along the curve. A 1% increase in the price causes a larger percentage fall in the quantity demanded near the top (left) of the demand curve than near the bottom (right).

Where a linear demand curve hits the quantity axis ($p = 0$ and $Q = a$), the elasticity of demand is $\varepsilon = -b \times (0/a) = 0$, according to Equation 2.22. The linear coffee demand curve in Figure 2.9 illustrates this pattern. Where the price is zero, a 1% increase in price does not raise the price, so quantity demanded does not change. At a point where the elasticity of demand is zero, the demand curve is said to be *perfectly inelastic*. As a physical analogy, if you try to stretch an inelastic steel rod, the length does not change. The change in the price is the force pulling at demand; if the quantity demanded does not change in response to this force, the demand curve is perfectly inelastic.


For quantities between the midpoint of the linear demand curve and the lower end, where $Q = a$, the demand elasticity lies between 0 and -1 : $0 > \varepsilon > -1$. A point along the demand curve where the elasticity is between 0 and -1 is *inelastic* (but not perfectly inelastic): A 1% increase in price leads to a fall in quantity of less than 1%. For example, at $p = \$3$ and $Q = 9$, $\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{3}$, so a one percent increase in price causes quantity to fall by one-third of a percent. A physical analogy is a piece of rope that does not stretch much—is inelastic—when you pull on it: Changing price has relatively little effect on quantity.

At the midpoint of any linear demand curve, $p = a/(2b)$ and $Q = a/2$, so $\varepsilon = -bp/Q = -b[a/(2b)]/(a/2) = -1$.¹⁵ Such an elasticity of demand is called a *unitary elasticity*.

¹⁵The linear demand curve hits the price axis at $p = a/b$ and the quantity axis at $p = 0$. The midpoint occurs at $p = (a/b - 0)/2 = a/(2b)$, where the quantity is $Q = a - b[a/(2b)] = a/2$.

Figure 2.9 The Elasticity of Demand Varies Along the Linear Coffee Demand Curve

With a linear demand curve, such as the coffee demand curve, the higher the price, the more elastic the demand curve (ϵ is larger in absolute value: It becomes a more negative number as we move up the demand curve). The demand curve is perfectly inelastic ($\epsilon = 0$) where the demand curve hits the horizontal axis, is perfectly elastic where the demand curve hits the vertical axis, and has unitary elasticity at the midpoint of the demand curve.



At prices higher than at the midpoint of the demand curve, the elasticity of demand is less than negative one, $\epsilon < -1$. In this range, the demand curve is called *elastic*: A 1% increase in price causes more than a 1% fall in quantity. A physical analogy is a rubber band that stretches substantially when you pull on it. Figure 2.9 shows that the coffee demand elasticity is -3 where $p = \$9$ and $Q = 3$: A 1% increase in price causes a 3% drop in the quantity demanded.

As the price rises, the elasticity gets more and more negative, approaching negative infinity. Where the demand curve hits the price axis, it is *perfectly elastic*.¹⁶ At the price a/b where $Q = 0$, a 1% decrease in p causes the quantity demanded to become positive, which is an infinite increase in quantity.

The elasticity of demand varies along most demand curves, not just downward-sloping linear ones. However, along a special type of demand curve, called a *constant-elasticity demand curve*, the elasticity is the same at every point along the curve. Constant-elasticity demand curves all have the exponential form

$$Q = Ap^{\epsilon}, \quad (2.24)$$

where A is a positive constant and ϵ , a negative constant, is the elasticity at every point along this demand curve. By taking natural logarithms of both sides of Equation 2.24, we can rewrite this exponential demand curve as a log-linear demand curve:

$$\ln Q = \ln A + \epsilon \ln p. \quad (2.25)$$

For example, given the information in the Application “The Demand Elasticities for Google Play and Apple Apps,” the estimated demand function for Apple apps

¹⁶The linear demand curve hits the price axis at $p = a/b$ and $Q = 0$, so the elasticity of demand is $-bp/0$. As the price approaches a/b , the elasticity approaches negative infinity, $-\infty$. An intuition for this result is provided by looking at a sequence where -1 divided by 0.1 is -10 , -1 divided by 0.01 is -100 , and so on. The smaller the number we divide by, the more negative the result, which goes to $-\infty$ in the limit.

(mobile applications) is $Q = 1.4p^{-2}$, where the quantity is in millions of apps. Here, $A = 1.4$, and $\varepsilon = -2$ is the constant-elasticity of demand. That is, the demand for Apple apps is elastic: $\varepsilon < -1$. We can equivalently write this demand function as $\ln Q = \ln 1.4 - 2 \ln p$.


Figure 2.10 shows several constant-elasticity demand curves with different elasticities. Except for the vertical and the horizontal demand curves, the curves are convex to the origin (bend away from the origin). The two extreme cases of these constant-elasticity demand curves are the vertical and the horizontal demand curves. Along the demand curve that is horizontal at p^* in Figure 2.10, the elasticity is infinite everywhere. It is also a special case of a linear demand curve with a zero slope ($b = 0$). Along this demand curve, people are willing to buy as much as firms sell at any price less than or equal to p^* . If the price increases even slightly above p^* , however, demand falls to zero. Thus, a small increase in price causes an infinite drop in the quantity demanded, which means that the demand curve is perfectly elastic.

Why would a demand curve be horizontal? One reason is that consumers view one good as identical to another good and do not care which one they buy. Suppose that consumers view Washington State apples and Oregon apples as identical. They won't buy Washington apples if these apples sell for more than Oregon apples. Similarly, they won't buy Oregon apples if their price is higher than that of Washington apples. If the two prices are equal, consumers do not care which type of apple they buy. Thus, the demand curve for Oregon apples is horizontal at the price of Washington apples.

The other extreme case is the vertical demand curve, which is perfectly inelastic everywhere. Such a demand curve is also an extreme case of the linear demand curve with an infinite (vertical) slope. If the price goes up, the quantity demanded is unchanged, $dQ/dp = 0$, so the elasticity of demand must be zero: $\varepsilon = (dQ/dp)(p/Q) = 0 \times (p/Q) = 0$.

A demand curve is vertical for *essential goods*—goods that people feel they must have and will pay anything to get. Because Sydney has diabetes, her demand curve for insulin could be vertical at a day's dose, Q^* .¹⁷

Figure 2.10 Constant-Elasticity Demand Curves



¹⁷More realistically, she may have a maximum price, p^* , that she can afford to pay. Thus, her demand curve is vertical at Q^* up to p^* and horizontal at p^* to the left of Q^* .

SOLVED PROBLEM
2.3**MyLab Economics**
Solved Problem

Show that the price elasticity of demand is a constant ε if the demand function is exponential, $Q = Ap^\varepsilon$, or, equivalently, log-linear, $\ln Q = \ln A + \varepsilon \ln p$.

Answer

1. *Differentiate the exponential demand curve with respect to price to determine dQ/dp , and substitute that expression into the definition of the elasticity of demand.* Differentiating the demand curve $Q = Ap^\varepsilon$, we find that $dQ/dp = \varepsilon Ap^{\varepsilon-1}$. Substituting that expression into the elasticity definition, we learn that the elasticity is

$$\frac{dQ}{dp} \frac{p}{Q} = \varepsilon Ap^{\varepsilon-1} \frac{p}{Q} = \varepsilon Ap^{\varepsilon-1} \frac{p}{Ap^\varepsilon} = \varepsilon.$$

Because the elasticity is a constant that does not depend on the particular value of p , it is the same at every point along the demand curve.

2. *Differentiate the log-linear demand curve to determine dQ/dp , and substitute that expression into the definition of the elasticity of demand.* Differentiating the log-linear demand curve, $\ln Q = \ln A + \varepsilon \ln p$, with respect to p , we find that $d(\ln Q)/dp = (dQ/dp)/Q = \varepsilon/p$. Multiplying this Equation by p , we again discover that the elasticity is constant:

$$\frac{dQ}{dp} \frac{p}{Q} = \varepsilon \frac{Q}{p} \frac{p}{Q} = \varepsilon.$$

Other Types of Demand Elasticities. We refer to the price elasticity of demand as *the* elasticity of demand. However, other types of demand elasticities show how the quantity demanded changes in response to changes in variables other than price that affect the quantity demanded. Two such demand elasticities are the income elasticity of demand and the cross-price elasticity of demand.

As people's incomes increase, their demand curves for products shift. If a demand curve shifts to the right, consumers demand a larger quantity at any given price. If instead the demand curve shifts to the left, consumers demand a smaller quantity at any given price.

We can measure how sensitive the quantity demanded at a given price is to income by using the **income elasticity of demand** (or *income elasticity*), which is the percentage change in the quantity demanded in response to a given percentage change in income, Y . The income elasticity of demand is

$$\xi = \frac{\text{percentage change in quantity demanded}}{\text{percentage change in income}} = \frac{\Delta Q/Q}{\Delta Y/Y} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial Y} \frac{Y}{Q},$$

where ξ is the Greek letter xi. If the quantity demanded increases as income rises, the income elasticity of demand is positive. If the quantity demanded does not change as income rises, the income elasticity is zero. Finally, if the quantity demanded falls as income rises, the income elasticity is negative.

By partially differentiating the coffee demand function, Equation 2.2, $Q = 8.5 - p - 0.3p_s + 0.1Y$, with respect to Y , we find that $\partial Q/\partial Y = 0.1$, so the coffee income elasticity of demand is $\xi = 0.1Y/Q$. At our original equilibrium, quantity is $Q = 10$ and income is $Y = 35$ (\$35,000), so the income elasticity is $\xi = 0.1 \times (35/10) = 0.35$. The positive income elasticity shows that an increase in income causes the coffee demand curve to shift to the right. Holding the price of

coffee constant at \$2 per lb, a 1% increase in income causes the demand curve for coffee to shift to the right by 0.35%.

Income elasticities play an important role in our analysis of consumer behavior in Chapter 5. Typically, goods that consumers view as necessities, such as food, have income elasticities near zero. The estimated income elasticity for wireless access is 0.42 (Kridel, 2014). Goods that they consider luxuries generally have income elasticities greater than one.

The **cross-price elasticity of demand** is the percentage change in the quantity demanded in response to a given percentage change in the price of another good, p_o . The cross-price elasticity is

$$\frac{\text{percentage change in quantity demanded}}{\text{percentage change in price of another good}} = \frac{\Delta Q/Q}{\Delta p_o/p_o} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_o} \frac{p_o}{Q}.$$

If the cross-price elasticity is positive, the goods are *substitutes*. As the price of the second good increases, the demand curve for the first good shifts to the right, so people buy more of the first good at any given price.

If the cross-price elasticity is negative, the goods are *complements*.¹⁸ An increase in the price of the second good causes the demand curve for the first good to shift leftward, so people buy less of the first good at any given price.

For example, coffee and sugar are complements: Many people put sugar in their coffee. By partially differentiating the coffee demand function, Equation 2.2, $Q = 8.5 - p - 0.3p_s + 0.1Y$, with respect to the price of sugar, p_s , we find that $\partial Q/\partial p_s = -0.3$. That is, an increase in the price of sugar causes the quantity demanded of coffee to fall, holding the price of coffee and income constant. The cross-price elasticity between the price of sugar and the quantity demanded of coffee is $(\partial Q/\partial p_s)(p_s/Q) = -0.3p_s/Q$. At the original equilibrium, where $Q = 10$ million tons per year and $p_s = \$0.20$ per lb, the cross-price elasticity is $-0.3 \times (0.20/10) = -0.006$. As the price of sugar rises by 1%, the quantity of coffee demanded falls by only 0.006%.

Taking account of cross-price elasticities is important in making business and policy decisions. For example, General Motors wants to know how much a change in the price of a Toyota affects the demand for its Chevy. Society wants to know if taxing soft drinks will substantially increase the demand for milk.

Supply Elasticity

Just as we can use the elasticity of demand to summarize information about the responsiveness of the quantity demanded to price or other variables, we can use the elasticity of supply to summarize how responsive the quantity supplied of a product is to price changes or other variables. The **price elasticity of supply** (or *supply elasticity*) is the percentage change in the quantity supplied in response to a given percentage change in the price. The price elasticity of supply (η , the Greek letter eta) is

$$\eta = \frac{\text{percentage change in quantity supplied}}{\text{percentage change in price}} = \frac{\Delta Q/Q}{\Delta p/p} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{p}{Q}, \quad (2.26)$$

where Q is the *quantity supplied*. If $\eta = 2$, a 1% increase in price leads to a 2% increase in the quantity supplied.

The definition of the price elasticity of supply, Equation 2.26, is very similar to the definition of the price elasticity of demand, Equation 2.21. The key distinction is

¹⁸*Jargon alert:* Graduate-level textbooks generally call these goods *gross complements* and the goods in the previous example *gross substitutes*.

that the elasticity of supply describes the movement along the *supply* curve as price changes, whereas the elasticity of demand describes the movement along the *demand* curve as price changes. That is, in the numerator, supply elasticity depends on the percentage change in the *quantity supplied*, whereas demand elasticity depends on the percentage change in the *quantity demanded*.

If the supply curve is upward sloping, $\partial p / \partial Q > 0$, the supply elasticity is positive: $\eta > 0$. If the supply curve slopes downward, the supply elasticity is negative: $\eta < 0$.

At a point on a supply curve where the elasticity of supply is $\eta = 0$, we say that the supply curve is *perfectly inelastic*: The supply does not change as the price rises. If $0 < \eta < 1$, the supply curve is *inelastic* (but not perfectly inelastic): A 1% increase in the price causes a less than 1% rise in the quantity supplied. If $\eta = 1$, the supply curve is *unitary elastic*. If $\eta > 1$, the supply curve is *elastic*. If η is infinite, the supply curve is *perfectly elastic*.

To illustrate the supply elasticity, we use the estimated linear U.S. corn supply function (based on Roberts and Schlenker, 2013)

$$Q = 10.2 + 0.25p, \quad (2.27)$$

where Q is the quantity of corn supplied in billion bushels per year and p is the price of corn in dollars per bushel. Differentiating Equation 2.27, we find that $dQ/dp = 0.25$. At the point on the supply curve where $p = \$7.20$ and $Q = 12$, the elasticity of supply is

$$\eta = \frac{dQ}{dp} \frac{p}{Q} = 0.25 \times \frac{7.20}{12} = 0.15.$$


At this point on the supply curve, a 1% increase in the price of corn leads to a 0.15% rise in the quantity of corn supplied. That is, the supply curve is inelastic at this point.

The elasticity of supply may vary along a supply curve. For example, because the corn elasticity of supply is $\eta = 0.25p/Q$, as the ratio p/Q rises, the supply elasticity rises.

The supply elasticity does not vary along constant-elasticity supply functions, which are exponential or (equivalently) log-linear: $Q = Bp^\eta$ or $\ln Q = \ln B + \eta \ln p$. If η is a positive, finite number, the constant-elasticity supply curve starts at the origin, as Figure 2.11 shows. Two extreme examples of both constant-elasticity of supply curves and linear supply curves are the vertical supply curve and the horizontal supply curve.

Figure 2.11 Constant-Elasticity Supply Curves

Constant-elasticity supply curves, $Q = Bp^\eta$, with positive, finite elasticities, start at the origin. They are concave to the horizontal axis if $1 < \eta < \infty$ and convex if $0 < \eta < 1$. The unitary-elasticity supply curve is a straight line through the origin. The vertical constant-elasticity supply curve is perfectly inelastic, while the horizontal curve is perfectly elastic.



A supply curve that is vertical at a quantity, Q^* , is perfectly inelastic. No matter what the price is, firms supply Q^* . An example of inelastic supply is a perishable item such as already-picked fresh fruit. Unsold perishable goods quickly become worthless. Thus, the seller will accept any market price for the good.

A supply curve that is horizontal at a price, p^* , is perfectly elastic. Firms supply as much as the market wants—a potentially unlimited amount—if the price is p^* or above. Firms supply nothing at a price below p^* , which does not cover their cost of production.

SOLVED PROBLEM 2.4

MyLab Economics Solved Problem

Show that the price elasticity of supply is 1 for a linear supply curve that starts at the origin.

Answer

1. *Write the formula for a linear supply curve that starts at the origin.* In general, a linear supply function is $Q = A + Bp$. If $p = 0$, then $Q = A$. For a linear supply curve to start at the origin ($p = 0$, $Q = 0$), A must be zero. Thus, the supply function is $Q = Bp$. For firms to supply positive quantities at a positive price, we need $B > 0$.
2. *Calculate the supply elasticity based on this linear function by using the definition.* The supply elasticity is $\eta = (dQ/dp)(p/Q) = B(p/Q) = B(p/[Bp]) = 1$, regardless of the slope of the line, B .

Comment: This supply function is a special case of the constant-elasticity supply function where $Q = Bp^\eta = Bp^1$, so $\eta = 1$.

Long Run Versus Short Run

Typically, short-run demand or supply elasticities differ substantially from long-run elasticities. The duration of the short run depends on the planning horizon—how long it takes consumers or firms to adjust for a particular good.

Demand Elasticities over Time. Two factors that determine whether short-run demand elasticities are larger or smaller than long-run elasticities are the ease of substitution and storage opportunities. Often one can substitute between products in the long run but not in the short run.

The shape of a demand curve depends on the period under consideration. Often consumers substitute between products in the long run but not in the short run. The price of U.S. gasoline in May 2018 was nearly one-third higher than in the previous year. However, most consumers did not change their consumption demand very much in the short run. Someone who drives 27 miles to and from work every day in a Ford Explorer did not suddenly start using less gasoline. However, if gas prices were to remain high in the long run, people would reduce their consumption of gasoline. Many people would buy smaller, more fuel-efficient cars, some people would take jobs closer to home, and some would even move closer to their work or closer to convenient public transportation.

Liddle (2012) estimated the gasoline demand elasticities across many countries and found that the short-run elasticity for gasoline was -0.16 and the long-run elasticity was -0.43 . Thus, a 1% increase in price lowers the quantity demanded by only 0.16% in the short run but by more than twice as much, 0.43%, in the long run.

In contrast, the short-run demand elasticity for goods that can be stored easily may be more elastic than the long-run ones. Prince (2008) found that the demand for computers was more elastic in the short run (-2.74) than in the long run (-2.17). His explanation was that consumers worry about being locked-in with an older technology in the short run so that they were more sensitive to price in the short run.

Supply Elasticities over Time. Short-run supply curve elasticities may differ from long-run elasticities. If a manufacturing firm wants to increase production in the short run, it can do so by hiring workers to use its machines around the clock. However, the fixed size of its manufacturing plant and fixed number of machines in the plant limit how much it can expand its output.

In the long run, however, the firm can build another plant and buy or build more equipment. Thus, we would expect a firm's long-run supply elasticity to be greater than it is in the short run.

Similarly, the market supply elasticity may be greater in the long run than in the short run. For example, Clemens and Gottlieb (2014) estimated that the health care supply elasticity is twice as elastic in the long run (1.4) as in the short run (0.7).

APPLICATION

Oil Drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge



We can use information about supply and demand elasticities to answer an important public policy question: Would selling oil from the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR) substantially affect the price of oil? ANWR, established in 1960, is the largest of Alaska's 16 national wildlife refuges, covers 20 million acres, and is believed to contain large deposits of petroleum (about the amount consumed in the United States in a year). For decades, a debate has raged over whether U.S. citizens, who own the refuge, should keep it pristine or permit oil drilling.¹⁹

The Obama administration sided with environmentalists who stress that drilling would harm the wildlife refuge and pollute the environment. On the other side, the Trump administration and drilling proponents argue that extracting this oil would substantially reduce the price of petroleum as well as decrease U.S. dependence on foreign oil. Recent large fluctuations in the price of gasoline and unrest in the Middle East have heightened this intense debate.

The effect of selling ANWR oil on the world price of oil is a key element of this dispute. We can combine oil production information with supply and demand elasticities to make a "back of the envelope" estimate of the price effects. Baumeister

and Peersman (2013) estimated that the short-run elasticity of demand, ϵ , for oil is about -0.25 and the long-run supply elasticity, η , is about 0.25 .

Analysts dispute how much ANWR oil could be produced. The U.S. Department of Energy's Energy Information Service predicts that production from ANWR would average about 800,000 barrels per day. That production would be less than 1% of the worldwide oil production, which is predicted to be about 100 million barrels per day in 2018.

A report by the Department of Energy predicted that drilling in the refuge could lower the price of oil by about 1%. In Solved Problem 2.5, we make our own calculation of the price effect of drilling in ANWR. Here and in many of the solved problems, you are asked to determine how a change in a variable or policy (such as permitting ANWR drilling) affects one or more variables (such as the world equilibrium price of oil).

¹⁹I am grateful to Robert Whaples, who wrote an earlier version of this analysis. In the following discussion, we assume for simplicity that the oil market is competitive, and use current values of price and quantities even though drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge could not take place for at least a decade from when the decision to drill occurs.

**SOLVED PROBLEM
2.5****MyLab Economics
Solved Problem**

What would be the effect of ANWR production on the world equilibrium price of oil given that $\varepsilon = -0.25$, $\eta = 0.25$, the pre-ANWR daily world production of oil is $Q_1 = 100$ million barrels per day, the pre-ANWR world price is $p_1 = \$70$ per barrel, and daily ANWR production is 0.8 million barrels per day?²⁰ We assume that the supply and demand curves are linear and that the introduction of ANWR oil would cause a parallel shift in the world supply curve to the right by 0.8 million barrels per day.

Answer

1. *Determine the long-run linear demand function that is consistent with pre-ANWR world output and price.* The general formula for a linear demand curve is $Q = a - bp$, where a is the quantity when $p = 0$ (where the demand curve hits the horizontal axis) and $b = dQ/dp$. At the original equilibrium, e_1 in the figure, $p_1 = \$70$ and $Q_1 = 100$ million barrels per day, so the elasticity of demand is $\varepsilon = (dQ/dp)(p_1/Q_1) = -b(70/100) = -0.25$. Using algebra, we find that $b = 0.25(100/70) \approx 0.357$, so the demand function is $Q = a - 0.357p$. At e_1 , the quantity demanded is $Q = 100 = a - (0.357 \times 70)$. Using algebra, we find that $a = 100 + (0.357 \times 70) = 125$. Thus, the demand function is $Q = 125 - 0.357p$.
2. *Determine the long-run linear supply function that is consistent with pre-ANWR world output and price.* The general formula for a linear supply curve is $Q = c + dp$, where c is the quantity at $p = 0$, and $d = dQ/dp$. Where S^1 intercepts D at the original equilibrium, e_1 , the elasticity of supply is $\eta = (dQ/dp)(p_1/Q_1) = d(70/100) = 0.25$. Solving this equation, we find that $d = 0.25(100/70) \approx 0.357$, so the supply function is $Q = c + 0.357p$. Evaluating this Equation at e_1 , $Q = 100 = c + (0.357 \times 70)$. Solving for c , we find that $c = 100 - (0.357 \times 70) = 75$. Thus, the supply function is $Q = 75 + 0.357p$.
3. *Determine the post-ANWR linear supply function.* The oil pumped from the refuge would cause a parallel shift in the supply curve, moving S^1 to the right by 0.8 to S^2 . That is, the slope remains the same, but the intercept on the quantity axis increases by 0.8. Thus, the supply function for S^2 is $Q = 75.8 + 0.357p$.
4. *Use the demand curve and the post-ANWR supply function to calculate the new equilibrium price and quantity.* The new equilibrium, e_2 , occurs where S^2 intersects D . Setting the right side of the demand function equal to the right side of the post-ANWR supply function, we obtain an expression for the post-Arctic National Wildlife Refuge price, p_2 :


$$125 - 0.357p_2 = 75.8 + 0.357p_2.$$

We can solve this expression for the new equilibrium price: $p_2 \approx \$68.91$. That is, the price drops about \$1.09, or 1.6%. If we substitute this new price into either the demand curve or the post-ANWR supply curve, we find that the new equilibrium quantity is 100.4 million barrels per day. That is, equilibrium output rises by 0.4 million barrels per day (0.4%), which is only a little more than half of the predicted daily refuge supply, because other suppliers will decrease their output slightly in response to the lower price.

²⁰This price is for June 2018. From 2007 through 2018, the price of a barrel of oil fluctuated between about \$30 and \$140. The calculated percentage change in the price in Solved Problem 2.5 is not sensitive to the choice of the initial price of oil.

Comment: Our estimate that selling ANWR oil would cause only a small drop in the world oil price would not change substantially if our estimates of the elasticities of supply and demand were moderately larger or smaller or if the equilibrium price of oil were higher or lower. The main reason for this result is that the refuge output would be a very small portion of worldwide supply—the new supply curve would lie only slightly to the right of the initial supply curve. Thus, drilling in ANWR alone cannot insulate the U.S. market from international events that roil the oil market.

In contrast, a new war in the Persian Gulf could shift the worldwide supply curve to the left by up to 24 million barrels per day (the amount of oil produced in the Persian Gulf), or 30 times the ANWR's potential production. Such a shock would cause the price of oil to soar whether we drill in the ANWR or not.



2.6 Effects of a Sales Tax

New Jersey's decision to eliminate the tax on Botox has users elated. At least I think they're elated—I can't really tell.

Before voting for a new sales tax, legislators want to predict the effect of the tax on prices, quantities, and tax revenues. If the new tax will produce a large price increase, legislators who vote for the tax may lose their jobs in the next election. Voters' ire is likely to be even greater if the tax fails to raise significant tax revenues.

Governments use two types of sales taxes: ad valorem and specific taxes. Economists call the most common sales tax an *ad valorem* tax, while real people call it *the* sales tax. For every dollar the consumer spends, the government keeps a fraction, v , which is the ad valorem tax rate. For example, Japan's national ad valorem sales tax is 8%. If a Japanese consumer buys a Nintendo Wii for ¥40,000,²¹ the government

²¹The symbol for Japan's currency, the yen, is ¥. Roughly, ¥111 = \$1.

collects $v \times ¥40,000 = 8\% \times ¥40,000 = ¥3,200$ in taxes, and the seller receives $(1 - v) \times ¥40,000 = 92\% \times ¥40,000 = ¥36,800$.²²

The other type of sales tax is a *specific* or *unit* tax: The government collects a specified dollar amount, t , per unit of output. For example, the federal government collects $t = 18.4¢$ on each gallon of gas sold in the United States.

In this section, we examine four questions about the effects of sales taxes:

1. What effect does a specific sales tax have on the equilibrium price, the equilibrium quantity, and tax revenue?
2. Are the equilibrium price and quantity dependent on whether the government collects the specific tax from suppliers or their customers?
3. Is it true, as many people claim, that producers *pass along* to consumers any taxes collected from producers? That is, do consumers pay the entire tax?
4. Do comparable ad valorem and specific taxes have equivalent effects on equilibrium prices and quantities and on tax revenue?

The shapes of the supply and demand curves determine how much a tax affects the equilibrium price and quantity and how much of the tax consumers pay. Knowing only the elasticities of supply and demand, which summarize the shapes of these curves, we can make accurate predictions about the effects of a new tax and determine how much of the tax is paid by consumers.

Effects of a Specific Tax on the Equilibrium

We use our estimated corn supply and demand curves to illustrate the answer to our first question: What effect does a specific sales tax have on the equilibrium price, the equilibrium quantity, and tax revenue?²³

Panel a of Figure 2.12 shows that in the before-tax equilibrium, e_1 , where S^1 and D^1 intersect, the equilibrium price is $p_1 = \$7.20$ and the equilibrium quantity is $Q_1 = 12$ billion bushels of corn.

Suppose the government imposes a specific tax of $t = \$2.40$ per bushel of corn on firms. If a customer pays a price of p to a firm, the government takes t , so the firm keeps $p - t$. Thus, at every possible price paid by customers, firms are willing to supply less than when they received the full amount that customers paid. Before the tax, firms were willing to supply 11.6 billion bushels of corn per year at a price of \$5.60 per bushel, as the pre-tax supply curve S^1 in panel a shows. After the tax, if customers pay \$5.60, firms receive only \$3.20 ($= \$5.60 - \2.40), so they are not willing to supply 11.6 billion bushels. For firms to be willing to supply that quantity, customers must pay \$8.00 so that firms receive \$5.60 ($= \$8.00 - \2.40) after paying the tax. By this reasoning, the after-tax supply curve, S^2 , is $t = \$2.40$ above the original supply curve S^1 at every quantity, as the figure shows.

The after-tax supply curve S^2 intersects the demand curve D^1 at e_2 . In the after-tax equilibrium, consumers pay $p_2 = \$8$ and buy $Q_2 = 11.6$ billion bushels. At that quantity, firms receive the price corresponding to e_3 on the original supply curve, $p_2 - t = \$5.60$. Thus, the tax causes the equilibrium price that customers pay to


²²For specificity, we assume that the price firms receive is $p = (1 - v)p^*$, where p^* is the price consumers pay and v is the ad valorem tax rate on the price consumers pay. However, many governments set the ad valorem sales tax, V , as an amount added to the price sellers charge, so consumers pay $p^* = (1 + V)p$. By setting v and V appropriately, the taxes are equivalent. Here $p = p^*/(1 + V)$, so $(1 - v) = 1/(1 + V)$. For example, if $V = \frac{1}{3}$, then $v = \frac{1}{4}$.

²³**MyLab Economics** has a *Taxes Experiment* that illustrates the effect of sales taxes. To participate, go to the **MyLab Economics** Multimedia Library, Single Player Experiment, and set the Chapter field to “All Chapters.”

Figure 2.12 The Equilibrium Effects of a Specific Tax

(a) The specific tax of $t = \$2.40$ per bushel of corn collected from producers shifts the pre-tax corn supply curve, S^1 , up to the post-tax supply curve, S^2 . The tax causes the equilibrium to shift from e_1 (determined by the intersection of S^1 and D^1) to e_2 (intersection of S^2 with D^1). The equilibrium price—the price consumers pay—increases from $p_1 = \$7.20$ to $p_2 = \$8.00$. The government collects tax revenues of

$T = tQ_2 = \$27.84$ billion per year. (b) The specific tax collected from customers shifts the demand curve down by $t = \$2.40$ from D^1 to D^2 . The intersection of D^2 and S^1 determines the new price that firms receive, $p_2 - t = \$5.60$, at e_3 . Corresponding to this point is e_2 on D^1 , which shows the equilibrium price that consumers pay, $p_2 = \$8.00$, is the same as when the tax is applied to suppliers in panel a.



increase ($\Delta p = p_2 - p_1 = \$8 - \$7.20 = 80¢$) and the equilibrium quantity to fall ($\Delta Q = Q_2 - Q_1 = 11.6 - 12 = -0.4$).

Although the customers and producers are worse off because of the tax, the government acquires tax revenue of $T = tQ = \$2.40$ per bushel \times 11.6 billion bushels per year = \$27.84 billion per year. The length of the shaded rectangle in Figure 2.12 panel a is $Q_2 = 11.6$ billion per year, and its height is $t = \$2.40$ per bushel, so the area of the rectangle equals the tax revenue.

Thus, the answer to our first question is that a specific tax causes the equilibrium price customers pay to rise, the price that firms receive to fall, the equilibrium quantity to fall, and tax revenue to rise. Usually, a government imposes a tax to obtain tax revenue, which is a desired intended consequence of the tax. However, it views the other effects as predictable, but unfortunate:

Unintended Consequences A sales tax usually causes the price to consumers to rise, the price received by firms to fall, and the quantity sold to drop.

Of course, a government may tax “sin” goods—such as alcohol, marijuana, and soda—to discourage consumption, in which case these price and quantity effects are intended and desired.

The Same Equilibrium No Matter Who Is Taxed

Our second question is, “Are the equilibrium price and quantity dependent on whether the specific tax is collected from suppliers or their customers?” We can use our supply-and-demand model to answer this question, showing that the equilibrium is the same regardless of whether the government collects the tax from suppliers or their customers.

If a customer pays a firm p for a bushel of corn, and the government collects a specific tax t from the customer, the total the customer pays is $p + t$. Suppose that customers bought a quantity Q at a price p^* before the tax. After the tax, they are willing to continue to buy Q only if the price falls to $p^* - t$, so that the after-tax price, $p^* - t + t$, remains at p^* . Consequently, the demand curve, from the perspective of firms, shifts down by $t = \$2.40$ from D^1 to D^2 in panel b of Figure 2.12.

The intersection of D^2 and the supply curve S^1 determines e_3 . At e_3 , the price received by producers is $p_2 - t = \$5.60$ and the quantity is $Q_2 = 11.6$ billion bushels. At this after-tax quantity on D^1 is e_2 , where the price consumers pay is $p_2 = \$8$. The tax revenue that the government collects is $T = \$27.84$ billion.

Comparing the two panels in Figure 2.12, we see that the after-tax equilibrium prices, quantities, and tax revenue are the same regardless of whether the government imposes the tax on consumers or sellers. Consequently, regardless of whether sellers or buyers pay the tax to the government, you can solve tax problems by shifting the supply curve or by shifting the demand curve.

Firms and Customers Share the Burden of the Tax

Our third question concerns whether customers bear the entire burden of a tax, as many politicians and news stories assert.

Common Confusion Businesses pass any sales tax along to consumers, so that the entire burden of the tax falls on consumers.

This claim is not generally true, as we now demonstrate. We start by determining the share of the tax that consumers bear and then show how that share depends on the elasticities of supply and demand.

Tax Incidence. The **incidence of a tax on consumers** is the share of the tax that consumers pay. We start by illustrating this concept in our corn example for a discrete change in the tax. If the government sets a new specific tax of t , the change in the tax from 0 to t is $\Delta t = t - 0 = t$. The incidence of the tax on consumers is the amount by which the price consumers pay rises as a fraction of the amount the tax increases: $\Delta p / \Delta t$.

In the corn example, as both panels of Figure 2.12 show, a $\Delta t = \$2.40$ increase in the specific tax causes customers to pay $\Delta p = 80¢$ more per bushel than before the tax. Thus, customers bear one-third of the incidence of the corn tax: $\Delta p / \Delta t = \$0.80 / \$2.40 = \frac{1}{3}$.

The change in the price that firms receive is $(p_2 - t) - p_1 = (\$8 - \$2.40) - \$7.20 = \$5.60 - \$7.20 = -\1.60 . That is, they receive \$1.60 less per bushel than they would in the absence of the tax. Thus, the incidence of the tax on firms—the amount by which the price firms receives falls, divided by the tax—is $\$1.60 / \$2.40 = \frac{2}{3}$.

The sum of the share of the tax on customers, $\frac{1}{3}$, and that on firms, $\frac{2}{3}$, equals the entire tax effect, 1. Equivalently, the price increase to customers minus the price decrease to farmers equals the tax: $\$0.80 - (-\$1.60) = \$2.40 = t$.

The Incidence Depends on Elasticities. The tax incidence on customers depends on the elasticities of supply and demand, as we illustrate for small changes in the unit tax, t . If the government collects t from sellers, sellers receive $p - t$ when consumers pay p . We can use this information to determine the effect of the tax on the equilibrium. In the new equilibrium, the price that consumers pay is determined by the equality between the demand function and the after-tax supply function, $D(p) = S(p - t)$. As a result, the equilibrium price varies with t , so we can write the equilibrium price as an implicit function of the tax: $p = p(t)$. Consequently, the equilibrium condition is

$$D(p(t)) = S(p(t) - t). \quad (2.28)$$

We determine the effect a small change in the tax has on the price by differentiating Equation 2.28 with respect to t :

$$\frac{dD}{dp} \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{dS}{dp} \frac{d(p(t) - t)}{dt} = \frac{dS}{dp} \left(\frac{dp}{dt} - 1 \right).$$

By rearranging these terms, we discover that the change in the price that consumers pay with respect to the change in the tax is

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{\frac{dS}{dp}}{\frac{dS}{dp} - \frac{dD}{dp}}. \quad (2.29)$$

We know that $dD/dp < 0$ from the Law of Demand. If the supply curve slopes upward (as in Figure 2.12), $dS/dp > 0$, so $dp/dt > 0$. The higher the tax, the greater the price consumers pay. If $dS/dp < 0$, the direction of change is ambiguous: It depends on the relative slopes of the supply and demand curves (the denominator).

By multiplying both the numerator and denominator of the right side of Equation 2.29 by p/Q , we can express this derivative in terms of elasticities,

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{\frac{dS}{dp} \frac{p}{Q}}{\frac{dS}{dp} \frac{p}{Q} - \frac{dD}{dp} \frac{p}{Q}} = \frac{\eta}{\eta - \varepsilon}, \quad (2.30)$$

where the last equality follows because dS/dp and dD/dp are the changes in the quantities supplied and demanded as price changes, and the consumer and producer prices are identical when $t = 0$.²⁴ This expression holds for any size change in t if both the demand and supply curves are linear. For most other shaped curves, the expression holds only for small changes.

At the corn equilibrium, $\varepsilon = -0.3$ and $\eta = 0.15$, so the incidence of a specific tax on consumers is $dp/dt = \eta/(\eta - \varepsilon) = 0.15/[0.15 - (-0.3)] = 0.15/0.45 = \frac{1}{3}$, and the incidence of the tax on firms is $1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$.

Equation 2.30 shows that, for a given supply elasticity, the more elastic the demand curve at the equilibrium, the less the equilibrium price rises when a tax is imposed.

²⁴To determine the effect on quantity, we can combine the price result from Equation 2.29 with information from either the demand or the supply function. For example, differentiating the demand function with respect to t , we know that $\frac{dD}{dp} \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{dD}{dp} \frac{\eta}{\eta - \varepsilon}$, which is negative if the supply curve is upward sloping, so $\eta > 0$.

Similarly, for a given demand elasticity, the smaller the supply elasticity, the smaller the increase in the equilibrium price that consumers pay in response to a tax. In the corn example, if the supply elasticity changed to $\eta = 0$ (a perfectly inelastic vertical supply curve) and ε remained -0.3 , then $dp/dt = 0/[0 - (-0.3)] = 0$. Here, none of the incidence of the tax falls on consumers, so the entire incidence of the tax falls on firms.


SOLVED PROBLEM 2.6

MyLab Economics Solved Problem

If the supply curve is perfectly elastic and the demand curve is linear and downward sloping, what is the effect of a \$1 specific tax collected from producers on equilibrium price and quantity, and what is the incidence on consumers? Why?

Answer

1. *Determine the equilibrium in the absence of a tax.* Before the tax, the perfectly elastic supply curve, S^1 in the graph, is horizontal at p_1 . The downward-sloping linear demand curve, D , intersects S^1 at the pre-tax equilibrium, e_1 , where the price is p_1 and the quantity is Q_1 .



2. *Show how the tax shifts the supply curve and determine the new equilibrium.* A specific tax of \$1 shifts the pre-tax supply curve, S^1 , upward by \$1 to S^2 , which is horizontal at $p_1 + 1$. The intersection of D and S^2 determines the after-tax equilibrium, e_2 , where the price consumers pay is $p_2 = p_1 + 1$, the price firms receive is $p_2 - 1 = p_1$, and the quantity is Q_2 .
3. *Compare the before- and after-tax equilibria.* The specific tax causes the equilibrium quantity to fall from Q_1 to Q_2 , the price firms receive to remain at p_1 , and the equilibrium price consumers pay to rise from p_1 to $p_2 = p_1 + 1$. The entire incidence of the tax falls on consumers:

$$\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} = \frac{p_2 - p_1}{\Delta t} = \frac{\$1}{\$1} = 1.$$

(We can use Equation 2.30 to draw the same conclusion.)

4. *Explain why.* The reason consumers must absorb the entire tax is that firms will not supply the good at a price that is any lower than they received before the tax, p_1 . Thus, the price must rise enough that the price suppliers receive after tax is unchanged. As consumers do not want to consume as much at a higher price, the equilibrium quantity falls.

APPLICATION**Subsidizing Ethanol**

For 30 years, the U.S. government subsidized ethanol directly and indirectly with the goal of replacing 15% of U.S. gasoline consumption with this biofuel. The explicit ethanol subsidy was eliminated in 2012.²⁵ However, as of 2018, the government continues to subsidize corn, the main input, and requires that gas stations sell a gasoline-ethanol mix, which greatly increases the demand for ethanol.

What was the subsidy's incidence on consumers? That is, how much of the subsidy went to purchasers of ethanol? Because a subsidy is a negative tax, we need to change the sign of the consumer incidence formula, Equation 2.30, when using it for a subsidy, s , rather than for a tax. That is, the consumer incidence is $dp/ds = \eta/(\varepsilon - \eta)$.

According to McPhail and Babcock (2012), the supply elasticity of ethanol, η , is about 0.13, and the demand elasticity is about -2.1 . Thus, at the equilibrium, the supply curve is relatively inelastic (nearly the opposite of the situation in Solved Problem 2.6, where the supply curve was perfectly elastic), and the demand curve is relatively elastic. Using Equation 2.30, the consumer incidence was $\eta/(\eta - \varepsilon) = 0.13/(-2.1 - 0.13) \approx -0.06$. Thus, consumers received virtually none (6%) of the subsidy, so producers captured almost the entire subsidy. A detailed empirical study by Bielen, Newell, and Pizer (2018) confirmed these results: Consumers and corn farmers received a negligible amount of the benefits from the ethanol subsidy, with virtually all of the benefits going to ethanol producers and gasoline blenders.

The Similar Effects of Ad Valorem and Specific Taxes

Our fourth question concerns whether comparable ad valorem and specific taxes have the same equilibrium and revenue effects. Unlike specific sales taxes, which are applied to relatively few goods, governments levy ad valorem taxes on a wide variety of goods. Most states apply ad valorem sales taxes to most goods and services, exempting only a few staples such as food and medicine.

Suppose the government imposes an ad valorem tax of v , instead of a specific tax, on the price that consumers pay for corn. We already know that the equilibrium price of corn is \$8.00 with a specific tax of \$2.40 per bushel. At that price, an ad valorem tax of $v = \$2.40/\$8 = 30\%$ raises the same amount of tax per unit as a \$2.40 specific tax.


It is usually easiest to analyze the effects of an ad valorem tax by shifting the demand curve. Figure 2.13 shows how a specific tax and an ad valorem tax shift the corn demand curve. The specific tax shifts the original, pre-tax demand curve, D , down to D^s , which is parallel to the original curve. The ad valorem tax rotates the demand curve to D^a . At any given price p , the gap between D and D^a is vp , which is greater at high prices than at low prices. The gap is \$2.40 ($= 0.3 \times \8) per unit when the price is \$8, and \$1.20 when the price is \$4.

If the government imposes the ad valorem tax, D^a intersects S at e_3 . The equilibrium quantity falls from 12 billion bushels to 11.6 billion bushels at e_1 . The after-tax price, $p_2 = \$8$, at e_2 is higher than the original price, $p_1 = \$7.20$, at e_1 . The tax collected per unit of output is $t = vp_2 = \$2.40$. The incidence of the tax that falls on consumers is the change in price, $\Delta p = p_2 - p_1 = \$0.80$, divided by the change in the per-unit tax, $\Delta t = vp_2 - 0 = \$2.40$, that is collected, $\Delta p/(vp_2) = \$0.80/\$2.40 = \frac{1}{3}$.

²⁵In 2011, the last year of the ethanol subsidy, the subsidy cost the government \$6 billion. According to a 2010 Rice University study, in 2008, ethanol replaced about 2% of the U.S. gasoline supply, at a cost of about \$1.95 per gallon on top of the gasoline retail price. The combined ethanol and corn subsidies amounted to about \$2.59 per gallon of ethanol.

Figure 2.13 The Effects of a Specific Tax and an Ad Valorem Tax on Consumers

Without a tax, the demand curve is D and the supply curve is S . An ad valorem tax of $v = 30\%$ shifts the demand curve facing firms to D^a . The gap between D and D^a , the per-unit tax, is larger at higher prices. In contrast, the demand curve facing firms given a specific tax of \$2.40 per bushel, D^s , is parallel to D . The after-tax equilibrium, e_2 , and the tax revenue, T , are the same with both of these taxes.



The incidence of an ad valorem tax is generally shared between consumers and producers. Because the ad valorem tax of $v = 30\%$ has exactly the same impact on the equilibrium corn price and raises the same amount of tax per unit as the $t = \$2.40$ specific tax, the incidence is the same for both types of taxes. (As with specific taxes, the incidence of the ad valorem tax depends on the elasticities of supply and demand, but we'll spare you from having to go through that in detail.)

2.7 Quantity Supplied Need Not Equal Quantity Demanded

In a supply-and-demand model, the quantity supplied does not necessarily equal the quantity demanded because of the way we defined these two concepts. We defined the quantity supplied as the amount firms want to sell at a given price, holding constant other factors that affect supply, such as the price of inputs. We defined the quantity demanded as the quantity that consumers want to buy at a given price, if other factors that affect demand are held constant. The quantity that firms want to sell and the quantity that consumers want to buy at a given price need not equal the quantity that is bought and sold.

We could have defined the quantity supplied and the quantity demanded so that they must be equal. Had we defined the quantity supplied as the amount firms *actually* sell at a given price and the quantity demanded as the amount consumers *actually* buy, supply would have to equal demand in all markets because we *defined* the quantity demanded and the quantity supplied as the same quantity.

It is worth emphasizing this distinction because politicians, pundits, and the press are so often confused on this point. Someone who insists “demand *must* equal supply” must be defining demand and supply as the *actual* quantities sold. Because we define the quantities supplied and demanded in terms of people’s *wants* and not *actual* quantities bought and sold, the statement that “supply equals demand” is a theory, not merely a definition.

According to our theory, the quantity supplied equals the quantity demanded at the intersection of the supply and demand curves if the government does not

intervene. However, not all government interventions prevent markets from *clearing*: equilibrating the quantity supplied and the quantity demanded. For example, as we've seen, a government tax affects the equilibrium by shifting the supply curve or demand curve of a good but does not cause a gap between the quantity demanded and the quantity supplied. However, some government policies do more than merely shift the supply curve or demand curve.

For example, governments may directly control the prices of some products. New York City, for instance, limits the price or rent that property owners can charge for an apartment. If the price a government sets for a product differs from its market clearing price, either excess supply or excess demand results. We illustrate this result with two types of price control programs. The government may set a *price ceiling* at \bar{p} so that the price at which goods are sold may be no higher than \bar{p} . When the government sets a *price floor* at \underline{p} , the price at which goods are sold may not fall below \underline{p} .²⁶

We can study the effects of such regulations using the supply-and-demand model. Despite the lack of equality between the quantity supplied and the quantity demanded, the supply-and-demand model is useful for analyzing price controls because it predicts the excess demand or excess supply that is observed.

Price Ceiling

A price ceiling legally limits the amount that a firm can charge for a product. The ceiling does not affect market outcomes if it is set above the equilibrium price that would be charged in the absence of the price control. For example, if the government

says firms can charge no more than $\bar{p} = \$5$ per gallon of gas and firms are actually charging $p = \$3$, the government's price control policy is irrelevant. However, if the equilibrium price had been \$6 per gallon, the price ceiling would limit the price in that market to only \$5.

Currently, Canada and many European countries set price ceilings on pharmaceuticals. The United States used price ceilings during both world wars, the Korean War, and in 1971–1973 during the Nixon administration, among other times. Many states impose price controls during a declared state of emergency.

The U.S. government imposed price controls on gasoline several times. In the 1970s, the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) reduced supplies of oil—which is converted into gasoline—to Western countries. As a result, the total supply curve for gasoline in the United States—the horizontal sum of domestic and OPEC supply curves—shifted to the left from S^1 to S^2 in Figure 2.14. Because of this shift, the equilibrium price of gasoline would have risen substantially, from p_1 to p_2 . In an

attempt to protect consumers by keeping gasoline prices from rising, the U.S. government set price ceilings on gasoline in 1973 and 1979.


The government told gas stations that they could charge no more than $p_1 = \bar{p}$. Figure 2.14 shows the price ceiling as a solid horizontal line extending from the price axis at \bar{p} . The price control is binding because $p_2 > \bar{p}$. The observed price is the price ceiling. At \bar{p} , consumers *want* to buy $Q_d = Q_1$ gallons of gasoline, which is the equilibrium quantity they bought before OPEC acted. However, because of the



²⁶**MyLab Economics** has a *Price Ceilings Experiment* and a *Price Floors Experiment* that illustrate the operation of price controls. To participate, go to the **MyLab Economics** Multimedia Library, Single Player Experiment, and set the Chapter field to “All Chapters.”

Figure 2.14 The Effects of a Gasoline Price Ceiling

Supply shifts from S^1 to S^2 . Under the government's price control program, gasoline stations may not charge a price above the price ceiling $\bar{p} = p_1$. At that price, producers are willing to supply only Q_s , which is less than the amount $Q_1 = Q_d$ that consumers want to buy. The result is excessive demand, or a shortage of $Q_d - Q_s$.



price control, firms are willing to supply only Q_s , which is determined by the intersection of the price control line with S^2 . As a result, a binding price control causes excess demand of $Q_d - Q_s$.

Were it not for the price controls, market forces would drive up the market price to p_2 , where the excess demand would be eliminated. The government's price ceiling prevents this adjustment from occurring, which causes a **shortage**, or persistent excess demand.

Unintended Consequence A price control causes shortages.

At the time the controls were implemented, some government officials falsely contended that the shortages were the result of OPEC's cutting off its supply of oil to the United States, but that's not true. Without the price controls, the new equilibrium would be e_2 , where the equilibrium price, p_2 , is greater than p_1 , and the equilibrium, Q_2 , is greater than the quantity sold under the control program, Q_s . Allowing the price to rise to p_2 would have prevented a shortage.

The supply-and-demand model predicts that a binding price control results in equilibrium *with a shortage*. In this equilibrium, the quantity demanded does not equal the quantity supplied. The reason that we call this situation an equilibrium even though a shortage exists is that no consumers or firms want to act differently, *given the law*. Without a price control, consumers facing a shortage would try to get more output by offering to pay more, or firms would raise their prices. With an enforced price control, consumers know that they can't drive up the price, so they live with the shortage.

So what happens when a shortage occurs? Lucky consumers get to buy Q_s units at the low price of \bar{p} . Other potential customers are disappointed: They would like to buy at that price, but they cannot find anyone willing to sell gas to them. With enforced price controls, sellers use criteria other than price to allocate the scarce commodity. They may supply the commodity to their friends; long-term customers;

or people of a certain race, gender, age, or religion. They may sell their goods on a first-come, first-served basis. Or they may limit everyone to only a few gallons.

Another possibility is for firms and customers to evade the price controls. A consumer could go to a gas station owner and say, “Let’s not tell anyone, but I’ll pay you twice the price the government sets if you’ll sell me as much gas as I want.” If enough customers and gas station owners behaved that way, no shortage would occur. A study of 92 major U.S. cities during the 1973 gasoline price control found no gasoline lines in 52 of the cities, where apparently the law was not enforced. However, in cities where the law was effective, such as Chicago, Hartford, New York, Portland, and Tucson, potential customers waited in line at the pump for an hour or more. Deacon and Sonstelie (1989) calculated that for every dollar consumers saved during the 1980 gasoline price controls, they lost \$1.16 in waiting time and other factors.

APPLICATION

Venezuelan Price Ceilings and Shortages

Venezuela is one of the richest countries in Latin America. It is a leading oil producer, and it has many other agricultural and nonagricultural industries.

So, why do people start lining up to buy groceries in Venezuela at 4 a.m., when shops open at 8 a.m.? Strict price ceilings on food and other goods create shortages throughout the country.

According to a university study in 2018, one-quarter of Venezuelans eat two or fewer meals a day, 60% reported waking up hungry, and people reported losing 24 lb of weight, on average, during the previous year. Venezuelans also suffer from condom, birth control pill, and toilet paper shortages.

One would think that Venezuela should be able to supply its citizens with coffee, which it has been producing in abundance for centuries. Indeed, Venezuela exported coffee until 2009. However, since then, it has been importing large amounts of coffee to compensate for a drop in production. Why have farmers and coffee roasters cut production? Due to low retail price ceilings, they would have to produce at a loss.

Because Venezuela regulates the prices of many goods such as gasoline and corn flour, while Colombia, its direct neighbor to the west, does not, smuggling occurs. Given that gasoline sold in 2015 for 4¢ a gallon in Venezuela, and the price was 72¢ a gallon in most of Colombia, the temptation to smuggle is great. Venezuela’s Táchira state is adjacent to the Colombian border. Its government says that as much as 40% of the food sent to Táchira is smuggled into Colombia. Why sell corn flour at an artificially low price in Venezuela if you can sell it at a higher, market price in Colombia?

Venezuela’s populist President Hugo Chávez and his hand-picked successor, Nicolás Maduro, imposed strict price ceilings purportedly to rein in inflation and make the goods more affordable for the poor. Do the ceilings help the poor?

For many Venezuelans, the answer is “No!” As Nery Reyes, a restaurant worker, said, “Venezuela is too rich a country to have this. I’m wasting my day here standing in line to buy one chicken and some rice.”

Demonstrators have taken to the streets to protest persistent economic and social problems, including shortages. Many have died in these violent clashes with the National Guard. Hundreds of thousands of people have left Venezuela, and more than half of those between the ages of 15 and 29 say they want to leave the country.

The ultimate irony was that President Nicolás Maduro advised Venezuelans to consume less to alleviate the shortages.

Price Floor

Governments also commonly impose price floors. One of the most important examples of a price floor is the minimum wage in labor markets.

Minimum wage laws date from 1894 in New Zealand, 1909 in the United Kingdom, and 1912 in Massachusetts. The Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 set a federal U.S. minimum wage of 25¢ per hour. The U.S. federal minimum hourly wage rose to \$7.25 in 2009 and remained at that level through early 2018, but 29 states and a number of cities set higher minimum wages.²⁷ As of 2018, the highest minimum wage is in Washington D.C. (\$12.50), followed by Washington State (\$11.50), California (\$11.00), and Massachusetts (\$11.00).

The minimum wage in Canada differs across provinces, ranging from C\$14.00 to C\$10.96 (where C\$ stands for Canadian dollars) in 2018. In 2018, the United Kingdom's minimum hourly wage is £7.83 for adult workers.

If the minimum wage binds—exceeds the equilibrium wage, w^* —the minimum wage causes *unemployment*, which is a persistent excess supply of labor. For simplicity, we examine a labor market in which everyone receives the same wage.²⁸ Figure 2.15 shows the supply and demand curves for labor services (hours worked). Firms buy hours of labor service—they hire workers. The quantity measure on the horizontal axis is hours worked per year, and the price measure on the vertical axis is the wage per hour.

Figure 2.15 The Effects of a Minimum Wage

In the absence of a minimum wage, the equilibrium wage is w^* , and the equilibrium number of hours worked is L^* . A minimum wage, w , set above w^* , leads to unemployment—persistent excess supply—because the quantity demanded, L_d , is less than the quantity supplied, L_s .



²⁷See www.dol.gov for U.S. state and federal minimum wages. See www.fedee.com/pay-job-evaluation/minimum-wage-rates/ for minimum wages in European countries.

²⁸Where the minimum wage applies to only some labor markets (Chapter 10) or where only a single firm hires all the workers in a market (Chapter 11), a minimum wage might not cause unemployment. Card and Krueger (1995) argued, based on alternatives to the simple supply-and-demand model, that minimum wage laws raise wages in some markets (such as fast foods) without significantly reducing employment. In contrast, Neumark, Salas, and Wascher (2014) concluded, based on an extensive review of minimum wage research, that increases in the minimum wage often have negative effects on employment.

With no government intervention, the market equilibrium is e , where the wage is w^* and the number of hours worked is L^* . The minimum wage creates a price floor, a horizontal line, at \underline{w} . At that wage, the quantity demanded falls to L_d and the quantity supplied rises to L_s . The result is an excess supply or unemployment of $L_s - L_d$. The minimum wage prevents market forces from eliminating the excess supply, so it leads to an equilibrium with unemployment. The original 1938 U.S. minimum wage law caused massive unemployment in the U.S. territory of Puerto Rico.

It is ironic that a law designed to help workers by raising their wages may harm some workers.

Unintended Consequence If a minimum wage applies to all workers in a competitive market, it may cause some workers to become unemployed.

Thus, minimum wage laws benefit only people who manage to remain employed.

2.8 When to Use the Supply-and-Demand Model

As we've seen, the supply-and-demand model can help us understand and predict real-world events in many markets. Through Chapter 10, we discuss *perfectly competitive* markets, in which the supply-and-demand model is a powerful tool for predicting what will happen to market equilibrium if underlying conditions—tastes, incomes, and prices of inputs—change. A perfectly competitive market (Chapter 8) is one in which all firms and consumers are *price takers*: No market participant can affect the market price.

Perfectly competitive markets have five characteristics that result in price-taking behavior:

1. The market has many small buyers and sellers.
2. All firms produce identical products.
3. All market participants have full information about prices and product characteristics.
4. Transaction costs are negligible.
5. Firms can easily enter and exit the market.

In a market with many firms and consumers, no single firm or consumer is a large enough part of the market to affect the price. If you stop buying bread or if one of the many thousands of wheat farmers stops selling the wheat used to make the bread, the price of bread will not change.

In contrast, if a market has only one seller of a good or service—a *monopoly* (Chapter 11)—that seller is a *price setter* and can affect the market price. Because demand curves slope downward, a monopoly can increase the price it receives by reducing the amount of a good it supplies. Firms are also price setters in an *oligopoly*—a market with only a small number of firms—or in markets in which they sell differentiated products and consumers prefer one product to another (Chapter 14), such as the automobile market. In markets with price setters, the market price is usually higher

than that predicted by the supply-and-demand model. That doesn't make the supply-and-demand model generally wrong. It means only that the supply-and-demand model does not apply to those markets.

If consumers believe all firms produce identical products, consumers do not prefer one firm's good to another's. Thus, if one firm raises its price, consumers buy from the other firm. In contrast, if some consumers prefer Coke to Pepsi, Coke can charge more than Pepsi and not lose all its customers.

If consumers know the prices all firms charge and one firm raises its price, that firm's customers will buy from other firms. If consumers have less information about a product's quality than the firm that produces it, the firm can take advantage of consumers by selling them inferior-quality goods or by charging a higher price than other firms charge. In such a market, the observed price may be higher than that predicted by the supply-and-demand model, the market may not exist at all (consumers and firms cannot reach agreements), or different firms may charge different prices for the same good (Chapter 18).

If it is cheap and easy for a buyer to find a seller and make a trade, and if one firm raises its price, consumers can easily arrange to buy from another firm. That is, perfectly competitive markets typically have very low **transaction costs**: the expenses, over and above the price of the product, of finding a trading partner and making a trade for the product. These costs include the time and money spent gathering information about a product's quality and finding someone with whom to trade. Other transaction costs include the costs of writing and enforcing a contract, such as the cost of a lawyer's time. If transaction costs are very high, no trades at all might occur. In less extreme cases, individual trades may occur, but at a variety of prices.

The ability of firms to enter and exit a market freely leads to a large number of firms in a market and promotes price taking. Suppose a firm could raise its price and make a higher profit. If other firms could not enter the market, this firm would not be a price taker. However, if other firms can quickly and easily enter the market, the higher profit will encourage entry until the price is driven back to its original level.

Thus, the supply-and-demand model is not appropriate in markets that have

- only one or a few sellers, such as the market for local water and sewage services,
- firms producing differentiated products, such as music CDs,
- consumers who know less than sellers about the quality of products or their prices, such as used cars,
- consumers incurring high transaction costs, such as nuclear turbine engines, or
- firms facing high entry or exit costs, such as aircraft manufacturing.

Markets in which the supply-and-demand model has proved useful—markets with many firms and consumers and in which firms sell identical products—include agriculture, finance, labor, construction, services, wholesale, and retail.

CHALLENGE SOLUTION

Quantities and Prices of Genetically Modified Foods


We conclude this chapter by returning to the Challenge posed at the beginning of the chapter, where we asked about the effects on the price and quantity of a crop, such as corn, from the introduction of GM seeds. The supply curve shifts to the right because GM seeds produce more output than traditional seeds, holding all else constant. If consumers fear GM products, the demand curve for corn shifts to the left. We want to determine how the after-GM equilibrium compares to the before-GM equilibrium. When an event shifts both curves, the qualitative effect on the equilibrium price and quantity may be difficult to predict, even if we know the direction in which each curve shifts. Changes in the equilibrium price and quantity depend on exactly how much the curves shift. In our analysis, we consider the possibility that the demand curve may shift only slightly in some countries where

consumers don't mind GM products but substantially in others where many consumers fear GM products.

In the figure, the original, before-GM equilibrium, e_1 , is determined by the intersection of the before-GM supply curve, S^1 , and the before-GM demand curve, D^1 , at price p_1 and quantity Q_1 . Both panels a and b of the figure show this same equilibrium.

When GM seeds are introduced, the new supply curve, S^2 , lies to the right of S^1 . In panel a, the new demand curve, D^2 , lies only slightly under D^1 , while in panel b, D^3 lies substantially below D^1 . In panel a, the new equilibrium e_2 is determined by the intersection of S^2 and D^2 . In panel b, the new equilibrium e_3 reflects the intersection of S^2 and D^3 .

The equilibrium price falls from p_1 to p_2 in panel a and to p_3 in panel b. However, the equilibrium quantity rises from Q_1 to Q_2 in panel a, but falls from Q_1 to Q_3 in panel b. Thus, when both curves shift, we can predict the direction of change of the equilibrium price, but cannot predict the change in the equilibrium quantity without knowing how much each curve shifts. Whether growers in a country decide to adopt GM seeds turns crucially on how resistant consumers are to these new products.



SUMMARY

1. Demand. The quantity of a good or service demanded by consumers depends on their tastes, the price of a good, the price of goods that are substitutes and complements, consumers' income, information, government regulations, and other factors. The *Law of Demand*—which is based on observation—says that *demand curves slope downward*. The higher the price, the less quantity is demanded, holding constant other factors that affect

demand. A change in price causes a *movement along the demand curve*. A change in income, tastes, or another factor that affects demand other than price causes a *shift of the demand curve*. To derive a total demand curve, we horizontally sum the demand curves of individuals or types of consumers or countries. That is, we add the quantities demanded by each individual at a given price to determine the total quantity demanded.

- 2. Supply.** The quantity of a good or service supplied by firms depends on the price, the firm's costs, government regulations, and other factors. The market supply curve need not slope upward but it usually does. A change in price causes a *movement along the supply curve*. A change in the price of an input or government regulation causes a *shift of the supply curve*. The total supply curve is the horizontal sum of the supply curves for individual firms.
- 3. Market Equilibrium.** The intersection of the demand curve and the supply curve determines the equilibrium price and quantity in a market. Market forces—actions of consumers and firms—drive the price and quantity to the equilibrium levels if they are initially too low or too high.
- 4. Shocking the Equilibrium: Comparative Statics.** A change in an underlying factor other than price causes a shift of the supply curve or the demand curve, which alters the equilibrium. Comparative statics is the method that economists use to analyze how variables controlled by consumers and firms—such as price and quantity—react to a change in *environmental variables*, such as prices of substitutes and complements, income, and prices of inputs.
- 5. Elasticities.** An elasticity is the percentage change in a variable in response to a given percentage change in another variable, holding all other relevant variables constant. The price elasticity of demand, ϵ , is the percentage change in the quantity demanded in response to a given percentage change in price: A 1% increase in price causes the quantity demanded to fall by $\epsilon\%$. Because demand curves slope downward according to the Law of Demand, the elasticity of demand is always negative. The price elasticity of supply, η , is the percentage change in the quantity supplied in response to a given percentage change in price. Given estimated elasticities, we can forecast the comparative statics effects of a change in taxes or other variables that affect the equilibrium.
- 6. Effects of a Sales Tax.** The two common types of sales taxes are ad valorem taxes, by which the government collects a fixed percentage of the price paid per unit, and specific taxes, by which the government collects a fixed amount of money per unit sold. Both types of sales taxes typically raise the equilibrium price and lower the equilibrium quantity. Also, both usually raise the price consumers pay and lower the price suppliers receive, so consumers do not bear the full burden or incidence of the tax. The effects on quantity, price, and the incidence of the tax that falls on consumers depend on the demand and supply elasticities. In competitive markets, the impact of a tax on equilibrium quantities, prices, and the incidence of the tax is unaffected by whether the tax is collected from consumers or producers.
- 7. Quantity Supplied Need Not Equal Quantity Demanded.** The quantity supplied equals the quantity demanded in a competitive market if the government does not intervene. However, some government policies—such as price floors or ceilings—cause the quantity supplied to be greater or less than the quantity demanded, leading to persistent excesses or shortages.
- 8. When to Use the Supply-and-Demand Model.** The supply-and-demand model is a powerful tool to explain what happens in a market or to make predictions about what will happen if an underlying factor in a market changes. However, this model is applicable only in competitive markets—markets with many buyers and sellers, in which firms sell identical goods, participants have full information, transaction costs are low, and firms can easily enter and exit.

EXERCISES

If you ask me anything I don't know, I'm not going to answer. —Yogi Berra

All exercises are available on [MyLab Economics](#) * = answer appears at the back of this book; **M** = mathematical problem.

1. Demand

- *1.1 Suppose that the demand function for milled rice in India is $Q = 17 - 3p + 5p_w + 6p_m + 0.1Y$, where Q is the quantity in millions of tons of rice per year, p is the price of milled rice in thousands of rupees per ton, p_w is the price of wheat in thousands of rupees per ton, p_m is the price of maize in thousands of rupees per ton, and Y is average annual income in thousands of rupees. What is the demand curve if $p_w = 11$, $p_m = 11$, and $Y = 384$? **M**
- *1.2 Using the demand function for milled rice from Question 1.1, show how the quantity demanded at a given price changes if per capita income, Y , increases by 10,000 rupees. **M**
- 1.3 Given an estimated demand function for avocados of $Q = 104 - 40p + 20p_t + 0.01Y$, show how

the demand curve shifts as per capita income, Y , increases from \$4,000 to \$5,000 per month. (Note: The price of tomatoes, p_t , is \$0.80.) Illustrate this shift in a diagram. **M**

- *1.4 Suppose that the demand function for movies is $Q_1 = 120 - p$ for college students and $Q_2 = 60 - 0.5p$ for other town residents. What is the town's total demand function ($Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ as a function of p)? Carefully draw a figure to illustrate your answer. **M**
- 1.5 Soybean oil is sold directly to consumers and used as an ingredient in a wide variety of processed foods. Soybean meal, the residue from producing soybean oil, is used as animal feed. Suppose the demand curves for soybean oil and soybean meal in Brazil are $Q_{oil} = 17 - 4p$ and $Q_{meal} = 27.9 - 10p$, respectively. What is the equation for the total demand curve and the total quantity demanded at a price of 1.6 thousand reals? (Hint: Review the Application "Aggregating Corn Demand Curves.") **M**
- 1.6 Based on information in the Application "The Demand Elasticities for Google Play and Apple Apps," the demand function for mobile applications at the Apple App Store is $Q_A = 1.4p^{-2}$ and the demand function at Google Play is $1.4p^{-3.7}$, where the quantity is in millions of apps. What is the total demand function for both firms? If the price for an app is \$1, what is the equilibrium quantity demanded by Apple customers, Google customers, and all customers? (Hint: Look at the Application "Aggregating Corn Demand Curves.") **M**

2. Supply

- 2.1 Suppose that the supply function for milled rice in India is $Q = 8 + 9p - 12p_r$, where Q is the quantity in millions of tons of rice per year, p is the price of milled rice in thousands of rupees per ton, and p_r is the price of rough rice in thousands of rupees per ton. How does the supply curve change if the price of rough rice increases from 12,000 to 20,000 rupees per ton? **M**
- 2.2 Given an estimated supply function for dairy cows milk production in Jordan, $\ln Q_m = 1.304 + 0.564 \ln P_m + 1.754 \ln Q_c - 0.241 \ln P_c - 0.885 \ln C_v$, determine how much the supply curve shifts if the price of a dairy cow, P_c , decreases from JOD2,150 to JOD1,750. **M**
- 2.3 If the supply curve for fresh oranges in Egypt is $Q_e = 0.7 + 5p$ and the supply curve for fresh oranges for the rest of the world is $Q_{row} = 38.2 + 10p$, what is the world supply curve? **M**
- *2.4 Various governments have, from time to time, set limits on how much of certain goods produced in other

countries may be imported and sold domestically. Such quotas say that no more than $\bar{Q} > 0$ units of a particular targeted good may be imported into the country. Suppose both the domestic supply curve for a good, S_d , and the foreign supply curve for the same good for domestic sale, S_f , are upward sloping straight lines. How would a quota set by the country on foreign imports of \bar{Q} affect that country's total supply curve for the good (domestic and foreign supply combined)?

- 2.5 A cartoon in this chapter shows two people in front of a swimming pool discussing whether they want to go swimming. How does colder weather in the winter affect the desire of people to go swimming? Does it cause a movement along the demand curve or a shift of the demand curve? Use a figure to illustrate your answer.

3. Market Equilibrium

- *3.1 Use a supply-and-demand diagram to explain the statement "Talk is cheap because supply exceeds demand." At what price is this comparison being made?
- 3.2 If the demand function is $Q = 110 - 20p$, and the supply function is $Q = 20 + 10p$, what are the equilibrium price and quantity? **M**
- *3.3 Suppose that the supply function for copra (dried coconut meat) for processing into coconut oil in the Philippines is $\ln Q = 0.2 + 0.22 \ln p$, where Q is the quantity of copra in millions of tons per year and p is the price of copra in thousands of pesos per ton. If the demand function for copra for processing into coconut oil is $\ln Q = 1.5 - 0.22 \ln p + 0.09 \ln p_0$, where $p_0 = 65$ is the price of coconut oil in thousands of pesos per ton in 2016, what is the demand curve for copra for processing? Solve for the equilibrium price and quantity of copra for processing into coconut oil (round your responses to one decimal place). **M**
- 3.4 The demand function for milled rice in India in Question 1.1 is $Q = 17 - 3p + 5p_w + 6p_m + 0.1Y$ and the supply function in Question 2.1 is $Q = 8 + 9p - 12p_r$. Solve for the equilibrium price and quantity in terms of the price of wheat, p_w , the price of maize, p_m , and average annual income, Y . If $p_w = 11$ (thousand rupees per ton), $p_r = 12$ (thousand rupees per ton), and $Y = 384$ (thousands of rupees), what are the equilibrium price and quantity? (Round your responses to one decimal place.) **M**
- 3.5 The demand function for a good is $Q = a - bp$, and the supply function is $Q = c + ep$, where a , b , c , and e are positive constants. Solve for the equilibrium price and quantity in terms of these four constants.

4. Shocking the Equilibrium: Comparative Statics

- *4.1 Use a figure to explain the fisher's comment about the effect of a large catch on the market price in the cartoon about catching lobsters in this chapter. What is the supply shock?
- 4.2 The coronavirus pandemic caused the European natural gas demand curve to shift left by an estimated 7% (IEA (2020), Gas 2020, IEA, Paris <https://www.iea.org/reports/gas-2020>). Use a supply-and-demand diagram to show the likely effect on price and quantity (assuming that the market is competitive). Indicate the magnitude of the likely equilibrium price and quantity effects—for example, would you expect equilibrium quantity to change by about 7%? Show how the answer depends on the shape and location of the supply and demand curves.
- 4.3 Production of ethanol in the European Union (EU), primarily from wheat, increased more than 2.4 times from 570 million gallons in 2007 to 1,387 million gallons in 2015 (<http://www.ethanolrfa.org/pages/statistics>). In a supply-and-demand model of the market for wheat in the EU, how would this increased use of wheat for producing ethanol affect the price of wheat and the consumption of wheat as food, and why?
- *4.4 The demand function is $Q = 220 - 2p$, and the supply function is $Q = 20 + 3p - 20r$, where r is the rental cost of capital. How do the equilibrium price and quantity vary with r ? (*Hint: See Solved Problem 2.1.*) **M**
- 4.5 Recessions lower incomes and reduce rental prices for beachfront properties. Suppose that the demand function for renting a beachfront property in Barcelona, Spain, during the first week of August is $Q = 1,000 - p + Y/20$, where Y is the median annual income of the people involved in this market, Q is quantity, and p is the rental price. The supply function is $Q = 2p - Y/20$.
- Derive the equilibrium price, p , and quantity, Q , in terms of Y .
 - Use a supply-and-demand analysis to show the effect of decreased income on the equilibrium price of rental homes. That is, find dp/dY . Does a decrease in median income lead to a decrease in the equilibrium rental price? (*Hint: See Solved Problem 2.1.*) **M**
- 4.6 Colchero et al (2015) estimated the price elasticity of demand for sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB) in Mexico to be -1.16 . Assume that in Mexico City, the annual per capita demand of SSB is given by $Q_D = 2750P^{-1.16}$ and that the market supply curve of SSBs is a horizontal line at a price, p , which equals $1.5p_w$, where p_w is the wholesale price of SSBs. (That is, retailers sell these beverages if they receive a price that is 50% higher than what they pay for the beverages to cover their other costs.)
- Assuming a competitive market for sugar-sweetened beverages in Mexico City, calculate the equilibrium price and quantity of these beverages as a function of the wholesale price, p_w . If the equilibrium retail price is 10 pesos per liter, what is the wholesale price and quantity of beverages consumed?
 - Concerned about the health of its citizens, in 2014 the Mexican government introduced an excise tax of one peso per liter on all SSBs. Using both math and a graph, show how the introduction of the tax shifts the market supply curve. How does the introduction of the tax affect the equilibrium retail price and quantity of SSBs?
 - Health experts worry that despite the tax, Mexicans still consume too much sugary drinks. How large does the excise tax need to be, to limit the SSB consumption to 140 liters per person per year? **M**
- *4.7 Given the answer to Exercise 2.4, what effect does a quota of $\bar{Q} > 0$ have on the equilibrium in the market? (*Hint: The answer depends on whether the quota binds: is low enough to affect the equilibrium.*)
- 4.8 Suppose the demand function for carpenters is $Q = 100 - w$, and the supply curve is $Q = 10 + 2w - T$, where Q is the number of carpenters, w is the wage, and T is the test score required to pass the licensing exam (which one must do to be able to work as a carpenter). By how much do the equilibrium quantity and wage vary as T increases? **M**
- 4.9 Use a figure to illustrate the wage (price) and quantity effects of the opioid as described in the Application “The Opioid Epidemic’s Labor Market Effects.”
- 4.10 Use calculus to illustrate how increased use of opioids, O , affects the equilibrium quantity of labor, L , as described in the Application “The Opioid Epidemic’s Labor Market Effects,” dL/dO . The labor demand function is $L = D(w)$, where L is the hours of work demanded and w is the wage. The labor supply function is $L = S(w, O)$, where L is the hours of work supplied. **M**
- 4.11 The Aguiar et al. (2017) study concluded that a revolution in the video game market—better games at a lower price—dramatically increased the amount of time young men spend playing video games and shifted their labor supply curve. In 2015, young men played video games for 3.4 hours per week on average. From 2000 through 2015, average annual hours of work for men aged 21–30, excluding full-time students, dropped by 12%. Suppose the labor demand function is $L = 200 - w$, and the supply curve is

$L = 40 + w - 2V$, where L is the hours worked, w is the wage, and V is a measure of the quality of video games. By how much do the equilibrium hours and wage vary as V increases? **M**

- 4.12 Bentonite clay, which consists of ancient volcanic ash, is used in kitty litter, to clarify wine, and for many other uses. One of the major uses is for drilling mud, a material pumped down oil and gas wells during drilling to keep the drilling bit cool. When oil drilling decreases, less bentonite is demanded at any given price. The price of crude oil was about \$50 a barrel in 2016–2017. However, by 2018, it was over \$70, causing drilling to increase. Use a supply-and-demand diagram to show the effect on the bentonite market and explain in words what happened.

5. Elasticities

- 5.1 According to the World Health Organization, the number of countries with tax shares representing more than 75% of the retail price of the most popular brand of cigarettes increased significantly between 2008 and 2012. If raising tobacco taxes to increase prices by 10% reduces tobacco use by 4% in high-income countries, and by 5% in low and middle-income countries, as the World Health Organization has suggested, what is the elasticity of demand for tobacco use in those countries? **M**
- 5.2 Calculate the elasticity of demand, if the demand function is
- $Q = 120 - 2p + 4Y$, at the point where $p = 10$, $Q = 20$. (*Hint*: See Solved Problem 2.2.)
 - $Q = 10p^{-2}$. (*Hint*: See Solved Problem 2.3.) **M**
- 5.3 Based on information in the Application “The Demand Elasticities for Google Play and Apple Apps,” the demand function for mobile applications at the Apple App Store is $Q_A = 1.4p^{-2}$ and the demand function at Google Play is $1.4p^{-3.7}$, where the quantity is in millions of apps. These demand functions are equal (cross) at one price. Which one? What are the elasticities of demand on each demand curve where they cross? Explain. (*Hint*: You can answer the last problem without doing any calculations. See Solved Problem 2.3.) **M**
- 5.4 When a disease like bovine spongiform encephalopathy (mad cow disease) is found, export markets for a country’s beef products close down so that the supply for the domestic market increases in the short run. If the price for domestic beef falls by 1.6% for every 1% increase in supply, by how much would the domestic market price change if domestic supplies increase by 10.4%? Thinking as well about household consumption of beef products, can you expect an even larger change in the price of beef in the short run? **M**
- 5.5 According to Borjas (2003), immigration to the United States increased the labor supply of working men by 11.0% from 1980 to 2000, and reduced the wage of the average native worker by 3.2%. From these results, can we make any inferences about the elasticity of supply or demand? Which curve (or curves) changed, and why? Draw a supply-and-demand diagram and label the axes to illustrate what happened.
- 5.6 The World Health Organization has found that youth are more responsive than adults to tobacco tax increases (http://www.who.int/tobacco/economics/meetings/dublin_demand_for_tob_feb2012.pdf). If a 5% increase in the price of cigarettes causes cigarette consumption by youth to fall by 3.2%, what is their elasticity of demand for cigarettes? Is the demand for cigarettes by youth elastic or inelastic? **M**
- 5.7 In July 2012 a group of airline operators in the United Kingdom commissioned PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) to estimate the impact of Air Passenger Duty (APD) on the economy of the United Kingdom. The study found that full abolition of the APD would increase demand for flights by 10%. If the average price of a ticket from London to Madrid route is £91, of which £13 is APD, what would the price elasticity of demand need to be for the statement above to be accurate? Assuming 100% cost pass-through, do you find this figure plausible? What if the cost pass-through is less than 100%? **M**
- *5.8 Calculate the price and cross-price elasticities of demand for coconut oil. The coconut oil demand function (Buschena and Perloff, 1991) is $Q = 1,200 - 9.5p + 16.2p_p + 0.2Y$, where Q is the quantity of coconut oil demanded in thousands of metric tons per year, p is the price of coconut oil in cents per lb, p_p is the price of palm oil in cents per lb, and Y is the income of consumers. Assume that p is initially 45¢ per lb, p_p is 31¢ per lb, and Q is 1,275 thousand metric tons per year. **M**
- 5.9 Show that the supply elasticity of a linear supply curve that cuts the price axis is greater than 1 (elastic), and the coefficient of elasticity of any linear supply curve that cuts the quantity axis is less than 1 (inelastic). (*Hint*: See Solved Problem 2.4.) **M**
- 5.10 Solved Problem 2.5 claims that a new war in the Persian Gulf could shift the world oil supply curve to the left by 24 million barrels a day or more, causing the world price of oil to soar regardless of whether we drill in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR). How accurate is this claim? Use the same type of analysis as in the Solved Problem to calculate how much such a shock would cause the price to rise with and without the refuge production. **M**

5.11 In 2018, President Trump proposed opening nearly all offshore water to oil and gas drilling. The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, which oversees government offshore leasing, estimated that President Trump's plan could eventually result in 21 billion barrels being economically recoverable.

6. Effects of a Sales Tax

- 6.1 What effect does a \$1 specific tax have on equilibrium price and quantity, and what is the incidence on consumers, if the following is true:
- The demand curve is perfectly inelastic.
 - The demand curve is perfectly elastic.
 - The supply curve is perfectly inelastic.
 - The supply curve is perfectly elastic.
 - The demand curve is perfectly elastic and the supply curve is perfectly inelastic.

Use graphs and math to explain your answers. (*Hint:* See Solved Problem 2.6.) **M**

6.2 If a tax is introduced and the full burden or incidence of the tax is borne by consumers, what can we say about the shape of the market supply and demand curves, or the demand and supply elasticities? Use graphs to illustrate your answer.

6.3 If a tax is introduced and the full burden or incidence of the tax is borne by producers, what can we say about the shape of the market supply and demand curves, or the demand and supply elasticities? Use graphs to illustrate your answer. **M**

*6.4 Do you care whether a 15¢ tax per gallon of milk is collected from milk producers or from consumers at the store? Why or why not?

6.5 Green, Howitt, and Russo (2005) estimated that for almonds, the demand elasticity was -0.47 and the long-run supply elasticity was 12.0 . The corresponding elasticities were -0.68 and 0.73 for cotton and -0.26 and 0.64 for processing tomatoes. If the government were to apply a specific tax to each of these commodities, what would be the consumer tax incidence for each of these commodities? **M**

6.6 Figure 2.12 shows the effects of a specific tax of \$2.40 per unit on equilibrium price and quantity. What is the equation of demand curve, D^1 , and supply curve, S^1 ? A subsidy is a negative tax. If the government applied a \$2.40 specific subsidy (giving people money) instead of a specific tax (taking money away) in Figure 2.12, what would the effect be on equilibrium price and quantity? Use the demand and supply curves to solve for the new equilibrium values. What is the incidence of the subsidy on consumers? **M**

6.7 Canada provided a 35% subsidy of the wage of video game manufacturers' employees in 2011.

- What is the effect of a wage subsidy on the equilibrium wage and quantity of workers?
- What happens when the wage subsidy rate falls?
- What is the incidence of the subsidy?

*6.8 Use calculus to show that the less elastic the demand curve, an increase in a specific sales tax t reduces quantity less and tax revenue more. (*Hint:* The quantity demanded depends on its price, which in turn depends on the specific tax, $Q(p(t))$, and tax revenue is $R = p(t)Q(p(t))$.) **M**

6.9 The United Kingdom had a drinking problem. British per capita consumption of alcohol rose 19% between 1980 and 2007, compared with a 13% decline in other developed countries. Worried about excessive drinking among young people, the British government increased the tax on beer by 42% from 2008 to 2012. Under what conditions will this specific tax substantially reduce the equilibrium quantity of alcohol? Answer in terms of the elasticities of the demand and supply curves.

6.10 The estimated demand function for coffee is $Q = 12 - p$ (Equation 2.3), and the estimated supply function is $Q = 9 + 0.5p$ (Equation 2.7).

- Write equations for the equilibrium price and quantity as a function of a specific tax t .
- What are the equilibrium price and quantity and the tax incidence on consumers if $t = \$0.75$? **M**

7. Quantity Supplied Need Not Equal Quantity Demanded

7.1 Severe disruptions to the supply of petroleum caused by international events or natural disasters can result in sharp spikes in prices within an economy for petroleum products such as gasoline. To keep gasoline prices from rising as much, the government may consider imposing a price ceiling on gasoline that is below the new equilibrium price. What effect would such a price ceiling have? Who would benefit, and who would be harmed by this policy? Use a supply and-demand diagram to illustrate your answers.

7.2 The Thai government actively intervenes in markets (Nophakhun Limsamarnphun, "Govt Imposes Price Controls in Response to Complaints," *The Nation*, May 12, 2012).

- The government increased the daily minimum wage by 40% to Bt 300 (\$9.63). Show the effect of a higher minimum wage on the number of workers demanded, the supply of workers, and unemployment if the law is applied to the entire labor market.