



IVAN THE TERRIBLE

Иван Грозный

.....

Yuri Tsivian



BFI FILM CLASSICS

.....

Rob White

S E R I E S E D I T O R

Edward Buscombe, Colin MacCabe and David Meeker

S E R I E S C O N S U L T A N T S

Launched in 1992, BFI Film Classics is a series of books that introduces, interprets and honours 360 landmark works of world cinema. The series includes a wide range of approaches and critical styles, reflecting the diverse ways we appreciate, analyse and enjoy great films.

Magnificently concentrated examples of flowing freeform critical poetry.
Uncut

A formidable body of work collectively generating some fascinating insights into the evolution of cinema.
Times Higher Education Supplement

The choice of authors is as judicious, eclectic and original as the choice of titles.
Positif

Estimable.
Boston Globe

We congratulate the BFI for responding to the need to restore an informed level of critical writing for the general cinephile.
Canadian Journal of Film Studies

Well written, impeccably researched and beautifully presented ... as a publishing venture, it is difficult to fault.
Film Ireland

FORTHCOMING IN 2002

.....

The Blue Angel

S. S. Pawer

The Manchurian Candidate

Greil Marcus

Mother India

Gayatri Chatterjee

To Be or Not to Be

Peter Barnes

Vertigo

Charles Barr

I Know Where I'm Going!

Pam Cook



BFI FILM

CLASSICS

IVAN THE TERRIBLE

Иван Грозный

.....

Yuri Tsivian



Publishing

First published in 2002 by the
BRITISH FILM INSTITUTE
21 Stephen Street, London W1T 1LN

Copyright © Yuri Tsivian 2002

The British Film Institute
promotes greater understanding
and appreciation of, and
access to, film and moving image
culture in the UK.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978 0 85170 834 8

eISBN: 978 1 83871 646 2

ePDF: 978 1 83871 647 9

Series design by
Andrew Barron & Collis Clements Associates

Typeset in Fournier and Franklin Gothic by
D R Bungay Associates, Burghfield, Berks

CONTENTS

.....

Acknowledgments	6
‘Ivan the Terrible’	7
Notes	83
Credits	86
Bibliography and Sources	87

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

.....

I thank Naum Kleiman – the acknowledged expert on Eisenstein and *Ivan* – for agreeing to share with me some of his vast knowledge and insight. I am also indebted to Richard Wortman for historical details regarding the coronation of Russian Tsars; to Tom Gunning for his knowledge of Balzac and alchemy; to Joan Neuberger and Ian Christie for their conversations on the subject of *Ivan*; to Evgenii Berstein and Susan Larsen both of whom helped to get straight a difficult section; and to Ed Buscombe and Rob White for their patience. Bradin Cormack, Chris Gilman, Sid Gottlieb, Reinhold Heller, Mikhail Iampolsky, Maureen Lasko, Richard Neer, Simone Tai, and, as always, Roman Timenchik have helped me with their advice at different times – to all of them my thanks; and, of course, to Richard Taylor for reading, and Rob White for editing this book.

*For my grandmother Anna Grishina (1900–90)
who did not hesitate to take me to this film.*

‘IVAN THE TERRIBLE’

.....

Introduction: The Film and its Double

Ivan the Terrible is a complex movie – some people even think the most complex movie ever made – not in the sense that its plot is tangled or complicated, but because to embrace it we need to see beyond what the characters say and do. To begin with, *Ivan* is visually complex; then, it has Prokofiev’s complex music; and the way Eisenstein structures its story is closer to patterning than it is to narrative progression. It is this triple complexity that this book seeks to address – not to exhaust it, but rather to encourage the viewer to take over next time he or she decides to see the film.

To set the scene, I will start with Eisenstein’s political situation, but this book is not really about *Ivan* and history or *Ivan* and politics. Not that this is unimportant, but the quality of the work done in this area by Naum Kleiman¹ and Leonid Kozlov² means there is little my book can add to it. In addition, as I am writing this, another little book called *Ivan the Terrible* is being written by the excellent historian of Russia Joan Neuberger: the focus of that book is exactly on Eisenstein’s vision (indeed, version) of history. I do not want to make this sound like a tie-in offer, but put together, Neuberger’s book and mine cover twice the ground.

Nor am I doing a close analysis of the type found in another excellent book (*Ivan* is fortunate in having so many) written two decades ago by another colleague of mine, the film scholar Kristin Thompson.³ In the best Formalist tradition, Thompson’s enquiry is close to scientific. She begins by isolating her object: *Ivan* as an ensemble of artistic devices, and goes on from there to explain how these are intended to affect the viewer. I have opted for a different angle. My book, too, looks at *Ivan* through the prism of Eisenstein’s intentions, but whereas Thompson extracts those from the film’s final form, my object is more dispersed: I work chiefly from pre-production documents (notes, sketches, drawings). In other words, what interests me is not so much the film *per se*, but the film in the making, its evolution from scrawl to screen, as it were.

This interest, however, is not descriptive or biographical – I am not writing a biography of Eisenstein’s film – my plan is to analyse its formation. Such an approach as I have attempted in this book can reveal some aspects of *Ivan* which are normally hard to perceive, but it also has

its peculiarities. At one point I found myself in a quandary which I believe I managed to turn to an advantage. Not all artists' intentions are equally viable; in the final film, many of Eisenstein's initial ideas are either not found, or found transformed beyond recognition. I wound up with two films on my hands instead of one: one that exists plus its immaterial twin – the film that Eisenstein *wanted* to make. The question is: is this second, phantom movie relevant to our understanding of the first?

If it is, it is for three reasons. First, Eisenstein was not allowed to shoot Part Three, so keeping in view, for instance, how he intended *Ivan* to end is a legitimate attempt at a hypothetical reconstruction. Secondly, Eisenstein's work has always been experimental, and, as it sometimes happens with experimental art, to appreciate the result we need to have at least a vague idea of what could have been the tasks the artist set himself in this or that scene. Finally, I do not think that what we experience when watching a film is impervious to what we know about it. If it is true that our experiences – emotional or visual – depend on our mental set-up, the latter depends on our knowledge. We may admire the child who called the Emperor naked, but a candid eye is of no use to art: the more we know the better we can see. I was eight when I first saw *Ivan the Terrible* (a shattering experience, of which more later), and I am convinced that my present knowledge of how this or that element was intended to work has only sharpened my eye and made that long-established connection with the film more immediate and intense. This gives me hope that this book can serve not only as a historical explanation of Eisenstein's picture but also as a stimulant, an enhancement of viewing experience.

Which does not mean the viewer must read it first. On the contrary, this book reads best after the first viewing. This century made it easier than ever: in 2000 a well-compressed DVD version of *Ivan the Terrible* (complete with outtakes, screen tests and sundry scholarly supplements) became available from the Criterion Collection⁴ (beware of other DVD versions!) – the next best thing to a good 35mm film print. With the story of *Ivan* fresh in the reader's mind it will be easier for me to get my point across.

On the other hand, brief priming should help those who wish or are impelled to begin with the book. The following summary is for readers taking the latter option; readers who have a good grasp of the film's story and are familiar with the landmarks of Eisenstein's career may wish to skip it and go straight to the section discussing Ivan, Stalin and Eisenstein.