

Man and Bird in the Palaeolithic of Western Europe



Anne Eastham



Man and Bird in the Palaeolithic of Western Europe

Anne Eastham

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD
Summertown Pavilion
18-24 Middle Way
Summertown
Oxford OX2 7LG

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-78969-909-8
ISBN 978-1-78969-910-4 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and Anne Eastham 2021

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners. This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

For Mike

Contents

List of Figures.....	ii
Preface	1
Chapter 1. Some aspects of bird life during the Palaeolithic of western Europe.....	3
Chapter 2. Birds of the Middle Palaeolithic in Britain and western Europe	20
Chapter 3. The Upper Palaeolithic in western Europe	43
Chapter 4. The bird catcher, fowling techniques down the ages.....	64
Chapter 5. Bird images in the parietal art of Palaeolithic France and Spain	78
Chapter 6. Bird images in Palaeolithic portable art	90
Chapter 7. Avian resources in hunter-gatherer communities	108
Chapter 8. Case study: snowy owls at the Grotte de Bourrouilla at Arancou, Atlantic Pyrenees	120
Index of sites used in tables	134
Index of bird species mentioned in the tables of Chapters 1-3.....	136
References.....	143
Acknowledgements.....	149

List of Figures

Chapter 1. Some aspects of bird life during the Palaeolithic of western Europe

Table 1. Some examples of the records of Summer visitors to western Europe during the Upper Palaeolithic with reference to Tyreberg 1998 and Moreau 1972	4
Table 2. Some pelagic species recovered from Palaeolithic occupation sites in western Europe	7
Table 3. Some of the freshwater waterfowl species recorded as wintering outside their recent range in western Europe on Palaeolithic sites	9
Table 4. Plant species known to be taken by the Wildfowl species represented in the Mousterian deposits at Pontnewydd cave	12
Table 5. Mollusca, Crustacea, invertebrates and fish known to be taken by Wildfowl species whose remains are recorded in the Mousterian deposits at Pontnewydd Cave	14
Table 6. A range of plant foodstuffs taken by Tetraornidae at different times of year	16
Table 7. Some of the invertebrates taken seasonally by Tetraornidae	17
Table 8. The presence of Crossbills and Pine grosbeak on Palaeolithic sites in western, central and Mediterranean Europe	18

Chapter 2. Birds of the Middle Palaeolithic in Britain and western Europe

Table 1. Summary of the birds recorded at Eartham quarry 1 and 2 at Boxgrove Sussex	21
Table 2. The Avifauna at Pontnewydd cave, Clwyd	23
Table 3. Mousterian avifaunas noted from excavations at Pinhole cave Derbyshire, Soldier's Hole Somerset and La Cotte de St Brelade, Jersey. After Bramwell, Harrison and Callow and Cornford	24
Table 4. Mousterian avifauna from excavations at Buhlen Upper cave	26
Table 5. A comparison between bird faunas from Mousterian levels La Baume de Gigny, Fontchevade, Combe Grenal and Pech de l'Aze	28
Table 6. Mousterian avifaunas from Hérault, the Pyrenees, and Cantabria	31
Table 7. Cova Negra de Bellus; the distribution of bird bones by level, with the numbers of bones of each species recovered. Levels XIV – X represents the stages of the cold period of Weichselian I and levels IX – I represent the stages of Weichselian II	35
Table 8. Mousterian avifauna from Gibraltar and Zafarraya	37
Table 9. Avian distribution in Italy: Grotta Del Principe, Torre in Pietra, Ripari de Fumane, Frosinone lake beds and Torre Nave	40

Chapter 3. The Upper Palaeolithic in western Europe

Table 1. A comparison of bird faunas on a sample of Aurignacian sites in Hungary, Croatia and Italy	44
Table 2. Bird faunas from Le Flageolet I, L'Abri Pataud and La Ferrassie	48
Table 3. Bird faunas from sites in Languedoc, Catalonia and Guipuzcoa	50
Table 4. Aurignacian avifaunas from Mallaetes and Gorham's cave	52
Table 5. A comparison of bird faunas from Gravettian and Solutrean sites in Languedoc, Valencia, Oviedo and Liguria	54
Table 6. A comparison between Middle Magdalenian bird faunas from the Bois de Brousse and Grotte Gazel, Aitzbitare IV, Abri Dufau and Le Maz d'Azil	58
Table 7. A selection of late Magdalenian avian assemblages from sites in Western Europe	60

Chapter 4. The bird catcher, fowling techniques down the ages

Figure 1. Whistle that imitates the calls of ducks	65
Figure 2. Multiple noose for trapping thrushes and small birds	66
Figure 3. Thrushes caught in a noose, Mosaic in the Musée du Bardo, El Djem, Tunisia	67
Figure 4. Basic flat net to drop down from the vertical or drag along the ground	67
Figure 5. Clap net	67
Figure 6. Coot net for catching small wildfowl	68
Figure 7. Tunnel nets for drawing wildfowl into a trap, either led by a dog or by being driven	68
Figure 8. An owl decoy trap	68
Figure 9. Mosaic at Gloucester Cathedral depicting an owl being mobbed by small birds	68
Figure 10. Mosaic in the Musée d'el Djem, Tunisia, showing an owl being attacked by thrushes	69
Figure 11. The tomb of Ymery first chamber painting of cranes	72
Figure 12. Painting of harvesting barley in the tomb of Hesi, Saqqara and taking partridges in a net	72
Figure 13. Tomb of Hesi and his wife fowling in the marshes	72
Figure 14. The tomb of Hesi, Saqqara, hunting with a clap net	73
Figure 15. Hunting in the marshes in the tomb of Nacht, Thebes	73

Chapter 5. Bird images in the parietal art of Palaeolithic France and Spain

Figure 1. Map showing sites referred to in the text	78
Figure 2. Chauvet cave, Horned owl drawn in the clay in the Salle Hilaire	79
Figure 3. The 'Papillon' at Chauvet cave	79
Figure 4. Great auk figures at Cosquer cave	80

Figure 5. Snowy owl engraving at les Trois Frères	81
Figure 6. Engraving of the 'faisan'	82
Figure 7. Les Trois Frères, detail of head and of neck 'Phaisan'	82
Figure 8. Les Trois Frères, 'Phaisan' Detail of tail feathers.....	82
Figure 9a. Aquatic bird at Gargas.....	83
Figure 9b. Passerine engraving at Gargas	83
Figure 10. La Bastide, engraving of a goose	83
Figure 11. Bird picking insects from the rump of a bovid	84
Figure 12. The auks at El Pendo	85
Figure 13. Lascaux, bird headed man and staff	85
Figure 14. Cougnac, outlines suggesting the profiles of flying birds, with the wounded man	86
Figure 15. Roucadour, engraving of duck headed horse.....	86
Figure 16. Roucadour, bird headed quadruped	87
Figure 17. Duck engraving at the cave of Escabasses	88
Figure 18. Roc de Sers, Low relief carving of a grouse	88
Figure 19. Church Hole cave, Derbyshire, engraved bird.....	89

Chapter 6. Bird images in Palaeolithic portable art

Figure 1. Map showing the approximate location of sites discussed in the text.....	90
Figure 2. Ivory figures of an aquatic bird in flight from Hohlefelds in Swabia	91
Figure 3. La Vache, Initiation scene MAN 83. 349	93
Figure 4. La Vache, Seals/salmon and fishes MAN 88 12	93
Figure 5. Engraved ulna from El Valle Museo Provincial de Prehistoria Santander.....	94
Figure 6. El Torre frieze.....	95
Figure 7. Arancou, long-necked bird engraved on avian ulna	95
Figure 8. Engraving of a grouse on reindeer antler from Isturitz, MAN No 74851	95
Figure 9. Bird perched on the rump of a quadruped at the Grotte de Bourrouilla.....	96
Figure 10. 'Sceptre' from La Vache, MAN 83 346	96
Figure 11. Limeuil and Teyjat, barbed images carved on calcite and stone.....	97
Figure 12. Harpoon designs from Duruthy	97
Figure 13. Spear thrower from Enlène. Musée de l'Homme 55 53 3.....	97
Figure 14. Spear thrower from Le Mas d'Azil.....	98
Figure 15. La Vache 83 363, Crane image on a bone chisel	98
Figure 16. Antler baton from Laugerie Basse.....	98
Figure 17. Bone plaque with engraving of a long necked bird and a pebble on which the birds may be seen as a pair with necks entwined BM Nos 637 and 671	99
Figure 18. La Bastide crane engraving.....	99
Figure 19. Diver carving from Ekain.....	99
Figure 20. Short sections of engraved avian bone used as beads for personal ornament. MNP, Les Eyzies.....	101
Figure 21. Engraved ulna from the site of Raymonden, MAAP no. F 469	102
Figure 22. Raymonden Pendant. MAAP no. 2104	102
Figure 23. Drawing Eastham.....	102
Figure 24. Caprid and bird pendant Raymonden. MAAP no. F475	103
Figure 25. Head of a duck at Raymonden. Photo: MAAP no. 2099.	103
Figure 26. Passerine bird on a limestone plaque from Limeuil on the Dordogne, MAN no. 56751-55	104
Figure 27. Sandstone plaque from Le Puy de Lacan	104
Figure 28. Crane ulna.....	105
Figure 29. La Garenne bone pendant with birds flying across the face.....	105
Figure 30. Owl/man la Marche.....	105
Figure 31. Gönnersdorf. A selection of aquatic birds drawn on pieces of schist.....	106
Figure 32. Corvids, Blackcock and Crane from Gönnersdorf corvids and a crane	107

Chapter 7. Avian resources in hunter-gatherer communities

Figure 1. Applying paint using a blowpipe	109
Figure 2. The application of pigment by mouth	109
Figure 3. Hohlefelds flute	111
Figure 4. Bone sewing needles of varying dimensions at the Abri Duruthy	115
Figure 5. Yupi'k mask, Good News Bay, Alaska.	116
Figure 6. Branly Mask, Louvre Museum	116
Figure 7. Kula dance feather masks. Mt Hagen, New Guinea	117
Figure 8. Female headdress for the Kula of Mount Hagen.....	118

Chapter 8. Case study: snowy owls at the Grotte de Bourrouilla at Arancou, Atlantic Pyrenees

Figure 1. Location map for the Grotte de Bourrouilla, Arancou.....	121
Figure 2. General plan of the cave, after Dachary and Plassard	123
Figure 3. Distribution if snowy owl bone in excavated sectors and in the clandestine area	124
Figures 4, a, b, c and d plot the distribution of body parts across the cave.....	124
Figure 5. Photograph of male snowy owl taken at the breeding station Le Rocher des Aigles, Rocamadour.....	128
Figure 6. Photograph of female snowy owl taken at the breeding station Le Rocher des Aigles, Rocamadour	128
Figure 7. Photograph of head of snowy owl taken at the breeding station Le Rocher des Aigles, Rocamadour	128

Figure 8. Circumpolar distribution of the snowy owl at the present day 129

Table 1. Cumulative totals of snowy owl bones in level US 2007, and record of laterality 122

Table 2. Grotte de Bourrouilla at Arancou: the distribution of Snowy owl bones, level US 2007, by sector 123

Table 3. Worked bone showing cut marks, burns and scraping, C, B, and R, mainly for severing joint or removal of flesh..... 125

Table 4. Small rodent remains on sites in Aquitaine associated with significant numbers of snowy owls..... 127

Preface

In putting together these chapters I have found that a study of the interaction between the avian world and that of the hunter - gatherer peoples of the Palaeolithic in Europe has been a search for pattern; pattern in avian ethology, pattern in human behaviour and how the separate patterns have intertwined from time to time. The outcomes show that during the timespan of the Palaeolithic cultures, ecological change was a constant and repetitive process and that in the study of the period, minute faunal detail was an important part of the interpretation of it.

The first three chapters are concerned with some aspects of avian ethology and the archaeological background; later chapters with the process and patterns of interaction between them. The pattern does not always come together. In the record of the Middle Palaeolithic in particular, the data from sites belonging to the Acheulean and early Neanderthal settlement is too patchy, intermittent and inconsistent to give more than a tiny glimpse of what might have been the bigger picture. As the Neanderthal populations expanded across Europe each with its own cultural markers, a pattern begins to emerge showing how resources, including birds, were exploited and put to use.

The gradual penetration into Western Europe of what we call Upper Palaeolithic cultures shortly before 40,000 Kyr BP. probably did not change the relationships greatly but the available information has suffered less erosion from time-factored forces and has remained intact in more detail. So much is now known and continuously being brought to light about the way these hunter-gatherer groups lived, used their resources even trading in them and in consequence how they socialised one group with another. Clear patterns are beginning to emerge, as a result of increasingly meticulous excavation techniques, awareness of the different ways in which tiny fragments of evidence might be interpreted and the use of advancing technology have all had a part to play in the field operations. Yet, just as important is always the research that takes place both before and after any excavation is carried out. The choice of sites selected to demonstrate the way in which avian resources were put to use and attained increasing importance in the development of hunter gatherer society has been based in part on the amount of available data and in part on personal research experience.

To a great extent, in the study of faunal remains, context may determine what material evidence will be

found and what will have perished; the location and its environment may affect the phenotype and genotype of the animal species under examination in terms of size, coloration, dietary preferences, movements or breeding potential, amongst other factors. Post excavation research, as technology changes, has become crucial to the extraction of all possible information from the objects revealed. Absolute dating methods, chemical analysis, mass spectrometry, and advances in microscopic, photographic and photometric studies of all types have advanced so much over the last 50 years and are continually evolving so that the researcher may now ask questions that were beyond the reach of earlier previous generations of archaeologists. The entire process takes longer and requires a diversity of specialist expertise but usually the results may be said to justify the extra cost.

And, over time, the questions change as does the relative emphasis placed on them. Currently in the field of anatomical studies, the emphasis is on the study of taphonomy, the treatment bone remains have undergone since death, who ate them, why and how were they modified, the purpose of the modification etc. And besides, what these conclusions may have to say about that social group and its lifestyle.

One of the difficulties associated with the interpretation of bone assemblages arises from the diversity of dating methods used currently and in the past and the difficulty in reconciling the data they provide. Tyreberg had to address this problem in 1998 and it is one faced by all researchers of the Palaeolithic. How to correlate the time sequences across Mammal Neogene zones, traditional glacial and biostratigraphy sequencing in different regions of Europe, reconciling them with cultural sequences. The absolute dating methods, though they present a more precise tool for comparing one site with another have imposed another hurdle to surmount, because of necessity they use different techniques. The most useful most frequently employed and consistent of the Radiometric dating, techniques within its parameters of accuracy is probably Carbon 14. As far as possible sites have been selected that carry secure uncalibrated C14 dates, although, where applicable, the sequence of Oxygen isotope (OIS) stages and land Mammal Zones (MNQ zones) have also been referred to.

Nomenclature may also create confusion not only in the changes in the scientific designations of birds

but also for cultural sequencing. In the 1950's glacial phases continued to be referred to under the names of the Alpine sequence, while these fluctuations are now recognised as having regional variations and the task is to reconcile the local sequences with the evidence of ecology and cultural change.

By the start of the 21st century, the search for the evidence left by Early Man had already had a over 150 years of history of exploration behind it and some of the early excavations have needed to be revisited and the data revised, using the residue of what was left behind by the earlier archaeologists, left behind for their re-assessment. Added to which, at the time some of the objects, documenting the discoveries recovered by these pioneers were presented as gifts to friends and colleagues. When these objects of antiquity were given to a national or local museum they have normally been conserved. But too frequently individual pieces were given to private collectors and have been difficult to trace. At the time small bones were regarded as of lesser importance. The remains of microfauna or of the birds was seldom considered of sufficient value to be studied or retained.

Nevertheless, it is on the foundations laid by these pioneers that all recent research is founded. Without such scholarly leadership from researchers and antiquarians of the past, the present level of knowledge would be a great deal poorer. Each of us has their heroes who have taught or inspired them. Among my personal heroes I count independent thinkers like Dorothea Bate, André Leroi Gourhan, the Abbé Henri Breuil and some recent researchers. I am also hugely grateful my tutor, John Waechter, at the Institute of Archaeology in London who when told, as he was handing me his bird bone collection from Gorham's cave, Gibraltar for study, that although birds were quite a thing of mine, my knowledge of anatomy was sketchy, he replied that it would be greatly improved by the time you have dealt with this lot. Deal with it I did and carried on.

Despite the wonderful co-operation of museum staff and the use of their collections, the priority was to prepare an avian skeletal reference collection for personal use, despite the demands it made on the tolerance and patience of family, friends and sympathetic organisations. Most specimens were

collected in the field, where by experience one learnt that dried, skinned and eviscerated corpses, wrapped in newspaper, not polythene travelled better and attracted less official attention than fresh ones; and that insects, especially hornets were exceedingly efficient flensing operators if allowed to work on specimens hung out on a line, provided that line and attachments were wire, otherwise eager ants would devour the strings and their nest would require excavation to retrieve the fragmented specimen next morning. Happily, only one bird, a dunlin that had lost a wing was ever deliberately killed but it was under attack from gulls at the time without any chance of survival.

I have depended to a large extent on other researchers in ornithology and Palaeolithic archaeology. In the main most of these are referred to in the text but there has been particular dependence on the nine volumes of Cramp and Cramp and Simmons work in the *Handbook of birds in Europe, the Middle East and north Africa; Birds of the Western Palaearctic*, (1977-1994) for most of the ornithological data and on Tyreberg's site lists in *Pleistocene birds of the Palaearctic* (1998). For Anatomical detail and nomenclature, Baumel ed. (1979) has everything to recommend it and the volumes produced by the Institut für Palaeoanatomie, domestikatione forschung und Geschichte der Tiermedizin of the University of Munich, directed by Dr Boessneck, with Angela Von Dreisch (1976) *A Guide to the measurement of animal bones* can be useful.

But time and research move on, new techniques are becoming available all the time in answer to the increasing number of questions that are asked about animal and human behaviour, their chemistry, origins and development. And the means of seeking answers to these questions are being discovered all the time, usually emerging out of the requirements in other areas of research. Photographic techniques, photogrammetry and the electron microscope, the fields of biochemistry and materials research are being adapted for service in archaeology. Each new tool opens up the possibility of more precise information, though each has its advantages and limitations. In the end, any results depend on subjective judgement and the imaginative scope to ask the questions in the first place and explore the possible solutions, even if the answer is likely to be negative.

Chapter 1

Some aspects of bird life during the Palaeolithic of western Europe

Some of the aspects of avian ethology as they are related to Palaeolithic hunter-gatherer settlers are revealed in the fossil record that has been recovered from occupation sites and caves in western Europe and have something to say about the life of the birds themselves during the Upper Pleistocene. Conversely while an avian presence may provide some information regarding the environment of hunter-gatherer peoples, its absence may be a reflection of ecological or other issues of human priorities. Yet an even more important situation relevant to the issues raised by current climate change is that even though there were long periods when the European climate deteriorated to a point where it could not support a number of species whose niche requirements demanded temperate or Mediterranean conditions to survive, these came back fairly rapidly as the temperature rose again and restored the ecology to match their needs. The situation never became one of extinction due to catastrophic external forces, as perhaps happened during the Cretaceous, but an internal fluctuation subject to later restoration.

In his introduction to the comprehensive lists of the *Pleistocene birds of the Palaearctic, a Catalogue, 1998* Tyreberg takes the starting point of the Palaeolithic as around 1.64 MA BP, a date that follows after the Olduvai geomagnetic event. The point at which birds began to be exploited as a resource for hunter-gatherer peoples in western Europe appears to be around 500,000 Years BP, during the early Middle Palaeolithic, from which time there begins to be some clear evidence of human and avian inter-action rather than possibly random juxta positioning of bones or scavenging on the kills of other predators. The discovery of assemblages of animal and avian bone makes it possible to attempt a partial reconstruction of the changing environment and ecology of the locality. Useful data for this is preferentially obtained from the stable sequences in cave or open air settlements. Material from river terraces or shorelines is less useful, since it has frequently been re-deposited and therefore the date and context is more doubtful.

The effect of climate fluctuation on bird populations was profound. Habitats, for both summer and winter visitors to western Europe, breeding behaviour and distribution areas were all dependent on the niche requirements of individual species that were subject to changes in the environment. Some of the ecological

changes brought about by climatic fluctuation, may be traced in the evidence of species distribution as derived from the archaeological record. Migration patterns undoubtedly changed, as noted by Moreau in 1972, who listed many of the passerines as having disappeared from the European list in times of glacial advance. Food availability is a major factor in defining the suitability of a habitat to support a varied bird fauna. A diverse avifauna in a particular context may therefore indicate a rich variety in the local ecology since across the spectrum birds will relate to every other taxon present, either in the sense of being broadly sympatric or in a prey/predator relationship.

The data is complicated, as noted by Tyreberg, by the different dating methods used. Not only do many older excavation publications rely on cultural chronology but the various means of absolute dating are not always consistent or standardised. Where recent C14 dates are available, these have been used as being within the range of probability. The record is also biased and incomplete. Whereas large mammals have always been a priority in considering the human environment, the significance of birds, micro-mammals and other species in the ambient fauna and flora was not fully recognised by the early excavators and the evidence was not always retained.

Seasonal movement patterns

The following tables show the species and contexts of the bird species recorded as present in dateable excavated cave deposits in western Europe. The sites where each one has been recorded are broadly and somewhat arbitrarily grouped into the major Palaeolithic cultural and climatic sequences. It attempts to give a broad picture, based on Djindjian, F., Koslowski, J., and Otte, M. 1999. There may be local discrepancies in the lists, and some noteworthy sites have been omitted since some of the determinations may require revision.

The species listed in Table 1 shows many of those species identified by R.E. Moreau in 1972 as unlikely to be able to survive in western Europe during a glacial advance.

Of particular significance is the small number of deposits dated to the Last Glacial maximum from which bird remains have been recovered, that confirm Moreau's

Species	250 – 40 Kyr BP Mousterian	40 – 28 Kyr BP transition to Upper palaeolithic Aurignacian	28 – 22 Kyr BP transition to Gravettian, deteriorating climate	22 – 17 Kyr BP Local Solutrean Last Glacial maximum	17 – 10 Kyr BP Magdalenian sequence, ice retreating	10 Kyr to recent Mesolithic <
<i>Coturnix coturnix</i>	A. Olha, Pyr Atlant. Aurensan Hte Pyr. B. de Gigny Jura. Valdegoba Burgos C.N. de Bellus Jativa	B. de Gigny Jura	B. de Gigny Jura Le Flageolet Dord.	A. des Pêcheurs, Ardèche.	Aurensan Hte Pyr. Cauna de Belvis Hte Pyr. Bois du Cantet Hte Pyr.	B. de Gigny Jura Baume des Grottes Isère. B. des Gonvillars Hte Saône
<i>Burhinus oedicephalus</i>					La Madeleine, Dord. A. Dufaure Landes	
<i>Otus scops</i>	Combe Grenal, Dord Pech de L'Aze. Dordogne		A. Pataud Dord. A. des Pêcheurs Ard. Arbreda Gerona	Bois de Brousse Herault	Cauna de Belvis Aude Laroche II Herault	Pont d'Ambon Dord Salpêtre Herault
<i>Apus melba</i>	Hortus Herault C.N. de Bellus Jativa Devil's Tower Gib.	C. de Zafarraya Malaga			G. des Romains Ain Cingle Vemell Barcelona	Balme des Grottes Isère
<i>Apus pallidus</i>		Es Poussas, Eivissa				Es Poussas, Eivissa
<i>Merops apiaster</i>	Combe Grenal Dordogne					Salpêtre Herault
<i>Cuculus canorus</i>					G. d'Eyzies Dord. Abr. de Campalou Drôme	
<i>Coracias garrulus</i>	Arbreda Gerona				Trou Violet Ariège Gr. dela Madonna Calabria	C. Genovesi sicily G. Polesini Lazio Es Poussas Eivissa
<i>Hirundo daurica</i>	Balauzière Gard G. Simard Charente Hortus Herault Pech de l'Aze Dord. Salpêtre Herault			A. du Blot Hte Loire Jauzens Corrèze	Cauna de Belvis Aude Gr. des Romains Ain	G. St Pierre Hte Savoie
<i>Luscinia megarhynchos</i>						C. de Nerja Malaga
<i>Phoenicurus phoenicurus</i>	Fontchevade Charente			A. du Blot hte Loire	A. Lafaye Tarn et Garonne	

Table 1. Some examples of the records of Summer visitors to western Europe during the Upper Palaeolithic with reference to Tyreberg 1998 and Moreau 1972.

Species	250 – 40 Kyr BP Mousterian	40 – 28 Kyr BP transition to Upper palaeolithic Aurignacian	28 – 22 Kyr BP transition to Gravettian, deteriorating climate	22 – 17 Kyr BP Local Solutrean Last Glacial maximum	17 – 10 Kyr BP Magdalenian sequence, ice retreating	10 Kyr to recent Mesolithic <
<i>Monticola saxatilis</i>	Aurensan Hte Pyr.				B. Loire Hte Pyr. Boids du Cantet Hte Pyr.	
<i>Monticola solitarius</i>	Combe Grenal Dord. C.N. de Bellus Jativa	Gatzarria Pyr. Atlant Zafarria Malaga			Cingle Vermell Barcelona C. de Nerja Malaga	
<i>Acrocephalus paludicola</i>					A. des Romaines Ain	
<i>Acrocephalus palustris</i>					A. de Rochdune Doubs	
<i>Acrocephalus scirpaceus</i>					Erralla Guipuzcoa	
<i>Acrocephalus arundinaceus</i>					A. du Calvaire Htee Pyr.	
<i>Hippolais icterina</i>	Combe Grenal, Dord					
<i>Sylvia hortensis</i>	Combe Grenal Dord					Gr. St Pierre Savoie
<i>Ficedula hypoleuca</i>	Salpêtre Herault Hortus Herault				G. des Romaines Ain	
<i>Lanius collurio</i>	Combe Grenal Dord					
<i>Lanius minor</i>		Es Poussas, Eivissa				
<i>Lanius excubitor</i>		Es Poussas, Eivissa				
<i>Lanius senator</i>		Es Poussas, Eivissa				Salpêtre Herault

Table 1. Continued.

hypothesis. Warblers, Flycatchers and Shrikes, all of whom depend to a considerable extent on insect life, are totally absent from the selected sites. Nevertheless, as the climate warmed and the ice retreated during the final stages of the Last Glaciation, the majority of these species, many of whom depend to some degree on airborne insect life, returned to recolonise southwest Europe, re-entering via the Mediterranean regions in the south and east. This resurgence was interrupted relatively briefly by the stages of renewed cold, known as Dryas 1, 2 and 3.

The extended timespan of Mousterian development presents a more confused picture when taken as a whole but the changes become locally clearer as individual sites are studied in detail or re-examined in the light of recent revisions. Sites like Pontnewydd in north Wales (Aldhouse Green *et al.* 2012), or the current investigations into the sequence of occupations in Gorham's cave on Gibraltar. Or, the sequence at Combe Grenal in the south of the Dordogne where there appears to have been an influx of small passerine summer migrants arriving during a temperate phase towards the end of OIS 5a; species that included a bee-eater, *Merops apiaster*, blue rock thrush, *Monticola solitarius* icterine and garden warblers, *Hippolais icterina* and *Sylvia borin*, with a red backed shrike, *Lanius collurio*, that with the excavations at Pech de l'Aze revealed the presence of a red rumped swallow, *Hirundo daurica*, all summer migrants into warm temperate zones (Mourer Chauviré 1975).

An examination of the fossil record would therefore appear to confirm Moreau's prediction that the ecology of Europe to the north of the Garonne and west of the Rhone was not able to support many of these small migrants during times of glacial advance.

Table 2

Apart from cave sites along the Cantabrian littoral, where the inshore waters remained at a considerable depth even during glacial maxima, the majority of sites are close to the Mediterranean shores of Iberia and Italy, where sea levels fell considerably during cold phases and shorelines extended as much as 30 kilometres. A lower mean sea level during the Last Glacial Maximum may go some way towards providing a reason for the absence of seabirds in the record of any site except Arene Candide, Savona, Italy, situated above the deep waters of the Ligurian coast.

Once the climate had begun to ease and sea levels to rise, seabird populations began to increase in these coastal locations of the Mediterranean and Cantabria.

Table 3

Table 3 aims to show the winter movements of northerly breeding wildfowl over the same time

span. At the present day, many fresh and brackish water wildfowl breed in northern and arctic regions, moving southwards in Winter. There is little evidence for the breeding locations during the Palaeolithic but it appears evident that during periods of prevailing glacial advance the wintering zones of many species extended southwards of the current limits. So that *Cygnus columbianus bewickii*, the Bewick swan remains were identified in a Mousterian level at Carnello, near Soria on the river Liri in Lazio province of Italy at a latitude of 41.43°N. It was also present at Dufaure, one of the rock shelters in the Pastou cliffs beside the Gave d'Oleron, France at a latitude of 43.33°N, in a Magdalenian level, dated to Dryas II (12,200-11,000 BP). There are also records of identifications in the UK and Ireland but some of the dating is a little uncertain.

Finds of Whooper swan, *Cygnus cygnus* have been found to be more widely distributed in Europe, both within possible present day wintering areas and further south to regions not currently thought to be within their range. The identified sites in France where they were present during the Mousterian occupation seem to be mainly confined to southern and eastern parts of the country: at Balauzière in Gard, Ramandils in the Aude and in a dated deposit at the Baume de Gigny in the Jura carrying dates between 45,000 and 32,000 BP. In Italy the whooper swan was recovered in association with Mousterian at the Grotta della Cava di Sezze Romano in the province of Lazio (Cassoli 1980) and at Grottoni in the Abruzzo (Giustizia 1979).

There are no certain records of either species of these swans between the end of the Mousterian in France until the beginning of the Magdalenian, although there is an undated record of bone(s) from the Grande Grotte at Arcy sur Cure in Lambrecht 1833 and Milne Edwards 1867-71 (quoted by Mourer Chauviré 1979).

The presence of whooper swan in the southwest of France and the Mediterranean seems to have become more frequent as climatic conditions began to ameliorate at the end of the Last Glaciation. Deposits at Arene Candide on the Ligurian coast of Italy contained a long sequence of 'Tardigravettian occupations dated to around 11,000 BP, each with remains of Whooper swan (Cassoli 1980) and there was a possible discovery of a bone in the Grotta Romanelli as far south as the province of Puglia on the Adriatic coast (Cassoli and Tagliacozzi 1994).

In the Pyrenean region of France, there were finds of whooper swan in Magdalenian contexts in the caves of Massat in Ariège (Clot and Mourer Chauviré 1986) Gourdan in Haute Garonne (Ibid) and in the Atlantic Pyrenees among the debris left behind by clandestine diggings into late Magdalenian deposits at the Grotte de Bourrouilla at Arancou (Eastham in Chauchat 1999).