

Advances in Research on Teaching
Volume 27

Innovations in English Language Arts Teacher Education

Heidi L. Hallman
Editor

INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH
LANGUAGE ARTS
TEACHER EDUCATION

ADVANCES IN RESEARCH ON TEACHING

Series Editor: Volumes 1–11: Jere Brophy
Volumes 12–27: Stefinee Pinnegar

Recent Volumes:

- Volume 18: Emotion and School: Understanding How the Hidden Curriculum Influences Relationships, Leadership, Teaching, and Learning
- Volume 19: From Teacher Thinking to Teachers and Teaching: The Evolution of a Research Community
- Volume 20: Innovations in Science Teacher Education in the Asia Pacific
- Volume 21: Research on Preparing Preservice Teachers to Work Effectively with Emergent Bilinguals
- Volume 22A: International Teacher Education: Promising Pedagogies (Part A)
- Volume 22B: International Teacher Education: Promising Pedagogies (Part B)
- Volume 22C: International Teacher Education: Promising Pedagogies (Part C)
- Volume 23: Narrative Conceptions of Knowledge: Towards Understanding Teacher Attrition
- Volume 24: Research on Preparing Inservice Teachers to Work Effectively with Emergent Bilinguals
- Volume 25: Exploring Pedagogies for Diverse Learners Online
- Volume 26: Knowing, Becoming, Doing as Teacher Educators: Identity, Intimate Scholarship, Inquiry

ADVANCES IN RESEARCH ON TEACHING VOLUME 27

INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS TEACHER EDUCATION

EDITED BY

HEIDI L. HALLMAN

University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA



United Kingdom – North America – Japan
India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2017

Copyright © 2017 Emerald Publishing Limited

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-78714-051-6

ISSN: 1479-3687 (Series)



ISOQAR certified
Management System,
awarded to Emerald
for adherence to
Environmental
standard
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

CONTENTS

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS	ix
FOREWORD TO <i>INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS TEACHER EDUCATION</i>	xiii
EDITOR’S INTRODUCTION TO <i>INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS TEACHER EDUCATION</i>	xvii
 PART I TOWARD A BROADER VISION OF ENGLISH TEACHER EDUCATION	
SECTION INTRODUCTION TO PART I <i>Heidi L. Hallman</i>	3
FINDING FOOTHOLDS IN A CONSTRUCTION ZONE: NAVIGATING THE DISCOURSES OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS WITH PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS IN THE 21ST CENTURY <i>Cori McKenzie, Michael Macaluso and Kati Macaluso</i>	5
PREPARING ENGLISH TEACHERS FOR TODAY’S CONTEXT: RESEARCHING EFFECTIVE PRACTICE THROUGH METHODS COURSES <i>Donna L. Pasternak, Samantha Caughlan, Heidi L. Hallman, Laura Renzi and Leslie Rush</i>	27
ADVOCACY, HUMANITY, AND HOPE IN THE FACE OF AN EDUCATION WORLD GONE WRONG <i>Shelbie Witte and Christian Z. Goering</i>	41

PART II
LENSES FOR PREPARING PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS TO
TEACH ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

SECTION INTRODUCTION TO PART II	
<i>Heidi L. Hallman</i>	63
RETHINKING IDENTITY AND ADOLESCENCE IN THE TEACHING OF LITERATURE: IMPLICATIONS FOR PRE-SERVICE TEACHER EDUCATION	
<i>Amanda Haertling Thein, Richard Beach and Anthony Johnston</i>	65
RE-POSITIONING YOUTH IN ENGLISH TEACHER EDUCATION	
<i>Robert Petrone and Sophia Tatiana Sarigianides</i>	89
BEYOND THE KNOWLEDGE ECONOMY: TEACHING ENGLISH FOR ECONOMIC JUSTICE	
<i>Ross Collin</i>	107
FROM RESEARCH TO PRACTICE: WRITING, TECHNOLOGY, AND ENGLISH TEACHER EDUCATION	
<i>Jen Scott Curwood, Jayne C. Lammers and Alecia Marie Magnifico</i>	121

PART III
SOCIAL JUSTICE ORIENTED ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS
TEACHER PREPARATION

SECTION INTRODUCTION TO PART III	
<i>Heidi L. Hallman</i>	145
THE CRITICAL CENTRALITY OF SOCIAL JUSTICE IN ENGLISH TEACHER EDUCATION	
<i>Deborah Bieler and Leslie David Burns</i>	147

ADDRESSING LGBTQ-THEMED TEXTS AND HETERONORMATIVITY IN ENGLISH EDUCATION <i>Melissa Schieble and Jody Polleck</i>	165
TOWARD CLINICALLY RICH ENGLISH AND LITERACY TEACHER PREPARATION: A TALE OF TWO PROGRAMS <i>Peter Williamson and Marshall A. George</i>	185
TELLING OUR STORIES: NAVIGATING SOCIAL JUSTICE-ORIENTED TEACHING ON THE GROUND <i>Terri L. Rodriguez, Catherine (Kate) M. Bohn-Gettler, Madeleine (Madey) H. Israelson, Madeline (Maddy) A. O'Brien and Lauren Thoma</i>	203
ABOUT THE AUTHORS	225

This page intentionally left blank

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

<i>Richard Beach</i>	Department of Curriculum & Instruction, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA
<i>Deborah Bieler</i>	Department of English, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA
<i>Catherine (Kate) M. Bohn-Gettler</i>	Department of Education, College of St. Benedict & St. John's University, St Joseph, MN, USA
<i>Leslie David Burns</i>	Department of Curriculum & Instruction, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA
<i>Samantha Caughlan</i>	Independent Scholar, Lansing, MI, USA
<i>Ross Collin</i>	Department of Teaching & Learning, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA
<i>Jen Scott Curwood</i>	English Education & Media Studies, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
<i>Todd DeStigter</i>	Department of English, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
<i>Marshall A. George</i>	School of Education, Hunter College, City University of New York, New York, NY, USA
<i>Christian Z. Goering</i>	Department of Curriculum & Instruction, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA
<i>Heidi L. Hallman</i>	Department of Curriculum & Teaching, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

<i>Madeleine (Madey) H. Israelson</i>	Department of Education, College of St. Benedict & St. John's University, St Joseph, MN, USA
<i>Anthony Johnston</i>	School of Education, University of Saint Joseph, West Hartford, CT, USA
<i>Jayne C. Lammers</i>	Department of Teaching & Curriculum, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA
<i>Kati Macaluso</i>	Institute for Educational Initiatives and the Alliance for Catholic Education, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA
<i>Michael Macaluso</i>	Institute for Educational Initiatives and the Alliance for Catholic Education, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA
<i>Alecia Marie Magnifico</i>	Department of English, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA
<i>Cori McKenzie</i>	Department of Teacher Education, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
<i>Madeline (Maddy) A. O'Brien</i>	Department of Education, College of St. Benedict & St. John's University, St Joseph, MN, USA
<i>Donna L. Pasternak</i>	Department of Curriculum & Instruction, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA
<i>Robert Petrone</i>	Department of English, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA
<i>Jody Polleck</i>	Department of Curriculum & Teaching, Hunter College, City University of New York, New York, NY, USA
<i>Laura Renzi</i>	Department of English, West Chester University of Pennsylvania, West Chester, PA, USA

- | | |
|--|---|
| <i>Terri L. Rodriguez</i> | Department of Education, College of
St. Benedict & St. John's University,
St Joseph, MN, USA |
| <i>Leslie Rush</i> | Department of Secondary Education,
University of Wyoming, Laramie,
WY, USA |
| <i>Sophia Tatiana
Sarigianides</i> | Department of English, Westfield State
University, Westfield, MA, USA |
| <i>Melissa Schieble</i> | Department of Curriculum & Teaching,
Hunter College, City University of
New York, New York, NY, USA |
| <i>Amanda Haertling
Thein</i> | Department of Teaching & Learning,
University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA |
| <i>Lauren Thoma</i> | Department of Education, College of
St. Benedict & St. John's University,
St Joseph, MN, USA |
| <i>Peter Williamson</i> | School of Education, Stanford University,
Stanford, CT, USA |
| <i>Shelbie Witte</i> | School of Teaching & Curriculum
Leadership, Oklahoma State University,
Stillwater, OK, USA |

This page intentionally left blank

FOREWORD TO *INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS TEACHER EDUCATION*

Of the virtues received from our [predecessors] we can afford to lose none But merely to preserve those is not enough. A task is laid upon each generation to enlarge their application, to ennoble their conception, and, above all, to apply and adapt them to the peculiar problems presented to it for solution.

— Addams (1912/2002, p. 171)

I've been an English teacher for over 30 years, and at no time in my career have I been less certain about what I do for a living. A glance at the texts on my home bookshelves suggests as much: *The Fire Next Time* by James Baldwin, the complete works of Shakespeare, a Spanish-English dictionary, *The Human Condition* by Hannah Arendt, a biography of the civil rights leader Ella Baker, *Capital* by Karl Marx, *The Absolutely True Diary of a Part-time Indian* by Sherman Alexie. Were it not for the back copies of *English Education* and *RTE* on a bottom shelf, anyone wandering into my study could be forgiven for thinking that this is the collection of someone who had switched undergraduate majors three or four times.

That I am usually untroubled by my uncertainty is due in part to evidence that many English teacher educators share my sense of the eclectic nature of our work. To summarize the, “Beliefs statement: What is English education?” (2007) that emerged from the 2005 CEE Conference and Policy Summit, our field encompasses “interdisciplinary inquiry” into the teaching and learning of English, as well as the preparation and support of teachers who “prepare learners to be creative, literate individuals; contributors to the cultural, social, and economic health of their communities; and fully participating and critically aware citizens of our democracy in a complex, diverse, and increasingly globalized world.” Though this description of what we English educators do is accurate and as precise as it can reasonably be, it also indicates the expansiveness of our analytical and methodological horizons. Moreover, while implying an ethical rationale for what we do, the statement also invites multiple interpretations of what, for instance, a “creative, literate individual” is and how to help young people grow as

“critically aware citizens.” As a profession, then, it seems that we English educators are in an ongoing position similar to that of a person who ponders existential questions best considered at once: Who am I? What should I do, and why?

The authors who have contributed to this book are deeply engaged in innovative explorations of these questions, and this book is needed now precisely because – to paraphrase the epigraph to this Foreword – it is essential to ennoble, apply, and adapt the virtuous work previously accomplished in our field to our present situations. Because teaching and learning is inevitably grounded in practice, any such adaptations, any renewed understandings of our identities and aims as English educators, cannot remain exclusively in the realm of abstract speculation; rather, these understandings will emerge as contingent responses to students as we encounter them in particular places and times.

It is due to this “groundedness” of our work that – as Cori McKenzie, Michael Macaluso, and Kati Macaluso suggest in Chapter 1 – the question of “what” we do is inseparable from the “why.” For my part, among the many questions that contribute to my confusion as I work at this what/why intersection is whether I should teach students reading and writing because they offer personal enrichment and enjoyment of life or whether this view is a bourgeois luxury, a self-indulgent evasion of my responsibility to help students master the dominant discourses that often increase people’s access to desirable careers. I wonder, too, whether the emphasis in my methods classes on emerging technologies liberates students to create and exchange ideas or whether these digital literacies are alienating and corrosive to conversations that would otherwise unfold in the physical presence of others.

But of all the questions I grapple with, the one that perhaps best represents a convergence of our professional desires to do some good in this world is this: How can we English educators teach to promote social justice? As Deborah Bieler and Leslie David Burns astutely point out in this book, any attempt to answer that question must be provisional, and because I think that’s true, I find myself continually unsettled by writers who raise additional questions regarding what is possible or acceptable in teaching for social justice, even if provisionally so. Reinhold Niebuhr, for instance, had little patience for “sociologists and educators” who believed that inequities could be ameliorated by improving people through schooling and the cultivation of collective intelligence. Instead, [Niebuhr \(1932/2013\)](#) argued that “when collective power ... exploits weakness, it can never be dislodged unless power is raised against it” (p. xii). This dismissal of pursuing justice through an informed communitarianism is taken a step

further by Slavoj Žižek, who insists that those who struggle for meaningful freedom from structural violence must at times engage in “divine violence” – which is “divine” precisely because it results in justice (2008, p. 162).

As much as this posture of overt antagonism seems at odds with our best intentions or unit plans, Jacques Rancière goes so far as to say that teachers’ role as “master explicators” is fundamentally incompatible with democratizing politics. In Rancière’s view, we educators get it wrong by assuming an intellectual inequality between us and our students, and this inevitably establishes a hierarchy of knowledge and status that perpetuates and justifies social inequality. The sole alternative, Rancière insists, is to commit ourselves to the idea that “equality [is] not an end to attain, but a point of departure, a supposition to maintain in every circumstance” (1991, p. 138). To Rancière, only from this radically egalitarian starting point can an intermittent politics emerge as individuals verify their status as equal subjects, and they do this by thinking and acting in ways that create dissensus in the existing sociopolitical order (2004). As Rancière sees it, this kind of intellectual and political “emancipation” is something we simply can’t teach (1991, p. 133). Why? Because the moment we begin to explain things to others, we re-establish an intellectual hierarchy and its attendant inequalities.

For my purposes here, these writers do not just disrupt the paradigms of progressivism and critical pedagogy that we have relied upon to affirm what Bieler and Burns call the “critical centrality” of social justice in English education; rather, they also pose a direct challenge to what might uncharitably be called our pretensions to political relevance. To the extent that such challenges leave us unsettled, even confounded, they confirm the need for a book that, as Heidi L. Hallman describes this one, “intends to capture the spirit of disciplinary change.” This notion of “disciplinary change” is, by my lights, worth underscoring, for it implies not alterations to a relatively stable field of scholarship, but an acknowledgment that a central feature of English education is – as de Man (1986) said of literary theory – “the impossibility of its definition” (p. 3). Viewed in this way, to become an English educator is to enter into an endless process of collective self-critique and contested re-creation.

But if English education is characterized by a perpetual lack of agreement regarding who we are, what we should do, and why, I think it’s crucial that we understand such uncertainty not as a problem to be solved, but as a condition to be celebrated. From this perspective, to cultivate habits of disciplinary agility and intellectual humility is among our highest obligations as we seek out and set forth a constantly expanding repertoire

of ways to think and talk about issues we have found to be worthy of our attention. None of us is smart enough to do this on his or her own; no one has the time or expertise to delve into all the sub-specialties that are relevant to the preparation of ELA teachers. For this we must rely on each other, and the value of such mutual dependency and trust is admirably illustrated in the chapters that follow.

Todd DeStigter
Department of English,
University of Illinois at Chicago,
Chicago, IL, USA

REFERENCES

- Addams, J. (1912/2002). A modern Lear. In J. B. Elshtain (Ed.), *The Jane Addams reader* (pp. 163–176). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Alsop, J., Alvine, L., Blau, S., Calder, R., DeBlase, G., DeStigter, T., ... Yagelski, R. (2007). *Beliefs statement: What is English education?* Conference on English Education. Retrieved from <http://www.ncte.org/cee/positions/whatisenglished>
- de Man, P. (1986). *The resistance to theory*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Niebuhr, R. (1932/2013). *Moral man and immoral society: A study in ethics and politics*. Louisville, KY: John Knox Press.
- Rancière, J. (1991). *The ignorant schoolmaster: Five lessons in intellectual emancipation*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Rancière, J. (2004). *Dis-agreement: Politics and philosophy*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Zizek, S. (2008). *In defense of lost causes*. New York, NY: Verso.

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION TO *INNOVATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS TEACHER EDUCATION*

This is a book primarily for teacher educators who wish to contemplate “innovations” in the field of English Language Arts teacher education. Some scholars have called for a new English education (Kirkland, 2008, 2010), one that, in part, acknowledges the multiple languages and literacies that students bring to the classroom. Others, including Swenson, Young, McGrail, Rozema, and Whitlin (2006) have claimed that the field of English teacher education has been altered by the prevalence of new technologies, thereby urging a reconsideration of everything from what a text is to how mainstream discourses collide with students’ home languages. Changes in the discipline, including the definition of “literacy” itself as moving away from a neutral skill-set and toward sociocultural, situated understandings (Gee, 1996; Street, 2003), have produced a much more expansive understanding of literacy and of what teachers of English language arts do. This book intends to capture the spirit of disciplinary change and do this with the goal of excitement, possibility, and hope.

The book also aims to engage with the history of the teaching of English. Part II moves toward articulating innovative lenses for preparing prospective teachers to teach English language arts. Squire (2003) notes that the models of secondary English language arts curriculum discussed at the 1966 Dartmouth Seminar, the *skills* model, the *cultural heritage* model, and the *personal experience* model — sometimes called *process* model — have remained salient, even today. These models have not been without criticisms. The *skills* model, stressing functional literacy, has been criticized for focusing too much on the acquisition of “correct” grammar, vocabulary, and spelling, and in so doing, has ignored other possible dimensions of the English curriculum. The *cultural heritage* model, stressing the need for a culturally unifying English curricular content and intending to fill a void left by the skills model, does not ultimately fill this void for it takes culture as a given. Instead of drawing on students’ backgrounds and experiences to create a definition of culture, culture is viewed as something

outside of students' own experiences. Yet, the personal experience model, the model that Dixon most aligns himself with in his seminal text, *Growth through English* (1967), is still very much alive in the English language arts. Part II of the book, with its emphasis on viewing students' lives as meaningful components of curriculum, sustains what Dixon hoped to do for the discipline: move from an attempt to define "'What English is' — a question that maintains the emphasis on nouns like *skills* and *proficiencies*, set *books*, and *heritage* — to a definition by *process*, a description of the activities we engage in through language" (Dixon, 2003, p. 7, italics in original). Part II is rich with the possibility of *process*.

An emphasis on process and experience is carried into the final section of the book, Part III, which focuses on social justice and partnership-oriented approaches to English language arts teacher education. As Kirkland (2008, 2010) notes, the new English Education is committed to diversity, technology, and hybridity, and is both a reaction to and an interaction with the current state of language in our world. In urban areas especially, a changing student demographic affects schooling because of linguistic and cultural pluralism and the predominance of technology in communication and literacy practices. Part III of the book aims to look more deeply at how relationships might be fostered between teacher education and the partners — the schools, communities, teachers, and students — that are so critical to the future of English teacher education.

The final section of the book contains the heart and soul of English teacher education today; that is, it urges prospective English teachers to embrace and understand the new English education and to undergo a metaphorical passage from an alignment with the standardized, white, schooled literacy to a pluralistic understanding and acceptance of what literacy is and can be. Within our postmodern educational setting, we must educate teachers to grapple with unsettling ideas, as described by Kirkland, "in which authority is de-centered, notions of truth are questioned and questionable, grand narratives are deconstructed, knowledge is functional, and Englishes are plural" (2010, p. 232). Prospective teachers must become, as Kirkland invites, brave enough to follow the seemingly radical literacy expressions of their trailblazing students (2008, p. 74). But, in complicating how prospective teachers see literacy, Part III stresses that the relational spaces of teacher-student, official-unofficial language, singular authority-pluralistic power, server-served must also be complicated. These hierarchical relationships are the "grand narratives" that must be deconstructed. Part III leaves us with the hope that, as teachers, teacher educators, and researchers in English language arts education, this can be realized.

REFERENCES

- Dixon, J. (1967). *Growth through English*. Champaign, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Dixon, J. (2003). Historical considerations: An international perspective. In J. Flood, D. Lapp, J. R. Squire, & J. Jensen (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teaching the English language arts* (pp. 18–23). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gee, J. P. (1996). *Social linguistics and literacies: Ideology in discourses* (2nd ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Falmer Press.
- Kirkland, D. (2008). “The rose that grew from concrete”: Postmodern blackness and new English education. *English Journal*, 97(5), 69–75.
- Kirkland, D. (2010). Englishes in urban contexts: Politics, pluralism, and possibilities. *English Education*, 42(3), 293–306.
- Squire, J. R. (2003). The history of the profession. In J. Flood, D. Lapp, J. R. Squire, & J. Jensen (Eds.), *Handbook of research on the teaching the English language arts* (pp. 3–17). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Swenson, J., Young, C. A., McGrail, E., Rozema, R., & Whitin, P. (2006). Extending the conversation: New technologies, new literacies, and English education. *English Education*, 38(4), 351–369.
- Street, B. (2003). What’s ‘new’ in the new literacy studies? *Current Issues in Comparative and International Education*, 5(2), 77–91.

This page intentionally left blank

PART I
TOWARD A BROADER VISION OF
ENGLISH TEACHER EDUCATION

This page intentionally left blank

SECTION INTRODUCTION TO PART I

Heidi L. Hallman

Part I of the book highlights the changing landscape of English language arts teacher education. In the chapter “Finding Footholds in a Construction Zone: Navigating the Discourses of English Language Arts with Pre-Service Teachers in the 21st Century,” Cori McKenzie, Michael Macaluso, and Kati Macaluso help us recognize the discursive construction of English language arts as a school subject. The authors follow [Brass’s \(2014\)](#) assertion that the field of English language arts has expanded and shifted primarily due to the influence of two trends: the rise of literacy as a field of study and the proliferation of critical fields of education. In this opening chapter, the authors provide us with insight about how we might embark on helping prospective teachers of English understand these shifts. Through an activity focused on mapping the intersections of definitions and trends in English language arts teacher education, McKenzie, Macaluso, and Macaluso emphasize the constructed nature of English as a school subject. The next chapter, the chapter “Preparing English Teachers for Today’s Context: Researching Effective Practice through Methods Courses,” by Donna L. Pasternak, Samantha Caughlan, Heidi L. Hallman, Laura Renzi, and Leslie Rush, seeks to position the changing terrain of English language arts within the current context of methods courses that focus on the teaching of English. In this chapter, the authors highlight how five new areas of emphasis in English language arts methods courses have emerged throughout the past 20 years. In so doing, they give voice to what a reimaged methods course might be that will prepare prospective English teachers for today’s classrooms. Finally, in the chapter “Advocacy, Humanity, and Hope in the Face of an Education World Gone Wrong,” Shelby Witte and Christian Z. Goering acknowledge the political landscape of teacher education in the current era. Through referencing the current context in which teachers must operate within and beyond their

U.S.-based classrooms, Witte and Goering balance “reality” with “hope.” By examining different approaches that the authors have used with prospective teachers, this chapter, through multiple vignettes, creates a deeper understanding of both the realities that prospective teachers face and the ways in which they can efficaciously face these realities and help reclaim the profession of teaching.

REFERENCE

- Brass, J. (2014). Reconstituting teacher education: Literacy, critical theories, and English. In J. Brass & A. Webb (Eds.), *Reclaiming English language arts methods courses: Critical issues and challenges for teacher educators in top-down times* (pp. 1–21). New York, NY: Routledge.

FINDING FOOTHOLDS IN A CONSTRUCTION ZONE: NAVIGATING THE DISCOURSES OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS WITH PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Cori McKenzie, Michael Macaluso and
Kati Macaluso

ABSTRACT

The varying traditions, goals, paradigms, and discourses associated with English language arts (ELA) underscore the degree to which there is not one school subject English, but many “Englishes.” In a neoliberal context, where movements like standardization and accountability stake claims about what ELA should be and do in the world, teachers, especially beginning teachers, can struggle to navigate the tensions engendered by these many and contradictory “Englishes.” This chapter attends to this struggle and delineates a process by which English Educators might illustrate the field’s vast and ever-changing terrain and support

Innovations in English Language Arts Teacher Education
Advances in Research on Teaching, Volume 27, 5–26
Copyright © 2017 by Emerald Publishing Limited
All rights of reproduction in any form reserved
ISSN: 1479-3687/doi:[10.1108/S1479-368720170000027001](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-368720170000027001)