



Water Environment Research Foundation
Collaboration. Innovation. Results.

Nutrients

**FINAL
REPORT**

Uptake by Algae of Dissolved Organic Nitrogen from BNR Treatment Plant Effluents

NUTR1R06e

UPTAKE BY ALGAE OF DISSOLVED ORGANIC NITROGEN FROM BNR TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENTS

by:

David L. Sedlak

Joonseon Jeong

Haizhou Liu

University of California, Berkeley

2013



The Water Environment Research Foundation, a not-for-profit organization, funds and manages water quality research for its subscribers through a diverse public-private partnership between municipal utilities, corporations, academia, industry, and the federal government. WERF subscribers include municipal and regional water and water resource recovery facilities, industrial corporations, environmental engineering firms, and others that share a commitment to cost-effective water quality solutions. WERF is dedicated to advancing science and technology addressing water quality issues as they impact water resources, the atmosphere, the lands, and quality of life.

For more information, contact:
Water Environment Research Foundation
635 Slaters Lane, Suite G-110
Alexandria, VA 22314-1177
Tel: (571) 384-2100
Fax: (703) 299-0742
www.werf.org
werf@werf.org

This report was co-published by the following organization.

IWA Publishing
Alliance House, 12 Caxton Street
London SW1H 0QS, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0) 20 7654 5500
Fax: +44 (0) 20 7654 5555
www.iwapublishing.com
publications@iwap.co.uk

© Copyright 2013 by the Water Environment Research Foundation. All rights reserved. Permission to copy must be obtained from the Water Environment Research Foundation.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2012937304

IWAP ISBN: 978-1-78040-138-6/1-78040-138-8

This report was prepared by the organization(s) named below as an account of work sponsored by the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF). Neither WERF, members of WERF, the organization(s) named below, nor any person acting on their behalf: (a) makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report or that such use may not infringe on privately owned rights; or (b) assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

University of California, Berkeley

The research on which this report is based was developed, in part, by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through Cooperative Agreement No. EM-83406901-1 with the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF). However, the views expressed in this document are not necessarily those of the EPA and EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication. This report is a publication of WERF, not EPA. Funds awarded under the Cooperative Agreement cited above were not used for editorial services, reproduction, printing, or distribution.

This document was reviewed by a panel of independent experts selected by WERF. Mention of trade names or commercial products or services does not constitute endorsement or recommendations for use. Similarly, omission of products or trade names indicates nothing concerning WERF's or EPA's positions regarding product effectiveness or applicability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The project team would like to acknowledge and thank Dr. J.B. Neethling of HDR Engineering, Inc. and Prof. H. David Stensel of the University of Washington for their advice and support. The research team is particularly grateful to Randall Gray of the City of Reno, NV, Dr. Charles Bott from the Hampton Roads Sanitation District, VA, and Dr. Deborah Bronk from the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, as well as the staff of the Truckee Meadows, San Jose / Santa Clara, Broad Run, and Hampton Roads wastewater treatment plants for their cooperation in obtaining samples.

Research Team

Principal Investigator:

David L. Sedlak, Ph.D.

University of California, Berkeley

Project Team:

Joonseon Jeong, Ph.D.

University of California, Berkeley

Haizhou Liu, Ph.D.

University of California, Berkeley

Technical Review Committee

Michael T. Brett, Ph.D.

University of Washington

Jacek Makinia, Ph.D.

Gdansk University of Technology

Krishna Pagilla, Ph.D.

Illinois Institute of Technology

Robert R. Sharp, Ph.D., P.E.

Hazen & Sawyer

WERF Nutrient Removal Challenge Issue Area Team

Rajendra (Raj) P. Bhattarai, P.E., BCEE

Austin Water Utility, City of Austin, TX

James A. Hanlon, retired

James Wheeler, P.E.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Joseph A. Husband, P.E., BCEE

Malcolm Pirnie Inc.

David Jenkins, Ph.D.
University of California – Berkeley

Gary R. Johnson, P.E., BCEE
Environmental Operating Solutions, Inc.

Terry L. Johnson, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE
Black & Veatch Corporation

Ted McKim, P.E., BCEE
Reedy Creek Energy Services

Sudhir Murthy, Ph.D., P.E.
District of Columbia Water

Tung Nguyen
Sydney Water Corporation

Clifford W. Randall, Ph.D., Dist. M.ASCE
Virginia Polytechnic and State University

Matt Ries, P.E.
Water Environment Federation

G. David Waltrip, P.E.
Hampton Roads Sanitation District

Kenneth N. Wood, P.E.
DuPont/OnBoard Services, Inc.

Heng Zhang, Ph.D., P.E.
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

Water Environment Research Foundation Staff

Director of Research: Daniel M. Woltering, Ph.D.

Senior Program Director: Amit Pramanik, Ph.D., BCEEM

ABSTRACT AND BENEFITS

Abstract:

The importance of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in wastewater treatment effluent has dramatically increased. This is because permitted effluent total nitrogen (TN) concentrations have been decreased to very low levels in response to problems with impaired surface water quality from eutrophication. For conventional secondary treatment, DON typically accounts for less than 10% of the effluent TN. However, it can be a major component (>50%) in effluents from advanced biological nutrient removal (BNR) treatment plants, for which most of the inorganic nitrogen species and effluent suspended solids are removed. DON persists in effluents from BNR systems, yet little is known about the potential impact it has on surface water quality. Of particular interest is what portion of DON is readily available for algae consumption or can be converted to forms to support algal growth, and what types of substances compose DON.

To develop a better understanding of the occurrence and bioavailability of DON in effluents from advanced BNR systems, a new protocol was developed for measuring the readily bioavailable DON and forms of DON that are not readily taken up by algae (recalcitrant DON). An anion exchange resin was used to remove nitrate while an XAD-8 resin was used to remove hydrophobic forms of DON. To assess the bioavailability of wastewater-derived DON, algal growth assays were performed in the presence of bacteria in effluents from 10 municipal BNR wastewater treatment plants.

The results showed, after nitrate removal, only minor and statistically insignificant differences in algal growth and DON consumption between untreated samples and samples from which the hydrophilic forms of DON were removed. Growth of algae and DON consumption were not observed in the hydrophobic fraction from XAD-8 resin separation, despite the fact that this fraction contained up to approximately 30% of the DON. These findings indicate that the hydrophobic DON retained on the XAD-8 resin is not readily taken up by algae over periods of several weeks. They also indicate that the XAD-8 treatment combined with an anion exchange resin can be used to quantify and separate this recalcitrant form of DON from bioavailable DON and nitrate.

Benefits:

- ◆ Provides an improved protocol for making low-level measurements of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in effluent from BNR wastewater treatment plants.
- ◆ Provides further evidence that BNR wastewater treatment plant effluent contains forms of DON that are not readily available to algae. That evidence provides a basis for more efficient control of nutrient pollution and a more scientific basis for evaluating the impact of effluent DON on surface waters.
- ◆ Provides a simpler, faster, and robust protocol without extensive bioassay for separating and quantifying readily bioavailable DON from recalcitrant DON in BNR effluents.

Keywords: Dissolved organic nitrogen, algae, eutrophication, humic substance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments.....	iii
Abstract and Benefits.....	v
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Acronyms	ix
Executive Summary	ES-1
1.0 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Background.....	1-1
1.2 Approach.....	1-2
2.0 Removal of Inorganic Nitrogen from Wastewater Effluent Samples	2-1
2.1 Background.....	2-1
2.2 Materials and Methods.....	2-2
2.2.1 Sample Locations.....	2-2
2.2.2 Nitrate Removal Procedure.....	2-2
2.2.3 Analytical Methods.....	2-3
2.3 Results and Discussions.....	2-3
3.0 Separation of Hydrophobic Dissolved Organic Nitrogen.....	3-1
3.1 Background.....	3-1
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	3-1
3.3 Results and Discussion	3-2
4.0 Fate and Effluent Don Fractions in Algal Growth Bioassays	4-1
4.1 Background.....	4-1
4.2 Materials and Methods.....	4-1
4.3 Results and Discussion	4-3
4.3.1 Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF)	4-3
4.3.2 King William Wastewater Treatment Plant (KWWTP)	4-5
4.3.3 Broad Run Water Reclamation Facility (BRWRF)	4-7
4.3.4 San Jose/Santa Clara Wastewater Treatment Plant (SJWTP).....	4-9
4.3.5 North Durham Water Reclamation Facilities (NDWRF)	4-11
4.3.6 South Durham Water Reclamation Facilities (SDWRF).....	4-13
4.3.7 RWSA Moores Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (RVWTP)	4-15
4.3.8 HRSD Nansemond Wastewater Treatment Plant (NAWTP)	4-17
4.3.9 Neuse River Wastewater Treatment Plant (NRWTP)	4-18
4.3.10 Parkway Wastewater Treatment Plant (PKWTP).....	4-19
4.3.11 Positive Controls with Reference Materials	4-20
4.3.12 Summary of Results from Bioassays	4-21
5.0 Summary and Conclusions	5-1
Appendix A.....	A-1
References.....	R-1