Analytic Computational Complexity

Edited by J.F. Traub Analytic Computational Complexity

ACADEMIC PRESS RAPID MANUSCRIPT REPRODUCTION

Proceedings of the Symposium on Analytic Computational Complexity held by the Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on April 7-8, 1975.

Analytic Computational Complexity

Edited by



Departments of Computer Science and Mathematics Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania



New York San Francisco London 1976 A Subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers COPYRIGHT © 1976, BY ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPY, RECORDING, OR ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER.

ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. 111 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10003

United Kingdom Edition published by ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. (LONDON) LTD. 24/28 Oval Road, London NW1

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Symposium on Analytic Computational Complexity, Carnegie-Mellon University, 1975. Analytic computational complexity.

"Proceedings of the Symposium on Analytic Computational Complexity, held by the Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on April 7-8, 1975." Bibliography: p. Includes index. Numerical analysis-Data processing-Congresses. 1. 2. Computational complexity-Congresses. I. Traub, Joe Fred, Carnegie-Mellon University. (date) П. Computer Science Dept. III. Title. QA297.S915 1975 519.4 75-13086 ISBN 0-12-697560-4

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CONTENTS

LIST OF INVITED AUTHORS PREFACE	vii ix
Introduction	1
Some Remarks on Proof Techniques in Analytic Complexity	5
Strict Lower and Upper Bounds on Iterative Computational Complexity. J.F. Traub and H. Woźniakowski	15
The Complexity of Obtaining Starting Points for SolvingOperator Equations by Newton's Method	35
A Class of Optimal-Order Zero-Finding Methods Using Derivative Evaluations	59
Maximal Order of Multipoint Iterations Using <i>n</i> Evaluations	75
Optimal Use of Information in Certain Iterative Processes	109
The Use of Integrals in the Solution of Nonlinear Equations in N Dimensions B. Kacewicz	127
Complexity and Differential Equations	143
Multiple-Precision Zero-Finding Methods and the Complexity of Elementary Function Evaluation	151

CONTENTS

Numerical Stability of Iterations for Solution of Nonlinear Equations and Large Linear Systems	177
On the Computational Complexity of Approximation Operators II John R. Rice	191
Hensel Meets Newton–Algebraic Constructions in an Analytic Setting	205
O ((n log n) ^{3/2}) Algorithms for Composition and Reversion of Power Series	217
Abstracts of Contributed Papers	227

.

LIST OF INVITED AUTHORS

Richard P. Brent

Computer Centre, Australian National University, Box 4, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia

B. Kacewicz

University of Warsaw, Institute of Mathematical Machines, P.K. i N. p.850, Warsaw 00-901, Poland

H. T. Kung

Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Robert Meersman

Department of Mathematics, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

John R, Rice

Computer Science Department, Mathematical Sciences Building, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana 47907

M.H. Schultz

Computer Science Department, Dunham Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520

J. F. Traub

Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

S. Winograd

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

H. Woźniakowski

University of Warsaw, Institute of Mathematical Machines, P.K. i N. p.850, Warsaw 00-901, Poland

David Y. Y. Yun

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 This page intentionally left blank

PREFACE

These Proceedings contain texts of all invited papers presented at a Symposium on Analytic Computational Complexity held by the Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on April 7-8, 1975. Abstracts of contributed papers are also included.

The decision to have a symposium in April, 1975 was made very informally. A number of the major international figures in analytic complexity planned to be at Carnegie-Mellon University for periods of time ranging from a month to a year. The intersection of these visits was in April. One easy way for the researchers to let each other know about their work was to have them make formal presentations. From there it was just a small step to inviting a few additional speakers and making it public. The proceedings seem a good way to show present progress and future directions in analytic complexity.

The research in the papers by R.P. Brent, B. Kacewicz, H.T. Kung, R. Meersman, J.F. Traub, and H. Woźniakowski was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant GJ-32111 and the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0314-0010, NR 044-422.

J.F. Traub

This page intentionally left blank

INTRODUCTION

J. F. Traub Department of Computer Science Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pa.

I believe there has been more progress made in analytic computational complexity in the last two years than since the beginning of the subject around 1960. Perhaps this Symposium helped serve as a forcing function in this progress. In this introduction I would like to summarize what I believe are some of the reasons for studying complexity in general and analytic computational complexity in particular. Then I will briefly overview the invited papers which are presented in these Proceedings.

Some of the reasons for studying complexity (a partial list):

- The selection of algorithms is a central issue in much of computer science and applied mathematics. The selection of algorithms is a multi-dimensional optimization problem. One of these dimensions is the complexity of the algorithms.
- 2. The literature contains countless papers giving conditions for the convergence of an infinite process. A process has to be more than convergent in order for it to be computationally interesting. We must also be able to bound (preferably a priori) its cost. One central issue of analytic computational complexity is what additional conditions must be imposed on a problem such that the cost of its solution can be a priori bound.
- 3. Complexity results help give structure to a field. For example we now know that the maximal order of an iterative process depends only on the information used by the iterative algorithm. We can therefore classify algorithms by the information they use.
- Lower bounds on problem complexity give us a natural hierarchy based on the intrinsic difficulty of the problems.

5. Complexity leads to a mathematically interesting and satisfying theory. There seem to be numerous, deep questions.

I now turn to an overview of the papers presented in these proceedings.

Winograd

A general adversary principle is enunciated by Winograd and established as a primary technique for proving lower bounds. Winograd applies this principle and shows how lower bound results can be obtained in a number of problem areas.

Traub and Woźniakowski

An early and valid criticism of traditional iterative complexity theory has been that the theory is asymptotic whereas in practice only a finite and indeed often a small number of iterations are used. In this paper a non-asymptotic theory is developed with strict upper and lower bounds on complexity.

Kung

Iterative computational complexity has always been a local theory which assumes that a sufficiently good initial approximation is given and a solution is then calculated. Clearly, the right approach is: given an operator equation with certain properties, bound the complexity of finding the solution. Kung shows that if the operator satisfies conditions similar to those of the Newton-Kantorovich Theorem, then a starting approximation for Newton iteration which falls within the Kantorovich ball can be guaranteed and the complexity of the total process can be bounded.

Brent (Optimal-Order)

Algorithms for calculating zeros of a scalar function f are introduced for the case that f' is cheaper than f. The existence of algorithms of order 2ν which use one evaluation of f and ν evaluations of f' at each step is established. Meersman (these Proceedings) shows these algorithms have optimal order. Optimal non-linear Runge-Kutta methods are also defined.