

*Achieving QTLS*

# Teaching Higher Education Courses in Further Education Colleges

Jonathan Tummons  
Kevin Orr and Liz Atkins



# **Teaching Higher Education Courses** in the FE and Skills Sector



# **Teaching Higher Education Courses** in the FE and Skills Sector

**Jonathan Tummons**

**Kevin Orr**

**Liz Atkins**



Los Angeles | London | New Delhi  
Singapore | Washington DC



Learning Matters

An imprint of SAGE Publications Ltd

1 Oliver's Yard

55 City Road

London EC1Y 1SP

SAGE Publications Inc.

2455 Teller Road

Thousand Oaks, California 91320

SAGE Publications India Pvt Ltd

B 1/I 1 Mohan Cooperative Industrial Area

Mathura Road

New Delhi 110 044

SAGE Publications Asia-Pacific Pte Ltd

3 Church Street

#10-04 Samsung Hub

Singapore 049483

---

Editor: Amy Thornton

Development editor: Clare Weaver

Production controller: Chris Marke

Project management: Deer Park Productions,  
Tavistock

Marketing manager: Catherine Slinn

Cover design: Topics

Typeset by: C&M Digitals (P) Ltd, Chennai, India

Printed by: CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY



© Jonathan Tummons, Kevin Orr, Liz Atkins 2013

First published 2013

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form, or by any means, only with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction, in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

**Library of Congress Control Number: 2013943598**

**British Library Cataloguing in Publication data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978 1 44626 746 2 (hbk)

ISBN 978 1 44626 747 9 (pbk)

# Contents

	About the authors	vi
	Acknowledgements	vii
	Introduction	viii
1	College-based higher education and widening participation	1
2	The HE ethos – creating a university within a college	15
3	College-based higher education: the students	28
4	Planning and preparing for teaching HE in FE	40
5	The practice of teaching college-based higher education	54
6	Assessing learning in HE in FE	67
7	Research and scholarship in HE in FE	80
8	Managing HE in FE	93
9	Quality assurance in college-based higher education	103
	<i>Index</i>	117

## About the authors

**Dr Liz Atkins** is a Lecturer and Researcher in Education at the University of Huddersfield. She has taught extensively in both further and higher education on both vocational and teacher education programmes and has also managed provision in which university programmes were taught in FE colleges. She has published widely on issues associated with vocational education and training. Her most recent book, written with Professor Susan Wallace, is *Qualitative Research in Education* (SAGE).

**Dr Kevin Orr** worked in further education colleges for 16 years before becoming a Senior Lecturer in Education at the University of Huddersfield in 2006. Aside from teaching on a variety of courses, his research interests lie primarily in vocational and further education. Since 2008 he has been co-convenor of the British Educational Research Association's special interest group for post-compulsory and lifelong learning.

**Dr Jonathan Tummons** worked in further and adult education before moving into higher education. After four years at Teesside University, he has now taken up a post as Lecturer in Education at Durham University. He has researched and published widely on a range of issue relating to learning, teaching and assessment in further and higher education. He is the author of, amongst other books and articles, *Assessing Learning in the Lifelong Learning Sector*, *Curriculum Studies in the Lifelong Learning Sector*, and *Becoming a Professional Tutor in the Lifelong Learning Sector*, all published by SAGE/ Learning Matters.

# *Acknowledgements*

We would like to thank all of the FE colleagues we have had the privilege of working with during our respective careers in both the further and higher education sectors. We would also like to thank the students we have met, taught, worked alongside and learned with and from, who have undertaken FE in HE and whose experience is the focus of this book.



# *Introduction*

Further education (FE) colleges have long been involved in the provision of higher-level programmes of study, but over recent years – and particularly since incorporation – this has expanded. The provision of higher education (HE) courses within FE colleges constitutes a significant aspect of current moves to expand participation in HE more generally. Over recent years, an increasing number of universities have begun to work with FE colleges in order to offer degree-level education. The scale of provision is considerable: degrees, foundation degrees and certificates are available in an increasing number of subject areas. For staff working in FE colleges, HE in FE provision represents a significant moment of personal and professional development, raising new challenges in terms of teaching and learning strategies, assessment practices and quality assurance systems. In writing this book, we provide an up-to-date account of the key debates and issues that surround the provision of HE courses in FE colleges. This is an account that rests on wider research and scholarship, our own research as academics with an interest in HE in FE, and our own current and past experience as practitioners, both in colleges and in universities.

Each of the chapters in this book has been written so that it stands alone: in this way, the reader can elect either to dip in to the text in order to explore particular key themes, or to read the book from cover to cover. A number of pedagogic activities are included in the text. For the reader who wishes to pursue these themes in more depth, references appear at the end of each chapter.

# 1

## College-based higher education and widening participation

**By the end of this chapter you will be able to:**

- contextualise widening participation in HE in terms of the political agenda;
- understand the academic and organisational implications of offering college-based higher education;
- discuss the new types of HE provision offered in FE;
- describe a broad range of curricula offered under the college-based higher education banner.

### Introduction

The term ‘widening participation’ refers to a broad range of initiatives and activities which have taken place, over time, across both the HE and FE sectors. In terms of college-based higher education, however, it is used to:

*...denote activities to recruit students from the groups that HEIs have identified as under-represented, and then to ensure their success. These groups may include disabled people, people from a particular cultural or socio-economic background, or even a particular gender.*

(HEA, 2012, online)

Widening participation has been a major theme in government education policy for over a decade. This chapter explores the origins of the widening participation agenda, and considers it in the context of academic concepts of social justice. It explores the political and educational context surrounding widening participation, as well as the debates for and against what has become, for many, a contentious issue. A key aspect of the concerns around widening participation focus on the fact that HE is, like wider society, highly stratified. This means that students studying at higher status institutions (who tend to be more socially and economically advantaged) gain credentials that have greater exchange value than those that other students (who tend to be more disadvantaged) gain from lower status institutions. This is an important point, and one that we shall return to.

While this chapter is focused on widening participation, it is worth pointing out that many of the discourses, or discussions, surrounding widening participation resonate with those surrounding inclusion, which is itself a contested and sometimes controversial subject. Both are concerned with addressing issues of social and educational exclusion. Many of the students who benefit from inclusion policies and practices, or

who form part of the widening participation agenda, may be seen to exhibit a range of characteristics associated with social exclusion (such as gender, a lack of credentials, ethnicity, disability, poverty and class), which locates them at the bottom of a highly stratified society. It is important, however, to be aware that within these hierarchies there are *layers* of inclusion and exclusion and not just a simple distinction between inclusion and exclusion (Bathmaker, 2005).

## RESEARCH FOCUS RESEARCH FOCUS RESEARCH FOCUS RESEARCH FOCUS

Hoelscher et al. (2008, page 149) have identified three goals of widening participation. They argue that two of these (fair access and widening participation to include under-represented groups) are *deeply rooted in notions of social justice*. The term social justice is widely used in education, and most people working in education would argue that they are committed to social justice. So, indeed, would politicians of all persuasions and this is often explicitly reflected in policies which claim to be, for example, *an engine for social justice and equality of opportunity* (DfES, 2006, page 1e). That so many people, often with extremely polarised views, claim a belief in social justice reflects the fact that it is a confused and debated notion, which has no clear definition but is open to many different interpretations and meanings.

Among some of the key authors on this subject, Minogue (1998, page 234) has described it as a *family of ideas* and an *abstract universal*, while MacIntyre (1981, page 234) refers to an *older moral tradition* but also refers to *rival traditions* (page 235), illustrating the conflicting views of the meaning of social justice. Here we explore some of the origins, interpretations and definitions of social justice prior to considering them in the context of equality and inequality in education. The notion of justice, as we understand it in a Western tradition, is ancient and is rooted in both the morality of the early Greek philosophers and ancient Judaeo-Christian texts. Plato and Aristotle, the ancient Greek philosophers, were among the first to debate the notion of justice. They regarded it as a form of morality: in Plato's writings one definition refers to *telling the truth and paying one's debts* (Lee, 1955, page 3). Similarly, early Biblical references to the notion of justice are also related to morality as well as to the concept of *righteousness* (e.g. Amos 5:24). Later, the idea of reciprocity was introduced, as in New Testament teaching that *If any would not work, neither should he eat* (2 Thessalonians 3:10). This notion later appears in work by the leading enlightenment philosopher David Hume (1740: III ii 2, page 318) and, more recently, in work by Minogue (1998, page 258). These notions eventually led to the concepts of deserving and undeserving poor, which originated in Victorian times and which continue to be debated today. Since ancient times, therefore, people have debated exactly what it means to act in a moral way, and what is just or fair and it is from these debates that contemporary ideas around social justice in both education and wider society have developed. Because it is a debated concept, many writers, from Socrates (born 469BC) to more contemporary authors such as Griffiths (2003) advocate a *dialogic* approach to the development of social justice. By this, they mean debating and agreeing ways forward to create a more just and equitable society.

The notion of social justice has particular resonance in education, where there is evidence of significant inequality in terms of the opportunity to access more elite forms of education, which result in greater opportunities well beyond formal education in terms of potential employability and level of income. For this reason, many academics writing about education draw on concepts of social justice. Notable among these is Pierre Bourdieu (see Chapter 3). In addition to academics and those working in education, politicians of all parties have drawn on concepts of social justice as a means of justifying particular policies, particularly those in relation to health and welfare as well as education, since these

are the three key areas where there are particular concerns around in/equalities. In England and Wales, for example, more elite forms of education such as public and grammar schools as well as universities, have traditionally been available only to the more affluent or middle class in society and this has led to a situation where whole groups (such as those from lower socio-economic groups or from particular ethnic minorities) have been under-represented in higher education. The widening participation agenda initiated by New Labour sought to address this by including traditionally under-represented groups. However, the agenda has been criticised. In summary, many of the arguments both for and against widening participation use social justice as a form of justification, arguing that certain policies or strategies will either promote social justice or should be abandoned because they are contrary to social justice.

What follows is a summary of some of these debates: it is up to you to decide which arguments are most compelling, and which seem supported with the clearest evidence.

## Widening participation: debates for and against

This section begins with a reflective task, designed to help you to consider the merits and weaknesses of each of the debates explored here.

### REFLECTIVE TASK

Is it possible to claim that a policy or strategy is socially just if social justice is such a debated concept? Think about your answer and make notes on it. You could also discuss it with a peer or a mentor. Come back to them when you have finished reading the chapter and see whether your ideas have changed.

First, there is the economic argument that the benefits of HE have been exaggerated and that the outcomes for individuals, in terms of potential earnings and job security, are not as significant as politicians suggest. Related to this is the economic argument that 'upward mobility' among large numbers of the population requires an increase in 'middle-class jobs'. While this has happened historically (notably during reconstruction following the Second World War), this is not the case during the current economic crisis. Related to this is the argument – sometimes called elitist – which suggests that to become more competitive the country does not need large numbers of graduates, but rather large numbers of people with highly developed craft and technical skills, such as plumbers or electricians.

Another debate around widening participation has related to those students who access HE through a vocational education route, rather than by taking the more traditional A levels. Some researchers have suggested that students who progress to HE from vocational programmes as a part of the widening participation initiatives tend to experience more academic difficulties in HE. For example, Lawson (2000) in his study of new engineering undergraduates found that A level students, irrespective of grades, had better basic mathematical skills than those holding vocational qualifications, and

other research (e.g. see Hoelscher et al., 2008, page 139 and Hoelscher and Hayward, 2008, page 20) has suggested that students with combinations of vocational and academic qualifications are more likely to access HE successfully than those holding only vocational qualifications. Clearly, this research has implications for college-based higher education, which is primarily vocational, in terms of ensuring that appropriate academic support mechanisms are in place to enable students to develop the skills and understandings necessary for success in HE. Since this is expensive, there is a financial implication for colleges, in terms of the provision of intensive academic support as well as the related organisational and academic challenges for both teaching and support staff.

Other arguments have suggested that while HE can provide many advantages to those who access it, the widening participation agenda itself is essentially flawed. For example, research conducted as part of the major Teaching and Learning Research Project (TLRP) suggested that confining policy initiatives to HE would not improve participation because:

*...much of the debate on access to higher education is based on inaccurate assumptions. Centuries of preferential male access to university have now eroded, and most students are female. Many, too, are from ethnic minorities, while young white men from poorer families are among the least likely to experience higher education. This research has proved that if we allow for the different performance at school of people from varying social backgrounds, they are equally likely to go to university. The policy implication is clear. Improving primary and secondary schools for all is the route to improved participation in higher education.*

(TLRP, 2008, page 2)

Other arguments which make the case for a flawed process include the contention that higher education institutions (HEIs) are pressured into taking increasing numbers of widening participation students, because they are judged on their commitment to widening participation via the university league tables. The league tables ascribe a 'grade' to institutions based on the numbers of students they do, or do not admit, who fall into the widening participation cohort. This argument goes on to suggest that if HEIs are required to prioritise students perceived to be from certain social groups, this will disadvantage students from social groups which are not prioritised, as there will be fewer places for them to access both generally and at specific institutions.

Finally, there are ongoing arguments about the extent to which the widening participation agenda has been successful in achieving a more meritocratic education system. Some of these arguments suggest that participation has been *increased* but not *widened*, because what has actually happened is that more middle-class young people have been encouraged to apply to universities as a consequence of the agenda, while applications from traditionally under-represented groups have remained relatively unchanged. However, the data on this is open to interpretation and it is equally possible to make a case saying that the agenda has been successful in attracting increasing numbers of students from traditionally under-represented backgrounds into HE.