FROM THE BALLFIELD TO THE BOARDROOM

Management Lessons from Sports

Brian Goff







FROM THE BALLFIELD TO THE BOARDROOM Management Lessons from Sports

Brian Goff



Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Goff, Brian L.

From the ballfield to the boardroom : management lessons from sports / Brian Goff.p. cm.Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-275-98517-2 (alk, paper)

1. Management. 2. Sports administration. I. Title. HD31.G588 2005 658---dc22 2004028091

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data is available.

Copyright © 2005 by Brian Goff

All rights reserved. No portion of this book may be reproduced, by any process or technique, without the express written consent of the publisher.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2004028091 ISBN: 0–275–98517–2

First published in 2005

Praeger Publishers, 88 Post Road West, Westport, CT 06881 An imprint of Greenwood Publishing Group, Inc. www.praeger.com

Printed in the United States of America



The paper used in this book complies with the Permanent Paper Standard issued by the National Information Standards Organization (Z39.48–1984).

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

For my uncle, James Bell

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

Tables and Figures ix

Preface xi

- 1. Sports and Business: Is Sport Business or Is Business Sport? 1
- 2. Managing Fundamentals: Benching a Hall of Fame Pitcher 19
- 3. Managing Markets: NASCAR and Southwest Airlines? 45
- 4. Managing People: The Men Behind Dean Smith and Bobby Knight 77
- 5. Managing Information: Mike Krzyzewski as CIO 109
- 6. Managing Games within Games: Do Baseball Managers Have Beautiful Minds? 135
- 7. Managing Innovation and Change: Branch Rickey Meets Machiavelli 157
- 8. Managing versus Meddling: Andy North Learned Not to Be Too Perfect 181
- 9. Managing and Leading: Parcells to Gibbs and In-Between 201

Notes 223

Selected Bibliography 233

Index 237

This page intentionally left blank

Tables and Figures

TABLES

- 2.1 Babe Ruth as a Hitter and Pitcher 25
- 4.1 Future NBA Games for NCAA Champion and Runner-Up, 1964–1989 81
- **4.2** Final Four Appearances and Number of Future NBA Players of Selected NCAA Coaches 82
- **4.3** NCAA Teams with the Most Future NBA Games Not Winning Championship 90
- 5.1 Offensive and Defensive Ranking of Super Bowl Teams Since 1970 129
- 5.2 Summary Season Outcome for Top-Rated NFC and AFC Offenses and Defenses by Decade 130
- 5.3 Performance of NFL's Top 10 Defenses and Offenses Since 1970 132
- 6.1 Simultaneous Game with Dominant Strategy 141
- 6.2 Simultaneous Game with Dominant Strategy 142
- 6.3 Simultaneous Game with Mixed Strategy 143
- 7.1 Scotty Bowman's Career Highlights 160
- 7.2 Final Four Teams with Prominent Black Players, 1955–1965 167

FIGURES

- 2.1 Increasing Likelihood of Winning by Using Riskier Strategy 37
- 3.1 Annual Revenue from Television Contracts 46
- 3.2 Annual Television Fees for NCAA Tournament 61
- **3.3** Percentage of Pennants Won by Teams from New York or Los Angeles by Decade 64
- 3.4 Attendance per MLB Team, 1945–1999 65
- 3.5 Television Revenue per Live Performance 74
- 6.1 A Strategy Game within a Baseball Game 136
- 6.2 Finding the Best Combination of Pitches 144
- 7.1 Dispersion of the "West Coast" Offense in the NFL 163
- 7.2 Percentage of Blacks on MLB Teams, 1947–1971 175
- 8.1 Early and Late Career Performance for Longtime NFL Coaches 199

Preface

Economists have long studied companies, but for decades nearly all of their energy was devoted to examining topics such as pricing behavior, antitrust policy, and industry-level comparisons. What went on inside of firms was of secondary interest to what came out of them such as profits, market share, and industry concentration. Starting in the 1960s, attention slowly began to turn to a better understanding of decision making within organizations. At first, this work took place in isolated pockets, appearing in separate journal articles and pieces of analysis disconnected from each other. Labor economists looked at incentives. Financial economists along with mathematical theorists looked at uncertainty, risk, and information. Political economists developed models and statistical studies related to legislative and bureaucratic organizations. Game theorists looked at strategic situations. Researchers in developing areas such as law and economics and newly forming areas of "organizational" economics considered corporate governance structures, contracts, transactions costs, and other topics. Over time, these separate pieces of organizational economics began to be integrated with each other so that books for studying organizations and management from economic perspectives started to emerge.

The same kinds of developments have occurred in the economic study of sports. From the 1950s through the 1970s, a few landmark studies cropped up such as Simon Rottemberg's examination of free agency and Gerald Scully's statistical investigation of value of Major League Baseball (MLB) players to their teams. During the 1980s and 1990s, the number of studies of sporting activities and leagues rose dramatically including topics such as racial discrimination, the NCAA, sports stadiums, and many others so that now the field supports specialized journals and textbooks.

This book is an outgrowth of these same kinds of developments and integration of concepts and interests on a personal level. My specializations during graduate training in economics in the early to mid 1980s focused primarily on the industry-level perspective of company behavior along with an adjoining emphasis on political economy and applied statistics. As my career developed, opportunities to apply some of these ideas to sports arose including a book about the NCAA that I authored with Trey Fleisher and Bob Tollison as well as a book making application of economics and statistics to sports topics that I edited with Bob Tollison. At the same time as my interests in sports economics grew, my background in the study of political organizations opened up into a broader interest in organizational decision making and structure. My involvement with the reemerging MBA program at my institution helped spur these interests. Soon, synergy built between my research and teaching interests in sports economics and the economics of organizations. I published some of these ideas in articles but began to envision a book that would permit a wider array of ideas to be considered and integrate more thoroughly the two than could be done in a few journal articles.

The volume that I have put together here differs from the existing studies of sports economics in its perspective. Rather than a study of the typical topics of interest for sports economists—pricing policies, stadium financing, antitrust problems, accounting issues, and the like—I have directed my attention toward managerial and organizational issues surrounding sports. As I discuss in Chapter 1, this is a book that is both about management and about sports and can be taken as one teaching management lessons with sports illustrations or sports lessons with management illustrations.

Readers that have an interested in exploring the background material for the concepts from organizational and managerial economics can turn to several helpful sources. These range from works that are relatively accessible to beginners to those that are probably best read as supplements to an MBA course to those that require extensive grounding in economics, finance, statistics, or mathematics. The more accessible books include *Executive Economics: Ten Essential Tools for Managers* by Shlomo Maital of the MIT Sloan School of Business, *Managerial Economics and Organization Architecture* by James Brickley, Clifford Smith, and Jerold Zimmerman of the Simon School of Business at the University of Rochester, and *Thinking Strategically* by Avinash Dixit and Barry Nalebuff from Yale's Business School. Books that require more of a background in economics include *The Economics of Strategy* by David Besanko, David Dranove, and Mark Shanley of Northwestern's Kellogg Business School and *Economics, Organizations, and Management* by Paul Milgrom and John Roberts of the Stanford University Graduate School of Business. The two most comprehensive studies of the economics of sports are by Rodney Fort (*Sports Economics*) and Michael Leeds and Peter von Allmen (*The Economics of Sports*).

Many people have contributed to this book in a variety of ways. My editor at Praeger, Nick Philipson, has improved the final product in many ways with his suggestions. Countless conversations about sports-related issues with several colleagues at Western Kentucky University have broadened my knowledge and spurred my thinking over the years. I would especially thank Mel Borland, Richard Cantrell, Bill Davis, Roy Howsen, Bob Pulsinelli, Lou Turley, Reed Vesey, and Tom Wisely for these conversations as well as helping me on specific issues and for looking over outlines and ideas. Also, my lunchtime running partners over the years, Dean Iordan. Brent Baker, and Lawrence Kelly have augmented and endured my thinking. My mentor and coauthor on many projects, Bob Tollison, looked over early outlines of this project and has contributed helpful comments along the way. My former department head, John Wassom, and current department head, Bill Davis, along with the Dean of the Ford College of Business at Western Kentucky University, Bob Jefferson, have been supportive of this and other ventures on my part in a variety of ways. The MBA students who enrolled in my Sports & Managers course during the Spring of 2003 and suffered through using a rough draft of the manuscript deserve credit. Their comments and contributions in class filled in some of the gaps and helped me polish off some sections. Finally, the consistent love, stability, and encouragement of my wife and daughters have helped in ways that go beyond expression here.

This page intentionally left blank

D Sports and Business: Is Sport Business or Is Business Sport?

The secret to winning is constant, consistent management.

-Tom Landry, former NFL Head Coach

[Red Auerbach] wasn't just talking basketball. He was talking about any enterprise where people are making products, where they have to compete, where they have to win.

-Lee Iacocca, former Chrysler CEO

Sports creates a diversion away from the other aspects of life. Whether playing a game or watching one, these events supply entertainment. Beyond the attention devoted to the playing of games and beyond the entertainment value of the games, sports permeates the culture in all kinds of ways. Children as well as adults engage in all kinds of competitive settings where objectives are identified, boundaries are set, order of play is identified, and so on. In many ways, these games of play mirror and are mirrored by competitive activities in nonsporting settings such as everyday business.

The lack of understanding of this visceral appeal of sports has led many to underestimate its influence and value. For instance, the famous sportscaster, Howard Cosell, derisively referred to sports as the "toy department" of life and sought to move beyond sports and into more serious broadcasting jobs his whole life. Sports grips American culture in a way that far exceeds the amount of money spent by fans attending games or by the dollars that advertisers pay for time during telecasts. Even when these dollars include merchandising revenues tied directly to professional sports teams or indirectly to colleges and universities because of their athletics programs, the monetary transactions related to sporting events fail to fully capture the scope and influence of sports in the United States. Every day, millions of office and break-time conversations center on the local team's most recent performance, an upcoming game, the impending draft, the current coach, or the future coach. Still, these conversations only begin to scratch the surface of the time devoted to sports-oriented topics. Daily, millions of people pour over televised, print, and online media sources to check out game outcomes, interviews, and opinions—not to mention the money and time spent on sports gambling or managing "fantasy" teams.

Just as other aspects of American culture, business is heavily influenced by sports. The vocabulary of sports and business share many terms in common such as competition, rival, teamwork, strategy, efficiency, production, and others that are just as easily used in one setting as the other. As Green Packer Hall of Famer, Jerry Kramer, noted in his reflections on his playing days, the legendary coach, Vince Lombardi, "compared the Packers to a large corporation, like GM, IBM, or Chrysler."¹ Lombardi's viewpoint concerning the overlap of sports and business management not only expressed his own opinion but that of many corporate leaders who shared his view of the intimate connection between coaching and managing a corporate enterprise. During the Green Bay Packers dynasty of the late 1950s and 1960s, Lombardi's popularity as speaker before top industry leaders soared. His presentations ventured well beyond the specifics of football strategy into general advice about leadership, motivation, personnel relations, and other matters related to managing a business.

Other coaches and sports figures preceded Lombardi and a truckload have followed him into the field of management consulting. For instance, John Wooden, who supervised the UCLA dominance of college basketball from the mid 1960s to the mid 1970s, made many speaking appearances before business groups but had to turn away many others because of time constraints. In more recent years, long-time football coach Lou Holtz's straight talk and one-liners have made him popular on the business speaking circuit.

In addition to speaking appearances, successful coaches, general managers, and players now routinely publish their wisdom concerning various aspects of management. For example, Holtz authored *Winning Every Day: The Game Plan for Success* as well as other similar titles. Joe Torre, the popular manager of the New York Yankees, authored Joe Torre's Ground *Rules for Winning: 12 Keys to Managing Team Players, Tough Bosses, Setbacks, and Success.* Duke University basketball coach Mike Krzyzewski offered the lessons of his experiences in *Leading with the Heart: Coach K's Successful Strategies for Basketball, Business, and Life.* Bill Russell, the legendary player for the Celtics who also served as a coach and general manager, offered Russell Rules: Eleven Lessons on Leadership from the Twentieth Century's Greatest Winner. His former coach, Red Auerbach, authored MBA: Management by Auerbach: Management Tips from the Leader of One of America's Most Successful Organizations. This list could go on and on.

In fact, the acceptance of coach-as-management-expert has become so widespread that the *Harvard Business Review* chose (then) retired NFL coach Bill Parcells to write the first article for a new department where coaches, religious leaders, or scientists could publish ideas pertinent for business executives. His article, "The Hard Work of Turning Around a Team," does not exactly equate football with business but finds many similarities. He says, "My guess is that the challenges I've faced are not all that different from the ones that executives deal with every day. I'm not saying that business is like football. I am saying that people are people, and that the keys to motivating them and getting them to perform to their full potential are pretty much the same whether they're playing on a football field or working in an office."²

The quotation from Bill Parcells runs parallel to the theme of this book. Whatever the title—chief executive officer, plant manager, district supervisor, coach, general manager, or other—the similarities between managing a sports team and managing any other business run wide and deep. The success of managers in most any endeavor depends on their ability to acquire and use resources, adjust to changing market conditions, gauge the actions and reactions of rivals, develop or imitate new methods of production, and hire, motivate, and empower people. At least so far as these common aspects are concerned, management is management whether the decisions are made in a corporate suite overlooking New York City, in a manufacturing plant in Bowling Green Kentucky, on a practice field at Redskins Park in Northern Virginia, on the court at Duke's Cameron Indoor Stadium, on the "frozen tundra" of Lambeau Field in Green Bay, or in front of the centerfield monuments of Yankee Stadium.

LEARNING THE RIGHT LESSONS

Casey Stengel, a longtime baseball manager known for great one-liners said, "Good pitching will always stop good hitting, and vice-versa." No doubt, the inconsistency in Stengel's proverb was there to obtain a laugh, but it happens to express a big question mark in the study of management whether in athletics or business. How can the lessons of successful management be determined whether the focus is on business in general or in sports managing and coaching? For the coaches and managers who offer their advice in seminars and books, the primary method they use is simply to look back at their careers and attempt to sort out the most important influences behind their successes and failures. Ultimately, the composition of their lists depends on the seat-of-the-pants assessment of the person doing the writing. This is the way that Joe Torre finds his "12 keys" to successful management. Bill Parcells lists four or five major factors in accomplishing the task of turning around a team. John Wooden organized his ideas in a pyramid founded by five basic building blocks with four levels of blocks above that base. Vince Lombardi listed three essentials.

Not only do the number of "essential" or "key" factors differ, but sometimes the "lessons learned" and the advice given by successful people may even stand in direct conflict with each other. For example, Earl Weaver, one of the most successful baseball managers of all time with the Baltimore Orioles, made it a point to distance himself from his players to the point of rarely speaking with them. To put it in his own words, "A manager should stay as far away as possible from his players. I don't know if I said ten words to Frank Robinson while he played for me." In contrast, many other highly successful coaches such as baseball manager Joe Torre and basketball coach Mike Krzyzewski place open and clear communication with players as one of their most important keys to success. Some coaches, such as football's Bill Parcells and basketball's Bob Knight rely heavily on direct and even mean-spirited confrontation to try to pressure players into better performances, while others such as basketball's John Wooden consider such methods useless or even childish.

Sometimes, when such diversity is pointed out, the phrase "each coach must do what works best for them" crops up as the reply. If carried to it logical limit, such a conclusion would seemingly imply that nothing can really be learned about successful managing—it is totally personal in nature. While most students of management or leadership would agree that there are nontransferable attributes that likely enter into effective management, they do not make up the sum. Some lessons can be learned, but how?

The attempts by sports figures at ferreting out the main components of managerial success bear a strong resemblance to "behavioral" approaches or closely aligned "case study" approaches used to study management in many academic settings. In behavioral approaches, a business analyst might spend time in corporate suites observing the activities of the executives or might interview or survey executives regarding their decisions. The thrust of such research is to steer away from esoteric theories and opinions about managerial activities and get down to the brass tacks of what executives actually think and do.

Similarly, in MBA programs where case studies are the primary peda-

gogical vehicle, detailed accounts and histories are compiled on successful and unsuccessful companies and particular episodes for companies. Instructors and students use these cases as the basis for examining patterns and tendencies that led to success or failure. For instance, through the 1980s and 1990s, companies such as Microsoft, FedEx, Staples, MCI, Home Depot, and others showed tremendous growth in earnings, market share, employment, and other performance measures. As a result, students intensely studied them in management education cases. During the 1960s and 1970s, companies such as General Electric and IBM attracted enormous academic attention for the same reason. In essence, such case studies fit neatly under the "behavioral" approach heading in that they emphasize the concrete behavior of companies and managers as the basis for understanding management practice.

Behavioral methods for determining and outlining the basis of successful management practice are naturally appealing. They are realistic rather than hypothetical, concrete rather than abstract. They draw from experiences of real people and real companies and speak in the language of realworld practices. So, why not rely on the wisdom attained from people or companies such as Mike Krzyzewski, Lou Holtz, Microsoft, or Staples as templates to imitate? After all, "experience is the best teacher" as the proverb goes. Even further, management education is filled with this kind of learning-by-observing or learning-by-asking approach. Management advisors and analysts such as Peter Drucker and Tom Peters have gained worldwide reputations using these kinds of methods. Peters, in particular, has rejected the highly organized, "rational" study of management in his books In Search of Excellence and Thriving on Chaos. His basic tenet is to study what managers do and then adopt or adapt whatever works.³ Among academics, Henry Mintzberg has been one of the most consistent and ardent advocates of this viewpoint.4

As appealing and seemingly straightforward as learning by simple observation or experiences of others may seem, it suffers from severe limitations. The difficulty in attempting to figure out meaningful lessons about successful management solely from experiences drawn from coaches, teams, executives, or companies rests in separating the truly general lessons that need to be learned from those that masquerade as general lessons but are not. How does a person really assess whether the critical factors to Joe Torre's success with the Yankees were really the "12 keys" that he identified? Possibly of these twelve, only half are genuinely important. Alternatively, maybe Torre overlooked two or three key ingredients that he used but did not fully appreciate. Sports sections of newspapers and magazines along with radio and TV talk shows are literally filled with analysis and commentary about why a particular coach or team was or was not successful. Looking for intersection of ideas across several successful coaches is not really a satisfying solution either. Vince Lombardi's "Trinity" of "repetition, confidence, and passion" do not readily fold into John Wooden's pyramid incorporating fifteen items.

These same kinds of difficulties arise when the unit of study is broadened beyond the philosophies of particular coaches. For example, the NFL currently serves as the en vogue model of sports league success. By contrast, Major League Baseball has been held up as the case study of bad management. After all, the NFL has been experiencing robust growth in revenues in recent years while MLB has been experiencing stagnant viewership and other problems. Critics and analysts of MLB's business problems frequently use the NFL as a guidepost. Just as with individual coaching philosophies, such lessons are enticing but are likely flawed. Possibly, the NFL's financial situation owes itself more to the sports consumer's appetite for their product than for the specifics of how the league has arranged interteam or player-team finances. "Common sense" observation of current NFL practices may end up highlighting the trivial and passing over the genuinely important points.

These points have been applied in a variety of ways ranging from Scottish philopher David Hume in the eighteenth century down to economist Robert Lucas and psychologist Daniel Kahneman, both Nobel Laureates, in more recent times. They apply equally as well to management. There is a lot of "stuff" going on within an organization as well as beyond its borders that has implications for the organization's success. In a country, millions of people interact with each other and with thousands of companies. In companies, hundreds or thousands of employers and managers interact with each other and serve hundreds, thousands or millions of customers. Picking out the right lessons by simply observing behavior or studying ten or twenty cases is next to impossible because of the overwhelming amount of information and the complex interdependence of factors. A team or business can be organized in a number of different ways. Many different people and players can be employed and then utilized in multiple combinations. Managers can usually pick from a wide array of strategies. They can set up a variety of compensation schemes and interact with employees in numerous ways-sometimes with obvious differences, sometimes with subtle differences. Sports teams and most businesses are just too much of a jumbled-up collection of facts to make accurate generalizations solely based on hunches and observations drawn from experience.

The flaw in an observation-alone or case study-alone approach to sifting out the key ingredients of managerial success has been illustrated time and time again. For instance, IBM became the object of intensive study at business schools during the 1970s because of its long-running success. Basing conclusions on IBM's practices, observers tended to emphasize the importance of hierarchical organizational structure and even formal attire among other keys to their success. At the time, few, if any, predicted or warned students and readers about the upcoming problems IBM would face in the 1980s and 1990s. Moreover, some of the very policies that had been vaunted as the keys to IBM's success, such as their organizational structure, now began to be seen as factors in their demise.⁵ Every few years, the reigning management gurus turn over and a new set of ideas become the prevailing slogans. Even ideas that are sound become adopted and twisted into little more than buzzwords thrown around by hustlers of management advice. Over just the past few decades, "management-by-objective," "total quality management," "six sigma," "strategic management," "mission-oriented," "benchmarking," and many others have undergone these kinds of transformations.⁶

Such flip-flopping, though, is not uncommon when hunches drawn from experience and anecdote are the primary or sole bases used to try to determine successful management policies. Using coaches, their practices, and their advice has led to the same kinds of failures. Based on the success and popularity of coaches such as Vince Lombardi with the Packers or Bear Bryant with Alabama in the 1950s and 1960s, a generation of coaches imitated the tough-as-nails discipline of players. Lombardi himself helped to promote the focus on discipline because he viewed the discipline-intensive aspects as critical to his success. Yet, many coaches who emulated his intensive methods failed and sometimes failed miserably. In contrast, other coaches who ignored the Lombardi trends and developed a much less restrictive style, such as Oakland's John Madden, enjoyed tremendous success. Even today, many coaches still subscribe to the view that the extreme methods of Lombardi are the key to success even though many successful coaches have not used them and many unsuccessful coaches have.

The same thing is true when observing the practices of famous managers. People such as Alfred Sloan, legendary CEO of General Motors, and latterday managerial whiz, Tom Peters, are at different ends of the spectrum in the kinds of advice they have drawn from their experiences. Sloan preached a highly rational, scientific approach to the analysis and practice of management. Peters promoted a looser, "management by walking around" philosophy. People such as Microsoft's Bill Gates and General Electric's Jack Welch fall somewhere in between these two extremes but tend to draw out principles that are unique to them or at least stated in somewhat unique terms.

Does this mean that experiences and anecdotes are useless in the study of management practice? No, it does not. The lesson is that observation and experience need to be kept in their proper place. Drawing from the experiences of managers and constructing case histories is a tremendous teaching tool. Cases offer illustrations that can open a listener's or reader's mind up to an important principle but they are not usually the best means of finding the right principles.

A second purpose for case histories and experience is that they serve as a means to initiate discussion and further study. The views of Joe Torre, Mike Krzyzewski, or some other sports figure, about their own success are not without merit. Whether listening to them or observing their practices, many interesting ideas can be gleaned. Further, rather than just taking the experiences of a single coach, it can be instructive to look for similarities in the methods used by different coaches, all of whom have experienced long term success. This provides an especially rich basis from which to speculate about the factors that are important. In this book, the cases and illustrations drawn from sports are put to both of these purposes.

If experience alone does not provide a basis for making reliable generalizations about sound management, coaching, or leadership practices, then what does? Unfortunately, for those looking for quick answers and singlepage memos for success, the answer is a lot of hard work and clear thinking that includes careful simplification; meticulous logic along with extensive analysis of data.7 This kind of work is not sexy. It does not make for light reading. It is not accomplished through merely carefree reflections and ruminations. It is not based on a "just-give-me-the-facts" mentality. In short, it is not the kind of work that tends to make for a lot of media attention or finds its way to bestseller lists. Instead, it requires long and tedious labor. It requires the ability to step back from some of the details of a problem or decision in order to partition the problem into digestible chunks. While different in its details, the methods necessary are not very different from those required to make advances in science and medicine. The ultimate goal is to distinguish the principles that are truly general in nature from those that may or may not be critical to success.

The difficulty involved in investigating the principles behind sound management in rigorous and sometimes abstract ways is one of the reasons why disciplines related to the analytical study of management—statistics, operations research, accounting, economics, and finance—are often not the most popular with students. Nonetheless, foundational principles built on clearly worked-out analytical principles provide a superstructure for understanding the world much in the same way that a foundation and frame provides the support and structure for a house. Over the last forty years or so, there has been a growing body of management principles that have been drawn from this kind of slow and rigorous process into a body of knowledge. This body of knowledge is incomplete and always in a state of flux. Nonetheless, it furnishes the backbone of this book.

THE LIMITS OF THE SPORTS-BUSINESS CONNECTION

While supplying a rich background in which to illustrate and consider managerial decisions, sporting environments have their limitations. For one, just as with any particular industry, leadership in sports contains idiosyncracies and trade-specific characteristics. Even among sports teams, not all managers face the same problems. College coaches, for instance, do not face the issue of how to fit players' salaries within limits imposed by owner or the league. College coaches deal with players who, by and large, are not as financially secure as most professional athletes. Because of this, they possess a degree of authority above their professional counterparts. Likewise, among professional coaches, with a roster of fifty players, an NFL coach can more easily release a player, even a good player, than can an NBA coach with a twelve-man roster.

Second, sports organizations are not large and complex. Even the largest sports teams pale in comparison to even medium-sized companies, much less national and multi-national firms. Players, coaches, front office personnel, and support staff for a football team would number under one hundred while the number of employees in a small to medium-sized company might number in the hundreds and few thousand. Large companies such as GM or GE employ over 100,000 people.

While this relative smallness supplies an advantage in that it allows sports teams to be studied in great detail, it also limits some of the issues that can be addressed. Beyond merely writing additional paychecks, additional problems are faced by managers as the size of the organization grows. Questions as to how to best organize a company into units and subunits become much more important and complex. A sports team might have to decide whether to roll the functions of GM and coach into a single person's hands or divide them between two individuals. Over time, the number of positional coaches and their responsibilities might change in football or similar organizational issues may arise in other sports. For a company with hundreds or thousands of employees located at several different locations and possibly producing a variety of goods or services to be sold in multiple markets, the structure of the company and the division of decisionmaking authority within the company becomes a critical matter.

Third, human relation issues often dominate sports organizations. People are important in practically in organization. One might even claim that people are the most important resource in any organization. Still, it is obvious that production in sports environments is highly labor intensive. Therefore, a large chunk of the critical decisions faced by sports managers revolve around personnel decisions—large even in comparison with many other organizations. Not surprisingly, the advice offered in nearly all of the coaches' books on managing and leadership steers heavily toward various aspects of dealing with people, such as how to motivate people. Without denying the importance of people to any organization's success, decisions regarding equipment, tools, structures, and other nonhuman capital are important in their own right. A sports-oriented approach will not go a long way in illustrating decisions in these areas.

Fourth, the culture surrounding sports teams in many ways differs markedly from the culture within most other organizations. The term "culture" here is used with regard to intangible sets of customs, rituals, expectations, and taboos present. Even the U.S. Supreme Court has recognized these cultural differences. In a case determining the definition and scope of sexual harassment, one Justice noted that the same action, such as a pat on the rear end, would be viewed quite differently depending on whether a coach delivered it to a player on the practice field versus if a manager delivered it to a secretary in an office whether the secretary were male or female.

Historically, sports teams, especially with regard to player-coach relationships, have exhibited a culture more like military and paramilitary organizations than like most business or political organizations. Coaches have held autocratic power more akin to that of a drill sergeant than a business manager. What the coach says goes, at least for the most part. Dissent is met with punishment or dismissal. The use of verbal intimidation has been rampant, and physical abuse occasionally occurs as well. This culture has slowly been evolving to look a bit more like the rest of the private sector. This is a subject considered more in Chapter 4. Still, attending a practice or a listening to a timeout huddle or halftime talk can quickly illustrate the fact that the sports culture in America differs considerably from most other organizational cultures.

Because of these differences, some of the tools and methods available to coaches are just not available to the same degree to all managers whether for the better or worse. Sometimes, in reading and listening to the coachesturned-consultants, one is struck by the fact that many coaches do not appear to grasp these cultural differences, or if they do, they think they can be waived off. For instance, Bill Parcells advises, "The only way to change people is to tell them in the clearest possible terms what they're doing wrong. And if they don't want to listen, they don't belong on the team."⁸ While there may be some truth in his view, not many managers have the ability to place an employee on waivers. Sole proprietors may have similar powers or a CEO may be able to determine the team of highest level executives, but few managers wield the hire/fire power of a sports coach or general manager.

A final obstacle in making use of management lessons from sports is that

developing managerial skill is not merely a matter of digesting information or learning a particular set of strategies or tactics. Whether for the CEO of a large financial institution or the manager of a major league baseball team, the practice of management steers a course between art and science. Whether based on an analytical approach or some other approach, successful management cannot be totally reduced to a set of formulas and passed from one person to another. In this respect, consultants such as Tom Peters or academics such as Henry Mintzberg are on the mark. While they may carry the point too far, no amount of study of the brush strokes and use of color of Rembrandt will manufacture a painter equal to the Dutch master. Even painters of great skill who may imitate Rembrandt's methods cannot fully incorporate and imitate the creativity that made him a renowned painter. In the same way, becoming a skillful coach requires more than merely serving an apprenticeship under a highly successful coach. Developing into a successful CEO requires more than matriculating through a top-flight MBA program or reading the biography of a corporate magnate. The skillful use of "heuristics"-rules-of-thumb and other mental shortcuts—is valuable in solving all kinds of messy, complex problems. In fact, a small but growing field is emerging that considers such methods. The difficulty is using heuristics without overusing or abusing them.⁹

SPORT AS MANAGEMENT OR MANAGEMENT AS SPORT?

Is this a book about sports or a book about management? The answer is both. In its specifics, this volume is a sports book through and through. The following chapters are filled with examples drawn from sporting events and related episodes involving players, teams, coaches, executives, and leagues. Sports fans who are interested in evaluating the decisions made by players, coaches, and general managers of sports franchises should find the material of interest.

On the other hand, this volume is a management book. While it is certainly not a management textbook in the usual sense, every chapter in the book and every section within these chapters covers topics that transcend sports venues. At its core, the organization of the book centers around common issues and problems faced by managers regardless of setting. As a reader for current or aspiring managers or as a supplement to traditional textbooks, the following pages offer an opportunity for individuals who combine an interest in management with the fun of sports to explore important management lessons. Not every conceivable management topic is included. Some fit with the sports emphasis better than others. Still, the breadth of issues included is considerable.

Probably more analogies have been drawn between settings such as war

to either sports or business than between business and sports. Coaches have long borrowed phrases with military overtones such as "it's time to go to war" or "you've got to take the fight to them." Even sports nicknames follow this trend on occasion. Long-time Indiana University basketball coach Bob Knight earned the title of "The General." Baseball manager Gene Mauch came to be known as "The Little General." Likewise, many managers and management educators have dipped into not only military terminology but into outright transfer of military concepts. The book, *The Art of War*, based its prescriptions on the writings of a Chinese general from antiquity. In spite of these common analogies, sports and everyday management bear a much closer relationship to each other than either does to warfare.

A case can be made, however, that the relationship between sports and business extends beyond mere analogy. Near the opening of this chapter, the point was made that rather than the "sport as a metaphor for life" idea, a more accurate rendering would be "sport is life." Sport, play, and the terms and phrases that go along with it pervade nearly all of society. Just as sports and everyday activities share much in common, sports competitions and everyday business settings share much in common in terms of the situations that the decision makers face-whether these decision makers are coaches, players, general managers, corporate executives, or laborers. These scaled-down versions of life exhibit highly observable versions of many of the same things that go on in business and life.¹⁰ Yes, baseball may mimic life in some of its features, but other sports fill in many of the holes. For example, because football and basketball involve teamwork and strategy to a much greater extent than baseball, it provides more material from which to study these elements of managing and other elements such as new technologies.

LESSONS FROM ON AND OFF THE FIELD

The relationship between sports and managing can be described as ironic maybe even schizophrenic. Established, successful coaches usually become national celebrities as recognizable to most people on the street as any national politician or Hollywood star. For instance, Vince Lombardi and Tom Landry would have likely been picked out by the man-on-the-street as readily as the President of the United States. As noted earlier in the chapter, the advice of these coaching celebrities may be sought out not only on sportsrelated matters but on varied aspects of management in general and many other matters as well. As their tenure lengthens, they sometimes evolve into the role of coach-statesman where even their philosophies on life in general became highly valued. In fact in a few instances such as Bear Bryant, the adulation rises to near worship, at least within the state of Alabama, so that whatever opinion the coach voiced, regardless of topic, becomes revered as holy writ to the devoted fan. Once a coach finds success and becomes established as a public figure, even diminished success is tolerated to a large degree. Fans and media may offer criticisms, but these remarks tend to be offered with respect, and at times, sympathy. Tom Landry's last couple of seasons in Dallas or Marty Schottenheimer's struggles in the first part of the season in Washington offer two cases in point.

On the other hand, "front office" personnel in sports—owners and to a lesser extent general managers—frequently typify the villain to reporters and fans. The names of Art Modell, Jerry Jones, Daniel Snyder, Jerry Krause, and many others are frequently accompanied by unflattering if not outright venomous remarks. The irony is that the same people who hang on each utterance of a successful coach roll their eyes at or snidely pass off statements and decisions made by owners, whether old-timers such as Art Modell or more recent entrants such as Jerry Jones. For many, these ownerexecutives are fat cats tainted with all that goes with the money side of sports—profits, contracts and salary negotiations, stadium deals, ticket prices, and luxury suites. To many fans and reporters, these aspects of sports are, at best, unavoidable annoyances and, at worst, miserable plagues.

These kinds of unflattering views of the upper management of sports franchises have reached their extremes when franchises have moved. The departure of the Dodgers from Brooklyn after the 1957 season for the warm breezes and deep pockets of Los Angeles stoked vitriolic feelings at the time and continue to do so over forty years after the move. The sense of betrayal by the generations of fans who grew up near Ebbets Field has been chronicled in numerous books, documentaries, and interviews. It frequently resonates more like the emotional outpouring of jilted lovers than of consumers of a branch of the entertainment industry.

Reactions involving only slightly less angst and anger litter the landscape of sports history involving the movements of other long-standing teams the Browns from Cleveland to Baltimore, the Colts from Baltimore to Indianapolis, the Cardinals from St. Louis to Arizona, the Rams from Los Angeles to St. Louis, the Oilers from Houston to Tennessee, the Giants from New York to San Francisco. In some cases, the movements of particular players for financial reasons have also stirred similar emotions. When Canadian-born superstar Wayne Gretzky left the Edmonton Oilers of the NHL to join the Los Angeles Kings, fans in Edmonton mourned the loss much like the death of a family member. In a more recent case, pitcher Kevin Brown jumped ship from the Padres after a World Series season and traveled up Interstate 5 to join the hated Dodgers, sparking contempt not