Edited by Jacqueline Glomski & Isabelle Moreau

SEVENTEENTH-CENTURY

Fiction

Text & Transmission

OXFORD

SEVENTEENTH-CENTURY FICTION

Seventeenth-Century Fiction

Text and Transmission

Edited by

JACQUELINE GLOMSKI

and

ISABELLE MOREAU





UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP, United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.

It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Oxford University Press 2016

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2016

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015956239

ISBN 978-0-19-873726-1

Printed in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, St Ives plc

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Acknowledgements

Work on this volume originated in the meetings of the interdisciplinary research group, 'Seventeenth-Century Fiction: Text and Transmission'. With funding from the British Academy, we were able to bring together nearly twenty academics working in both the English and continental (French, Spanish, Italian, Neo-Latin, and Byzantine) traditions in two workshops, the first held in October 2012 at University College London and the second in May 2013 at King's College London. During the workshops, participants, drawing on their expertise, discussed the social, cultural, and historical aspects of seventeenth-century prose fiction, with the intention of taking their research further and uncovering the roots of the transnational exchanges that led to the development of new forms of fiction. Planning began for a book which would encompass both the workings of the notions of fact and fiction in seventeenth-century imaginative prose writing ('Text') and the movement of literary trends that crossed not only geographical borders, but also generic boundaries ('Transmission').

During the period of the British Academy grant, we extended our discussions beyond the workshops through a blog and through public engagement activities in London. Then, in autumn 2014, with sponsorship from University College London, King's College London, and the Warburg Institute, we organized an evening seminar series on 'Early Modern Fiction' held at the Warburg Institute. Four meetings covered the topics of recent trends in research, the novel and transnational networks, early modern fiction and women, and early modern fiction and drama. The final evening consisted of an outreach event—the reconstruction of a seventeenth-century literary salon—and was directed at postgraduate students and the general public.

We thank the members of the original research group and the speakers in the seminar series as well as those who joined us later on and offered contributions to *Seventeenth-Century Fiction: Text and Transmission*. Without hard work, enthusiasm, and eagerness for collective endeavour, this book would have never come about. We heartily acknowledge the support of the British Academy, the School of European Languages, Culture, and Society at University College London, the Department of History at King's College London, and the Warburg Institute, University of London. We also wish to thank colleagues and friends who offered advice and guidance, especially Marie Berry, Arthur Burns, Anne Duggan, Laura Gowing, Daniel Hadas, Katherine Ibbett, Neil Kenny, Dilwyn Knox, Rachel Lawlor, Peter Mack, Giles Mandelbrote, Jenny Mander, Paul Readman, Magnus Ryan, Adam Sutcliffe, Lorne Whiteway, and Jon Wilson.

Jacqueline Glomski Isabelle Moreau

Contents

	of Figures of Contributors	ix xi
	Seventeenth-Century Fiction in the Making Isabelle Moreau	1
	PART I. TEXT	
1.	Romance and the Reinvention of Wonder in the Early Seventeenth Century Nandini Das	19
2.	The English Afterlife of a French Magician: <i>The Life and Death of Lewis Gaufredy</i> (1612) Thibaut Maus de Rolley	34
3.	Politics and Passion: Fact and Fiction in Barclay's Argenis Jacqueline Glomski	49
4.	Criti-Comic Demonology: Picaresque Novels, <i>Histoires Comiques</i> , and the Supernatural <i>Nicolas Correard</i>	64
5.	Fact and Fiction in the Works of Madame de Lafayette: A Poetics of Secrets and Gossip Camille Esmein-Sarrazin	81
	PART II. TRANSMISSION	
6.	Transnational Cervantes: Text, Performance, and Transmission in the World of <i>Don Quixote</i> Warren Boutcher	99
7.	Fact and Fiction in Susan Du Verger's Translations of Jean-Pierre Camus's Les Euenemens singuliers, Les Relations morales, and Diotrephe. Histoire Valentine Brenda M. Hosington	115
8.	Marketing Aspiration: Fact, Fiction, and the Publication of French Romance in Mid-Seventeenth-Century England <i>Alice Eardley</i>	130
9.	Admirable Inventions: Francis Kirkman and the Translation of Romance in the 1650s Helen Moore	143

viii Contents

10. From Boccaccio to the Incogniti: The Cultural Politics of the Italian Tale in English Translation in the Seventeenth Century <i>Guyda Armstrong</i>	159
11. 'Bring(ing) Forth Alive the Conceptions of the Brain': The Transmission of French to English Fiction between Stage and Page	183
Ros Ballaster	
Bibliography	199
Index	221

List of Figures

Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, title page.	163
Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, address 'To the Reader'.	164
Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, address 'To the Reader' (continued), and 'A Table of the Novels, with the Names of the Authors'.	165
Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, 'A Table of the Novels, with the Names of the Authors' (continued), and 'Octavio'.	166
Cento Novelle Amorose De i Signori Accademici Incogniti (1651), title page with 'Ex ignoto notus' device.	167
Novelle Amorose De' Signori Academici Incogniti (1641), title page.	171
Novelle Amorose De' Signori Academici Incogniti (1641), summary table of contents.	174
	Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, address 'To the Reader'. Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, address 'To the Reader' (continued), and 'A Table of the Novels, with the Names of the Authors'. Choice Novels, and Amarous Tales, 'A Table of the Novels, with the Names of the Authors' (continued), and 'Octavio'. Cento Novelle Amorose De i Signori Accademici Incogniti (1651), title page with 'Ex ignoto notus' device. Novelle Amorose De' Signori Academici Incogniti (1641), title page. Novelle Amorose De' Signori Academici Incogniti (1641), summary

List of Contributors

Guyda Armstrong is Senior Lecturer in Italian at the University of Manchester.

Ros Ballaster is Professor of 18th Century Studies at Mansfield College, University of Oxford.

Warren Boutcher is Professor of Renaissance Studies at Queen Mary University of London.

Nicolas Correard is Lecturer in Comparative Literature at the Université de Nantes.

Nandini Das is Professor of English Literature at the University of Liverpool.

Alice Eardley is Honorary Research Fellow at King's College London.

Camille Esmein-Sarrazin is Lecturer in French Literature at the Université d'Orléans.

Jacqueline Glomski is Senior Research Fellow in the History Department of King's College London.

Brenda M. Hosington is Professor of Translation Studies (retired) at the Université de Montréal and Associate Research Fellow at the Centre for the Study of the Renaissance, University of Warwick.

Thibaut Maus de Rolley is Lecturer in French Renaissance Studies at University College London.

Helen Moore is Associate Professor at Corpus Christi College, University of Oxford.

Isabelle Moreau is Reader in Early Modern Studies at University College London.

Seventeenth-Century Fiction in the Making

Isabelle Moreau

Innovation in prose fiction took Europe by storm during the seventeenth century. New-style romances, novels reinvented from older forms and sources, *histoires* effectively mixing history with romance tropes: no single umbrella term can capture the astonishing variety of fictional experiments witnessed at the time. Challenging standard scholarly narratives about the rise of the novel, *Seventeenth-Century Fiction: Text and Transmission* comes to grips with the instabilities of prose fiction during the seventeenth century. It emphasizes the interchange between classical and vernacular languages, popular and elite cultures, stage and page. By doing so, it aims to uncover the variety of old and new forms that readers craved, and that could not be subsumed within a limited definition of the novel.

This book examines the diverse trends of fictional prose forms at a critical moment in the history of modern fiction. It situates seventeenth-century prose fiction within a variety of discursive, generic, material, and linguistic traditions. It thus pays particular attention to the physical media through which prose fiction was transmitted across national and linguistic frontiers. It is our contention that prose fiction is better understood when considered as a trans-European phenomenon. Rather than attempting to construct a grand narrative, we have opted for a

¹ We fully acknowledge the importance of existing historical theories. Since the pioneering investigation of Ian Watt in The Rise of the Novel: Studies in Defoe, Richardson and Fielding (London: Chatto & Windus, 1957), important debates have been conducted on the construction of prose fiction as a category, which opened the English tradition to continental influences and emphasized the role played therein by women's writing. The following list is not meant to be exhaustive but should give a sense of research in the field: Philip Stewart, Imitation and Illusion in the French Memoir-Novel, 1700-1750: The Art of Make-Believe (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1969); Ioan Williams, The Idea of the Novel in Europe, 1600-1800 (London: Macmillan, 1978); Lennard J. Davis, Factual Fictions: The Origins of the English Novel (New York: Columbia University Press, 1983); Paul Salzman, English Prose Fiction 1558-1700: A Critical History (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985); Barbara Foley, Telling the Truth: The Theory and Practice of Documentary Fiction (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1986); Michael McKeon, The Origins of the English Novel, 1600-1740 (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1987) as well as 'Review: The Origins of the English Novel', Modern Philology 82, no. 1 (August 1984): pp. 76-86, http://www.jstor.org/stable/437677, and Theory of the Novel: A Historical Approach, edited by Michael McKeon (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2000); Paul J. Hunter, Before Novels: The Cultural Contexts of Eighteenth-Century English Fiction (New York: Norton, 1990); Catherine Gallagher, Nobody's Story: The Vanishing Acts of Women Writers in the Marketplace, 1670-1820 (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1994), and 'The Rise of Fictionality', in The Novel, vol. 1: History, Geography, and Culture, edited by Franco Moretti (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2006), pp. 336-63; Robert Mayer, History and the Early English

series of case studies set against the backdrop of the provisional map of the field that this introduction provides. We hope to give here through specific examples an impetus towards a better understanding of the range of material to which early modern readers had access, as well as a sense of how diverse were the reception and perception of prose fiction at the time.

This book uses the term 'prose fiction' to encompass early modern fictional texts in prose, while remaining fully aware that fiction in this period, although 'fundamental to the making of literary texts' is not restricted to them or indeed 'coterminous with literature'. 3 Conversely, fiction does not equate with 'fictionality', if by this we mean 'the peculiar yet for us intuitive way that [contemporary] novels refer to the world'. Prose fiction here rather gestures towards a body of narrative texts that, while constantly playing on the outskirts of history as a genre, are not seen as works of history as such—no more, in fact, than they are read as 'fact dressed up as fiction'. 5 Prose fiction is also understood as a substitute term for a series of categories such as 'romance', 'novella' and 'novel', 'romanza', 'roman' and 'roman héroïque', 'nouvelle historique', 'histoire', and 'histoire secrète', to name but a few. These terms, although in use at the time, are problematic, especially when considered across national and linguistic frontiers. In English, the very definition of romance is far from stable throughout the period, and this instability greatly undermines the romance-novel opposition; while in French, we get the impression that 'French culture produces a Nouveau Roman every few generations', 7 each subgenre defining itself against its supposedly superseded predecessor. In other words, the claim of novelty, which often triggers the urge of renaming,

Novel: Matters of Fact from Bacon to Defoe (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997); Margaret Anne Doody, The True Story of the Novel (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1996); Dorrit Cohn, The Distinction of Fiction (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1999); Nicholas D. Paige, Before Fiction: The Ancien Régime of the Novel (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2011). The collective volume edited by Jenny Mander, Remapping the Rise of the European Novel (Oxford: Voltaire Foundation, 2007), presents a renewed, comprehensive view of (mostly) eighteenth-century fiction within a comparative framework that traces continuities in novelistic production across time and national boundaries.

- ² Richard Scholar and Alexis Tadié, 'Introduction', in Fiction and the Frontiers of Knowledge in Europe, 1500-1800, edited by Richard Scholar and Alexis Tadié (Farnham: Ashgate, 2010), pp. 1-15
 - ³ Paige, Before Fiction, 'Introduction', p. ix.
 - ⁴ Paige, Before Fiction, 'Introduction', p. ix.
- ⁵ Jennifer Lee Carrell, 'A Pack of Lies in a Looking Glass: Lady Mary Wroth's *Urania* and the Magic Mirror of Romance', Studies in English Literature, 1500-1900 34, no. 1, The English Renaissance (Winter 1994): pp. 79-107 (pp. 80, 87), http://www.jstor.org/stable/450787. As such we hope to avoid using 'fiction' as a catch-all term, one that is 'used when generic objections are feared or when genre is uncertain' (Paige, Before Fiction, p. 3). On the relationship between fiction and history, see Allison Kavey, ed., Fictional Histories/Historical Fictions: Reconceptualizing History in Early Modern Literature (Farnham: Ashgate, forthcoming 2016). I am grateful to Alex Davis for making available to me his forthcoming chapter, provisionally entitled: "A Fable Like a Historie": Lady Mary Wroth's "Heathen Fiction".
- 6 English prose fiction in the Renaissance often defies all generic boundaries: see Nandini Das, Renaissance Romance: The Transformation of English Prose Fiction, 1570-1620 (Farnham: Ashgate,
- ⁷ James Grantham Turner, "Romance" and the Novel in Restoration England', Review of English Studies 63 (2012): pp. 58-85 (p. 68), doi:10.1093/res/hgr041.

should be taken for what it is: a claim directed polemically at former subgenres or literary forms as a means of asserting one's own legitimacy; and a claim which is also a commercial strategy. When the publisher Anne Moseley advertises some twenty-one 'Other Excellent Romances' at the end of *Cassandra* in 1667, she clearly exploits 'this desire for freshness and newness' in the crafting of the titles. We are not implying here that claims of innovation were empty words, or that they could not stimulate any change; rather that our own preconceptions about the novelistic form need to be put to the test and confronted with what was not only written and read at the time, but also commented on and written about. To chart seventeenth-century prose fiction, one has to look at prefaces and translators' notes, *Bibliothèques* and other compendia of titles and authors, as well as at the texts themselves and, within them, at scenes and discussions that reflect upon the composition, production, translation, and reception of fictional texts.

If the literary production of the time lacks 'an established generic name', 10 the problem is not just that of terminology. The evolution of forms reveals continuities as well as discontinuities that may lead to interpretations which rely on conflicting epistemological frameworks. The succession of subgenres may be taken as supporting evidence for an evolutionary model, positing a development from improbable, far-fetched romances to the historical novella, or *nouvelle historique*. Or it may cast doubts on the relevance of such an evolution, whether it is understood as a slow rise or as a series of epistemological or more practical ruptures. 11 Let us consider, for instance, the 1667 edition of Charles Sorel's *Bibliothèque françoise*. 12 Sorel's chapter on fictional narratives, which provides a survey of the French book market up to the 1660s, shows that, in seventeenth-century France, readers and practitioners alike did not adhere to a limited definition of the novelistic form. By the same token, it gives a sense of how the term 'Roman', which by common usage came to be applied to all kind of 'Livres de fiction' (says Sorel), 13 was indeed understood as an encompassing category able to subsume a series of

⁹ Turner, "Romance" and the Novel', pp. 69–70; and [Gautier de Costes de La Calprenède], *Cassandra, the Fam'd Romance*, trans. Charles Cotterell (London: Printed for A. Moseley, 1667), p. 858.

- ¹⁰ Turner, "Romance" and the Novel', p. 59.
- ¹¹ See Paige, Before Fiction, p. 24.
- ¹² Charles Sorel, *La Bibliothèque françoise* [2nd edn., 1667] (Geneva: Slatkine Reprints, 1970). All references are to this edition.

⁸ Laurence Plazenet, 'Romanesque et roman baroque', in *Le Romanesque*, edited by Gilles Declercq and Michel Murat (Paris: Presses Sorbonne Nouvelle, 2004), pp. 63–84. See also Turner, "Romance" and the Novel', p. 69, on innovation and novelty; and Das, *Renaissance Romance*, on the 'temporal and generational consciousness integral to romance' that came to be coded into romance by means of 'tropes of generational negotiation' ('Introduction', p. 3).

¹³ Sorel, *La Bibliothèque françoise*, chapter 9, pp. 51–60 [1667 edn.: 166–200]: 'Des fables et des allegories, des romans de chevalerie et de bergerie; des romans vray-semblables et des nouvelles; des romans heroïques et des comiques': p. 55 [181]. Sorel notes that even if the term 'roman' was first coined to describe chivalric romances, it is now used as an umbrella term. See also Camille Esmein-Sarrazin, *L'Essor du roman. Discours théorique et constitution d'un genre littéraire au XVIIF siècle* (Paris: Honoré Champion, 2008), pp. 36–43. Conversely, Christine S. Lee notes, 'What is startling about "romance" in the Renaissance is how much the term excludes' (Christine S. Lee, 'The Meanings of Romance: Rethinking Early Modern Fiction', *Modern Philology* 112, no. 2 (November 2014): pp. 287–311, doi: 10.1086/678255).

fictional experiments with their dominant themes and formal characteristics. Sorel opens his chapter with allegory, from translations of classics such as Ovid's Metamorphoses to more recent allegorical maps, then moves on to the chivalric romance, thereafter explaining the rising importance of the pastoral romance by readers' weariness of knightly deeds. 14 He then defines with some difficulty the section on short stories and *romans* of a plausible nature ('Des romans vray-semblables et des nouvelles'), presents the heroic romance as a French success, despite its mythical Greek origins, and ends his survey with the comic novel. In a context of increasing distrust towards fiction and theatre in France, it is worth noting that Sorel does not feel the need for an apology. His criteria are aesthetic rather than moral. While naming the books worth reading, Sorel appraises them according to two sets of criteria: the politeness of the style and the verisimilitude (or 'vraisemblance') of the story. Although he does not organize the various subgenres of narrative prose fiction hierarchically, his comments about stylistic progress and change of taste create, in effect, a teleological narrative. Sections and subsections are included in a historical survey ('Nous suivrons icy l'ordre des Temps'¹⁵), which is also tacitly an evolutionary one. We are left with a representation of the history of fiction that has come to look quite familiar to us precisely because of the efforts of Sorel and others to construct it.

In many ways, Sorel's chapter on fictional narratives falls conveniently into a pattern, that of a series of mutually exclusive literary forms. It is worth noting, however, what disrupts such an evolutionary model. If we move on easily from allegories to chivalric and pastoral romances, to heroic romances, 16 with each subgenre being presented as an attempt to achieve a more plausible narrative, the section on 'Des romans vray-semblables et des nouvelles' should have been the culmination of an aesthetic shift from far-fetched stories to plausible novellas as exemplified by La Princesse de Montpensier which, says Sorel, had quite a success in polite society because of its style 'tout à fait de l'air du beau Monde'. ¹⁷ Sorel, however, still presents the heroic romance as a literary achievement. Even if the novella has become the dominant form, the heroic romance remains the highest aesthetic reference point.¹⁸ And while we tend to associate the novella with modernity, Sorel highlights its roots in Renaissance short narratives and story collections in prose. 19 With the last section, on comic novels, a whole new continent seems to emerge disrupting expected patterns even more. Cyrano's novels, Godwin's Man in the Moon, and Kepler's Somnium (in translation) figure among short pieces written in the gallant vein, and satires and portraits placed under

¹⁴ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 53 [175].

¹⁵ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 55 [180].

¹⁶ The category 'roman héroïque' was coined by Sorel, according to Camille Esmein, ed., *Poétiques du roman. Scudéry, Huet, Du Plaisir et autres textes théoriques et critiques du XVII' siècle sur le genre romanesque* (Paris: Honoré Champion, 2004), p. 115.

¹⁷ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 55 [180].

¹⁸ See Sorel, *La Bibliothèque françoise*, p. 55 [181]: the 'romans héroïques' are equated to 'Romans Parfaits'.

¹⁹ Sorel, *La Bibliothèque françoise*, p. 54 [178–9]. The subsection 'Des romans vray-semblables et des nouvelles' thus includes Italian, French, and Spanish references.

Lucian's patronage. The Spanish tradition figures prominently, again in translation, alongside Sorel's own novels, L'Histoire comique de Francion, Polyandre, and Le Berger extravagant—which ridicules the taste for pastoral romance in a transparent attempt to rival Don Quixote. Two overarching categories seem to emerge from this chaos, which contrasts shockingly with the previous, relatively well-defined subsections. The first category refers to texts called by Sorel 'pieces agreables', and includes short pieces of work such as games, portraits, and other collective writing exercises devoted to the pleasure and recreation of polite society. The second category applies to narrative fictions of some length ('grandes &... narratives')²¹ and covers two classic masterpieces, Lucian's stories and Apuleius's Golden Ass; sixteenth-century narrative fictions dubbed 'railleries à la vieille Gauloise' alongside Rabelais's masterpieces; translated Spanish picaresque novels and, among contemporary French works, the novels of Cyrano, Tristan l'Hermite, Sorel, Scarron (under the subcategory of 'burlesque'); and, eventually added to the second edition of the Bibliothèque françoise, Furetière.

Such a survey raises a number of questions regarding the applicability of the evolutionary model. True, readers and practitioners of prose fiction alike seemed to have had a strong sense of the passing modes, as indeed 'chaque Siecle a ses modes'. Dichotomies between old and new types of fictions are worth noting, especially as similar dichotomies were developed elsewhere in Europe. They do not necessarily build up into an evolutionary model. However, they give us an indication of the most appealing or dominant form of prose fiction at any given time, and they may give us some indication of the complex mechanisms of distinction and imitation that governed writing and reading practices. In seventeenth-century England, according to Salzman, 'the major change in theories of prose fiction occurred through discussions of the political implications of the romance form'23 and Jacqueline Glomski sees Barclay's *Argenis* as a milestone for the way it efficiently combined political ideas with the plot line of a love story. ²⁴ Barclay's characters conveyed his political ideas in a 'performative way' and furnished *Argenis* with a 'dramatic quality' that was quite unprecedented. First

²⁰ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 57 [191].

²¹ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 57 [191].

²² Sorel, *La Bibliothèque françoise*, p. 55 [183]; see also p. 53 [175]; p. 54 [177]; p. 56 [187].

²³ Paul Salzman, 'Theories of Prose Fiction in England: 1558–1700', in *The Cambridge History of Literary Criticism*, vol. 3: *The Renaissance*, edited by Glyn P. Norton (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999), pp. 293–304 (p. 300), http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CHOL9780521300087.031. See also Annabel M. Patterson, *Censorship and Interpretation: The Conditions of Writing and Reading in Early Modern England* (Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 1984); Nigel Smith, *Literature and Revolution in England*, 1640–1660 (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1994); Lois Potter, *Secret Rites and Secret Writing: Royalist Literature 1641–1660* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989); Victoria Kahn, 'Reinventing Romance, or the Surprising Effects of Sympathy', *Renaissance Quarterly* 55, no. 2 (Summer 2002): pp. 625–61 (pp. 626, 630), doi: 10.2307/1262320; Amelia A. Zurcher, *Seventeenth-Century English Romance: Allegory, Ethics and Politics* (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007).

²⁴ See in the present volume Jacqueline Glomski's chapter, 'Politics and Passion: Fact and Fiction in Barclay's *Argenis*'. See also Mark Riley and Dorothy Pritchard Huber, introduction to John Barclay, *Argenis*, edited by Mark Riley and Dorothy Pritchard Huber, 2 vols. (Assen: Royal Van Gorcum, 2004), pp. 11, 14, 35; Salzman, *English Prose Fiction 1558–1700*, pp. 149–51.

published in Paris in 1621, in Latin, Argenis proved extremely popular in Europe and the preface 'To the reader' accompanying Sir Percy Herbert's finally completed Princess Cloria (1661) comes as a testimony to its lasting influence in England, since it advocates the superiority of political romance over 'a bare historical relation that gives no liberty for inward disputations or supposed passions to be discovered'. 25 Interestingly, the same preface also distinguishes *Princess Cloria* from French heroic 'Romances', 26 which were highly popular in England in the 1650s and early 1660s. William Congreve's preface to *Incognita* (1692) also contains an attack on the 'lofty language' and complex plot lines with 'miraculous contingencies' of seventeenth-century French romances, this time to promote the 'novel' in the comic vein.²⁷ Yet, one should be wary of taking at face value comments about one's own distinctiveness, particularly when it comes to gauge the continuing currency of the 'old'. Notwithstanding the dominant narrative of newness, it is not rare to find examples of authors boasting about their rejection of the ethos of romance, while still drawing on many of its stock situations and conventions in their books. Herbert's Princess Cloria, though keen to distinguish itself from French heroic romances, reproduces the narrative strategy of its most influential and acknowledge model, that of Heliodorus's Aethiopica, which was also Barclay's primary model.²⁸ Lengthy speeches 'oftentimes continued for five or six hours together without intermission' are dismissed for being 'ridiculous' and tiresome, ²⁹ which does not prevent *Princess Cloria* from running to some six hundred pages by 1661. The preface incidentally acknowledges a 'style and manner of contrivance...mixed between modern and antique'. 30 Across the Channel in the 1670s, prefaces and critical treatises openly situate novelistic production against the heroic romance, but the new fictional form that supposedly gains precedence is far from constituting a homogeneous category.³¹

Authors are usually 'so fond of a preface that they will write one, through there be nothing more in it than an apology for itself'—says Congreve.³² Prescriptive discourses advocate categories of old and new, antique and modern. They justify the validity of the norm they spell out by turning some common features into prescriptive laws. By doing so, they also actively contribute to identifying invention with reformation and renewal, thus extolling the merit of a selected set of

²⁵ The Princess Cloria, 'Preface', in Paul Salzman, ed., An Anthology of Elizabethan Prose Fiction (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991), p. 214.

²⁶ The first and second parts of *The Princess Cloria* were published anonymously by Sir Percy Herbert of Powis as *Cloria and Narcissus* in 1653 and 1654 respectively. The 1661 complete edition was again published anonymously and accompanied by a preface, which was 'an extremely important contribution to the growing analysis, during the seventeenth century, of the relationship between fictional genres and ideological ends' (Salzman, ed., *An Anthology*: 'Introduction', p. xvii). See also Turner, "Romance" and the Novel', p. 61.

²⁷ William Congreve, 'Preface', *Incognita (1692)*, in Salzman, ed., *An Anthology*, p. 474.

²⁸ See Victoria Kahn, 'Reinventing Romance', p. 634.

²⁹ The Princess Cloria, 'Preface', in Salzman, ed., An Anthology, p. 213.

³⁰ The Princess Cloria, 'Preface', in Salzman, ed., An Anthology, p. 212.

³¹ Esmein, ed., *Poétiques du roman*, pp. 539–40; Paige, *Before Fiction*, p. 56, emphasizes 'the profound commonality between the historical novella and the historical romance'.

³² William Congreve, 'Preface', *Incognita (1692)*, in Salzman, ed., *An Anthology*, p. 473.

texts while dismissing the rest. As a consequence, prescriptions conveyed by prefaces, apologies, and treatises are more than often conflated with exemplary models, even if these models may not always follow these didactic rules for fiction, or indeed reflect the literary scene as a whole. In France, Pierre de Caseneuve,³³ Jean Baudoin, ³⁴ Georges and Madeleine de Scudéry in their prefaces to *Ibrahim* (1641) and Artamène ou le Grand Cyrus (1649), and Pierre-Daniel Huet in all the editions of his Traité de l'origine des romans (from 1670 to 1711) take Heliodorus's Aethiopica as a model.³⁵ The poetics of romance, from Chapelain and Scudéry to Du Plaisir, while referring to Heliodorus as an authority, also rely heavily on Aristotle's Poetics, or rather, on its interpretation by sixteenth-century Italian scholars and poets such as Torquato Tasso, thus discarding the influence of the earlier romance epics of Matteo Maria Boiardo and Ariosto. ³⁶ The romances most often quoted and referred to as milestones are those of Honoré d'Urfé and Scudéry and, to a lesser extent, of Gomberville and La Calprenède. As for the novella, which was constituting itself against the epic model and the codification of its poetics, the most frequently referenced works are those of Lafavette, immediately followed by the 'nouvelles' of Mme de Villedieu and Catherine Bernard. Compared to the overview of prose fiction offered by the Bibliothèque françoise, one cannot help but notice what we have lost. Allegories, portraits, and other short pieces in the gallant vein, which were so fashionable in the very circles that helped promote the heroic romance, are nowhere to be seen; but one could argue that they are indirectly represented within the romances and novellas themselves, given the propensity of books of the period to incorporate such materials in order to satisfy the audience's taste.³⁷ Comic novels, however, are conspicuously absent from the official literary scene. Yet they demonstrate through both formal and moral experiments the extraordinary vitality of novelistic production of the time. From the Berger extravagant—Sorel's famous 'Anti-Roman'³⁸—to the Roman bourgeois, the requirement of verisimilitude as a formal convention in the making of prose fiction serves in

³³ Pierre de Caseneuve, *Caritée ou La Cyprienne amoureuse...* (Tolose: D. et P. Bosc, 1621). See Esmein, ed., *Poétiques du roman*, p. 25.

³⁴ Jean Baudoin, Les Amours de Clytophon et de Leucippe. Traduction nouvelle, Tirée du Grec d'Achilles Tatius & diuisée en huict livres (Paris: Quinet & Fevrier, 1635). See Esmein, ed., Poétiques du roman, p. 25. Baudoin also translated Sidney's Arcadia and Tasso's Jerusalem Delivered, alongside Godwin's Man in the Moon, and historians such as Cassius Dio and Suetonius. See L'Arcadie de la Comtesse de Pembrok, Mise en nostre langue, de l'Anglois de Messire Philippes Sidney (Paris: T. Du Bray, 1624–5); and Hiérusalem deslivrée, poème héroïque de Torquato Tasso mis en nostre langue par I. Baudoin (Paris: M. Guillemot, 1626).

³⁵ The first translation in French is that of Jacques Amyot, L'Histoire Aethiopique de Heliodorus, contenant dix livres, traitant des loyales et pudiques amours de Theagenes Thessalien, & Chariclea Aethiopienne, nouvellement traduite de Grec en Françoys (Paris: J. Longis, 1547), and is accompanied by an important preface (the 'Proesme du Translateur'). See Laurence Plazenet, 'Révolution ou imposture? De l'imitation à l'invention du roman grec en France aux XVI° et XVII° siècles', in Commencements du roman, edited by Jean Bessière (Paris: Honoré Champion, 2001), pp. 23–47.

³⁶ Peter V. Marinelli, Ariosto and Boiardo: The Origins of Orlando Furioso (Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 1987); Jo Ann Cavallo, The Romance Epics of Boiardo, Ariosto, and Tasso: From Public Duty to Private Pleasure (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2004). See also Esmein, ed., Poétiques du roman, p. 28.

³⁷ Nathalie Grande, *Le Roman au XVII^e siècle: L'exploration du genre* (Rosny: Bréal, 2002).

³⁸ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 59 [197].

comic novels to promote ironic distance rather than involvement and identification. Reflexivity is another defining feature inasmuch as comic novels presuppose a readership aware of the literary conventions that are mimicked or parodied. Such subversions that extend well beyond romance tropes to better thwart the reader's thirst for irrational stories are analysed by Nicolas Correard in his chapter on picaresque novels and histoires comiques:39 there, the 'novelization' of demonological stories and their full demystification go together, thus turning the narrative make-believe into an art of doubting. In other words, comic novels played their part prominently, contributing much to what Nandini Das calls 'the self-conscious use of the implausible and the improbable' in romance. When prose fiction experiments with its form by playing with what it is not, or does not want to be any more, it transforms fictional narration into 'a knowing exploration—by the characters and by the narrative—of a system of knowledge that both sustains and is sustained by the romance universe'. 40 Such self-consciousness does not so much modify romance's wonders as changes the reader's response to them.

Comments about changes of taste and passing fashions are indicative of patterns of production and consumption, and as such worth looking at closely. Studying the authorial and publishing mechanisms that partially govern reading modes may help us revisit a series of assumptions about early modern prose fiction. The renewal of the romance form in the 1650s, frequently noted by scholars, is one episode in the long-standing relationship between English and continental romance. According to Alice Eardley, 41 such an episode is very much linked to the promotional activities of the Moselev family and their printing of French heroic romance in translation. There, theorizing on romance occurs through translation, not only of the romances themselves, but also of the elaborate critical material that accompanies them. In Scudéry's preface to *Ibrahim*, translated in 1653 alongside the romance itself, one finds a detailed prescription for a romance genre defined on the grounds of verisimilitude, against the marvellous associated with old chivalric romances. And Pierre-Daniel Huet's treatise on the origins of romance, quickly translated into English in 1672, demonstrates considerable continuity of interest in England in the theorizing of the French heroic romance.⁴² Still, prescriptive discourses, though influential, rarely render the richness and complexity of practical experimentations. Kirkman's quixotic translations of old romances give a startling example of the 'simultaneously experimental and retrospective nature of fiction in the 1650s'—a decade described by Helen Moore as 'a significant and productive time of cross-fertilization, self-differentiation, and theoretical

³⁹ See in the present volume Nicolas Correard's chapter, 'Criti-Comic Demonology: Picaresque Novels, *Histoires Comiques*, and the Supernatural'.

⁴⁰ See in the present volume Nandini Das's chapter, 'Romance and the Reinvention of Wonder in the Early Seventeenth Century'.

⁴¹ See in the present volume Alice Eardley's chapter, 'Marketing Aspiration: Fact, Fiction, and the Publication of French Romance in Mid-Seventeenth-Century England'.

⁴² Pierre-Daniel Huet, A Treatise of Romances and their Original (London: R. Battersby, for S. Heyrick, 1672).

articulation'. 43 Similarly Guyda Armstrong demonstrates, in her chapter on the Italian novella collection, how another seemingly culturally 'obsolete genre' can be revivified through translation and domesticated to reflect the political affiliations of the producers, while adapting to wider literary trends in prose fictional production in English. 44 Yet, cultural values shift over time, especially when caught in a volatile political climate. Both Guyda Armstrong and Brenda M. Hosington analyse English translations of Italian and French collections of short stories. While the 1620 publication of Boccaccio's Decameron offers a tamed, non-subversive elite courtly production in alignment with the cultural and moral norms of Jacobean Britain, Susan Du Verger's translations of Camus's collections of short stories, published in 1639, are to be understood in a Catholic and courtly context. By promoting 'histoires dévotes' ('devout stories'), Du Verger explicitly offers an antidote to earlier and contemporary 'frivolous books' in the tradition of Boccaccio and Bandello, in order to foster 'an atmosphere of French-inspired courtly spirituality'. 45 Such a strategy stands in stark contrast with that of the Royal sympathizers who translated and published in 1652 the Choice Novels. A collection of (Catholic) erotic romances originating from the libertine Venetian Incogniti, the *Choice Novels* proposes 'a subversive cultural nostalgia as a strategy of creative resistance' that tells much about 'the cultural politics of transnational transfer in Commonwealth England'.

Collections of bibliographical references, and library and booksellers' catalogues offer a different standpoint from which to analyse dominant aesthetics and consumption patterns. These 'books about books' are not just catalogues of titles. They offer new ways to disseminate information about printed works. They foreground prevalent genres and dominant styles and, as such, are good indicators of the market trends of the time. They also offer to some degree a space for theorization. In other words, although we tend to emphasize their bibliographical contributions, their informative dimension should not overshadow their own potential impact on the field. To classify books is to reorder the social space which produces them; and such classifications may, in turn, influence readership(s). The *Catalogue of The Most vendible Books in England* was produced by Newcastle-upon-Tyne bookseller William London between 1657 and 1660. As one of the earliest attempts to list all English-language books, and prefaced by a substantial 'Introduction to the Use of Books', London's work is particularly valuable. Although there is no doubt about its financial incentive, London's catalogue is not

⁴³ See in the present volume Helen Moore's chapter, 'Admirable Inventions: Francis Kirkman and the Translation of Romance in the 1650s'.

⁴⁴ See in the present volume Guyda Armstrong's chapter, 'From Boccaccio to the Incogniti: The Cultural Politics of the Italian Tale in English Translation in the Seventeenth Century'.

⁴⁵ See in the present volume Brenda M. Hosington's chapter, 'Fact and Fiction in Susan Du Verger's Translations of Jean-Pierre Camus's *Les Euenemens singuliers*, *Les Relations morales*, and *Diotrephe. Histoire Valentine*'.

⁴⁶ I am very much indebted here to Michèle Rosellini who kindly sent me before publication her critical introduction to Charles Sorel, *La Bibliothèque française (1667)*, edited by Filippo D'Angelo, Mathilde Bombart, Laurence Giavarini, Claudine Nédelec, Dinah Ribard, Michèle Rosellini, and Alain Viala (Paris: Honoré Champion, 2015).

just a selling guide. 47 His catalogue is also issued to foster reading habits. It promoted learning among a wider public by facilitating access to authoritative books, at a time when the amount of printed production was perceived by booksellers and readers alike as overwhelming. 48 Crucially, London chooses selection over exhaustiveness and promises 'that there is no choice Book omitted, but the best and most Books printed in England are here inserted'. 49 Compared to the bulk of the section devoted to 'Divinity Books', the one on fictional works may seem negligible, especially as London describes them as the 'least useful of any'. 50 It is, however, noticeable that the romance titles 'seem to be exclusively domestic productions', and include among others Sidney's Arcadia, Barclay's Argenis, and the first part of Princess Cloria published in 1653 under the title Cloria and Narcissus. 51 London clearly privileges material printed in England, and the importance of the vernacular sets his project in both a regional and a national framework. 52 Yet it is worth noting that seventeenth-century prose fiction constituted itself through complex processes of delocalization and derivation across several vernacular languages. London's selection of French works in translation includes 'Artamnes, or grand Cirus', 'Cassandria' (both 1652 editions), 'Cleopatria', 'Clelia, by M. d. Scudery', and 'Illustrious Bassa'—all wares of the English publisher Humphrey Moseley. According to Alice Eardley,⁵³ Moseley made a concerted effort in the 1650s to foster and satisfy the demands of a large readership who wanted to read Scudéry and La Calprenède in English. The inclusion of these very romances in London's catalogue seemingly indicates that Moseley's effort was successful in bringing the new fashion north, and that London was able to capitalize on its commercial potential.

In his *Bibliothèque françoise* Sorel, too, chooses to select the books he thinks are worth reading. Where London provided very little information about his criteria, Sorel's position as a 'modern' situates his project in a broader cultural narrative. To those who would rather have their bookshelves full of classics, Sorel states that perfection can only be achieved if we include 'our French books'.⁵⁴ Even more

⁴⁷ Margaret Schotte, "Books for the Use of the Learned and Studious": William London's Catalogue of Most Vendible Books', *Book History* 11 (2008): pp. 33–57 (pp. 38–9), doi:10.1353/bh.0.0009.

⁴⁸ See Ann M. Blair, *Too Much to Know: Managing Scholarly Information before the Modern Age* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2010).

⁴⁹ Schotte, "Books", p. 37; and William London, A Catalogue of The most vendible Books in England Orderly and Alphabetically Digested, Under the Heads of Divinity, History, Physick and Chyrurgery, Law, Arithmetick, Geometry, Astrology...: With Hebrew, Greek and Latine for Schools and Scholars. The like Work never yet performed by any. Also, All sorts of Globes, Mapps of the World or in Parts....All to be sold by the Author at his Shop in New-Castle (London: [s.n.], 1657).

⁵⁰ Schotte, "Books", p. 34; and London, *A Catalogue of The most vendible Books in England*, 'To the Most Candid and Ingenious Reader', sig. C2': 'For *Romances, Playes* and *Poems*, I do indeed take less paines to promote their study though I hinder not their sale; their names are not so wiredrawn as others are; They are least usefull of any.'

⁵¹ Schotte, "Books", pp. 46–7. It also includes the *Choice Novels*, a collection of (Catholic) erotic novellas translated from the Italian language that is studied in the present volume by Guyda Armstrong.

⁵² Schotte, "Books", p. 45.
⁵³ See Alice Eardley's chapter in the present volume.

⁵⁴ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, 'Avant-Discours', p. 10 [2].

than London, Sorel's tacit restriction to printed contemporary material opens up his selection to genres that the erudite elite would not normally consider worth reading. There is a clear emphasis on what was not yet called literature in the *Bibliothèque françoise*. Sorel pays close attention to new genres, mentions the latest literary modes promoted by French salon culture, and records social and cultural changes, such as the rising number of women authors choosing to write heroic romances. In other words, his *Bibliothèque* does not take an idealizing stance—what you should have on your bookshelves—but a pragmatic one: what is sold and read. Sorel's selection reflects book-market production, thus giving valuable insight into the reading tastes of the public. The importance accorded to fashion is such that in one instance the book market is given precedence in determining the books authors should write:

Comme on aime aujourd'huy ces sortes de choses, & que les Libraires ont veu que cela se vendoit bien, plusieurs ont fait de petits Recueils de leur part, sous le nom d'Œuvres Galantes.⁵⁷

('As we do love these sorts of things today, and booksellers have noticed that they sell well, a few authors have composed their own small collections, under the title of *Œuvres Galantes.*')

The precedence accorded to the vernacular in the sphere of knowledge is also understood in a national framework, as it appears in his epistle startlingly dedicated to France, rather than to the King. The rising importance of a French canon, however, is again to be situated in a transnational context. Sorel shows acute awareness of textual migrations through translations, imitations, and continuations. The Spanish started writing pastoral romances with some success, notes Sorel, but national pride dictated that French authors should imitate them, and Sorel sees *Astrée* as a monument easily superseding the Spanish *Diane de Monte-Major* and the English *Arcadie de la Contesse de Pembrok*. Heliodorus's *Aethiopica* may be at the origin of the heroic romance, but it has been naturalized through translation, thus meeting the fate of the Spanish *Amadís*, which became 'a French then a European property, endlessly continued in serial fashion by subsequent translators and authors'. If success in the book market sanctions national pride

⁵⁵ As stated in the 'Avant-Discours', p. 11 [5], the selection includes books that may please everyone and anyone: the 'belles lettres' rather than the 'bonnes lettres'.

⁵⁶ It is worth contrasting here *La Bibliothèque françoise* with Sorel's other book compendium: *De la connaissance des bons livres ou Examen de plusieurs Auteurs* [Paris: A. Pralard, 1671–3], edited by Hervé D. Béchade (Geneva: Slatkine Reprints, 1981).

⁵⁷ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 57 [190].

⁵⁸ Sorel, La Bibliothèque françoise, p. 54 [176]: 'Mais nostre Nation n'est pas demeurée dans cette honte de ne pouvoir imiter les Estrangers: Ils ont mesme esté surpassez par l'Astrée de Messire Honoré d'Urfé'. Both texts quoted in translation: La Diane de Monte-Major stands for Los Siete libros de la Diana de Jorge de Montemayor and L'Arcadie de la Comtesse de Pembrok for Philip Sidney's The Countess of Pembroke's Arcadia.

⁵⁹ See in the present volume Warren Boutcher's chapter, 'Transnational Cervantes: Text, Performance, and Transmission in the World of *Don Quixote*'; and Andrew Pettegree, 'Translation and the Migration of Texts', in *Borders and Travellers in Early Modern Europe*, edited by Thomas Betteridge (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007), pp. 113–25. On the translation of *Amadis* from French into English, see Helen Moore's chapter in this volume.