

OXFORD

The Cultural Dimension of Human Rights



Edited by
Ana Filipa Vrdoljak

THE COLLECTED COURSES OF THE ACADEMY
OF EUROPEAN LAW

Series Editors

PROFESSOR LOÏC AZOULAI,
PROFESSOR MARISE CREMONA,
PROFESSOR FRANCESCO FRANCIONI
European University Institute, Florence

Assistant Editor

ANNY BREMNER
European University Institute, Florence

VOLUME XXII/1

The Cultural Dimension of Human Rights

THE COLLECTED COURSES OF THE ACADEMY OF EUROPEAN LAW

Edited by

Professor Loïc Azoulay,
Professor Marise Cremona, and Professor Francesco Francioni

Assistant Editor

Anny Bremner

Each year the Academy of European Law in Florence, Italy, invites a group of outstanding lecturers to teach at its summer courses on Human Rights law and European Union law.

A 'general course' is given in each of the two fields by a distinguished scholar or practitioner, who examines the field as a whole through a particular thematic, conceptual, or philosophical lens, or looks at a theme in the context of the overall body of law. In addition, a series of 'specialized courses' brings together a group of highly qualified scholars to explore and analyse a specific theme in relation to Human Rights law and EU law.

The Academy's mission, to produce scholarly analyses which are at the cutting edge of these two fields, is achieved through the publication of this series, the Collected Courses of the Academy of European Law.

The Cultural Dimension of Human Rights

Edited by
ANA FILIPA VRDOLJAK

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP,
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© A F Vrdoljak 2013

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2013

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence
Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013943835

ISBN 978-0-19-964212-0

Printed and bound in Great Britain by
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Acknowledgments

This collection of essays emerged from a series of lectures delivered as part of the Academy of European Law's annual human rights law summer school held at the European University Institute, Florence. A setting and city steeped in the preoccupations of culture and humanism which run through this volume. Francesco Francioni's determination to make culture the theme of the 2011 summer school provided a forum for lecturers and students from every corner of the globe to engage in challenging and animated discussions in a spirit of collegiality and intellectual rigour for which the EUI is renowned. This lecture series, and the many that have preceded it and those that will no doubt follow in the decades to come, are only made possible through the tireless work and extraordinary commitment of Anny Bremner, Joyce Davies, Francesco Francioni, Marise Cremona, and Loïc Azoulai at the Academy of European Law, and the enthusiasm of the summer school participants themselves. I am also grateful for the contributions made by the individual lecturers, Yvonne Donders, Federico Lenzerini, Gaetano Pentassuglia, Olivier Roy, and Siegfried Weissner, and those who generously accepted my subsequent invitation to prepare contributions, Pasquale Annicchino, Evangelia Psychogiopoulou, and Tania Voon. Each has added to the richness of this collection.

We are all indebted to the meticulous editorial assistance provided by researchers at the EUI, Wim Muller and Valentina Spiga. Special thanks are due to the ever patient, generous, and joyous Anny Bremner, the assistant editor of this series, and Natasha Flemming, Clare Kennedy and Alex Flach at Oxford University Press.

This book is dedicated to Florence, long may its humanist flame burn, and to the Academy of European Law, long may it be a forum for dialogue and deliberation.

Ana Filipa Vrdoljak
Sydney

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Tables of Instruments</i>	xvii
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	xxii

Introduction	1
<i>Ana Filipa Vrdoljak</i>	

PART I

1. Human Rights between Religions, Cultures, and Universality	13
<i>Olivier Roy and Pasquale Annicchino</i>	
1. Introduction	13
2. Human Rights and the Secularization of Christian Theology	14
3. The Separation of Human Rights Theory from Christianity	16
4. Opponents of the Universality of Human Rights	16
5. The Use of Human Rights	20
6. Theoretical Paradigm and Sociological Praxis	22
7. Conclusion	24
2. Liberty, Equality, Diversity: States, Cultures, and International Law	26
<i>Ana Filipa Vrdoljak</i>	
1. Introduction	26
2. Liberty	27
3. Equality	40
4. Diversity	58
5. Conclusion	69

PART II

3. Protecting Minority Groups through Human Rights Courts: The Interpretive Role of European and Inter-American Jurisprudence	73
<i>Gaetano Pentassuglia</i>	
1. Introduction	73
2. Unearthing Human Rights Rationales: Substantive and Procedural Elements	74
3. Constraining the State: Positive Obligations, Proportionality Reviews, or Both?	85

4. Mainstreaming Accommodation through 'Systemic' Readings: From Restraint to Cross-fertilization	94
5. The Understanding of Minority Groups: Treaty Implications and Beyond	104
6. Conclusions: Towards a New Interpretive Ethos	112
4. Culture and the Rights of Indigenous Peoples	117
<i>Siegfried Wiessner</i>	
1. Culture as Collective Phenomenon and the Need to Protect It through Individual and Collective Rights	119
2. Indigenous Peoples' Claims and Aspirations	125
3. International Legal Protections of Indigenous Culture Prior to UNDRIP	129
4. The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples	140
5. The Legal Effect of UNDRIP, Customary International Law and ILA Resolution No 5/2012	145
6. Conclusion	155

PART III

5. The European Union and Cultural Rights	159
<i>Evangelia Psychogiopoulou</i>	
1. Introduction	159
2. Cultural Rights and EU Constitutional Law	160
3. Cultural Rights in the UNESCO Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	170
4. Cultural Rights and EU Human Rights Policy	174
5. Cultural Rights and EU Cultural Policy	179
6. Conclusion	184
6. Culture, Human Rights, and the WTO	186
<i>Tania Voon</i>	
1. Introduction	186
2. The Impact of WTO Rules on Culture: Two Key Issues	187
3. Human Rights Aspects of Culture in the WTO Context	193
4. Institutional Reflection on Human Rights and the WTO	199
5. Conclusion	201

PART IV

7. Cultural Pluralism in International Human Rights Law: The Role of Reservations	205
<i>Yvonne Donders</i>	
1. Introduction	205

2. Reservations to International Human Rights Treaties	207
3. Cultural Reservations to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women	212
4. Objections to Cultural Reservations by Other States Parties	220
5. The Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women	228
6. Concluding Remarks: Cultural Pluralism through Reservations	237
8. Suppressing and Remedying Offences against Culture	240
<i>Federico Lenzerini</i>	
1. Introduction: A Holistic Understanding of ‘Culture’	240
2. ‘Offences Against Culture’: A Multifaceted Concept which May Have Huge Implications for the Integrity of Human Dignity	241
3. Preventing Offences against Culture through Cultural Heritage-Related International Legal Norms	253
4. Repressing Offences against Culture	259
5. Remedying Offences against Culture through Judicial Enforcement	261
6. A Cooperative Approach to Remedying and Preventing Offences Against Culture	266
7. Combining Different Methods: Prosecution followed by Reconciliation as the Most Effective Means for Remedying and Preventing Offences Against Culture	268
8. Leaving the Past Behind: The Decisive Role of Reparations	269
9. Conclusion	272
<i>Bibliography</i>	273
<i>Index</i>	287

Table of Cases

Accordance with International Law of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence in Respect of Kosovo, ICJ Reports (2010) 403	66
Ahmad and Abdol-Hamid v Denmark, UN Doc CCPR/C/92/D/1487/2006, Decision on admissibility, 1 April 2008	40
Ahmadou Sadio Diallo (Republic of Guinea v Democratic Republic of the Congo), ICJ Reports (2010) 639	116
Åkerberg Fransson (617/10) judgment of 26 February 2013, not yet reported	168
Amnesty International and Others v Sudan, ACHPR, Comm Nos 48/90, 50/91, 52/91, 89/93	30
Ángela Poma Poma v Peru UN Doc CCPR/C/95/D/1457/2006, 27 March 2009	97, 108
Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v Serbia and Montenegro), ICJ Reports (2007) 43	252
Apriana Mahuika et al v New Zealand, UN Doc A/56/40 (2000)	130
Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (New Application: 2002) (Democratic Republic of the Congo v Rwanda), Jurisdiction and Admissibility, ICJ Reports (2006) 6, judgment of 3 February 2006	211
Arnoud Gerritse v Finanzamt Neukölln-Nord (C-234/01) [2003] ECR I-5933	165
Arslan v Turkey, ECHR (1999) App No 23462/94, judgment of 8 July 1999	37, 38
Aurelio Cal v Attorney General of Belize, Supreme Court of Belize, Judgment, 18 October 2007	150
Australia—Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples from New Zealand, WT/DS367/AB/R	193
Australia—Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon, WT/DS18/AB/R	193
Aziz v Cyprus ECHR (2004) App No 69949/01, judgment of 22 June 2004	86
Belgian Linguistics Case ECHR (1968) Series A, No 6	77, 88, 89
Belilos v Switzerland ECHR (1988) Series A, No 132	211
Bressol and Others (C-73/08) [2010] ECR I-2735	163
Brown v Board of Education of Topeka, Shawnee County et al 347 US 483 (1954), 74 S Ct 686	47
Canada—Certain Measures Concerning Periodicals, WT/DS31/R	188
Canea Catholic Church v Greece, ECHR (1997) Reports 1997-VIII, judgment of 16 December 1997	31, 75
Case ‘Relating to Certain Aspects of the Laws on the Use of Languages in Education in Belgium’, ECHR (1968) Series A, No 6, App No 1474/62, judgment of 23 July 1968	33
Centre for Minority Rights Development and Anor v Kenya, ACHPR, Comm No 276/03, 4 February 2010	54–7
Centre for Minority Rights Development and Minority Rights Group (on behalf of Endorois Welfare Council) v Kenya, ACHPR, Comm No 276/03, 4 February 2010	32, 83, 84, 101, 103, 107, 114, 263
Chagos Islanders, The v United Kingdom, ECHR (2012) App No 35622/04, 20 September 2004	88, 97
Chapman v United Kingdom, ECHR (2001) App No 27238/95, judgment of 18 January 2001	76, 86, 87, 95, 103
Chevron Corpn & Texaco Petroleum Co v Republic of Ecuador, Permanent Court of Arbitration, Case No 2009-23	101

China—Measures Affecting Trading Rights and Distribution Services for Certain Publications and Audiovisual Entertainment Products, WT/DS363/R	188, 190, 191
Chitay Nech et al v Guatemala (IACtHR) Series C, No 212, judgment of 25 May 2010	247, 270
Chorherr v Austria, ECHR (1993) Series A, No 266-B	211
Christos Konstantinidis v Stadt Altensteig—Standesamt und Landratsamt Calw—Ordnungsamt (C-168/91) [1993] ECR I191	165
Cinéthèque v Fédération Nationale des Cinémas Français (C-60 & C-61/84) [1985] ECR 2605	162
City of Boerne v Flores, Archbishop of San Antonio et al, 521 US 507 (1997)	33
Ciubotaru v Moldova, ECHR (2010) App No 27138/04, judgment of 27 April 2010	104
Commission v France (C-154/89) [1991] ECR I-659	165
Commission v Greece (C-290/94) [1996] ECR I-3285	163
Commission v Italy (7/68) [1968] ECR 423	163
Commission v Italy (C-180/90) [1991] ECR 709	165
Commission v The Netherlands (C-353/89) [1991] ECR 4069	165
Commission v Spain (45/93) [1994] ECR I-911	165
Connors v United Kingdom, ECHR (2001) App No 66746/01, judgment of 27 May 2004	76, 87
Criminal proceedings against Rober Heinrich Maria Mutsch (137/84) [1985] ECR 2681	165
Cyprus v Turkey, ECHR (2001) App No 25781/94, judgment of 10 May 2001	37, 88
D.H and Others v The Czech Republic, ECHR (2007) App No 57325/00, judgment of 13 November 2007	48, 49, 77, 78, 89, 95
Demirel v Stadt Schwabisch Gmund (C-12/86) [1987] ECR, Rec 28	162
District of Columbia v Heller, 554 US 570 (2008)	123
Doğan and Others v Turkey, ECHR (2004) App Nos 8803–8811/02, 8813/02 and 8815–8819/02, judgment of 29 June 2004	88
Dogru v France, ECHR (2008) App No 27058/05, judgment of 4 December 2008	32, 33
EC—Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products WT/DS291/R, WT/DS292/R, WT/DS293/R	192
EC—Bananas III WT/DS27/AB/R (circulated 9 September 1997, adopted 25 September 1997)	189, 190
EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones), WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R	192, 193
Elliniki Radiophonia Tileorassi [1(C-260/89) 993] ECR I-2925	167, 168
Erich Stauder v City of Ulm—Sozialamt (29/69) [1969] ECR 419	160
Eweida and others v United Kingdom, ECHR (2013) (App Nos 48420/10, 59842/10, 51671/10, & 36516/10), judgment of 15 January 2013	17, 18, 30, 35
Fachverband der Buch- und Medienwirtschaft v LIBRO Handelsgesellschaft mbH (C-531/07) [2009] ECR I-3717	164
Faurisson v France, UN Doc CCPR/C/58/D/550/1993, 8 November 1996	38
Fernández Ortega et al v Mexico, IACtHR (2010) Series C, No 215, judgment of 30 August 2010	82
Fisher v University of Texas at Austin Docket No 11-345, 10 August 2012	49–51
Free Legal Assistance Group and Others v Zaire, ACHPR, Comm Nos 25/89, 47/90, 56/91, 100/93	30, 31
G and E v Norway Application Nos 9278/81 and 9415/81, DR 35	76, 87
Garaudy v France, ECHR (2003) App No 65831/01, decision of 24 June 2003	39

Garcia Avello (C-148/02) [2003] ECR I-11613	165
Garifuna Community of Cayos Cochinos and its Members v Honduras, Report No 39/07, Petition 1118-03, Admissibility, 24 July 2007	99, 114
Gorzelik and others v Poland, ECHR (2004) App No 44158/98, judgment of 17 February 2004	75, 90, 95, 104, 105
Grand River Enterprises Six Nations Ltd et al v United States of America, 12 January 2011	102, 155
Greco-Bulgarian 'Communities' case, 1930 PCIJ Series B, No 17	42, 45, 46
Grosaru v Romania ECHR (2010) App No 78039/01, judgment of 2 March 2010	86, 97
Grunkin and Paul (C-353/06) [2008] ECR I-7639	165
Grutter v Bollinger 539 US 306 (2003)	50
Gunme, Kevin Mgwanga et al. Cameroon, Comm No 266/2003 (2009)	107
Halvar From v Sweden, ECmHR (1998) App No 34776/97, admissibility decision of 4 March 1998	87
Handölsdalen Sami Village and Others v Sweden, ECHR (2010) App No 39013/04, judgment of 30 March 2010	87, 97, 110
Handyside v United Kingdom, ECHR (1976) Series A, No 24	38
Hartikainen v Finland, Comm No 40/1978, 9 April 1981, UN Doc A/36/40 (1981)	34
Hasan and Chaush v Bulgaria, ECHR (2000) App No 30985/96, judgment of 26 October 2000	76
Hinqitaq and others v Denmark ECHR (2006) App No 18584/04, admissibility decision of 12 January 2006	88
Hizb Ut-Tahrir and Others v Germany ECHR (2012) App No 31098/08, decision of 19 June 2012	38
Holy Synod of the Bulgarian Orthodox Church (Metropolitan Inokentiy) and others v Bulgaria, ECHR (2009) App Nos 412/03 and 35677/04, judgment of 22 January 2009	76
Howard v Canada, Comm No 879/1999, UN Doc CCPR/C/84/D/879/1999, 26 July 2005	52
Ilmari Länsman v Finland, UN Doc CCPR/52/D/511/1992	130
Internationale Handelsgesellschaft mbH v Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getreide und Futtermittel (11/70) [1970] ECR 1125	160
Inuit Tapiritt Kanatami and Others v Parliament and Council (T-18/10), September 2011 (not yet published)	115
Ivanov v Russia, ECHR (2007) App No 35222/04, decision of 20 February 2007	39
J.R.T and W.G. Party v Canada, Comm No 104/1981, UN Doc CCPR/C/OP/2, 6 April 1983	38
Japan—Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages, WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R (circulated 4 October 1996, adopted 1 November 1996)	189
Jurisdictional Immunities of the State (Germany v Italy) ICJ Reports (2012)	95
Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v Suriname, Report No 76/07, Petition 198-07, Admissibility, 15 October 2007	114
Kelly v United Kingdom, ECHR (2001) App No 30054/96, judgment of 4 May 2001	78
Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v Ecuador, IACtHR (2012) Series C, No 245, judgment of 27 June 2012	81, 84, 97, 98, 100, 113
Kitok v Sweden, No 197/1985, UN Doc A/43/40 (1988)	42, 130
Kjeldsen, Busk Madsen and Pedersen v Denmark, ECHR (1976) App Nos 5095/71, 5920/72, 5926/72, judgment of 7 December 1976	34
Kokkinakis v Greece, ECHR (1993) Series A, No 260-A, App No 14307/88, judgment of 25 May 1993	31, 32

Könkämä and 38 Other Saami Villages v Sweden, ECmHR (1996) App No 27033/95, admissibility decision of 25 November 1996	87
Länsman v Finland, UN Doc CCPR/52/D/511/1992, 26 October 1994	52, 130
Lautsi and others v Italy, ECHR (2011) App No 30814/06, judgments of 3 November 2009 & 18 March 2011 (GC)	22, 34, 35
Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970), ICJ Reports (1971)	98
Leyla Şahin v Turkey, ECHR (2004) App No 44774/98, judgment of 29 June 2004	18, 106
Loizidou v Turkey (Preliminary Objections), ECHR (1995) 20 EHRR (1995)	98
Loren Laroye Riebe Star and Ors v Mexico, I/ACHR (1999) Case 11.610, Report No 49/99, 13 April 1999	30
Lovelace v Canada, Comm No 24/1977, 30 July 1981	42, 43
Lubicon Lake Band (Bernard Ominayak) v Canada, Comm No 167/1984, 26 March 1990, UN Doc A/45/40, Pt 2 (1990)	52, 130
M.K v France, UN Doc CCPR/C/37/D/222/1987, Decision on Admissibility, 18 December 1989	42
Manoussakis and Ors v Greece, ECHR (1996) App No 18748/91, judgment of 26 September 1996	32
Mary and Carrie Dann v United States, Report No 75/02 Case 11.1140, 27 December 2002	97–9
Maya Indigenous Communities of the Toledo District v Belize, Report No 96/03, Case 12.053, 24 October 2003	98, 99
Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tigni Community v Nicaragua, I/ACtHR (2001) Series C, No 79	55, 57, 79, 80, 97, 115, 137, 149, 246
Media Rights Agenda and Others v Nigeria, ACHPR Comm Nos 105/93, 128/94, 130/94, 152/92	37
Metropolitan Church of Bessarabia and Ors v Moldova, ECHR (2001) App No 45701/99, judgment of 13 December 2001	31, 75
Minority Schools in Albania 1935 PCIJ Series A/B, No 64	45, 46, 88, 110
Moiwana Village v Suriname, IACtHR (2005) Series C, No 124, 15 June 2005	137, 138, 246, 270
Muñoz Díaz v Spain ECHR (2007) App No 49151/07, judgment of 8 December 2009	77, 95
Musik-Vertrieb Membran GmbH v GEMA (55/80 & 57/80) [1981] ECR 147	164
Nachova and others v Bulgaria ECHR (2005) App Nos 43577/98 & 43579/98, judgment of 6 July 2005	78
Ngöbe Indigenous Community and their members in the Changuinola River Valley v Panama, Report No 75/09, Petition 286-08, Admissibility, 5 August 2009	101, 114
Noack v Germany ECHR (2000) App No 46346/99, admissibility decision of 25 May 2000	77, 97
Nold v Commission (4/73) [1974] ECR 491	160, 162
North Sea Continental Shelf (Federal Republic of Germany v Denmark and Netherlands) [1969] ICJ Rep 3	146
Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v United States of America) ICJ Reports (2003) 161	103
Öneriyildiz v Turkey, ECHR (2004) App No 48389/99, judgment of 30 November 2004	114
Oršuš and others v Croatia, ECHR (2010) App No 15766/03, judgment of 16 March 2010	78, 79
P. Steinhauser v City of Biarritz (197/84) [1985] ECR 1819	163, 165
Plan de Sánchez Massacre v Guatemala (IACtHR) Series C, No 116, judgment of 19 November 2004	246

Prosecutor v Blaskić, Case IT-95-14-T, Trial Chamber, judgment of 3 March 2000	252
Prosecutor v Brđani, Case IT-99-36-T, Trial Chamber, judgment of 1 September 2004	252
Prosecutor v Đorđević, Case IT-05-87/1-T, Trial Chamber, judgment of 23 February 2011	252
Prosecutor v Kordić & Cerkez, Case IT-95-14/2-T, Trial Chamber, judgment of 26 February 2001	252, 257
Prosecutor v Krajišnik, Case IT-00-39-T, Trial Chamber, judgment of 27 September 2006	252
Prosecutor v Krstić, Case IT-98-33-T, Trial Chamber, judgment of 2 August 2001	252
Prosecutor v Martić, Case IT-95-11-T, judgment of 12 June 2007	252
Prosecutor v Milutinović, Šainović, Ojdanić, Pavković, Lazarević, Lukić, Case IT-05-87-T, judgment of 26 February 2009	252
Refah Partisi (the Welfare Party) and others v Turkey, ECHR (2001), App Nos 41340/98, 41342/98, 41343/98, & 41344/98, judgment of 31 July 2001	23
Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Advisory Opinion), ICJ Reports (1951) 19, 28 May 1951	210
Rewe/Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein (120/78) [1979] ECR 649	164, 168
Rights of Minorities in Upper Silesia (Minority Schools), 1928 PCIJ Series A, No 15	42, 44
Roland Rutili v Minister for the Interior (36/75) [1975] ECR 1219	167
Runevič-Vardyn and Wardyn (C-391/09) [2011] ECR I-3787	165
S.G. v France, UN Doc CCPR/C/43/D/347/1988, Decision on Admissibility, 1 November 1991	42
Sacchi [1(155/73) 974] ECR 409	163
Safia Yakubu Husaini and Others v Nigeria ACHPR, Comm No 269/03, Decision, 27 April 2005	68
Şahin v Turkey, ECHR (2005) App No 44774/98, judgment of 10 November 2005	32, 33, 106
Sampanis and others v Greece, ECHR (2008) App No 32526/05, judgment of 5 June 2008	78
Saramaka People v Suriname (Interpretation of the Judgment on Preliminary Objections) I/ACtHR (2008) Series C, No 172	65, 80, 81, 82, 90, 93, 99, 137, 246, 247
Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v Paraguay, IACtHR 2006 Series C, No 126, judgment of 29 March 2006	82, 91, 99, 101, 102, 106, 137, 246
Sayn-Wittgenstein (C-208/09) [2010] ECR I-13693	165
Secession of Quebec, Re [1998] 2 SCR 217	66
Sejdić and Finci v Bosnia and Herzegovina ECHR (2009) App Nos 27996/06 & 34836/06, judgment of 22 December 2009	79, 86
Serif v Greece ECHR (1999) App No 38178/97, judgment of 14 December 1999	32, 76
Sidiropoulos and others v Greece, ECHR (1998) Reports 1998–IV, judgment of 10 July 1998	85, 94, 96
Sindicatul Păstorul cel Bun v Romania, ECHR (2012) App No 2330/09, judgment of 31 January 2012	18
Singer v Canada, UN Doc CCPR/C/51/D/455/1991 (1994), 26 July 1994	37
Social and Economic Rights Action Center for Economic and Social Rights v Nigeria, Comm No 155/96 (2001)	83
Stoica v Romania, ECHR (2008) App No 42722/02, judgment of 4 March 2008	79
T.K. v France, HRC, UN Doc CCPR/C/37/D/220/1987, Decision on Admissibility, 8 November 1989	42
Temeltasch v Switzerland (1983) DR 31	211
Thlimmenos v Greece ECHR (1997) App No 34369/97, judgment of 6 April 2000	77, 89, 106, 111
Timishev v Russia, ECHR (2005) App Nos 55762/00 and 55974/00, judgment of 13 December 2005	85

Tourkiki Enosi Xanthi and Others v Greece, ECHR (2008) App No 26698/05, judgment of 27 March 2008	75
Treatment of Polish Nationals and other Persons of Polish Origin or Speech in the Danzig Territory, 1932 PCIJ Series A/B, No 44	44
Turan Cakir v Belgium ECHR (2009) App No 44256/06, judgment of 10 March 2009	106
United States—Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC—Hormones Dispute, WT/DS320/AB/R	192
United States—Measures Affecting the Production and Sale of Clove Cigarettes, WT/DS406/AB/R	189
Wachauf (5/88) [1989] ECR 2609	167
Wallace v Jaffree, 472 US 38 (1985)	33
Weber v Switzerland ECHR (1990) Series A, No 177	211
X v United Kingdom, ECHR (1981) App No 8160/78, 22 DR 27	31
X and Y v The Netherlands, ECHR Series A, No 91, judgment of 26 March 1985	85
Xákmok Kásek Indigenous Community v Paraguay IACtHR (2010) Series C, No 214, judgment of 24 August 2010	79, 82, 91, 92, 247, 248, 269–70
Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v Paraguay, I/ACtHR (2005) Series C, No 125	57, 63–5, 80–2, 84, 91–3, 97, 137, 246
Yanomami v Brazil, Resolution No 12/85, Case No 7615, 5 March 1985	73
Yordanova and others v Bulgaria, ECHR (2012) App No 25446/06, judgment of 24 April 2012	87, 89
Yumak and Sadak v Turkey ECHR (2008) App No 10226/03, judgment of 8 July 2008	87
Ždanoka v Latvia, ECHR (2006) App No 58278/00, judgment of 16 March 2006	86

Tables of Instruments

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 1981 (ACHPR)	30	Bill of Rights 1689	13, 28
Art 8	29, 55	Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam 1990	16, 17
Art 9	37	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union 2000 (CFR)	5, 161, 174, 175
Art 13	37	Preamble	165
Art 13(2)	38	Art 10	29
Art 14	56, 84	Art 13	166
Art 17	56, 84	Art 17	166
Art 17(2)	55	Art 21	166
Art 17(3)	55	Art 22	167, 169
Art 20	41, 107	Art 25	166
Art 21	107	Art 51(1)	167, 168
Art 22	107	Art 52(2)	166
Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures 1994 (SPS Agreement)	192, 193	Art 52(3)	166
Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade 1994 (TBT Agreement)	192	Art 53	166
Art 2.1	189	Convention on Biological Diversity 1992 (CBD)	194, 196, 201, 202
Art 2.2	200	Art 1	195
Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights 1994 (TRIPS)	6, 7, 193	Art 8(j)	195
Art 7	197	Art 10(c)	195
Art 8	196	Art 22(1)	197
Art 9.1	194	Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women 1981 (CEDAW)	2, 7, 133, 207, 212
Art 22.1	197	Art 2	214, 216–19, 225–7, 230–2, 236, 237
Art 22.2	198	Art 5	218, 219, 234
Art 23	199	Art 7	218, 235
Art 23.1	199	Art 9	214, 216, 219, 225, 227, 230–3, 236
Art 39	197	Art 9(1)	217
American Bill of Rights 1791	120, 123	Art 9(2)	216, 217, 224–6, 235
American Convention on Human Rights 1969 (ACHR)	74	Art 15	214, 216, 219, 225, 227, 230, 231, 233
Art 4	82, 91–3, 99	Art 15(1)	216
Art 5(1)	83	Art 15(2)	217, 218, 232
Art 12	29	Art 15(4)	216, 218, 225, 226, 231, 236
Art 12(4)	33	Art 16	18, 214, 216–19, 225–7, 230–2, 237
Art 21	79, 82, 91–3, 99, 106, 137	Art 16(1)	226
Art 21(2)	80, 92, 93	Art 16(1)(a)	216, 235
Art 23	83	Art 16(1)(c)	216, 218, 232, 236
Art 24	83	Art 16(1)(d)	216, 232, 236
Art 29(b)	98, 100, 103		
Art 63(1)	91, 115		
American Declaration on the Rights and Duties of Man 1948 (ADRDM)	99		

Art 16(1)(e)	218	Export and Transfer of Ownership	
Art 16(1)(f)	216, 225, 235, 236	of Cultural Property 1970	256
Art 16(1)(g)	216, 218, 235, 236	Convention concerning the Protection	
Art 16(2)	216, 219, 235, 236	of the World Cultural and Natural	
Art 18	233	Heritage 1972 (World Heritage	
Art 28	213	Convention)	8, 254, 255, 259, 260
Art 28(2)	221, 222, 224, 232, 235	Convention on the Safeguarding	
Art 29	213	of Intangible Cultural	
Convention for the Protection of Human		Heritage 2003	242
Rights and Dignity of the Human Being		Convention against Torture and	
to the Application of Biology and Medicine		Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading	
1997 (Convention on Human Rights and		Treatment or Punishment 1984	
Biomedicine)		(Convention against Torture)	133
Art 11	166	Dayton Peace Agreement 1995	86
Convention on the Means of Prohibiting		Declaration on the Elimination of All	
and Preventing the Illicit Import,		Forms of Intolerance and of	
Export and Transfer of Ownership		Discrimination Based on Religion	
of Cultural Property 1970	256	or Belief 1981	31
Convention on the Protection of the		Declaration concerning the	
Diversity of Cultural Contents and		Intentional Destruction of Cultural	
Artistic Expressions 2005	242	Heritage 2003	258
Art 2.2	191	Declaration of Principles of International	
Art 6.1	191	Cooperation 1966, Art 1(3)	59
Convention on the Protection and		European Charter for Regional or	
Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural		Minority Languages 1992	96
Expressions 2001 (UNESCO Diversity		European Convention of Human Rights	
Convention)	6, 170, 184, 191, 254	and Fundamental Freedoms	
Art 1	134, 173, 241	1950 (ECHR)	5, 29, 73
Art 2	134, 173, 205	Art 2	78, 114
Art 2(1)	205	Art 3	48, 78
Art 4	172	Art 6(1)	86
Art 4(3)	198	Art 7	166
Art 4(4)	198	Art 8	34, 76, 78, 85,
Art 4(8)	134		86, 88, 93, 112, 162, 166
Art 5	171	Art 8(2)	87, 162
Art 6(2)	173	Art 9	31, 33, 166
Art 6(2)(a)–(b)	198	Art 10	37, 93, 166
Art 7(1)	173	Art 10(2)	38
Art 29	171	Art 11	31, 85, 95, 112, 162, 166
Convention on the Rights of the Child		Art 12	166
1989 (CRC)	132, 207	Art 14	48, 49, 74, 77,
Art 29(1)(c)	41		78, 84, 85, 89, 93, 95,
Art 29(1)(d)	50, 133		105, 112, 162, 166
Art 30	132, 133	Art 46(2)	115
Convention for the Safeguarding of the		Art 53	96
Intangible Cultural Heritage 2003		Protocol 1, Art 1	86, 88, 95
(CSICH)	133, 242, 254	Protocol 1, Art 2	33, 34, 48,
Art 2	134		49, 88, 162
Art 12(1)	194	Protocol 1, Art 3	86
Art 16	254	Protocol 14	116
Art 17	254	Framework Convention on National	
Convention on the Means of Prohibiting		Minorities 1995 (FCNM)	41, 90
and Preventing the Illicit Import,		Art 4(2)	90

Art 5(2)	58	Art 22	214
Art 22	67	International Covenant on Civil and	
Framework Convention on the Value		Political Rights 1966	
of Cultural Heritage for Society 2005		(ICCPR)	5, 29, 210
(Faro Convention)	60, 61	Art 1	124, 130, 264
Art 1	61	Art 6	129
Art 4	61	Art 17	129, 219
Art 7	61	Art 18	30
French Declaration of the Rights of		Art 18(4)	33
Man and Citizen 1789	13, 123	Art 19	37, 38
Art 1	40	Art 19(3)	38, 39
Art 10	28	Art 20	38, 39
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade		Art 21	47
1947 (GATT)	187	Art 22	47
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade		Art 23	129
1994 (GATT)		Art 26	47
Art I:1	189	Art 27	1, 42, 43, 44, 47,
Art III:1	189		51–4, 76, 83, 85, 97,
Art III:2	189		108, 127, 129–32, 245, 262
Art III:4	189	International Covenant of Economic,	
Art IV	188	Social and Cultural Rights 1966	
Art XIV(a)	190	(ICESCR)	5, 82, 198
Art XX	190	Art 1	124, 264
Art XX(a)	190, 199	Art 12	132
Art XX(b)	199, 200	Art 13	163, 171
Art XX(c)	199	Art 13(3)	33
Art XX(f)	187	Art 15	1, 6, 35, 36, 44, 47,
General Agreement on Trade in Services			53, 54, 67, 68, 163, 171, 262
1997 (GATS)	189	Art 15(1)(a)	131, 198
Art XIV(a)	199	Art 15(1)(c)	200
Geneva Conventions 1949	251	Art 15(2)	198
Protocol I (Protection of Victims		Art 16	54
of International Armed		Art 17	54
Conflicts)	251	Mexico City Declaration on Cultural	
Protocol II (Protection of Victims		Policies 1982	240, 266
of Non-International Armed		Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic	
Conflicts)	251	Resources and the Fair and Equitable	
Hague Convention for the Protection		Sharing of Benefits Arising from their	
of Cultural Property during Armed		Utilization 2010	195
Conflict 1954	8, 242, 256, 257, 265	Peace Treaty with Poland 1919	
Art 3	255	Art 2	44
Protocol 1, Art 1.1	260	Arts 3–6	41
ILO Convention No 169 concerning		Arts 7–9	44
Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in		Statute of the International Court of	
Independent Countries 1989	42, 84,	Justice 1945 (ICJ Statute)	
	97–9, 103, 124, 125, 139	Art 38(1)	146
Art 1	106	Statute of the International Criminal	
International Convention on the		Court 1998 (Rome Statute)	
Elimination of All Forms of Racial		Art 8(2)(b)(ix)	251
Discrimination 1966 (CERD)	44, 262	Art 8(2)(e)(iv)	251
Art 1(4)	49	Art 12	265
Art 2(2)	49	Art 75	271
Art 5(d)(iii)	41	Art 79	271

Treaty of Münster 1648	28	Art 34	145
Treaty of Peace of St Germain-en-Laye		Art 36	145
1919, Art 7	37	Art 37	145
Treaty of Westphalia 1648	28, 44	Art 38	147
United Nations Charter 1945	74	Art 39	145
Art 1	46	Art 41	145
United Nations Declaration on the Rights		Art 42	145
of Persons Belonging to National or		Art 43	145
Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic		Art 45	145
Minorities 1992 (Minorities		Art 46	141, 142
Declaration)	51	Art 46(1)	143, 150
Art 1(1)	58	Art 46(2)	67, 145
Arts 1–3	52	Art 46(3)	145
Art 3(2)	42	Universal Declaration on Cultural	
Art 4(2)	67	Diversity 2001	59, 60, 133
Art 8(2)	67	Art 1	241
UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous		Universal Declaration of Human Rights	
Peoples 2007 (UNDRIP)	5, 52, 99,	1948 (UDHR)	5, 13, 18, 40, 74, 146
118, 119, 125, 129, 140, 194, 259		Recital 1	40
Preamble	120, 145	Art 2	46
Art 1	143	Art 7	46
Art 2	143	Art 15	41
Art 3	141, 143, 150	Art 18	29, 30
Art 4	141, 143, 150, 153	Art 19	37
Art 5	58	Art 26(3)	33
Art 6	41	Art 27	35, 36, 162, 163, 171
Art 7(2)	143	Art 27(1)	35
Art 8	58	US Declaration of Independence of 1776 ...	13
Art 8(1)	143	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	
Art 8(2)	145	1969 (VCLT)	206, 207, 212
Art 9	43	Art 2(d)	209
Art 10	143	Art 19	209, 221
Art 11	143	Art 19(c)	224
Arts 11–13	56	Arts 19–23	233
Art 12	144	Art 20(4)(b)	227
Art 13	143, 144	Art 21(1)	209
Art 14	144	Art 31	96
Art 15	144	Art 31(3)(b)	100, 113
Art 16	144	Art 31(3)(c)	99, 103, 263
Art 17	142, 145		
Art 17(1)	143		
Art 18	145		
Art 19	145		
Art 21	145		
Art 22	145		
Art 23	145		
Art 24	145		
Art 25	56, 144		
Art 26	57, 145		
Art 28	145		
Art 31	56		
Art 31(1)	143		
Art 32	145		
Art 32(2)	145		

EU LEGISLATION

PRIMARY LEGISLATION

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the	
European Union	161
Lisbon Treaty 2009	161
Treaty on European Union 1992	
(TEU or Maastricht Treaty)	
Art F(2)	161
Art 2	162
Art 3(3)	166
Art 4(2)	166
Art 6	167

Art 6(1)	167	Dir 93/83/EC OJ 1993 L 248/15 on the coordination of certain rules concerning copyright and rights related to copyright applicable to satellite broadcasting and cable retransmission	164
Art 6(1)–(3)	161	Dir 93/98/EC OJ 1993 L 290/9 harmonizing the term of protection of copyright and certain related rights	164
Art 7	161	Dir 2000/43/EC OJ 2000 L 180/22 implementing the principle of equal treatment between persons irrespective of racial or ethnic origin	176
Art 21	161	Dir 2000/78/EC OJ 2000 L 303/16 establishing a general framework for equal treatment in employment and occupation	176
Art 46	161	Dir 2001/29/EC OJ 2001 L 167/10 on the harmonization of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society	164
Art 49	161	Dir 2001/84/EC OJ 2001 L 272/32 on the resale right for the benefit of the author of an original work of art	164
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union 1958 (TFEU or Rome Treaty)		Dir 2003/86/EC OJ 2003 L 251/12 on the right to family reunification	177
Art 18	163	Dir 2003/109/EC OJ 2004 L 16/44 concerning the status of third-country nationals who are long-term residents	177
Art 19	163	Dir 2004/38/EC OJ 2004 L 158/77 on the right of citizens of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory of the Member States	177
Art 19(1)	166	Dir 2006/115/EC, OJ 2006 L 376/28 repealing Dir 92/100/EEC	164
Art 20	165	Dir 2006/116/EC, OJ 2006 L 372/18 repealing Dir 93/98/EC	164
Arts 34–36	163	Dir 2010/13/EU OJ 2010 L 95/1 on the coordination of certain provisions laid down by law, regulation, or administrative action in member states concerning the provision of audiovisual media services	176
Art 36	164	Dir 2011/77/EU, OJ 2011 L 265/1 amending Dir 93/98/EC	164
Art 45	163		
Art 49	163		
Art 56	163		
Art 114	164		
Art 167	167, 169		
Art 167(1)	167, 169		
Art 167(1)–(5)	169		
Art 167(2)	170		
Art 167(4)	171, 183		
Art 167(5)	170, 179		
Art 176(4)	170		
Art 207(4)	169		
Art 216(2)	171		
Treaty of Nice 2000	161		
 SECONDARY LEGISLATION			
Regulations			
Reg 3911/92/EEC OJ 1992 L 395/1 on the export of cultural goods	164		
Reg 168/2007/EC OJ 2007 L 53/1 establishing a European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, Art 1	178		
Directives			
Dir 92/100/EEC OJ 1992 L 346/61 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property	164		
Dir 93/7/EEC OJ 1993 L 74/74 on the return of cultural objects unlawfully removed from the territory of a member state	164		

Notes on Contributors

Pasquale Annicchino is a Research Fellow at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies at the European University Institute. He received his PhD in Law from the University of Siena where he also graduated in law *summa cum laude* in December 2006. In 2007 he was a Visiting Scholar at the Centre for Law and Religion of the Emory University Law School in Atlanta (United States). In 2009 he specialized (LLM) in European Public Law at University College London (United Kingdom), where he also served as Editor in Chief of the *UCL Human Rights Review*. Pasquale is also a fellow in Constitutional Law and Comparative Constitutional Law in the Department of Political Science of the University of Salerno. He serves as Book Review editor for *Religion and Human Rights: An International Journal* and is a member of the Editorial Board of *Quaderni di Diritto e Politica Ecclesiastica* published by Il Mulino. His main interests lie in the areas of legal theory, law and religion, EU law, religion, and politics.

Yvonne Donders is Professor of International Human Rights and Cultural Diversity and Executive Director of the Amsterdam Center for International Law at the Faculty of Law of the University of Amsterdam. She graduated from Utrecht University in international relations and obtained her PhD at the Law Faculty of Maastricht University on cultural human rights and the right to cultural identity. Her research interests include public international law, international human rights law, in particular economic, social and cultural rights, and human rights and cultural diversity. Yvonne Donders worked from March 2011 to October 2012 as project manager (one day per week detachment) at the Netherlands Institute for Human Rights (College voor de Rechten van de Mens), assisting the transformation of the Equal Treatment Commission to the NHRI. Previously Yvonne Donders worked as Programme Specialist on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the Division of Human Rights and Struggle against Discrimination of UNESCO's Secretariat in Paris. She is currently a member of the National Commission for UNESCO, member of the European Expert Network on Culture (EENC), member of the Board of the Royal Netherlands Society of International Law and Chair of the Dutch United Nations Association (Nederlandse Vereniging voor de Verenigde Naties, NVVN).

Federico Lenzerini is Professor of International Law and European Union Law at the University of Siena (Italy). He is also Professor in the LLM programme in Intercultural Human Rights at St Thomas University School of Law, Miami (FL), USA. He has been Consultant to UNESCO (Paris) and Counsel to the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs for international negotiations related to cultural heritage. He has been Rapporteur of the Committee on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and a member of the Biotechnology Committee of the International Law Association, and is currently a member of the Committee on Cultural Heritage Law of the same Association. His fields of research include human rights law, culture and cultural heritage, asylum and refugee law, international trade law, rights of indigenous peoples.

Gaetano Pentassuglia, PhD, is currently a Reader in International Law and Human Rights, Director of LLM Studies, and Director of the Human Rights and International Law Unit at the University of Liverpool Law School. He has taught at prestigious universities in Europe and North America. More recently, he was a Fernand Braudel Senior Fellow

at the European University Institute, Italy, and a Visiting Professor at the University of Toronto. He is the author of numerous leading works in the area of international law, particularly human rights, minority and indigenous rights, including *Minority Groups and Judicial Discourse in International Law* (2009), and *Minorities in International Law* (2002).

Evangelia Psychogiopoulou is a lawyer and research fellow at the Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy (ELIAMEP), Athens, Greece. A graduate of the Faculty of Law of the Kapodistrian University of Athens, she holds a DEA in EU law from Paris I University, a master of research degree in law from the European University Institute (Florence, Italy), and a PhD in Law from the same university. Her main areas of research include EU cultural and media policies and human rights protection. She has held research and management positions at the Academy of European Law (Florence, Italy), the Directorate General Education and Culture of the European Commission and UNESCO. Her articles have appeared in *European Foreign Affairs Review*, *European Law Journal*, *European Law Review*, *Legal Issues of Economic Integration* and *European State Aid Law Quarterly*, among others. Her recent publications include *The Integration of Cultural Considerations in EU Law and Policies* (2008), *The European Court of Human Rights and the Rights of Marginalised Individuals and Minorities in National Context* (ed) (2010), and *Understanding Media Policies: A European Perspective* (ed) (2012).

Olivier Roy is presently Professor at the European University Institute (Florence), where he heads the Mediterranean programme at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies. Professor Roy received an 'Agrégation de Philosophie' and a PhD in Political Science (Sciences-Po, Paris). He has been a Senior Researcher at the French National Center for Scientific Research (since 1985), Professor at the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales (since 2003), and visiting professor at Berkeley University (2008/09). He headed the OSCE's Mission for Tajikistan (1993–94) and was a Consultant for the UN Office of the Coordinator for Afghanistan (1988). His field works include studies on Afghanistan, Political Islam, Middle East, Islam in the West and comparative religions. He is the author of *Holy Ignorance* (2010), *Globalized Islam* (2004), and *The Failure of Political Islam* (1994). He currently heads a major project, 'ReligioWest', which is investigating the 'formatting' of religions in the West.

Ana Filipa Vrdoljak is Professor of Law and Associate Dean (Research), Faculty of Law, University of Technology, Sydney. She is the author of *International Law, Museums and the Return of Cultural Objects* (2006) and co-editor with Federico Lenzerini of *International Law for Common Goods: Normative Perspectives on Human Rights, Culture and Nature* (2013). She is co-General Editor, with Francesco Francioni, of the new Oxford University Press book series entitled *Cultural Heritage Law and Policy* and Advisory Board member for the *International Journal of Cultural Property*. She is a member of the International Law Association's Cultural Heritage Law Committee and Secretary of the International Cultural Property Society (US). Professor Vrdoljak has been Marie Curie Fellow and Jean Monnet Fellow, in the Department of Law, European University Institute, Florence. She holds a Doctor of Philosophy (in Law) from the University of Sydney.

Tania Voon is Professor and Associate Dean (Research) at Melbourne Law School, The University of Melbourne. She is a former Legal Officer of the WTO Appellate Body Secretariat and has previously practised law with Mallesons Stephen Jaques (now King & Wood Mallesons) and the Australian Government Solicitor. Tania has also taught law at Georgetown University, the University of Western Ontario, the Australian National University, Monash University, and Bond University. Tania undertook her Master of

Laws at Harvard Law School and her PhD in Law at the University of Cambridge. She has published widely in the areas of public international law and international economic law. She is the author of *Cultural Products and the World Trade Organization* (2007) and a member of the Indicative List of Governmental and Non-Governmental Panelists for resolving WTO disputes. Tania has provided expert advice and training to entities such as the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, the WTO, the World Health Organization, Telstra, and the McCabe Centre for Law and Cancer.

Siegfried Wiessner is Professor of Law at St Thomas University School of Law and the Founder and Director of its LLM and JSD programmes in Intercultural Human Rights. He holds a law degree (1977) as well as a *Dr iur* (1989) from the University of Tübingen and an LLM from Yale University (1982). He is the Editor-in-Chief of Martinus Nijhoff's 'Studies in Intercultural Human Rights'. In 2009, he was a Fernand Braudel Senior Fellow at the European University Institute in Florence, Italy. From 2007 to 2010, he was a member of the Executive Council of The American Society of International Law. From 2008 to 2012, he served as the Chair of the International Law Association's Committee on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Professor Wiessner has published widely in the fields of constitutional law, international law, human rights, international indigenous law, the law of armed conflict, arbitration, space law, and refugee law. He is co-author, with Michael Reisman, of *International Law in Contemporary Perspective* (2004) and is the author of *Die Funktion der Staatsangehörigkeit* (1989), on the function of nationality.

Introduction

Ana Filipa Vrdoljak

The manifestations of culture are ever present and cultures themselves are diverse. These characteristics have rendered culture a difficult fit for international law. It has either largely ignored culture, as is typified by the underdevelopment of cultural rights, or has promoted its exclusivity, as exemplified in the rules governing state succession or state immunity. As understandings of culture have evolved in recent decades beyond the realms of so-called high culture to encompass culture as ways of life there has been a shift in emphasis from national cultures to cultural diversity within and across states. This has entailed a push to more fully articulate cultural rights within human rights law. A renewed academic focus on this area of international human rights law has thus grown, in particular, with the evolution of Article 15 of the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) (the right to participate in cultural life) and Article 27 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) (Minorities). While fundamentally important to the theme of this volume—*the cultural dimension of human rights*—it is not its driving force. Instead, the intersections between culture and human rights are shown to have engaged some of the most heated and controversial debates across international law and theory, broadly testing their boundaries and fostering their evolution in response to these challenges.

Despite the diversity of contributions to this volume, they are clearly tied by one unifying thread—that culture is understood, protected, and promoted not only for its physical manifestations. Rather, it is the relationship of culture to people, individually or in groups, and the diversity of these relationships which is being protected and promoted; hence, the overlap between culture and human rights.

The significance in this shift in outlook that has occurred in international law in the last half century is clearly evident in its interaction with states, the international community and individuals. For states, where Article 15 ICESCR was previously defined as the right to participate in national cultural life and Article 27 ICCPR was drafted on the premise that the state would determine the existence of minorities within its territory, they are today required to report on positive measures they have implemented to enable minorities and indigenous peoples to maintain and develop their distinct cultural identities. For the international community, where previously the importance of culture and its manifestations was promoted largely on the basis of its role in the arts and sciences, today its promotion is based on its importance in ensuring the contribution of all peoples to the cultural heritage of humanity. For the individual, where cultural rights were interpreted to harmonize with civil and political rights designed to foster their integration within the state, today there is a greater cognizance in human rights law that we carry within us a

multiplicity of identities, whether they be cultural, religious, or other communal affiliations, and equally defining, immutable characteristics like gender, ethnicity, age, sexual orientation, or disabilities. The shift and its impact should not be overstated. The preeminence of the state and the scaffolding created for it by modern international law has not dissolved. But what this volume seeks to do is at the very least register how this shift is occurring.

The two contributions in Part I provide an overview of the tensions between culture and human rights in law from a political and social theory perspective and legal perspective. They serve as a backdrop for the remaining discussions contained in this volume. Both chapters focus on the interplay of religion, culture, and human rights in defining relations between individuals and groups within states and the broader international community. They take a wider historical view and hit similar thematic notes of contention, narrowing in on questions of compromise and consensus.

In *Human Rights between Religions, Cultures and Universality*, Olivier Roy and Pasquale Annicchino focus on the questions and concerns raised by contemporary societies which expose the tensions and clashes between human rights, cultures, and religions. They explore how the totalizing and universalizing mythology of each renders this conflict inevitable and necessary. Yet they point out that that which brings them into conflict—that they occupy the same space (relations between individuals, groups, and society) and employ the same strategies (normative source)—is also a possible ground for consensus and their compatibility. They examine the intersection between human rights, Christianity, and secularism in Western societies through time, noting that the link made between human rights and secularization has received push back from religious conservatives and from those opposing the imposition of a supranational system of values and norms. Those in the latter camp who reject the universality of human rights law either adopt a relativist view which embraces an anthropological notion of culture and argue that it is a product of one particular (Western) cultural tradition; or conversely, hold the view that universal values can only be formulated by a divine being and are above individual will. Roy and Annicchino observe that both positions and their various manifestations today are highly politicized, as reflected in the response of certain religious or religiously dominated states to the Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) and the United Nations resolutions on sexual orientation and gender identity. Yet, they note that migration and the resultant cultural and religious diversity have required secular countries themselves to revisit and re-evaluate the relationship between religion, culture, and human rights within their states. Human rights are used to impose a set of common values internally within a state and externally it has led to a loss of state sovereignty through the judicialization of international relations. Roy and Annicchino argue that a consensus on human rights is not possible for political reasons and, in any case, these debates are irrelevant. Rather, the current disconnection of religions from culture has allowed religious groups to engage new tools to respond to changes in the contemporary political sphere. This has led diverse religious and cultural groups towards a ‘common paradigm of legal norms and

principles', which will result in a 'common political culture, but not a common secularization process'.

My chapter, *Liberty, Equality, Diversity: States, Cultures, and International Law* covers similar terrain from an international law perspective. I explore how culture is engaged by contemporary international law, particularly human rights law. As with Roy and Annicchino, the state remains my central focus as its relations with individuals and groups are defined and refined through law and rights discourse. A structure based on the remodelled republican cry of *liberté, égalité, fraternité* highlights the various strategies employed over almost 400 years, from tolerance, non-discrimination, and solidarity, to address relations between majority and minority groups within societies, whether that affiliation be religious or cultural. The first and most narrow phase arose with the move to reconfigure relations between the church and state, through the adoption of official tolerance and acceptance of religious pluralism, and the articulation of the right to religious freedom. These and related freedoms (like freedom of expression, association, assembly) defined relations between the state and the individual but also between the individual and other individuals within society. These freedoms were limited so that their enjoyment did not harm others or offend public morals. This template was extended to encompass cultural groups and the notion of non-discrimination. Strict equality between individuals could not feasibly be attained through civil and political rights alone. It had to encompass the cultural realm and ensure that public resources and spaces were available to all groups and individuals. Non-discrimination has been pivotal in the breakdown of segregation between groups within states and to ensuring the participation of all individuals in political processes regardless of their religious, cultural, or racial affiliation. Yet, conversely, the right to culture was no longer simply defined as a right to a culture (usually the national culture), but a right to one's own culture. There was an opening up of the numerical breadth of participation and depth in the diversity of views and values. Cultural diversity is promoted in contemporary international law not because of the principle of equality but because it is viewed as a common good of the international community. Yet, there is awareness that diversity is only sustainable with the recognition that cultures and religions are not static, homogeneous, or apolitical and there is a vital need to ensure effective participation and dialogue within groups themselves. Such processes would lead to consensus on the core values of a common culture in which the majority and minorities can co-exist.

Part II contains contributions which extrapolate the influence on international law of two distinct groups, minorities and indigenous peoples, which have pursued recognition of collective cultural rights through human rights law. Both chapters speak to the evolutionary potential of human rights law in responding to such claims.

In *Protecting Minority Groups through Human Rights Courts: The Interpretive Role of European and Inter-American Jurisprudence*, Gaetano Pentassuglia compares and contrasts the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (I/ACtHR) concerning cases brought by minorities and indigenous peoples respectively. In his detailed account,

Pentassuglia initially reviews how recognition of collective rights has influenced the substantive and procedural aspects of each jurisdiction. Under the European Convention, this has been driven by the principle of non-discrimination and claims by minorities. Under the American Convention, it is propelled by claims by indigenous peoples and positive obligations concerning land rights. Pentassuglia details how the European jurisprudence is driven by the principle of 'special vigilance' in respect of racial discrimination. So while the ECtHR usually promotes negative obligations, it is prepared to circumscribe state discretion through positive obligations in order to promote pluralism. By contrast, the American Court works from the starting point of a framework of positive obligations arising under the ACHR which limit state sovereignty. Pentassuglia argues that despite this divergence in approaches there is a convergence on procedural aspects which recognizes the collective characteristic of these actions. He also notes that both Courts are open to developments beyond their own jurisdictions in human rights law relating to minorities and indigenous peoples. But again, the European Court has shown itself to date to be more reticent and cautious than its American counterpart. A telling example of this difference is typified in their respective stances on self-identification. Where the ECtHR has indicated that subjective determination by the group itself was not enough (while indicating that it would exercise a degree of oversight over the state's margin of appreciation concerning internal definitions and recognition mechanisms), the I/ACtHR has adopted a more fluid interpretation revolving around historical links to land. Pentassuglia makes the telling point that the jurisprudence of both Courts is important not so much for these definitional aspects but because of the malleability of the judicial readings of human rights law which renders it responsive to the claims of groups made vulnerable because of their group identity. He rightly suggests that the divergent judicial responses are inevitable with distinct instruments and the socio-political contexts of the states parties, but equally that increased convergence is likely in the future as supranational and domestic courts open themselves up to developments in other jurisdictions.

Siegfried Wiessner, in his chapter entitled *Culture and the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*, explores the cultural rights claims of indigenous peoples through various international law instruments, not only human rights treaties. He explores in depth why and how these claims have been instrumental in transforming our understanding of the relationship between land, culture and identity, and between cultural rights and other human rights. Indigenous peoples' claims centre on preserving and promoting their unique collective cultural identities which have been further hewn in recent centuries by losses visited upon them by foreign and colonial occupation. Wiessner shows that by framing their actions within human rights discourse, indigenous peoples have effectively challenged the existing parameters concerning cultural rights and collective rights. They have always made clear that their claims concerning culture are intimately tied to other rights, including to land, to life, and to self-determination. It is an approach exposed not only by Wiessner but also by Pentassuglia. The centrality and interconnectedness of culture with other human rights, rather than its siloing, is significant not only in more

fully appreciating indigenous claims but also for better understanding the role of culture in the field more generally for all. As Weissner ably details, this way of understanding the culture is reflected in the various strategies used by indigenous peoples seeking recognition and realization of these rights across a range of international law instruments—not only human rights treaties. The culmination of these efforts to date has been the 2007 UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, which he shows is already having a symbiotic impact upon the development of customary international law concerning the interface between culture and human rights.

Part III focuses upon ways in which cultural rights can be realized beyond specialist human rights law frameworks. Both contributions consider the interplay between culture, human rights, and international law within the context of legal frameworks governing trade between states. The ability and indeed suitability of specialist legal regimes governing trade or investment disputes in addressing human rights violations remains a focus of intense intellectual debate. For our purposes, this debate is further intensified because it covers a category of human rights which has often been sidelined. These are important contributions because they build on the characteristic highlighted by Weissner concerning the creativity and breadth of strategies deployed to protect culture and promote cultural rights.

In her chapter entitled *The European Union and Cultural Rights*, Evangelia Psychogiopoulou explores how the European Union facilitates the protection and promotion of these rights through the cultural dimension of other human rights, including civil, political, economic, and social rights and non-discrimination, and through its mandate in respect of religious, cultural, and linguistic diversity under the latest iteration of its constitutive treaty. The European project was not about human rights when it started; its focus was and remains the common market. However, with the 1992 Maastricht Treaty and its reference to the European Convention of Human Rights and more recently the Charter of Fundamental Rights which was rendered binding with the 2009 Lisbon Treaty, human rights has come to the fore. But not cultural rights per se. Key provisions of the ECHR and Charter have been interpreted by the ECtHR and European Court of Justice (ECJ) respectively in support of a cultural dimension. Also, the ECJ has indicated that international treaties like the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights as well as other multilateral human rights treaties to which member states are signatories, point the way for the protection of human rights under EU law. The Charter also provides specifically for cultural diversity not only as it pertains to national cultures but also to peoples, that is, within member states. However, as Psychogiopoulou points out, its power is negatively conferred, with no positive rights articulated in respect of individuals or groups. She explains that not only is the Charter found wanting in its lack of substantive provisions specifically covering cultural rights, but its limited enforcement regime is likewise problematic as the focus yet again remains on other human rights. Under the powers granted to the EU concerning culture, the primary responsibility for cultural matters remains with member states. However,

the EU is required to respect and promote cultural diversity in its actions under its constitutive treaties. The EU's negotiation and promotion of the ratification of the UNESCO Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions, is the leading example in this arena. It is telling that this instrument morphed substantially from an initiative centred on the articulation of cultural rights to a treaty designed to promote cultural diversity through the circulation of cultural goods and services. Psychogiopoulou highlights how efforts by the EU internally through initiatives in the field of equality, citizenship, and immigration policies have had a distinct and explicit cultural dimension to their design and operation. She ends on a positive note explaining that the EU cultural policy endeavours to promote cultural engagement and cross-cultural dialogue across and within member states through financial assistance.

Tania Voon's contribution, entitled *Culture, Human Rights and the WTO*, considers the continuing limited response of the WTO to cultural rights across its treaties. This is to be expected because unlike the European Union which has evolved into a regional organization covering concerns beyond trade, the WTO remains a specialist legal regime with an ever-expanding membership. Indeed, as Voon points out, it is the growth in the diversity of its membership which has necessarily brought questions of culture to the fore, though not cultural rights. This underdevelopment, she suggests, may in part be explained by member states' reluctance to venture beyond WTO law to other fields of international law, like human rights law, when resolving disputes. Voon explores the impact of culture on WTO law through two case studies: cultural products and food safety. She notes that the question of cultural products has been an ongoing source of tension between the United States and the European Union which has often proved intractable. Instead, what has transpired is the relocation of this contestation to another forum, namely, UNESCO and its adoption of the Cultural Diversity Convention. The United States and the European Union have also clashed in the area of food technology and safety regulation. The lengthy history of such contests, particularly concerning genetic modification, reveals a cultural divide between Europe, favouring less intervention and manipulation of food, and the United States, which is more open to taking up new food technologies. Voon queries whether the WTO rules favouring science-based findings and trade liberalization betray the organization's own cultural bias. The most obvious intersection between culture, human rights, and trade in this context is the regulation of intellectual property rights and its impact on cultural rights. The right to participate in cultural life (Article 15 ICESCR) explicitly recognizes the rights flowing to the creators of scientific, literary, and artistic works from intellectual property regimes. The boundaries of this right are being pushed by indigenous peoples towards greater recognition of collective cultural rights through their efforts to have intellectual property protect traditional knowledge. Voon explains that the WTO's Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement) and its coverage of 'geographical indicators' likewise has the potential to protect and preserve cultures and ways of life associated with traditional methods of food and wine production encompassed by this provision. Yet, she observes that all these interventions into

the WTO framework are limited. Despite some analysis by UN human rights bodies concerning the impact of the WTO and particularly the TRIPS Agreement on cultural and property rights, the WTO itself rarely contemplates the interplay of its rules with human rights norms. Voon suggests it is this reluctance to go beyond the regime's framework which has stymied its ability to address areas relating to culture and human rights, like traditional knowledge and genetic resources.

Part IV covers procedural aspects rather than substantive aspects of the intersection between culture, human rights, and international law. Yet, as was noted by other contributors to this volume, the procedural components are intrinsic and vital; both for using culture as a vehicle for hindering human rights, and as a mode for the effective realization of reparations in the face of gross violations of the human rights of individuals or communities.

Yvonne Donders in her chapter entitled *Cultural Pluralism in International Human Rights Law: The Role of Reservations* provides a detailed analysis of the reservations and responses to those reservations entered in respect of CEDAW. This becomes a case study for the use of reservations as a mode of cultural pluralism, that is, a vehicle for the realization of cultural diversity. But it is also important because it serves to highlight that culture can be used by states to justify reading down or avoiding human rights obligations altogether in respect of vulnerable individuals and groups. Donders outlines the debate concerning the special nature of human rights treaties as going beyond contractual agreements between states to agreements on common norms and behaviour of a state towards individuals within it. This distinguishing characteristic has had a knock-on effect in how reservations are interpreted in respect of such treaties, including whether international monitoring bodies, and not just other states parties, can assess the reservation's compatibility with the object and purpose of the treaty; and whether they can then sever the offending reservation from the remaining obligations of the relevant state party. Donders' case study of the CEDAW reservations centres on the use of culture as a source of justification, which is invariably attached to substantive rather than procedural treaty provisions. Her survey reveals that most reservations pertain to justifications based on incompatibility of the Convention with Islamic law and Sharia. These are either blanket reservations covering the treaty generally or are attached to specific provisions (usually concerning equality between men and women). She notes that other states have made reservations on cultural or religious grounds which point to cultural pluralism within states and not just between states. Responses to these reservations by other states parties to the Convention range from challenging the relevant countries' commitment to the instrument, objecting because the reservation is deemed contrary to its object and purpose, or objecting to a provision of a fundamental nature. The majority of states parties did not object to these reservations. Those that did clearly indicated that they viewed the reserving state as being bound, but without the benefit of the reservation. Donders, however, notes that perhaps the most effective mechanism for states to withdraw or strictly define the operation of such reservations is through the work of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women, which has required states to justify and detail the operation of such reservations in their