

OXFORD

THE LITERARY AGENDA

POETRY

David Constantine

The Literary Agenda

Poetry

This page intentionally left blank

The Literary Agenda

Poetry

DAVID CONSTANTINE

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP,
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© David Constantine 2013

The moral rights of the author have been asserted

First Edition published in 2013

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

ISBN 978-0-19-969847-9

Printed in Great Britain by
Clays Ltd, St Ives plc

Series Introduction

The Crisis in, the Threat to, the Plight of the Humanities: enter these phrases in Google's search engine and there are 23 million results, in a great fifty-year-long cry of distress, outrage, fear, and melancholy. Grant, even, that every single anxiety and complaint in that catalogue of woe is fully justified—the lack of public support for the arts, the cutbacks in government funding for the humanities, the imminent transformation of a literary and verbal culture by visual/virtual/digital media, the decline of reading...And still, though it were all true, and just because it might be, there would remain the problem of the response itself. Too often there's recourse to the shrill moan of offended piety or a defeatist withdrawal into professionalism.

The Literary Agenda is a series of short polemical monographs that believes there is a great deal that needs to be said about the state of literary education inside schools and universities and more fundamentally about the importance of literature and of reading in the wider world. The category of 'the literary' has always been contentious. What *is* clear, however, is how increasingly it is dismissed or is unrecognized as a way of thinking or an arena for thought. It is sceptically challenged from within, for example, by the sometimes rival claims of cultural history, contextualized explanation, or media studies. It is shaken from without by even greater pressures: by economic exigency and the severe social attitudes that can follow from it; by technological change that may leave the traditional forms of serious human communication looking merely antiquated. For just these reasons this is the right time for renewal, to start reinvigorated work into the meaning and value of literary reading for the sake of the future.

It is certainly no time to retreat within institutional walls. For all the academic resistance to 'instrumentalism', to governmental measurements of public impact and practical utility, literature exists in

and across society. The 'literary' is not pure or specialized or self-confined; it is not restricted to the practitioner in writing or the academic in studying. It exists in the whole range of the world which is its subject matter: it consists in what non-writers actively receive from writings when, for example, they start to see the world more imaginatively as a result of reading novels and begin to think more carefully about human personality. It comes from literature making available much of human life that would not otherwise be existent to thought or recognizable as knowledge. If it is true that involvement in literature, so far from being a minority aesthetic, represents a significant contribution to the life of human thought, then that idea has to be argued at the public level without succumbing to a hollow rhetoric or bowing to a reductive world-view. Hence the effort of this series to take its place *between* literature and the world. The double-sided commitment to occupying that place and establishing its reality is the only 'agenda' here, without further prescription as to what should then be thought or done within it.

What is at stake is not simply some defensive or apologetic 'justification' in the abstract. The case as to why literature matters in the world not only has to be argued conceptually and strongly tested by thought, it should be given presence, performed, and brought to life in the way that literature itself does. That is why this series includes the writers themselves, the novelists and poets, in order to try to close the gap between the thinking of the artists and the thinking of those who read and study them. It is why it also involves other kinds of thinkers—the philosopher, the theologian, the psychologist, the neuroscientist—examining the role of literature within their own life's work and thought, and the effect of that work, in turn, upon literary thinking. This series admits and encourages personal voices in an unpredictable variety of individual approach and expression, speaking wherever possible across countries and disciplines and temperaments. It aims for something more than intellectual assent: rather the literary sense of what it is like to feel the thought, to embody an idea in a person, to bring it to being in a narrative or in aid of adventurous reflection. If the artists refer to their own works, if other thinkers return to ideas that have marked much of their working life, that is not their vanity nor a failure of originality. It is

what the series has asked of them: to speak out of what they know and care about, in whatever language can best serve their most serious thinking, and without the necessity of trying to cover every issue or meet every objection in each volume.

Philip Davis

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgements

Unless otherwise indicated, the translations in this monograph are my own.

My thanks are due to the following publishers and copyright-holders for permission to reprint or quote from the poems and prose works included in this monograph: Bertolt Brecht: 'Schlechte Zeit für Lyrik' (© Bertolt-Brecht-Erben / Suhrkamp Verlag), in my translation; Robert Graves: 'The Cool Web' (in *Collected Poems*, vol. 1, Carcanet Press, 1995; and for the electronic rights, A. P. Watt); Derek Mahon, 'Tractatus' from *New Collected Poems* (2012) by kind permission of the author and The Gallery Press <<http://www.gallerypress.com>>; Harry Martinson: 'Cable-Ship', translated by Robin Fulton (in *Chickweed Wintergreen*, Bloodaxe Books, 2010); Miklós Radnóti: 'Letter to my Wife' (*Modern Poetry in Translation*, and the translator Stephen Capus); Edward Thomas, 'The sun used to shine' (in *The Annotated Collected Poems*, Bloodaxe Books, 2008); R. S. Thomas: 'In Church' (in *Selected Poems*, Bloodaxe Books, 1986); Jeanette Winterson extract from *Why Be Happy When You Can Be Normal?* by Jeanette Winterson, reproduced by kind permission of Peters Fraser & Dunlop <<http://www.petersfraserdunlop.com>>, published by Jonathan Cape, reprinted by permission of The Random House Group Limited; Robert Frost, 'Iris by Night' from *The Poetry of Robert Frost*, ed. Edward Connery Latham, published by Jonathan Cape, reprinted by permission of The Random House Group Limited; Philip Larkin, 'Wedding-Wind', from *Collected Poems*, reprinted by permission of Faber and Faber.

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

Introduction	1
1. The Writing and Reading of Poetry	7
2. Translation	33
3. The Good of It	55
4. The Office of Poetry	87
5. The Common Good	119
<i>Further Reading</i>	141
<i>Index</i>	143

This page intentionally left blank

Introduction

Note

The grounds for this monograph lie in my own experience of reading and trying to write poetry, which experience is inevitably partial. I was born in 1944, studied Modern Languages, taught German language and literature, and feel myself to be both very English and very European. I am a translator, chiefly out of German. Most of my living and traveling 'abroad' has been in Europe and to a large extent I have developed my social and political concerns and allegiances in dealings with the history and the present circumstances of Britain in Europe. This life has necessarily shaped my understanding of poetry, what I ask of it, and what kinds of poetry I like and don't like. I have always read beyond Europe, especially in my nine years as co-editor of *Modern Poetry in Translation*, a magazine whose constituency is the International Republic of Letters. Still my knowledge is partial. Poetry itself, however, is abundant and comprehensively various; and I can hope to say things about it, out of my own experience, that readers will answer out of theirs. 'Where there is much desire to learn, there of necessity will be much arguing, much writing, many opinions; for opinions in good men is but knowledge in the making': those words from Milton's *Areopagitica* hung framed on the wall in my English teacher's classroom. They come back to me now—particularly 'knowledge in the making'—as very apt for an attempt to engage people in thinking about and, more still, in reading poetry.

Remembering that teacher, two or three others also come to mind, at school and at university, also several fellow-pupils and fellow-students and students of my own who confirmed me in my love of poetry and helped me greatly in my understanding of it. Even with those of them who are dead, my conversation continues, I recall their words, their tones of voice, can imagine how they might answer if I put new

questions to them, I have books of poetry on my shelves that they gave me or recommended to me. So it continues, letter and spirit.

For this particular writing I am most indebted to Helen Constantine, who read it all and discussed it with me; to Sasha Dugdale, for 'much arguing' over its grounds; and to Phil Davis, who, as editor and friend, always encouraging, patiently helped me to shape it better. I thank Tom Chandler for his patient, meticulous, and tactful copy-editing, and Simon Constantine for helping me with the Index.

Poetry, society, and the state

My premise is: literature matters. It matters for individuals and for the society they are members of. We live now in a time and a place where that premise is inadequately or only nominally acknowledged, or is flatly denied. Humane letters are sliding (being shoved) towards the margins; out there, marginal, peripheral, they cannot thrive. Therefore we have to answer back, and not in any personal interest but for the public good. I shall deal chiefly with poetry, the writing and the reading of it, but some of what I say might apply to other literary genres also. I don't think poetry a grace or a luxury that society might adorn itself with from time to time and drop altogether when it pleases. And I don't think that poetry is for the few, happy or not. It is for the many, belongs and can only thrive among them, speaks of and to their concerns. No society that I know of has done without poetry; some have striven officiously to exterminate it and failed; which must mean that its will to live is very great and that we need it.

Poetry in society. Poetry gets written, for the most part, in solitude or in the sort of concentration that temporarily isolates the poet from society; often it is read in such a solitude and isolating concentration also. But writer and reader in their kindred activities never cease being social creatures and the poetry they write or read is thoroughly informed by the society they live in. That is a fact and not in the least a matter of regret. I don't mean that poetry is in any essential way restricted by or to the particular society in which it is written or read; only that it is a thoroughly social activity and its social nature constitutes a large part of its value.

Poetry and the state. By 'the state' I mean the organization and governance of society which will be shaped by the dominant

politics and will at any one time in any one place be more or less likely to nurture or stunt the citizens so organized and governed. The relationship of poetry with the social order has never been easy and since Romanticism it has been decidedly and unavoidably fraught.

Society and the state may (but need not) in practice amount to the same thing. A people shaped and indoctrinated by a particular social order may not be able to distinguish itself from and act or think independently of the dominant politics and its structures by which they are organized and governed. A particular ideology—that of the market, for example—may be so successfully instilled into so large a proportion of the people that it will come to seem not just the normal but also the only way of being human. A society in that mindset will have very little time for poetry. Or, put the other way, in such a society the writing and reading of poetry will perforce be a contrary act, an act of opposition. Then by its very nature affirming a way of being human that the social order disregards, despises, or denies, poetry may seem to be a marginal and forlorn activity and consequently negligible; and some poets have wilfully furthered that marginalization, so courting their own extinction. A large part of my endeavour will consist in trying to persuade any who need persuading that poetry springs from and belongs in the heart of society and that it does good there.

Common and strange. Poetry is at one and the same time plumb in the midst of social living and at an angle to it, odd, slant, strange. It is common, commonplace, it thrives in and serves our common lives, but does so by virtue of its slant relationship to them. Poetry lives in that dynamic tension; fails and dies without it. The material of poetry is the stuff of common life, the lives real people live and might live in the here and now; its medium is words, which are the common property of the tribe, at everyone's disposal; and out of those common words it makes art, using words strangely, and so works estrangingly, which is to say illuminatingly and unsettlingly, on the common life to which we have become habituated.

Robert Graves (in his poem 'From the Embassy') calls the poet 'an ambassador of Otherwhere'. The currency of that land, its language, is 'Otherwhereish'. Made of our common words, poetry sounds, in the company of those words, like speech brought to us by translation