

# BELIEVING IN BITS

digital media and the supernatural



SIMONE NATALE & D.W. PASULKA

BELIEVING IN BITS



# BELIEVING IN BITS

---

Digital Media and the Supernatural

*Edited by* Simone Natale

D. W. Pasulka

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and certain other countries.

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press  
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America.

© Oxford University Press 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by license, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reproduction rights organization. Inquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form  
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data  
Names: Natale, Simone, 1981– editor. | Pasulka, Diana Walsh, editor.  
Title: Believing in bits : digital media and the supernatural /  
edited by Simone Natale & Diana Walsh Pasulka.  
Description: New York, NY : Oxford University Press, [2019] |  
Includes bibliographic references.  
Identifiers: LCCN 2018052700 | ISBN 9780190949983 (hardcover) |  
ISBN 9780190949990 (pbk.)  
Subjects: LCSH: Civilization—Extraterrestrial influences. |  
Unidentified flying objects—Religious aspects.  
Classification: LCC CB156 .B45 2019 | DDC 001.942—dc23  
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2018052700>

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Paperback printed by WebCom, Inc., Canada  
Hardback printed by Bridgeport National Bindery, Inc., United States of America

CONTENTS

<i>List of Contributors</i>	vii
Introduction—SIMONE NATALE and D. W. PASULKA	1
PART I: <i>Archaeologies of the Digital Supernatural</i>	
1. Amazon Can Read Your Mind: A Media Archaeology of the Algorithmic Imaginary—SIMONE NATALE	19
2. Information Theory of the Soul: Spiritualism, Technology, and Science Fiction—ANTHONY ENNS	37
3. The Mediumship of the Digital: Sound Recording, Supernatural Inquiry, and the Digital Afterlife of Phonography—SIMONE DOTTO	55
PART II: <i>Believing in Digital Worlds</i>	
4. I Play, Therefore I Believe: <i>Religio</i> and Faith in Digital Games—VINCENZO IDONE CASSONE and MATTIA THIBAUT	73
5. Repost or Die: Ritual Magic and User-Generated Deities on Instagram—ROSE ROWSON	91
6. Instant Karma and Internet Karma: Karmic Memes and Morality on Social Media—BEVERLEY MCGUIRE	107
7. Disciples of the New Digital Religions: Or, How to Make Your “Fake” Religion Real—KEN CHITWOOD	125

PART III: *Entre Nous: Spiritual Relationships Between Technology and Humans*

8. Where Soul Meets Technology: Catholic Visionaries and the Stanford Research Institute as Precedents for Human–Machine Interfaces and Social Telepathy Apps—D. W. PASULKA and DAVID METCALFE	149
9. Plurality Through Imagination: The Emergence of Online Tulpa Communities in the Making of New Identities—CHRISTOPHER LAURSEN	163
10. UFOs, Ufologists, and Digital Media in Brazil—RAFAEL ANTUNES ALMEIDA	181
11. Augmented Reality, Virtual Reality, and Religion: Recent Developments and Their Significance—JOSHUA L. MANN	195
12. Algorithm Magic: Gilbert Simondon and Techno-Animism—BETTI MARENKO	213
Afterword: Religious and Digital Imaginaries in Parallel Lines—CAROLE M. CUSACK, MASSIMO LEONE, and JEFFREY SCONCE	229
<i>Index</i>	239

## CONTRIBUTORS

**Rafael Antunes Almeida** is a Professor of Anthropology at the Universidade da Integração Internacional da Lusofonia Afro-Brasileira. He has published papers related to the field of Anthropology of Science and to the broader area of Science and Technology Studies. Recently, he became the editor of *Ayé—Revista de Antropologia*.

**Vincenzo Idone Cassone** holds a Ph.D. in Semiotics from the University of Turin and is a member of CIRCe (Interdepartmental Centre of Research on Communication) and Gamification Lab (Leuphana Universität, Lüneburg). His main research topics include gamification, game studies, and new media. His main publications include *Through the Ludic Glass: A Cultural Genealogy of Gamification* (Mindtrek'17 proceedings), "Lude et Labora: Notes on Gamification at Work" (*Performance Studies*), and "Mimicking Gamers: Understanding Gamification Through Roger Caillois" (*Games and Cultures*). He teaches Sociosemiotics of design at the Istituto d'Arte Applicata e Design in Turin, and Social gaming and Gamification at eCampus University, Milan.

**Ken Chitwood** is a recent Ph.D. graduate from the University of Florida's Department of Religion and Center for Global Islamic Studies. He is also a religion news writer, with bylines in *Newsweek*, the *Washington Post*, the *Los Angeles Times*, *Salon*, *USA Today*, *Religion News Service*, and other publications. Chitwood brings together his foci on religion in the Americas and Islamic studies with his primary research on Islam and Muslim communities in Latin America and the Caribbean. He also writes about religion and culture, digital religion, Islamophobia, and Islam and Christian-Muslim relations.

**Carole M. Cusack** is Professor of Religious Studies at the University of Sydney. She trained as a medievalist and her doctorate was published as *Conversion Among the Germanic Peoples* (Cassell, 1998). She now researches primarily in contemporary religious trends and Western esotericism. Her books include (with Katharine Buljan) *Anime, Religion and Spirituality: Profane and Sacred*



*Worlds in Contemporary Japan* (Equinox, 2015), *The Sacred Tree: Ancient and Medieval Manifestations* (Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2011), and *Invented Religions: Imagination, Fiction and Faith* (Ashgate, 2010).

**Simone Dotto** is a postdoctoral research fellow and a Lecturer in History of Television and New Media at the University of Udine, where he obtained a Ph.D. with a thesis on phonography and listening cultures in early twentieth-century Italy. His essays on the history and the epistemology of sound media have appeared in several international journals and publications. He is one of the coordinators of the FilmForum conference and he recently co-edited, with Diego Cavallotti and Andrea Mariani, *Exposing the Moving Image: The Cinematic Medium Across World Fairs, Art Museums, and Cultural Exhibitions* (Mimesis International, 2019).

**Anthony Enns** is an Associate Professor of English and Media Studies at Dalhousie University in Halifax, Nova Scotia. His work on spiritualism, technology, and science fiction has appeared in such journals as *The Senses and Society*, *Culture, Theory and Critique*, and *Science Fiction Studies* as well as the anthologies *Consciousness, Literature and the Arts* (2006), *The Ashgate Research Companion to Victorian Spiritualism and the Occult* (2012), and *The Oxford Handbook of Science Fiction* (2014).

**Christopher Laursen** is a social and cultural historian of religions, science, and nature focusing on modern America and the world. He is based at the University of North Carolina Wilmington. He teaches in Graduate Liberal Studies, History, and Religious Studies.

**Massimo Leone** is Full Tenured Professor (“Professore Ordinario”) of Semiotics, Cultural Semiotics, and Visual Semiotics at the Department of Philosophy and Educational Sciences, University of Turin, Italy, and Permanent Part-Time Visiting Full Professor of Semiotics in the Department of Chinese Language and Literature, University of Shanghai, China. He is a 2018 ERC Consolidator Grant recipient. His work focuses on the role of religion in modern and contemporary cultures. Massimo Leone has single-authored eight books, edited thirty collective volumes, and published more than four hundred articles in semiotics and religious studies. He has lectured in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, and the Americas. He is the chief editor of *Lexia*, the Semiotic Journal of the Center for Interdisciplinary Research on Communication, University of Turin, Italy, and editor of the book series “I Saggi di Lexia” (Rome: Aracne) and “Semiotics of Religion” (Berlin and Boston: Walter de Gruyter). He directed

the M.A. Program in Communication Studies at the University of Turin, Italy (2015–2018) and is currently vice-director for research at the Department of Philosophy and Educational Sciences, University of Turin, Italy.

**Joshua L. Mann** is CEO of Expositus, Inc., an academic nonprofit specializing in digital humanities and biblical studies. He is also a research fellow with CODEC Research Centre for Digital Theology, Durham University. His Ph.D. (Edinburgh) is in Early Christianity, and much of his subsequent work has focused on issues at the intersection of biblical studies and technology. He is currently writing *Computing the Bible: A Brief History* (Routledge) and recently co-authored *The Bible and Digital Millennials* (Routledge, forthcoming).

**Betti Marenko** is a design theorist, educator, and writer. She is the co-editor, with J. Brassett, of *Deleuze and Design* (2015). Her work on philosophy, design, and technology has appeared in *Design and Culture*, *Digital Creativity*, and *Design Studies*. She is currently working on a book on digital uncertainty and the nonhumanity of algorithmic intelligence. She is Contextual Studies Leader for Product Design at Central Saint Martins University of the Arts London.

**Beverley McGuire** is an Associate Professor of East Asian religions at the University of North Carolina Wilmington. She is the author of *Living Karma: The Religious Practices of Ouyi Zhixu* (Columbia University Press, 2014) and has published articles about Buddhist digital media, divination, repentance rituals, and board games. She is currently working on a monograph about the impact of digital technology on moral attention—the capacity to discern and attend to the morally salient features of a given situation.

**David Metcalfe** is currently the Editor-in-Chief for the Windbridge Research Center's *Threshold: Journal of Interdisciplinary Consciousness Studies*. A researcher, writer, and multimedia specialist focusing on the interrelationship of art, culture, and consciousness, in 2011 he established the Liminal Analytics: Applied Research Collaborative to focus on testing and deploying a unique combination of applied scholarship, market intelligence, digital media, and social network development in order to build strategic multidisciplinary lines of communication.

**Simone Natale** is a Lecturer in Communication and Media Studies at Loughborough University, UK. He is the author of *Supernatural Entertainments: Victorian Spiritualism and the Rise of Modern Media Culture* (2016) and co-editor, with Nicoletta Leonardi, of *Photography and Other Media*

*in the Nineteenth Century* (2018). He is currently working on a monograph for Oxford University Press entitled *Deceitful Media: Social Life after the Turing Test*, which explores the historical trajectory leading to the emergence of interactive AI systems such as Amazon's Alexa, Apple's Siri, and social media bots.

**D. W. Pasulka** is a Professor of Religious Studies at the University of North Carolina Wilmington and chair of the Department of Philosophy and Religion. She is a history and religion consultant for movies and television, including *The Conjuring* (2013) and *Conjuring II* (2016), among others. She was principal investigator for the statewide program Teaching American History, religion emphasis (through the Department of Education), which supported middle school and high school teachers in their efforts to teach religious history to public school students throughout North Carolina.

**Rose Rowson** is a Ph.D. student in the Modern Culture and Media department at Brown University. She holds a B.A. from the Slade School of Fine Art, University College London, and a research M.A. in Media Studies from the University of Amsterdam, where she completed her thesis on magical writing practices in contemporary digital culture. Rowson's current research is concerned with establishing critical intersections between media archaeology and "regular" archaeology, and modes of narrative formation collaborating with/ clashing against computational methods of data collection and processing.

**Jeffrey Sconce** is Associate Professor in the Screen Cultures program at Northwestern University. He is the author of *The Technical Delusion: Electronics, Power, Insanity* (Duke, 2019) and *Haunted Media: Electronic Presence from Telegraphy to Television* (Duke, 2000). He is also the editor of *Sleaze Artists: Cinema at the Margins of Taste, Style, and Financing* (Duke, 2007). His current project focuses on the "paracosm" as a model for considering the dynamic between public narratives and private fantasies.

**Mattia Thibault** is a postdoctoral researcher at Tampere University of Technology (Marie Skłodowska Curie IF) and a member of the Gamification Group. He was previously a researcher at Turin University, where, in 2017, he earned a Ph.D. in Semiotics and Media within SEMKNOW, the first pan-European doctoral program on semiotics, and had been a visiting researcher at Tartu University (Estonia), The Strong Museum of Play (Rochester, NY, US), and Helsinki University (Finland). His research interests revolve around the semiotics of play and the cultural relevance of games, while his current research focuses on establishing an interdisciplinary framework for urban

gamification. He has presented and organized numerous talks, conferences, and activities dedicated to these topics and has published many peer-reviewed articles and edited several volumes, including *Gamification urbana: letture e riscritture ludiche degli spazi cittadini* (Aracne, 2016) and *Virality* (with Gabriele Marino, 2018, special issue of *Lexia*).



BELIEVING IN BITS



## INTRODUCTION

Simone Natale and D. W. Pasulka

In 1966, MIT computer scientist and artificial intelligence (AI) pioneer Joseph Weizenbaum presented the first “chatbot,” a computer program able to engage in written conversations with human users, called ELIZA. In the paper describing his creation, he predicted that the program would initially arouse wonder for its apparent intelligence, even if it actually provided only the illusion of it. Yet, he pointed out, “once a particular program is unmasked, once its inner workings are explained in language sufficiently plain to induce understandings, its magic crumbles away.”<sup>1</sup> He believed, in other words, that the illusion was due to lack of knowledge about the program, and that consequently, once its actual functioning was revealed, the aura of magic would fade away. He soon found out that this was not the case: many, even those who knew well that ELIZA was nothing but a skillful “computer trick,” would fall into the illusion, engaging in personal conversations with the program and treating it as a real interlocutor. Magic, he discovered, does not easily “crumble away”: it is an integral part of how people use computer technologies.<sup>2</sup>

The early dream among computer scientists of making computers not only accessible to everybody but also understood by all users as rational machines devoid of any magical connotation dissolved in the late 1970s and 1980s with the rise of personal computers that made digital devices a part of everyday life for growing masses of people.<sup>3</sup> As users interacted with computers performing increasingly complex tasks, it became clear that such interactions cannot be explained by pointing to the functioning of these machines alone: the meanings and beliefs that people attribute to them have to be equally considered.<sup>4</sup> This book moves from the consideration that digital media—conceived of as technologies, artifacts, as well



as the systems of knowledge and values shaping our interaction with them—cannot be analyzed outside the system of beliefs and performative rituals that inform and prepare their use. The question of what we believe, and of how our systems of belief inform our experience and interactions, is inextricable from the question of how we perceive, employ, and actively shape digital media technologies and environments.

How did we come to associate things such as mindreading and spirit communications with the functioning of digital technologies? Does the dignity accorded to the human and natural worlds within traditional religions translate to gadgets, avatars, or robots? How does the internet's capacity to facilitate the proliferation of beliefs help blur the boundaries between what is considered fictional and factual? The chapters in this volume address these and similar questions, challenging and redefining established understandings of digital media and culture by employing the notions of belief, religion, and the supernatural. Situated at the theoretical interface between the fields of media studies and religious studies, the book aims to unveil the multiple ways in which new media intersect with belief in the supernatural.

Recent scholarship has criticized rigid distinctions between “old” and “new” media and also between analog and digital media, pointing to the fact that our digital age cannot be understood by defining media according to age, or by isolating specific technologies that represent only part of a wider technological and social scenario.<sup>5</sup> For this reason, this book relies on two different approaches that do not oppose but complement each other: a media archaeological approach that looks at the continuities and at the subtle relationships between earlier media histories and the contemporary landscape; and a perspective informed by digital media studies that takes into account the technical and social specificities of digital technologies.<sup>6</sup> “Digital media” is defined as media employing computing technologies that process numerical data in order to provide users with information conveyed through computer screens, telephone screens, radio, movies, and other globally accessible media. Yet, although there is the perception that analog technologies are “old” and digital technologies are “new,” many of these chapters underline their continuous tradition of use as well as their inextricable present relationship.

The supernatural has long been associated with religion and the miraculous. Within the European tradition, the term *supernatural* was first used in the late medieval era to describe events that deviated from ordinary, natural phenomena.<sup>7</sup> The new term was used to refer to a wide range of mystical and religious phenomena such as apparitions, healings, or communications from angels or saints, as well as events that deviated from traditional religious frameworks but were nonetheless nonordinary, such as ghost sightings

or appearances of revenants. Medieval and early modern theologians, philosophers, and scientists enabled their systematization of the natural world with the help of mechanical instruments. Telescopes, microscopes, maps, clocks, and the astrolabe helped scholars acquire knowledge about the ordinary world that further separated it from the domain of the supernatural. Yet, ironically, these instruments occasionally acted as conduits for the supernatural. From rumors of clocks that stopped to warn of the death of a loved one to microscopes that revealed the components of the soul, technologies of science and communication were simultaneously instruments of enchantment and disenchantment.<sup>8</sup>

Especially with the introduction of electrical media in the nineteenth century, media technologies have entertained a close and complex relationship with beliefs in the supernatural. Since the publication of seminal works by Jeffrey Sconce and John Durham Peters, a growing scholarship in media studies has addressed the relationship of media technologies with supernatural beliefs and knowledge.<sup>9</sup> Yet, given the magnitude of the body of literature addressing the role of the supernatural in the development of nineteenth-century and early twentieth-century media technologies and practices, it is surprising how little effort has been made to question the connections between digital media and the supernatural. The main way in which this issue has been approached is by comparing the reception within spiritualism and psychical research of “new” media of the past—such as, for instance, telegraphy in the middle of the nineteenth century—with the reception of today’s “new” digital technologies.<sup>10</sup> Less attention, however, has been given to the possibility of interrogating the specific ways through which beliefs in the supernatural interact with and are inserted into the reception of digital media. Focusing on the supernatural as a locus in which particular forms of imagination and modalities of interaction with digital media are constructed, and entering in dialog with the rising literature on the relationship between religion and digital culture,<sup>11</sup> this volume aims to contribute to filling this gap. Many have noted that religion “cannot be analysed outside the forms and practices of mediation that define it,”<sup>12</sup> but media cannot be analyzed outside the forms of belief and rituals that inform and prepare our interaction with them. *Believing in Bits*, in this sense, advances the idea that religious beliefs and practices are inextricably linked to the functioning of digital media.

## How We Believe in Bits

Scholars in media studies have taken up the idea that the present configuration of digital media is informed and made possible also by a system of

beliefs. John Durham Peters, for one, recently characterized Google as a “religious medium” that, like a storyteller, provides answers to “the perplexed of cyberspace.”<sup>13</sup> From a different perspective, examining the cultural and material configurations that anticipated and made possible the emergence of cloud computing, Tung-Hui Hu argued that “the network is primarily the idea that ‘everything is connected,’ and, as such, is a product of a system of belief. Because reality can never match up to that system of belief, because, in fact, not everything is connected, the network exists primarily as a state of desire.”<sup>14</sup>

What does it mean, however, to *believe* in digital media, and how does this relate, if it relates at all, to religious forms and rituals? Any answer to this question should move through the consideration that belief is a complex concept associated with very diverse meanings, connotations, and practices. Within the field of religious studies, for example, belief is often not emphasized as important, while practices suggest religious value. While virtually all ways in which we use, interact with, and perceive digital media may invite some forms of belief and practice, it is necessary to distinguish between different ways through which this happens. Each of these “beliefs in bits” (to play with the book’s title) might appear secular in nature, but nevertheless, as we will see, bear deep implications into how religion is experienced and understood in contemporary societies.

The first category of belief in digital technologies is of a pragmatic nature. Everyday life in modern societies is based on the implicit acceptance that technological artifacts and systems, such as cars, TV sets, or the internet, function and are generally reliable. Crucially, this implicit trust is not often accompanied by the full understanding of how these technical systems function: one might “know” that a car will bring one rapidly to one’s office, without “knowing” how this happens at a technical level. As scholars such as Anthony Giddens have observed, this belief in technical systems can be characterized as a secular faith and forms part of the broader system of beliefs enabling people to navigate life in contemporary societies.<sup>15</sup>

Such lack of knowledge in technological objects that are omnipresent in our daily life is particularly relevant to digital systems, whose actual functioning might be opaque even to the computer scientists and programmers who built them. This is a problem that is structural to computing technologies and software. While early computers were programmed by intervening directly on the hardware to adapt the machine to different tasks, the division between hardware and software meant that symbolic systems were developed to program computing machines. These systems, called programming languages, feature commands such as “begin,” “if . . . then,” “print,” as well as arbitrary sequences that are nonetheless intelligible to programmers, allowing them

to write code executing complex functions. Such commands, however, correspond to actual operations of the machine only after having been translated multiple times, in lower-level programming languages and finally into machine code, which is the set of instructions in binary numbers executed by the computer. Machine code is such a low level of abstraction that it is virtually incomprehensible to the programmer without the translation operated by specific software called compilers, which convert programming language into lower-level languages and machine code.

Digital technologies, as a consequence, require a kind of pragmatic belief that is substantially different from the trust in technical systems to which we usually commit. The opacity of digital media cannot be reduced to the technical skills and knowledge of users: it is embedded in the functioning of computing technologies. This contributes to provide digital technologies with an aura of quasi-magical power that emerges in the use of concepts such as “mindreading” and “magic” to characterize the functioning of computer algorithms. It is also this opacity that has led entrepreneurs such as Elon Musk and scientists such as Stephen Hawking to launch alarms about the potential dangers of AI: one of the scenarios they evoked is that humans might not be able to comprehend what is happening within AI systems, failing to notice malfunction and misbehaviors of intelligence machines.<sup>16</sup>

A second category of beliefs in digital technologies has to do with the particular status attributed to digital media in contemporary societies. This is the belief, shared by many, that digital media are qualitatively and structurally different from anything that has happened before. In its most evident form, it corresponds to the rhetoric of the “digital revolution” and to the characterization of digital media as “new.”<sup>17</sup> Scholars in media studies have often criticized such ideas, pointing to the fact that the present configurations of digital media encompass many innovations but also include many points of continuity with previous evolutions of other technological forms.<sup>18</sup> The distinction between old and new media, in fact, does not take into account that digital media have quite a long history, dating back at least to the emergence of electronic digital computer in the 1940s, and that media labeled as “old,” such as books, cinema, and television, are fully participating in digitization processes.<sup>19</sup> This debate notwithstanding, ideas of novelty continue to characterize the ways in which digital technologies are presented to the public: think, for instance, of the way a company such as Apple capitalizes on this existing rhetoric to offer the launch of a new device as a revolutionary event, or at the symbolic appropriation of new media by political parties and movements such as the Pirate Parties in Scandinavia and Germany or the 5-Star Movement in Italy, which helped present these parties as carriers of novelty and change.<sup>20</sup> But also at the

level of everyday use and perception, beliefs that digital media are new and revolutionary contribute to shaping our understanding of these technologies, as Simone Dotto's chapter (Chapter 3) discusses through the example of sound recording.

A third category of beliefs in digital technologies is the belief that digital media will irremediably change human societies and cultures, bringing about path-breaking transformations in the political, social, and cultural spheres. Throughout history, technologies have often been presented to the public as triggers of change. For instance, the railway in the nineteenth century and television in the postwar period were characterized as veritable symbols for the coming of a new era.<sup>21</sup> In media and cultural studies, this belief has often been described in terms of technological determinism—that is, the tendency to represent technology (in this case communication technologies) as the sole or predominant cause of social change.<sup>22</sup> Technological determinism might have originated well before digitalization, but it has been revived in specific ways throughout the last three decades and especially since the emergence of the World Wide Web. Technological determinist narratives are shared by such diverse groups as hackers who posit digital technologies as liberating in both individual and political terms and Silicon Valley corporate managers who embrace the so-called Californian ideology, committing to enthusiastic belief in technology-driven progress.<sup>23</sup> Overall, they contribute to create the sense that digital technologies are changing humans at an anthropological level.

The fourth and final category of “beliefs in bits” is the one with the most evident religious implications. It is the belief that digital technologies will change biological life by enabling the existence of human–machine hybrids, creating artificial life, and defying death. Since the earliest stages in the history of electronic computing and AI in the late 1940s and early 1950s, pioneers of computer science such as Alan Turing and Claude Shannon reflected on questions that are infused with philosophical and existential consequences: Is it possible to create a machine that thinks? What are the implications of the fact that machines outperform humans in operations that we consider of an intelligent nature, such as calculating integrals or playing chess?

Many researchers in the field, including Turing and Shannon, professed that the quest for machine intelligence did not have much to do with producing conscience or life. In the “imitation game” proposed by Turing to establish if a machine can think, for instance, he did not define “thinking” in absolute terms, but he proposed instead an empirical experiment (now called the Turing Test) to establish if a machine could *appear* to us as thinking.<sup>24</sup> In Turing's design, a human judge engages in a conversation with an agent through a typewriter (today, most commonly a chatroom) and has to establish

if the conversation partner is a computer or a human. As computer programs were developed to conduct the test, deception became a common strategy: it became evident to programmers that there were strategies to exploit the fallibility of judges.<sup>25</sup> Thus the Turing Test was, basically, an exploration of the question if a computer could trick us into thinking that it was intelligent—and thus alive, inasmuch as we consider intelligent beings to be such. Yet, as shown by Anthony Enns in Chapter 2, the spiritual ramifications of this question did not escape Turing himself, and up to the present day it has proved extremely difficult, if not impossible, to separate strictly technical issues from the philosophical, ethical, and even metaphysical questions raised by research into AI, robotics, and cybernetics. Advances in computer power and, more importantly, in software have recently led technologists to forecast scenarios such as singularity, a future when machine intelligence will surpass humans and even defy their comprehension, leading to radical transformation not only of computing but of humanity as well.<sup>26</sup> Among the most startling predictions related to this claim is the idea that in the future it might be possible to upload the content of a human mind into a computer, enabling a form of nonbiological and yet human life made literally out of bits. Perhaps the most interesting example of this is that the young billionaire Dmitri Itskov secured the blessing of the current Dalai Lama, Tenzin Gyatso, to bless the entrepreneurs' efforts to accomplish this feat by the year 2045. Potentially, according to them, the next incarnation of the Dalai Lama will be within a nonbiological platform.

## The Place of Religion

These beliefs and imaginaries are crucial to our understanding of the relationship between digital media and religion and are related in many ways to how digital technologies are used and imagined in supernatural terms. For each of them we can identify, as summarized in Table I.1, specific ways through which our beliefs in digital technologies connect to the religious sphere.

Pragmatic beliefs not only allow people to rely on their everyday interactions with digital technologies and systems but also shape such interactions and the complex of meanings that are associated to them. Social anthropologists such as Arjun Appadurai and Alfred Gell have taught us that not only humans but also artifacts can be regarded as social agents.<sup>27</sup> People often attribute intentions to objects and machines: car owners, for instance, may attribute personality to their cars.<sup>28</sup> With AI systems such as Amazon's Alexa or Apple's Siri, where a computer program is trained to respond with a "human" voice, it is evident how this tendency to personify technologies has become even more pronounced.<sup>29</sup> This stimulated designers to take up

**Table I.1. Believing in Bits: Four Categories of Beliefs in Digital Technologies**

Belief	Secular Expressions	Religious Expressions
Pragmatic beliefs in the functioning of digital technical systems	Human-machine interactions, interface	Animistic design, magic in technology
Beliefs that digital media are qualitatively and structurally different	Digital revolution, novelty of new media	Religious renaissance triggered by digital media
Beliefs that digital technologies will change humanity	Technological determinism, hacker culture, “Californian ideology”	New, digital-based religious forms and practices
Beliefs that digital technologies will defy death	Post-humanism, singularity, synthetic genetics	Digital transcendence

animism—the belief that all objects, places, and creatures possess a spiritual essence—as a framework to explore the alternative models of interaction between humans and digital objects.<sup>30</sup> In Chapter 12 Betti Marenko demonstrates how experiences such as “animist design” underpin the enchanting and incantatory potential of digital technologies. The experience of having algorithms predict our Google searches, interests, and shopping tastes has unsettling effects, and as it becomes more and more part of our common experience, it also produces the sense that we are living in a world permeated by magic. Thus, our “algorithmic imaginary,” to follow Taina Bucher’s recent proposal to describe in such terms how people experience and make sense of their interactions with algorithms in their everyday life, is shaped not only by technological, cultural, and social patterns but, inescapably, also by religious and spiritual ones.<sup>31</sup>

The belief that digital media are qualitatively “new” (i.e., they are different from anything that has appeared before in human societies) also has strong ramifications in the realm of religion.<sup>32</sup> In fact, the development of digital media, and particularly of the Web, has awakened in believers of many confessions and faiths the sense that the digital revolution could be a spiritual revolution as well. Religious communities have shared the feeling that the contemporary age would bring about a technology-driven religious renaissance thanks to the possibility of dissemination, participation, and engagement guaranteed by the internet.<sup>33</sup>

It is important to note that, in the same way that rhetoric about the novelty of technology characterized representations of technology well before the emergence of digital media, the sense of the religious potential for new technologies is not new to our age. It is sufficient to think, to give just one instance, of the missionary dream to disseminate more widely the spiritual faith that, as James Carey has shown in a now canonical essay for media history, accompanied the evolution of communication technologies such as the telegraph throughout the nineteenth century and early twentieth century.<sup>34</sup> However, the belief in the novelty of digital technologies and the rhetoric of the digital revolution has certainly profound implications for the way in which religion has been and is mediated and experienced in the Web or, as Joshua Mann reveals in Chapter 11, through digital technologies such as virtual reality. Interestingly, moreover, the very rhetoric of the digital revolution has strong religious connotations, as shown for instance by the characterizations of pioneers as prophets, the tendency to take up millennial and apocalyptic views, or the fact that the following of digital corporations such as Apple exhibits certain characteristics of a cult.<sup>35</sup> It is not a coincidence, in this sense, that the internet has been saluted by some belief communities, as Paolo Apolito put it, as a celestial sign that promised to become “a powerful and unique resource for a new reenchantment of the world.”<sup>36</sup>

The belief that digital technologies will change humanity in an anthropological way, shaping wide-ranging cultural and social transformations, also bears many religious connotations. In the religious sphere, it corresponds to veritable changes in the experience and practice of religion, with the emergence of new religious forms, communities, and rituals that are enabled and in some cases even embedded within digital media. Examples of this include the online Tulpa communities (discussed by Christopher Laursen in Chapter 9); the dispersed groups of UFO collectives that frame their participation around digital resources and websites (see Chapter 10 by Rafael Antunes Almeida); and even the fakelore or fake religion that are the subject of Ken Chitwood’s analysis (Chapter 7).

It is, however, the fourth category of beliefs, the belief that digital technologies will change biological life and even defy death, that has more complex and wide-ranging implications for the study and the understanding of the relationship between religion and digital media. Computer scientists such as Hans Moravec combined their practical achievements in AI and robotics with predictions about the future of computers as a “postbiological” or even “supernatural” era.<sup>37</sup> Similarly, futurist Ray Kurzweil believes that digital immortality will be an imminent reality.<sup>38</sup> This would at first appear to defy conventionally religious notions of immortality, yet the history of religions



suggests that their understanding of immortality is not new but ancient. Additionally, ancient forms of this belief were sophisticated in their analysis of the potential pitfalls and hazards of these developments. Look no further than the Greek myth of Eos and Tithonus. Tithonus, a mortal, fell in love with the immortal goddess Eos. Through her connections, she granted Tithonus immortality but forgot to give him eternal youth, which she had. He eventually became so old that she discarded him. Thus, the enthusiasm of contemporary immortality can and should be tempered by historical mythical and religious accounts.

## Stranger Than Fiction

One of the more interesting themes of the *Star Wars* (2018) movie *Solo*, which provides the backstory for the character Han Solo and other characters that become major players throughout the franchise, is the relationship between Han's friend Lando and his AI robot L3-37. In one scene, the L3 confides to the female protagonist Qi'ra that she (the AI) has a relationship with Lando, who is the captain of the spacecraft the Millennium Falcon. L3 is his first officer. Qi'ra looks suspicious and asks, "How does that work?" L3 responds, "It works." This relationship, characterized in the movie as deeply loving, respectful, and perhaps even sexual, is far different from the relationship that the astronaut David Bowman has with the computer HAL in the classic movie *2001: A Space Odyssey* (1968). In *2001*, HAL works for a covert government project that must extinguish any obstacle to its ultimate goal, which is to travel to the planet Jupiter. These obstacles unfortunately include Bowman's colleagues, and Bowman himself, had he not outwitted the AI and saved his own life. As different as the relationships in these movies are, the AI is still characterized as sentient and fully engaged in relationship to its human interlocutors. The potential sexual aspect of Lando's relationship with his female-voiced AI, in this sense, provides a powerful challenge to assumed human–digital boundaries and binaries.

The contours of these engagements have become the topic for scholars of media studies as well as humanist studies of digital technologies. Far from being a matter of science fiction, contemporary experiences with digital technologies including social bots, robots, and personal assistants such as Amazon's Alexa and Apple's Siri stretch not just the boundaries between humans and machine, but also the systems of beliefs and practices that foreground such boundaries. As Sheila Jasanoff and Sang-Hyun Kim argue in their groundbreaking anthology *Dreamscapes of Modernity: Sociotechnical Imaginaries and the Fabrication of Power*, movies like *Solo* and *2001* are not

mere fantasies about how technology and humans interact or how humans use technologies; rather, they represent and inform how the techno-human industrial complex operates in specific, material ways.<sup>39</sup>

These new frameworks, interestingly, apply equally to new religious forms and systems of belief. With the advent of religions inspired by science fiction and movies, or fiction-based religions, definitions of religion have been transformed. Historical religious traditions, such as Hinduism and Judaism, bring to mind ideas such as gods, goddesses, rituals, books, and values, among other things. The significant anchor that authorizes these concepts is generally an ultimate reality like a god, or an ultimate concept like Brahman. Practitioners consider these to be incontrovertible realities. But what about religions that take fiction and movies to be the authorizing narratives of their practices, rituals, and beliefs?<sup>40</sup> And is it a coincidence that these new religious movements occur within a digital space?

In the academic study of religion there are many definitions of religion, ranging from a belief in spiritual, invisible realities and beings to the belief that an ultimate force or organizing principle governs all things. Conventional definitions of religion rely on the perceived reality of founding religious figures, such as Jesus or the Buddha, or the veracity of concepts such as karma or Sunyata (nothingness in Zen Buddhism). Yet, as scholars have pointed out, fiction- and digital-based religions suggest that these definitions are ripe for change and revision. The chapters in this volume offer specific examples of this change. The assumption of a rupture with tradition, and the appearance of brand-new media, is also found within the language of technology, which dates back to the Greeks' mythical *automata*, which were mechanisms acting on their own's will and often exhibiting humanlike behavior. There is a continuous tradition of religious language and frameworks applied to technological development. Surprisingly, this language and history has not abated; indeed; it appears to be increasing. Facebook and Amazon can read your mind, for example (so it is said; see Chapter 1), and the internet is either the Best of the Apocalypse or the potential new body of the next Dalai Lama. This is reflected in the movie *Solo*, where L3 dies the heroic death of a martyr but then her memory database is integrated into the Millennium Falcon. She is now like HAL, but a version that saves humans and does not kill them.

## Notes

1. Joseph Weizenbaum, "ELIZA: A Computer Program for the Study of Natural Language Communication Between Man and Machine," *Communications of the ACM* 9, no. 1 (1966): 36–45.

2. Joseph Weizenbaum, *Computer Power and Human Reason* (New York: Freeman, 1976). See also Simone Natale, "If Software Is Narrative: Joseph Weizenbaum, Artificial Intelligence and the Biographies of ELIZA," *New Media and Society*, published online before print (2018): pp. 1–17, doi:10.1177/1461444818804980.
3. For examples of how the myth of accessible and "transparent" computers was originally spelled out, see John McCarthy, "Information," *Scientific American* 215 (1966): 64–72 and Anthony G. Oettinger, "The Uses of Computers in Science," *Scientific American* 215 (1966): 160–172.
4. Sherry Turkle, *Life on the Screen: Identity in the Age of the Internet* (New York: Weidenfeld & Nicolson, 1995).
5. Jonathan Sterne, "Analog," in *Digital Keywords*, ed. Benjamin Peters (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2016), 31–44, and Simone Natale, "There Are No Old Media," *Journal of Communication* 66, no. 4 (2016): 585–603.
6. Erkki Huhtamo and Jussi Parikka, eds., *Media Archaeology: Approaches, Applications, and Implications* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2011) and Wendy Hui Kyong Chun, *Updating to Remain the Same: Habitual New Media* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2016).
7. C. S. Watkins, *History and the Supernatural in Medieval England* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2007).
8. Jeremy Stolow, ed., *Deus in Machina: Religion, Technology, and the Things in Between* (New York: Fordham University Press, 2012).
9. Sconce claimed that nineteenth-century spiritualism originally developed in connection with the telegraph, to which spiritualists referred in order to explain their communication with the spiritual world. In a similar vein, Peters noted the coincidence of the early progress of telegraphy and spiritualism, arguing that behind the obsession with occultism and psychical research for the establishment of a communication with the unknown lay broader cultural concerns about communicational relations. Jeffrey Sconce, *Haunted Media: Electronic Presence from Telegraphy to Television* (Durham: Duke University Press, 2000) and John Durham Peters, *Speaking into the Air: A History of the Idea of Communication* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999). See also, among others, Richard J. Noakes, "Telegraphy Is an Occult Art: Cromwell Fleetwood Varley and the Diffusion of Electricity to the Other World," *British Journal for the History of Science* 32, no. 4 (1999): 421–459; Jeremy Stolow, "Salvation by Electricity," in *Religion: Beyond a Concept. The Future of the Religious Past*, Vol. I, ed. Hent De Vries (New York: Fordham University Press, 2008), 668–686; Anthony Enns, "Psychic Radio: Sound Technologies, Ether Bodies and Spiritual Vibrations," *The Senses and Society* 3, no. 2 (2008): 137–152; and Bernard Dionysius Geoghegan, "Mind the Gap: Spiritualism and the Infrastructural Uncanny," *Critical Inquiry* 42, no. 6 (2016): 25–31.