Copyrighted Material

Statistical Quality Control for the Six Sigma Green Belt



Bhisham C. Gupta & H. Fred Walker

Statistical Quality Control for the Six Sigma Green Belt

Also available from ASQ Quality Press:

Applied Statistics for the Six Sigma Green Belt Bhisham C. Gupta and H. Fred Walker

The Certified Six Sigma Green Belt Handbook Roderick A. Munro, Matthew J. Maio, Mohamed B. Nawaz, Govindarajan Ramu, and Daniel J. Zrymiak

Transactional Six Sigma for Green Belts: Maximizing Service and Manufacturing Processes Samuel E. Windsor

The Executive Guide to Understanding and Implementing Lean Six Sigma: The Financial Impact Robert M. Meisel, Steven J. Babb, Steven F. Marsh, & James P. Schlichting

Applying the Science of Six Sigma to the Art of Sales and Marketing Michael J. Pestorius

Six Sigma Project Management: A Pocket Guide Jeffrey N. Lowenthal

Six Sigma for the Next Millennium: A CSSBB Guidebook Kim H. Pries

The Certified Quality Engineer Handbook, Second Edition Roger W. Berger, Donald W. Benbow, Ahmad K. Elshennawy, and H. Fred Walker, editors

The Certified Quality Technician Handbook Donald W. Benbow, Ahmad K. Elshennawy, and H. Fred Walker

The Certified Manager of Quality/Organizational Excellence Handbook: Third Edition Russell T. Westcott, editor

Business Performance through Lean Six Sigma: Linking the Knowledge Worker, the Twelve Pillars, and Baldrige James T. Schutta

To request a complimentary catalog of ASQ Quality Press publications, call 800-248-1946, or visit our Web site at http://qualitypress.asq.org.

Statistical Quality Control for the Six Sigma Green Belt

Bhisham C. Gupta H. Fred Walker

ASQ Quality Press Milwaukee, Wisconsin American Society for Quality, Quality Press, Milwaukee 53203 © 2007 by American Society for Quality All rights reserved. Published 2007 Printed in the United States of America 12 11 10 09 08 07 06 5 4 3 2 1

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gupta, Bhisham C., 1942– Statistical quality control for the Six sigma green belt / Bhisham C.
Gupta and H. Fred Walker. p. cm.
Includes index.
ISBN 978-0-87389-686-3 (hard cover : alk. paper)
1. Six sigma (Quality control standard)
2. Quality control—Statistical methods.
I. Walker, H. Fred, 1963– II. Title.

TS156.G8674 2007 658.5'62—dc22

2007000315

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Publisher: William A. Tony Acquisitions Editor: Matt Meinholz Project Editor: Paul O'Mara Production Administrator: Randall Benson

ASQ Mission: The American Society for Quality advances individual, organizational, and community excellence worldwide through learning, quality improvement, and knowledge exchange.

Attention Bookstores, Wholesalers, Schools, and Corporations: ASQ Quality Press books, videotapes, audiotapes, and software are available at quantity discounts with bulk purchases for business, educational, or instructional use. For information, please contact ASQ Quality Press at 800-248-1946, or write to ASQ Quality Press, P.O. Box 3005, Milwaukee, WI 53201-3005.

To place orders or to request a free copy of the ASQ Quality Press Publications Catalog, including ASQ membership information, call 800-248-1946. Visit our Web site at www.asq. org or http://qualitypress.asq.org.



Quality Press 600 N. Plankinton Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203 Call toll free 800-248-1946 Fax 414-272-1734 www.asq.org http://www.asq.org/quality-press http://standardsgroup.asq.org E-mail: authors@asq.org



In loving memory of my parents, Roshan Lal and Sodhan Devi. —Bhisham

In loving memory of my father, Carl Ellsworth Walker. —Fred

Contents

| List of L | Figures | | xiii |
|-----------|------------------|--|----------------|
| List of | Tables. | | xix |
| Preface | , | | xxi |
| Acknow | lodami | | vviii |
| лскион | reugme | | ллии |
| Chapte | r 1 I | ntroduction to Statistical Quality Control | 1 |
| 1.1 | Identit | fying the Tools of SQC | 2 |
| 1.2 | Relati | ng SQC to Applied Statistics and to DOE | 2 |
| 1.3 | Under | standing the Role of Statistics in SQC | 4 |
| 1.4 | Makin | g Decisions Based on Quantitative Data | 5 |
| 1.5 | Practio | cal versus Theoretical or Statistical Significance | 5 |
| 1.6 | Why V | We Cannot Measure Everything | 7 |
| 1.7 | A Woi | rd on the Risks Associated with Making Bad | |
| | Decisi | ons | 7 |
| | A E | | 11 |
| Chapte | er Z E | Aements of a Sample Survey | 11 |
| 2.1 | Basic | | 11 |
| 2.2 | Sampl | | 14 |
| 2.2 | Simple | Extinuation of a Develotion Manual Develotion Tetal | 15 |
| | 2.2.1 | Estimation of a Population Mean and Population Iotal | 10 |
| | 2.2.2 | Confidence Interval for a Population Mean and | 20 |
| | 222 | Population Iolal | 20 |
| 22 | Z.Z.J Stratif | Determination of Sample Size | 20 |
| 2.5 | 2 2 1 | Estimation of a Dopulation Maan and | 21 |
| | 2.3.1 | Population Total | $\gamma\gamma$ |
| | 222 | Confidence Interval for a Dopulation Mean and | |
| | 2.3.2 | Population Total | 24 |
| | 223 | Determination of Sample Size | 24 |
| 24 | 2.J.J System | natic Random Sampling | 20 |
| 2.7 | 241 | Estimation of a Population Mean and | 21 |
| | <i>∠</i> , , , 1 | Population Total | 28 |
| | | | 20 |

| | 2.4.2 | Confidence Interval for a Population Mean | |
|--------|--------|---|----------|
| | | and Population Total | 30 |
| | 2.4.3 | Determination of Sample Size | 30 |
| 2.5 | Cluste | er Random Sampling | 32 |
| | 2.5.1 | Estimation of a Population Mean and | |
| | | Population Total | 33 |
| | 2.5.2 | Confidence Interval for a Population Mean and | |
| | | Population Total | 34 |
| | 2.5.3 | Determination of Sample Size | 37 |
| Chapte | er 3 F | Phase I (Detecting Large Shifts)—SPC: Control | |
| - | (| Charts for Variables | 39 |
| 3.1 | Basic | Definition of Quality and Its Benefits | 40 |
| 3.2 | SPC. | ~ ~ | 41 |
| | Check | s Sheet | 43 |
| | Pareto |) Chart | 45 |
| | Cause | e-and-Effect (Fishbone or Ishikawa) Diagram | 47 |
| | Defec | t Concentration Diagram. | 48 |
| | Run C | Chart | 50 |
| 3.3 | Contr | ol Charts for Variables | 51 |
| | Proce | ss Evaluation | 51 |
| | Actio | n on Process | 51 |
| | Actio | n on Output | 51 |
| | Variat | ion | 52 |
| | Comr | non Causes or Random Causes | 52 |
| | Speci | al Causes or Assignable Causes | 52 |
| | Local | Actions and Actions on the System | 53 |
| | Prepa | ration for Use of Control Charts | 55 |
| | Benef | its of Control Charts | 56 |
| | Ratio | nal Samples for a Control Chart | 57 |
| | ARL | 1 | 57 |
| | OC C | urve | 59 |
| | 3.3.1 | Shewhart \overline{X} and R Control Charts | 60 |
| | 3.3.2 | Shewhart \overline{X} and R Control Charts When Process | |
| | | Mean μ and Process Standard Deviation σ Are | |
| | | Known | 68 |
| | 3.3.3 | Shewhart Control Chart for Individual | |
| | | Observations | 69 |
| | 3.3.4 | Shewhart \overline{X} and S Control Charts | 72 |
| 3.4 | Proce | ss Capability | 79 |
| | | | |
| Chapt | er4 H | Phase I (Detecting Large Shifts)—SPC: Control | 00 |
| 4 1 | | | 83 |
| 4.1 | Contr | ol Charts for Attributes | 83 |
| 4.2 | The p | Chart: Control Chart for Fraction of Nonconforming | 05 |
| | Contr | al Limits for the n Chart | 0) 05 |
| | Cond | of Limits for the p Chart \dots | 05 |

| | 4.2.1 The <i>p</i> Chart: Control Chart for Fraction | 00 |
|------------|--|-----|
| 12 | Nonconforming with Variable Samples | 89 |
| 4.5 | Units | 02 |
| | Control Limits for the <i>nn</i> Control Chart | 92 |
| 4.4 | The <i>c</i> Chart (Nonconformities versus Nonconforming | 12 |
| | Units) | 93 |
| 4.5 | The <i>u</i> Chart | 96 |
| Chapte | er 5 Phase II (Detecting Small Shifts)—SPC: | |
| r | Cumulative Sum, Moving Average, and | |
| | Exponentially Weighted Moving Average | |
| | Control Charts | 101 |
| 5.1 | Basic Concepts of the CUSUM Control Chart | 102 |
| | CUSUM Control Chart versus Shewhart X-R Control | |
| | Chart | 102 |
| 5.2 | Designing a CUSUM Control Chart | 104 |
| | 5.2.1 Two-Sided CUSUM Control Chart Using Numerical | 100 |
| | Procedure | 106 |
| | 5.2.2 The Fast Initial Response Feature for the CUSUM | 110 |
| | 5.2.2 Combined Showhert CUSUM Control Chart | 112 |
| | 5.2.5 Combined Snewhalt-CUSUM Control Chart | 115 |
| | Variability | 116 |
| 53 | The MA Control Chart | 117 |
| 5.5 5.4 | The EWMA Control Chart | 120 |
| | | 120 |
| Chapte | er 6 Process Capability Indices | 127 |
| 6.1 | Development of Process Capability Indices | 127 |
| 6.2 | PCI C_p | 130 |
| 6.3 | $\operatorname{PCI} C_{pk} \dots \dots$ | 135 |
| 6.4 | $\operatorname{PCI} C_{pm} \dots \dots$ | 136 |
| 6.5 | $\operatorname{PCI} C_{pmk} \dots \dots$ | 138 |
| 6.6 | $PCI C_{pnst} \dots \dots$ | 139 |
| | Examples Comparing C_{pnst} with PCIs C_{pk} and C_{pm} | 141 |
| 67 | b.b.1 Certain Features of the Capability Index C_{pnst} | 142 |
| 0.7 | PCIS P_p and P_{pk} | 144 |
| Chapte | er 7 Measurement Systems Analysis | 147 |
| 7.1 | Using SOC to Understand Variability | 148 |
| | Variability in the Production or Service Delivery Process | 148 |
| | Variability in the Measurement Process | 148 |
| 7.2 | Evaluating Measurement System Performance | 149 |
| | 7.2.1 MSA Based on Range | 150 |
| | 7.2.2 MSA Based on ANOVA | 156 |
| 7.3 | MCIs | 162 |
| | MCI as a Percentage of Process Variation (MCI_{pv}) | 162 |
| | MCI as a Percentage of Process Specification (MCI_{ps}) | 163 |

| Chapt | er 8 PRE-control | 165 |
|-------|---|-----|
| 8.1 | PRE-control Background. | 165 |
| | 8.1.1 What Are We Trying to Accomplish with | |
| | PRE-control? | 166 |
| | 8.1.2 The Conditions Necessary for PRE-control | |
| | to Be Valid. | 166 |
| 8.2 | Global Perspective on the Use of PRE-control | |
| | (Understanding the Color-Coding Scheme) | 167 |
| 8.3 | The Mechanics of PRE-control | 168 |
| | Step 1: Ensure the Process Is Sufficiently Capable | 168 |
| | Step 2: Establish the PRE-control Zones | 169 |
| | Step 3: Verify That the Process Is Ready to Begin | |
| | PRE-control | 169 |
| | Step 4: Begin Sampling | 169 |
| | Step 5: Apply the PRE-control Rules | 169 |
| 8.4 | The Statistical Basis for PRE-control | 170 |
| 8.5 | Advantages and Disadvantages of PRE-control | 170 |
| | 8.5.1 Advantages of PRE-control | 171 |
| | 8.5.2 Disadvantages of PRE-control | 171 |
| 8.6 | What Comes After PRE-control? | 172 |
| | | |
| Chapt | er 9 Acceptance Sampling | 173 |
| 9.1 | The Intent of Acceptance Sampling | 173 |
| 9.2 | Sampling Inspection versus 100 Percent Inspection | 174 |
| 9.3 | Sampling Concepts | 175 |
| | 9.3.1 Lot-by-Lot versus Average Quality Protection | 175 |
| | 9.3.2 The OC Curve | 175 |
| | 9.3.3 Plotting the OC Curve | 176 |
| | 9.3.4 Acceptance Sampling by Attributes | 177 |
| | 9.3.5 Acceptable Quality Limit | 178 |
| | 9.3.6 Lot Tolerance Percent Defective | 178 |
| | 9.3.7 Producer's and Consumer's Risks | 178 |
| | 9.3.8 Average Outgoing Quality | 178 |
| | 9.3.9 Average Outgoing Quality Limit | 179 |
| 0.4 | 9.3.10 Lot Size, Sample Size, and Acceptance Number | 180 |
| 9.4 | Types of Attribute Sampling Plans | 182 |
| | 9.4.1 Single Sampling Plans | 182 |
| | 9.4.2 Double Sampling Plans. | 184 |
| | 9.4.3 OC Curve for a Double Sampling Plan. | 184 |
| | 9.4.4 Multiple Sampling Plans. | 180 |
| | 9.4.5 AOQ and AOQL for Double and Multiple Plans | 180 |
| 0.5 | 9.4.6 Average Sample Number | 180 |
| 9.5 | Sampling Standards and Plans. | 188 |
| | 9.5.1 ANSI/ASU 21.4-2005 | 188 |
| | 9.5.2 Levels of Inspection | 189 |
| | 9.5.5 Types of Sampling | 191 |
| | 9.3.4 Douge-Komig Tables | 193 |

| 9.6 | Variables Sampling Plans | 193 |
|--------|--|-------------|
| | 9.6.1 ANSI/ASQ Z1.9-2003 | 194 |
| 9.7 | Sequential Sampling Plans | 199 |
| 9.8 | Continuous Sampling Plans | 201 |
| | 9.8.1 Types of Continuous Sampling Plans | 201 |
| 9.9 | Variables Plan When the Standard Deviation Is Known | 203 |
| Chapte | r 10 Computer Resources to Support SQC: MINITAB | 225 |
| 10.1 | Using MINITAB—Version 14 | 225 |
| | Getting Started with MINITAB | 226 |
| | Creating a New Worksheet | 226 |
| | Saving a Data File. | 227 |
| | Retrieving a Saved MINITAB Data File | 227 |
| | Saving a MINITAB Project. | 227 |
| | Print Options | 228 |
| 10.2 | The Shewhart Xbar-R Control Chart | 228 |
| 10.3 | The Shewhart Xbar-R Control Chart When Process | |
| | Mean μ and Process Standard Deviation σ Are Known | 230 |
| 10.4 | The Shewhart Control Chart for Individual Observations | 230 |
| 10.5 | The Shewhart Xbar-S Control Chart—Equal Sample | |
| | Size | 231 |
| 10.6 | The Shewhart Xbar-S Control Chart—Sample Size | • • • • |
| | Variable | 233 |
| 10.7 | Process Capability Analysis. | 235 |
| 10.8 | The <i>p</i> Chart: Control Chart for Fraction Nonconforming | ••• |
| 10.0 | Units. | 238 |
| 10.9 | The <i>p</i> Chart: Control Chart for Fraction Nonconforming | a aa |
| 10.10 | Units with Variable Sample Size | 239 |
| 10.10 | The <i>np</i> Chart: Control Chart for Nonconforming Units | 239 |
| 10.11 | The <i>c</i> Chart | 240 |
| 10.12 | $\frac{1}{2} \text{The } u \text{ Chart } \dots $ | 241 |
| 10.13 | 5 The <i>u</i> Chart: Variable Sample Size | 242 |
| 10.14 | E Designing a CUSUM Control Chart | 243 |
| 10.12 | The FIR Feature for a CUSUM Control Chart | 245 |
| 10.10 | The MA Control Chart. | 245 |
| 10.17 | Manual Sector Const 11 to Angle in | 247 |
| 10.18 | 10.18.1 Measurement System Capability Analysis | 249 |
| | 10.18.1 Measurement System Capability Analysis | 250 |
| | (Using Crossed Designs) | 230 |
| Chapte | r 11 Computer Resources to Support SQC: JMP | 261 |
| 11.1 | Using JMP—Version 6.0 | 261 |
| | Getting Started with JMP | 263 |
| | Creating a New Data Table | 264 |
| | Opening an Existing JMP File | 265 |
| | Saving JMP Files | 265 |
| | Print Options | 266 |
| | Using JMP Images for Reporting | 267 |

| 11.2 | The Shewhart XBar and R Control Chart | 268 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 11.3 | The Shewhart XBar and S Control Chart—Equal | |
| | Sample Size | 270 |
| 11.4 | The Shewhart XBar and S Control Chart—Sample Size | |
| | Variable | 272 |
| 11.5 | The Shewhart Control Chart for Individual | |
| | Observations | 273 |
| 11.6 | Process Capability Analysis. | 275 |
| 11.7 | The <i>p</i> Chart: Control Chart for Fraction Nonconforming | |
| | Units with Constant Sample Size. | 277 |
| 11.8 | The <i>p</i> Chart: Control Chart for Fraction Nonconforming | |
| | Units with Sample Size Varying | 281 |
| 11.9 | The <i>np</i> Chart: Control Chart for Nonconforming Units | 281 |
| 11.10 | The <i>c</i> Chart | 282 |
| 11.11 | The <i>u</i> Chart with Constant Sample Size | 284 |
| 11.12 | The <i>u</i> Chart: Control Chart for Fraction Nonconforming | |
| | Units with Sample Size Varying | 286 |
| 11.13 | The CUSUM Chart | 286 |
| 11.14 | The Uniformly Weighted Moving Average Chart | 288 |
| 11.15 | The EWMA Control Chart | 290 |
| 11.16 | Measurement System Capability Analysis | 292 |
| | 11.16.1 Measurement System Capability Analysis | |
| | (Using Crossed Designs) | 293 |
| Appendi | x Statistical Factors and Tables | 299 |
| Rihlioora | nhv | 327 |
| Index | <i>P</i> ^{<i>ny</i>} · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 221 |
| таех | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | 551 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 | The five tool types of SQC. | 2 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 1.2 | Relationship among applied statistics, SQC, and DOE | 3 |
| Figure 1.3 | Order of SQC topics in process or transactional Six Sigma | 4 |
| Figure 1.4 | Detecting statistical differences. | 6 |
| Figure 1.5 | Detecting practical and statistical differences. | 6 |
| Figure 1.6 | Sample versus population | 7 |
| Figure 3.1 | Flowchart of a process. | 41 |
| Figure 3.2 | Pareto chart for data in Example 3.1 | 45 |
| Figure 3.3 | Pareto chart when weighted frequencies are used | 47 |
| Figure 3.4 | An initial form of a cause-and-effect diagram. | 48 |
| Figure 3.5 | A complete cause-and-effect diagram. | 49 |
| Figure 3.6 | A rectangular prism-shaped product that has been | |
| - | damaged. | 49 |
| Figure 3.7 | A run chart | 50 |
| Figure 3.8 | A control chart with a UCL and an LCL. | 54 |
| Figure 3.9 | OC curves for the \bar{x} chart with 3σ limits for different | |
| | sample sizes <i>n</i> | 59 |
| Figure 3.10 | The \overline{X} and R control charts, constructed using MINITAB, | |
| | for the ball bearing data in Table 3.4 | 65 |
| Figure 3.11 | The MR control chart, constructed using MINITAB, for | |
| | the ball bearing data in Table 3.5 | 71 |
| Figure 3.12 | The \overline{X} and S control charts, constructed using MINITAB, | |
| | for the ball bearing data in Table 3.4. | 75 |
| Figure 3.13 | The \overline{X} and S control charts for variable sample sizes, | |
| | constructed using MINITAB, for the piston ring data in | |
| | Table 3.6 | 77 |
| Figure 3.14 | Three illustrations of the concept of process capability, | |
| | where (a) shows a process that is stable but not capable, | |
| | (b) shows a process that is stable and barely capable, and | |
| | (c) shows a process that is stable and capable | 80 |
| Figure 4.1 | MINITAB printout of the <i>p</i> chart for nonconforming | |
| | computer chips, using trial control limits from the data | |
| | in Table 4.2 | 89 |
| Figure 4.2 | MINITAB printout of the <i>p</i> chart for nonconforming | |
| | chips with variable sample sizes, using trial control limits | |
| | for the data in Table 4.3. | 91 |

| Figure 4.3 | MINITAB printout of the np chart for nonconforming | |
|---------------|--|------|
| | computer chips, using trial control limits for the data | |
| | in Table 4.2 | 93 |
| Figure 4.4 | The c control chart of nonconformities for the data | |
| | in Table 4.4 | 95 |
| Figure 4.5 | The <i>u</i> chart of nonconformities for the data in Table 4.5, | |
| | constructed using MINITAB. | 99 |
| Figure 4.6 | The <i>u</i> chart of nonconformities for the data in Table 4.6, | |
| | constructed using MINITAB. | 100 |
| Figure 5.1 | \overline{X} -R control chart for the data in Table 5.1 | 105 |
| Figure 5.2 | CUSUM chart for the data in Table 5.1. | 105 |
| Figure 5.3 | MINITAB printout of a two-sided CUSUM control chart | |
| | for the data in Table 5.1. | 109 |
| Figure 5.4 | MINITAB printout of the X control chart for individual | |
| | values in Table 5.4. | 111 |
| Figure 5.5 | MINITAB printout of the CUSUM control chart for | |
| | individual values in Table 5.4. | 111 |
| Figure 5.6 | MINITAB printout of the two-sided CUSUM control | |
| | chart for the data in Table 5.5 using FIR. | 113 |
| Figure 5.7 | MINITAB printout of the MA control chart for the data | |
| | in Table 5.4. | 120 |
| Figure 5.8 | MINITAB printout of the EWMA control chart for the | |
| F I (1 | data in Table 5.4 | 125 |
| Figure 6.1 | Flowchart of a process. | 128 |
| Figure 7.1 | Approximate sampling distribution of sample statistics | 1.40 |
| | X with sample size five | 148 |
| Figure 7.2 | Components of total variation | 149 |
| Figure 7.3 | I ne distinction between accurate and precise, where (a) is | |
| | accurate and precise, (b) is accurate but not precise, (c) is | |
| | not accurate but precise, and (d) is neither accurate nor | 151 |
| Figure 7 4 | The linear relationship between the actual and the | 131 |
| Figure 7.4 | observed volues | 152 |
| Figure 7 5 | Percent contribution of variance components for the data | 132 |
| Figure 7.5 | in Example 7.1 | 159 |
| Figure 7.6 | \overline{X} and R charts for the data in Example 7.1 | 160 |
| Figure 7.7 | Interaction between operators and parts for the data in | 100 |
| - gui e / tr | Example 7.1. | 161 |
| Figure 7.8 | Scatter plot for measurements versus operators. | 161 |
| Figure 7.9 | Scatter plot for measurements versus parts (bolts) | 162 |
| Figure 8.1 | Relationships among the SOC tools | 165 |
| Figure 8.2 | A barely capable process. | 167 |
| Figure 8.3 | PRE-control zones. | 168 |
| Figure 8.4 | A process with process capability equal to one | 170 |
| Figure 9.1 | An OC curve. | 176 |
| Figure 9.2 | AOQ curve for $N = \infty$, $n = 50$, $c = 3$ | 180 |
| Figure 9.3 | Effect on an OC curve of changing sample size (n) when | |
| _ | acceptance number (c) is held constant. | 181 |
| Figure 9.4 | Effect of changing acceptance number (c) when sample | |
| | size (<i>n</i>) is held constant | 181 |
| Figure 9.5 | Effect of changing lot size (N) when acceptance number | |
| | (c) and sample size (n) are held constant | 183 |
| | | |

| Figure 9.6 | OC curves for sampling plans having the sample size equal | |
|---------------|--|----------|
| | to 10 percent of the lot size | 183 |
| Figure 9.7 | OC curve for double sampling plan where $n_1 = 75$, $c_1 = 0$, | |
| | $r_1 = 3, n_2 = 75, c_2 = 3, \text{ and } r_2 = 4. \dots$ | 185 |
| Figure 9.8 | AOQ curve for double sampling plan | 186 |
| Figure 9.9 | ASN curve for double sampling plan. | 188 |
| Figure 9.10 | Switching rules for normal, tightened, and reduced | |
| - | inspection. | 190 |
| Figure 9.11 | Structure and organization of ANSI/ASQ Z1.9-2003 | 195 |
| Figure 9.12 | Decision areas for a sequential sampling plan. | 199 |
| Figure 9.13 | ANSI/ASQ Z1.4-2003 Table VIII: Limit numbers for | |
| 0 | reduced inspection. | 205 |
| Figure 9.14 | ANSI/ASQ Z1.4-2003 Table I: Sample size code letters | 206 |
| Figure 9.15 | ANSI/ASQ Z1.4-2003 Table II-A: Single sampling plans | |
| 0 | for normal inspection. | 207 |
| Figure 9.16 | ANSI/ASO Z1.4-2003 Table III-A: Double sampling | |
| 8 | plans for normal inspection. | 208 |
| Figure 9.17 | ANSI/ASO Z1.4-2003 Table IV-A: Multiple sampling | |
| 8 | plans for normal inspection. | 209 |
| Figure 9.18 | 4.20 ANSI/ASO Z1.9-2003 Table A-2: Sample size | |
| 8 | code letters. | 211 |
| Figure 9.19 | ANSI/ASO Z1.9-2003 Table C-1: Master table for normal | |
| 8 | and tightened inspection for plans based on variability | |
| | unknown (single specification limit—Form 1) | 212 |
| Figure 9.20 | ANSI/ASO Z1.9-2003 Table B-5: Table for estimating | |
| 8 | the lot percent nonconforming using standard deviation | |
| | method | 213 |
| Figure 9.21 | ANSI/ASO Z1.9-2003 Table B-3: Master table for normal | -10 |
| - gui e > -== | and tightened inspection for plans based on variability | |
| | unknown (double specification limit and Form 2—single | |
| | specification limit). | 222 |
| Figure 10.1 | The welcome screen in MINITAB. | 226 |
| Figure 10.2 | Showing the menu command options | 227 |
| Figure 10.3 | MINITAB window showing the Xbar-R Chart dialog | |
| 8 | box. | 229 |
| Figure 10.4 | MINITAB window showing the Xbar-R Chart - Options | / |
| | dialog box | 230 |
| Figure 10.5 | MINITAB window showing the Individuals-Moving | -00 |
| - 8 | Range Chart dialog box | 231 |
| Figure 10.6 | MINITAB window showing the Xbar-S Chart dialog | -01 |
| | hox. | 232 |
| Figure 10.7 | MINITAB windows showing the Xbar-S Chart and | |
| 8 | Xbar-S Chart - Options dialog boxes. | 234 |
| Figure 10.8 | MINITAB window showing the Capability Analysis | |
| 8 | (Normal Distribution) dialog box | 237 |
| Figure 10.9 | MINITAB windows showing the process capability | _0, |
| Bare 1002 | analysis | 237 |
| Figure 10.10 | MINITAB window showing the P Chart dialog box | 238 |
| Figure 10.11 | MINITAB window showing the C Chart dialog box | 241 |
| Figure 10.12 | MINITAB window showing the U Chart dialog box | 242 |
| Figure 10.13 | MINITAB window showing the CUSUM Chart dialog | |
| - 15ure 10.15 | hox | 244 |
| | 0.021 | <u>~</u> |

| Figure 10.14 | MINITAB window showing the CUSUM Chart - Options | |
|------------------|--|-----|
| 0 | dialog box | 245 |
| Figure 10.15 | MINITAB window showing the Moving Average Chart | |
| 8 | dialog box | 246 |
| Figure 10.16 | MINITAB window showing the EWMA Chart dialog | |
| 8 | box | 248 |
| Figure 10.17 | Screen showing the selections Stat > Quality Tools > | |
| i igui e i oil? | Gage Study > Gage R&R Study (Crossed) | 252 |
| Figure 10.18 | Gage R&R Study (Crossed) dialog box | 252 |
| Figure 10.10 | Gage R&R Study (Crossed) - Ontions dialog box | 252 |
| Figure 10.19 | Percent contribution of variance components for the | 255 |
| Figure 10.20 | data in Example 10.12 | 257 |
| Figure 10 21 | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ and R charts for the data in Example 10.12 | 257 |
| Figure 10.21 | Interaction between operators and parts for the data in | 230 |
| Figure 10.22 | Example 10.12 | 258 |
| Figure 10.22 | Example 10.12. | 250 |
| Figure 10.23 | Scatter plot for measurements versus operators | 259 |
| Figure 10.24 | MD Starter display | 239 |
| Figure 11.1 | JMP Starter display | 202 |
| Figure 11.2 | JMP drop-down menus. | 202 |
| Figure 11.5 | JMP file processing commands. | 203 |
| Figure 11.4 | JMP statistical analysis commands | 264 |
| Figure 11.5 | | 264 |
| Figure 11.6 | | 265 |
| Figure 11.7 | Opening an existing JMP file. | 266 |
| Figure 11.8 | Saving a newly created JMP file | 266 |
| Figure 11.9 | Saving an existing JMP file. | 267 |
| Figure 11.10 | Printing JMP output. | 267 |
| Figure 11.11 | Generating an XBar and R chart | 268 |
| Figure 11.12 | XBar chart dialog box | 269 |
| Figure 11.13 | Generating an XBar and S chart. | 2/1 |
| Figure 11.14 | XBar chart dialog box | 2/1 |
| Figure 11.15 | ABar and S chart dialog box | 213 |
| Figure 11.16 | Generating a control chart for individual observations | 274 |
| Figure 11.17 | IR chart dialog box | 275 |
| Figure 11.18 | Capability analysis based on an XBar and S chart | 077 |
| F ! 11.10 | for Example 11.5. | 277 |
| Figure 11.19 | Process capability analysis dialog box. | 278 |
| Figure 11.20 | Process capability analysis output. | 279 |
| Figure 11.21 | Generating a <i>p</i> chart. | 280 |
| Figure 11.22 | p chart dialog box | 280 |
| Figure 11.23 | Generating a <i>c</i> chart. | 282 |
| Figure 11.24 | | 283 |
| Figure 11.25 | Generating a <i>u</i> chart. | 284 |
| Figure 11.26 | u chart dialog box | 285 |
| Figure 11.27 | Generating a CUSUM chart. | 287 |
| Figure 11.28 | CUSUM CHART dialog DOX | 28/ |
| Figure 11.29 | Specify Stats dialog box | 288 |
| Figure 11.30 | Generating a UWMA chart | 289 |
| Figure 11.31 | UWMA chart dialog box. | 290 |
| Figure 11.32 | Generating an EWMA chart. | 291 |
| Figure 11.33 | EWMA chart dialog box | 292 |
| Figure 11.34 | Initiating a Gage K&R. | 295 |
| Figure 11.35 | Gage K&K dialog box | 295 |

| Figure 11.36 | Completed Gage R&R dialog box. | 296 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 11.37 | Y, Response variability charts | 296 |
| Figure 11.38 | Continuing the Gage R&R | 297 |
| Figure 11.39 | Variance components. | 297 |
| Figure 11.40 | Gage R&R dialog box | 297 |
| Figure 11.41 | Gage R&R output | 298 |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1 | Check sheet summarizing the data of a study over a period | |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| T 11 44 | of four weeks. | 44 |
| Table 3.2 | Frequencies and weighted frequencies when different types | |
| T 11 22 | of defects are not equally important. | 46 |
| Table 3.3 | Percentage of nonconforming units in different shifts over | 50 |
| T 11 0 4 | a period of 30 shifts. | 50 |
| Table 3.4 | Diameter measurements (in mm) of ball bearings used in | (2) |
| TIL 35 | the wheels of heavy construction equipment. | 63 |
| Table 3.5 | Diameter measurements (in mm) of ball bearings used in | 70 |
| T-11-27 | the wheels of heavy construction equipment. | /0 |
| Table 3.6 | The finished inside diameter measurements (in cm) of | 70 |
| T-11- 41 | piston ring. | /8 |
| Table 4.1 | I ne four control charts for attributes. | 85 |
| 1able 4.2 | number of nonconforming computer crips out of 1000 | 00 |
| Table 1.2 | Number of nonconforming computer ching with different | 88 |
| Table 4.5 | size semples inspected each day during the study period | 01 |
| Table 4.4 | Size samples inspected each day during the study period | 91 |
| 1able 4.4 | of paper | 05 |
| Table 4 5 | Number of nonconformities on printed boards for lantons | 95 |
| 1401C 7.5 | ner sample, each sample consisting of five inspection | |
| | units | 98 |
| Table 4.6 | Number of nonconformities on printed boards for laptops | 70 |
| iusie no | ner sample with varving sample size | 99 |
| Table 5.1 | Data from a manufacturing process of auto parts before | // |
| | and after its mean experienced a shift of 1σ (sample | |
| | size four) | 103 |
| Table 5.2 | Values of h for a given value of k when $ARL_0 = 370$ | 107 |
| Table 5.3 | Tabular CUSUM control chart for the data given in | |
| | Table 5.1 | 108 |
| Table 5.4 | Data from a manufacturing process of auto parts before | |
| | and after its mean experienced a shift of 1σ (sample | |
| | size one). | 110 |
| Table 5.5 | Tabular CUSUM control chart using FIR for data in | |
| | Table 5.4 | 113 |
| Table 5.6 | Tabular CUSUM control chart using FIR for the process in | |
| | Table 5.4, after it had experienced an upward shift of 1σ | 114 |

| Table 5.7 | MA chart (M_i 's) for data in Table 5.4 with $\mu = 20, \sigma = 2$ | 119 |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| Table 5.8 | A selection of EWMA charts with $ARL_0 \cong 500. \dots$ | 123 |
| Table 5.9 | EWMA control chart (z_i 's) for data in Table 5.4 with $\lambda = 0.20$, $L = 2.962$. | 124 |
| Table 6.1 | Different processes with the same value of C | 135 |
| Table 6.2 | Parts per million of nonconforming units for different values | |
| | of C_1 | 136 |
| Table 6.3 | The values of $C_{\mu\nu}$ and $C_{\mu\nu}$ as μ deviates from the target | 137 |
| Table 6.4 | Values of C_n , C_{nk} , C_{nm} , C_{nmk} , and C_{nmk} for | |
| | $\mu = 20, 22, 24, 26, 28; T = 24; LSL = 12; and$ | |
| | USL = $36 (\sigma = 2)$ | 143 |
| Table 6.5 | Values of $C_{n}, C_{nk}, C_{nm}, C_{nmk}$, and C_{nmet} for | |
| | $\sigma = 2, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5; T = 24, LSL = 12, and$ | |
| | USL = 36 (μ = 20) | 144 |
| Table 7.1 | Data on an experiment involving three operators, 10 bolts, | |
| | and three measurements (in millimeters) on each bolt by | |
| | each operator | 154 |
| Table 8.1 | PRE-control rules | 169 |
| Table 10.1 | Data of 25 samples, each of size five, from a given | |
| | process. | 236 |
| Table 10.2 | Data on an experiment involving three operators, 10 bolts, | |
| | and three measurements (in mm) on each bolt by each | |
| | operator | 251 |
| Table 11.1 | Data of 25 samples, each of size five, from a given | |
| | process. | 276 |
| Table 11.2 | Data on an experiment involving three operators, 10 bolts, | |
| | and three measurements (in mm) on each bolt by each | 204 |
| T-11. A 1 | operator. | 294 |
| Table A.1 | Random numbers. | 300 |
| Table A.2 | Factors neipiul in constructing control charts for | 202 |
| Table A 2 | Values of K for computing repeatability using the range | 502 |
| Table A.S | walkes of \mathbf{x}_1 for computing repeatability using the range | 202 |
| Table A 4 | Values of K for computing reproducibility using the | 303 |
| Table A.4 | range method | 304 |
| Table A 5 | Binomial probabilities | 304 |
| Table A 6 | Poisson probabilities | 304 |
| Table A.7 | Standard normal distribution | 313 |
| Table A.8 | Critical values of χ^2 with y degrees of freedom | 314 |
| Table A 9 | Critical values of t with v degrees of freedom | 316 |
| Table A.10 | Critical values of F with numerator and denominator | 510 |
| | degrees of freedom v, v, respectively ($\alpha = 0.10$) | 318 |
| | | 210 |

Preface

Statistical Quality Control for the Six Sigma Green Belt was written as a desk reference and instructional aid for those individuals currently involved with, or preparing for involvement with, Six Sigma project teams. As Six Sigma team members, Green Belts help select, collect data for, and assist with the interpretation of a variety of statistical or quantitative tools within the context of the Six Sigma methodology.

Composed of steps or phases titled Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control (DMAIC), the Six Sigma methodology calls for the use of many more statistical tools than is reasonable to address in one book. Accordingly, the intent of this book is to provide for Green Belts and Six Sigma team members a thorough discussion of the statistical quality control tools addressing both the underlying statistical concepts and the application. More advanced topics of a statistical or quantitative nature will be discussed in two additional books that, together with the first book in this series, *Applied Statistics for the Six Sigma Green Belt*, and this book, will comprise a four-book series.

While it is beyond the scope of this book and series to cover the DMAIC methodology specifically, this book and series focus on concepts, applications, and interpretations of the statistical tools used during, and as part of, the DMAIC methodology. Of particular interest in the books in this series is an applied approach to the topics covered while providing a detailed discussion of the underlying concepts.

In fact, one very controversial aspect of Six Sigma training is that, in many cases, this training is targeted at the Six Sigma Black Belt and is all too commonly delivered to large groups of people with the assumption that all trainees have a fluent command of the statistically based tools and techniques. In practice this commonly leads to a good deal of concern and discomfort on behalf of trainees, as it quickly becomes difficult to keep up with and successfully complete Black Belt–level training without the benefit of truly understanding these tools and techniques.

So let us take a look together at *Statistical Quality Control for the Six Sigma Green Belt.* What you will learn is that these statistically based tools and techniques aren't mysterious, they aren't scary, and they aren't overly difficult to understand. As in learning any topic, once you learn the basics, it is easy to build on that knowledge—trying to start without a knowledge of the basics, however, is generally the beginning of a difficult situation.

Acknowledgments

www.ewould like to thank Professors John Brunette, Cheng Peng, Merle Guay, and Peggy Moore of the University of Southern Maine for reading the final draft line by line. Their comments and suggestions have proven to be invaluable. We also thank Laurie McDermott, administrative associate of the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Southern Maine, for help in typing the various drafts of the manuscript. In addition, we are grateful to the several anonymous reviewers, whose constructive suggestions greatly improved the presentations, and to our students, whose input was invaluable. We also want to thank Matt Meinholz and Paul O'Mara of ASQ Quality Press for their patience and cooperation throughout the preparation of this project.

We acknowledge MINITAB for permitting us to reprint screen shots in this book. MINITAB and the MINITAB logo are registered trademarks of MINITAB. We also thank the SAS Institute for permitting us to reprint screen shots of JMP v. 6.0 (© 2006 SAS Institute). SAS, JMP, and all other SAS Institute product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of the SAS Institute in the United States and other countries. We would like to thank IBM for granting us permission to reproduce excerpts from Quality Institute manual entitled, *Process Control, Capability and Improvement* (© 1984 IBM Corporation and the IBM Quality Institute).

The authors would also like to thank their families. Bhisham is indebted to his wife, Swarn; his daughters, Anita and Anjali; his son, Shiva; his sonsin-law, Prajay and Mark; and his granddaughter, Priya, for their deep love and devotion. Fred would like to acknowledge the patience and support provided by his wife, Julie, and sons, Carl and George, as he worked on this book. Without the encouragement of both their families, such projects would not be possible or meaningful.

> —Bhisham C. Gupta —H. Fred Walker

Introduction to Statistical Quality Control

tatistical quality control (SQC) refers to a set of interrelated tools used to monitor and improve process performance.

Definition 1.1 A *process*, for the purposes of this book, is a set of tasks or activities that change the form, fit, or function of one or more input(s) by adding value as is required or requested by a customer.

Defined in this manner, a process is associated with production and service delivery operations. Because Six Sigma applies to both production and service delivery/transactional operations, understanding and mastering the topics related to SQC is important to the Six Sigma Green Belt.

In this book, SQC tools are introduced and discussed from the perspective of application rather than theoretical development. From this perspective, you can consider the SQC tools as statistical "alarm bells" that send signals when there are one or more problems with a particular process. As you learn more about the application of SQC tools, it will be helpful to understand that these tools have general guidelines and rules of thumb for both design and interpretation; however, these tools are intended to be tailored to each company for use in a specific application. This means that when preparing to use SQC tools, choices must be made that impact how certain parameters within the tools are calculated, as well as how individual stakeholders involved with these tools actually interpret statistical data and results. Accordingly, choices related to the types of tools used, sample size and frequency, rules of interpretation, and acceptable levels of risk have a substantial impact on what comes out of these tools as far as usable information.

Critical to your understanding of SQC as a Six Sigma Green Belt is that SQC and statistical process control (SPC) are different. As noted earlier, SQC refers to a set of interrelated tools. SPC is but one of the tools that make up SQC. Many quality professionals continue to use the term *SPC* incorrectly by implying that SPC is used for process monitoring as a stand-alone tool. Prior to using SPC, we need to ensure that our process is set up correctly and, as much as possible, is in a state of statistical control. Likewise, once the process is in a state of statistical control, we need valid SPC data to facilitate

our understanding of process capability and to enable the use of acceptance sampling.

1.1 Identifying the Tools of SQC

Figure 1.1 identifies the five basic tool types that make up SQC.

As can be seen in Figure 1.1, SQC consists of SPC (phase I and II), capability analysis (process and measurement systems), PRE-control, acceptance sampling (variables and attributes), and design of experiments (DOE). Within these five basic tool types are specific tools designed to provide information useful in a specific context or application. The remainder of this book will focus on the first four SQC tools, identified in Figure 1.1. DOE, as identified in Figure 1.1, is a component of SQC. However, DOE is also treated as a set of tools outside the context of SQC, and for this reason we will address DOE in the next two books in this series.

1.2 Relating SQC to Applied Statistics and to DOE

There is a distinct relationship among applied statistics, SQC, and DOE, as is seen in Figure 1.2.

Figure 1.2 shows that each of the SQC tools, as well as DOE, is based on applied statistics. The first book in this four-book series, *Applied Statistics for the Six Sigma Green Belt*, provides the foundational skills needed to learn the content presented here. Note that in Figure 1.2, with the possible exception of PRE-control, the level of statistical complexity increases with the use of the SQC tools moving from left to right. The level of statistical complexity is the greatest in DOE, and, in fact, there is an increasing level of statistical complexity within DOE, as you will see in the next two books in this series: *Introductory Design of Experiments for the Six Sigma Green Belt* and *Advanced Design of Experiments for the Six Sigma Green Belt*.

In understanding the relationship among applied statistics, SQC, and DOE, you should note the order in which they are presented—applied statistics, SQC, and then DOE. These topics are presented in this order in this



Figure 1.1 The five tool types of SQC.



Figure 1.2 Relationship among applied statistics, SQC, and DOE.

book, and in most statistical and engineering texts and literature, to reflect the increasing level of computational difficulty. You should also know that in practice these tools would be used in a different order, which is applied statistics, DOE, and then SQC. There are three reasons, all quite logical, for changing the order of presentation: (1) moving from applied statistics to DOE is generally considered to be too rapid an increase in computational complexity for many people to easily grasp, (2) moving from applied statistics to DOE removes the opportunity for development of process knowledge, which provides the context for a study of experimental factors that come from product and process designs, and (3) it is generally necessary for us to determine which process parameters need to be monitored with DOE prior to using the process monitoring tools of SQC.

Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2, then, represent maps of the topics addressed in SQC and provide the order of presentation of those topics in this book as well as in the greater statistical and engineering communities. Figure 1.3 represents an order in which those topics would be applied in process or transactional Six Sigma, assuming all the tools were to be applied.

The intent of Figure 1.3 is to illustrate that Six Sigma Green Belts would, where applicable, begin with DOE followed by the SQC tools. Further, Figure 1.3 illustrates that there is a cycle of iteration wherein DOE leads us to identify appropriate process parameters to monitor. We then use SQC tools to monitor those parameters, which may lead us to continue with additional experimentation and process monitoring as we refine our processes to better meet customer expectations.

Figure 1.3 also shows that once we identify with DOE the characteristics to monitor in our process, we then use SPC and capability analysis simultaneously to ensure that our process is in a state of statistical control and that our process variability and mean are consistent with our specifications. Another important point shown in Figure 1.3 is that we may or may not use a tool



Figure 1.3 Order of SQC topics in process or transactional Six Sigma.

type called PRE-control. The very name *PRE-control* counterintuitively and incorrectly implies its use prior to SPC. If used at all, PRE-control is used after SPC, wherein processes are properly centered on target, are in a state of statistical control, are determined to be capable, and exhibit very low defect rates. Use of PRE-control as a means of reduced sampling and inspection continues to be controversial, and it is applicable only in a very small set of circumstances, as will be discussed more fully in Chapter 8. Whether or not PRE-control is used, the next tool type used, as identified in Figure 1.3, is acceptance sampling. What all these tools have in common is a statistical basis for analysis and decision making.

1.3 Understanding the Role of Statistics in SQC

As noted in section 1.2, the first book in this series focusing on the Six Sigma Green Belt is *Applied Statistics for the Six Sigma Green Belt*. Developing a working knowledge of basic statistics and how they apply to production and service delivery operations was an important step in enabling us to discuss SQC. Each SQC tool is based on statistical theory and application. The value and amount of information you are able to obtain from SQC tools are directly related to your level of understanding of basic statistical concepts. Because SQC is based on the application of statistics, much of what you read in this book assumes you have mastery of the prerequisite knowledge.

In practice, two groups of people use SQC tools:

- 1. Shop-floor operators and service delivery/transaction-focused people
- 2. Technicians, engineers, Six Sigma team members, and management team members

Each group using SQC tools has different roles and responsibilities relative to the use and implementation of the tools. For example, people in group 1 are commonly expected to collect data for, generate, and react to SQC tools. People in group 2 are commonly expected to design and implement SQC tools. They are also expected to critically analyze data from these tools and make decisions based on information gained from them.

1.4 Making Decisions Based on Quantitative Data

In practice, we are asked to make decisions based on quantitative and qualitative data on a regular basis.

Definition 1.2 *Quantitative data* are numerical data obtained from direct measurement or tally/count. Direct measurement uses a scale for measurement and reference, and tally/count uses direct observation as a basis for summarizing occurrences of some phenomenon.

Definition 1.3 *Qualitative data* are nonnumerical data obtained from direct observation, survey, personal experience, beliefs, perceptions, and perhaps historical records.

It is important to acknowledge that application of both quantitative and qualitative data has value and can be entirely appropriate in a professional work environment depending on the types of decisions we need to make. It is also important to acknowledge the difficulty in defending the use of qualitative data to make decisions in the design and process improvement efforts most commonly encountered by the Six Sigma Green Belt. Key, then, to obtaining the maximum value of information from SQC tools is realizing the power of quantitative data, because what can be directly measured can be validated and verified.

1.5 Practical versus Theoretical or Statistical Significance

As a Six Sigma Green Belt you will use applied statistics to make decisions. We emphasize the words *applied statistics* to note that applied statistics will be the basis for business decisions. When making decisions, we simply must temper our ability to detect statistical differences with our ability to act on designs and processes in a cost-effective manner. Figure 1.4 helps us visualize what we are trying to accomplish in detecting statistical differences.

In Figure 1.4 we see a normal distribution with a level of test significance (α) defined by the shaded regions in the tails of the distribution. The α identifies the region of the distribution wherein we would not expect to see evidence of process behavior if the process is behaving as intended. As a Six Sigma Green Belt you have the ability to set the level of α , which means you are actually making choices about the amount of area for the shaded region—the higher the level of α selected, the bigger the shaded region, the more discriminating the



Figure 1.4 Detecting statistical differences.

test, and the more expensive it will be to make process improvement changes. While making any decisions related to α has financial implications, to understand practical differences we need to look at Figure 1.5.

In Figure 1.5 our comparison point changes from the shaded regions under the distribution tails of Figure 1.4 to the center of the distribution. Practical decisions then require that we consider how far off the intended target the observed process behavior is as compared with the statistical difference identified in Figure 1.4. It should be noted that differentiating between a practical and a statistical difference is a business or financial decision. When making a practical versus a statistical decision, we may very well be able to detect a statistical difference; however, it may not be cost effective or financially worth making the process improvements being considered.



Figure 1.5 Detecting practical and statistical differences.

1.6 Why We Cannot Measure Everything

Whether in process-oriented industries such as manufacturing or in transactional-oriented industries, the volume of operations is sufficiently large to prohibit measurement of all the important characteristics in all units of production or all transactions. And even if we could measure some selected quality characteristic in all units of production or in all transactions, it is well known that we simply would not identify every discrepancy or mistake. So managing a balance between the volume of measurement and the probability of making errors during the measurement process requires us to rely on the power of statistics.

The power of statistics, in this case, refers to conclusions drawn from samples of data about a larger population, as shown in Figure 1.6.

Because we cannot afford the time or cost of measuring 100 percent of our products or transactions, sampling, along with appropriate descriptive or inferential statistics, is used to help us understand our processes. The important point contained in Figure 1.6 is that *samples*, by definition, are subsets of data drawn from a larger population. Because samples do not contain all the data from a population, there is a risk that we will draw incorrect conclusions about the larger population.

1.7 A Word on the Risks Associated with Making Bad Decisions

When relying on inferential or descriptive statistics based on samples of data, we risk making bad decisions. Bad decisions in practice lead to difficulties and problems for producers as well as consumers, and we refer to this as producer risk and consumer risk. The same bad decisions in statistical terms are referred to as Type I and Type II error, as well as alpha (α) and beta (β) risk, respectively. It is important for Six Sigma Green Belts to realize that these



Figure 1.6 Sample versus population.

risks exist and that people from different functional areas within an organization may use different terms to describe the same thing. Lastly, we must realize that choices made during the design of SQC tools, choices related to selection of consumer and producer risk levels, quite dramatically impact performance of the tools and the subsequent information they produce for decision making.

It is not enough to simply identify the risks associated with making bad decisions; the Six Sigma Green Belt must also know the following key points:

- Sooner or later, a bad decision will be made
- The risks associated with making bad decisions are quantified in probabilistic terms
- α and β risks added together *do not* equal one
- Even though α and β go in opposite directions (that is, if α increases, β decreases), there is no direct relationship between α and β
- The values of α and β can be kept as low as we want by increasing the sample size

Definition 1.4 *Probability* is the chance that an event or outcome will or will not occur. Probability is quantified as a number between zero and one where the chance that an event or outcome will not occur in perfect certainty is zero and the chance that it will occur with perfect certainty is one. The chance that an event or outcome will not occur added to the chance that it will occur add up to one.

Definition 1.5 *Producer risk* is the risk of failing to pass a product or service delivery transaction on to a customer when, in fact, the product or service delivery transaction meets the customer quality expectations. The probability of making a producer risk error is quantified in terms of α .

Definition 1.6 Consumer risk is the risk of passing a product or service delivery transaction on to a customer under the assumption that the product or service delivery transaction meets customer quality expectations when, in fact, the product or service delivery is defective or unsatisfactory. The probability of making a consumer risk error is quantified in terms of β .

A critically important point, and a point that many people struggle to understand, is the difference between the probability that an event will or will not occur and the probabilities associated with consumer and producer risk *they simply are not the same thing*. As noted earlier, probability is the percent chance that an event will or will not occur, wherein the percent chances of an event occurring or not occurring add up to one. The probability associated with making an error for the consumer, quantified as β , is a value ranging between zero and one. The probability associated with making an error for the producer, quantified as α , is also a value between zero and one. The key here is that α and β *do not add up to one*. In practice, one sets an acceptable level of α and then applies some form of test procedure (some application of an SQC tool in this case) so that the probability of committing a β error is acceptably small. So defining a level of α does not automatically set the level of β .

In closing, the chapters that follow discuss the collection of data and the design, application, and interpretation of each of the various SQC tools. You should have the following two goals while learning about SQC: (1) to master these tools at a conceptual level, and (2) to keep in perspective that the use of these tools requires tailoring them to your specific application while balancing practical and statistical differences.

Elements of a Sample Survey

The science of sampling is as old as our civilization. When trying new cuisine, for example, we take only a small bite to decide whether the taste is to our liking—an idea that goes back to when civilization began. However, modern advances in sampling techniques have taken place only in the twentieth century. Now, sampling is a matter of routine, and the effects of the outcomes can be felt in our daily lives. Most of the decisions regarding government policies, marketing (including trade), and manufacturing are based on the outcomes of various samplings conducted in different fields. The particular type of sampling used for a given situation depends on factors such as the composition of the population and the objectives of the sampling as well as time and budget availability. Because sampling is an integral part of SQC, this chapter focuses on the various types of sampling, estimation problems, and sources of error.

2.1 Basic Concepts of Sampling

The primary objective of sampling is to make inferences about population parameters (population mean, population total, population proportion, and population variance) using information contained in a sample taken from the population. To make such inferences, which are usually in the form of estimates of parameters and which are otherwise unknown, we collect data from the population under investigation. The aggregate of these data constitutes a sample. Each data point in the sample provides us information about the population parameter. Collecting each data point costs time and money, so it is important that some balance is kept while taking a sample. Too small a sample may not provide enough information to obtain proper estimates, and too large a sample may result in a waste of resources. This is why it is very important to remember that in any sampling procedure an appropriate sampling scheme—normally known as the *sample design*—is put in place.

The sample size is usually determined by the degree of precision desired in the estimates and the budgetary restrictions. If θ is the population parameter of interest, $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimator of θ , and *E* is the desired margin of error of estimation (absolute value of the difference between θ and $\hat{\theta}$), then the sample size is usually determined by specifying the value of E and the probability with which we will indeed achieve that value of E.

In this chapter we will briefly study four different sample designs: simple random sampling, stratified random sampling, systematic random sampling, and cluster random sampling from a finite population. But before we study these sample designs, some common terms used in sampling theory must be introduced.

Definition 2.1 A *population* is a collection of all conceivable individuals, elements, numbers, or entities that possess a characteristic of interest.

For example, if we are interested in the ability of employees with a specific job title or classification to perform specific job functions, the population may be defined as all employees with a specific job title working at the company of interest across all sites of the company. If, however, we are interested in the ability of employees with a specific job title or classification to perform specific job functions at a particular location, the population may be defined as all employees with the specific job title working only at the selected site or location. Populations, therefore, are shaped by the point or level of interest.

Populations can be finite or infinite. A population where all the elements are easily identifiable is considered *finite*, and a population where all the elements are not easily identifiable is considered *infinite*. For example, a batch or lot of production is normally considered a finite population, whereas all the production that may be produced from a certain manufacturing line would normally be considered infinite.

It is important to note that in statistical applications, the term *infinite* is used in the relative sense. For instance, if we are interested in studying the products produced or the service delivery iterations occurring over a given period of time, the population may be considered as finite or infinite, depending on one's frame of reference. It is important to note that the frame of reference (finite or infinite) directly impacts the selection of formulae used to calculate some statistics of interest.

In most statistical applications, studying each and every element of a population is not only time consuming and expensive but also potentially impossible. For example, if we want to study the average lifespan of a particular kind of electric bulb manufactured by a company, we cannot study the whole population without testing each and every bulb. Simply put, in almost all studies we end up studying only a small portion, called a sample, of the population.

Definition 2.2 A portion of a population selected for study is called a *sample*.

Definition 2.3 The *target population* is the population about which we want to make inferences based on the information contained in a sample.