

LOCAL JOURNALISM

The Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism at the University of Oxford aims to serve as the leading international forum for a productive engagement between scholars from a wide range of disciplines and practitioners of journalism. As part of this mission, we publish work by academics, journalists, and media industry professionals focusing on some of the most important issues facing journalism around the world today.

All our books are reviewed by both our Editorial Committee and expert readers.

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Timothy Garton Ash

Ian Hargreaves

David Levy

Geert Linnebank

John Lloyd

Rasmus Kleis Nielsen

James Painter

Robert G. Picard

Jean Seaton

Katrin Voltmer

David Watson

The Reuters Institute would like to acknowledge the assistance of David Ryfe and Ian Hargreaves as editorial advisers on behalf of the Institute.

LOCAL JOURNALISM

THE DECLINE OF NEWSPAPERS AND THE
RISE OF DIGITAL MEDIA

Edited by RASMUS KLEIS NIELSEN



REUTERS
INSTITUTE for the
STUDY of
JOURNALISM

I.B. TAURIS

LONDON · NEW YORK

Published by I.B.Tauris & Co. Ltd in association with
the Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, University of Oxford

Published in 2015 by
I.B.Tauris & Co. Ltd
London • New York
www.ibtauris.com

Copyright editorial selection and introduction © 2015 Rasmus Kleis Nielsen
Copyright individual chapters © 2015 C. W. Anderson, Olivier Baisnée, Piet Bakker,
Franck Bousquet, Stephen Coleman, David Domingo, Bengt Engan, Julie Firmstone,
Dave Harte, Marco van Kerkhoven, Rasmus Kleis Nielsen, Florence Le Cam,
Emmanuel Marty, Matthew Powers, Nikos Smyrnaio, Nancy Thumim,
Jerome Turner, Andy Williams, Sandra Vera Zambrano

The right of Rasmus Kleis Nielsen to be identified as the editor of this work has been
asserted by the editor in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. Except for brief quotations in a review, this book, or any part
thereof, may not be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or
transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying,
recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Every attempt has been made to gain permission for the use of the images in this book.
Any omissions will be rectified in future editions.

References to websites were correct at the time of writing.

ISBN: 978 1 78453 320 5 (HB)
ISBN: 978 1 78453 321 2 (PB)
eISBN: 978 0 85773 980 3

A full CIP record for this book is available from the British Library
A full CIP record is available from the Library of Congress

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: available

Typeset by Riverside Publishing Solutions, Salisbury SP4 6NQ
Printed and bound in Great Britain by T.J. International, Padstow, Cornwall

Contents

Tables and Figures	vii
Contributors	ix
Preface	xi
Introduction: The Uncertain Future of Local Journalism <i>Rasmus Kleis Nielsen</i>	1
Part I Local Media Ecosystems	
1. The News Crisis Compared: The Impact of the Journalism Crisis on Local News Ecosystems in Toulouse (France) and Seattle (US) <i>Matthew Powers, Sandra Vera Zambrano, and Olivier Baisnée</i>	31
2. Local Newspapers as Keystone Media: The Increased Importance of Diminished Newspapers for Local Political Information Environments <i>Rasmus Kleis Nielsen</i>	51
3. How News Travels: A Comparative Study of Local Media Ecosystems in Leeds (UK) and Philadelphia (US) <i>C. W. Anderson, Stephen Coleman, and Nancy Thumim</i>	73
Part II Local Journalism and its Interlocutors	
4. The Plurality of Journalistic Identities in Local Controversies <i>Florence Le Cam and David Domingo</i>	99

5. Rethinking Local Communicative Spaces: Implications of Digital Media and Citizen Journalism for the Role of Local Journalism in Engaging Citizens	117
<i>Julie Firmstone and Stephen Coleman</i>	
6. Perceived Relevance of and Trust in Local Media	141
<i>Bengt Engan</i>	
Part III New Forms of Local Media	
7. Between Journalistic Diversity and Economic Constraints: Local Pure Players in Southern France	165
<i>Nikos Smyrnaiois, Emmanuel Marty, and Franck Bousquet</i>	
8. Hyperlocal with a Mission? Motivation, Strategy, Engagement	185
<i>Marco van Kerkhoven and Piet Bakker</i>	
9. Filling the News Hole? UK Community News and the Crisis in Local Journalism	203
<i>Andy Williams, Dave Harte, and Jerome Turner</i>	
Index	224

Tables and Figures

Tables

2.1	Relative importance of ways of staying informed about local politics	60
5.1	Typology of citizen journalism	124
5.2	List of interviews conducted July–September 2012	137
7.1	The three pure players that were the focus of research	170
8.1	Motivation of owners (123 local models)	192
8.2	Advertising (123 local models)	193
8.3	Adaptation of professional ethics	196
9.1	Functions of secondary source intervention in UK hyperlocal blog posts	212

Figures

2.1	Coverage of local politics by media organisation (29 October–19 November 2013)	63
8.1	Geographical distribution of the hyperlocals. Map data © Google 2015	191
9.1	What gets covered? Percentage of topics covered by hyperlocal blog posts	209
9.2	Who gets to speak? Percentage of sources either quoted or indirectly cited in UK hyperlocal news	211

Contributors

C. W. Anderson is Associate Professor in the Department of Media Culture at City University of New York, College of Staten Island (United States).

Olivier Baisnée is Associate Professor in Political Science at Sciences Po, Toulouse (France).

Piet Bakker is Professor at the Utrecht University of Applied Sciences, School of Journalism (the Netherlands).

Franck Bousquet is Senior Lecturer in Information-Communication at the University of Toulouse (France).

Stephen Coleman is Professor of Political Communication in the School of Media and Communication at the University of Leeds (United Kingdom).

David Domingo is Chair of Journalism at Université libre de Bruxelles (Belgium).

Bengt Engan is Associate Professor in the Social Science Faculty, School of Journalism, at the University of Nordland (Norway).

Julie Firmstone is Lecturer in Media and Communication at the University of Leeds (United Kingdom).

Dave Harte is Senior Lecturer in Media and Communications at Birmingham City University (United Kingdom).

Marco van Kerkhoven is Researcher at the Utrecht University of Applied Sciences, School of Journalism (the Netherlands).

Rasmus Kleis Nielsen is Director of Research at the Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism at the University of Oxford (United Kingdom).

Florence Le Cam is Chair of Journalism at Université libre de Bruxelles (Belgium).

Emmanuel Marty is Lecturer in Information and Communication Sciences at the University of Nice Sophia Antipolis (France).

Matthew Powers is Assistant Professor in the Department of Communication, University of Washington, Seattle (United States).

Nikos Smyrnaios is Senior Lecturer in Information-Communication at the University of Toulouse (France).

Nancy Thumim is Lecturer in Media and Communication at the University of Leeds (United Kingdom).

Jerome Turner is Research Assistant on the Media, Community, and the Creative Citizen Project and a PhD student at Birmingham City University (United Kingdom).

Andy Williams is Lecturer at the School of Journalism, Media, and Cultural Studies, Cardiff University (United Kingdom).

Sandra Vera Zambrano is Researcher at Sciences Po, Toulouse (France).

Preface

This book deals with local journalism. This is not a sexy topic. But it is an important topic, one that is intellectually interesting, often overlooked, and deserves more attention.

The book is structured as follows. The introduction presents an overview of existing research on local journalism as well as the structural changes currently underway. Part I (Chapters 1, 2, and 3) focuses on the role of local journalism as part of the *news media ecosystem* in a range of different communities in Denmark, France, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Part II (Chapters 4, 5, and 6) focuses on *local journalism and its interlocutors* with studies from Belgium, Norway, and the United Kingdom. Part III (Chapters 7, 8, and 9) focuses on *new forms of local media* emerging that offer various degrees of and kinds of support for online-only forms of journalism and has studies from France, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom.

Several of the chapters included were initially presented at a conference ‘Local Journalism around the World: Professional Practices, Economic Foundations, and Political Implications’ hosted at the Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism in Oxford in February 2014. It was an interesting and wide-ranging event with papers from 16 different countries, underlining the many differences and similarities not only between local journalism in different countries, but also local journalism in different communities, and the differences between local journalism and national and international journalism.

We would like to thank everyone who took part in the conference for two days of discussion that has done much to inform and improve much of what is presented in this book. In addition to the contributors to the book, the participants included Aleksandra Krstic, Ana Milojevic, André Haller, Annika Bergström, Annika Sehl, Birgit Røe Mathisen, Daniel H. Mutibwa, David Ryfe, Diana Bossio, Dimitri Prandner, Dobin Yim, Helle Sjøvaag, Ingela Wadbring, Ioannis Angelou, Jonathan Albright,

Kirsi Hakaniemi, Kristy Hess, Lenka Waschkova Cisarova, Lisa Waller, Mato Brautovic, Penelope Abernathy, Saba Bebawi, Sanne Hille, Sonja Kretzschmar, Vasileios Katsaras, and Verena Wassink.

Beyond the authors and the conference participants, I as editor would like to thank Robert G. Picard (who led the work on organising the conference), Kate Hanneford-Smith and Monique Ricketts (for expert logistical assistance), Alex Reid (who has helped shepherd the book to publication), and especially David Levy, David Ryfe, Ian Hargreaves, and Katrin Voltmer for their constructive comments on the manuscript.

Rasmus Kleis Nielsen, November 2014

Introduction: The Uncertain Future of Local Journalism

Rasmus Kleis Nielsen

For more than a century, most people in the Western world have taken local journalism for granted. From small rural communities covered by weeklies to larger towns covered by their own daily, newspapers have been an integral part of local life, and their journalists have chronicled events from the mundane to the monumental, publicised local debates, and kept a more or less watchful eye on those in positions of power. Local media have represented their area and helped people imagine themselves as part of a community, connected in part through their shared local news medium, bound together by more than geographic proximity or politically defined administrative boundaries.

Journalists and journalism scholars alike are and have been ambivalent about the quality of local journalism. On the one hand, local journalism seems *terrible* to many. It is frequently seen as superficial and deferential, as skirting controversy, and as catering to advertisers and affluent audiences over the wider community. Commentator George Monbiot, for example, sees the local press as one of the 'most potent threats to British democracy, championing the overdog, misrepresenting democratic choices, defending business, the police and local elites from those who seek to challenge them' (Monbiot, 2009). On the other hand, local journalism is also seen as *terribly important*. It provides information about local public affairs, it holds local elites at least somewhat accountable, it provides a forum for discussion, and it ties communities together. The reality of local journalism probably lies not between these two extremes, but in their combination. Like journalism more broadly, local journalism may well be frequently terrible and yet also terribly important.

Local journalism does not always play its roles well, but the roles it plays are important.

It is because it is important and imperfect that we – whether as journalists, as journalism scholars, or as readers, viewers, users – should try to understand local journalism, how it operates, what its consequences are, and where it is heading. The first thing to recognise is that local journalism, like journalism more generally, is changing today as part of a wider structural transformation of our media environment, driven in large part by the rise of digital media (but also other factors). This unfinished media revolution involves changes in how we communicate, share content, get informed, are advertised to, and entertain ourselves (e.g. Grueskin et al., 2011; Levy and Nielsen, 2010; Nielsen, 2012). The changes are not identical from case to case, community to community, or country to country, but they are profound and share certain commonalities across most high-income democracies: print, the mainstay of the newspaper business, is in decline, broadcasting has been transformed by the growth of multi-channel television, and digital media provide new ways for accessing, finding, and sharing media content that challenge the inherited business models and journalistic routines of established news media.

This book takes these changes as its starting point and focuses on the uncertain future of local journalism. Much has been written about how these changes affect the news media and journalism generally (e.g. Fenton, 2010; Lee-Wright et al., 2012; Russell, 2011). But the emphasis has been overwhelmingly on national media, on the most prominent newspapers, the biggest broadcasters, and the most successful digital start-ups. Though local journalism actually accounts for the majority of the journalistic profession, and though much of the news media industry is local and regional rather than national or international, less attention has been paid to how contemporary changes are affecting local journalism and local media specifically (for exceptions see Abernathy, 2014; Fowler, 2011; Ryfe, 2012). In several countries, legislatures, media regulators, and advocacy groups have all noted the serious challenges facing local and regional news media. There has been much less independent research into these issues. This limits our understanding of journalism (most of it is local), of the news media (much of the industry is local), and of local communities (tied together in part by local journalism and local news media). The chapters collected here push beyond these limitations and advance our understanding of the distinct characteristics of local media ecosystems, local journalism and its various interlocutors, and new forms

of local media, providing a fuller and more nuanced picture not only of local journalism around the world, but also journalism more generally.

The uncertain future of local journalism

The premise of the book is twofold.

First, while we have in the past been able to take the *existence* of local journalism, its practical feasibility and its commercial sustainability, for granted, this is no longer the case. The business models that local newspapers have been based on are under tremendous pressure today as readership is eroding, advertising declining, and overall revenues plummeting. Digital growth has far from made up for what has been lost on the print side of the business. Most newspaper companies have responded by cutting costs to remain profitable or at least limit the operating losses. Seeing little potential for growth, investors have lost interest in the sector, as demonstrated by the collapsing market value of publicly traded local newspaper companies. While broadcasting has so far weathered the digital transition better as a business, both radio and television are more often organised regionally than locally, and in any case they typically make at best limited investments in local journalism. (The US has a more robust local television industry than most other countries, with a greater emphasis on local news. Yet research there has suggested that the coverage is often inadequate, episodic, and superficial – and rarely genuinely local. See for example Fowler et al., 2007.) Public media, especially licence-fee-funded public service broadcasters in Western Europe, face fewer challenges to their resource base (though in some cases well-known political pressures). But, like their commercial counterparts, they generally provide more regional news than genuinely local news.¹ The emergence of new digital forms of local media has occasioned much optimism about the future of local journalism. But so far, the evidence that digital-only operations can sustain local journalism on a significant scale is inconclusive. And after the cyclical challenges that have come with the global financial crisis and prolonged recessions in many countries, the advertising business is changing in ways that make it harder to fund local content production – Facebook, Google, and other large digital players are increasingly offering locally targeted forms of advertising, making specifically local media less distinct. As one advertising executive has put it, ‘Local isn’t valuable anymore. Anyone can sell local’ (quoted in Suich, 2014). So this is the first premise: developments

in media business across print, broadcasting, and digital media mean that we cannot take the existence of local journalism for granted any more.

Second, while the structural transformation that has challenged the economic and organisational underpinnings of local journalism is tied in with the larger change in our media environment affecting national and international media, we cannot simply deduce from studies of national media what will happen at the local level, or indeed assume that local journalism is the same throughout a given country. Journalism at the national level is, for example, increasingly oriented towards a non-stop 24/7 breaking-news cycle and characterised by intensified competition between multiple news organisations covering the same stories and appealing to the same audiences. It is not clear that *any* of this is the case at the local level. Similarly, the *Guardian*, the *Banbury Guardian* (local paid daily), and the *Croydon Guardian* (free weekly) are all UK newspapers, are all affected by the changes in our media environment, and have all launched digital operations in response to these changes. That does not mean, however, that one can rely on the (many) analyses of the *Guardian* to understand how the *Banbury Guardian* and the *Croydon Guardian*, their place in local media ecosystems, their local journalism, and their position online, are changing. To understand the uncertain future of local journalism, we need to take into account the often pronounced differences not only *between* countries (international variation in, say, the structure of local media markets and the practice of local journalism) but also differences *within* countries (intranational variation between urban and rural areas, between different regions). French local journalism, for example, is different from US local journalism. But there are also considerable differences within France, between relatively strong and commercially robust regional newspaper chains like *Ouest France* in Brittany and weaker individual titles elsewhere in the country. Similarly, local journalism in a major metropolitan area is different from local journalism in a medium-size provincial town or a sparsely populated countryside. That is the second premise: we need to take the specificities of local journalism, the international and intranational differences between local journalism in different areas, seriously.

This introduction presents an overview of main trends in terms of what is happening to local news media, discusses different perspectives on the role of local journalism, and then proceeds to summarise key points from existing research to provide an overview of what we know about local journalism in terms of three areas, namely (1) accountability

and information, (2) civic and political engagement, and (3) community integration. It is important to underline from the outset that research on local journalism is neither as detailed, extensive, or systematically comparative as research on national news media. Much of what we know about local journalism is therefore based on individual case studies or research from one community or country, sometimes work completed well before the current changes in our media environment picked up pace. While we have reason to expect that many of these findings apply more generally, substantiating that, and fully understanding the practice and consequences of local journalism in different settings, will require more research than has been done so far. Nonetheless, key overall trends can be highlighted.

What is happening to local media?

Contemporary changes in local media are tied in with a wider change in the way in which we live our lives, the way in which the economy works, and the way in which politics works. At least since the 1990s, social scientists have increasingly stressed that we cannot take the idea of 'local communities' for granted, especially if we think of these as socially, economically, and politically self-contained. We still live local lives, but our lives are less locally bounded, as people move more often, as more and more people commute to work elsewhere, as more and more of the goods and services we consume are produced far away, and as some of the most important decisions impacting our lives and communities are taken elsewhere. The sociologist Anthony Giddens, for example, while underlining the continuing relevance of locality and community as enduring features of the modern world, also argues that many parts of social life have become 'disembedded', that social, economic, and political relations have been 'lifted out' of the local context of interaction (Giddens, 1990: 21; see also Castells, 2000). This is not simply a case of centralisation, of the increasing importance of financial centres, large multinational corporations, and national capitals, but also of developments where people, goods, services, and power circulate in new networks that cut across traditional distinctions between the local, the regional, the national, and the global (Sassen, 2006). These changes impact local media too. Transient populations represent a different kind of audience from long-term residents, local business news is less important for people who work and shop outside the community, and the incentive to follow local

politics is reduced if power is perceived to be elsewhere. Local journalism increasingly faces the challenge not only of covering local affairs, but also of identifying in ways that resonate with their audience what is local, what makes it local, and why the local is even relevant.²

Local media themselves have changed significantly too since the 1990s. Already then, journalism scholars warned of a bleak present and worse future for local and regional media (Franklin and Murphy, 1998), noting how newspaper circulation was declining, advertising revenues were shrinking, and many local and regional media companies were responding by cutting investments in local newsrooms and often consolidating operations in regional centres, leading to media that were 'local in name only' (Franklin, 2006: xxi). There are considerable variations in how the local and regional media have developed even within the Western world in the postwar years – some countries, like Germany, have a media market characterised by very strong local and regional newspapers and public service broadcasters with a strong regional orientation, whereas others, like the United Kingdom, have much more nationally oriented media systems, dominated to a larger extent by media based in the capital. (These differences in part reflect wider structural difference between, for example, a federal political system in Germany versus a more centralised one in the United Kingdom.) But in most countries, local media markets have been highly concentrated for decades. Typically, local newspapers have enjoyed a dominant position within their circulation areas, facing only limited competition from regional and national media and in some cases from community media. Structural diversity has been low and incumbents often highly profitable due to their near-monopoly on local advertising.

The pace of change differs from country to country, and there are important variations, but the overall direction since has been the same. Private local and regional newspapers have lost whole categories of advertising (classifieds, much of automotive, jobs, and real estate) to online competitors and are going through a structural transformation as their historically profitable print product declines in importance and their digital operations cannot make up for the revenue lost (even in cases where they reach a considerable audience). Commercial broadcasters make limited investments in local news (with the US being a partial exception). Public service broadcasters are primarily regionally oriented. Forms of alternative, citizen, and community media increase media diversity in important ways in some areas, but their resources and reach are often limited, and most localities are primarily served by market-based

and public service media. People everywhere rely on wide and diverse media repertoires to be entertained and stay informed. But when it comes to local news, local newspapers have historically played a central role. These newspapers are under tremendous pressure today.

These pressures are important not only for owners and employees of local newspapers, but also for the communities they cover, as a number of studies have shown how central newspapers are to local media ecosystems, especially in terms of the sheer volume and variety of locally oriented news they produce (Project for Excellence in Journalism, 2010; Lund, 2010; Anderson, 2013). In many countries, people more often identify television and sometimes radio as their main *source* of local news than they name newspapers. But in terms of news *production*, newspapers remain central. Their decline must raise concerns over a growing local ‘news gap’ between the information we would ideally want communities to have access to, and the information that is actually made available from independent sources of news (Currah, 2009). In areas where local newspapers are not only cutting back on coverage but closing altogether, and where broadcasters and digital media provide little substantial local coverage, we face the prospect of local ‘news deserts’ where communities are not covered at all, and have to rely on the local grapevine of interpersonal communication and information from self-interested parties (politicians, local government, businesses) to stay informed about local affairs (Friedland et al., 2012).

The growth of digital media has been accompanied by considerable optimism that new forms of local media would thrive online, where low entry and operating costs could potentially allow lean, efficient operations to focus on local communities and cover them in depth and in detail and thus produce distinct content and carve out their own niche in an increasingly competitive media environment. The ease with which digital media could potentially allow people to collaborate and produce new forms of alternative media, citizen journalism, or community media has also given rise to hopes that non-market forms of local news provision would thrive online (similar to the hopes that once formed around community radio and public-access television). Faced with growing concern over the future of established, legacy local and regional media, this optimism has been embraced by policy-makers in several countries. In the US, the Federal Communications Commission has stated that ‘independent non-profit websites are providing exciting journalistic innovation on the local level’ (FCC, 2011: 191). In the UK, the media regulator Ofcom

(2012: 103) has highlighted how digital media have ‘the potential to support and broaden the range of local media content available to citizens and consumers at a time when traditional local media providers continue to find themselves under financial pressure’.

So far, however, the evidence is very uneven and the optimism and high hopes surrounding digital local media are not always well-supported. A number of impressive new local media initiatives – some professionally organised and commercially run, others non-profits, sometimes with a stronger volunteer component – have been launched (e.g. Barnett and Townend, 2014). But the wider field of new forms of local media is characterised by very uneven quality, a high turnover (as many new ventures rarely last long), and genuine concerns over their editorial autonomy and independence (e.g. Kurpius et al., 2010; Thurman et al., 2012; van Kerkhoven and Bakker, 2014). Furthermore, there seem to be pronounced national differences in the number and vitality of digital local news media. There have been very few launched in Denmark, despite high levels of internet use and a large share of advertising going to digital, in part probably because of the strength of legacy media, whereas there has been a substantial number of local start-ups in countries like France and the United Kingdom. Individual examples of local news start-ups from the US are often brought as reasons for optimism, but the most systematic review of the US scene produced so far provides a sombre picture. In it, Matthew Hindman (2011: 10) writes that ‘there is little evidence [...] that the Internet has expanded the number of local news outlets’. He continues, ‘while the Internet adds only a pittance of new sources of local news, the surprisingly small audience for local news traffic [also] helps explain the financial straits local news organizations now face’. Digital advertising is a volume game, dominated by large players like Google and Facebook who are increasingly offering geographically targeted advertising at low rates. Local news media, who in Hindman’s study in the US on average attract well below 1% of all monthly page views in most media markets, have found it very hard to develop a profitable digital business. Freely accessible, advertising-supported online-only local news organisations – the most common form of new local news media – who typically have more limited audience reach than established newspapers and broadcasters and have no legacy business to subsidise digital operations, have had an especially hard time achieving sustainability.

Beyond the news media, digital media have underpinned the growth of new forms of social and interpersonal communication, online additions to existing forums for and networks of person-to-person communication at home, on the job, and elsewhere. Daily conversation with family, friends, and colleagues has been, is, and will continue to be an important part of how people follow local affairs (e.g. Huckfeldt and Sprague, 1995) and the ‘story-telling networks’ that tie local communities together are only partially intertwined with news media (Kim and Ball-Rokeach, 2006). Today, these conversations increasingly have online components and manifestations, on bulletin board debates, listservs, social networking sites, and the like. Even though, so far, research suggests these various sites produce little original news, they can facilitate communities of interest and in addition play an important role as ‘alert systems’, disseminating information produced by others and drawing people’s attention to issues of common concern (Project for Excellence in Journalism, 2010). Digital media have also presented various organised actors in local communities, politicians, local governments, local businesses and community groups with new ways of communicating with people via websites, newsletters, and social media. (More broadly, many of these actors are increasingly investing in their own forms of communication, sometimes going beyond PR, marketing, and various digital platforms to include media like the so-called ‘town-hall Pravdas’, papers published by some city councils in the UK to announce council business.) These developments underline that even in communities where there is only one or only a few local news media, local journalism does not have a monopoly on providing local information. People have other sources. But so far, surveys suggest that local newspapers in most places still represent the most widely used sources and the most important source of independently produced information about local public affairs.

What is the role of local journalism?

Journalists and journalism scholars alike typically see journalism’s most important role as holding power to account and keeping people informed about public affairs. This role is associated with the notion of journalism as a ‘fourth estate’ and reads journalism through the lens of liberal representative democracy. A frequently used metaphor for this role is the idea of journalism as a ‘watchdog’, and indeed, research has shown

that many journalists in the Western world primarily see themselves as ‘detached watchdogs’ (Hanitzsch, 2011). The metaphor is particularly associated with investigative reporting, the work of independent journalists who toil diligently and often at length to unearth secrets and expose corruption.

Popular as the watchdog metaphor is for the autonomy, importance, and moral purpose it ascribes to journalism, it has never been a particularly good description of how the profession actually works. This is illustrated by the frequency with which it is invoked by critics as a way of highlighting how journalism often falls short of its own aspirations – ‘the watchdog that didn’t bark’ – and it is contrasted with a negative metaphor of journalism as a ‘lapdog’ that uncritically follows the lead of local elites. The notion of journalism as a ‘guard dog’ has been suggested as a more appropriate canine metaphor by a team of researchers on the basis of years of extensive research on journalism in different communities in the United States (Donohue et al., 1995; Tichenor et al., 1980). In their view, journalism is not a watchdog working on behalf of the public at large or the whole community. But it is not a lapdog at the beck and call of the local elite either. Instead, they suggest we recognise that local news media are deeply influenced by local community structures, including local political fault lines, the relative strength of different community groups, and indeed the social structure in terms of class and ethnicity, and that it serves most effectively those groups in local communities who already have some influence, power, and resources. In their analysis, this is so not because journalists explicitly *aim* to serve these groups, but because journalists and the news media they work for *depend* on these groups as sources (for journalists) and as readers (both subscribers and as attractive to advertisers).

The guard dog metaphor still sees accountability and public affairs coverage as at the centre of what local journalism does, even though it comes with a more modest view of the extent to which, and the conditions under which, journalism can actually hold local elites to account. Guard dog journalism depends in part on local elite conflict and competition for its ability to effectively monitor people in positions of power, just as national news journalism often turns out to provide the most diverse, revelatory, and multi-perspectival coverage of issues when political elites disagree (Bennett, 2005). It presents journalism and local media with a more modest, but still important, role as an institution that publicises key aspects of local public affairs – especially elite competition

and conflict – helping citizens understand the actors and the stakes and make decisions on whether and how this impacts them and whether and how they want to get involved.

If journalists and journalism scholars expect journalism to hold power to account and keep people informed about public affairs (or hope that it will), what do people themselves expect of (local) journalism? Here, research from the Netherlands and the United States identifies a significant overlap between what journalists and journalism scholars expect from local journalism and what people more broadly expect, but also a wider range of roles beyond those that professionals and academics normally associate with local media.

Qualitative research with local television audiences in the Netherlands suggests that people there expect local media to do seven things:

- (1) supply relatively diverse, reliable, timely, and unbiased background *information* on community affairs;
- (2) foster social *integration* by helping people navigate their local community;
- (3) provide *inspiration* and good examples;
- (4) ensure *representation* of different groups in the community;
- (5) increase local intra-community *understanding* between different groups;
- (6) maintain a form of *local memory* or chronicle of local affairs; and
- (7) contribute to social cohesion, a sense of *belonging* to the locale (Costera Meijer, 2010).

The information role and to a lesser extent the representation role overlaps to a significant degree with the journalistic self-conception and the guard dog metaphor. But it is clear that people also expect much more from local media than a conventional focus on public affairs coverage would suggest. Journalists may prefer to see themselves as independent – detached – from the community they cover, even if in reality they are highly dependent on it, both in terms of sources for their reporting and resources to sustain the news organisations they work for. Their audiences may appreciate the ambition to be impartial and unbiased that lies behind the notion of detachment. But they also expect local media to be engaged with the community they cover.

Quantitative research from the United States further substantiates the idea that people expect more – and different – things from local media than accountability reporting and regular coverage of local public affairs. On the basis of a survey of local community members, a team of

American researchers suggest that people *do* expect their local media to provide accurate and unbiased regular local news coverage on a timely basis and to serve as a watchdog holding local elites to account. But, more than anything, they expect local media to be ‘good neighbors’ (Poindexter et al., 2006). They expect local journalists to care about the community, to understand and appreciate its values, and, crucially, to prioritise solutions as much as problems in their coverage – in the US surveys, especially ethnic minorities, less affluent and less well-educated groups, and women say they expect local journalism to emphasise solutions as well as problems (Heider et al., 2005). These broader conceptions of local journalism and its role overlap only partially with how the journalistic profession conventionally sees itself and its mission through the image of the detached watchdog. They represent a communitarian supplement to a liberal self-understanding, and are better aligned with what some community media have been aiming to do (Dickens et al., 2014) and are, especially in the emphasis on community values and solutions, reminiscent of what the public journalism movement called for in the 1990s in the US (Rosen, 1999).³

Both qualitative and quantitative research suggests that people have a positive image of what local news media are, or at least positive visions for what they might be. This is well in line with numerous surveys reporting that many people say that local news is important for them. But one should not exaggerate the bonds that tie local communities, local journalism, and local media together. In 2012, a majority (51%) of Americans said it would have *no* impact on their ability to keep up with information and news about their community if their local newspaper closed down (even as the same research project showed the multiple ways in which many actually depended on newspapers) (Rosenthal et al., 2012). This is probably at least in part because the very social significance of what for example ‘journalism’ means may be changing as people access and get information from more and more different sources, also about local affairs. Not only the organisational, but also the cultural forms of news are changing today. Though most people clearly have certain expectations and ideals that local news media can leverage to define a broadly speaking positive and important role for themselves in local communities, local journalists cannot simply assume that their work is appreciated and valued, let alone that it will be so in the future. Especially when it comes to younger people and people who live less locally rooted lives, local news media and local journalism has to constantly prove its relevance and earn people’s trust.