

# SHARING THE WEALTH



Member  
Contributions  
and the Exchange  
Theory of Party  
Influence in the  
U.S. House of  
Representatives

DAMON M. CANN

## Sharing the Wealth

**This page intentionally left blank.**

# Sharing the Wealth

*Member Contributions and the  
Exchange Theory of Party Influence  
in the U.S. House of Representatives*

Damon M. Cann

State University of New York Press

Published by State University of New York Press, Albany

© 2008 State University of New York

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America

No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission. No part of this book may be stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means including electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission in writing of the publisher.

For information, contact State University of New York Press, Albany, NY  
www.sunypress.edu

Production by Ryan Morris  
Marketing by Michael Campochiaro

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Cann, Damon M., 1976–

Sharing the wealth : member contributions and the exchange theory of party influence in the U.S. House of Representatives / Damon M. Cann.  
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-7914-7493-8 (hardcover : alk. paper) 1. Campaign funds—United States. 2. United States. Congress. House. 3. Legislators—United States. 4. Political parties—United States. 5. Power (Social sciences)—United States. 6. United States—Politics and government. I. Title.

JK1021.C36 2008  
328.73'074—dc22

2007048684

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

*For Clair, Cambria, and Ian*

**This page intentionally left blank.**

# Contents

List of Illustrations	ix
Preface	xi
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2: Candidates as Contributors?	7
CHAPTER 3: The Exchange Theory of Party Influence	25
CHAPTER 4: Leadership Investment in Legislative Loyalty in the U.S. House	37
CHAPTER 5: Member Contributions and the Politics of House Committee Chair Selection	51
CHAPTER 6: Member Contributions and Control of the House Appropriations Committee	77
CHAPTER 7: Member Contributions and the Politics of Party Leadership Selection	87
CHAPTER 8: Conclusion	97
Notes	103
References	109
Index	119



**This page intentionally left blank.**

# Illustrations

## Figures

FIGURE 2.1: Number of Leadership PACs Sponsored by Members of the House of Representatives, 1978–2006	9
FIGURE 2.2: House and Senate Member-to-Member Contributions, 1978–2004	10
FIGURE 4.1: Party Unity Increase from Leadership Contributions	45
FIGURE 5.1: Proportion of Uncompensated Seniority Violations in Committee Chair Appointments, 1889–1957	53
FIGURE 5.2: Proportion of Uncompensated Seniority Violations in Committee Chair Appointments, 1947–2007	54
FIGURE 5.3: Predicted Probabilities for Winning the International Relations Committee Chair	69

## Tables

TABLE 2.1: Percentage of Member-to-Member Funds by Incumbency Status	12
TABLE 2.2: Percentage of Major-Party Candidates Receiving Member Contributions and Average Size of Contribution by Incumbency Status	13
TABLE 2.3: Percentage of Major-Party Candidates Receiving Member Contributions by Incumbency Status and Electoral Competitiveness	14

TABLE 2.4:	Percentage of Democratic Candidates Receiving Member Contributions by Incumbency Status and Electoral Competitiveness	16
TABLE 2.5:	Percentage of Republican Candidates Receiving Member Contributions by Incumbency Status and Electoral Competitiveness	17
TABLE 2.6:	Who Gives Member-to-Member Contributions by Incumbency Status	19
TABLE 2.7:	Incumbent Member-to-Member Contributions by Electoral Safety	19
TABLE 2.8:	Member-to-Member Contributions from House Leaders, Committee Chairs, Committee Chair Candidates, and Other Incumbents	20
TABLE 2.9:	Top 10 Member Donors, 2000 U.S. House Elections	21
TABLE 2.10:	Top 10 Member Donors, 2002 U.S. House Elections	22
TABLE 2.11:	Top 10 Member Donors, 2004 U.S. House Elections	23
TABLE 4.1:	Fixed-Effects and FE2SLS Models of Party Unity	44
TABLE 4.2:	Mean Changes in Party Unity by Receipt of Leadership Contributions	47
TABLE 4.3:	Fixed-Effects Model of Changes in Party Unity	47
TABLE 4.4:	First-Stage Estimates for the FE2SLS Model	50
TABLE 5.1:	Contested Committee Chair Races, 104th–109th Congresses	62
TABLE 5.2:	Summary Statistics	63
TABLE 5.3:	Bayesian Conditional Logit Model of Committee Chair Selection for the 104th Congress	65
TABLE 5.4:	Bayesian Conditional Logit Model of Committee Chair Selection for the 105th–106th Congresses	66
TABLE 5.5:	Bayesian Conditional Logit Model of Committee Chair Selection for the 107th–109th Congresses	68
TABLE 5.6:	Committee Chair Candidates, 107th–109th Congresses	70
TABLE 6.1:	Conditional Logit Model of Appropriations Subcommittee Chair Selection	83

## Preface

Ever since my college days, I have been captivated by the subject of campaign finance. Given that the bulk of academic studies provide scant evidence at best for a general relationship between campaign contributions and votes, I began to wonder where one might look to find specific effects. After all, as recent events surrounding Tom DeLay, Duke Cunningham, William Jefferson, and others suggest, money does seem to influence some members of Congress some of the time. It seemed to me that the goal for scholars should be to find the conditions under which campaign finance matters might influence politicians rather than continuing a fruitless search for general effects.

While studying the Republican takeover of Congress in the 1994 elections, I was intrigued by Newt Gingrich's strategy for improving the success of Republicans in U.S. House elections. For years, Gingrich had worked to develop a cadre of well-qualified congressional candidates by supporting Republican candidates for state legislatures. Monetary support came in part through GOPAC, a Gingrich-sponsored leadership political action committee (leadership PAC), distinct from the campaign committee supporting Gingrich's reelection. In 1994, Gingrich's GOPAC supported a number of candidates for office, some who he had helped as state legislators, and others who were incumbent members of Congress. I was also struck by the allegiance of members of the House Republican Conference to their new leader. I wondered if there might be a connection between Gingrich's efforts to support the election of Republican candidates and the increased level of party discipline in the 104th Congress, and resolved to gain a better understanding of these leadership PACs.

I was amazed to learn that members of Congress have been making contributions to other members of Congress for years. Although the first leadership PAC was formed in 1978, politicians had been an important source of campaign funds for their parties and for their fellow-party members even before the Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) gave us the ability to comprehensively track such contributions. The general idea seemed to be that members of

Congress made contributions to other members of Congress (and also to their political party) to help the party obtain its collective goals. In return, party leaders mobilized their resources to further the personal political ambitions of its members. I embarked on this study of member contributions to examine some of the potential ways in which politicians and parties make exchanges to advance their respective goals, particularly focusing on exchanges involving member contributions to other members and to their parties. I am certainly not the first scholar to examine the development of member contributions. A great deal of excellent work has been done by Eric S. Heberlig, Marc Hetherington, Kris Kanthak, Robin Kolodny, Bruce Larson, Michael Malbin, Clyde Wilcox, and others. I hope the high esteem in which I hold their work is evidenced here.

Rather than trying to comprehensively cover all possible effects of member-to-member and member-to-party contributions,<sup>1</sup> I have two goals in this book. First, I seek to establish a framework that explains why these contributions can have powerful effects on the organization and operation of Congress. This will focus on exchanges among members of Congress (including party leaders) that advance the goals of both individual members and their parties. I contend that member contributions constitute an important part of the currency in these exchanges. Specifically, I contend that these exchanges have become an important source of power for American political parties. As such, I seek to clearly establish the relationship of this exchange framework to existing theories of partisan influence in Congress.

Second, I wish to offer several empirical examples of the types of influences that these contributions may have. Certainly there are more effects than those that I specifically explore here. I will, of course, strive to discuss other possible effects, highlighting the empirical evidence supporting these additional consequences of member-to-member and member-to-party contributions. It is my hope that readers will not only find the evidence herein to be persuasive, but also that readers will work to continue documenting the influences of these contributions.

While it is not the primary mission of this book to make prescriptions regarding campaign finance law, given that the research here finds that member-to-member and member-to-party contributions have demonstrable implications, it is impossible to proceed without at least a passing discussion of the normative implications of these contributions. Several of the scholars just mentioned have discussed some of the normative issues arising from member contributions; their work and some of my own ideas are discussed toward the end of the book; readers will be able to form their own conclusions.

At this point, I must also thank a number of scholars who made helpful comments on various portions of this book: John H. Aldrich, Scott Basinger, Jamie L. Carson, Stanley Feldman, Jay Goodliffe, Eric S. Heberlig, Brad Jones, Kris Kanthak, Bruce Larson, Helmut Norpoth, David W. Rohde, and Jeff Yates. Josh Putnam provided valuable research assistance. Any errors are, of course, my own.

## CHAPTER 1

# Introduction

Over the last twenty-five years, a remarkable phenomenon has developed in the U.S. Congress. While individuals, interest groups, corporations, labor unions, and parties all contribute money to support congressional candidates, members of Congress are themselves increasingly active as *contributors* to candidates and to political parties. Members make these contributions from funds they have raised for their own reelection campaigns or from a political action committee (PAC) formed for the express purpose of raising and redistributing funds. Indeed, some of the ethics questions surrounding former Republican House Majority Leader Tom DeLay center on contributions from his Texas-based leadership PAC that were allegedly illegally channeled into the campaigns of several Texas candidates through the Texas Republican Party.

While many scholars have noted the rise of members as contributors (Baker 1989, Wilcox 1989, Wilcox and Genest 1991, Kolodny 1998, Bedlington and Malbin 2003, Heberlig and Larson 2005), much of their work focuses on describing the increase in the amount of contributions that members of Congress donate to their parties and to each other. While the increase in the amount of funds available through these channels may seem novel, it is ultimately unimportant unless the use of such funding has observable consequences. While the rise of members as contributors is well-documented, the political impact of these donations is not thoroughly explored. Could member contributions affect the way in which Congress works? Consider the following two illustrations:

Tom Latham (R) has represented Iowa's 4th District in the U.S. House since 1994. The 4th District is evenly divided between Republicans and Democrats, and is considered one of Iowa's most competitive districts. Furthermore, redistricting in the 2002 elections changed 50% of the district, making it ripe for a Democratic challenge. Because Latham's seat was targeted by Republican leaders so as to retain control of the House in 2004, PACs sponsored by incumbent Republicans donated about \$200,000 to Latham's campaign. This activism on the part of party members had one obvious effect—Latham carried the district 54–46. However, a less obvious effect is in Latham's voting. Indeed,