

Egyptian Mummies and Modern Science



Edited by
Rosalie David

CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE

www.cambridge.org/9780521865791

This page intentionally left blank

EGYPTIAN MUMMIES AND MODERN SCIENCE

Egyptian mummies have always aroused popular and scientific interest; however, most modern studies, although significantly increased in number and range, have been published in specialist journals. Now, this unique book, written by a long-established team of scientists based at the University of Manchester (England), brings this exciting, cross-disciplinary area of research to a wider readership. Its main aim is to show how this team's multidisciplinary, investigative methods and the unique resource of the Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank are being used for new major international investigations of disease evolution and ancient Egyptian pharmacy and pharmacology. It also assesses the current status of palaeopathology and ancient DNA research and the treatments available for conserving mummified remains. Descriptions of the historical development of Egyptian mummifications and medicine and detailed references to previous scientific investigations provide the context for firsthand accounts of cutting-edge research by prominent specialists in this field, demonstrating how these techniques can contribute to a new perspective on Egyptology.

A. Rosalie David is KNH Professor and Director of the KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology at the University of Manchester. She was awarded the Order of the British Empire (OBE) in the New Year Honours List of 2003 for her services to Egyptology, and she is the author and editor of twenty-seven books, most recently *Religion and Magic in Ancient Egypt*.

Egyptian Mummies and Modern Science

Edited by

A. ROSALIE DAVID

University of Manchester



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521865791

© Cambridge University Press 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in print format 2008

ISBN-13 978-0-511-37276-6 eBook (Adobe Reader)

ISBN-13 978-0-521-86579-1 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of urls for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Plates</i>	<i>page</i> vii
<i>List of Figures</i>	viii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
<i>Preface</i>	xix
<i>A. Rosalie David</i>	

PART I: AN INTRODUCTION TO THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY
OF MUMMIES

1. The background of the Manchester mummy project	3
<i>A. Rosalie David</i>	
2. Egyptian mummies: an overview	10
<i>A. Rosalie David</i>	

PART II: DIET, DISEASE AND DEATH IN ANCIENT EGYPT:
DIAGNOSTIC AND INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUES

3. Imaging in Egyptian mummies	21
<i>Judith E. Adams and Chrissie W. Alsop</i>	
4. Endoscopy and mummy research	43
<i>Ken Wildsmith</i>	
5. Dental health and disease in ancient Egypt	52
<i>Judith Miller</i>	
6. Slices of mummy: a histologist's perspective	71
<i>John Denton</i>	

7. Palaeopathology at the beginning of the new millennium:
a review of the literature 83
Maria Jeziorska

8. The use of immunocytochemistry to diagnose disease
in mummies 99
Patricia Rutherford

9. DNA identification in mummies and associated material 116
Patricia Rutherford

10. An introduction to analytical methods 133
Andrew P. Gize

11. The facial reconstruction of ancient Egyptians 162
Caroline M. Wilkinson

PART III: THE TREATMENT OF DISEASE IN ANCIENT EGYPT

12. The ancient Egyptian medical system 181
A. Rosalie David

13. Intoxicants in ancient Egypt? opium, nymphaea,
coca and tobacco 195
David J. Counsell

14. Pharmacy in ancient Egypt 216
Jacqueline M. Campbell

PART IV: RESOURCES FOR STUDYING MUMMIES

15. The International Ancient Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank 237
A. Rosalie David

16. Conservation treatment for mummies 247
Antony E. David

**PART V: THE FUTURE OF BIOMEDICAL AND SCIENTIFIC
STUDIES IN EGYPTOLOGY**

17. Biomedical Egyptology: some future possibilities
and contributions 257
A. Rosalie David

References 263

Index 297

List of Plates

Colour plates follow page 138

- I. Histological section of underside of a leaf.
- II. Bacteria in gram-stained histological section.
- III. Histological section of *Serpula lacrymans* fungus.
- IV. Histological section through the fibrous pleura of the lung.
- V. Histological section of the ear of mummified cat.
- VI. Histological section of wheat from a tomb.
- VII. Histological section of ancient decalcified bone.
- VIII. Histological section of bone providing timeline of events.
- IX. Histological section of Egyptian bandage.
- X. Positive staining of *S. mansoni* ova within infected mouse liver: lateral spike. $\times 100$.
- XI. Positive staining of *S. mansoni* ova within infected mouse liver. $\times 100$.
- XII. Positive staining of *S. haematobium* within infected hamster liver. $\times 100$.
- XIII. Positive staining of mummy bladder tissue. $\times 100$.
- XIV. Positive staining of mummy bladder tissue with ova. $\times 100$.
- XV. Ultraviolet fluorescence microscopy of hair from a mummy.
- XVI. Visible light and infrared images of hair from a mummy.
- XVII. Facial reconstructions of ancient Egyptians.
- XVIII. Mummy covered with efflorescence and mould growth.
- XIX. Hands and torso of the mummy after conservation treatment.

List of Figures

1. Mummy in gilded cartonnage.	<i>page</i>	23
2. AP radiograph of the thoracic region of a mummy.		27
3. CT scan through the head: layering of resin posteriorly in the skull.		29
4. Jumbled bones in a mummy.		31
5. Radiographs of mummy showing metal studs/amulets.		31
6. AP radiograph showing packages in the right thorax of a mummy.		32
7. Lateral radiograph and CT scan of a mummy showing packing in mouth.		32
8. Radiograph and CT scan of a mummy showing false eyes.		33
9. Radiographic evidence of brain removal in mummification.		33
10. Radiographic evidence indicating gender in a mummy.		35
11. Age determination in mummies: AP radiograph showing evidence of epiphyses.		37
12. Radiographic evidence of disease processes in mummies.		39
13. Radiographs of animal mummies.		41
14. Severe wear on tooth.		57
15. Caries in the cervical area of a tooth plus a large interproximal cavity.		59
16. Periapical destruction caused by the wear shown in Figure 1.		59
17. Dentition showing horizontal and vertical periodontal disease.		61
18. Example of teeth that could be removed by digital manipulation.		67
19. The only example of a possible dental extraction found in Miller's survey.		69
20. Maxilla showing two non-physiological defects.		69

21. Isomers of C ₄ H ₁₀ .	135
22. Diastereomers of cholestane.	135
23. The electromagnetic spectrum.	141
24. Depictions of cyclohexane.	147
25. The structure of glycine and alanine.	147
26. Total ion chromatograms of wax coating a pillow (a) and beeswax (b).	153
27. SEM image of natron crystals in the bladder of a mummy.	159
28. ESEM image of crystalline wax coating a pillow.	159
29. Facial reconstruction procedures.	165
30. The preserved remains of an ancient Egyptian priest, with facial reconstruction.	169
31. Production of a three-dimensional skull model from two-dimensional data.	169
32. Facial reconstruction of Ramesses II from the mummified soft tissues.	171
33. Comparison of facial reconstructions of ancient Egyptians with portraits.	172
34. Depictions of disease and trauma in facial reconstruction work.	174
35. Facial reconstructions of the skulls from Tomb KV5.	175
36. Comparison of the facial reconstructions from Tomb KV5 and Ramesses II.	177
37. Summary of classification of intoxicants.	197
38. Total ion chromatogram for juglet number 744.	201
39. Total ion chromatograms for four <i>Bil-Bil</i> juglets.	203
40. Summary of provenance and forensic laboratory analysis on Manchester Cypriot Base II Ring Ware collection.	199
41. Summary of botanical features of ancient Egyptian 'lotuses.'	205
42. Phytosterol profile of ancient and modern <i>Nymphaea caerulea</i> compared with petal of <i>Impatiens walleriana</i> .	207
43. Comparison of hair analysis for cocaine and nicotine in Egypt and South America.	211

Contributors

The following contributors to this book are actively engaged in the research performed by the Manchester Egyptian Mummy Project, and in the teaching programmes of the KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology, University of Manchester (UK).

EDITOR

Professor A. Rosalie David, OBE

KNH Professor of Biomedical Egyptology and Director of the KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology, University of Manchester (UK). Director of the Manchester Mummy Research Project since 1973.

CONTRIBUTORS

Professor Judith E. Adams

Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, Clinical Radiology, Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, University of Manchester (UK). Member of the Manchester Mummy Project since 1998.

Chrissie W. Alsop

Manager of Clinical and Research Imaging Facilities, Clinical Radiology, Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, University of Manchester (UK). Member of the Manchester Mummy Project for more than twenty years.

Jacqueline M. Campbell

Research Associate, KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology, University of Manchester (UK). Specialising in the history of pharmacy in ancient Egypt,

particularly medicinal plants and their relevance to current medicine and nutrition.

Dr David J. Counsell

Consultant Anaesthetist, NHS Trust, Wrexham (UK). His research focuses on drug history in ancient Egypt.

Antony E. David

Formerly Manager of Support Services, Lancashire County Museums Service (UK). A forty-year career in conservation, specialising in artefacts and Egyptian mummified remains.

John Denton

Pathology Research Fellow, Division of Laboratory and Regenerative Medicine, University of Manchester (UK). He has nearly forty years of experience of the histological investigation of biological tissues in diagnostic and research environments.

Dr Andrew P. Gize

Senior Lecturer, School of Earth Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester (UK). He runs the organic analytical facility (gas chromatography–mass spectrometry and microscopy), and uses microprobe techniques for research on Egyptology and Late Minoan projects.

Dr Maria Jeziorska

Lecturer in Molecular Pathology, Division of Laboratory and Regenerative Medicine, University of Manchester (UK). She is engaged in research and teaching on the use of immunohistochemical methods in contemporary and ancient human remains.

Dr Judith Miller

Orthodontist, Research Affiliate at the Wellcome Trust for the History of Medicine, London, and Honorary Fellow in the KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology, University of Manchester. Her specialist study is the history of dentistry in ancient Egypt.

Dr Patricia Rutherford

Research Associate (until 2006), KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology, University of Manchester (UK). Pioneered and developed the use of

immunocytochemistry as a diagnostic tool for detecting the presence of schistosomiasis in mummified tissue.

Roger Speak

Experimental Officer, Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester (UK). In addition to mass spectrometry, he provides infrared microscopy (a unique facility) for archaeological projects.

Ken Wildsmith

With a background in engineering, and a career in sales for major companies manufacturing industrial endoscopes, optical measuring equipment and microscopes, he has pioneered and developed the application of endoscopic techniques for Egyptian mummies since he joined the Manchester Mummy Project in the 1980s.

Dr Caroline M. Wilkinson

Formerly Head of the Unit for Art in Medicine, University of Manchester (UK), and now Senior Lecturer, Wellcome Unit, University of Dundee (UK). Her pioneering work on techniques of scientific facial reconstruction for both forensic and archaeological purposes has received wide media coverage.

Acknowledgments

The diverse and complex nature of the research we undertake is only made possible by the generous support we have received over the past four decades from many individuals and organisations. Although it is not possible to thank them all here, we wish particularly to acknowledge those who have provided the opportunities and facilities for our most recent studies.

First, we are indebted to the support we receive from ‘KNH’ whose generosity enabled a unique centre (which carries her initials) to be set up in 2003 at the University of Manchester, and to the two Deans, Professor Alan North, FRS (Dean and Vice-President, Faculty of Life Sciences, 2004–present), and Professor Maynard Case (Dean, School of Biological Sciences, 2001–2004), who have both played crucial roles in the centre’s establishment and development.

We wish to record our gratitude to The Leverhulme Trust (UK) for its support of the interdisciplinary, international projects we undertake. The Leverhulme Trust has provided significant funding towards our research in the form of two Research Grants. The first (1996–1999) facilitated the establishment of the International Ancient Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank, and the current grant (2006–2009) was awarded for the Pharmacy in Ancient Egypt Project.

We should also like to express our gratitude to Dr Keith Hall, the founder and Managing Director of Hall Analytical Laboratories, Manchester (UK), one of the premier organic mass spectrometry facilities in the United Kingdom, which is involved in both analysis and development. Dr Hall has played a key role in providing additional, essential facilities for our work, and he and his colleagues have been actively involved in the research and interpretation associated with many of the archaeological projects undertaken at the KNH Centre.

At the University of Manchester, we are grateful to many departments for giving us access to their facilities. In particular, we should like to thank Dr Paul Taylor, Clinical Director of Radiology, Central Manchester and Manchester Royal Children's University Hospitals NHS Trust (CMMC) for permitting the use of the radiographic equipment and computed tomography scanner for imaging of the mummies; the radiographers (Simone Brooke and Nicola Moran) who performed the imaging; and the CMMC Research Endowment for funding the out-of-hours imaging that has been undertaken. We are indebted to Professor Mark W. J. Ferguson for his support in allowing Dr Patricia Rutherford the use of his laboratories for her research. We are grateful to all the depositors to the International Ancient Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank, and would like to record here that the substantial loans of material made by Professor A. C. Aufderheide, Professor D. Van Gervan, the Manchester Museum and the Leicester Museum have provided significant resources for many of the current projects discussed in this book.

Indeed, many institutions have made their collections, facilities and expertise available to the Manchester team. In particular, we would like to record our gratitude to staff and colleagues at the following: the Agricultural Museum, Cairo, Egypt; the British Museum, London; the Chelsea Physic Garden, London; the Duckworth Collection, University of Cambridge; the Egyptian Museum, Cairo, Egypt; the Forensic Science Laboratory, Exton Hall, Chorley, Lancashire (UK); the Georges-Labit Museum, Toulouse, France; the Glyptotek Museum, Copenhagen; the Groningen Museum, the Netherlands; the Leicester Museum, Leicester, UK; Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester; the Medical Toxicology Laboratory at Guy's and St. Thomas' Hospital, London; the Metropolitan Museum of Fine Art, New York; the National Museums of Scotland; the National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt; the Natural History Museum, London; the Manchester Museum, University of Manchester; PDR, Cardiff (UK); the Polish Centre for Archaeology, Cairo, Egypt; the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (UK); the South Sinai Environmental Agency, Egypt (United Nations Development Programme); Stapeley Water Gardens, Staffordshire (UK); VACSERA (the Egyptian Organisation for Vaccine and Biological Production, Cairo, Egypt); and the Vivi Tackholme Herbarium, University of Cairo, Egypt.

We should also like to express our gratitude to the following individuals for supporting and making various contributions to our work: Frank Barnett; Dr Jenefer Cockitt; Professor M. Doenhoff, University of Bangor, Wales (UK); Professor K. H. El-Batanony, National Scientific Advisor to the

Egyptian Government; Professor M. El-Demerdash, Director, South Sinai Environmental Agency; Dr W. El-Saddick, Director, Egyptian Museum, Cairo; Dr Azza el-Sarry; Dr B. Harer; Dr N. Hepper; Professor Faiza Hamouda; Professor N. M. Hassan; Professor Fawzia Helmi Hussien; Emeritus Professor M. Kassas; Professor E. Rabino Massa, University of Turin, Italy; Professor A. J. Mills; Professor Moushira Erfan; Caroline Needham; Richard Neave; Dr P. T. Nicholson; Chris Rynn; Denise Smyth; and Dr J. Taylor.

It has been a pleasure to work with the staff at Cambridge University Press, and we are particularly grateful to Publications Director Beatrice Rehl and Senior Editor Simon Whitmore, for their advice and support during the commissioning and production of this book.

To my husband, Antony E. David, I give my personal thanks, for his academic input and significant practical contribution towards preparing this manuscript for publication, and for providing constant support and encouragement for my work.

Finally, we should like to acknowledge the contribution made by our students, whose enthusiasm and interest in this field continually inspire us to pursue new goals and developments.

A. Rosalie David
Manchester, December 2006

Preface

A. Rosalie David

The main aims of this book are to show how biomedical and scientific techniques have led to a new understanding of some aspects of ancient Egyptian society, and to demonstrate how the focused, multidisciplinary research of one team, working continuously in this area for more than thirty years, has been able to contribute to this field.

There has been a remarkable and significant increase in the number and range of scientific studies undertaken on mummies over the past couple of decades, and people are now aware of the information that can be derived from such investigations, in terms of explaining the cultural context of human remains and in adding to knowledge of how disease has evolved and developed from ancient to modern times. Much of this work, however, is published in scientific journals or conference papers, and is not readily accessible to the reader who has a general interest in the field.

The Manchester Egyptian Mummy Research Project, established at the University of Manchester in 1973, has conducted pioneering research on the methodology of using scientific techniques to investigate ancient Egyptian mummified remains. It has run the longest continuous research programme in the field of biomedical Egyptology, and this has led to the establishment (in 2003) of a university specialisation and a dedicated facility – the KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology in the Faculty of Life Sciences at the University of Manchester (UK).

The earliest phase of this project was published in A. R. David (ed.), *The Manchester Museum Mummy Project* (1979). The team has made major advances since then, and mummy studies in general have progressed and taken advantage of the many new techniques that can contribute to this field. This book provides the first opportunity to present the complete picture of the Manchester team's more recent studies. The contributors have not adopted a uniform approach: some chapters provide detailed descriptions

of techniques, others concentrate on the significance of results, and some assess the current role and status of the various fields of interest. Taken as a whole, we hope this book will demonstrate how scientific studies on mummies can provide new insight into the ancient Egyptians' attitudes to life and death.

The book is divided into five parts. The first, *An introduction to the scientific study of mummies*, considers the aims, methods and development of the Manchester Mummy Project within the wider context of scientific studies on Egyptian mummies; it also provides a brief introduction to the prehistory and history of ancient Egypt and a summary of why and how Egyptian mummies were produced.

The second part, *Diet, disease and death in ancient Egypt: diagnostic and investigative techniques*, describes the Manchester studies on human and animal remains, with particular reference to disease, and demonstrates how a wide range of scientific techniques can be developed and used as diagnostic tools in this research.

The third section, *The treatment of disease in ancient Egypt*, uses information derived from the Manchester studies to explore the ancient Egyptian medical system and the role of medical practitioners, and to assess the extent to which these analytical studies can confirm the ancient literary evidence. It also considers the ancient Egyptian use of narcotics and pain relief in religious, medical and social contexts, and presents the latest research on the scope and possible therapeutic efficacy of their pharmaceutical treatments.

The fourth part, *Resources for studying mummies*, describes the establishment and role of the International Ancient Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank, and surveys the conservation methods available for the treatment of Egyptian mummified remains. The final section, *The future of biomedical and scientific studies in Egyptology*, provides a summary of the contributions that biomedical and scientific techniques can make to the study of ancient Egypt, and considers some of the directions that this field of research might take in the future.

Each chapter is written by an expert in the relevant field, scientists at the cutting edge of this research who, working together as members of the Manchester Mummy Project, have conducted this original work themselves. Although this is primarily a firsthand account of the group's own research and results, the investigations are described within the wider context of mummy studies, and an extensive list of references to other work in this field is included.

Much of the research undertaken at Manchester in recent years has involved the application of new techniques. To provide sufficient space in the book for explanation and discussion of these new techniques, we have decided to omit techniques (such as serology and finger-printing) described in our earlier publications that are no longer part of mainstream mummy research.

PART 1

*An introduction to the scientific
study of mummies*

CHAPTER 1

The background of the Manchester Mummy Project

A. Rosalie David

Early investigations

From the Renaissance, Egyptian mummies have attracted the interest of antiquarian collectors, who brought them from Egypt to enhance the collections of museums, learned societies, and wealthy individuals in Britain, Europe, and later the United States of America. From the sixteenth century onwards, some of these mummies were ‘unrolled’ (unwrapped) at frivolous social events in front of invited audiences. Most of these unwrappings had little scientific value; however, some were performed by serious investigators whose detailed publications still provide valuable evidence.

These researchers include Thomas Pettigrew (1791–1865), a London surgeon who unwrapped a series of mummies in London (Pettigrew 1834); Augustus Bozzi Granville (1783–1872), another London doctor who reported evidence of ovarian disease in an Egyptian mummy (Granville 1825); and members of the Leeds Philosophical and Literary Society, who undertook an interdisciplinary study on a mummy in 1825 (Osburn 1828).

In the early twentieth century, various pioneering projects laid the basis for mummy research. Armand Ruffer, Professor of Bacteriology in Cairo, developed methods of rehydrating ancient tissues (Ruffer 1921), and invented the term *palaeopathology* for the study of disease in ancient populations.

Grafton Elliot Smith, Professor of Anatomy in Cairo, performed extensive examinations of the mummies of the rulers of the New Kingdom, discovered at Thebes in 1871 and 1898 (Smith 1912). With his co-workers W. R. Dawson and F. W. Jones, Smith also undertook an important study on some 6,000 ancient bodies retrieved during the Archaeological Survey of Nubia, a heritage rescue operation that was established when the first dam was built at Aswan in the early twentieth century (Smith and Wood Jones 1910). A third scientist, Alfred Lucas (1867–1945), also based in Cairo, performed analyses

of many ancient materials and substances, and was the first to demonstrate that Herodotus' account of mummification was accurate.

Mummy research has progressed steadily throughout the twentieth century, although this development has not shown any continuous or regular pattern. Nevertheless, the route has been highlighted by many important studies which are too extensive to list here, but the following provide just some examples.

Continuing research on royal mummies has included a radiological survey (Harris and Wente 1980), an interdisciplinary study of the mummy of Ramesses II (Balout and Roubet 1985), and various investigations of the mummies of Tutankhamun and the body found in Tomb 55 in the Valley of the Kings. An extensive radiological survey of nonroyal human remains in other major collections (Dawson and Gray 1968) has formed the basis for many subsequent studies, and much information has been derived from the series of autopsies and scientific studies undertaken in the 1970s on several mummies in the Detroit Institute of Art, Pennsylvania University Museum, and the Royal Ontario Museum in Toronto (Cockburn and Cockburn 1980).

The role of the Manchester researchers can now be considered within the context of these earlier and contemporary projects.

Autopsy of the Two Brothers at Manchester

The pioneering work of Dr Margaret Murray at the University of Manchester characterised the new approach to examining mummified remains that emerged in the early twentieth century. As the first curator of Egyptology at the Manchester Museum, she undertook one of the earliest scientific investigations of Egyptian mummies, heading an interdisciplinary team of specialists in anatomy, chemical analysis, and textile studies.

In 1908, before an invited audience in the University's Chemistry Theatre, the team unwrapped and performed autopsies on the mummies of two brothers from a tomb (c. 1900 B.C.) at Der Rifeh. The results of subsequent medical, scientific, and archaeological investigations, which provided information about the tomb, bodies, and funerary possessions, were published in a book (Murray 1910).

The Manchester Mummy Project: initial phase (1973–1979)

Shortly after I was appointed in 1972 to curate the Egyptology collection at the Manchester Museum, I initiated a similar investigation. The Manchester Mummy Project, as it became known, was established to examine all

the Egyptian mummified remains at the museum, although eventually it also encompassed collections held in other institutions. A rare set of circumstances – a university museum with a significant mummy collection, located near teaching departments and hospitals with sophisticated, specialised equipment, and supportive university authorities – ensured that the project had unprecedented access to extensive scientific resources.

An interdisciplinary team of specialists, drawn from the university and associated teaching hospitals, had the primary aim of establishing a methodology for examining mummies based on the availability of a range of techniques and specialist equipment that could be used under near-ideal conditions. Subsequently, other researchers have been able to utilise all or some of the approaches and principles set out in this ‘Manchester Method,’ to form the basis for their own contributions in this field (Dawson et al. 2002; Taylor 2004; Raven and Taconis 2005).

In examining this group of mummies, the team’s second aim was to gain as much information as possible about disease, diet, living conditions, the process of mummification, and religious and funerary customs in ancient Egypt (David 1997).

Techniques used in the first phase of the project (1973–1979) included a radiological survey; rehydration and processing of mummified tissue to produce histological sections that could be examined by light and electron microscopy to demonstrate the framework and cellular detail of the tissue and any evidence of disease; electron microscopy to identify insect remains associated with the mummies; palaeo-odontology; the development and application of special fingerprinting techniques; and the scientific reconstruction of selected mummified heads. In addition, experiments were undertaken to investigate the actual process of mummification, and to assess the accuracy of ancient literary accounts.

In 1975, it was decided to unwrap and perform an autopsy on one of the mummies, Number 1770, in the Manchester collection, to demonstrate how these techniques could provide maximum information about the mummy. As the first scientific autopsy of a mummy in Britain since Murray’s project some seventy years earlier, it attracted considerable media interest.

Additional methods of analysis included the macroscopic and microscopic examination of the textiles associated with this mummy; chromatography to isolate and characterise the substances applied to the bandages; and radiocarbon dating to establish and compare the approximate age of the bones and bandages.

This first phase was published in a scientific book (David 1979) and a more general account (David 1978). In 1979, an international symposium entitled *Science in Egyptology* attracted more than 100 delegates to the University

of Manchester to discuss the application of medical and scientific techniques to Egyptological projects. Although earlier Egyptology conferences had sometimes included sessions on palaeopathology, and some scientific meetings had surveyed disease in ancient man, this was a new concept because it focused exclusively on the application of science to Egyptology. The joint proceedings of this successful meeting and another symposium held at Manchester in 1984 were published later (David 1986).

The BBC produced a television documentary in the *Chronicle* series that examined the team's research and recorded the autopsy of Mummy 1770. The Audio-Visual Department of the University of Manchester also made two films which demonstrated the Manchester techniques and key events in the unwrapping of 1770. These films, produced for general use in teaching departments, won awards from the British Association for the Advancement of Science. A public exhibition at the Manchester Museum (1979–1980) presented the team's results within the context of Egyptian funerary beliefs and customs; it attracted many visitors, and received the Sotheby's Award in the Museum of the Year Awards (1980).

The Manchester Mummy Project: second phase (1979–1995)

After 1979, the project moved in new directions (David and Tapp 1984). An important decision to promote virtually nondestructive methods of investigating mummies introduced the use of endoscopy as a means of obtaining tissue from inside a mummy for histological and other studies. Also, acting on a proposal made at the 1979 symposium, an International Mummy Database was established at Manchester to gather, store, and respond to requests for research data about disease found in Egyptian mummies in collections across the world.

This phase of the project was recorded in a second BBC *Chronicle* documentary, and another film, made by the Central Office for Information for distribution outside Britain, related how techniques developed for the project also contributed to contemporary forensic work and plastic surgery.

A major redisplay of the permanent Egyptian galleries at the Manchester Museum highlighted the research and results of the Mummy Project and was judged for the Museum of the Year Award, which Manchester won in 1987.

In the 1990s, Manchester's innovative, virtually nondestructive approach led to invitations to examine mummies in other collections. Pioneering research in identifying DNA in mummies (Pääbo 1985) now opened up new possibilities, and in 1992, at the invitation of Professor N. Kanawati of

Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia, the Manchester team undertook pathological and genetic studies on six mummies discovered in a tomb at El-Hagarsa in Egypt (Elles et al. 1993).

In 1989, P. C. Brears, then Director of the Leeds City Museum, proposed that the Manchester team should undertake a new scientific investigation of the ‘Leeds Mummy,’ which originally underwent autopsy in 1825 (Osburn 1828). The new study gave the Manchester team the unique opportunity to compare their own techniques and results with those of the earlier researchers (David and Tapp 1992).

The Manchester Mummy Project: third phase (1995–present)

A major development in this phase was the establishment, in 2003, of a university specialisation in biomedical Egyptology within a dedicated centre – the KNH Centre for Biomedical Egyptology in the Faculty of Life Sciences at the University of Manchester (UK) (see Chapter 17). This is now the base for the Manchester Mummy Team and its various projects.

The schistosomiasis in ancient and modern Egypt project

Until the mid-1990s, palaeopathological studies had concentrated on detailed investigations of individual mummies or defined groups of bodies, but in 1995, the Manchester researchers were invited to collaborate with scientists in Egypt on an epidemiological project. The scientists were pursuing a ten-year programme, the Schistosomiasis Research Project, designed to identify contemporary epidemiological patterns of a parasitic disease, schistosomiasis, and to find more effective methods of treating the condition.

The aim of this joint study was to construct epidemiological profiles of schistosomiasis in ancient and contemporary Egypt, and then compare the incidence patterns from the twenty-sixth century B.C. to the seventh century A.D. with the modern evidence, thus describing the evolution of the disease over a 5,000-year period. Resources for this study would include evidence of the disease that occurred in mummies, and contemporary infection data collected by the Schistosomiasis Research Project on some 100,000 people living in villages between the north and south of Egypt (Contis and David 1996; David 2000).

Other researchers have used various diagnostic techniques to detect schistosomiasis in mummies, including radiographic examination to identify secondary pathological indications of the disease, and histological investigation of mummified tissue to detect the presence of worms and eggs. The latter

method was used by Ruffer, who first identified the disease in mummies in 1910. More recent studies show the effectiveness of immunological analysis of tissue or bone samples by using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). This can detect the presence of circulating anodic antigen (a glycoprotein regurgitated from the gut of the schistosome) in the mummy, which will confirm if the infection was active at the time of the person's death.

To attempt to trace the pattern of schistosomiasis over the millennia, it was necessary for the Manchester researchers to gather data from a large number of mummies, drawn from different locations and chronological periods (see Chapter 15). For this project, it was decided not to use radiography or histology, because the former would be expensive and dependent on access to specialised x-ray equipment, and the latter would be successful only if tissue could be obtained from specific areas of a mummy.

The Manchester researcher, Dr Patricia Rutherford, therefore decided to adopt an immunological approach: she pioneered the use of immunocytochemistry to detect this disease in mummies (see Chapter 8; Pain 2001), and then confirmed the results by means of ELISA and DNA (Chapter 9). Further success was achieved when, for the first time, the DNA of the causative parasite was identified in one of the samples.

The International Ancient Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank

To ensure that a sufficient quantity of tissue samples was available for this project, the International Ancient Egyptian Mummy Tissue Bank was established at Manchester (see Chapter 15). The bank was initially funded by a research grant from The Leverhulme Trust to collect and store samples (mainly tissue, but also some hair and bone) from mummies held in collections across the world (apart from Egypt, where there are plans to establish a similar tissue bank). The bank thus provides a new resource of specially selected material for ongoing disease studies and other research.

Instrumental methods

In addition to existing techniques, the Manchester group now has access to a range of instrumental methods, involving organic and inorganic analyses, which are available to forensic scientists who wish to investigate ancient and conserved remains and residues (see Chapters 10 and 13).

For example, these mass spectrometric and other protocols are being applied in a unique study to determine if narcotics and pain-relieving agents

were used in religious, medical, and social contexts in ancient Egypt. This study is also investigating the problem of false results produced by contamination, which may arise from mummification methods, environmental conditions, or diagenesis.

The pharmacy in ancient Egypt project

The most recent area of research in Manchester focuses on the use of pharmaceutical treatments in ancient Egypt. This study is supported by a research grant from The Leverhulme Trust, and combines historical and scientific methodology to investigate the therapeutic potential of these regimes (see Chapter 14).

In a pilot study of more than 1,000 prescriptions found in four Egyptian medical papyri, 379 drug substances have been analysed and formatted in the style of the British National Formulary, detailing their active ingredients and therapeutic efficacy. This initial work, comparing the compounding and administration of these prescriptions with contemporary pharmacy, has demonstrated that some 70 per cent of the identified substances used by ancient Egyptian physicians remained in use in the twentieth century A.D. Researchers working on this project include specialists in many fields who have unprecedented access to both the International Tissue Bank and collections of modern and ancient plants in Britain and Egypt. This provides a unique opportunity to use scientific analytical methods to assess the validity of the literary evidence.

These studies are investigating ancient and contemporary plant and inorganic remains from Egypt, identifying any traces of pharmaceutical residues in mummified tissue samples, and tracing the places of origin and the trade routes by which the raw materials may have entered Egypt.

It is hoped that the results of this research will not only revolutionise our understanding of the scope and significance of ancient Egyptian treatments, but will contribute new information to the history of medicine and pharmacy.

CHAPTER 2

Egyptian mummies: an overview

A. Rosalie David

Historical background

Mummification (the artificial preservation of the body after death) may have been practised in Egypt for more than 4,000 years, and perhaps developed as early as c. 4500 B.C., when Neolithic communities lived in scattered settlements in the Egyptian Delta and along the banks of the Nile. Gradually, these villages merged into larger groups, drawn together by the common need to develop irrigation systems, and eventually, the north and south were ruled as two separate kingdoms. Egyptologists describe this whole era (c. 5000 B.C.–3100 B.C.) as the Predynastic Period.

In c. 3100 B.C., a southern ruler conquered the northern kingdom, unified the two lands, and founded dynastic Egypt. Thousands of years later, an Egyptian priest, Manetho (323–245 B.C.), composed a chronicle of kings who ruled Egypt between c. 3100 B.C. and 332 B.C., and this king-list has survived in the writings of later historians. It divides the reigns of Egyptian kings into thirty dynasties and these, plus a thirty-first dynasty added by a later chronographer, form the basis for the modern chronology of ancient Egypt.

Contemporary historians arrange these dynasties into a series of major periods: the Archaic Period (c. 3100–c. 2686 B.C.), the Old Kingdom (c. 2686–c. 2181 B.C.), the First Intermediate Period (c. 2181–1991 B.C.), the Middle Kingdom (1991–1786 B.C.), the Second Intermediate Period (1786–1567 B.C.), the New Kingdom (1567–1085 B.C.), the Third Intermediate Period (1085–668 B.C.), and the Late Period (664–332 B.C.).

The conquest of Egypt by Alexander the Great of Macedon in 332 B.C. ushered in the Ptolemaic Period. On Alexander's death, Egypt passed to his general who became King Ptolemy I, and his descendants (the Ptolemies) ruled until the death of Cleopatra VII, the last of the dynasty. The next stage

of Egypt's history, when the country was ruled as a province of the Roman Empire, is known as the Roman Period (30 B.C.–641 A.D.).

Source material

Apart from the mummified remains themselves, sources relating to mummification include inscriptions and funerary illustrations, but these generally concentrate on methods of anointing and wrapping. The earliest detailed descriptions of mummification were written by Classical authors who visited Egypt: Herodotus in the fifth century B.C. (*Histories*: vol.2, 86–88; see de Selincourt 1976), and Diodorus Siculus in the first century B.C. (*Universal History*: vol. 1, 7; see Geer 1954).

The environmental context

Egypt is a land of contrasts: most of the country is desert, but in antiquity the annual inundation of the Nile brought down water and silt, which created a triangle of fertile land in the north (the Delta), and a strip of cultivated land on either side of the river. Continuous irrigation and ceaseless vigilance were needed to maintain this scarce agricultural land, which supported the people, and their crops and animals.

From Neolithic times, towns and villages became established here, and because this cultivated area could not be sacrificed for the burial of the dead, the corpses – usually covered by a reed or skin mat – were placed in shallow graves in the nearby desert.

It may have been religious beliefs that first inspired the Egyptians to try to preserve the bodies of the dead in as enduring and lifelike a state as possible, but geographical and environmental factors also played a significant role in the development of mummification.

Natural and artificial mummification

A combination of the hot, dry climate and the location of the shallow graves in porous sand provided conditions that ensured that these bodies were preserved indefinitely. The body fluids of the newly buried corpse evaporated and were absorbed by the sand, a process that arrested decomposition and produced desiccated, practically sterile bodies that could last indefinitely in the right environmental conditions. Such corpses, complete with skin and hair, are excellent examples of 'natural mummification,' and indeed may have been the inspiration for later attempts at artificial preservation.