

After Enlargement

CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE

IDGE www.cambridge.org/9780521872867

This page intentionally left blank

EUROPE AFTER ENLARGEMENT

Where is Europe going? Prominent European economists here offer essays on five big challenges to the development of the European Union (EU), namely, the new European Constitution, European finances and the euro, the need to boost economic growth, competition in both new member states and countries further to the east, and the goal of forming a cooperative and productive relationship with countries on the European periphery. Charles Wyplosz argues that enlargement and deepening are not substitutes but complements. Georges de Ménil worries that the Constitution could lock in Europe with excessive social entitlements. Vito Tanzi questions the Keynesian foundation of the Growth and Stability Pact. Fabrizio Coricelli suggests that the standards of this pact are neither relevant nor sufficient for the new member states. Daniel Gros criticizes the minimal achievements within the Lisbon Agenda. Patrick Lenain records small but positive reforms of European labor markets. Yegor T. Gaidar warns that recovery growth in the East may be temporary. Anders Åslund claims that Russian and Ukrainian oligarchs differ little from U.S. "robber barons." Susanne Milcher, Ben Slay, and Mark Collins discuss the EU's European Neighbourhood Policy, and Johannes F. Linn and David Tiomkin ponder long-term economic integration in Eurasia.

Anders Åslund is a Senior Fellow and specialist on post-communist economic transformation, especially the Russian and Ukrainian economies, at the Peterson Institute for International Economics in Washington, D.C. From 1994 to 2005, he worked at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, first as a senior associate and later as Director of the Russian and Eurasian Program. Dr. Åslund is an adjunct professor at Georgetown University and has also served as an economic adviser to the Russian government from 1991 to 1994, to the Ukrainian government from 1994 to 1997, and to President Askar Akaev of the Kyrgyz Republic from 1998 to 2004. He is the author of six books, including *Building Capitalism: The Transformation of the Former Soviet Bloc* (Cambridge University Press, 2002), *How Russia Became a Market Economy* (1995), *Gorbachev's Struggle for Economic Reform* (1989), *and Private Enterprise in Eastern Europe: The Non-Agricultural Private Sector in Poland and the GDR*, 1945–83 (1985). In addition, he has edited eleven books, most recently, *Revolution in Orange*.

Marek Dąbrowski is a founder and Chairman of the Council of the Center for Social and Economic Research (CASE) in Warsaw, Poland. He also chairs the Supervisory Board of CASE Ukraine in Kiev and is a member of the Board of Trustees of the Institute for the Economy in Transition. Dr. Dąbrowski has actively participated in discussions on economic reforms in Poland since 1978. From September 1989 to September 1990 he was the First Deputy Finance Minister of Poland, and he later served as a Member of Parliament (1991–1993), as Chairman of the Governmental Council of Ownership Changes (1991–1996), and as a member of the Monetary Policy Council of the National Bank of Poland (1998–2004). Dr. Dąbrowski has been involved in policy advice, policy research, and training for the World Bank and UNDP and for sixteen European and Asian nations. He is an author or coauthor of numerous publications on the European Union and European Monetary Union.

Europe After Enlargement

Edited by

ANDERS ÅSLUND

Peterson Institute for International Economics Washington, D.C.

MAREK DĄBROWSKI

Center for Social and Economic Research Warsaw, Poland



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521872867

© Cambridge University Press 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in print format 2007

ISBN-13 978-0-511-27178-6 eBook (Adobe Reader) ISBN-10 0-511-27178-6 eBook (Adobe Reader)

ISBN-13 978-0-521-87286-7 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-87286-3 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of urls for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

List	of Charts, Tables, and Boxes	page vii
Cor	atributors	xi
Ack	nowledgments	xiii
	oduction lers Åslund and Marek Dąbrowski	1
1	Has Europe Lost Its Heart? Charles Wyplosz	6
2	Economic Implications of the Social Provisions of the Stalled EU Constitution Georges de Ménil	29
3	Fiscal Policy and Fiscal Rules in the European Union <i>Vito Tanzi</i>	50
4	Design and Implementation of the Stability and Growth Pact: The Perspective of New Member States Fabrizio Coricelli	65
5	Perspectives on the Lisbon Strategy: How to Increase European Competitiveness Daniel Gros	85
6	Is Europe Reforming? Evidence from Cross-Country Structural Indicators Patrick Lenain	106
7	Recovery Growth as a Stage of Post-Socialist Transition Yegor T. Gaidar	127

vi Contents

8	Comparative Oligarchy: Russia, Ukraine, and the United States Anders Åslund	143
9	The Economic Rationale of the "European Neighbourhood Policy" Susanne Milcher, Ben Slay, and Mark Collins	165
10	Economic Integration of Eurasia: Opportunities and Challenges of Global Significance Johannes F. Linn and David Tiomkin	189
Ind	ex	233

List of Charts, Tables, and Boxes

Charts

1.1	Support for a Common Defense and Security Policy Among	
	the Member States of the European Union, 2004	page 12
1.2	Percentage of Citizens "Feeling National," 2003	13
1.3	Passage Probabilities in the EU	17
1.4	National Perceptions of Benefits from EU Membership,	
	1983–2004	18
1.5	Preference for National Foreign Policy, 1989–2003	19
1.6	Actual and Desired Speeds of European Integration, 1995–2004	21
1.7	Actual and Desired Speeds of European Integration, 2005	22
2.1	Internet Usage and Employment Protection in OECD	35
4.1	Size of Government in New Member States, 2003	69
4.2	Growth in New EU Members, 1998–2004	71
4.3	Budget Deficits in New Member States, 1999–2004	71
4.4	Debt to GDP Ratios in 2003	72
4.5	Debt to M2 Ratios	73
4.6	Revenue Volatility, 1995–2004	74
5.1	Change in Demographic Potential GDP, 1980–2030	88
5.2	Demographic Bonus: Change in Labor Force to Total	
	Population Ratio, 1980–2030	89
5.3	Lisbon: Employment Versus Productivity?	94
5.4	Productivity Growth and Employment Growth in Europe	
	and the United States, 1995–2002	95
5.5	Growth Performance in Big, Small, and New EU Member	
	States, 1998–2005	96
5.6	Budget Balances: Big, Small, and New EU Member States,	
	1995–2004	96

5.7	Public Debt Ratios Compared, 1994–2004	98
5.8	Investment and Government Savings, 1998–2004	101
6.1	Diverging Trends in Employment Rates, 1970–2004	108
6.2	Growth Has Become More Labor-Intensive, 1970–2004	109
6.3	Different Trends in Labor Use, 1970–2000	111
6.4	The Tax Wedge Declined for Low-Wage Earners from 1998 to	
	2004	113
6.5	Differences in Progressivity of the Tax Wedge Across Countries	114
6.6	The Implicit Tax on Continued Work Has Declined,	
	1998–2003	115
6.7	Employment Protection Legislation Has Not Changed, Except	
	for Temporary Work, 1998–2003	117
6.8	Little Change in Income Replacement for Unemployed,	
	1999–2002	118
6.9	EU Hourly Labor Productivity Growth Has Fallen Below	
	the U.S. Level, 1992–2004	119
6.10	Wide Disparity in Productivity Growth Rates Across	
	Countries, 1998–2004	120
6.11	Product Market Regulation Has Become Less Stringent,	
	1998–2003	123
7.1	Dynamics of per Capita GDP in Central and Eastern	
	European Countries and Baltic States, 1990–2002	128
7.2	Dynamics of per Capita GDP in the CIS States, 1990–2002	129
7.3	Dynamics of World Oil Prices	137
9.1	Comparison of GNI per Capita for EU-15, EU-8, the Russian	
	Federation, Countries in the Western CIS, and Caucasus	171
9.2	GDP Growth for the EU-25, Western CIS, Caucasus	
	Countries, and Russia, 2000–2004	172
9.3	Estimated Government Debt for the EU-8, Russian	
	Federation, the Caucasus, and Western CIS Countries, 2004	173
9.4	Percentage of Exports to the EU-25 for EU Accession,	
	Candidate, and the Western CIS Countries, Caucasus,	
	and the Russian Federation, 2004	176
9.5	Cumulative FDI Inflows per Capita, 1989–2004	178
	The Ancient Silk Road	191
	Global Population Growth and Composition, 2004–2050	193
10.3	Energy Imports and Exports to and from Eurasian	
	Countries, 2003	196
	Major Global Oil Trade Movements as of 2004	197
10.5	Major Global Gas Trade Movements as of 2004	198

10.6 Energy 7	Frade Within and Between Eurasian Regions,	
1995–20	_	199
10.7 Major R	ussian Oil and Gas Pipelines and Projects	200
10.8 Central	Asian Republics' Power Development and	
Trade St	rategy	202
10.9 Eurasian	Export Split by Trading Bloc, 2003	204
10.10 Growth	in Exports of Goods and Services by Region,	
1992-20	02	205
10.11 Compos	ition of Eurasian Trade, 2003	206
10.12 Regional	l Trade Agreements, Globally	208
10.13 Transit I	Links of Central Asian Republics	
with Wo	orld Markets	209
10.14 Drug Flo	ows in Central Asia	212
10.15 Eurasian	-	215
	nd Eurasian FDI Stocks in 2003	216
10.17 Growth	of the FDI Stock by Region, 1995–2003	218
	on Flows in Eurasia	221
10.19 Eurasian	Internet Growth and Penetration, 2000–2005	223
	Tables	
1.1 Support fo	r One Common Foreign Policy Among the Member	
	ne European Union, 2004	14
	employment Rate, Selected Countries	34
	tution: Part II, Title IV "Solidarity"	41
4.1 Correlation	n Between Cyclical Variations of Government	
Consumpt	ion and GDP, 1995–2003	78
5.1 Old-Age D	ependency Projections for 2000, 2025, and 2050	87
5.2 Growth of	GDP per Hour Worked in the EU and the United	
States, 1970	0–2002	91
5.3 Decompos	ing Aggregate Labor Productivity Growth in the	
	ector, 1979–2000	92
5.4 Large Versi	us Small Countries	97
6.1 Employme	ent Rates by Groups of Workers, 1992–2010	109
6.2 Unemploy	ment Rates in EU Countries, 1970s–2004	110
7.1 GDP Grow	7th Rates in Post-Soviet States, 1996–2004	130
	e Real Exchange Rates of National Currencies to the	
U.S. Dollar	in the Post-Soviet States at Year End	131
7.3 Growth Ra	ites in Real Wages and Salaries in CIS Countries,	
1996-2003		135

Individual Parameters of Development of Russia, Brazil,	
Mexico, and Spain, Second Half of the Twentieth Century	139
Boxes	
Stability and Growth Pact	66
A Medium-Term Framework with an Expenditure Rule	81
Key Points of the Stability and Growth Pact – Old and New	100
Services Directive	102
	Mexico, and Spain, Second Half of the Twentieth Century Boxes Stability and Growth Pact A Medium-Term Framework with an Expenditure Rule Key Points of the Stability and Growth Pact – Old and New

Contributors

Anders Åslund is a Senior Fellow at the Peterson Institute for International Economics and the chairman of the CASE Advisory Council. He is also adjunct professor at Georgetown University and former director of the Russian and Eurasian Program at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Washington, D.C.

Mark Collins is an economist at the United Nations Development Program's Regional Center in Bratislava.

Fabrizio Coricelli is professor of economics at the University of Siena, Research Fellow at the Center for Economic Policy Research, London, and William Davidson Institute, University of Michigan. He was also an economic adviser to the European Commission from 2001 to 2002.

Marek Dąbrowski is the Chairman of the CASE Foundation Council and one of its founders. He is also Chairman of the Supervisory Board of CASE Ukraine in Kiev. He has worked as a consultant for the World Bank, UNDP, and other international organizations actively engaged in economic reforms in many post-communist countries.

Yegor T. Gaidar was deputy and acting Prime Minister in charge of economic policy in Russia from 1991 to 1994. At present, he is the director of the Institute for the Economy in Transition in Moscow.

Daniel Gros is the director of the Center for European Policy Studies in Brussels and an adviser to the European Union.

Patrick Lenain is Head of Division at the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Prior to joining the OECD, he spent ten years with the International Monetary Fund. His last IMF post was senior resident representative in Ukraine.

xii Contributors

Johannes F. Linn had a long career at the World Bank, ending as vice president for Europe and Central Asia. Currently, he is the executive director of the Wolfensohn Initiative at the Brookings Institution.

Georges de Ménil is professor of economics at the École de Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris, and a member of the governing board of Paris-Jourdan Sciences Économiques, a research center that he helped to found. He is also a visiting professor at New York University.

Susanne Milcher is a policy specialist for poverty reduction and economic development at the United Nations Development Program's Regional Center in Bratislava.

Ben Slay is the director of the United Nations Development Program's Regional Center in Bratislava. Formerly, he was an assistant professor in the Department of Economics at Middlebury College, Vermont.

Vito Tanzi is a senior consultant at the Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, D.C. He had a long and distinguished career at the International Monetary Fund, serving as the director of the fiscal affairs department for two decades. He has also served as under-secretary for economy and finance in the Italian government.

David Tiomkin is an MBA and Masters in Public Administration/International Development candidate at Harvard University.

Charles Wyplosz is a professor of international economics at the Graduate Institute of International Studies in Geneva, where he is director of the International Centre for Money and Banking Studies. He is also director of the International Macroeconomics Program at the Centre for Economic Policy Reform, London.

Acknowledgments

This volume presents the most interesting papers from the International CASE Conference on "Europe After the Enlargement" held in Warsaw on April 8–9, 2005. CASE, the Center for Social and Economic Research, is a Warsaw-based international think tank dealing with the problems of European integration, the global economy, and post-transition development. It has an extensive network of daughter and associated organizations in Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, as well as close partnerships with many U.S. and Western European research organizations. ¹

Three previous international CASE conferences concentrated on the problems of economic and political transition in the former Soviet bloc, plus some broader development issues, such as sources of economic growth, monetary and exchange rate regimes, tax reform, social and pension reforms, privatization, corporate governance, and migration.² In 2005, European integration and Europe's economic and social future were the main conference topics. Seven thematic sessions and four keynote addresses involved 250 of the best economists and political scientists from more than thirty countries. Key international organizations were represented as well. The debate concentrated on long-term pan-European challenges rather than short-term problems of individual countries. This volume contains ten major contributions selected out of almost forty delivered during the conference.

We want to thank the conference sponsors for their generous support. The main sponsors of the conference were the World Bank, the United Nations

¹ Marek Dabrowski is Chairman of the CASE Foundation Council. Anders Åslund is Chairman of the CASE Advisory Council.

The first international CASE conference, "Economic Scenarios for Poland," was held on January 18, 1997; the second conference, "Years After: Transition and Growth in Post-Communist Countries," took place October 15–16, 1999; and the third, "Beyond Transition: Development Perspectives and Dilemmas," was held April 12–13, 2002.

Development Program, and the Polish savings bank PKO BP SA. Support was also provided by the Konrad Adenauer Foundation, the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the insurance company PZU SA, the National Depository of Securities of Poland, the pension fund "Skarbiec," and the energy company STOEN RWE Group. We are also grateful to the organization team, including Agnieszka Paczynska, Wojciech Paczynski, Joanna Binienda, Anna Maciazek, and several other CASE people, who worked hard for almost one year to prepare this important event.

Both the authors and editors of this volume express their gratitude to conference participants who gave numerous valuable comments and remarks, which we have tried to incorporate. The editors also want to thank Matthew Gibson, Roman Ginzburg, and Julija Remeikaite for their excellent and diligent assistance in preparing the manuscript for this volume.

Anders Åslund would also like to express his gratitude to Adolf and Eva Lundin as well as Hans and Märit Rausing for their great and generous support of his work. He also wants to thank the Peterson Institute for International Economics for providing congenial conditions for completing this work.

April 2006

Anders Åslund and Marek Dąbrowski

Introduction

Anders Åslund and Marek Dąbrowski

Over the last fifty years Europe has gone through a unique historical process of economic and political integration, sharply contrasting with the tragic first half of the twentieth century. The last fifteen years, in particular, have brought remarkable progress. The Single European Market and the common currency (euro) have significantly deepened the prior integration, which was limited to little more than trade. Meanwhile, the European Union (EU) has gone through subsequent enlargements. The latest and biggest enlargement of the EU in May 2004 expanded the number of member states from fifteen to twenty-five. As a consequence, the EU's economic and geopolitical importance has increased. Most of Europe's nations and population are now contained in the Union.

Several other countries are in various stages of EU accession (Bulgaria, Romania, Turkey, and Croatia) or would like to start this process in the not too distant future (western Balkans, Ukraine, and Moldova).² The Rome Treaty established that all European countries have the right to apply for EU membership, signaling that future EU borders will move farther to the east and southeast.

Despite the obvious achievements of integration, the European economy and European institutions face serious challenges. This volume concentrates on five big ones. The first task for the EU is to find a new legal shape and adopt a European Constitution. The EU decision-making process is ineffective and

¹ EU-15 refers to Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxemburg, the Netherlands, Denmark, Ireland, the United Kingdom, Greece, Portugal, Spain, Austria, Finland, and Sweden, which formed or joined the EU in five waves. The ten additional members were, from north to south: Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, the Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary, Slovenia, Cyprus, and Malta. Of the ten new member states (NMS), eight, all but Cyprus and Malta, are former socialist countries.

² Sometimes the expression EU-28 is used. It refers to the current twenty-five members of the EU plus Bulgaria, Romania, and Croatia, whose entry is mostly seen as a given.

lacks sufficient democratic legitimacy on the European level. The summer 2005 referenda in France and the Netherlands, which rejected the proposed European Constitution, re-opened this question.

At present twelve countries use the euro, and Euroland is supposed to expand to the new member states in due time.³ But the management of the European finances and the euro is a second major challenge. The 2005 reform of the Stability and Growth Pact will seriously undermine European fiscal discipline. Moreover, the crisis of the overextended welfare state is going to deepen in the future as the European population ages.

The need to boost economic growth is a third formidable European test. Three of the four big European economies are close to stagnation, and Europe as a whole is losing out in competition with the United States, Asia, and the Pacific region. The Lisbon Agenda, an ambitious EU program that aims to revitalize the European economy, has been little but a dead letter.

A fourth challenge is to face up to competition from new member states and countries farther east. Many old member states are hesitant to continue deepening the Single European Market, especially in the service sector, and want to impose stifling regulations and taxes on new member states as well as neighbors. The risk of protectionism looms, as always.

Finally, the EU must form a cooperative and productive relationship with countries on the European periphery. The Union has neither a clear vision of further enlargements nor a plan to help less developed countries on Europe's periphery to close the development gap and modernize their economic and political systems. Many Western European societies are increasingly critical of further EU enlargements, trade liberalization, and immigration, which they fear will undermine their very high standard of living.

The rejection of the European Constitution in the French and Dutch referenda should serve as a warning signal that at least a part of Europe is not ready to meet the challenges facing our continent. This makes both further enlargement and deepening of the EU more difficult, because the Constitutional Treaty would have consolidated the prior accomplishments of integration and made the EU decision-making process more efficient.

The first two chapters in this volume discuss aspects of the draft European Constitution. In the first chapter – "Has Europe Lost Its Heart?" – Charles Wyplosz argues that enlargement and deepening are not substitutes but complements. Enlargement does not necessarily dilute the EU, but it requires adjustment of the decision-making process. Contrary to many assertions,

³ Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxemburg, the Netherlands, Portugal, and Spain.

the EU is growing closer through enlargement, because the new members tend to be the greatest champions of common European values. A new acceleration of European integration is now required, but it needs to be carefully prepared.

In chapter 2, "Economic Implications of the Social Provisions of the Stalled EU Constitution," Georges de Ménil analyzes the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the Union (a part of the Constitutional Treaty) and, particularly, its Title IV ("Solidarity") containing social entitlements. He shows that if an activist European Court of Justice interprets these constitutional commitments generously, they could harm European productivity and competitiveness. Such a court interpretation could force national governments to increase the level of social and labor protection and put Europe in a social welfare trap.

The next thematic bloc analyzes the fiscal policy rules of the enlarged EU. Vito Tanzi 's chapter 3, "Fiscal Policy and Fiscal Rules in the European Union," provides devastating criticism of fiscal activism in the Keynesian tradition. He illuminates numerous conceptual, methodological, and political traps associated with a countercyclical fiscal policy and fiscal discretion. Tanzi concludes that countercyclical fiscal policy is justified in depressions, but doubts whether countries already suffering from precarious fiscal conditions, as are numerous EU countries, should try it. Therefore, the EU Stability and Growth Pact should be not softened but rather reinforced.

Fabrizio Coricelli takes this discussion further to the new member states in chapter 4, "Design and Implementation of the Stability and Growth Pact: The Perspective of New Member States." He suggests that the standards of the Stability and Growth Pact are neither relevant nor sufficient for the new member states. They cannot allow themselves such large debts in relation to GDP, because their domestic financial markets are shallower and the volatility of their output growth and public finances is likely to be greater. But fiscal discipline is key to high growth and their swift economic convergence with the old member states. He warns that the recent loosening of the Stability and Growth Pact and the growing arbitrariness in its implementation reduce the incentives for fiscal adjustment in the new member states, which is particularly harmful for these countries.

This book also scrutinizes Europe's low economic growth and slow structural reforms. In chapter 5, "Perspectives on the Lisbon Strategy: How to Increase European Competitiveness," Daniel Gros deals with the complex issue of the Lisbon Agenda's failure, as reflected in the rather poor recent performance of the European economy. He focuses on three questions – demographic deterioration, the productivity slowdown, and the crumbling

of both fiscal and structural policies – and underlines how profound Europe's economic problems are. Alas, the reform of the Stability and Growth Pact indicates that policy makers are moving in the wrong direction, looking for excuses not to undertake necessary reforms.

Chapter 6 by Patrick Lenain, "Is Europe Reforming? Evidence from Cross-Country Structural Indicators," concurs with this somber tone. However, according to Lenain, the real picture is more mixed. He undertakes a careful analysis of labor market developments in the whole of the EU, finding that some EU members have at least partially deregulated their labor and product markets, and most countries are moving in the direction of less regulation. Although developments are tardy, these observations arouse the hope that the second half of the Lisbon Strategy decade may be less disappointing than the first.

The rest of the book moves to the east of the EU. One group of chapters discusses the development challenges facing the EU's eastern neighbors. In chapter 7, "Recovery Growth as a Stage of Post-Socialist Transition," Yegor Gaidar analyzes recovery growth in transition economies after a prolonged output decline in the final stage of communism and the first years after its collapse. He warns that such growth tends to arrive unexpectedly after some disarray, and it is usually strong, but that growth potential can be exhausted if it is not reinforced by structural reforms that stimulate investment.

Chapter 8 by Anders Åslund, "Comparative Oligarchy: Russia, Ukraine, and the United States," addresses the controversial topic of "oligarchs" and their property rights in some post-communist countries. The author claims that Russian and Ukrainian oligarchs differ little from the "robber barons" in the United States in the second half of the nineteenth century. Åslund argues that the emergence of the super-rich is nearly inevitable under the conditions of large economies of scale and ineffective legal systems. He analyzes the policy options for dealing with this phenomenon in a way consistent with the market-oriented reforms.

The final thematic group contains two studies on the external relations of the enlarged EU. Chapter 9, by Susanne Milcher, Ben Slay, and Mark Collins, "The Economic Rationale of the 'European Neighbourhood Policy,'" concentrates on future EU relations with the post-Soviet countries. Their main concern is whether the EU's European Neighbourhood Policy will be sufficiently attractive to induce the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) governments to adopt the economic and governance reforms that were implemented in the EU new member states during their accession. Consequently, the authors reckon that the uncertain perspective of eventual EU accession is the main weakness of the European Neighbourhood Policy.

Introduction 5

The tenth and final chapter, by Johannes Linn and David Tiomkin, "Economic Integration of Eurasia: Opportunities and Challenges of Global Significance," takes a broad perspective. It explores the opportunities for increasing economic cooperation across the entire Eurasian supercontinent, a possibility opened by the collapse of the communist system in the former USSR. The authors analyze energy and non-energy trade and transport, illicit drug trade, investment and capital flows, migration, and communication and knowledge sharing. They find ample opportunities for development, but the obstacles remain significant.

When looking at present-day Europe, observers are struck by two contrary impressions. On the one hand, much has been done to bring Europe closer together. The expression "Europe whole and free" has acquired a real meaning. On the other hand, the frustration with the remaining problems is growing to a crescendo. The EU decision-making system works poorly; the revision of the Stability and Growth Pact may endanger fiscal stability; the old EU countries are failing to undertake the necessary structural reforms of tax systems, social benefit systems, and labor market regulations to stimulate economic growth; low nativity combined with resistance to immigration reduces growth potential; and the EU appears to see predominantly danger rather than opportunity to its east.

Yet, as this book demonstrates, this critique has reached a new acuteness. A new restlessness is spreading through Europe. Criticism of fundamental European problems is no longer swept under the carpet. An understanding has matured that these problems will not go away and can no longer be passively accepted. In many cases, the cures are known, and their application cannot be indefinitely delayed. Importantly, the new member states are challenging one another as well as the old EU members with tax competition and the successful deregulation of labor markets. While the EU delivers a stage for competition through its single market, national governments both inside and outside the EU use this large stage to prove the competitiveness of their economic policies. Sooner or later, the *acquis communautaire* may adjust.

This resolute criticism of European economic policies gives hope that Europe is becoming ready for truly radical reforms.

ONE

Has Europe Lost Its Heart?

Charles Wyplosz

Introduction

Once upon a time Europe was a small group of like-minded countries, determined to integrate politically and economically in order to eliminate war. After centuries of recurrent devastation, this was an ambitious project. It was built on Jean Monnet's prudent step-by-step strategy, now called neofunctionalism. Integration always progressed in fits and starts, but achieved amazing results. Not only is war all but ruled out, but also economic and political integration has deepened to a degree undreamt-of even by most Euro-enthusiasts. More amazing still, the project has spread. Nearly the entire continent is now part of the Union, and Turkey might join by the end of the decade. Two hundred million people share the same currency and enjoy borderless travel.

But success has its price. Twenty-five countries do not cooperate as six used to. Each enlargement gives the impression that the undertaking is being diluted, resulting in more weight given to national interests and less willingness to take the next integrative step. This perception is misguided. The EU-25 group is considerably more integrated than the original EU-6 ever was. Enlargement does not cause dilution, but it brings to the fore institutional failures that were present all along.

Now Europe needs to clean up its institutions and practices. Fifty years of negotiations have led to agreements both good and bad. Some of

¹ Classic references on neo-functionalism are Haas (1958) and Mitrany (1975).

This chapter draws in part on joint work with Erik Berglöf, Barry Eichengreen, Gérard Roland, and Guido Tabellini, but I alone am responsible for the views presented here. I am grateful for useful comments provided by CASE conference participants, especially my discussants Erik Berglöf, Josef Zieleniec, and Anders Åslund.

the old *acquis communautaire* is outdated. The European Constitutional Convention offered a unique opportunity to sort out this legacy, but this opportunity has been squandered. The Convention refused to open the Pandora's box of past agreements and fix them. Its wholesale adoption of all the *acquis communautaire*, good and bad, left many of the important issues untouched. Then the ratification process was managed badly in France and in the Netherlands. These two countries' rejection of the Constitution has opened a new window of opportunity, however. Will the European leaders now concentrate their efforts on a more modest but deeper project? A changing of the guard is under way and it remains to be seen what the next generation will deliver.

This chapter reviews a number of political-economic issues. The second section sets the scene by offering a broad review of task allocation principles. The third section examines the links between widening and deepening, concluding that the two are not substitutes, but rather possible complements. The fourth section presents some solutions that go beyond current debates.

Task Allocation in the EU

Principles from Fiscal Federalism

As summarized in Berglöf et al. (2003), the theory of fiscal federalism provides the starting point for allocating tasks (the provision of public goods) to the EU level –"centralizing" them. The theory develops two criteria to recommend centralization, and two to discourage it. Centralization is appropriate for (1) public goods subject to increasing returns to scale or scope and (2) public goods subject to externalities. The first criterion against centralization can be broadly defined as "heterogeneity." If national preferences differ, some countries will dislike any "one size for all" policy. The second is information asymmetries. The center typically knows less about local needs than national or subnational levels of government. Centralized decisions and implementation procedures may rest on a faulty appraisal of end-user needs.

Real-Life Governments

The previous reasoning assumes national governments are benevolent, striving only to maximize their citizens' welfare. Difficulties start when we allow for citizens to hold differing opinions. The simple fix is to assume that democracy provides an elegant solution: elections determine how

collective preferences emerge from individual disagreements. Unfortunately, the recent literature shows this assumption is too simple.²

To start with, elections are not one-dimensional. European issues fly below radar in domestic political debates, particularly in larger countries. As a result, governments are not really accountable for decisions made and positions taken in "Brussels." Moreover, according to one view, governments are not benevolent but captured by special interest groups.

What do such political failures imply? Does centralization mitigate or enhance these political distortions? There is no general answer. Under decentralized policy making, only (or mainly) domestic lobbies distort national policy. Under centralization, foreign lobbies also wield influence. As argued by Bordignon et al. (2003), the economies of scale created by centralization can actually encourage political lobbying. If the foreign and domestic lobbies have the same interests, then policy is doubly distorted. If instead the two lobbies have opposite interests, then they offset each other and the distortion is mitigated.

As soon as political failures are recognized, a new consideration emerges. The public choice literature has emphasized that one of the best responses to political capture is political competition. ⁴ Checks and balances among different levels and branches of government can increase political competition. Economic competition can raise the costs of political capture.

Europe's Way

The decision to allocate a particular task to the EU level is rarely black and white. The four benevolent-government criteria – economies of scale, externalities, heterogeneity, and information asymmetries – often send different signals, and political distortions must be factored in as well. In the end, any decision will necessarily involve hard-to-evaluate trade-offs. Different people are likely to reach different conclusions not because they fundamentally disagree, but because they may weigh the relevant considerations differently.

Whether by design or by luck, European integration has proceeded in steps. It has first centralized those tasks for which the fiscal federalism criteria were the least ambiguous, where capture by interest groups was more limited

² For a general survey, see Persson and Tabellini (2000).

³ Direct democracy, in particular single-purpose referenda, deals with this problem. Unsurprisingly, perhaps, Switzerland, the country that has the most extensive direct democracy system, has not joined the EU. Similarly, Sweden, which has an open-government practice, is not too pleased with collective decision making in Europe.

⁴ The classic reference is Buchanan and Tullock (1962). The other response from the public choice school is to keep government small. Openness is yet another recommendation.

or likely to be reduced by economic competition. The common market is the relevant example. Economies of scale and scope characterize modern industry, so developing a large internal market was a natural step. In this area, preference heterogeneities are minimal and there are few information asymmetries, at least in the long run.⁵ Political capture is a serious issue, but the presumption is that economic competition is the right antidote. As the recent debates on state subsidies and industrial policies show, these aspects linger, but the burden of proof has now been reversed. Now special interests have to make a case for exemptions from single market principles. Since such interests are rarely aligned across EU member countries, their power has declined precipitously.

The creation of a monetary union also illustrates these principles and further shows that integration has a dynamic of its own. Increasing trade integration made EU member countries more similar, including in the timing of their business cycles. It reduced the ability of countries to use the exchange rate as a policy tool. By reducing national heterogeneities and alleviating information asymmetries, trade integration made it desirable to exploit the economies of scale and scope that a single monetary policy provides. At the same time, the emergence of independent central banks – partly inspired by the superior performance of the Bundesbank – underscored the desirability of reducing special interest influences on monetary policy. The adoption of a single currency became natural.

Europe's pragmatic approach has not led to centralization of the other tasks for which the balance of arguments is less clear cut. Having dealt with the most straightforward cases – the single market, a common trade policy, the single currency – Europe finds itself considering more contentious areas. New initiatives emerge in part because previous integrative steps changed the balance of arguments for and against centralization in areas such as taxation, labor mobility, common security policy, and common foreign policy. They also emerge because partisans of an "ever closer union," including the structurally pro-integration European Commission, seek to further their goals. It should not come as a surprise that the debates are becoming more contentious. Europe has lost its heart, but it has already done the obvious things. Further integration will be more difficult because it is less obviously justified. In addition, with a few important exceptions, economic integration is nearly complete. The next steps either tackle the hard economic core

Transitions are different, though, since they involve deep restructuring. While transition costs are likely to be small in relation to long-term gains, the existence of losers and winners implies redistributive politics that play out very differently at the local level.

(agriculture, services, labor mobility, environment, taxation) or concern other areas (education, diplomacy, internal security, defense, culture) where heterogeneities loom large. In addition, enlargement challenges a number of established practices. This is the issue that is considered in the rest of this chapter.

Widening Versus Deepening

One often hears that Europe's current difficulties spring from the enlargement process. Decision making has become more difficult, it is argued, because of the larger number of voices and increased heterogeneity (Baldwin and Widgrén, 2003). In this view, Europe can overcome this problem by allowing "clubs of pioneers" that may decide to deepen integration among themselves, leaving the door open to currently reluctant countries. This would mimic the previous evolution, when a core of "pioneer countries" created the European Community and nearly the entire continent gradually joined later (Moravcsik and Vachudova, 2003; Grabbe, 2005).

Another view derives from the observation that economic integration is now nearly complete (Berglöf et al., 2003). Does this mean that the EU should focus on eliminating the last barriers to the four freedoms (mobility of goods, services, capital, and people) and then consider its aims achieved? This view, which clashes with the "common house" views of the founding fathers, used to be popular before the "re-launch of Europe" in the 1980s. It aimed at the establishment of a perfect common market unencumbered with wider political objectives. Today we have passed this stage. Having fulfilled most of the economic integration objectives, Europe is asking itself how to move on to non-economic integration. Even though the issues at stake include areas such as internal security, foreign affairs, research, and education, the principles developed in the previous section remain relevant.

Costs of Enlargement

Decision making does not have to become more difficult as the number of countries grows. The EU voting rules have always been arcane, relying on qualified majority voting (QMV) rules, where member countries receive weights that are the result of deft bargaining and where the threshold required to adopt a decision does not seem to respond to any other logic than the need to conclude a negotiation. These rules reflect a standard feature of federal systems: they magnify the weights of the smaller entities,

⁶ See, e.g., Gilbert (2004).