




Cold-Water Corals

The Biology and Geology of Deep-Sea Coral Habitats

J. Murray Roberts
Andrew Wheeler
André Freiwald
Stephen Cairns

CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE

www.cambridge.org/9780521884853

This page intentionally left blank

COLD-WATER CORALS: THE BIOLOGY AND GEOLOGY OF DEEP-SEA CORAL HABITATS

There are more coral species in deep, cold waters than in tropical coral reefs. This broad-ranging treatment is the first to synthesise current understanding of all types of cold-water coral, covering their ecology, biology, palaeontology and geology. Beginning with a history of research in the field, the authors describe the approaches needed to study corals in the deep sea. They consider coral habitats created by stony scleractinian as well as octocoral species. The importance of corals as long-lived geological structures and palaeoclimate archives is discussed, in addition to ways in which they can be conserved. Topic boxes explain unfamiliar concepts, and case studies summarise significant studies, coral habitats or particular conservation measures. Written for professionals and students of marine science, this text is enhanced by an extensive glossary, online resources (www.lopehia.org/coldwatercoralsbook), and a unique collection of colour photographs and illustrations of corals and the habitats they form.

J. MURRAY ROBERTS is a marine biologist at the Scottish Association for Marine Science. His research focuses on the biology and ecology of cold-water corals.

ANDREW J. WHEELER is Senior Lecturer and co-ordinator of marine and freshwater research in the Environmental Research Institute at University College Cork. His research currently focuses on seabed mapping and sedimentology of cold-water coral carbonate mounds.

ANDRÉ FREIWALD is Chair of Palaeontology at the GeoZentrum Nordbayern, Erlangen. His research focuses on cold-water corals, cool-water carbonates and bioerosion.

STEPHEN D. CAIRNS is a Research Zoologist at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC. As a systematist he has described approximately 350 new deep-water coral species from around the world.

COLD-WATER CORALS

The Biology and Geology of Deep-Sea Coral Habitats

J. MURRAY ROBERTS

Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK

ANDREW J. WHEELER

University College Cork, Ireland

ANDRÉ FREIWALD

Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

STEPHEN D. CAIRNS

Smithsonian Institution, USA



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521884853

© J. Roberts, A. Wheeler, A. Freiwald and S. Cairns 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in print format 2009

ISBN-13 978-0-511-53723-3 eBook (Adobe Reader)

ISBN-13 978-0-521-88485-3 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of urls for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

To our families:

Lea-Anne, Hannah and David Roberts;

Moir, Nessa, Malachy and Penny Wheeler;

Alexander, Christina, Jannik, Jule, Lea and Angela Freiwald;

Peggy, Peter and Jennifer Cairns.

Contents

<i>List of topic boxes and case studies</i>	<i>page</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Preface</i>		<i>xi</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>		<i>xiv</i>
1 History and research approaches		1
1.1 History		1
1.2 Research approaches		9
2 Cold-water corals		20
2.1 Ecological categorisation of corals		20
2.2 The five cold-water coral taxa		24
2.3 Environmental controls on cold-water coral distribution		43
2.4 Global patterns of cold-water scleractinian diversity		51
2.5 Molecular phylogeny of cold-water corals		52
2.6 Linkages and connectivity		58
3 Biology		67
3.1 Anatomy		68
3.2 Morphology		72
3.3 Food supply and nutrition		73
3.4 Growth rates		83
3.5 Ecophysiology		94
3.6 Reproduction		100
3.7 Larval biology and dispersal		104
4 Reefs and mounds		108
4.1 Reef initiation and development		109
4.2 Reef sedimentation		112
4.3 Defining coral carbonate mounds		115
4.4 Mound development		119

4.5	Coral carbonate mound morphology	135
4.6	Global distribution of coral carbonate mounds	139
5	Habitats and ecology	142
5.1	Habitats	144
5.2	Biodiversity	151
5.3	Seamounts, endemism and refugia	158
5.4	Species interactions	160
5.5	Fish assemblages	163
5.6	Predictive mapping	168
6	Palaeontology	175
6.1	Triassic dawn	175
6.2	Fossil record of cold-water corals	180
6.3	Taphonomy	193
6.4	Preservation of the coral-associated fauna	198
7	Corals as archives	210
7.1	Biomineralisation	211
7.2	Temperature records	225
7.3	Water-mass history	230
7.4	Pollution and nutrient records	233
8	Impacts and conservation	237
8.1	Impacts	237
8.2	Conservation	254
	<i>Glossary</i>	263
	<i>References</i>	277
	<i>Index</i>	324

Colour plate section is between pages 144 and 145.

Online appendix www.lophelia.org/coldwatercoralsbook.

Topic boxes and Case studies

Topic boxes

1.1	Mapping with sound	<i>page</i> 11
2.1	What makes a reef?	23
2.2	The polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	54
2.3	Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, the Wahlund effect and null alleles	62
3.1	Stable isotope ratios and delta notation	75
4.1	What is a limestone?	110
4.2	Calcium carbonate mineralogy	130
5.1	Biodiversity	143
5.2	Size classes	152
7.1	Seawater carbonate chemistry	214
7.2	The Younger Dryas	226
8.1	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea	259

Case studies

4.1	Challenger Mound, northeast Atlantic	122
5.1	<i>Lophelia</i> reef habitats of the Sula Ridge, Norway	147
5.2	Aleutian Island coral gardens, northeast Pacific	150
6.1	The Mediterranean cliff-bound coral habitat	190
8.1	Conservation in national waters: Norway	241
8.2	Conservation in European waters: the Darwin Mounds	242
8.3	The United Nations and vulnerable marine ecosystems	256

Preface

Corals are not restricted to shallow-water tropical seas. Of the approximately 5100 coral species alive today over half are found in deep waters. Cold-water corals can be found over a tremendous range of latitudes from tropical to polar regions and from the shallows to the deep sea. We have known about cold-water corals since the mid-eighteenth century, and pioneering oceanographic expeditions in the late nineteenth century frequently recovered cold-water corals in their dredge nets. But only since the 1970s, and particularly in the last ten years, as acoustic survey techniques have improved and been applied to wider areas of the continental shelf, slope, offshore banks and seamounts have we begun to reveal the true extent of cold-water habitats around the world. In this book we try to summarise what we know about cold-water corals and capture the excitement of a field that is now growing exponentially. For instance, a literature search for the terms ‘cold-water coral’ and ‘deep-sea coral’ over the 20 years up to 1996 returned less than 300 publications whereas the same search terms for the following 10 years revealed nearly 700 (see Fig. 1).

The scientific community’s fascination with cold-water corals has developed for several reasons. As sessile, suspension feeders that produce complex, sometimes long-lasting, three-dimensional structural habitat they fall at a natural confluence of biology, hydrography and geology. A few species of scleractinian cold-water corals develop elaborate reef frameworks that have spawned many studies into the processes underlying cold-water coral reef and coral carbonate mound formation. Individual cold-water corals, notably species of gold (*Gerardia*) and black (antipatharian) corals may live for over a thousand years, making them by some margin the longest-lived animals in the oceans. The skeletal remains of these corals and long-lasting reef and mound deposits now provide unique palaeoceanographic archives of intermediate water mass temperature and age.

This book’s focus is on those cold-water corals that form structural habitat. We will consider how these species function as animals by reviewing what we know




Fig. 1. Histogram showing the number of research papers referring to 'cold-water coral' or 'deep-sea coral' between 1972 and 2007. Special publications devoted to cold-water corals appeared in 2002, 2005 and 2007 explaining the higher number of papers in those years.

of their feeding, growth, reproduction and physiology. In many instances our biological understanding is limited by the practical difficulties of studying any group of animals that live at great depths far from shore. In contrast, our ability to map areas inhabited by cold-water corals is now well advanced and has revealed surprisingly extensive provinces of cold-water coral reefs and huge seabed mounds formed by multiple generations of reef development stacked one upon the other. Long, drilled cores through these coral carbonate mounds are now providing intriguing insights into their geological history and development reaching back over two million years. But corals trace their origins even further back in time and as a group have a chequered history of extinctions and radiations, often in concert with global changes in ocean carbonate chemistry (a critical issue to understand as we enter an era of ocean 'acidification' brought about as anthropogenic carbon dioxide dissolves in the oceans). We consider these long temporal aspects from the fossil record and summarise what we know of cold-water coral palaeontology and the factors underlying preservation of the corals and other animals in the geological record.

Present-day cold-water coral habitats excite interest from all who see them because, like coral habitats in warmer, shallower waters, they are structurally complex and rich with other animal species. Careful surveys with modern submersibles and remotely operated vehicles have brought back stunning images

of cold-water coral habitats that have captured the imagination not just of research scientists but also members of the public and policy makers. Although work is at an early stage, we are beginning to unravel patterns underlying cold-water coral biodiversity. Some coral habitats seem important to fish populations while others may be less significant. We urgently need properly integrated biodiversity studies related back to sound taxonomy to unlock the patterns controlling species diversity in cold-water coral habitats.

However, the same surveys that brought cold-water corals to public attention have all too frequently revealed that they have been damaged by fishing activity, primarily by bottom trawling. Concern over this damage has led to the creation of several marine protected areas to conserve these habitats. At the time of writing, international discussions on high seas conservation had begun and we consider these issues and how conservation policies can be developed and enforced to protect cold-water corals from future damage. But anthropogenic activities in the deep sea are no longer limited to bottom trawling. Deep-seabed mining, for years the stuff of science fiction, is now becoming a reality and with improved subsea technologies and international demand for metals at an all-time high it seems likely to expand by exploiting mineral deposits within seabed hydrothermal vent systems. Overlying all these activities the effects of climate change may dramatically alter the marine environment. As sea temperatures warm and anthropogenic carbon dioxide is absorbed by the oceans we are witnessing a gradual shift to more acidic ocean pH. Corals, along with all calcareous organisms, face an uncertain future. Corals calcifying in deep, cold waters may be among the first to feel the effects of predicted changes in the carbonate saturation state of the seas. Ocean acidification may shift calcareous marine systems from states of growth to dissolution. Warming seawater temperatures may perturb their physiology and food supplies. It seems that we risk dramatically increasing the stresses on cold-water coral habitats just as we begin to understand and appreciate them.

Acknowledgements

Many people deserve our thanks for helping with this book. We are grateful to everyone who made time to comment on early drafts of the text including Denis Allemand (Monaco Marine Laboratory, Monaco), Michaela Bernecker (German University of Technology, Sultanate of Oman), Lydia Beuck (Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany), Sandra Brooke (Marine Conservation Biology Institute, USA), Andrew Davies (Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK), Ben De Mol (University of Barcelona, Spain), Lyndsey Dodds (World Wildlife Fund, UK), Boris Dorschel (University College Cork, Ireland), David Green (Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK), John Guinotte (Marine Conservation Biology Institute, USA), Lea-Anne Henry (Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK), Marie Le Goff-Vitry (University of Cardiff, UK), Matthias López Correa (Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany), Alberto Lindner (University of São Paulo, Brazil), Francesca Marubini (Joint Nature Conservation Committee, UK), Paolo Montagna (Central Institute for Marine Research, Italy), Cheryl Morrison (US Geological Survey, USA), Liz Neeley (Communication Partnership for Science and the Sea, USA), Sibylle Noé (Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany), Mary O'Connor (University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, USA), Dennis Opresko (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA), Martin Raes (formerly University of Ghent, Belgium), Robert Riding (University of Cardiff, UK), Brendan Roark (Texas A&M University, USA), Steve Ross (University of North Carolina Wilmington, USA), Tim Shank (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, USA), Owen Sherwood (Memorial University, Canada), Dan Sinclair (Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK), Alina Szmant (University of North Carolina Wilmington, USA), Mieke Thierens (University College Cork, Ireland), Jürgen Titschack (Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany), Rhian Waller (University of Hawaii, USA), Max Wisshak (Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany) and Martin White (National University of Ireland, Galway). Many of the above also provided us with access to their original figures or other graphics to use in this book, please see acknowledgements with each figure or colour plate.

Others provided us with access to work currently in press, to unpublished data or with original copies of their graphics. Many thanks to Jess Adkins (Caltech, USA), Amy Baco-Taylor (Florida State University, USA), Tim Beck (formerly Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany), Alan Blacklock (National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, New Zealand), David Clague (Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, USA), Malcolm Clark (National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, New Zealand), Stewart Fallon (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA), Jan-Helge Fosså (Institute of Marine Research, Norway), Kim Fulton-Bennett (Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, USA), Jean-Pierre Gattuso (Observatoire Océanologique de Villefranche sur Mer, France), Susan Gass (formerly Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK), Dennis Gordon (National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, New Zealand), Anthony Grehan (National University of Ireland, Galway), Thomas Guilderson (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA), Julian Gutt (Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Germany), Karen Hissmann (IFM-GEOMAR, Germany), Rohan Holt (Countryside Council for Wales, UK), Fanny Houlbreque (International Atomic Energy Agency, Monaco), Ellen Kenchington (Department of Fisheries & Oceans, Canada), Pål B. Mortensen (Institute of Marine Research, Norway), Cova Orejas (Instituto de Ciencias del Mar, Spain), John Reed (Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution, USA), Mike Risk (McMaster University, Canada), Juan Sánchez (Universidad de los Andes, Colombia), Jürgen Schauer (IFM-GEOMAR, Germany), Robert Stewart (Texas A&M University, USA), Melinda Tignor (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, USA), Diane Tracey (National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, New Zealand), David van Rooij (University of Ghent, Belgium), Branwen Williams (Ohio State University, USA) and John Wilson (formerly Royal Holloway, University of London, UK).

We would particularly like to thank Andrew Davies (Scottish Association for Marine Science, UK), Boris Dorschel (University College Cork, Ireland), Henk de Haas (Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, the Netherlands), Molly Ryan (Smithsonian Institution, USA) and Jürgen Titschack (Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany) for help with figures and distribution maps. We are also very grateful to Amadeo Bachar for the illustrations of coral species and habitats he drew especially for this book. Thanks to Moira Ní Loingsigh for help with the glossary and proofreading and to Lea-Anne Henry for vital editorial help in the final stages of completing the manuscript.

We would like to acknowledge the European Commission, European Science Foundation, UK Natural Environment Research Council, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Irish Marine Institute and Geological Survey of Ireland for funding they have provided to advance our understanding of

cold-water corals. J. Murray Roberts would also like to thank Steve Ross, Dan Baden and staff at the Randall Library (University of North Carolina Wilmington, USA) for providing research facilities and the European Commission for a Marie Curie fellowship while this book was being written. J. Murray Roberts would also like to remember the late John Gage for introducing him to deep-sea biology and Andrew J. Wheeler would like to remember the late Ray Kearey for making the mapping of the Irish deep sea a reality. Finally, we all thank John Wilson for his enthusiasm and help with the world of cold-water corals.

1

History and research approaches

To most people corals are synonymous with the bright, well-lit waters of tropical coral reefs. Yet in fact the majority of corals inhabit deep, cold waters across a diverse range of marine environments from inland fjords to the continental shelf, slope, offshore banks, seamounts and even the abyssal plain. While we have known about these cold-water corals for hundreds, or even thousands, of years it is only in the last ten years that research into the biology of the corals themselves, the ecology of the habitats they provide and the geology of the structures they form has gathered pace. Cold-water coral habitats are biodiversity rich. Recent work has revealed them as unique palaeoceanographic archives. Sadly all too many surveys have shown they have been damaged by human activity. In this book we have tried to summarise the many, varied and exciting developments in our understanding of cold-water corals. Research effort on cold-water corals is now increasing exponentially around the world and it has been challenging to compress this body of work into the pages of one book. Before we consider cold-water corals and some of these recent findings in more detail we begin with a brief historical summary and an outline of the research approaches used to study cold-water corals.

1.1 History

1.1.1 Early history and taxonomy

The history of modern research on cold-water corals goes back to the late eighteenth century. Among the first written records discussing cold-water corals are notes by the Right Reverend Erich Pontoppidan, Bishop of Bergen, in his 1755 book *The Natural History of Norway*. In Chapter 6, Sea-vegetables of Norway, Pontoppidan discusses one particularly fine coral specimen that was ‘entirely white, the flowers much larger than the former [specimen], some of them even exceeding a shilling; and likewise expanded like a flower in full

bloom, for which singular beauty I caused a draught of it to be taken'. The accompanying drawing illustrates the species described three years later by Carl von Linné (Linnaeus) as *Madrepora pertusa* (= *Lophelia pertusa*) in *Systema Naturae*, the book that laid the foundation of modern taxonomy. Pontoppidan goes on to describe how 'The fishermen often sell coral bushes to the apothecaries at Bergen' but, although he believed it might have medical effects when taken internally, he sounded a little sceptical of its wider medicinal properties: 'that the little beads, made of coral . . . are endued with any such singular virtue that applied externally, or hung about the neck, . . . preservative against the apoplexy, the plague, and other contagions, I cannot admit, having no evidence of it, but must leave it to rest upon its own credit'. With an eye on the precious coral fishery in the Mediterranean, Pontoppidan concludes by wondering 'Possibly could white coral be brought into fashion, a diligent search might procure as great a quantity in our seas'. On the last point he was certainly correct, we now know that Norwegian waters support spectacular white coral (*L. pertusa*) deep-water reefs.

Studies of these Norwegian coral reefs began to appear just over a decade later when another theologian, Johan Ernst Gunnerus, Bishop of Trondheim (Colour plate 1) published his 1768 work *Om Nogle Norske Coraller* (*On Some Norwegian Corals*). One of his illustrations is reproduced in Fig. 1.1. Gunnerus was a pioneer of the natural sciences. He founded the Royal Norwegian Society of Sciences and Letters, was in frequent correspondence with Linnaeus and is famous for his descriptions of many animals from the basking shark *Squalus maximus* (= *Cetorhinus maximus*) to the roundnose grenadier *Coryphaenoides rupestris* and the gorgonian octocoral *Gorgonia resedaeformis* (= *Primnoa resedaeformis*).

The early days of cold-water coral research were dominated by efforts in Europe and North America to describe the variety of species dredged from the deep sea. The British naturalist Philip Henry Gosse was among the first to focus on the biology of living corals and sea anemones. His mid-nineteenth century descriptions encouraged fashionable Victorians to dabble in seawater aquaria and he summarised his work in his 1860 book *A History of the British Sea-Anemones and Corals* (Colour plate 2). Cairns (2001a) outlined the history of taxonomic research on the azooxanthellate scleractinians. The rate of coral description relates clearly to the research effort and comes in four major pulses: (1) the worldwide revision of the Scleractinia by Henri Milne Edwards and Jules Haime (1848–50); (2) the new species described chiefly by Louis François de Pourtalès, P. Martin Duncan and Henry N. Moseley from pioneering late nineteenth century deep-sea dredging expeditions (1867–81); (3) the new species described chiefly by Alfred W. Alcock, Emil von Marenzeller and Thomas W. Vaughan from




Fig. 1.1 Plate II from Gummerus (1768) illustrates fragments of cold-water corals including *Madrepora pertusa* (= *Lophelia pertusa*) along with common associated fauna including the polychaete worm *Nereis norvegica* (= *Eunice norvegica*), gastropod molluscs and ophiuroid echinoderms.




Fig. 1.2 Notable coral taxonomists, clockwise from top left: Louis François de Pourtalès (1824–80), Henry N. Moseley (1844–91), Alfred W. Alcock (1859–1933) and Frederick M. Bayer (1921–2007).

regional deep-sea dredging expeditions in the Indo-Pacific (1898–1907); and (4) the most recent pulse of descriptions based upon larger collections by vessels from France, New Zealand, the Netherlands and the USA alongside full-time research efforts by taxonomists such as Stephen Cairns and Helmut Zibrowius between 1977 and 2004. Figure 1.2 shows some notable coral taxonomists.

Bayer (2001) reviewed octocoral research beginning with the first published drawings of octocoral sclerites from *Corallium rubrum* by John Ellis (1755) through to the first global monograph on ‘zoophytes’ by Peter Pallas (1766) and the critical recognition by Valenciennes (1855) that sclerites differed between species and so could be used as a taxonomic characteristic. Many of the sclerites described by Valenciennes were subsequently illustrated by Kölliker (1865). The early oceanographic expeditions of the late nineteenth century, such as that of *HMS Challenger*, greatly boosted the number of known octocoral species (Wright & Studer, 1889) and the early twentieth century saw a period of taxonomic revision by Kükenthal in his unfinished series *Versuch einer Revision der Alcyonaceen* (*An Attempted Revision of the Alcyonaceans*). In terms of deep-sea

pennatulacean records, Kükenthal and Broch's (1911) description of specimens taken during the 1898–9 German *Valdivia* expedition from the eastern Atlantic to Antarctica, including the western Pacific is particularly notable, as was Kükenthal's (1919) account of the *Valdivia* Gorgonacea, which laid the foundation for his later revision of all Octocorallia in 1924. In the early twentieth century, Kükenthal recognised 141 certain and 134 doubtful species of Pennatulacea (Kükenthal, 1915) and 805 certain and 255 doubtful species of Gorgonacea (Kükenthal, 1924). Regional monographs and further species descriptions in the latter half of the twentieth century continued to add to this total. Of the approximately 3200 octocorals recognised today, around 75% are from deep waters (>50 m, see Section 2.2.4, p. 37).

Both octocoral and scleractinian taxonomy has been pursued by only a handful of scientists, often in their spare time. For example, alongside their taxonomic exploits many of the azooxanthellate scleractinian workers were primarily palaeontologists while others had a bewildering variety of jobs including school inspector, catholic priest and medical entomologist (Cairns, 2001a). Sadly this trend has if anything worsened and globally there are only a handful of coral taxonomists capable of identifying and describing cold-water corals. Many still pursue coral taxonomy in their spare time.

1.1.2 Pioneering deep-sea expeditions

The science of oceanography became established following the global expedition of *HMS Challenger* (1872–6) led by Charles Wyville Thomson and the epic collection of 50 scientific report volumes it subsequently generated under the auspices of John Murray. The impetus for the expedition had been to investigate Edward Forbes's azoic theory (based on dredging results from the Aegean Sea) that no animal life could persist below 300 fathoms (600 m). Having been to Norway and seen dredge hauls by Michael Sars rich in animal life from these and greater depths, Charles Wyville Thomson lost little time in joining forces with William B. Carpenter to set about persuading the British government to fund deep-sea dredging expeditions. These began in 1868 with the cruise of *HMS Lightning* to the waters between Scotland and the Faroe Islands. Both the *Lightning* and subsequent *HMS Porcupine* expeditions recovered cold-water corals in their dredges. These coral species were discussed in a series of papers to the Zoological Society of London and the Royal Society in the 1870s by P. Martin Duncan (Duncan, 1870, 1873, 1878).

Although less well known, the northwest Atlantic dredging expeditions co-ordinated through the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard (USA) actually preceded the cruise of *HMS Lightning* by a year. Between 1867 and 1880

these expeditions covered over 600 deep-water stations recovering many cold-water coral specimens. Whereas the vessels *Lightning*, *Porcupine* and *Challenger* were converted British Royal Navy ships, the US expeditions used a series of US Coast Guard Steamers, the *Corwin*, *Bibb*, *Hassler* and *Blake*. Louis François de Pourtalès laid the foundations of North American cold-water coral taxonomy in his descriptions of the corals recovered during these expeditions (Fig. 1.3). Indeed of his 59 scleractinian coral descriptions, 47 remain valid today (Cairns, 2001a).

But it was the *HMS Challenger* expedition that revolutionised our understanding of the oceans. The dredge hauls taken during the expedition's circumnavigation of the globe put Forbes's azoic theory to rest once and for all – animal life was recovered from depths of 5500 m, an astonishing achievement at the time, and over 4000 new marine species were described. The *HMS Challenger* was built in 1858 as a Royal Navy corvette, a small, lightly armed and manoeuvrable warship. The *Challenger* sailed between survey stations and used her 1200 horse-power steam engine for dredging. Of her seventeen guns, all but two were removed to make space for laboratories and scientific sampling apparatus. Under Captain George Nares were a total ship's party of about 240 including 20 officers. There were just six scientists led by Charles Wyville Thomson (see Linklater, 1972 for a detailed history of the *Challenger* expedition). Henry Moseley, who joined as the expedition's naturalist, described the cold-water corals collected by *Challenger*. He subsequently outlined the voyage and his natural history observations in his 1879 book *Notes by a Naturalist on HMS Challenger*. Of the 48 scleractinian corals he described, 39 remain valid (Cairns, 2001a) and his skilful illustrations are among the finest available to this day (see Fig. 3.4, p. 72).

As mentioned, the dredging work of Michael Sars in Norway was part of the impetus for the *Challenger* expedition. Sars (1865) used dredging to describe coral banks formed by *Oculina prolifera* (= *Lophelia pertusa*) in Oslofjord. After the pioneering nineteenth-century expeditions established the science of deep-sea dredging on the high seas others were quick to take up the techniques of dredging and trawling and apply them through the remaining years of the nineteenth and first half of the twentieth centuries. There are many historical examples, summarised in Teichert (1958), including Dons (1944) from Norway and Joubin (1922) from the Irish and French margins.

1.1.3 The modern era begins

The next quantum leap in our understanding of cold-water corals came with the development of survey sonars after the Second World War, see Section 1.2.1, p. 13, and the use of manned research submersibles from the late 1960s and through the 1970s. After two hundred years of relying on sample material




Fig. 1.3 Plate V from Pourtalès (1871) *Deep-sea Corals*, published as an Illustrated Catalogue of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College. (1) *Diasteris crispa* (= *Fungiacyathus crispus*); (2) the same viewed

dragged from the depths and dumped onto the deck of a ship, research submersibles gave scientists the first chance to see deep-water animals in their habitats on the seafloor. The ‘deep submergence vehicle’ *Alvin*, named for Allyn Vine of the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, was brought into service in 1964. *Alvin*’s first use in cold-water coral research was to investigate mounds found on the Blake Plateau, off South Carolina (USA), following up earlier echosounder observations by Stetson *et al.* (1962). In July 1967, Milliman *et al.* (1967) dived an area of seabed mounds and found cold-water corals including *Lophelia* and *Dendrophyllia profunda* (= *Enallopsammia profunda*) along with a characteristic community of other suspension feeders. In 1971, A. Conrad Neumann dived in *Alvin* at the base of the Little Bahama Bank and northeastern Straits of Florida to depths of up to 700 m. During these dives he noted extensive areas of rocky mounds, hundreds of metres long and up to 50 m in height. These lithified mounds were richly colonised by cold-water corals and other suspension-feeding animals – subsequently Neumann *et al.* (1977) coined the term ‘lithoherm’ to describe them.

On the other side of the Atlantic the manned submersible *Pisces III* was used to examine cold-water corals in the northeast Atlantic (Colour plate 3). In June 1973, John Wilson surveyed *Lophelia pertusa* colonies growing on Rockall Bank and noted that they tended to form distinctive patches on the seabed, helping to explain characteristic patterns he had seen on side-scan sonographs. He reasoned that the colonies grew from their initial larval settlement point and gradually spread out covering a wider area, a process probably accelerated by sponge bioerosion. Using *Pisces III*, Wilson was able to document coral patches in various stages of development up to 50 m across. He subsequently summarised his observations in his classic description of cold-water coral patch development (Wilson, 1979).

The *Pisces III* submersible became infamous just two months after John Wilson’s 1973 Rockall Bank dives. In August of that year the submersible was being used to help lay trans-Atlantic telephone cables approximately 100 miles west of Ireland. While being lifted back on board its mother ship, the *DE Vickers Voyager*, the submersible and its two-man crew were dropped and sank to a depth of 500 m. With life support systems for just three days an international rescue

Caption for Fig. 1.3 (cont.)

from above; (3) *Thecocyathus laevigatus* in vertical section (= *Tethocyathus laevigatus*); (4) the same in horizontal section; (5) *Desmophyllum solidum* (= *Thalamophyllia riisei*); (6) the same from above; (7) *Dendrophyllia cornucopia* (= *Eguchipsammia cornucopia*); (8) the same from above; (9) magnified portion of *Deltocyathus agassizii* (= *D. calcar*) from the side; (10) the same from below; (11) *Oculina tenella*; (12) the same from above; (13) *Stylaster filigranus*; (14) magnified branch from the same.

effort was quickly launched. Three other submersibles were flown to Ireland, *Pisces II* from England, *Pisces V* from Canada and an early remotely operated vehicle *CURV III* from the USA. After over three harrowing days several lines were attached to the stricken submersible and it was brought back to the surface. Both pilot and observer survived.

These pioneers of human exploration of the deep ocean set the stage for the work we describe in this book. Studies of cold-water corals, particularly the increased activity from the 1990s onwards, have relied on technological innovations in surveying and sampling. Many of these have been made since the 1970s, often driven by the requirements of offshore hydrocarbon development. Next we review briefly some of the methods available to cold-water coral researchers, focusing primarily on the two themes of this book, the geological and biological sciences.

1.2 Research approaches

Advances in our understanding of cold-water corals in recent decades have, in no small measure, been due to advances in submarine surveying, sampling and monitoring technologies. What was once a hostile, remote and mysterious realm is now becoming an increasingly practical area in which to observe and experiment thanks to improved deep-sea technology. Advances in genetics are also providing new insights into cold-water coral dispersal and reproduction alongside glimpses of this habitat's microbial diversity. Likewise, advances in analytical geochemistry have allowed us to use cold-water corals as environmental archives, and helped us understand biogeochemical and diagenetic processes. Many of these advances are explored in later chapters. Here we focus on how technology has enabled us to map, sample and monitor cold-water coral habitats with a concluding comment on how future advances may allow new insights and perspectives.

As recently as the 1990s, and sometimes to this day, attempts to locate cold-water coral reefs and mounds involved sampling the seabed using low-resolution echosounder data and scattered notes of coral occurrence, often from fishing records. Even knowing exactly where the research vessel was, let alone where the sampler on the seabed was relative to the vessel, was a challenge before satellite navigation through global positioning systems (GPS). Now we can not only fix the position of the ship to within a few metres but can also locate the sample with similar accuracy using through-water acoustic ultra-short baseline (USBL) navigation transponders. Improved design means research vessels can now hold position precisely over a site using dynamic positioning (employing directional thrusters). Before this vessels were often at the mercy of wind and water currents

making accurate sampling a function of informed guesswork, timing and piloting skill. With a target several hundred metres beneath the ship there was a limit to what research objectives could be achieved. Once on deck, retrieved samples were usually out of context. Scientists could identify the species and sediments present but were largely left to guess at how the animals functioned and interacted with one another. Since dredges and trawls are dragged across the seafloor they give a greater chance of hitting a patchy target but such samples contain species and sediments from a number of habitats and/or facies mixed together, and are often damaged and biased towards certain organisms or grain sizes.

Advanced technologies now enable us to produce very accurate and detailed digital maps at a range of scales, and precisely sample and observe features either in person or remotely. However, before we outline these it is worth stressing that cold-water coral habitats still challenge us; for example, the fast-flowing currents that characterise these areas impose limitations on equipment and survey design. Deep waters require strong pressure housings for instrumentation, great lengths of cable on powerful winches and large deep-sea vessels capable of getting to and remaining at remote sites for weeks on end. This all makes research cruises to cold-water coral habitats costly undertakings. Observation periods may be limited to slack waters between tides, and local currents may dictate the direction in which instruments can be towed and can make manoeuvring submersibles or remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) difficult during peak tidal flows. This imposes limitations on the work that can be carried out and can cause valuable time spent offshore to be lost.

Corals grow on hard substrata and their accumulated remains may prove difficult to sample. Coral carbonate mounds can be precipitous and lithified, limiting sampling and sometimes damaging equipment (Fig. 1.4). Cold-water coral reefs



Fig. 1.4 A 'banana core' bent during sampling coral carbonate mound sediment.

are both structurally and ecologically complex. Attempts to describe their structure and ecology remain challenging but technological developments allowing precise sampling with ROVs and long-term monitoring with landers and seafloor observatories are beginning to throw light on these issues. It is also important to note that while these ecosystems function seasonally, winter sea-states at high latitudes may curtail operations – often sampling, and to a lesser extent monitoring, are restricted to the fair-weather summer months.

With new technologies, the present-day cold-water coral research strategy has evolved from that of the past. The exploration phase still benefits from historical records and chance finds but now relies more on accurate regional baseline maps. These are increasingly based upon multibeam echosounder coverage with high-resolution seismic profiles (e.g. Roberts *et al.*, 2005a; see Topic box 1.1), sometimes as part of national seabed mapping initiatives. Cold-water coral reefs and mounds may become the focus of higher resolution mapping to create more accurate baseline maps using sonars deployed from ROVs or autonomous

Topic box 1.1 Mapping with sound

Mapping the seabed is technically more challenging than mapping terrestrial landscapes because light is readily absorbed by water, quickly negating the use of satellite remote sensing in all but the shallowest waters. Sound, on the other hand, travels great distances through water (in fact more effectively than through air) so it is possible to survey the seabed, and its underlying structure, by listening to sound reflections (or echoes) in much the same way as eyes, cameras and satellite detectors pick up reflections of light.

Low frequency (10–50 Hz) sound pulses emitted from a ship (known as industrial reflection seismics) penetrate deep into the seabed and reflect back off surfaces where there is a change in density, for example the tops of rock units or the surfaces of buried cold-water coral carbonate mounds (Fig. 1.5). The reflected echoes are received through hydrophones towed behind the ship. The depth beneath the seabed where the echo was reflected can be calculated by the difference in time between the sound pulse and its echo being received (the two-way travel time) assuming a realistic speed of sound through the seabed.

Higher sound frequencies have shorter wavelengths and can map at higher resolution allowing smaller features to be seen. But higher frequency sound does not penetrate so far into the seabed. High-resolution seismics (100 Hz–7 kHz) are ideal for mapping shallow buried (typically less than 200 m) cold-water coral carbonate mounds (Fig. 4.18). At even higher frequencies (greater than 30 kHz) most of the sound reflects directly off the seabed. By recording seabed echoes coming from either side of, as well as directly below the ship, a swath (or strip) of seabed can be imaged. Distance port and starboard from the sonar can again be calculated by time delay: the

Topic box 1.1 (cont.)

further port or starboard, the later the echo will be received. This is the principle of side-scan sonars, which are usually towed behind the vessel where it is acoustically quieter and also closer to the seabed (if desired). Higher frequencies (usually up to 500 kHz) create higher resolution images but with narrower swath, so give less coverage. The strength of the echo depends on the nature of the seabed: weak echoes return from smooth, soft seabeds and strong echoes return from rough, hard seabeds like cold-water coral reefs.

Multibeam echosounders are like side-scan sonars: the higher the frequency, the more detailed the image and the narrower the swath. Unlike side-scan sonars, they have hundreds of transducers accurately recording echoes from discrete places on the seabed to collectively generate swath coverage (Fig. 1.5). This provides xy coordinates for the echoes so the time delay can be used to define z (depth). In this way, multibeam echosounders not only produce backscatter maps, like side-scan sonars, but also bathymetry. Multibeam echosounders have become essential tools to map cold-water coral reefs and coral carbonate mounds.




Fig. 1.5 Imaging a coral carbonate mound with sound. (a) Multibeam echosounders record a large number of discrete echoes from a swath of seabed thereby visualising seabed topography. Both the sound sources and receivers are hull-mounted. (b) Seismic imaging uses sound sources emitted near the vessel and received by a streamer towed behind. Low-frequency and high-magnitude sound sources penetrate the seabed and reflect off density contrasts (usually sediment unit boundaries and potentially buried coral carbonate mounds).

underwater vehicles (AUV) (e.g. Grasmueck *et al.*, 2006). Visual surveys are then performed using deep-towed camera frames although submersible or ROV-camera surveys are increasingly important (Colour plate 4). Submersibles and ROVs have the distinct advantages of being able to stop, wait, observe and take samples. They also allow monitoring equipment to be placed within specific

macrohabitats or targeted at specific organisms. This opens new possibilities in experimental design where the organisms and habitats can be studied *in situ*. In this book we aim to capture the results of this revolution in cold-water coral research and note that in many instances this work has only just begun.

1.2.1 Exploration

The discovery of new cold-water coral reefs and mounds traces back to three primary sources: (1) chance finds and reports, often from fishing records, (2) sub-seabed seismic imaging data from frontier hydrocarbon exploration and (3) baseline regional mapping exercises.

The demand for oil and gas has made it profitable, and in some cases nationally strategic, to search for hydrocarbons with greater intensity and in deeper waters. So-called ‘industrial seismics’ use loud, low-frequency acoustic pulses (10 to 50 Hz) to penetrate deep into seabed rock strata to regions below the seafloor where hydrocarbon reservoirs might exist (see Topic [box 1.1](#)). Unfortunately, while low frequencies give good penetration through the seabed, they produce low-resolution datasets. On industrial seismic records, surface reefs are not visible but the more topographically expressive coral carbonate mounds, and some buried coral carbonate mounds, can be seen. In many instances, such seismic data have been detailed enough to identify mound targets for subsequent, higher resolution surveys. If hydrocarbon prospects are promising, then a closely spaced grid of seismic data (3D seismics) may be collected with interpolation between lines allowing a three-dimensional virtual seafloor to sub-seafloor block to be constructed. Buried coral carbonate mounds in the upper layers of seabed strata can be clearly imaged using this approach (Huvenne *et al.*, 2007).

Once governments started to exert control over their Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) and seafloor territories it became necessary to map them in greater detail. To map large areas of seabed one needs a wide coverage, or swath, of the seabed. Once again there is a trade-off, but this time the trade-off is between frequency, height above the seabed and swath. To achieve a wide swath, there needs to be a longer time between sonar pings and the sonar transducers need to be flown high enough above the seabed to allow the sound to spread out. The pioneering GLORIA long-range side-scan sonar operated at 100 kHz and made the first maps of many hundreds of thousands of square kilometres of seabed around the world in the 1970s and 1980s (Somers, 1996). It is now superseded by the 30 kHz TOBI system. Many discoveries were made with GLORIA including major features such as unknown submarine canyons and seamounts as well as extensive areas of coral carbonate mounds. The coral mounds on the Blake Plateau, northwest Atlantic and Irish margin were first mapped in this way. The

relatively recent development of multibeam echosounders (see Topic [box 1.1](#)) has seen another revolution with each sounding being precisely positioned (an ambiguous shortfall with side-scan sonar systems) and the great additional benefit of accurate bathymetric as well as backscatter data (Colour [plates 5, 15, 16](#)).

1.2.2 Habitat mapping

To plan detailed sampling operations and map habitat it is necessary to have sufficiently resolved site maps at a scale that shows the heterogeneity of features such as cold-water coral reef or mound surfaces. This requires multibeam echosounders (~ 100 kHz) and higher frequency side-scan sonars (100–1000 kHz). In shallow water, multibeam echosounders can be hull mounted and side-scan sonars towed near the surface. In deeper shelf and slope waters, lower frequencies (e.g. 12 kHz) are required, resolution drops and it becomes more desirable to deploy the transducers nearer to the seabed. This can be done by deep-towing side-scan sonars but the more motion-sensitive multibeam echosounders need to be mounted on a stable near-seabed vehicle, such as an AUV or ROV, rather than being towed. This latter approach is now producing some exquisite images of the seafloor in deep water with a level of detail never seen before (e.g. Fig. 4.17, Colour [plate 15](#)).

However, sonar images are no more than typologies with changes in backscatter intensity. To interpret and add detail the seabed must be examined visually or sampled. This ‘ground-truthing’ can be done with camera systems or by collecting physical samples (see Section 1.2.3 below). As visible light travels a short distance through water any images will show at most only a few square metres of seabed. An array of imaging systems are now available from drop, towed and submersible-mounted camera systems to towed laser line scanners. Images from seafloor surveys can be ‘stitched together’ to make video-mosaics of the survey area (Fig. 4.3).

It is not possible, or environmentally advisable, to sample every portion of a seabed survey area. However, acoustic ground discrimination system (AGDS) techniques can be used to analyse the acoustic return from echosounders or side-scan sonar data (e.g. Fosså *et al.*, 2005). This AGDS software divides the coverage into areas of seabed with similar acoustic return signals (not just the echo intensity but also its delay or how the echo fades – whether the echo is short and crisp or with a more complex, longer return). These areas are termed acoustic facies. By ground-truthing each acoustic facies it is possible to use this information to characterise the nature of the seabed across the whole survey.

This diverse collection of spatial information can be collated, organised and visualised with geographical information system (GIS) software. This software stores and visualises digital spatial data allowing different datasets to be quickly

added or removed to make custom maps and views that can then easily be displayed using different scales and mapping projections. Furthermore, the information can also be examined statistically to define and manipulate spatial relationships. Geographical information system outputs can include remotely sensed data, species occurrences, survey cruise tracks and interpreted habitat maps in any combination (Wright *et al.*, 2007).

1.2.3 Collecting samples

As well as providing samples for ground-truthing geophysical surveys, physical samples from the deep seabed are vital for further study. A range of deep-water sampling approaches exist (see Gage & Tyler, 1991) but not all sample cold-water coral habitats effectively, especially scleractinian reefs where substrata contain hard coral frameworks and may even be lithified. Dredges and trawls were the mainstay of deep-sea sampling in the nineteenth and most of the twentieth centuries but there is now a consensus that they should be avoided in cold-water coral habitats. Not only do these devices group organisms from a range of habitats and facies altogether, but they are also very destructive. Hydraulically damped multi- and megacorers designed to delicately sample the sediment–water interface are often inappropriate in cold-water coral habitats where coral fragments or glacial dropstones prevent cores from penetrating. Large box corers (Fig. 1.6a) penetrate with greater force and collect relatively large (up to 0.25 m²) samples with almost intact benthic assemblages. However, fine sediment material and meiofauna are often blown away by the corer's bow




Fig. 1.6 A box corer (a) can recover up to 0.25 m² sediment surface area but is usually deployed without video guidance. Remotely operated vehicle push-cores (b) recover small sediment samples in a very precisely controlled manner. Image (b) courtesy of Ifremer (Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer), Caracole Expedition 2001.



Fig. 1.7 A Russian hydraulically operated, video-directed seabed grab recovering a bottom sample from a coral carbonate mound in the Porcupine Seabight.

wave and rocks or coral fragments often prevent the corers from sealing properly. Intact box core samples are usually sub-sampled to separate surface and progressively deeper sub-surface layers and then sieved to separate species by size. Because box cores relate to area of seabed, quantified species diversity and biomass assessments can be made from intact cores. Submersibles and ROVs can sample specific habitats by either collecting selected organisms with manipulator arms or suction tubes (Colour plate 4), or by using push-cores forced into the seabed by a submersible/ROV manipulator arm (Fig. 1.6b). Recent developments in video-directed, hydraulically controlled grab sampling may allow coral colonies and reef frameworks to be sampled and stored in a sealed grab so that attached fauna are not lost as the sample is brought back through the water column (Fig. 1.7).

Continuous marine seabed deposition offers several advantages over what are typically more disrupted terrestrial records making long seabed cores valuable archives of past environmental conditions. Hemipelagic sedimentary sequences, despite low sedimentation rates, are relatively soft and easy to core with gravity or piston cores. Coral carbonate mounds have higher accumulation rates (see Section 4.4.3, p.127) and may provide higher resolution archives, although complex sedimentation processes may restrict interpretations. The high carbonate content of these mounds provides valuable environmental proxies (coral skeletal proxies are discussed in Chapter 7). However, coral frameworks and lithification produce hard substrata that inhibit conventional coring and can bend core barrels (Fig. 1.4). This means that access to long core sequences can only be achieved effectively with drilling techniques, especially if whole mound sequences are to be sampled. Examples include drill ships like the *RV Joides Resolution* or portable deployable drilling rigs such as *MeBo* (Meeresboden-Bohrgerät; Freudenthal & Wefer (2007), see Fig. 1.8). With these devices, complete




Fig. 1.8 Drilling technologies. (a) The 143 m long Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) drill ship *RV Joides Resolution* is capable of drilling up to 2000 m below the seafloor in water depths up to 8000 m. Image courtesy of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program. (b) The German *Meeresboden-Bohrgerät* (seafloor drill rig) known as *MeBo* deployed from the stern of a research vessel. This portable system is designed to drill 50–70 m below the seafloor in water depths up to 2000 m. Image courtesy of MARUM, University of Bremen, Germany.

sequences tens of metres long can be retrieved through both soft and lithified substrata. However, the costs of these drilling approaches are substantial.

1.2.4 Monitoring

Acoustic maps and samples provide ‘snapshots’ of a dynamic environment. Cold-water coral habitats are strongly influenced by fast-flowing currents that flush through them. Seasonal changes affect surface productivity, which in deep-sea settings leads to seasonal changes in phytodetrital flux to the seafloor. Our snapshots of these environments are usually during spring or summer months when calmer seas make surveys possible. However, mobile sedimentary bedforms may take several years to migrate through areas where cold-water corals are present and we are just starting to appreciate decadal changes in deep-sea climate conditions.

Continuous monitoring in the deep sea can overcome this temporal sampling bias and offer us a different view of these environments – revealing periods of change, the variability of environmental conditions and possibly even infrequent, but important, extreme events. Monitoring can be done by deploying instrumented platforms (such as benthic landers, Fig. 1.9) on the seabed or moorings with strings of instruments monitoring processes in the water column. Typical instrument packages include both single-point current meters and acoustic doppler current profilers, sediment traps, fluorometers to record phytoplankton pigments, light-scattering and transmission sensors to record particle resuspension, and time-lapse cameras to monitor organism presence and activity.




Fig. 1.9 Benthic landers allow cold-water coral habitats to be monitored through time. (a) The Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research BoBo lander being deployed on the Logachev Mounds, northeast Atlantic and (b) the Scottish Association of Marine Science photolander on the Galway Mound (800 m depth), northeast Atlantic. Image (a) courtesy of B. Dorschel and image (b) courtesy of the Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung and the Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer.

1.2.5 Technologies for the future

Submersibles and ROVs have already revolutionised how we interact with deep, cold-water coral environments; not only do they enable a flexible presence on the seabed, but with the development of different tools and payloads they can operate in various modes from reconnaissance and mapping to observation and sampling. Increasingly, ROVs are being used as workhorses to accurately position, maintain and retrieve experiments from the seabed. These can examine a suite of topics including animal behaviour, physiology, reproduction and larval settlement all ideally related back to long-term *in situ* monitoring information from benthic landers and moorings.

Other technologies are now being developed to the point where they can be used to study deep-sea habitats. With increasing payload capacities, AUV-based surveying is destined to become increasingly important. Autonomous underwater vehicles offer new opportunities allowing systematic surveys such as seabed mapping or water mass characterisation leaving the AUV's mother ship and scientists free to perform other activities. Benthic crawlers are now being developed to explore spatial variability in the deep sea. Rather like the Mars rovers, crawlers are small motorised machines that can drive over the seabed carrying a payload of instruments and cameras. A crawler could be remotely controlled, pre-programmed or even able to 'intelligently' explore the environment and find its way back to a docking station to recharge its batteries and download its data. This new tool may be able to explore and sample cold-water coral habitats where other tethered vehicles are constrained by fast currents.

Cabled observatories perhaps offer the greatest potential for the next revolution in the way researchers interact and study cold-water coral habitats. Cabled observatories create a real-time permanent presence in these remote environments using fibre-optic cables to relay information straight back to shore and, via the World Wide Web, to researchers around the world (Delaney & Chave, 2000). Observatories can be considered as hard-wired lander platforms and moorings but without the power and payload constraints of autonomous systems they can be much larger and more sophisticated. Cabled observatories could also monitor internal reef and mound environments, connecting down-borehole probes measuring sub-seafloor processes such as fluid flow.

Seafloor observatory nodes could also become energy supply and data download stations for AUVs and crawlers allowing them to remain deployed for prolonged periods. At the time of writing North American cabled observatory plans are being implemented in the North Pacific (the MARS, NEPTUNE and VENUS projects). In Europe plans have been laid for an observatory including coral carbonate mounds in the Porcupine Seabight (ESONET). Seafloor observatories have the potential to bring these remote environments directly into the laboratory, classroom or home in real time. Such technologies will surely change how we perceive and study these environments and initiate a new era in deep-sea exploration.