INDEPENDENT THINKING IN AN UNCERTAIN WORLD A Mind of One's Own



Edited by VALERIE A. BROWN, JOHN A. HARRIS and DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS



"This important and stimulating book argues that we are capable of bouncing ourselves out of locked-in ways of thinking, ways of thinking unhelpful at best and catastrophic at worst. The book's bold ambition gives us the concepts and language to navigate the perilous new epoch of the Anthropocene."

— Clive Hamilton, Professor of Public Ethics, Charles Sturt University, New South Wales, Australia

"Creatively and persuasively, this book demands an openingup and a freeing of our modes of thinking and dialogue. In a world of ever-more pressing challenges, this is a powerful anthem for the emergence of the multidimensionality and mutual respect we will all need to live comfortably with each other and with non-human nature."

> — Melissa Leach, anthropologist and Director, Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex, UK

"Post-Normal Science is required whenever facts are uncertain, values disputed, stakes high and decisions urgent. It is gratifying to me that the authors have found the ideas of Post-Normal Science illuminating for steering us through this uncertain world. From this book I have gained a deeper insight into what Post-Normal Science can mean for the future."

> — Jerome Ravetz, co-originator of Post-Normal Science, Fellow of the Institute for Science, Innovation and Society, University of Oxford, UK

"Independent Thinking in an Uncertain World alerts us to the need to be creative and open-minded, and to bring the whole of our thinking, the social, ethical, aesthetic and sympathetic, as well as the rational/scientific, to making our decisions about how we live in the World."

- Wendy Rainbird, International Council of Women

"Global problems like climate change require global solutions, right? Maybe not. Maybe the answer lies in the resilience that comes from the complexity and inter-relationships in open minded independent thinking by individuals. Read this book and be optimistic about the future."

> --- Fred Pearce, contributor to New Scientist, The Guardian, The Independent and Times Higher Education

"Here is a treasurable companion and guide! It emerges from humility in the face of uncertainty, and the mental gymnastics of multiple minds and multidimensionality. Surprisingly easy to read, a feast for the imagination, with gifts from multiple learning cycles, it's for those messy and planetary issues of our times."

> — Pierre Horowitz, Professor, School of Science, Edith Cowan University, Western Australia

"Amidst the struggle and aspiration for a flourishing future, there is great value to be found in thoughtful, grounded, experiential reminders of how others are navigating. This is a practical, poetic and courageous collection, with an appealing hint of contagion. Readers could easily find themselves engaging in just this kind of independent thinking."

— Margot W. Parkes, Professor of Health, Ecosystems and Society, University of Northern British Columbia, Canada

Independent Thinking in an Uncertain World

Any effective response to an uncertain future will require independently thinking individuals working together. Human ideas and actions have led to unprecedented changes in the relationships among humans, and between humans and the Earth. Changes in the air we breathe, the water we drink and the energy we use are evidence of Nature – which has no special interest in sustaining human life – looking out for itself. Even the evolutionary context for humans has altered. Evolutionary pressures from the digital communication revolution have been added to those from natural systems. For humans to meet these challenges requires social re-organization that is neither simple nor easy.

Independent Thinking in an Uncertain World offers workable, field-tested strategies from the frontiers of creating a viable future for humans on Earth. Based on research results from hundreds of social learning workshops with communities worldwide, many of them part of Australian National University's Local Sustainability Project, authors with diverse interests explore the gap between open-minded individual thinking and closed socially defined knowledges. The multiple dimensions of individual, social and biophysical ways of thinking are combined in ways that allow open-minded individuals to learn from one another. **Valerie A. Brown** AO, BSc MEd PhD, Director, Local Sustainability Project, Human Ecology Program, Fenner School of Environment and Society, Australian National University and Emeritus Professor of Environmental Health, Western Sydney University has published widely on collective thinking and social change, notably *Tackling Wicked Problems Through the Transdisciplinary Imagination*.

John A. Harris BSc MSc PhD is a past Head of the School of Environmental Studies, University of Canberra, an environmental educator and an action researcher with the Local Sustainability Project and the Alliance for Regenerative Landscape and Social Health, Australia. He is author of *The Change Makers* and co-editor of *Tackling Wicked Problems*.

David Waltner-Toews BSc DVM PhD is Professor Emeritus of Population Medicine at the University of Guelph and has authored or co-authored several texts, more than 100 peerreviewed papers and a dozen books of poetry, fiction and popular science. He was first president of Veterinarians Without Borders–Canada and a founding member of the Community of Practice for EcoHealth–Canada.

Independent Thinking in an Uncertain World

A Mind of One's Own

EDITED BY VALERIE A. BROWN, JOHN A. HARRIS AND DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS





First published 2019 by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge 52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2019 selection and editorial matter, Valerie A. Brown, John A. Harris and David Waltner-Toews; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Valerie A. Brown, John A. Harris and David Waltner-Toews to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data A catalog record has been requested for this book

ISBN: 978-1-138-38721-8 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-138-38722-5 (pbk) ISBN: 978-0-429-42640-7 (ebk)

Typeset in Univers by codeMantra

Contents

	List of figures	X
	List of tables	XII
	List of boxes	XIII
	List of contributors	XiV
	Acknowledgements	xix
	Foreword	xxiii
	JEROME R. RAVETZ	
	Prologue: the bat cave	xxvi
	JOHN A. HARRIS	
Pa	rt I Ideas	1
1	Thinking for oneself: outside the square	3
2	Collective learning: joining the dots	22
	VALERIE A. BROWN, JUDITH A. LAMBERT	
	AND JOHN A. HARRIS	
3	Multiple dimensions of mind:	
	parts and wholes	45
	VALERIE A. BROWN	
4	Celebrating difference: on not losing	
	one's mind	65
	DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS AND ELLEN WALL	

5	Multiple minds: the more we are together JOHN A. HARRIS	79
6	Multiple voices: so say all of us VALERIE A. BROWN AND JOHN A. HARRIS	98
Pa	rt II Practice	109
7	Post-normal reconciliation: reframing the agenda KERRY A. ARABENA	111
8	<i>Sophia</i> in the Anthropocene: towards an environmental ethic DIANNE E. DIBLEY	129
9	The organic, the mechanical and the emergent mind CHARLES J. MASSY	146
10	Escaping the 'circular conundrum': cropping and learning in Northern Australia KATHRYN E. ANDREWS	166
11	Epidemiological regeneration in a complex world DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS	186
12	Landscape management and landscape regeneration in Australia RICHARD M. THACKWAY AND MARK W. GARDNER	202 २
13	Transcoherence: labels and wicked problems CRAIG A. ASHHURST	224

Contents

14	Re-imagining person-centred practice in a person-first organization JULIA H. WOLFSON	242
15	Engaging creatively with tension in collaborative research REBECCA FREETH, ELIZABETH A. CLARKE AND DENA FAM	262
16	Life and change for a regenerative farmer DAVID S. MARSH	284
Pa	rt III The future	297
Pa 17	rt III The future That's how the light gets in DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS	297 299
Pa 17 18	rt III The future That's how the light gets in DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS Knowing our own minds DAVID WALTNER-TOEWS, VALERIE A. BROWN AND JOHN A. HARRIS	297 299 315

Figures

2.1	Collective learning cycle (after Kolb 1984;	
	Brown 2008)	24
2.2	Knowledge cultures of Western societies	
	(Brown, 2010)	30
2.3	The dimensions of individual minds in	
	context (after Hocking, Brown & Harris 2016)	37
12.1	Conceptual model and assessment	
	framework to guide which outcomes	
	of regenerative management are to be	
	assessed (modified from Thackway 2013;	
	Yapp & Thackway 2015)	210
12.2	Grazing plan analysis (total DSE days/ha/yr)	
	with a linear trend line added	217
12.3	Cumulative earnings before interest and	
	taxes (EBIT) for the whole property	218
13.1	A transcoherence equation (adapted from	
	Klein, 2013, p. 4)	235
13.2	A T-shaped expert	236
15.1	Navigating the tensions between the 'l'	
	and the 'we' in research. With increasing	
	wickedness of the problem being researched,	
	the greater the need for individual agency	
	and independent thinking and creativity, along	
	with the need for increasing heterogeneity	
	of the combined epistemic living spaces of	

	the team. This in turn increases the level of tension between individual approaches ('I')	200
1 - 0	and collaboration (we)	268
15.Z	The first team retreat: Navigating the	
	tensions between 'I' and 'we'. The tension	
	was diffused and avoided by focusing	
	on individual scholarship. This resulted in	
	increasing pressure to simplify and reduce	
	heterogeneity, making it more difficult to	
	deal with wicked problems	272
18.1	Head of Janus, Vatican Museum, Rome.	
	Photographer: Loudon Dodd (source:	
	Wikimedia Commons)	322

Tables

1.1	Responses to the issues of the Anthropocene	9
1.2	Multiple dimensions of the obesity epidemic	14
1.3	Recognizing one's multidimensional self	16
1.4	Dimensions of mind and related intelligences	17
2.1	Comparing the multiple dimensions	
	of individual minds with the socially	
	constructed knowledge cultures	38
3.1	Qualities of mind, by author	47
12.1	Proportion of Australia managed for	
	agricultural enterprises, 2005–06	203
12.2	Hierarchy of five response variables of	
	the environment and biodiversity (i–v)	
	crossmatched to ten ecological response	
	criteria (1–10). This assessment framework	
	has been developed to operate in intensive	
	and extensive agriculture and minimum use	
	areas, at the land type level	208
12.3	Standardized list of 24 priorities (goals)	213
15.1	Four ways of engaging with paradox in	
	collaborative groups	276
18.1	Individual, society and multidimensional	
	thinking	321

Boxes

1.1	A region in crisis	7
2.1	Combining interest groups: a health strategy for the ACT	26
2.2	Combining knowledge cultures: an eight- member alliance	32
2.3	Combining multidimensional minds: human ecology workshop	35
3.1 3.2	Multidimensional mind: individual Multidimensional minds: the committee	56 59

Contributors

Kathryn E. Andrews BSc PhD. University Fellow, School of Indigenous Futures, Charles Darwin University; Visiting Lecturer, Fenner School of Environment and Society, ANU. Kate chairs Territory Natural Resource Management and is an advisor and consultant. She established the Lake Eyre Basin Coordinating Group and became its first CEO. At Land & Water Australia, Kate established the Knowledge for Regional NRM programme and is a member of the Australian Landcare Council 2010–2015.

Kerry A. Arabena BSocWork PhD. Professor of Indigenous Health, University of Melbourne. Kerry holds the Chair of Indigenous Health at Melbourne University. Her doctorate at ANU won the 2009 Crawford Prize. She has been CEO of the Lowitja Institute and the Chair of Indigenous Health at Monash University. Author of *Becoming Indigenous to the Universe*.

Craig A. Ashhurst BArts GradDipEd CertIVTraining & Assessment, MPhil (by thesis). Director, Niche Thinking Pty Ltd. Craig has been bookseller, youth worker, teacher, developer of educational software and management consultant. Since 2013 he has been a PhD candidate at ANU. Craig formed his own company in 1995 and works in both the private and public sectors.

Valerie A. Brown AO, BSc MEd PhD. Director, Local Sustainability Project, Fenner School of Environment

Contributors

and Society ANU 1995–2015 and Emerita Professor of Environmental Health, University of Western Sydney. Valerie is the author and editor of ten books, including *Tackling Wicked Problems Through the Transdisciplinary Imagination*.

Elizabeth A. Clarke BAgSc GradDipComm PhD (ORCID 0000–0001–5018–810X). Currently Research Fellow Leuphana Universität and Western Sydney University and Honorary Lecturer, ANU. Liz is a systems thinker, transdisciplinary researcher and practitioner of co-design for positive change for sustainability. Her work includes food systems, rural development, environmental management and natural resource management.

Dianne E. Dibley BA LLB DipEd Cert 4 Indigenous Mentoring PhD under examination. Di is an environmental lawyer working on environmental policy and advocacy. She has been National Policy Director Environment & Energy for the Australian Industry Group and National Policy Director for Greening Australian.

Dena Fam BIndustryDesign PhD. Associate Professor and Research Director, Institute for Sustainable Futures, University of Technology, Sydney; Core Member, ISF – Institute for Sustainable Futures. Dena Fam is a system thinker and transdisciplinary collaborator at the Institute for Sustainable Futures at the University of Technology, Sydney.

Rebecca Freeth BSocSc BPhil MPhil Leuphana University Reos Partners. Rebecca Freeth researches, writes about and facilitates collaboration. Always a hybrid herself, Rebecca moves between the worlds of practice, teaching and academia and is based mostly in South Africa.

Mark W. Gardner BAgriSci (Hons) Certified Holistic Management Professional. Educator (Savory Institute). Member AICD; Owner Director, Vanguard Business Services, Dubbo. Mark is a holistic management consultant and worked as a Savory Institute Holistic Management Educator for 22 years. His Vanguard Business Services has won a number of Regional Business Awards.

John A. Harris BSc (Hons), MSc PhD. Action researcher Local Sustainability Project, ANU; Board of Alliance for Regenerative Landscapes and Social Health. He has published on marine fish, bats and cave ecosystems and urbanization in Papua New Guinea. John established foundational courses in environmental science at the University of Canberra 1972–1999 and postgraduate curriculum. He is co-author and co-editor with Val Brown of three books. His own book is *The Change Makers*.

Dana Kelly BSc MSc PhD. Dana is a social scientist undertaking research in the Australian rangelands and outback. Her consultancy is in community engagement, social change, organizational collaboration, agricultural extension, protected area management, monitoring and evaluation. She is an Associate of the International Water Centre, University of Queensland.

Judith A. Lambert BPharm BSc(Hons) PhD, GradDipEnv-Manag, GradDipBusinessAdmin. Judy's training and career span environmental, social and medical sciences and business administration. With the late Dr Jane Elix, she formed a consultancy business, Community Solutions, some 25 years ago. Another collaborator is Professor Valerie A. Brown.

David S. Marsh MSustainableAgriculture. Regenerative farmer, Allendale, Boorowa, NSW. National Landcarer of the Year 2018. An award-winning regenerative farmer, professional speaker and author; Founding President of the Boorowa Community Landcare Group, 1989, committee member for 15 years. Since 2014 he has been Coordinator

of and published blogs on the Alliance for Regenerative Landscapes and Social Health website (ARLASH.com).

Charles J. Massy OAM BSc, PhD. Farmer, Severn Park, Cooma, NSW, Australia. Charlie graduated in Zoology in 1976, before developing a Merino sheep stud (Severn Park). He manages a grazing property in NSW while consulting in Merino breeding and landscape design, and regenerative agriculture. Author of *Call of the Reed Warbler: A New Agriculture – A New Earth.*

Richard M. Thackway BSc MSc. Adjunct Fellow, Fenner School of Environment and Society, ANU and School of Physical, Environmental and Mathematical Sciences, University of New South Wales at the Australian Defence Force Academy; and research scientist with extensive experience in science policy agencies in the Australian government.

Ellen Wall PhD. Ellen is retired from environmental sciences at the University of Guelph. A rural sociologist, she has managed a national research network on climate change impacts and adaptation for agriculture (C-CIARN-Agriculture). She was an expert reviewer for the North American chapter of the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report.

David Waltner-Toews BA DVM PhD. A veterinarian and epidemiologist specializing in zoonotic diseases and ecosystem approaches to health; Professor Emeritus at University of Guelph and adjunct researcher at Centre de recherche interdisciplinaire sur le bien-être, la santé, la société et l'environnement (CINBIOSE), University of Quebec at Montreal. Author and co-author of several textbooks, over 100 peer-reviewed papers and book chapters.

Julia H. Wolfson MAppSc DipPW PhD. Accredited – International Association of Process-Oriented Psychology. Principal, Turning Forward and Associates; Founder and Principal, International Deep Democracy Institute. Faculty Eldership Academy; Visitor, Rudolf Steiner University College, Oslo. Her recent book is *Applying Deep Democracy in Human Services*.

Acknowledgements

The three editors wish to acknowledge the following contributors to *Independent Thinking in an Uncertain World: A Mind of One's Own*.

First, we thank the members of the Fenner Writing Group, Fenner School of Environment and Society, the Australian National University. As fellow members of the writing group, we (Val and John) thank you for the four years we all spent getting to know one another and generating our own examples of independent thinking. Members of the writing group, Kate Andrews, Kerry Arabena, Craig Ashhurst, Liz Clarke, Di Dibley, David Marsh, Charlie Massy, Richard Thackway and Julia Wolfson, provided the chapters for Part II of this book. Thanks also to Professor Stephen Dovers, former Director of the Fenner School who first agreed to host the writing group.

Thank you to Dana Kelly, Gil King, David Marsh and Wendy Rainbird, the four readers who shared their ideas in valuable discussions as well as in a more formal review. From their diverse experiences, they made excellent suggestions that we have universally adopted. The final product is, of course, our responsibility.

Many individuals have contributed their skills to the production of the book. Our editor and friend, Paul Wallace, worked wonders on our text, way beyond the call of duty. Craig Ashhurst rescued the illustrations. The coauthors, Mark Gardner, Dena Fam and Rebecca Freeth, supported Writing Group members. Routledge editors Rebecca Brennan and Leila Walker were supportive and gave us sound advice. The National Botanic Gardens provided a sympathetic background for our writing group discussions.

Val writes: Judy Lambert and I were fellow facilitators at the workshops that provided much of the material for the book. To the many hundreds of members of the Local Sustainability Workshops from all over the world, 1995–2015, thank you for your willing participation and your commitment to whole-of-community change. I also wish to acknowledge the Human Ecology Forum of the Fenner School, which provided a haven for sharing ideas among independent thinkers over many decades.

To my fellow editors, thank you for the warmth and strength of our collaboration. To my husband Robin, your memory and influence continues. My children, Matthew, Bina and AJ, provided love, support and encouragement while I concentrated on this book. Hopes that my four grandchildren, Chris, Sarah, Elliot and Amon, would live in a better world drove me on.

John writes: The companionship, advice and patience of my wife Jane has been unwavering and vital to me and I thank Craig, Stephen and our granddaughters, Brooke, Ellie, Grace and Scarlett who help to make it all worthwhile.

I wish to acknowledge the University of Canberra and the many students in environmental science I taught there for their enthusiastic questioning stance that kept me learning and open minded. The Human Ecology Forum at the Australian National University was also an important stimulus to me for open discussion on diverse research topics over many decades.

I wish to thank my parents who supported my education and set me free to discover the creek mentioned in the Prologue. My thanks also to the late Allan Fox, naturalist and author, who never tired of sharing his stories and encyclopaedic knowledge on our many trips outback inspired by the beauty of the Australian continent.

I am indebted to Val for inviting me to share her search for a better world. It has been a challenging, joyful and demanding partnership but most of all there was friendship.

David writes: For the many hours we spent working and debating and finding new ways to think about, and act in, the world, to leave it better than we found it, I thank the colleagues and members of the Agroecosystem Health Project at Guelph; the Post-normal Science network (especially Jerry Ravetz and Silvio Funtowicz); the Dirk Gently Gang (including the late James Kay in Canada and Thomas Gitau in Kenya, both of whom died far too young).

I thank the diverse and inspiring members of the Community of Practice for EcoHealth–Canada (Copeh-Canada), including especially the late Bruce Hunter, who helped to keep me grounded; Kerry Arabena and Pierre Horwitz and Margot Parkes for welcoming me as an honorary member of the Oceania Copeh chapter; my many colleagues in the Southeast Asia and East Asia EcoHealth and emerging diseases networks. Thanks to the programme directors and scholars at Canada's International Development Research Centre, for supporting and challenging me.

Thanks to my children, Matthew and Rebecca, and their partners, Steve and Lydia, and their children (my grandchildren) Nikolas, Annabel, Wendell and Ira, who continue to inspire me and teach me new things and give me hope. And Kathy, for your support and forbearance of my international and intellectual obsessions and the many times you kept the home and household going and the kids fed when I was away in Kenya, Colombia, Nepal or Thailand. The epigraph by Michael Ignatieff at the beginning of Chapter 4 from *The Needs of Strangers* (New York: Penguin Books) is ©Michael Ignatieff, 1984, and its reuse here is by permission of the author.

The epigraph by Larry Haworth at the beginning of Chapter 4 from *The Good City* (Bloomington: Indiana University) is ©Indiana University Press, 1963, and its reuse here is by permission of the publisher.

Foreword

Jerome R. Ravetz

I feel that it is an honour and a privilege to contribute a foreword to this inspiring volume. It is very gratifying to me that the authors have found the ideas of post-normal science to be illuminating. And from them I have gained a deeper insight into what post-normal science can mean.

First, of course, this volume records the multiple achievements of Valerie Brown in creating new conceptions of the way we think and act, individually and collectively. She has not only achieved new insights and described them; equally importantly, she has embodied them in practical methods for their further development and use. Of course, any simplified scheme can be abused in a box-ticking culture; but when such a scheme expresses deep truths about a system, it can enrich and even transform practice. Her list of the dimensions of mind, deployed in conjunction with her list of varieties of actors, provides participants a means of achieving self-awareness. Thereby, as she and her co-editor John Harris know so well, the most intractable obstacle to creative common endeavour, the inability to see oneself from outside, can be overcome. In that way, the most important task facing our civilization, the employment of nonviolence in policy, can be achieved. This, I believe, is the great achievement of this volume.

Articulating an enriched, complex vision of oneself in society is challenging. Contradictions and hurts are everywhere. We can carry on better when we see how others have overcome them, both in group work and internally. My friend, comrade and mentor David Waltner-Toews is a living example of that struggle. His histories of successful campaigns, together with personal reflections and poetry, all integrated in his personal vision, show us that it can indeed be done; and that integrity and hope can indeed be maintained through the inevitable messes of actions to make the world a better place.

Kerry Arabena provided a precious occasion for me to reflect on myself and my work. It started with her focus on the vision of nature of indigenous peoples; this could be described as including all the dimensions of mind in Valerie Brown's scheme, where the aesthetic and sympathetic are as essential as the physical and where historic crimes are part of the present and future. From this perspective, the poverty of 'normal science' suddenly becomes clear. We could think of it as the social perception of a world where everyone is colour blind, tone deaf and afflicted by anosmia and ageusia. In policy terms, one can think of words used in South Africa during the debate on ending apartheid: those willing to change were *verligte*, enlightened, while the opponents were verkrampte, cramped. At the extreme, there is the old joke about science having disproved the existence of consciousness, with the obvious exception of white male Harvard psychology PhDs. This is the implicit indoctrination of students in all officially certified sciences. It prepares them to believe that they can manage and change the world in that benighted image.

Now I better understand the significance of post-normal science. Less important are the mantras describing the condition. The essential message is: yes, it can be different.

Science can encompass diversity, variety, love and hate and tragedy. Doing real-world science can and must involve conflict and reconciliation and knowledge of self and others. Kerry's term 'post-normal reconciliation' expresses the spirit of post-normal science as I have now come to understand it; it is to be distinguished from the 'normal' sort whereby those oppressed Others have a chance to become real imitation white folks.

I need not go on at length at the other contributions to this volume, particularly those about the practical experience of the farmers. One might say that a key feature of modern societies is the way that they provide a shield and buffer from the workings of the external world. For the last half-century, ever since Silent Spring, it has been common knowledge that our science cannot totally dominate Nature. And on an increasing variety of fronts, our technological successes are realized to be producing their own negations, as with superbugs and superweeds. On the American Great Plains, the Ogallala aguifer is being pumped dry, with a future lifetime estimated as a few decades. Perhaps the name will last longer than the expropriation? It could be that in Australia, where the most sophisticated governance and technology confronts a very alien ecosystem, vital lessons about conservation and regeneration will have a better chance of being heeded. Our authors should be recognized eventually as heroes of survival; and perhaps they will.

Finally, I want to recognize the other achievement, the one that made all this collective work possible: the Fenner Writing Group. Although this was not about science, it was clear that same inspiration, which I am now honoured to call 'post-normal', made it such a success.

Thanks to the authors and particularly, of course, to Valerie Brown, for their achievement and for giving me the opportunity to share in it and learn from it in this way.

Prologue

The bat cave

John A. Harris

This is my story of living through the very changes in thinking that are at the heart of this book. Growing up in the foothills of Adelaide, South Australia, with a permanent creek flowing past my home, it seems inevitable that I would fall in love with natural things. And, once my curiosity was sparked, I wanted to understand more about how my tiny world fitted into the larger world. To fulfil my dream I went to Adelaide University and became a practising scientist. I began to think for myself. I had my first inkling of what this meant during my PhD research in a bat cave.

Bats are true flying mammals. In my case, they were like tiny flying mice. They lived in the bat cave by day and left to feed on insects at night. By their presence, they altered the cave temperature and created an environment of their own. I studied a small inner chamber where I entered the chamber through a low crawl space by torchlight. If bats were roosting there, they brushed past me as they left. Understanding the interrelationships between the bats and the cave was the key to unlocking the secrets of the cave. It was also the key to understanding the human world and myself.

The bat cave had seemed to be a simple system in a stable temperature 'laboratory'. However, this idea had more to do with my scientific thinking. I had misunderstood the interrelationships in the cave, as I had failed to understand my own social system. It was only after I began to think for myself that my mind was set free and open to learn from these mistakes.

Drawing on my own thinking allowed me to see how the bat cave exemplifies the extraordinary diversity generated by the evolutionary process of life on Earth; a process that included my own thinking. The bat cave demonstrated the ever-changing character of the Earth. This was my first inkling of a new order in my mind. It took me more time to know intuitively that I was a part of that interdependent world. I was working late one night when, suddenly, I felt connected to the whole of life on Earth. It brought a powerful emotional response.

These flashes of insight came to me when I was grappling with a tension created by the conflict between my commitment to objective science and my mind-changing experience in the cave. I abandoned the goal of a quantifiable understanding. Instead, I worked tirelessly to sort out the confusion in my mind. It was that sustained focus and attention that helped free my mind, allowing all dimensions of my thinking to fire and flash. I got much better at working creatively, using my intuition and imagination as well as my scientific training, and to use them all together.

When I set out on my PhD, I was a research scientist focused on objective thinking about the physical and biological conditions in the bat cave. To gain access to the cave, I needed the help of my social colleagues, local cave enthusiasts and landholders. My love for natural systems led me to a new ethical position: to reduce the extent of my disturbance to the bats and to increase my responsibility to my society. This led me to developing sympathy for the tiny bats and so for other vulnerable beings. I realized how much I had become a part of the system I was studying. I had experienced my mind being able to use its physical, social, ethical, sympathetic and aesthetic dimensions and remaining whole at the same time.

The bat cave changed me forever. I found myself in a new story about my place in the natural and social worlds and the interdependence within and between these worlds. For years, I thought that was the story of the bat cave. It took me a long time to realize that was my story, too. The bat cave had allowed me entry to multidimensional thinking. I see my tiny world as multifaceted and whole at the same time. This is an enduring story and it runs through this book.

It is a story of the world I fit into, for better or worse. It is not only complex and beautiful; it generates a vast natural and cultural variety. There is a capacity for renewing the panoply of planetary life in unexpected and sustaining ways that are beyond my powers of understanding. Nevertheless, my journey to understand has brought me to the strength of the multiple dimensions of an individual mind and this book.

Part I Ideas



Thinking for oneself

Outside the square

Valerie A. Brown

Every now and then a new idea spreads throughout human society. The collected thinking of the world slips a cog while it absorbs the new idea into its everyday life. We humans have already faced the dramatic changes of the agricultural and industrial revolutions. Both of these permanently altered the relationships that link individual humans, their societies and the Earth. A revolution of our own times brings with it the challenge to establish new long-term collaborations between humans and humans and between humans and their only planet. For this, we look to a mind fit for the task.

Just this morning, my social media carried pictures of a warming planet: a free-floating iceberg as big as a small city, ice sheets crisscrossed with large cracks and a starving polar bear. The President of the United States, the most powerful man in the world, tweets that the world is getting cooler. Facebook's founder moans that his wonderful tool is being used to service a confused world of 'alternative facts'. Floods and droughts predicted for over 30 years still catch people unprepared. Refugees from intolerable living conditions are shunted from place to place, with no foreseeable solution. Governments fail to face up to the extent of the social and environmental change. I, as an individual, am left to interpret this complex world for myself.

There is no shortage of confident explanations of this complexity. Professions, disciplines, political parties and people in the street all have their own versions. More important is to ask, what is the question? Nobody seems to be sure. There is, however, one question that I keep asking myself. In these uncertain times, why aren't we drawing on the immense capability of our own minds? Some people are singled out to give us the answer, some as geniuses, some as powerbrokers, others as heroes. The rest of us are expected to leave the too-hard guestions to them. As human beings, however, they are no different from any of us. We all have the same brain structure and ability to think that allows us to think for ourselves. To go back to a question about the question: How can we draw on the full capacity of our minds in addressing the issues of our time?

Climatologist Will Steffen has argued that the formal title for our time in history should be the Anthropocene. The 'Anthro' part is a reminder that human ideas have led to unprecedented changes in our social lives and physical environment. Changes to the air I breathe, the water I drink and the energy I generate are our environment's response to human actions. These changes are not primarily addressed to us humans: They are the Earth looking after itself. As an individual, I must respond on my own behalf, hoping to find companions to help me. However, to address the necessary social reorganization will be neither simple nor easy. I cannot do it by myself: It is too vast a canvas.

Once I applied my mind to the emergent issues, I could see for myself the crucial need to negotiate our way to the solutions, solutions that we can all share with one another and with the world. Otherwise we will all continue to be deaf to this changed world speaking in its own voice. The Earth will activate its own feedback systems whether we like it or not. We need to learn to listen. Communities do the best they can with the resources they have. Worldwide experience of working with changing communities has taught me that there will always be those who try to avoid the issues of the Anthropocene. Others will take a one-eved view, fixing their minds on one problem and one solution. Belief in one right answer can lead to a single source of authoritarian control. At one extreme. this singlemindedness leads to the mass Jonestown suicides and the terrors of the Nazi regime. At the other, it produces individuals alienated from their own society. The planet has been dealing with immense changes over many billion years. Whether we humans act wisely on the issues or not, the planet will survive. Unless we can escape our society's current focus on one right answer, we humans may not.

After working with communities all over the world, I came to realize that existing organizational structures and current ways of thinking could not be expected to break new ground. They have established interests to protect. This often means that they work actively to prevent change. Why should I be surprised? Key social institutions such as education, law, health and government have been building walls around themselves ever since the 17th-century scientific revolution. Thinking has shrunk to considering only one's own section of the social network. As I have described in previous books, in Western culture our knowledge making has been divided into different specialized compartments, each of which protects itself from change.

The communities I was working with were looking for whole-of-community change. In the next chapter, Chapter 2, I give an account of the research team, design and overall outcomes of a series of collective learning workshops. Here, I describe the steps toward learning to recognize multiple dimensions in each individual's thinking. I was leader of the Local Sustainability Project (LSP) at the Australian National University over 1990–2015, responding to issues of whole-of-community change. Our research teams found that the Local Sustainability Project workshops were successful in bringing diverse groups together on shared tasks. However, when the workshop members went back to their usual social environment, it was a different matter. The old barriers returned. It made me think of the proverb: You can take a horse to water, but you can't make it drink.

During the early workshops the team identified different knowledge cultures developed by individuals, communities, specialists, organizations and holistic thinkers. Each knowledge culture had its own aim, language, content and set of practitioners. To my surprise, the crucible for significant change did not arise from any one of the knowledge compartments. Rather, change came from certain individuals who stood out in each of the workshop groups. These individuals acted independently of their colleagues and thought more broadly across the issues.

Following this unexpected behaviour, the research team and I redesigned the workshops. The aim of the new workshops was to learn more about the individual's desire for independence and their capacity to think beyond the square. In debriefing sessions after a workshop, members of the research team and of the groups identified different dimensions of the individuals' thinking: the physical, social, ethical, aesthetic and sympathetic dimension was accessed through objective observations and measurements; the *social* dimension by shared stories and symbols; the *ethical* dimensions by recognition of patterns and style; and *sympathetic* dimensions in the warmth of one-on-one communications.

The recognition of multidimensional thinking demanded further investigation. Once recognized, I began to find signs of the multiple dimensions of mind everywhere. I was in the position of someone who buys a red car and then suddenly sees red cars everywhere, when they had never noticed them before. Once the multiple dimensions of mind had been identified in the workshops, I found these multiple dimensions whenever independent individuals were thinking for themselves. In Chapter 2, I explore the relationships between the closed minds of the knowledge compartments and the open minds of independent thinkers. For now, I report on some examples of the value of the contributions made by multidimensional minds to difficult times (see Box 1.1).

BOX 1.1 A REGION IN CRISIS

A remote region in Australia's far north was suffering a severe drought. Drought is usually associated with a lack of rain, a meteorological drought, a physical measurable dimension. But social life is hard to carry on when the view out the window is red dust and empty paddocks. Politicians and city people focus on the images of dying cattle and sheep, failing to understand that everyone in these remote rural communities is affected. Yet the sense of place is strong as local people love the aesthetics of the red sand hills and open spaces of the region where they live. They are also strongly connected, sympathetic to one another's problems and with a strong ethical tradition for helping one another.

The impacts of drought on the whole region has been devastating. Everyone in this remote community was affected. Farmers and their children, *(Continued)* shearers, fencing contractors, hairdressers and local grocery stores suffered an economic drought, as no money comes into the town. A social drought developed as large numbers of people leave town looking for work. Services decline, schools close; sports clubs struggle to find enough people for a team.

Nevertheless, people changed the way they did things and diversified their businesses. Local people volunteered to keep social events happening. Local charity groups provide credit cards for people to spend locally. Innovative local businesses developed in response to drought, often based on people's love of the area. One cattle family turned tourism operators take visitors on a Cobb and Co coach ride, providing a genuine heritage experience. Strong social capital and networks helped. Individuals wore many hats, volunteering with Rotary, the Drought Committee, helping the homeless, working in schools and sitting on the hospital board.

Comment: Paradoxically, the needs of remote regions are often ignored; yet distance gives them freedom to consider their own and other's perspectives and to think and act outside the square. Independent thinking is especially valued in times of hardship, such as this drought in Longreach, where people were able to access all dimensions of their minds.

Source: Kelly, 2018

The many failures of orthodox methods to resolve the issues of the Anthropocene: carbon emissions, violence in the cities and the obesity epidemic, had already made me wonder whether there was another way. From the LSP workshops, I collected a list of possible ways of responding to the complex issues (see Table 1.1).